Contributor Metadata Approval Sheet/ Contributor Contract/Proof

Dear Contributor(s),

Thank you for publishing with us! Please find enclosed your contributor proof. In order for your contribution to be published, it is essential to fill out the enclosed metadata form and then confirm the *Contributor Contract* by placing check marks and data in the marked places. Any special written agreements with us stay valid beyond that.

Finally, please correct the proof of your contribution.

Thank you for your cooperation!

De Gruyter

Appendices

- Title page of the *Contributor Contract*
- Contributor Metadata Form
- General Terms and Conditions for Contributor Contract
- Proof

CONTRIBUTOR CONTRACT

Between

De Gruyter

and

Corresponding Contributor Robert A. Yelle

Regarding

Chapter Title: Introduction: How to talk about transcendence Chapter DOI: 10.1515/9783110688276-003 Book Title: Interpreting and Explaining Transcendence

Creative-Commons-License:

a Contributor Contract has been concluded. Details regarding the Contributor Contract are specified in the attached General Terms and Conditions for Contributor Contract.



Contributor Metadata Approval Sheet

Book title: Interpreting and Explaining Transcendence **Chapter DOI:** 10.1515/9783110688276-003 **Chapter title:** Introduction: How to talk about transcendence

Please check the metadata in the second column carefully and update/add information in the third column. Even if you have already submitted this information to the publisher.

Contributor 1

Corresponding address according to available information		To be changed
Salutation* (Mr/Ms/other)		
Title*		
Surname*	Yelle	
First Name*	Robert A.	
E-Mail*		
Corresponding Author* (yes/no)	yes	
The given address is a(n) affiliation.	privat address. (For privat address omit <i>Institution/Department</i> !)	
Institution		
Department		
Number*		
Street*		
ZIP Code*		
City*		
State		
Country*		

*required

The data from this form will **<u>only</u>** be used to enable internal processes! This includes sending a notification via e-mail as soon as your contribution is published on the De Gruyter website. You can then download a PDF for personal use using the registration information provided therein. If free copies are part of the agreement with De Gruyter, the postal address listed here will be used to send the copies.



Contributor Metadata Approval Sheet

Book title: Interpreting and Explaining Transcendence **Chapter DOI:** 10.1515/9783110688276-003 **Chapter title:** Introduction: How to talk about transcendence

Please check the metadata in the second column carefully and update/add information in the third column. Even if you have already submitted this information to the publisher.

Contributor 2

Corresponding address according to available information		To be changed
Salutation* (Mr/Ms/other)		
Title*		
Surname*	Ponzo	
First Name*	with Jenny	
E-Mail*		
Corresponding Author* (yes/no)	no	
The given address is a(n) affiliation. privat address. (For privat address omit Institution/Department!)		
Institution		
Department		
Number*		
Street*		
ZIP Code*		
City*		
State		
Country*		

*required

The data from this form will **<u>only</u>** be used to enable internal processes! This includes sending a notification via e-mail as soon as your contribution is published on the De Gruyter website. You can then download a PDF for personal use using the registration information provided therein. If free copies are part of the agreement with De Gruyter, the postal address listed here will be used to send the copies.





Contributor Metadata and Contributor Contract

Date_

(By inserting the check mark you confirm the conclusion of the Contributer Contract for your contribution. In case of technical problems with the check mark, you can agree to the contract by typing "Confirmed" in the date field, e.g.: "Confirmed, 17.4.2020".)

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR CONTRIBUTOR CONTRACT

These General Terms and Conditions are an integral part of your Contributor Contract. The individual details of your agreement with us can be found on the sheet titled *Contributor Contract*.

Our goal as a publisher is to be a competent partner to our contributors and to facilitate the quality, reach, reputation, and validity of their work. In order to do so, both parties must commit to a set of rules as follows:

1 Definitions

You: You as the Contributor of the Work. When there is more than one Contributor, the term "You" shall apply collectively and the provisions set out in these terms and conditions apply equally to all Contributors.

We: the Walter De Gruyter GmbH Publisher named in your Contributor Contract.

Work: the content to be published as printed and electronic edition.

Contributor Contract: the actual agreement, which is issued to you as a cover page to these terms and conditions.

2 Your Rights and Obligations

- 2.1 <u>Grant of Rights:</u> As a publisher, we take copyright very seriously. We must make sure that we have the right to use all parts of your Work. You warrant that you own the manuscript, and that you are free to assign the rights without restriction and have acquired the rights to any content as necessary. This applies to all parts, including any illustrations, indices, tables, textual excerpts, multimedia components, etc. Further, you warrant that you have not assigned any rights that would conflict with the rights granted to us in this contract. You guarantee that your work, including all parts, does not infringe on any rights out as copyrights, performing rights, trademarks, rights of privacy, or other third-party rights. You agree to reimburse us for any costs or liabilities resulting from a breach of these warrantes.
- 2.2 <u>Publication Elsewhere:</u> In order to support the marketing strategy for your Work, you agree not to publish the Work (or a substantially equivalent work) or make it otherwise available to the public without first obtaining our written consent.
- 2.3 <u>Repository Policy:</u> You are free to self-archive up to 10% of the Work (with the exception of textbooks and databases) on your own website or in the repository of your university or institution. In addition, you may place a link to the product page of your Work on our website www.degruyter.com in public repositories that allow a redirect. You can find a complete list in the Rights and Licenses section of our website.
- 2.4 <u>VG Wort:</u> As the laws regarding the German collecting society VG Wort are subject to frequent change, we include here a translation of the recommended wording for contributor agreements provided by the German Publishers and Booksellers Association on exercising your rights through VG Wort. In the following paragraphs, you assign to us the right to exercise our rights with VG Wort. In order for you to profit from the copyright-holder share of the VG Wort distribution, you must sign an agreement directly with VG Wort as well as register your Work upon publication. You may then decide if you would like to let us, as a publisher, participate in the distribution of those proceeds as well. We will provide more detailed information in a separate communication when your Work is published. We retain the right to make changes to the following paragraphs as a result of court rulings or changes to the law.

The Author grants to the Publisher, for the duration of the contract, all usage rights that may be exercised at collecting societies such as VG Wort and VG Bild-Kunst according to their contractual stipulations. The granting of these rights serves the purpose of registration at the collection societies for mutual exercising of rights. The proceeds from the exercising of rights are distributed by the collecting societies according to \$27 Abs. 2 VGG and according to the shares defined in their distribution plan, and are distributed directly to copyright holders and publishers. The Author agrees that he or she must conclude an agreement directly with the collecting society in order to receive the (copyright-holder) share of the distribution.

With regard to the statutory reimbursement claims stated in §63a Satz 1 UrhG, the following applies if the Author is registered to exercise rights through the VG Wort: the Author can, according to §27a VGG, either upon registration or at a later time following publication, grant consent at the collecting society for the Publisher to participate in the proceeds from the statutory reimbursement claims. The amount of the publisher share is based on the current distribution plan of the individual collecting society. If the Author is not registered to exercise rights, the Publisher can receive a share of the distribution from collecting societies (publisher share) for the statutory reimbursement claims stated in §63a Sentence 1 UrhG only in the case that the Author has transferred these claims to the Publisher after the publication of the work.

3 Grant of Rights

- 3.1 Rights_of <u>Use</u>: You assign to us, for the purpose of ensuring the optimal distribution and availability of your work the right:
 - To reproduce, distribute, and make available your Work in printed form including as print-on-demand;
 - b) To produce machine-readable forms of your Work (including digitization) and to store it electronically in all storage media, including in our own databases and those of third parties, such as Amazon or Google;
 - c) To make your Work available in part with "look inside" functionality, for example on Amazon or Google;

- d) To reproduce and make available your Work in electronic form, particularly as eBook, database, and/or other forms of electronic media and within the scope of internet services or other online uses or in interactive multimedia production;
- e) To make your Work available in public or closed user groups at the location and time of their choice (for example, eBook use in libraries) as well as reproducing it on monitors or other scanners and to be printed by the user as many times as the user wishes, in whole or in part, including as prepublication or in excerpt;
- f) To reproduce and distribute your Work on any and all data carriers, for example DVD, CD-Rom, flash drive.
- 3.2 <u>Ancillary Rights</u>: You also assign to us, for the purpose of optimally exercising the rights to your Work, the right:
 - a) To translate it into other languages and to use the translation;
 - b) To print it in whole or in part as a prepublication and/or as subsequent reprint, including in newspapers and periodicals (for example in reviews);
 - change in newspapers and periodicals (iot example in reviews),
 c) To publish it in whole or in part in our other publications or publications of another publications in absolution formula.
 - publisher, including in abridged form;d) To produce or license as a paperback, or as a popular or special or reprint edition;
 - e) To reproduce and distribute it in a collected works edition and in compilations, even after 20 years have elapsed since the first publication of the Work;
 - f) To reproduce and distribute it by all other means, including photocopying, photomechanical reprinting, or as Braille embossing;
 - g) Of rendition, including rights to recitation, performances, and broadcast in radio or television media or internet;
 - To transfer it, in full or in part, to sound recordings, image or image-sound recordings as well as the right to their reproduction, distribution, and reproduction to the public;
 - To use it in collections for use in church, school, or instructional settings.
- 3.3 <u>Extension of Rights</u>: Unless otherwise specified in the provisions for contributors in the authors section of our website you grant all usage rights and ancillary rights to us as exclusive rights without any restriction as to content or territory for the duration of the copyright. We may exercise these rights but are under no obligation to do so to the extent described here.
- 3.4 <u>Unknown Types of Use</u>: You grant us the exclusive and permanent rights without any restriction as to content and territory for all forms of media of expression now known or that will be developed in the future. The granting of rights extends to the exercising of rights through us or through the granting of these rights to a third party.
- 3.5 <u>Transferral to Third Parties</u>: You grant us the right to transfer all rights listed here to third parties and/or to license the Work to third parties. We require these rights in order to fulfil certain sales models such as online use through aggregators (platforms that curate content for specific usage by customers and give us a share of the proceeds). We naturally require that all licensees provide appropriate attribution to you, the copyright holder.

4 Open Access

In the case that we have mutually agreed to publish your Work as Open Access, the following conditions apply in addition:

- 4.1 You are free to publish your Work according to a Creative Commons license (https://creativecommons.org), as of a date agreed upon with us. You choose the appropriate license when discussing the contractual details with us (see *Contributor Contract*). You have the choice between:
 - a) CC-BY (Attribution)
 - b) CC-BY-NC-ND (Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives).
- 4.2 We will provide you with the final version of your Work as a PDF file. This is the version that is published Open Access.
- 4.3 We will publish your Work as a freely available eBook on our website under the license you have chosen and which is shown in the *Contributor Contract*.
- 4.4 According to the license stated in 6.1, you are of course free to put the eBook version of your Work on your own home-page, a university or institutional repository, or any website of your choice.

5 Manuscript / Content

5.1 General:

- a) We and the editors are authorized to change your Work after prior discussion with you, or to ask you to make changes in order to maintain the consistency of the series, the volume, or the database.
- b) In addition, to ensure quality of your Work before final acceptance, we are authorized to ask a third party to review the Work. Should revisions be necessary, you will be asked to perform these. Should you be unable or unwilling to do so, we reserve the right to deny acceptance or publication of your Work.
- c) Our customers and readers are interested in the most precise information possible regarding your Work. Please inform us immediately as soon as you become aware that you will not be able to deliver by the date agreed upon in the *Contributor Contract* or that the manuscript deviates by more than 10% of the length agreed upon.
- d) If your Work contains an index, you are required to create an index according to our technical specifications. If the publication date is delayed by more than 6 weeks as a result of index preparation, we reserve the right to publish the Work without an index. In the electronic version of the Work, the full-text search replaces the need for a separate index.
- e) If you cannot adhere to the manuscript length or the submission deadlines agreed upon with you in your *Contributor Contract* (e. g. delayed delivery of the Work), we reserve the right to set a new deadline or to plan a different form of publication. If the second deadline also cannot be kept, we reserve the right to deny publication or to reduce your royalty.

- a) This option is for manuscripts that will be professionally typeset. You submit the manuscript to be typeset as an electronic file, usually in the format docx, tex, rtf, or indd. Formulas and tables should not be anchored in the manuscript as images. In addition, we require a PDF file or a definitive printout for comparison including reproducible copies of illustrations / high-resolution image files.
- b) After the manuscript has been prepared by our typesetter, we provide you with proofs for corrections. In most cases, you will receive proofs in electronic format as a PDF file or via a web-based online proofing system. We ask for your understanding that we generally provide one set of proofs for correction and a second set of proofs for your approval for printing (imprimatur).
- c) The first set of proofs is provided for you to check the manuscript conversion to typesetting. Please check these proofs carefully for any mistakes (e.g., word breaks) that may have occurred during the process. Kindly note that only minor content corrections can be done at this late stage. If the index entries were not already anchored in the manuscript, we will ask you to do this during the first correction stage.
- d) The second set of proofs is simply to check that any corrections marked in the first proof run have been carried out, and for you to provide your approval for printing (imprimatur), if need be under the condition that final corrections be carried out before the work is printed. We will check these final corrections internally in order to ensure punctual publication of your Work. We retain the right to decide when the manuscript is ready to print from a technical and typographical point of view.
- e) We ask for your cooperation in keeping the number of corrections at a reasonable and necessary level. Changes to the Work after the submission of the final manuscript are very costly. We cover the cost of corrections up to two per printed page, and reserve the right to change you if changes made beyond that result in excessive costs and/or if these changes require additional print runs. This does not apply if corrections are required due to errors in the typesetting process or due to new academic findings or legal decisions. <u>Writing and Submitting via a Content Management System (CMS)</u>
- f) Particularly in the case of encyclopedias, dictionaries and reference works, which are often published "ahead of print," we may use a so-called Content Management System (CMS), which is individually configured for each Work.
- g) We provide the CMS to you, and we request that you use it to write and submit your Work. You will receive an invitation to the system and all relevant supporting guidelines and documentation.
- h) Reviews by the series or volume editors or in-house editors as well as manuscript revision and corrections are done directly in the CMS. Please follow the style and content guidelines to avoid unnecessary delays.
- i) At the relevant point in the process, you will be contacted to provide your approval for publication of the content (not the form). Please make only those corrections which are absolutely necessary at this time. Changes to content are no longer possible, as they can no longer be reviewed. If you do not respond to the call for approval by the given deadline, approval will be assumed.
- j) We support your work in the CMS with detailed instructions and guidelines, and are personally available if there are problems. Any decisions regarding bug fixes or other technical issues will be made solely by us.

6 Publication Subsidy / Open Access Fee

- 6.1 A publication subsidy may be required for some works to be paid plus VAT if not mutually agreed in the Contributor Contract.
- 6.2 If we have come to a mutual agreement to publish your Work as Open Access, an Open Access fee is generally required.
- 6.3 The payment of the publication subsidy or the Open Access Fee is generally due upon publication of the work. The publication subsidy or the Open Access fee is not subject to reimbursement.
- 6.4 We will be happy to support you in applying for any outside funding by providing any necessary calculations or paperwork, and will fulfil funder requirements.

7 Free Copies

If you provide us with your e-mail address you can download a PDF of your contribution from our website. The number of free print copies is agreed upon in the contract concluded with your editor. You may acquire further non-German copies of your own Work as well as all book titles from the publisher, including eBooks, at a discount of 30% off the retail price. You are also entitled to a discount of 20% off the retail price of individual subscriptions and single issues of journals. You may not sell free copies or copies purchased at a discount.

8 Subsequent Editions, Revised Editions

- 8.1 A number of factors are relevant when considering a subsequent or revised edition, for example, the economic feasibility of the work, as well as the topicality or the accuracy of the scientific findings.
- 8.2 Should you wish to publish a new edition of your Work, please inform us and we will review your suggestion in light of the criteria above.
- 8.3 Should we decide against publishing a new edition of your Work, we will contact you to discuss alternative options.
- 8.4 Should we decide to publish a new edition of your Work, we will communicate to your our decision and negotiate with you a publication plan. You agree to revise the Work according to the current state of the academic discussion and submit it to us for publication.
- 8.5 Should you not be willing or able to perform the revisions yourself, you may suggest a third party to us. We agree not to reject this suggestion without good reason. If you do not wish to make a suggestion, we are authorized to assign the revision to a third party.
- 8.6 In the case of revision by one or more third party/parties, we are entitled but not obligated to continue to use your name in the title of the Work.

9 Remainders

Because our warehouse capacities are limited, we regularly check if our printed stock can be reduced. Through digitisation and print-on-demand, we can keep the majority of our titles available and in print without keeping physical stock on hand. We reserve the right to pulp or sell any remaining stock at a reduced price.

10 Legal Succession

- 10.1 The legal situation must be defined in case of succession. Our obligations and duties according to the Contributor Contract will transfer for your heirs in full, with the exception of paragraph 11.
- 10.2 In the event of death before the first edition of the Work is completed, we are entitled to withdraw from this agreement with no claims from your heirs. We may take over the partial manuscript and have it completed by a third party, taking your personal rights into account.

11 Termination

- 11.1 We are entitled to terminate the Contributor Contract in writing with immediate effect if our cooperation on the Work cannot be completed as planned, e. g. if you are unwilling or unable to complete the Work. If we have made substantial investments at the time of termination, all rights assigned remain with us. We reserve the right to reclaim any payments already made to you.
- 11.2 You are also entitled to terminate the agreement in writing with immediate effect, e. g. if we are liquidated. In this case, all rights revert back to you.
- 11.3 In the case of termination, we retain the right to continue to distribute any copies of the Work that have already been produced. We may continue to make the electronic version of your Work available on our platform in order to fulfil obligations to customers who have purchased those versions.
- 11.4 If your Work is published as part of a series or as a contribution to a volume or database, we are entitled to terminate the Contributor Contract with immediate effect if the contract with the editor expires or is terminated, or if the series or database has been terminated. In this case, we will do our utmost to publish your Work as a stand-alone volume or to include it in another series.
- 11.5 You agree to forgo your extraordinary termination option according to German law for the non-publication of a contribution in a periodical, e. g. yearbook (§45 Para. 1 Verlagsgesetz).

12 Data Protection

We are committed to the responsible handling and processing of the personal data we collect from you. Details can be found in our data protection policy for authors and editors on our website (<u>https://www.degruyter.com/dg/page/privacy/datenschutzerklrung</u>). A printed copy will be provided to you upon request.

13 General Provisions

- 13.1 Unless otherwise agreed upon, all provisions of this agreement are valid and binding for both your legal successors as well as our legal successors.
- 13.2 Revisions and amendments to the agreement must be made in writing. Oral agreements and declarations have not been made.
- 13.3 Should individual provisions of this agreement become invalid or unenforceable, this shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions. You and we are bound to replace the invalid provision with a valid one that corresponds to the purpose and meaning of the invalid one. The same shall be applicable to any gaps in this agreement.
- 13.4 The laws of the Federal Republic of Germany apply to this agreement, particularly the German Civil Code, German Copyright Law, and German Publishing Law.
- 13.5 Place of fulfilment and jurisdiction is the location of our headquarters in Berlin, Germany, to the extent legally possible.

Version last revised: 18.10.2019

Robert A. Yelle, with Jenny Ponzo Introduction: How to talk about transcendence

1 Rationale for this volume

Why another volume on Transcendence?¹ Hasn't the term been abused and, potentially, rendered useless for a study of religion that aspires to be anthropocentric and properly scientific? Indeed, a volume such as this one bears a special responsibility to give an account of itself, at a time when the study of religion appears to many close to reaching its aim of becoming empirical, or at least critical. Neither those approaches based on the natural and social sciences, nor those that may be grouped loosely under the rubric of "critical theory," would appear to have much use for the category of Transcendence, which smacks of antiquated, crypto-theological God talk. More than a century after Nietzsche declared the "death of God," and demonstrated (again) the all-too-human origins of that which we call religion, it would appear indeed untimely (although not in a good sense) to return to speak again in such terms—would it not?

The gamble taken by this volume is that such skepticism regarding the category of Transcendence is mistaken. It is not only possible but necessary to recuperate this category for a properly anthropocentric study of religion and culture. Indeed, without some such category as Transcendence, we declare that it would be impossible to account for the dimensions of human experience, expression, and behavior that are commonly labeled as religious. Far from being an antiquated and suspect category, Transcendence is arguably an enduring as well as urgent aspect of culture.

To be sure, the vast majority of discussions of Transcendence in the scholarly (as well as popular) literature do appear to restate the emic or confessional perspectives of particular theological systems. These generally do not attempt even to justify the use of the category with reference to any empirically observable phenomenon. Transcendence—paired with its standard complement, Immanence—is taken for granted as a descriptor for whatever is lofty, spiritual, or divine; as such, it is practically used as a synonym for the Christian (or at least

¹ Explanatory note: we use the word 'Transcendence' capitalized to designate the abstract concept of transcendence in general; in other cases, 'transcendence' is used to designate the 'transcendence of' something in particular and is followed by an object.

biblical) God. This locates Transcendence as a near-synonym of the Holy or Sacred, which names what lies beyond and must be kept apart from the Profane, as in Rudolf Otto's (1959 [1917]: 40) concept of the numinous as "wholly other" (see also De Nys 2009: 17). The great historian of religion of the last generation, Mircea Eliade (1959: 11-12), argued that Transcendence was a human constant or at least a perennial possibility, as evidenced through the experience of a *hieropha*ny-a 'showing of the sacred'-that ruptures profane existence. Hence his naming of our species as *Homo religiosus*. As much as we would like to think of ourselves as fully secular or profane, we are, according to this view, never more than a few steps removed from an encounter with Transcendence. Eliade spoke frequently of peak experiences in religious traditions: e.g., of Hindu yoga as the quest for "immortality and freedom" (1969), meaning a transcendence of the ordinary limits of the human condition, especially of our limited lifespan. Eliade (1969: 326-30) viewed such experiences as parallels of shamanic techniques of 'ecstasy'-a term that means literally 'standing outside' one's self-as represented by magical flight. Going above or beyond-as in the case of the otherworldly journeys commonly reported by shamans and similar figures—is an obvious metaphor for (self-)transcendence.

An entire generation (or more) has passed since Eliade's day, and scholars of religion have grown increasingly skeptical of the idea that there may be any universals such as Transcendence that define a common human religious experience. Such approaches as Eliade's have been dismissed as phenomenological, crypto-theological, or at any rate insufficiently critical, and have largely been abandoned by more forward-looking theorists. And indeed, we offer a skeptical appraisal of such approaches below. However, after all the critiques have been addressed, we do not think that that the category of Transcendence vanishes into nothingness. Like the smoke from the altar upon which a burnt offering ('olah) has been consumed, it rises into the air, marking a passage between the Here-and-Now and the Beyond (or Above). Something remains. The metaphor, which is based in the concrete world of materiality, points beyond itself to Something (or Somewhere) Else, and thereby figures a relation to something conceived or imagined as Transcendent. This remains true whether or not there is any God in heaven to receive such an offering. One of the shared convictions of the contributors to this volume—as different as their respective positions might be-is that Transcendence is above all a category of relation, rather than a thing-in-itself. There is no going back to the claim that Transcendence (or the Sacred, or God) is sui generis, utterly unique and incomparable. The very definition of Transcendence in relational terms, as for example the opposite of the Immanent, underscores this interdependence. Transcendence is always figurative, never realized or actual. Its full realization would even be a disappointment, since part of what Charles Taylor calls the "fullness" of Transcendence (see below) is the tang or sting of its absence in the Here-and-Now.

The God of monotheism has been identified as the paragon of the most radical form of Transcendence. This is the God of miracles, and of divine commands, who may be approached only on a mountaintop, and only by Moses, under pain of death. Iconoclasm, or the prohibition against the representation of the divine, may be partly a corollary of God's Transcendence. Even speaking His proper name became taboo. However, this happened gradually, given the traces of anthropomorphism that remain in the Bible. Furthermore, the ban on representation, or at least on relation, cannot be total. Otherwise God would be purely a deus absconditus or 'hidden god,' and there would be no further story to tell. Even when Transcendence is defined in purely negative terms—as in the Upanisadic refrain concerning Brahman, which is described as "neither this nor that" (*neti*, *neti*)—it retains some relation to the world. The same is true of the Buddhist equivalent, *nirvāna* (see Hick 2010: 164–66). We are in the domain of apophatic mysticism, as in the case of Pseudo-Dionysius (discussed briefly by Gustavo Benavides in his contribution), as well as, potentially, of the Hebrew Bible when describing the same God much earlier.

Etymology is far from dispositive. However, in this case it can help to reinforce the point. 'Transcendence' is a word with a long history, which is used today in many contexts and with many meanings. Originally from a Latin root, *trans-* + *scandare*, meaning 'to climb above or beyond,' the word has been applied far beyond its original etymological context and usages (see Ugo Volli's essay in this volume). O'Rourke (2010: 2) notes that "The dictionary translates the Latin verb transcendo with a variety of related terms such as 'to climb,' 'pass,' 'cross,' 'step over,' 'overstep,' 'surmount,' 'excel,' 'exceed,' 'surpass.' Basic to its meaning are the notions of 'crossing over' or 'going beyond'[...]." 'Transcendence' is used in many ways, and with many shades of meaning, in the present volume. As a first attempt at definition, however, we may note here that what most of these meanings share is the gesture or movement of 'going beyond' some limitation, definite border, or condition of finitude. In this most general and abstract sense, Transcendence plays a structural role in many systems, as figuring what exceeds such limit, border, or finite condition (and which therefore may be limitless or infinite). The gesture of stepping beyond or outside characterizes prophetic critique, holy ground, or the desert that the Israelites crossed during the Exodus. Although many of its meanings are hardly religious, Transcendence appears to be bound closely with certain characteristically 'religious' ideas: the idea of the Infinite, of a High God (or deus absconditus), of immortality, of the ineffable: the list goes on and on. Indeed, without such a category, it would be difficult if not impossible to imagine religion at all.

As one of us pleaded earlier, it is high time we scholars of religion reckoned again with Transcendence, including the irruptive or antinomian aspects of religion:

[T]he data of religious studies is replete with an almost infinite set of exceptional occurrences, ruptures, outbursts, and deviations. Religion includes not only the institutions that are part of and reinforce the broader social order, but also individual and collective acts that protest, dissent from, or attack that order. [...] Indeed, the history of religions could be written in terms of such acts of transgression: the starving Buddha, crucified Christ, paralyzed Socrates (possessed by his *daimon*); Tantric libertines, orgiastic rites, Bacchantes, the self-mutilated devotees of Cybele, the bloody sacrifice of the taurobolium; various movements of iconoclasm (Egyptian [*Akhenaten*], Jewish, Islamic, Byzantine, Protestant); a host of millennial and apocalyptic movements; festivals such as Carnival, etc. (Yelle 2010: 193-19; see also Yelle 2019: 13)

The foregoing observations suggest the need to take seriously the category of Transcendence as a rubric for cross-cultural analysis, not only of religion but of society more broadly. Recognizing this need, the contributors to this volume have engaged in an interdisciplinary and exploratory approach to Transcendence and applied a variety of sociological, semiotic, historical, anthropological, and philosophical methods. The following is a true experiment in the sense that no claim of final success nor completeness is implied. Rather the idea has been to review some of the numerous notions and phenomena that have been gathered under the rubric of Transcendence with the goal of understanding these a little better. In the course of this effort, various new groupings, some of them perhaps quite unexpected, are tried out, as are different terminological approaches that may, in the future, eventually contribute to a more adequate metalanguage for Transcendence. All of these efforts build from a common acknowledgment that Transcendence is a human phenomenon, and accordingly reject purely confessional and parochial approaches while taking seriously the various claims and behavioral expressions of traditions in which Transcendence may have been understood in theological terms.

2 Review of theories of transcendence

2.1 Theological approaches to transcendence

Unlike the proper name of God, Transcendence appears to have one major advantage: namely, that it may be more useful as a category for cross-cultural analvsis, or at least for comparative theology or ecumenical God-talk. For this very reason, Hick (2010: 162) substitutes this and other synonyms for 'God': "Terms commonly used are Ultimate Reality, the Ultimate, the Transcendent and, less commonly, the word that I have myself introduced, the Real." However, Transcendence, at least in its more specific Christian theological formulations, can scarcely be assumed to be a universal feature of the human landscape. This difficulty has not prevented many scholars of religion from attempting to universalize covertly Christian (or biblical) understandings.² One need only recall the nineteenth-century debates over whether monotheism (with its concept of one, radically transcendent deity) or polytheism (with its pantheon of gods and goddesses, with whom worshippers could generally establish more intimate and immanent relations through ritual exchange) was the first and therefore most authentic form of religion. Friedrich Max Müller, who participated in these debates, and who generally argued in favor of the priority of monotheism, aimed at an ecumenical account of religion, in which the "experience of the Infinite" grounded the human experience of the divine (Yelle 2013: 50-55). The rest was just metaphors. One of the most common metaphors was the Heavens, or the celestial bodies within them. The subsequent and related debate over whether 'High Gods' existed in 'primitive religions' such as those in Africa (as affirmed by Father Wilhelm Schmidt's [1912–1955] theory of Urmonotheismus), now appears in retrospect as a belated form of Christian apologetics, a latterday praeparatio evangelica. If parallels for the concept of a High God (or 'hidden God,' deus absconditus, who in a number of African mythologies had withdrawn into the Sky) could be identified in non-Christian cultures, then perhaps this would demonstrate the logical necessity for something like the idea of a biblical God; and this idea in turn, reinforced by repetition across different cultures, might be as close as one could come to actual evidence for what could not, by definition, be known directly. Science, or at least a kind of anthropology,

² See O'Rourke (2019: 1): "Religion in its myriad manifestations throughout history is concerned with the Transcendent, i.e. a being enthroned beyond the realm of finite human experience, invariably called God."

could be reconciled with Faith, defined as "the assurance of things hoped for, the conviction of things not seen" (Hebrews 11:1, RSV).

With some exceptions, such as the sociological debates regarding new forms of religion that emerged during the 'Axial Age' (see below), discussion of Transcendence appears to have receded from view for those of us who aim at an anthropocentric study of religion, as a purely human phenomenon. Meanwhile, the spate of works that continue to talk about Transcendence in phenomenological or confessional terms within the fields of theology and theology-adjacent philosophy of religion, continues to grow. Here we find a persistent pairing of Transcendence with Immanence (see, e.g., De Nys 2009: 20; Dalferth, Bühler, and Hunziker 2015: ix–xi; Dalferth 2015; Van Rooyen 2018: 1, 4). Dalferth (2012: 153) states that the mutual implication of these terms is "obvious: 'transcendence' is one term of a pair that always has to be considered together: *transcendence/immanence*. [...] you cannot use the one without implying the other." Another example is Charles Hartshorne's article on "Transcendence and Immanence" for the first edition of the *Encyclopedia of Religion* (1987), which was reprinted in the second edition with a revised bibliography (Hartshorne 2005).

Against such approaches, Johannes Zachhuber (2018) has argued that the ubiquity of the binary 'Transcendence vs. Immanence' is of recent origin, being traceable to nineteenth-century German theological and philosophical discourses following Immanuel Kant, whose own usage connected *Transcendenz* with mysticism, enthusiasm (*Schwärmerei*), and what was inaccessible to cognition.³ Later German scholars often identified Transcendence with religion *tout court*. Zachhuber concludes:

Today, the binary of transcendence and immanence has become one of the most widely used and most evocative markers of philosophical and religious belief systems. Religious believers and theologians criticize each other for their lack of a proper acknowledgment of transcendence; secularists cite their sole reliance on the immanence of natural laws as proof for the superiority of their worldview; scholars take for granted that these two terms can be historically applied to individual and communal belief systems of the past. (Zachhuber 2018: 180)

Zachhuber offers important historical contextualization of the Transcendence-Immanence binary. However, the absence of a word does not necessarily imply the absence of the concept; and as we shall see, many scholars, including not

³ On Kant's use of "transcendental," see O'Rourke (2019: 7–8). This term, which had a subsequent history in specialized philosophical traditions, will not be discussed further here.

only theologians and philosophers but also sociologists and semioticians, have found this binary useful for describing aspects of the human condition.

An influential example is Charles Taylor, who uses this binary quite freely (see also Dalferth 2015: 10). Taylor conflates Transcendence with religion in general⁴, but more specifically with "the transcendent God" (Taylor 2007: 20), in opposition to the "Immanent Frame" that characterizes our Secular Age. Although he characterizes the distinction between Transcendence and Immanence as a complementarity, a duality that remained in balance so long as traditional Christianity was hegemonic (see Taylor 2007: 145)—as in the case of the second person of the Holy Trinity, who incarnated the divine Word, thereby making Transcendence immanent—Taylor also refers to this as one of "certain distinctions we make today" (13). So the polarity is always there; it is just a question of whether we can recognize it and maintain the appropriate equipoise between the two poles.

Taylor's strong claim that the experience of Transcendence is our default mode as humans emerges clearly toward the end of the book: "If I am right that our sense of fullness is a reflection of transcendent reality (which for me is the God of Abraham), and that all people have a sense of fullness, then there is no absolute point zero" (2007: 769).⁵ Then how is it that the Immanent Frame arose to begin with? Taylor describes this as a "self-sufficient immanent order that [...] can be envisaged without reference to God [... and] can thus slough off the transcendent [...]" (543). Here Taylor identifies the Immanent Frame with the post-nominalist or deist conception of the world as a lawful order, which foreclosed interventions from outside such as the miracle. The Christian idea of a creation *ex nihilo*, which depended on divine fiat—on an express command, 'Let there be light!'-meant to some that God preexisted the world, which he brought into being through his own power, in the form of a speech act. Such episodes contributed to the systematic elaboration of the idea of God as a sovereign who rules through divine command, and who exists beyond the capacity of human beings to influence or motivate. As Taylor notes, it is this God, who works by miracles and other ruptures in the natural and moral order, who had to vanish in order for the Immanent Frame to be born: "The mechanical outlook which splits nature from supernature voids all this mystery [...] For the material-

⁴ See Taylor (2007: 20): "So 'religion' for our purposes can be defined in terms of 'transcendence' [...]."

⁵ Such claims appear to vindicate Jonathan Sheehan's (2010) argument that Christianity, or rather a specific version of Roman Catholicism, remains the implicit reference point and normative ideal for Taylor's account of secularism, such that the latter can never be evaluated on its own terms. This is why Sheehan labels Taylor's account a form of theological "apologetics."

ist, [...] anything transcendent is excluded by 'science'" (547). A certain kind of mechanistic philosophy, combined perhaps with a mistaken idea regarding what miracles truly represent—as an openness to wonder or what Taylor here calls "fullness"—conspired to shut the doors to our perception of the Beyond.⁶ Nom-inalism gave way to deism, and eventually to secularism.

Taylor's evident point of reference is a certain understanding of pre-Reformation Roman Catholicism. As Max Weber argued already, it is undoubtedly the case in simple historical terms that post-Reformation Christianity, and the more radical Enlightenment that followed, aimed to "disenchant" the world by foreclosing miraculous ruptures and whatever else could not be explained in terms of reason or natural law (Yelle 2020). Josef Bengtson (2015: 1) states: "Central to the story of how the Western world became modern has been a certain narrative of how we got rid of transcendence and religion, and became both rational and secular in the process." Among such prohibited and irruptive events was the "divine command," a form of law promulgated through revelation or fiat and apparently incompatible with utilitarian calculations of well-being (Yelle 2019: 10-11, 38-44). Taylor adverts to this background when he swears allegiance to the "God of Abraham"-who by commanding the sacrifice of Isaac perpetrated one of the most (in)famous such commands⁷-and when he defines Transcendence in ethical terms, as a devotion to ends that go beyond mere human flourishing (Taylor 2007: 20; see also De Nys 2009: 27). Although such an ethic may sound benevolent, even praiseworthy, we should recall that these ends included the sacrifice of others, as well as of one's self: murder as well as martyrdom. It was, indeed, for such reasons that, during the Wars of Religion, leading the vanguard of the radical Enlightenment, Thomas Hobbes (1994 [1651]: chap. 32, §9 and chap. 37) ridiculed and outlawed prophecy and (most) miracles. Such phenomena contributed to the dangerous and seditious phenomenon of 'enthusiasm,' or what Germans called Schwärmerei. This was the immediate context for both deism and disenchantment. Hobbes also attacked the Catholic idea of transubstantiation (1994: chap. 8, §27), which is still cited by many theologians as a case of transformation and Transcendence (e.g., Otto 1959: 85). In all of this, Hobbes followed (and extended) major tendencies of Ref-

⁶ My allusion to Aldous Huxley (1954) is deliberate; one strain of contemporary theory about Transcendence focuses on the analogy between drug use and religious experience. See Partridge (2018).

⁷ See also Seligman (2000: 10): "transcendence is the most radical form of heteronomy, with heteronomy understood as [being] subject to the authority of another, to an external law." His formulation connects the decline of Transcendence with the triumph of autonomy, in Kant's sense. Seligman (2000: 55–56) mentions the Binding of Isaac as an example of Transcendence.

ormation theology. Knowing this history does not suggest that we should wish automatically to repeat it. However, it does suggest that Transcendence may remain a problem for us, in the dual sense of marking a loss, a sense of absence and nostalgia, as well as signaling a danger, should we wish to return such emptiness to "fullness," in Taylor's usage.

2.2 Sociological approaches to transcendence

Taylor's account connects the decline if not disappearance of Transcendence with the historical processes of secularization and the "disenchantment of the world," as described earlier by the German sociologist Max Weber (Yelle 2020; Yelle and Trein 2020). Weber associated the "routinization of charisma" and the rise of calculability with modernization. European civilization supposedly had embraced more rational forms of social organization and cultural expression, from the economy to the arts:

Only in the West does science exist at a stage of development which we recognize to-day as valid. [...] A structure like the canon law is known only in the West. A similar statement is true of art. Polyphonic music of various kinds has been widely distributed over the earth. [...] But rational harmonious music, both counterpoint and harmony, formation of the tone material on the basis of three triads with the harmonic third; our chromatics and enharmonics, not interpreted in terms of space, but, since the Renaissance, of harmony; our or chestra [...]; our system of notation, which has made possible the composition and production of modern musical works [...] all these things are known only in the Occident [...]. In architecture, pointed arches have been used elsewhere as a means of decoration [...]. But the rational use of the Gothic vault as a means of distributing pressure and of roofing spaces of all forms, and above all as the constructive principles of great monumental buildings and the foundation of a style extending to sculpture and painting, such as that created by our Middle Ages, does not occur elsewhere. (Weber 1958: 13–15)

Leaving aside the strongly ethnocentric nature of such claims, what is interesting about them is that some of the phenomena that Weber adduced as examples of rationalization might be better interpreted as illustrations of Transcendence. This could be argued of such musical moments as the shift to a higher key, or the resolution that occurs with the return to the tonic at the end of a piece, as well as of the idea of a greater harmony, especially between human and divine, that has often been attributed to religious music in Christian traditions (see Wuidar 2019). The case of the Gothic cathedral is even clearer in this regard, as the innovation of the flying buttress was not for its own sake, but instead is what enabled the builders to raise the nave and vault higher and higher, in a gesture of approach to God. Indeed, what enabled first "disenchantment" and then "rationalization" was supposedly the idea of a radically transcendent deity who exceeded all attempts at magical manipulation, an idea first developed in ancient Israelite monotheism (Weber 1958: 105). Later scholars have emphasized the paradox that such a nominalist idea of God eventually evacuated the world of miracles and mystery, clearing the path for science (Yelle 2019: 66-67).

Indeed, some of the earliest expressions of the idea of Transcendence in religion were based on the logic of height, or the notion that God is distant-perhaps on a mountain, such as Sinai, or on the "high places" (*bamoth*) that served as sites for worship in ancient Israelite religion before being rejected as idolatrous and replaced by the centralization of worship in Jerusalem, at the Temple Mount. The Egyptian pyramids and similarly-shaped Mayan temples appear to have participated in the same logic, which was more recently reprised in Romantic notions of the sublime as experienced through the encounter with mountains, cataracts, and vast distances, as Gustavo Benavides notes in his chapter for this volume. Such symbols earlier reinforced the idea of God as "wholly other" and potentially terrifying, as articulated in Rudolf Otto's phenomenology (1959: 81-86). It is striking, then, to find Weber presenting the Gothic cathedral as characteristic of the progressive development of "Western" rationality. Even the (vanished) Twin Towers of the World Trade Center possessed a more than merely functional value, as their destroyers also understood. This juxtaposition suggests that our modern, "disenchanted" society may also have its forms of Transcendence, even if these have been displaced or relocated. Taylor may be right after all.

While most common in theological approaches, the category of Transcendence never fully disappeared in the social sciences. After Weber, two theorists in the German sociological tradition, Thomas Luckmann (1967) and Niklas Luhmann (1996; 2002; 2013), drew upon the category in elaborating their respective accounts of society. Luckmann argued that the "great transcendences" of earlier salvation religions have indeed declined with secularization. This entailed the loss of a hegemonic, shared worldview based on traditional Christianity and the differentiation as well as privatization of religion. However, the "little" and "intermediate" transcendences represented by our individual consciousness of continuity and by our collective experience of society remain. Katharina Wilkens's chapter in this volume applies such ideas in relation to kibuki possession rituals in Madagascar. Like Luckmann (1967: 128), Luhmann also invoked the structural opposition between Transcendence and Immanence. For Luhmann, the very idea of an ending, such as death, involves the necessary contradiction of imagining something that lies beyond this border (2002: 51): "For the description of the two values of the specifically religious code, the distinction between immanence and transcendence is most often suitable. One can then also say that a communication is religious when it regards the immanent from the standpoint of the transcendent" (2002: 77, trans. RY). Luhmann's systems-theoretical or semiotic approach is developed further in this volume by Martin Lehnert in relation to the Mahāyāna Buddhist treatment of the distinction between *nirvāņa* and *samsāra*; and by Volkhard Krech, who adopts Luhmann's thesis that the Transcendence-Immanence distinction is characteristic of the religious code.

As noted above, another domain of sociological theory where the category of Transcendence has been deployed is in the elaboration of Karl Jaspers's (1953 [1949]) idea of an "Axial Age," in which several major religions of salvation appeared. Jaspers identified the key development as "man's reaching out beyond himself by growing aware of himself within the whole of being" (Jaspers 1953: 4), i.e. as the discovery of Transcendence. Benjamin Schwartz (1975: 3) subsequently defined the Axial Age as characterized by the "strain toward transcendence" (see also Eisenstadt 1982). This tendency supposedly characterized not only biblical but also Asian traditions, including Buddhism (Madsen 2012; Obeyesekere 2012; Collins 1998: 20-25; cf. Pollock 2012). Although the idea of an "Axial Age" remains difficult to pin down, and should not be identified too closely with a particular historical moment, it remains generative for cross-cultural accounts of the emergence of complex social orders that incorporated modes of self-critique. Bellah and Joas (2012) offers a good synthesis of such an approach. Recently, Alan Strathern (2019) has drawn on such theories in order to distinguish between the mode of "transcendentalism" that emerged during the Axial Age and the mode of "immanentism" that preceded it. Strathern's immanentism coincides with Jan Assmann's (2010) "cosmotheism," a stage when religion and politics were fused in the figure of the sacred king or Pharaoh. Strathern's "transcendentalism" corresponds to the phase in which religion has separated from such an order sufficiently to critique it in ethical terms; Assmann's chief example is the Exodus from Egypt, which he argues contributed to the first separation between the religious and the political domains. In the present volume, Seth Abrutyn pursues such approaches in order to account for the role of spiritual entrepreneurs such as Jesus or the Buddha in breaking the monopoly on Transcendence that existed under the first imperial formations in the ancient Near East and elsewhere, and in making Transcendence (in the form of axial or salvation religions) available to the people.

Another mode of Transcendence that has received increasing attention in recent years is the definition of sovereignty as radically transcendent of any existing legal order (see Buijs 2012; Yelle 2019). The very quality of "sovereignty" often is defined in opposition to "legality," as exemplified by the traditional prerogatives of the ruler to grant equitable relief; to suspend the laws in a state of emergency; to issue pardons, whether or not these are deserved; and to command violence, even arbitrary killings, at least on exceptional occasions such as wars but also, in many cultures, for no special reason at all. Nearly a century ago, the German jurist Carl Schmitt (1985 [1922]) claimed that the sovereign decides on "the state of exception" (Ausnahmezustand, in English, 'state of emergency' or 'martial law') in which the law is suspended. Because such a state of exception cannot be prescribed or declared in advance within any given system of legal rules, it marks sovereignty as exceeding any normative order. Schmitt reprised older definitions of sovereignty in which the king was above the law (rex supra legem), or was himself a living law (lex animata, nomos empsychos). This was part of the theological notion of the divine right of kings, in which the earthly sovereign mirrored the ability of an omnipotent God to break or suspend the laws of nature by performing miracles. The irruptive and transcendent nature of the ruler was long expressed through ritual taboos surrounding the person of the sovereign, by his performance of miracles such as the "Healing Touch" (see Yelle 2020: 135-36), and by his acts of arbitrary violence, which have been documented extensively by both historians and anthropologists. Hence Schmitt's argument that debates over miraculous sovereigns and exceptional states always reflect a particular "political theology" (Schmitt 1985: 36).

A number of scholars have identified an affinity between such states of exception and the category of the "holy" or "sacred" (Agamben 1998; Benavides 2004; Sherwood 2008; Yelle 2010, 2019). Recent attention to the phenomenon of sacred kingship in anthropology and history, particularly as illustrated by the idea of the "stranger king" whose arrival from the Beyond is accompanied by criminal or bizarre behavior, illustrates an ongoing fascination with the transcendent power of sovereignty: "Any [...] analysis [of sacred kingship] would have to begin with the notion of transcendence: that in order to become the constituting principle of society, a sovereign has to stand outside it" (Graeber and Sahlins 2017: 74). The old opposition between Transcendence and Immanence seems to be mirrored in such transgressive or antinomian models of sovereign behavior, which gesture at something beyond an existing legal order. Even now some vestiges of this absolute power remain in the executive. Yet with the transition from divine right kingship to democratic republics based on popular sovereignty, much of the sacred and transcendent authority and power of the monarch was transferred to the people.

Within the sociology of religions, perhaps no theorist has done more than Émile Durkheim to contribute to the identification of religion with society as a whole, or with the group, conceived as possessing an almost organic nature. Durkheim (1995: 436-37) argued that society was the source for all of our "collective representations," including especially those totems that were invested with vital force and significance on occasions of collective effervescence, period-

ic rites during which individuals experienced the group as a living, breathing reality, as something larger than themselves (379). Seth Abrutyn's chapter in this volume follows up on these observations. One model for Durkheim, as well as for many other French intellectuals in the nineteenth century and beyond, was the festivals of the French Revolution, in which boundaries blurred, not only between joy and violence, between the individual and the group, but also between social order and the religious event. On such occasions, the individual gets outside of her- or himself, and becomes merged within a larger whole. Following Durkheim, Roger Caillois (1980: 115–16, 125) described anarchic or antinomian festivals as transgressive forms of the sacred; and Victor Turner (1969) famously labeled such festivals as moments of "anti-structure." Such exuberant festivals appear to converge with the state of emergency or the interregnum in a manner that highlights the transcendent and occasionally dangerous nature of sovereignty (Agamben 2005: 65–73). In popular festivals of transgression, which often converge with revolutionary moments, the antinomianism formerly attributed to the sovereign is matched by the anarchic violence of the crowd.

Despite Pitirim Sorokin's (1928: 463-80) critique of the unscientific nature of the organic metaphor for society used by Durkheim (and many others), the fact remains that our society could scarcely function *without* some such idea of the collective as a whole that exceeds the sum of its parts. Historians have pointed to the continuities in representations of society as a body politic, representations that, in European society, have antecedents in the idea of the church as a mystical body headed by Christ. As Ernst Kantorowicz (1957) showed, this idea was transferred to the notion that the King (or Queen) served as head of the state, conceived as analogous to the Church as a corpus mysticum. This was further related to the idea of the king as "above the law" (Kantorowicz 1957: 143–64), which has been noted already. Such ideas and modes of representation have continued into modernity, where they provide the basis for the legal fiction of a corporation that exists above and beyond its individual members (or shareholders). Kantorowicz, following such earlier scholars as Frederic William Maitland (1936), traced how such legal fictions served the very practical function of allowing a corporation to survive beyond the death of an officeholder. We can see readily how such ideas intersected with Durkheim's model of collective representations, although he did not insist that the people must be represented by a king. All such models of society, which are hardly the product of theoretical imagination alone, imply the capacity of human beings to imagine something larger than themselves, and to plan for the future, extending to a kind of (institutional) immortality. Some form of Transcendence must take on a life of its own in order for complex societies to function at all.

The idea of a church, state, or business corporation as a living, breathing entity converges with the question of the "soul," conceived as something separate and apart from the body that contains it. The idea of personal continuity after death is one of the oldest religious ideas; it even served as the basis for E. B. Tylor's (1871) theory that religion begins with animism, or the belief in spirits, who are usually the dead ancestors of the tribe. Such forms of Transcendence are ubiquitous, at least in our imagination; they appear whenever we speak of ourselves in the past or future tense, for example. Yet where is the "Ghost in the Machine," as Gilbert Ryle (1949) termed it? Descartes answered, in the pineal gland; and scientists and philosophers today try to pinpoint through neural experiments where the soul or consciousness might reside. Embracing a mechanistic philosophy in the seventeenth century, Hobbes (1994: chap. 46, §19) already criticized all such ideas of a "separated soul" that exists apart from (i.e. above and beyond) the body. He argued that even terms for supposedly "incorporeal beings" refer in the Bible to material entities: 'spirit' means wind or breath, and 'angel' a messenger (Hobbes 1994: chap. 34). A quarter millennium later, James George Frazer, aiming to debunk the magical thinking that he believed underlay not only primitive religion but also Christianity, recounted many fairy tales of the "external soul" as residing in some object outside of the body, and surviving its demise (Frazer 1951: 773-802). According to Frazer, the myths of the resurrection of the god depended on this idea. Without the idea of a soul, indeed, the whole edifice of religion might collapse.

2.3 Semiotic Approaches to Transcendence

The notion of a corporation, like that of a soul or even of an individual agent beyond its component parts, depends upon some capacity to represent Transcendence. The very ability to signify what is absent—to recall and re-present, as well as to project into the future—is arguably a function uniquely associated with human beings, at least when we consider the special work done by language in this regard. Rather than living, as many other animals do, "in the moment," humans inhabit webs of meaning, imagination, representation, and memory. And this is also true for religious behaviors, which are again arguably unique to humans. The beginnings of symbolic behavior among human beings coincide with the beginnings of religious expression. Prehistoric grave deposits, whether red ochre powder or utilitarian objects, suggest a concept of an afterlife that represents either a transformative rebirth or a continuity of this-worldly existence.

Some semioticians have pursued the ways in which Transcendence is figured in narratives of conversion or defined through or against material objects, such as icons or relics, as possible sites for Transcendence, or conversely of Immanence and sacred presence (Leone 2014; Leone and Parmentier 2014). Indeed, the cultural elaboration of the notion of Transcendence has an evident semiotic character. According to Leone,

there is no representation of transcendence without semiosis [...]. "Transcendence can [...] be intuited [...] as the light that is promised beyond the screen of a material, immanent, actual sign. The paradox is that nothing promises this light to us if not the sign itself. The materiality of the representamen is what both invites us to go beyond it and what prevents us from doing so. (2014a: S49–S50).

Studying expressions of Transcendence means studying signs, figurative representations (including aniconic ones), and narratives: in other words, semiosis. The fact that Transcendence is relational (as "transcendence of" something) links the category to semiotics at a fundamental level. Semiotics has long recognized that signs have value only in relation, and is therefore automatically attuned to the kind of systems-theoretical approach required to grasp something as slippery as Transcendence. Moreover, it is the nature of signs to represent the absent (Eco 1975; see discussion in Volkhard Krech's chapter below), which applies even to the hidden deity (*deus absconditus*). Signs stand in for referents that are more or less accessible, and in some instances may not even exist. Accordingly, Leone and Parmentier (2014: S2) proposed to analyse

the semiotic mechanisms and consequences of efforts to represent, in the double sense of *standing in place* of something that is absent and *making present again* that which was previously absent, the 'beyond' in some perceptible or imaginable medium while maintaining, at the same time, an ideological (theological or philosophical) stance that these transcendent objects (beings, deities, powers, ideals, universals) by the definition of their very natures cannot be so represented—because they are, on the one hand, beyond knowing and, on the other hand, anchored in an utterly separate realm.

When we turn to consider the various "semiotic ideologies" that have enforced particular normative regimes of representation in a given culture, the approach or attitude of different traditions has often focused precisely on the issue of Transcendence. Thus, Protestant convictions that the deity is transcendent informed various iconoclastic attacks against plastic, pictorial, and even linguistic images, because these cannot contain or limit the deity (Keane 2007; Yelle 2013). Earlier scholarly debates suggested that the Buddha was represented initially through signs of his absence—a wheel, an empty throne, or a footprint—raising the possibility that this tradition, as well, adopted a form of aniconism. As both Gustavo Benavides and Martin Lehnert note in their respective chapters, the Buddha was

also called the *Tathāgata*, or 'Thus-Gone One,' a title that emphasized his absence. Such ideas are evidently not limited to biblical traditions.

Given the problematic legacy of discussions of Transcendence in the study of religion and adjacent disciplines, it is no wonder that a younger, more skeptical generation of scholars has chosen to avoid the term entirely, or even attempted to delete it from our theoretical vocabulary. Thus, Kocku von Stuckrad has argued in favor of a "communicative turn" in the study of religion, exemplified by the method of discourse analysis:

Religious scholars [sic] should no longer scrutinize religions as belief-systems but as systems of communication and shared action. Instead of trying to understand the believers' inner states of mind—which, in fact, fully escape scholarly verification—the only thing religious studies should be interested in is analyzing the *public* appearance of religious propositions. *Consequently, we have to give up normative assumptions about the transcendent*. Those phenomenological approaches that try to gain knowledge about the invisible or the existence of such as the 'superhuman' or the 'holy' from scrutinizing the visible world are, as foundation of our methodology, definitely deceptive. (von Stuckrad 2003: 268; emphasis added)

Von Stuckrad reprises, to some extent, the move of the radical Enlightenment to eliminate metaphysical language referring to inaccessible or non-observable beings or qualities (see Yelle 2013: chap. 2). He indicates that the method of 'brack-eting' common in phenomenological approaches, which takes claims of Transcendence at face value—e.g. as first-person reports of religious experiences— is fatally flawed. In fact, all we have is words, all the way down. These words are not merely random, however; they are patterned, social, rule-governed forms of human behavior, that provide insight into how a particular discursive community talks about (imagines, fashions) itself, as a culture. From this perspective, the first answer to the question, "What is God (or Transcendence)?", is that this is a word, like any other, which achieves currency and meaning by being used in particular ways.

So far, most of us would probably agree with von Stuckrad. However, the ghost of Transcendence may not be so easy to exorcize, even when we focus our attention on communication rather than on 'the thing in itself.' In this volume are contributions from Volkhard Krech and Massimo Leone, each of whom argues that Transcendence is in some way built into semiosis or communication, at least of the religious variety. Krech argues that "religion is the kind of semiosis that signifies everything on the basis of the distinction between immanence and transcendence while ultimately coping with undetermined contingency" (see also Krech 2016). As noted above, he is drawing partly on Luhmann's description of the religious code, but also on Charles Sanders Peirce's theory

of the sign, which allows, through its recursiveness, a form of triangulation on Transcendence, Whereas Krech identifies religion as a special, albeit widespread form of communication, Leone comes closer to arguing that all semiotics, in principle, works by means of processes that imply or depend upon some idea of Transcendence. He announces his ambition to "rearticulat[e] semiotics and communication studies as a 'science of the beyond.'" The analogy, or potential convergence, between religion and communication depends partly upon the fact that, like the opposition between Transcendence and Immanence, signification works by means of opposition. Structuralist semiotics has argued this point most consistently. Not only must there be a "beyond" in order for there to be a "here," an Other for there to exist a Self, but the mediation between the two that is achieved through semiosis implies the possibility of access to the Beyond, even if this takes place only figuratively. Moreover, the very condition that requires recourse to the sign-namely, the absence of that object to which it refers-would seem to demonstrate our capacity to envision what lies outside our reach, to remember a past and imagine a future. Leone poses the question: "am I thinking of religion in semiotic terms, or I am thinking of semiotics in religious terms?" The question is posed rhetorically, of course; he means to imply that there is a natural, or at least a cognitive, basis for Transcendence. This is harder to refute than some earlier claims that Transcendence is a part of the human condition. We see that, precisely when it comes to semiotics, communication, or discourse, the ghost of Transcendence may not be as easy to dispel as von Stuckrad believes.8

⁸ Indeed, even von Stuckrad appears, in a more recent publication, to invoke something like the idea of Transcendence (though without using the word) when he describes the idea of the 'Third' as a category that is capable of mediating between binary opposites: "Discursive approaches are skeptical of [...] binary constructions[... and] are themselves discursive materializations of questions that have been raised with reference to binary models of interpretation, such as true and false, insider and outsider, or culture and nature. The twentieth century has seen a fundamental break with these binaries [...]. Self-consciously presented as 'a new paradigm in cultural studies,' the notion of *the third* has recently gained influence as a new way to think beyond the binaries and to include the 'in-between' as [a] significant characteristic of contemporary culture" (von Stuckrad 2014: 19). Von Stuckrad's 'Third' sounds a bit like the Hegelian synthesis. In any case, it lies beyond the limits of binary thinking. Dare we call it a postmodern form of the Transcendent? The contemporary faith in the ability to refashion one's self so as to escape all such dichotomies, such as e.g. gender binaries, seems to be the reference here. For a critique of this faith as a (possibly misrecognized) form of Transcendence, see the conclusion to Gustavo Benavides's essay in this volume.

3 This Volume

"Going above or beyond" (something, but what, precisely?) is, as noted above, a common denominator of the various uses of Transcendence in this volume. But if we think we know already where this "Great Chain of Being" ends-with the beatific vision of God, as depicted at the end of Dante's *Commedia*—where, precisely, does it begin? In the longest chapter of this volume, Gustavo Benavides offers a sweeping account of Transcendence that connects this phenomenon to the material and biological bases of human development. Benavides traces the various ways in which Transcendence is anchored in the very physiology of the human condition-from chewing, ruminating, digesting, cooking, and otherwise laboring and waiting, as well as growing, preparing, and processing our food (also internally)—all of which enabled the emergence of biologically modern *Homo sa*piens as opposed to our more ape-like ancestors. Unlike other hominids, whose physiology condemns them to an almost ceaseless quest for sustenance, Homo sapiens evolved beyond such need, which is nevertheless always present in the form of a fleshly substratum of eating and excreting. Perfecting a jujitsu move pioneered by Nietzsche in his Zur Genealogie der Moral (1887), Benavides illustrates how genealogical connections bind us inexorably to our materiality, which we attempt to surpass and overcome. With tremendous erudition, he narrates a guided tour of the history of religions across time and space, showing in case after case how what we call Transcendence is best understood as an attempt to escape the limits of the human condition, including labor and scarcity; and how, in any society, this role is assigned to or usurped by a particular category of religious specialists who resemble or are even identical with the nobles or socioeconomic elite. The human condition is, then, stratified, as the number of individuals who can raise themselves above the mud to any significant height is always only a fraction of the whole: a fact that leads to *ressentiment*, as well as to emulation and the aspiration to share in such Transcendence. The dialectic between Transcendence and Immanence is an embodied one, as Benavides reveals, extending Marx's critique of capital and dialectical materialism in a manner that suggests a radically new perspective on religion.

Seth Abrutyn attempts to identify more precisely how Transcendence emerged during the Axial Age. Key to this development were the centralization of power, urbanization, and the rise of entrepreneurship, which enabled a relatively robust institutional differentiation of religion from more embedded and local arrangements, and which contributed ultimately to the birth of several major religions, including ancient Israelite monotheism. As Abrutyn argues, the rise of something like a concept of Transcendence during what has been called the "Axial Age" was dependent on the prior emergence, not only of larger states or imperial formations, but also of a class of spiritual entrepreneurs that arose in direct and critical response to such polities. The prophetic tradition in ancient Israelite religion is a key example for such a sociology of religion. Broadening his analysis to other instances of reaction to the rise of kingdoms and empires, Abrutyn suggests that Transcendence may in some instances be understood as a corollary of the progressively more centralized yet internally complex organization of ancient civilizations.

Our next chapters delve into greater detail concerning two 'Axial' traditions: namely, ancient Israelite religion and Buddhism. Before turning to the Hebrew Bible, Ugo Volli surveys the historical development of the usage of 'Transcendence' that is common today, tracing this primarily to Plato and the Neo-Platonists, as well as to medieval Christian theologians. Although this usage may not map onto ancient Israelite religion, Volli identifies some analogues in that tradition, for example the progressively developing idea of God's separateness, which was closely connected with His inapproachability, unnameability, and omnipotence. But the Hebrew God is not the ineffable and abstract One of Plotinus; He engages with His people, who in turn argue with Him. The personal, and relational, aspect of divinity continues into later Judaism. Volli stresses that the radically transcendent God of the Neo-Platonists or of later Christian theologians is *not* the God of the Hebrew Bible, with whom humans, including Abraham, are seen to quarrel, bargain, contract, etc.

Martin Lehnert shows how Buddhist philosophers affirmed the relationality of all thought, the identity-within-difference expressed in the Perfection of Wisdom sūtras and Mādhyamaka philosophy. Lehnert relies on Niklas Luhmann, in whom he finds a key to interpret the distinction between *nirvāņa* (the Ultimate) and *samsāra* (the mundane round of rebirth). This distinction is coeval with the founding of Buddhism, but the Mahāyāna philosophers radicalized it by arguing that *nirvāṇa* and *samsāra* were, in fact, one and the same. They relativized absolutely everything, including *nirvāṇa* itself, in the name of bringing liberation down to earth (and thus within reach). The paradoxical formulations of this stage of Buddhist thought illustrate the ultimately recursive nature of all such figurations of Transcendence, which must be depicted, if at all, through and in terms of particular signs, which are necessarily limited, unlike the Ultimate itself.

Katharina Wilkens focuses on the rituals of spirit possession and exorcism in the *kibuki* tradition of Madgascar, an African island that is predominantly Muslim. Borrowing Thomas Luckmann's idea of "great transcendences" such as ecstatic experiences, she connects these with the sphere of ritual, in which a special place and time is marked, in the case of *kibuki*, by female performers who manifest an entirely different, and divine, personality. As the possessed person learns to channel and control this spirit through the ritual performance, her feat of role-playing illustrates the close connection of religion—of ecstasy and effervescence—with imagination. Transcendence may be just this capacity to live within the liminal, the "as if."

The next several chapters focus on Transcendence as a semiotic phenomenon. Affirming a fundamental link between Transcendence and semiosis, Massimo Leone strips signification down to its bare bones. Offering, à la Malevich, a "Suprematist" semiotics abstracted from all material considerations and referring only to the processes of semiosis (and abstraction) themselves—namely, to Transcendence, Intransigence, Transparency, Transit, etc., all the way back to Tradition, which serves as the necessary foundation for all efforts at further elaboration, as well as for escape or exit—Leone traces the manner in which religion performs a series of translations between this world and the next, between human and divine.

Volkhard Krech develops Charles S. Peirce's account of semiosis as a tripartite relationship among an object, a representamen (or 'sign'), and an interpretant.⁹ He elaborates Peirce's notion of 'thirdness' in a systematic manner and applies this to the Nicene Creed and the Christian doctrine of the Holy Trinity. Krech's argument is built also on the German sociologist Niklas Luhmann's argument that Transcendence becomes accessible precisely when the distinction between Transcendence and Immanence 'reenters' on the side of Immanence, i.e. on our side of the veil, such that it can be made present through visible forms of signification and institutionalization (on reentry, see Dalferth 2012: 154). Krech develops several metaphysical implications of Peirce's own thought while demonstrating the humanity of religious conceptions of divine Transcendence, which illustrate features that are basic to all forms of communication.

Jenny Ponzo focuses on the transformation and translation of older Catholic modes of Transcendence in the wake of the Second Vatican Council, which emphasized the idea of *aggiornamento* as a means of being up-to-date in the transmission of church teachings. Part of the mandate of the modern Roman Catholic Church was to open up to the everyday, to express in vernacular and lay terms what had formerly been veiled by the mysteries of the Latin language. Now Transcendence can be found even in ostensibly 'secular' genres of literature, such as Italian novels. In the case of some authors of fictional novels this translated to innovative and even heterodox ways of expressing traditional theological ideas of Transcendence, such as salvation, which were earlier conveyed in hagiograph-

⁹ See Deuser et al. (2016) for an approach to Transcendence through the American pragmatists, including Peirce and William James.

ic narratives. Ponzo focuses attention on a novel by Dante Troisi in which three brothers attempt to hasten the apocalypse and Parousia through transgressive actions. The idea of a fulfillment, indeed a redemption of time, is a trope that connects religious with secular narratives.

Our biological nature, which has enabled us to walk upright, and granted us larger brains than our hominid ancestors, has already separated us from our evolutionary past. In cities, beginning with the rise of the great urban centers in the ancient world, we found, perhaps for the first time, the ability to lose ourselves, to become (relatively) anonymous. The first wave of urbanization was perhaps the material condition for religious entrepreneurs and prophets; but also for those who, like the Buddha, chose to depart from the world entirely. When too much togetherness brings us down, we depart to seek isolation and solitude. But this may leave us thirsting again for those moments of communion, or collective effervescence, when we once more lose ourselves, at least temporarily, in the crowd. Even and especially once material needs are satisfied, the products of imagination-literature, art, and (of course) religion-illustrate the desire to exceed our humdrum existence or the limits of the individual lifespan. Human beings may be limited, but they seek to extend or exceed these limits; this indeed may be the function of religion, to deliver inaccessible goods such as salvation, which is often imagined precisely as a form of immortality. To be human means to aspire to be divine: in other words, to wish to be transcendent.

References

- Agamben, Giorgio. 1998 [1995]. *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*. Translated by Daniel Heller-Roazen. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Agamben, Giorgio. 2005 [2003]. *State of Exception*. Translated by Kevin Attell. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Assmann, Jan. 2010. *The Price of Monotheism*. Translated by Robert Savage. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Bellah, Robert N. and Hans Joas (eds.). 2012. *The Axial Age and Its Consequences*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Benavides, Gustavo. 2004. "Holiness, State of Exception, Agency." In Brigitte Luchesi and Kocku von Stuckrad (eds.), *Religion in Cultural Discourse: Essays in Honor of Hans Kippenberg on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday*, 61–73. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Bengtson, Josef. 2015. *Explorations in Post-Secular Metaphysics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Buijs, Govert. 2012. "Against the Use of the Essentialist Divine Hierarchy Theory of Religion in Political Theory." In Wessel Stoker and W. L. van der Merwe (eds.), *Looking Beyond? Shifting Views of Transcendence in Philosophy, Theology, Art, and Politics*, 323–40. Amsterdam and New York: Rodopi.

- Caillois, Roger. 1980 [1939]. *Man and the Sacred*. Translated by Meyer Barash. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Collins, Steven. 1998. Nirvana and Other Buddhist Felicities: Utopias of the Pali Imaginaire. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dalferth, Ingolf U. 2012. "The Idea of Transcendence." In Robert N. Bellah and Hans Joas (eds.), *The Axial Age and Its Consequences*, 146–88. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Dalferth, Ingolf U. 2015. "Transcendenz und Immanenz als theologische Orientierungsbegriffe." In Ingolf U. Dalferth, Pierre Bühler and Andreas Hunziker (eds.), *Hermeneutik der Transcendenz*, 1–26. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Dalferth, Ingolf U., Pierre Bühler, and Andreas Hunziker. 2015. *Hermeneutik der Transcendenz*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- De Nys, Martin J. 2009. *Considering Transcendence: Elements of a Philosophical Theology*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Deuser, Hermann, Hans Joas, Matthias Jung, and Magnus Schlette (eds.). 2016. *The Varieties of Transcendence: Pragmatism and the Theory of Religion*. New York: Fordham University Press.
- Durkheim, Émile. 1995 [1912]. *The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life*. Translated by Karen E. Fields. New York: The Free Press.
- Eco, Umberto. 1976. A Theory of Semiotics. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Eisenstadt, Shmuel N. 1982. "The Axial Age: The Emergence of Transcendental Visions and the Rise of Clerics." *Archives of European Sociology* 23: 294–314.
- Eliade, Mircea. 1959. *The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion*. Translated by Willard R. Trask. San Diego: Harvest Book.
- Eliade, Mircea. 1969. *Yoga: Immortality and Freedom*. Translated by Willard R. Trask. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Frazer, James George. 1951. *The Golden Bough: A Study in Magic and Religion*. Abridged ed. New York: Macmillan.
- Graeber, David and Marshall Sahlins. 2017. *On Kings*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for HAU Books.
- Hartshorne, Charles. 2005. "Transcendence and Immanence." *Encyclopedia of Religion*. 2nd edition. Edited by Lindsay Jones. New York: Macmillan Reference USA.
- Hick, John. 2010. The New Frontier of Religion and Science: Religious Experience, Neuroscience amd the Transcendent. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hobbes, Thomas. 1994 [1651]. Leviathan. Edited by Edwin Curley.
- Huxley, Aldous. 1954. The Doors of Perception. New York: Harper and Bros.
- Jaspers, Karl. 1949. Vom Ursprung und Ziel der Geschichte. München: Piper.
- Jaspers, Karl. 1953. The Origin and Goal of History. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Jung, Matthias. 2012. "Embodiment, Transcendence and Contingency: Anthropological Features of the Axial Age." In Robert N. Bellah and Hans Joas (eds.), *The Axial Age and Its Consequences*, 77–101. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Kantorowicz, Ernst. 1957. *The King's Two Bodies: A Study in Medieval Political Theology.* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Keane, Webb. 2007. *Christian Moderns: Freedom and Fetish in the Mission Encounter.* Berkeley: University of California Press.

- Krech, Volkhard. 2016. "Communication." In Michael Stausberg and Steven Engler (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Study of Religion*, 257–70. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Leone, Massimo. 2014. "Wrapping Transcendence: The Semiotics of Reliquaries." *Signs and Society* 2, No. 51: S49–S83.
- Leone, Massimo and Richard Parmentier (eds.). 2014. "Representing Transcendence." *Signs and Society* 2, No. S1: S1–S22.
- Luckmann, Thomas. 1990. "Shrinking Transcendence, Expanding Religion?" *Sociological Analysis* 51: 127–138.
- Luhmann, Niklas. 1996. "Die Sinnform Religion." Soziale Systeme 2: 3-33.
- Luhmann, Niklas. 2002. *Die Religion der Gesellschaft*. Edited by André Kieserling. Frankfurt a. M.: Suhrkamp.
- Madsen, Richard. 2012. "The Future of Transcendence: A Sociological Agenda." In Robert N. Bellah and Hans Joas (eds.), *The Axial Age and Its Consequences*, 430–46. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Maitland, Frederic W. 1936. "The Crown as Corporation." In *Selected Essays*, 104–27. Edited by H. D. Hazeltine, G. Lapsley, and P. H. Winfield. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Obeyesekere, Gananath. 2012. "The Buddha's Meditative Trance: Visionary Knowledge, Aphoristic Thinking, and Axial Age Rationality in Early Buddhism." In Robert N. Bellah and Hans Joas (eds.), *The Axial Age and Its Consequences*, 126–45. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- O'Rourke, Fran. 2019. Ciphers of Transcendence: Essays in Philosophy of Religion in Honour of Patrick Masterson. Kildare: Irish Academic Press.
- Otto, Rudolf. 1959 [1917]. *The Idea of the Holy*. Translated by John W. Harvey. London: Penguin.
- Partridge, Christopher. 2018. *High Culture: Drugs, Mysticism, and the Pursuit of Transcendence in the Modern World*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ryle, Gilbert. 1949. The Concept of Mind. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Schmitt, Carl. 1985 [1922]. *Political Theology: Four Chapters on the Concept of Sovereignty.* Translated by George Schwab. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Schmidt, Wilhelm. 1912–1955. Der Ursprung der Gottesidee: Eine historisch-kritische und positive Studie. 12 volumes. Münster: Aschendorff.
- Schwartz, Benjamin I. 1975. "The Age of Transcendence." Daedalus, 104: 1-7.
- Seligman, Adam B. 2000. *Modernity's Wager: Authority, the Self, and Transcendence*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sheehan, Jonathan. 2010. "When Was Disenchantment? History and the Secular Age." In Michael Warner, Jonathan VanAntwerpen, and Craig Calhoun (eds.), Varieties of Secularism in a Secular Age, 217–42. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sherwood, Yvonne. 2008. "The God of Abraham and Exceptional States, or The Early Modern Rise of the Whig/Liberal Bible." *Journal of the American Academy of Religion* 76: 312-43.
- Sorokin, Pitirim. 1928. Contemporary Sociological Theories. New York: Harper & Row.
- Strathern, Alan. 2019. Unearthly Powers: Religious and Political Change in World History. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Taylor, Charles. 2007. A Secular Age. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Turner, Victor. 1969. *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Tylor, E. B. 1871. Primitive Culture. London: J. B. Murray.

- Van Rooyen, Johan A. 2018. "Transcendence, Immanence and Religious Experience in a Post-Transcendence Era," *Verbum et Ecclesia* 39 (1): a1838. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v39i1.1838.
- von Stuckrad, Kocku. 2003. "Discursive Study of Religion: From States of the Mind to Communication and Action," *Method & Theory in the Study of Religion* 15: 255–71.
- von Stuckrad, Kocku. 2014. *The Scientification of Religion: An Historical Study of Discursive Change, 1800–2000.* Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Weber, Max. 1958. *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*. Translated by Talcott Parsons. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Wuidar, Laurence. 2019. "Ineffability and Music in Early Christian Theology." In Robert A. Yelle, Courtney Handman, and Christopher I. Lehrich (eds.), *Language and Religion*, 215–242. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Yelle, Robert A. 2010. "The Trouble with Transcendence: Carl Schmitt's 'Exception' as a Challenge for Religious Studies." *Method & Theory in the Study of Religion* 22: 189–206.
- Yelle, Robert A. 2013. *The Language of Disenchantment: Protestant Literalism and Colonial Discourse in British India*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Yelle, Robert A. 2019. Sovereignty and the Sacred: Secularism and the Political Economy of *Religion*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Yelle, Robert A. 2020. "An Age of Miracles': Disenchantment as a Secularized Theological Narrative." In Robert A. Yelle and Lorenz Trein (eds.), Narratives of Disenchantment and Secularization: Critiquing Max Weber's Idea of Modernity, 129–48. London: Bloomsbury.
- Yelle, Robert A. and Lorenz Trein (eds.). 2020. Narratives of Disenchantment and Secularization: Critiquing Max Weber's Idea of Modernity. London: Bloomsbury.
- Zachhuber, Johannes. 2018. "Transcendence and Immanence." In D. Whistler (ed.), *The Edinburgh Critical History of Nineteenth-Century Christian Theology*, 164–81. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.