

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Dynamics and biodiversity of bacterial and yeast communities during fermentation of cocoa beans

### This is the author's manuscript

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1683063> since 2022-03-17T09:30:00Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1128/AEM.01164-18

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Dynamics and biodiversity of bacterial and yeast communities during**  
2 **fermentation of cocoa beans**

3

4 Jatziri Mota-Gutierrez<sup>1</sup>, Cristian Botta<sup>1</sup>, Ilario Ferrocino<sup>1</sup>, Manuela Giordano<sup>1</sup>, Marta  
5 Bertolino<sup>1</sup>, Paola Dolci<sup>1</sup>, Marcella Cannoni<sup>2</sup>, Luca Cocolin<sup>\*1</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural, Forest, and Food Science, University of Turin, Largo  
8 Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco, Torino, Italy

9 <sup>2</sup>SOREMARTEC ITALIA S.r.l., Piazza Ferrero 1 – 12051, Alba, Cuneo, Italy

10

11 \*Corresponding author: Luca Cocolin, [lucasimone.cocolin@unito.it](mailto:lucasimone.cocolin@unito.it)

12

13

14 Running title: Development of bacteria and yeast in cocoa fermentation

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## ABSTRACT

*Forastero* hybrid cocoa bean fermentations were carried out in Box (B) and in Heap (H) with or without the inoculation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Torulaspora delbrueckii* as starter cultures. Bacteria, yeasts and microbial metabolites (volatile and non-volatile organic compounds) were monitored during fermentation in order to assess the link between microbiota and the release of metabolites during this process. The presence of starter cultures was detected during the first two days of both fermentations by means of culture-dependent analysis. However, it did not show statistical difference in any physico-chemical or microbiological analysis. Plate counts revealed the dominance of yeasts at the beginning of both fermentations followed by acetic acid bacteria (AAB) and lactic acid bacteria (LAB). *Hanseniaspora opuntiae*, *S. cerevisiae*, *Pichia pijperi*, *Acetobacter pasteurianus* and *Lactobacillus fermentum* were the most abundant OTUs during both fermentation processes (B and H), reporting different relative abundances. Only the diversity of fungal species indicated a higher level of complexity in B compared to H fermentations ( $P < 0.05$ ) and also revealed a statistically significant difference between starter cultures initially inoculated ( $P < 0.01$ ). However, the analysis of microbial metabolites indicated different distribution of volatile and non-volatile compounds between the two procedures B and H ( $P < 0.05$ ), rather than between the inoculated and non-inoculated fermentations. Box fermentations showed a faster carbohydrate metabolism and higher production of organic acid compounds than in heap fermentations, which boosted the formation of alcohols and esters. Overall, the microbial dynamics and associations between bacteria, yeast and metabolites were found to depend on the type of fermentation.

## IMPORTANCE

In spite of the limited effectiveness of the starter strains inoculated, this study provides new information on the microbial development of Box and Heap cocoa fermentations, under inoculated and non-inoculated conditions, by coupling yeast/bacteria amplicon-based sequencing data with microbial metabolites detection. The information so far

49 available suggests that microbial communities have been an important factor in the evolution  
50 of aroma compounds. Understanding the pathways taken place during the formation of aroma  
51 by micro-organisms could be used to improve fermentation processes and to enhance  
52 chocolate quality.

53 **KEYWORDS** Cocoa beans; fermentation; yeast; bacteria; volatile organic compounds; non-volatile  
54 organic compounds; HTS

55

56

57

## INTRODUCTION

Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) is an important plant crop worldwide and its production serves as a major source of income in several developing countries (1). According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) in 2016, the world cocoa bean production was 4,466,574 tonnes (2). In terms of overall amount of beans per country, in 2016 the major cocoa-producing countries were Ivory Coast followed by Ghana, Indonesia, and Cameroon (2). The chocolate production begins by harvesting the cocoa fruit, where cocoa beans and the surrounded mucilaginous pulp inside the pods are removed. At this point, the product has an astringent characteristic and needs to be fermented, dried, and roasted in order to acquire the optimal features of cocoa flavor and taste (3). Normally, spontaneous fermentation goes on from 3 to 10 days in heap, box, basket or tray.

According to Schwan and Fleet (4), the microbiota present during cocoa fermentation is composed by yeasts, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and acetic acid bacteria (AAB). Two important stages occurred during cocoa fermentation; in the first stage, yeasts proliferate reducing sugars and citric acid from the pulp and producing ethanol and carbon dioxide. Alongside, temperatures and pH increase due to aerobic and oxidative reactions, allowing the growth of LAB and AAB (4). LAB transforms sugars and organic acids mainly into lactic acid and, under aerobic conditions, AAB converts ethanol to acetic acid (3). The second stage involves the death of the seed embryo due to the high concentrations of ethanol and acetic acid, and the increase in temperatures (3). The quality of the end product chocolate depend on the three groups of microorganisms cited above, since they are able to produce metabolites and flavor precursors (4).

Despite, the importance of yeasts during cocoa fermentation showed in recent studies (5, 6), fungal biodiversity in fermented food has been studied far less than bacteria. In spite of the application of high-throughput sequencing (HTS) this decade, this new technology has been largely used to give new insights in the domain of fermented foods by enable to discover, validate and screen genetic variants of a complex ecosystem (7). The importance of

identifying the microbial composition in food ecosystems is to find appropriate starter cultures that enhance a particular aspect of the product. Recently, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Torulaspora delbrueckii* have been detected and used as starter culture in cocoa fermentation, showing a positive impact on the aroma profile of the end product (8, 9). However, there has been much controversy concerning the choice of starter cultures used in cocoa fermentation to improve the quality of the end product. This paper involves the importance of the reproducibility of two starter cultures already used in cocoa fermentations under changing conditions. We highlight the fact that reproducibility depends on the initial microbial population and their importance on aroma development.

The present study aimed to determine the dynamics and biodiversity of both bacteria and yeasts by amplicon based sequencing of the 16S rRNA genes and the ITS2 gene, respectively, during cocoa beans fermentation carried out spontaneously and in presence of yeasts starter cultures, either in boxes or heaps to acquire a deeper knowledge about the relationship of microorganisms between each other and their surroundings. Analyses of non-volatile and volatile organic compounds were also assessed with the aim to investigate how the use of cultures can affect the volatilome profile of fermented cocoa under the two different fermentation processes. In this study, we also proposed the measurements of associations between microbial communities and the development of microbial volatile and non-volatile compounds. Undoubtedly, a better understanding of the microbial communities and physico-chemical dynamics during box and heap fermentations will help to develop new management procedures for the production of high-quality cocoa.

## RESULTS

### **Physical and microbiological changes throughout box and heap fermentations.**

Temperature and pH were measured during Box and Heap (B and H) fermentations at time 0 and after 48, 96 and 120 h as shown in Table 1. No significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the conditions used (inoculated and non-inoculated) was observed through physical and

microbiological analysis, while the temperature observed during B and H fermentations significantly increased from initial values of 27 °C to 43 °C and 40 °C, respectively at the end of the fermentation ( $P < 0.05$ ). Regarding the pH of the cocoa bean-pulp, at the beginning of the trial was 3.5, and increased to 4.2 and 4.7 at the end of the fermentation for B and H fermentations respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Yeasts, LAB and AAB population dynamics are reported in Table 1. Yeasts were the dominant population until 48 hours in both processes (B and H), and they were detected at high loads in the cocoa beans already before the inoculum of the starter strains, with an average value of 6.98 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> in H and 7.14 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> in B fermentations. Yeast population reached the highest load at 48 hours and decreased rapidly below the limit of detection of microbiological analysis ( $P > 0.01$ ) after that sampling point. In contrast, in H fermentation the yeast population maintained loads at around 7 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> also after 48 hours, with the higher counts recorded at the end of the process (7.57 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup>). A significant difference between B and H was also observed in LAB dynamics throughout fermentation time, with a marked increase of the counts after 48 hours in both fermentation processes (B: 5.91 to 6.55 and H: 5.78 to 7.76 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup>), as shown in Table 1 ( $P < 0.01$ ). High counts of AAB were observed at the beginning of B and H fermentations (6.32 and 6.17 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). However, this population showed a fluctuation behavior throughout B fermentation time, whereas, during H fermentation increased over time to final counts of 8.00 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> ( $P < 0.01$ ). It is noteworthy that, after 96 hours AAB dominated over LAB and yeasts in both fermentation processes. Overall, at 96 hours we observed higher counts for the three microbial groups considered (yeasts, LAB, AAB) in H fermentation compared to B as shown in Table 1 ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Identification of isolated yeast colonies and assessment of starter strains dominance.** In relation to the observed yeast dynamics in B and H fermentations, one hundred and four yeast colonies were isolated from WL Nutrient agar plates. The ITS-RFLP fingerprints identified *S. cerevisiae* and *T. delbrueckii* in 70 % of the isolated colonies.

Furthermore, REP-PCR fingerprints and the comparison with starter profiles highlighted the presence of *S. cerevisiae* ID76 and *T. delbrueckii* ID103 in the cultivable mycobiota during the first 48 hours of both B and H fermentations. *S. cerevisiae* ID76 represented 68 % of the isolates from fermentations inoculated with *S. cerevisiae* (S) and 51 % of colonies isolated from fermentations inoculated with *S. cerevisiae* and *T. delbrueckii* (ST). Lastly 38 % of the colonies isolated from fermentations ST were ascribed to *T. delbrueckii* ID103 profile. Besides the identification of the starter strains, *Hanseniaspora opuntiae* represented the most abundant autochthonous species, representing 31 % of the colonies isolated from non-inoculated fermentations (data not shown).

#### **Dynamics of non-volatile organic compounds during cocoa beans fermentation.**

The evolution of non-volatile compounds were determined during B and H fermentations of cocoa beans by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) as shown in Figure 1. No significant differences between inoculated and non-inoculated fermentations were observed through non-volatile compounds analysis. At the beginning of the process, B fermentations showed higher concentrations of glucose, fructose and sucrose (24.00, 24.93 and 8.13 mg/g, respectively) compared to H fermentations (19.53, 22.88 and 9.90 mg/g, respectively) and a significantly decreased of glucose, fructose and sucrose during both fermentation processes (B and H) was observed over fermentation time ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Regarding the overall content of organic acids, in the cocoa bean-pulp before the start of the fermentation, citric acid showed the highest concentration, followed by succinic, and gluconic acid in both fermentation processes (Fig. 1). Noteworthy, higher amounts of lactic and succinic acids were detected at 48 hours, whereas the maximum production of acetic acid was observed at 96 hours. From 48 hours to the end of both fermentation processes (B and H), succinic acid represented the most abundant organic acid, with concentrations up to 21.37 and 18.07 mg/g, respectively. The dynamics across time observed for organic acids during both fermentation processes (B and H) were similar. A statistically significant decrease of citric and gluconic acid concentrations were observed along B and H fermentations, reaching the



lowest values at the end ( $P < 0.01$ ). In contrast, an increase of malic, succinic, lactic and acetic acid concentrations during fermentation time ( $P < 0.01$ ) was found in both processes (B and H). No significant changes were observed for oxalic, pyruvic, tartaric and fumaric acids during B or H over fermentation time (see Table S1).

**Volatilome during cocoa bean-pulp fermentation.** A total of 72 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were identified by Head-Space Solid Phase Micro-Extraction Gas Chromatography-qMass Spectrometry (HS-SPME /GC-qMS) on fermented cocoa bean-pulp (see Table S1). No significant differences between inoculated and non-inoculated fermentations were observed through VOCs analysis. At the beginning of B and H fermentation processes 2-pentanol, ethyl acetate, limonene and 1,2-propanediol diacetate were the most abundant volatile compounds found, whereas at the end of both fermentations acetic acid, limonene, 2-heptanol, phenylethyl alcohol, isopentyl alcohol, isovaleric acid and benzeneacetaldehyde represented the most retrieved VOCs in the headspace (see Table S1). Noteworthy, a significantly higher concentrations of total VOCs was found at the end of B fermentation (21,859.59  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) compared to H (11,208.63  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) (see Table S1,  $P < 0.01$ ).

**Mycobiota of cocoa beans during fermentation.** A total of 1,304,936 raw reads (2x250 bp) were obtained and 1,217,061 reads passed the filters applied through QIIME, with an average value of  $31,975 \pm 22,635$  reads/sample, and a mean sequence length of 411 bp. The rarefaction analysis and the estimated sample coverage were satisfactory for all samples, with an ESC average of 97 % (see Table S2), and the alpha-diversity indicated a higher level of complexity in B compared to H fermentations ( $P < 0.05$ ). Overall, 18 fungal OTUs were identified during the fermentations as shown in Table 2. A statistically significant difference between conditions was found, with a higher relative abundance of *Hanseniaspora opuntiae* in non-inoculated fermentation (46.23 %) compared to those inoculated with *S. cerevisiae* and *T. delbrueckii* (ST) (25.60 %,  $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, significantly higher

presence of *T. delbrueckii* in fermentations inoculated with the mixed yeasts culture (ST, 22.23 %) compared with the inoculated only with *S. cerevisiae* (S) and the non-inoculated (0.03 and 0.11 %, respectively) was observed ( $P < 0.01$ , Table 2).

Inoculated cocoa beans (S and ST) in both fermentations processes (B and H) at time 0 showed the dominance of *H. opuntiae*, *Candida jaroonii*, *S. cerevisiae*, *T. delbrueckii* and *Pichia pijperi* (Table 2). In addition, *H. opuntiae*, *P. pijperi*, and *C. jaroonii* were the most predominant in non-inoculated B fermentations at the beginning of the process, while in non-inoculated H fermentations, *H. opuntiae*, *P. pijperi*, and *Botryosphaeria* reached the highest incidence. However, at the end of both fermentation methods (B and H) *H. opuntiae*, *S. cerevisiae*, *P. pijperi* and *Kluyveromyces marxianus* were the most abundant. Mycobiota dynamics were similar over time during inoculated and non-inoculated in B and H fermentations. *S. cerevisiae* significantly increased across time in both processes, while *H. opuntiae* significantly decreased as shown in Table 2 ( $P < 0.01$ ).

**Bacterial community of fermented cocoa beans.** The total number of paired sequences obtained from fermented cocoa beans reached 4,159,213 raw reads. After merging, a total of 2,655,230 reads passed the filters applied through QIIME, with an average value of  $63,220 \pm 45,781$  reads/sample, and a mean sequence length of 445 bp. The rarefaction analysis and Good's coverage, expressed as a percentage (91 %), indicated also satisfactory coverage for all samples (see Table S3). Alpha-diversity indicated a higher level of complexity only across fermentation time (see Table S3,  $P < 0.05$ ). No significant difference was observed when comparing the different conditions (inoculated with S or ST and non-inoculated) or between processes (B and H).

Overall, in both inoculated and non-inoculated B fermentations the most abundant OTUs detected at 48 hours were *Acetobacter pasteurianus*, *Lactobacillus fermentum* and *L. plantarum* (Fig. 3). Notably, *A. pasteurianus* and *L. fermentum* remained the two most abundant OTUs at the end of the box fermentation at all conditions (inoculated or non-

inoculated), followed by *Bacillus*. Regarding the inoculated H fermentations, *A. pasteurianus*, *L. fermentum* and *Acetobacteraceae* were the most abundant OTUs detected at 48 hours and along the entire fermentation time *A. pasteurianus* and *L. fermentum* remained the dominant OTUs (Table 3). In contrast, non-inoculated H fermentation were characterized by a high relative abundance of *L. fermentum*, *A. pasteurianus*, and *L. plantarum* at 48 hours while, at the end of the process, *L. fermentum*, *Bacillus* and *Klebsiella* took over and dominated. As far as the dynamics are concerned under the different conditions, we observed an increase of relative abundances for *L. fermentum*, *L. plantarum*, *A. pasteurianus*, *Bacillus*, *Acetobacteraceae* and *Lactobacillaceae* along fermentation time, while *Erwinia*, *Gluconobacter*, *Trabulsiella* and *Enterobacteriaceae* decreased during time ( $P < 0.01$ ) as shown in Table 3.

**OTUs co-occurrence and/or co-exclusion during cocoa bean fermentation.** When plotting the relative abundance of bacterial and yeast populations, considering OTUs of all conditions together (inoculated with S, ST and non-inoculated) of each fermentation method (B and H), it was possible to observe microbial co-occurrence or co-exclusion dynamics between the two different communities as shown in Figure 2.

Overall, in B fermentations (Fig. 2A) *L. plantarum*, *A. pasteurianus* and *Enterobacteriaceae* were negatively associated with the main yeast OTUs (*S. cerevisiae*, *K. marxianus*, *C. inconspicua* and *P. pijperi*). In detail, *S. cerevisiae* was positively correlated with *Acetobacteraceae* and *Lactobacillaceae*, whereas *A. pasteurianus* was positively correlated with *K. marxianus* and *C. inconspicua* and negatively correlated with *C. jaronii* and *H. opuntiae* ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, *H. opuntiae* was positively associated with the presence of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family as well as with *Gluconobacter* ( $P < 0.05$ ). Noteworthy, *H. opuntiae* and *C. jaronii* were found positively associated with the minor OTUs, *Citrobacter* and *Erwinia* ( $P < 0.05$ , Figure 2A).

In H fermentations, *L. fermentum* showed a positive correlation with *K. marxianus* and *C. inconspicua* and a negative correlation with *C. jaronii* ( $P < 0.05$ ) as observed in Figure

249 2B. *S. cerevisiae* was positively correlated with the *Acetobacteraceae* and with *A.*  
250 *pasteurianus* (Figure 2B).

251  
252 **Correlation between sugar and organic acid compounds and microbiota**  
253 **populations detected by HPLC.** Significantly different correlations pattern between sugars,  
254 organic acids and microbes, in B and H fermentations were observed, as shown in Figure 3 ( $P$   
255  $< 0.05$ ). Overall, in B fermentations the most abundant microbial species in fermented cocoa  
256 beans such as, *H. opuntiae*, *A. pasteurianus*, *K. marxianus*, *L. plantarum* and *S. cerevisiae*  
257 were statistically positively correlated with sucrose, glucose, citric acid, succinic acid and  
258 lactic acid ( $P < 0.05$ ), while the *Bacillus*, *S. cerevisiae*, *L. plantarum*, *A. pasteurianus* and *L.*  
259 *fermentum* were statistically negatively correlated with sucrose, fructose, glucose, citric acid,  
260 succinic acid, gluconic acid and pyruvic acid ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, sucrose was positively  
261 correlated to the presence of *H. opuntiae* ( $P < 0.05$ ) and negatively with *A. pasteurianus* and  
262 *Bacillus* ( $P < 0.05$ ). Citric acid was negatively correlated with *Bacillus* and *S. cerevisiae*, but  
263 positively with *H. opuntiae*, *Gluconobacter* and *Erwinia* ( $P < 0.05$ ). *L. fermentum* was  
264 negatively correlated to fructose, glucose, gluconic acid and pyruvic acid ( $P < 0.05$ ). Finally,  
265 succinic acid was positively associated with *A. pasteurianus*, *C. inconspicua* and *K.*  
266 *marxianus* and lactic acid positively related to *L. plantarum* and *S. cerevisiae* as shown Figure  
267 3A ( $P < 0.05$ ).

268 In contrast few statistically significant correlations were found in H fermentations  
269 (Figure 3B) were *A. pasteurianus*, *K. marxianus*, *L. plantarum*, *C. jaroonii* and *L. fermentum*  
270 were positively correlated with sucrose, fructose, glucose, citric, pyruvic acid, succinic and  
271 lactic acid. In detail, *A. pasteurianus* was found to be positively associated with sucrose,  
272 while *Gluconobacter* was negatively related with sucrose ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, *A.*  
273 *pasteurianus*, *K. marxianus*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. fermentum* were positively associated with  
274 succinic and lactic acid as shown in Figure 3B ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Correlation between microbiota and volatilome profile.** Significantly different

associations between secondary metabolites and the main OTUs were observed in B and H fermentations, as showed in Figure 3 ( $P < 0.05$ ). In B fermentations (Fig. 3A), the major bacterial and fungal taxa such as, *S. cerevisiae*, *H. opuntiae*, *L. plantarum*, *A. pasteurianus*, *K. marxianus*, *C. inconspicua* and *L. fermentum* were statistically correlated with key-aroma and fermentative markers, while the minor OTUs bacteria (*Enterobacteriaceae*, *Trabulsiella*, *Erwinia*, and *Gluconobacter*) and *H. opuntiae* were statistically negatively correlated with acids and phenols. In detail, positive correlations were found between *S. cerevisiae* with ethyl octanoate, 2-methyl-butanal, 3-methyl-butanol, *H. opuntiae* with 2-pentanol ( $P < 0.05$ ), *L. plantarum* with 2-heptanol, 2-methyl-butanal, 3-methyl-1-butanol and ethanol, and *L. fermentum* with ethyl octanoate, 2-heptanol, benzyl alcohol and isovaleric acid ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, *A. pasteurianus*, *C. inconspicua* and *Bacillus* were also positively correlated with acetoin, acetic acid, isovaleric acid, phenol, limonene, benzyl alcohol and phenylethyl alcohol ( $P < 0.05$ ), while these compounds were positively correlated with *H. opuntiae* and the minor bacterial OTUs as shown in Fig 3A ( $P < 0.05$ ).

In H fermentations less correlations were observed compared with B fermentation (Fig. 3B). In general, some of the most abundant microbes (*A. pasteurianus*, *T. delbrueckii*, *S. cerevisiae*, *K. marxianus*) and *Acetobacteraceae* showed several significantly positive correlations with VOCs. *A. pasteurianus* was positively correlated with ethyl octanoate, 2-heptanol, 2-hepanone, *cis*-furan-linalool oxide, benzaldehyde, acetoin,  $\beta$ -phenylethylacetate, 3-methyl-1-butanol, limonene, 2-pentanol acetate, phenylethyl alcohol, ethanol and isopentyl alcohol ( $P < 0.05$ ). *T. delbrueckii* was positively associated with 2-heptanone ( $P < 0.05$ ). *S. cerevisiae* was positively correlated with 3-methyl-1-butanol and ethanol ( $P < 0.05$ ). Finally, *Acetobacteraceae* was positively correlated to ethyl octanoate, 2-heptanol, 2-heptanone, *cis*-furan-linalool oxide, 3-methyl-1-butanol, acetoin, limonene, phenylethyl alcohol, ethanol and isopentyl alcohol, while *K. marxianus* was positively correlated to benzaldehyde, acetoin, acetic acid, benzyl alcohol and  $\beta$ -phenylethylacetate ( $P < 0.05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

In this study, the changes in physico-chemical composition, microbial counts and microbiota diversity in two different fermentation processes, box (B) and heap (H), inoculated or not with yeasts as a starter culture, were investigated. The ability of the survival and growth of selected starter strains in this case *S. cerevisiae* ID67 and *T. delbrueckii* ID103 during cocoa fermentation is one of the most important feature to ensure their effect during this process. These starters showed the ability to coexist with autochthonous microbial communities in fermented cocoa beans. However, the yeast cultures used did not modify significantly the microbiological dynamics, physico-chemical parameters and metabolites produced during fermentation, whereas the same starters influenced the fermentative process and the quality of end-products in at least one cocoa hybrid variety (9). It is important to note that in the previous study, the initial yeast load was lower than those observed in our study and might explain the discrepancies on the impact of the same yeast culture during cocoa fermentation. The influence of fermentation practices, variety of cocoa and the use of different starter cultures on site during the cocoa bean fermentation plays an important role in the success of the starter culture used during fermentation and might explain the discrepancies found between studies (5, 6, 8–14). Our results prove that the effectiveness of the cultures lies on the micro-environments influenced by the geographical sites.

During fermentation, cocoa beans constitute an ecological niche for a wide range of microbes. The advances in studying the dynamics of cocoa microbial communities have shown that the composition of these communities follows predictable patterns reporting a rapid decline in yeast counts after 48 hours when the sugars are depleted, the temperature rises and LAB and AAB increase (15–19). A great impact on the microbial dynamics and succession during cocoa fermentation have been explained by the use of different cultivar varieties, fermentation methods, environmental conditions, harvesting and post-harvesting methods, as well as external factors such as cross-contamination (equipment, operators, insect interactions and microbial populations from previous fermentations) (14, 16).

The use of molecular biology tools and the improvement of culturing techniques have been facilitating the detection of new species of yeasts, LAB, and AAB. It was already reported that the main microbial communities from fermented cocoa beans has a restricted microbial population, including *H. opuntiae*, *A. pasteurianus* and *L. fermentum* also detected in our study (8, 13, 20). By the application of amplicon based sequencing we were able to detect unusual yeasts such as *C. jaroonii*, *Lasiodoplia theobromae* and *Botryosphaera* during cocoa fermentation, not previously detected. Despite this restricted community, there are some discrepancies among the dominating microbial species, which may vary considerably within countries and according to region, while there is no information regarding the incidence of minor microbial groups (21).

To gain more knowledge in the range of potential interactions between microbial communities this study describes a possible co-occurrence and co-exclusion. Our results obtained from statistical correlations of bacterial ecology especially LAB showed a modulation by the yeast culture, which matched with the mutualistic and synergetic interactions reported previously for LAB and yeasts (22). Noteworthy, in our study these associations were depending by the type of fermentation process and the correlation dataset was used to explore the drivers of possible microbial dynamics, interactions and metabolism. This information can give an idea about the kinetics of substrate consumption and aroma production by the microbiota present in fermented cocoa beans.

The dynamics of non-volatile compounds showed a successful competition for nutrients by microbial populations within cocoa fermentations. The ability to reduced sugars observed from fungal and bacterial communities from our results has been deeply studied and supported by previous studies (23, 24). Regarding organic acids dynamics, citric acid had the highest concentration at the beginning of both fermentation and decreased overtime. This utilization of citrate has been attribute to bacteria, which metabolized it into acetic acid, carbon dioxide and lactic acid (25). However, not only bacteria can utilize citrate as energy source, some isolates within *C. krusei* have been reported to assimilate citrate during cocoa fermentation (26). However, this specific yeast was not detected in our study during cocoa

fermentation, while the presence of the most abundant yeasts found in this study *H. opuntiae* and *S. cerevisiae* have never showed the capability to assimilated citrate *in vitro* (27). Therefore is hypothesized that citrate assimilation was due to LAB, such as the highly abundant *L. fermentum*, as also supported from previous study (28). The high concentrations of succinic acid at 48 hours to the end of the fermentation is likely related to the LAB metabolic activity, since these bacteria have been shown the capability to produce succinic acid from the citrate fermentation or convert fumaric and malic acids to succinic acid (29, 30). The reduction of pH in the pulp caused by LAB producing lactic acid favors the growth of AAB species, such as *A. pasteurianus* which is capable to produce acetic and malic acids (29, 31).

Biochemical reactions plays a key role in the formation of VOCs in fermented cocoa beans (22, 32). In our study, we observed that the dynamics of VOCs during fermentation changed in the concentration and composition. According to Kone *et al.*, (33) *P. kudriavzevii* and *S. cerevisiae* were the most important producers and contributors of cocoa aroma compounds followed by *Wickerhamomyces anomalus*, *Geotrichum* and *Pichia galeiformis*. In our study, desirable cocoa aroma compounds such as 2-heptanol, ethyl acetate and, 2-phenylethanol were found in both fermentation processes as previously identified by Ramos *et al.*, (6). The principal producers of alcohol, ester, and acid compounds have been linked to yeasts such as *S. cerevisiae*, *Candida* and other yeast species not found in this study in fermented cocoa beans (33–35). Besides the production of VOCs by fungi, AAB are well known to oxidized alcohols such as ethanol, isoamyl alcohol, 2-phenylethanol to produce acids and acetaldehydes (36, 37).

We observed that the major bacterial group found in our study increased the concentration of succinic, acetic, lactic acids, acetoin, alcohols, esters and acetaldehydes. Overall, the biochemical contribution in food ecosystems might change by the complexity of the microbial consortia (38). Therefore, further research is needed to understand the role of other compounds such as free amino acids, oligopeptides, and polyphenols in the development of microbes and aroma compounds (3, 22).



## CONCLUSION

Overall, the polyphasic approach applied in this study allowed us to get new insights into the microbial development and aroma formation during cocoa fermentation. Here, we observed that the starter culture modulated the microbiota composition of fermented cocoa beans and marginally affect the metabolites, which were most influenced by the type of process carried out. Accordingly, the difference found between Box and Heap fermentations might be explained by the environmental and processing conditions, in which the microenvironment of each process play an important role. The application of the omics approach confirmed that fermented cocoa beans have complex microbial communities dominated by a restricted bacterial and yeast populations. Future research is needed to assess how fermentation methods or the presence of starter culture can affect the final characteristics of the chocolate.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Cocoa bean fermentations.** The lyophilized strains *S. cerevisiae* ID67 and *T. delbrueckii* ID103 were provided by Lallemand (Canada, Quebec, Montreal), and were used as starter cultures in farmer-scale cocoa bean fermentations carried out in Ngoumou (Yaoundé, Cameroon) at the end of the middle-crop of 2016 (September-October 2016). The strains were chosen according to the study of Visintin *et al.*, (9). Briefly, cocoa pods of *Forastero* hybrid were harvested by traditional methods and stored on the ground for 2-3 days before the opening of the pods. The cocoa pods were cut with unclean machetes and beans and adhering pulp were taken manually by hand. Approximately 3 h after breaking the pods, cocoa beans-pulp were grouped in two independent processes (Box and Heap). Approximately, 200 kg of fresh cocoa beans-pulp were used for B fermentation, placed in wooden box (0.06 m<sup>3</sup>), covered with banana leaves and closed with a wooden lid to avoid open air. The heap fermentations were set up with smaller amount of beans compare to the box fermentation due to the fact that an adult can manually turn no more than 100 kg of beans-pulp, these beans were piled on banana leaves and covered with the same leaves and

jute rags. The field experiment was settled inoculating the cocoa beans-pulp with *S. cerevisiae* ID67 (S) or with *S. cerevisiae* ID67 in co-culture with *T. delbrueckii* ID103 (ST) in a ratio 1:1 (weight: volume) at the beginning of both fermentation processes (B and H). The lyophilized starter cultures were revitalized in sterile saline solution for 30 min at room temperature and were progressively added and mixed to the cocoa-pulp mass at final concentrations of  $7.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ Log CFU g}^{-1}$ . In addition, non-inoculated fermentations were carried out as a control, without adding any starter culture in both fermentation processes (B and H). All trials were performed in duplicate (n=12) from an on-site fermentation, following local agricultural practices: cocoa bean-pulp mass was turned manually at 48 and 96 h and after 120 h the fermentations were stopped by spreading the beans on a drying platform. For each of the six experimental trials, 1-1.5 kg of cocoa-pulp was collected in sterile bags after 0, 48, 96, and 120 h, randomly from at least five random zones of the fermentative mass of each B or H fermentations. Noteworthy, sampling at 48 and 96 h were performed before mixing the mass. From the collected beans, approximately 20 g of samples were collected, stored at -20°C and transported on dry ice to the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Sciences (University of Turin, Italy) for further metabolites analysis. Aliquots of 25 g of each sample underwent microbiological analyses at the experimental laboratory set up on site. The pH values and temperatures were measured at same sampling time during fermentation by an average of five random zones of the cocoa bean-pulp mass, by using a pH-thermometer (Crison, Modena, Italy).

**Culture-dependent microbial community dynamics.** Classical microbiological analysis was performed for samples recovered at 0, 48, 96 and 120 h. Twenty-five grams of cocoa beans and adhering pulp were homogenized with 225 mL of Ringer's solution (Oxoid, Milan, Italy). Decimal dilutions in quarter-strength Ringer's solution were prepared. Aliquots of 0.1 ml of the appropriate dilutions were spread in triplicate on the following media: WL Nutrient agar (WLN; Lab M, Heywood, Lancashire, UK) plus 1 µg/mL of tetracycline (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) for counting total yeast incubated for 3/5 days at 30°C, De Man

Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS, Oxoid, Milan, Italy) plus 2 µg/mL of natamycin (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) for growing LAB, incubated at 30°C for 48 h and Acetic Acid Medium (1 % glucose, 0.8 % yeast extract, 0.5 % bacteriological peptone, 15 g/L agar, 0.5 % ethanol, 0.3 % acetic acid), plus 2 µg/mL of natamycin (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) for growing acetic acid bacteria (AAB) incubated at 30 °C for 3/5 days. Results were expressed as means of Log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> from three independent determinations. Yeasts colonies (5-8 for each sampling points) were randomly isolated from the highest dilution plate of WLN. These colonies were further purified by streaking and stored in 20 % v/v glycerol. At each sampling point, 1 ml of the first 10-fold serial dilution was collected and directly centrifuged at maximum speed for 30 s.

**Assessment of yeast ecology by culture-dependent analysis.** DNA extraction from single isolates was performed as described by Cocolin *et al.*, (39), and normalized at 100 ng L<sup>-1</sup>. Isolates were grouped in relation to their Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) profiles, which were obtained after enzymatic restriction of the amplified ITS-5.8S rDNA region, as previously described by Korabečná *et al.*, (2003). ITS-5.8S rDNA region of at least three representative isolates of each RFLP-group was for sequencing (GATC Biotech, Colonia, Germany). REP-PCR assay was performed on all isolates previously identified as *S. cerevisiae* and *T. delbrueckii* following previous study by Visintin *et al.*, (2017) (9). The presence of starter culture from the REP-PCR profiles was compared with those of *S. cerevisiae* ID67 and *T. delbrueckii* ID103.

**Chemical analysis.** For sugar and organic acid analyses from fermented cocoa bean-pulp under different conditions were extracted by crushing the cotyledon and pulp using a pestle and lyophilized for further analysis. Fermented lyophilized beans-pulp samples (0.20 g) were washed with 2 ml of pure hexane (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) and vortexed for 5 min. The homogenate was centrifuged (6000 x g, 4°C for 15 min) and the supernatant was removed. The washing process was repeated twice and the precipitate after the washings was

dried and re-suspended with 10 ml of a solution 70:29.5:0.5 acetone/MilliQwater/formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy). The solution was vortex, centrifuged and clarified by filtration through 0.45  $\mu$ m syringe filters (Labware, LLG, CA, USA) and then evaporated. The extract was re-suspended with 5 ml of MilliQ water and passed through a C18 cartridge (Sep-pack, USA). The column was washed with 5 ml of MilliQ water to recover the samples.

The HPLC system (Thermoquest Corporation, San Jose, CA, USA) was equipped with an isocratic pump (P1000), a multiple autosampler (AS3000) fitted with a 20  $\mu$ L loop, a UV detector (UV100) set at 210 nm and a refractive index detector (Spectra System RI-150, Thermo Electro Corporation). The analyses of sugars (glucose, fructose and sucrose) were performed isocratically, at 0.6 ml min<sup>-1</sup> and 80 °C, with a 300  $\times$  7.8 mm i.d. cation exchange column (Aminex HPX-87P) equipped with a Cation Carbo-P Microguard cartridge (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The analyses of organic acids (acetic, lactic, malic, succinic, oxalic, gluconic, tartaric, pyruvic, fumaric, and citric acid) were performed isocratically, at 0.8 ml min<sup>-1</sup> and 60 °C, with a 300  $\times$  7.8 mm i.d. cation exchange column (Aminex HPX-87H) equipped with a Cation H<sup>+</sup> Microguard cartridge (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The data treatments were carried out using the Chrom Quest<sup>TM</sup> chromatography data system (ThermoQuest, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Analytical grade reagents were used as standards (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). All samples were analyzed in triplicates of each biological replicate and the identification of compounds was performed by comparing the retention time of standard. Calibration curves of standards were performed by injecting serial dilutions of glucose, sucrose, fructose, acetic, lactic, malic, succinic, oxalic, gluconic, tartaric, pyruvic, fumaric and citric acid following the same conditions of the sample analyses. The concentration of the compounds was calculated by plotting a linear curve of the areas obtained in each sample.

**Volatile metabolites produced by microbiota consortia.** The dynamics of the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of fermented cocoa beans-pulp under different conditions previously lyophilized were obtained using headspace solid phase micro-extraction

technique (HS- SPME), setting fiber conditions and oven temperatures as previously described by Rodriguez-Campos *et al.*, (2011) with some modifications (41). Samples were analyzed by triplicates of each biological replicate. The analysis was conducted in a 20 ml vial filled with 2 ml of 20 % NaCl and 0.1 g of the sample and adding to each sample 10  $\mu$ l of 5-nonanol in ultrapure water at 50 mg/L concentration as an internal standard for the semi-quantification. The fiber with VOCs were injected into the Gas Chromatography-qMass Spectrometry (GC-qQP2010 Plus, Shimadzu, USA), equipped with an auto-sampler (AOC-5000, PAL System, CombiPAL, Switzerland) and a DB-WAXETR capillary column (30m  $\times$  0.25 mm, 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness, J&W Scientific Inc., Folsom, CA). The injection mode was established at 260  $^{\circ}$ C (1 min) using helium as carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 ml/min. The detection was carried out by the electron impact mass spectrometer in total ion current mode, using ionization energy of 70 eV. The acquisition range was settled as  $m/z$  33-350 amu. The identification of the peaks was calculated by comparing the mass spectra of the peaks with the spectra of the MIST05 library and through comparison of the retention indices (a matrix of a homologous series of C8-C24 was used) with pure standard injected following the same sample conditions, described above. Semi-quantitative data ( $\mu$ g/kg) were obtained by measuring the characteristic  $m/z$  peak area of each identified compound in relation to the added internal standard.

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were carried out using generalized linear mixed-effects models for non-normally distributed data set. Mixed models were chosen for their ability to capture both fixed (fermentation condition: inoculated with S, ST and non-inoculated and fermentation time: 0-120 h) and random effects (fermentation type: B and H) (42). The  $P$ -values were adjusted using Bonferroni's method, when the linear mixed model revealed significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) the Duncan honestly significant difference (HSD) test was applied. Mixed models were built and evaluated following Crawley (43) using R version 3.3.2

526           **DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing.** Total DNA was extracted  
527 from the pellet of cocoa matrices by using the MasterPure Complete DNA & RNA  
528 Purification kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions.  
529 Bacterial communities were studied by amplifying the V3 and V4 region of the 16S rRNA  
530 using primers and condition described by Klintword *et al.* (44). Yeast communities were  
531 studied by amplifying the ITS2 region using ITS3tagmix (5'-  
532 CTAGACTCGTCACCGATGAAGAACGCAG) and ITS-4ngs (5'-  
533 TTCCTSCGGCTTATTGATATGC) (45). The PCR products were purified twice by means of  
534 an Agencourt AMPure kit (Beckman Coulter, Milan, Italy), and the resulting products were  
535 tagged by using the Nextera XT index kit (Illumina), according to the manufacturer's  
536 instructions. After the second clean up step with the Agencourt AMPure kit a 4nM pool was  
537 obtained taking into account the weight of the library measured by Qubit Fluorometric  
538 Quantitation (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the mean amplicon size. A denaturated 20pmM  
539 pool was obtained mixing 5µl of NaOH 0,2N with 5 µl of the 4 nm pool. A final 10 pM  
540 library was combined with 10% PhiX. Sequencing was performed by MiSeq instrument  
541 (Illumina) with V3 chemistry and generated 250-bp paired-end reads, following the  
542 producer's instructions.

543

544           **Bioinformatics.** Paired-end reads were first assembled with FLASH software (46),  
545 with default parameters. Joint reads were further quality filtered (Phred < Q20) using the  
546 QIIME 1.9.0 software (47). Reads shorter than 250 bp were discarded by using Prinseq. For  
547 the 16S data, OTUs were picked at 99 % of similarity threshold and centroids sequences of  
548 each cluster were used to assign taxonomy by mapping against the Greengenes 16S rRNA  
549 gene database, version 2013 as described recently (48). Chloroplast and mitochondria  
550 sequences were removed from the dataset. For the ITS dataset, 97 % of similarity was picked  
551 for OTUs by means of UCLUST clustering methods (49), and representative sequences of  
552 each cluster were used to assign taxonomy using the UNITE rDNA ITS database version  
553 2012, by means of the RDP Classifier. Weighted and Unweighted UniFrac distance matrices,

as well as OTUs table, were used to find differences between fermentation processes (B and H) and under different conditions (inoculated and non-inoculated) by Adonis and Anosim statistical test in R environment. In order to avoid biases due to different sequencing depths, all samples for each dataset were rarefied at the lowest number of reads after raw read quality filtering. QIIME was used to produce a filtered OTU table at 1 % in at least 2 samples. The OTU table displays the higher taxonomy resolution reached when the taxonomy assignment was not able to reach the species level, genus or family name was displayed. The statistical package Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests were used to find significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) in microbial taxa abundance profiles and the Shannon-Wiener diversity index  $H'$  according to time, conditions and methods. As a measure of the association between microbial OTUs occurring in at least 2 samples and chemical variables, the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was obtained through the function *psych* and plotted through the *corrplot* package of R. OTUs occurring in at least 2 samples from microbial communities were conglomerate by hierarchical clustering analysis using Ward's method acquired thorough the function *heatmap* plotted through the *made4* package of R.

**Accession number(s).** The 16S and ITS rRNA gene sequences are available at the Sequence Read Archive of the NCBI (accession number SRP126069 and SRP12608 respectively

## REFERENCE

1. **Beg MS, Ahmad S, Jan K, Bashir K.** 2017. Status, supply chain and processing of cocoa. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 66:108–116.
2. **FAOSTATS.** 2016. Food Agriculture Organization of United Nations.
3. **Thompson SS, Miller KB, Lopez AS, Camu N.** 2001. Cocoa and coffee, p. 721–733. *In* Food Microbiology. Food microbiology, fundamentals and frontiers, American Society of Microbiology, Washington, DC.
4. **Schwan RF, Fleet GH.** 2014. Cocoa and coffee fermentations. CRC Press.
5. **Batista NN, Ramos CL, Ribeiro DD, Pinheiro ACM, Schwan RF.** 2015. Dynamic behavior of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Pichia kluyveri* and *Hanseniaspora uvarum* during spontaneous and inoculated cocoa fermentations and their effect on sensory characteristics of chocolate. *LWT - Food Sci Technol* 63:221–227.
6. **Ramos CL, Dias DR, Miguel MG da CP, Schwan RF.** 2014. Impact of different cocoa hybrids (*Theobroma cacao* L.) and *S. cerevisiae* UFLA CA11 inoculation on microbial communities and volatile compounds of cocoa fermentation. *Food Res Int* 64:908–918.

- 587 7. **Ferrocino I, Cocolin L.** 2017. Current perspectives in food-based studies exploiting multi-omics approaches the effects of time pressure. *Curr Opin Food Sci* 13:10–15.
- 588
- 589 8. **Visintin S, Alessandria V, Valente A, Dolci P, Cocolin L.** 2016. Molecular identification and physiological characterization of yeasts, lactic acid bacteria and acetic acid bacteria isolated from heap and box cocoa bean fermentations in West Africa. *Int J Food Microbiol* 216:69–78.
- 590
- 591
- 592 9. **Visintin S, Ramos L, Batista N, Dolci P, Schwan F, Cocolin L.** 2017. Impact of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Torulaspora delbrueckii* starter cultures on cocoa beans fermentation. *Int J Food Microbiol* 257:31–40.
- 593
- 594
- 595 10. **Crafack M, Mikkelsen MB, Saerens S, Knudsen M, Blennow A, Lowor S, Takrama J, Swiegers JH, Petersen GB, Heimdal H, Nielsen DS.** 2013. Influencing cocoa flavour using *Pichia kluyveri* and *Kluyveromyces marxianus* in a defined mixed starter culture for cocoa fermentation. *Int J Food Microbiol* 167:103–116.
- 596
- 597
- 598
- 599 11. **Pereira GVM, Alvarez JP, Neto DP de C, Soccol VT, Tanobe VOA, Rogez H, Góes-Neto A, Soccol CR.** 2017. Great intraspecies diversity of *Pichia kudriavzevii* in cocoa fermentation highlights the importance of yeast strain selection for flavor modulation of cocoa beans. *LWT - Food Sci Technol* 84:290–297.
- 600
- 601
- 602
- 603 12. **Meersman E, Steensels J, Struyf N, Paulus T, Saels V, Mathawan M, Allegaert L, Vrancken G, Verstrepen KJ.** 2016. Tuning chocolate flavor through development of thermotolerant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* starter cultures with increased acetate ester. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82:732–746.
- 604
- 605
- 606
- 607 13. **Arana-Sánchez A, Segura-García LE, Kirchmayr M, Orozco-Ávila I, Lugo-Cervantes E, Gschaedler-Mathis A.** 2015. Identification of predominant yeasts associated with artisan Mexican cocoa fermentations using culture-dependent and culture-independent approaches. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 31:359–369.
- 608
- 609
- 610
- 611 14. **Fernández Y, Balzarini T, Clapé P, Evrard P, Vuyst L De, Daniel H.** 2016. The environmental and intrinsic yeast diversity of Cuban cocoa bean heap fermentations. *Int J Food Microbiol* 233:34–43.
- 612
- 613
- 614 15. **De Melo Pereira GV, Teixeira K, Gonzaga E, Almeida D, Coelho S, Freitas R.** 2013. Spontaneous cocoa bean fermentation carried out in a novel-design stainless steel tank: Influence on the dynamics of microbial populations and physical–chemical properties. *Int J Food Microbiol* 161:121–133.
- 615
- 616
- 617
- 618 16. **Da Veiga Moreira IM, Gabriela M, Miguel P, Ferreira W, Ribeiro D, Freitas R.** 2013. Microbial succession and the dynamics of metabolites and sugars during the fermentation of three different cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) hybrids. *Food Res Int* 54:9–17.
- 619
- 620
- 621 17. **Camu N, Winter T De, Addo SK, Takrama JS, Bernaert H, Vuyst L De.** 2008. Fermentation of cocoa beans: influence of microbial activities and polyphenol concentrations on the flavour of chocolate. *J Sci Food Agric* 88:2288–2297.
- 622
- 623
- 624 18. **Papalexandratou Z, Lefeber T, Bahrim B, Seng O, Daniel H, Vuyst L De.** 2013. *Acetobacter pasteurianus* predominate during well-performed Malaysian cocoa bean box fermentations, underlining the importance of these microbial species for a successful cocoa bean fermentation process. *Food Microbiol* 35:73–85.
- 625
- 626
- 627
- 628 19. **Nielsen DS, Teniola OD, Ban-Koffi L, Owusu M, Andersson TS, Holzapfel WH.** 2007. The microbiology of Ghanaian cocoa fermentations analysed using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. *Int J Food Microbiol* 114:168–186.
- 629
- 630
- 631 20. **Lefeber T, Papalexandratou Z, Gobert W, Camu N, De Vuyst L.** 2012. On-farm implementation of a starter culture for improved cocoa bean fermentation and its influence on the flavour of chocolates produced thereof. *Food Microbiol* 30:379–392.
- 632
- 633
- 634 21. **Papalexandratou Z, Vuyst L De.** 2011. Assessment of the yeast species composition of cocoa bean fermentations in different cocoa-producing regions using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *FEMS Yeast Res* 11:564–574.
- 635
- 636



- 637 22. **Lima LÍJR, Almeida MH, Rob Nout MJ, Zwietering MH.** 2011. *Theobroma cacao* L., “the  
638 food of the gods”: Quality determinants of commercial cocoa beans, with particular reference  
639 to the impact of fermentation. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 51:731–761.
- 640 23. **Axelsson L.** 2004. Lactic acid bacteria: classification and physiology. Marcel Dekker, New  
641 York.
- 642 24. **Deák T.** 2007. Handbook of food spoilage yeasts. Taylor & Francis Group. CRC press.
- 643 25. **Vuyst L De, Weckx S.** 2016. The cocoa bean fermentation process : from ecosystem analysis  
644 to starter culture development. *J Appl Microbiol* 121:5–17.
- 645 26. **Jespersen L, Nielsen DS, Hønholt S, Jakobsen M.** 2005. Occurrence and diversity of yeasts  
646 involved in fermentation of West African cocoa beans. *FEMS Yeast Res* 5:441–453.
- 647 27. **Daniel HM, Vrancken G, Takrama JF, Camu N, De Vos P, De Vuyst L.** 2009. Yeast  
648 diversity of Ghanaian cocoa bean heap fermentations. *FEMS Yeast Res* 9:774–783.
- 649 28. **Lefeber T, Janssens M, Camu N, De Vuyst L.** 2010. Kinetic analysis of strains of lactic acid  
650 bacteria and acetic acid bacteria in cocoa pulp simulation media toward development of a  
651 starter culture for cocoa bean fermentation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76:7708–7716.
- 652 29. **Camu N, De Winter T, Verbrugghe K, Cleenwerck I, Vandamme P, Takrama JS,  
653 Vancanneyt M, De Vuyst L.** 2007. Dynamics and biodiversity of populations of lactic acid  
654 bacteria and acetic acid bacteria involved in spontaneous heap fermentation of cocoa beans in  
655 Ghana. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73:1809–1824.
- 656 30. **Ouattara HD, Ouattara HG, Droux M, Reverchon S, Nasser W, Niamke SL.** 2017. Lactic  
657 acid bacteria involved in cocoa beans fermentation from Ivory Coast: Species diversity and  
658 citrate lyase production. *Int J Food Microbiol* 256.
- 659 31. **Bartowsky EJ, Henschke PA.** 2008. Acetic acid bacteria spoilage of bottled red wine-A  
660 review. *Int J Food Microbiol* 125:60–70.
- 661 32. **Afoakwa EO, Paterson A, Fowler M, Ryan A, Ohene E, Paterson A, Fowler M, Ryan A,  
662 Fowler M, Ryan A.** 2017. Flavor formation and character in cocoa and chocolate: a critical  
663 review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 48:840–857.
- 664 33. **Koné MK, Guéhi ST, Durand N, Ban-kof L, Berthiot L, Tachon AF, Brou K, Boulanger  
665 R, Montet D.** 2016. Contribution of predominant yeasts to the occurrence of aroma compounds  
666 during cocoa bean fermentation. *Food Res Int* 89:910–917.
- 667 34. **Schwan RF, Wheals AE.** 2004. The microbiology of cocoa fermentation and its role in  
668 chocolate quality. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 44:205–221.
- 669 35. **Kim B, Cho BR, Hahn JS.** 2014. Metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for the  
670 production of 2-phenylethanol via Ehrlich pathway. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 111:115–124.
- 671 36. **Molinari F, Gandolfi R, Aragozzini F, Leon R, Prazeres DMF.** 1999. Biotransformations in  
672 two-liquid-phase systems- production of phenylacetaldehyde by oxidation of 2-phenylethanol  
673 with acetic acid bacteria. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 25:729–735.
- 674 37. **Yakushi T, Matsushita K.** 2010. Alcohol dehydrogenase of acetic acid bacteria: structure,  
675 mode of action, and applications in biotechnology. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 86:1257–1265.
- 676 38. **Fleet GH.** 1999. Microorganisms in food ecosystems. *Int J Food Microbiol* 50:101–117.
- 677 39. **Cocolin L, Rantsiou K, Iacumin L, Urso R, Cantoni C, Comi G.** 2004. Study of the ecology  
678 of fresh sausages and characterization of populations of lactic acid bacteria by molecular  
679 methods study of the ecology of fresh sausages and characterization of populations of lactic  
680 acid bacteria by molecular methods. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70:1883–1894.
- 681 40. **Korabečná M, Liška V, Fajfrlík K.** 2003. Primers ITS1, ITS2 and ITS4 detect the  
682 intraspecies variability in the internal transcribed spacers and 5.8S rRNA gene region in  
683 clinical isolates of fungi. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 48:233–238.

- 684 41. **Rodriguez-Campos J, Escalona-Buendía HB, Orozco-Avila I, Lugo-Cervantes E,**  
685 **Jaramillo-Flores ME.** 2011. Dynamics of volatile and non-volatile compounds in cocoa  
686 (*Theobroma cacao* L.) during fermentation and drying processes using principal components  
687 analysis. *Food Res Int* 44:250–258.
- 688 42. **Baayen RH, Davidson DJ, Bates DM.** 2008. Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random  
689 effects for subjects and items. *J Mem Lang* 59:390–412.
- 690 43. **Crawley MJ.** 2007. *The R book*. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., West Sussex, England.
- 691 44. **Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO.** 2013.  
692 Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation  
693 sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41:1–11.
- 694 45. **Tedersoo L, Anslan S, Bahram M, Pölme S, Riit T, Liiv I, Kõljalg U, Kisand V, Nilsson H,**  
695 **Hildebrand F, Bork P, Abarenkov K.** 2015. Shotgun metagenomes and multiple primer pair-  
696 barcode combinations of amplicons reveal biases in metabarcoding analyses of fungi.  
697 *MycKeys* 10:1–43.
- 698 46. **Magoč T, Salzberg SL.** 2011. FLASH: Fast length adjustment of short reads to improve  
699 genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27:2957–2963.
- 700 47. **Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ,**  
701 **Fierer N, Knight R.** 2011. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of  
702 sequences per sample. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 108:4516–4522.
- 703 48. **Ferrocino I, Bellio A, Romano A, Macori G, Rantsiou K, Decastelli L, Cocolin L.** 2017.  
704 RNA-based amplicon sequencing reveals microbiota development during ripening of artisanal  
705 versus industrial Lard d’Arnad. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 83:e00983-17.
- 706 49. **Edgar RC.** 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.  
707 *Bioinformatics* 26:2460–2461.
- 708

**TABLE LEGEND**

**Table 1.** Average changes in physical and microbiological parameters during inoculated and non-inoculated Box and Heap fermentation of cocoa bean-pulp turned after 48 and 96 h

**Table 2.** Incidence of the fungal taxonomic groups by amplicon sequencing expressed as relative abundances. Only OTUs with an incidence above 1 % in at least 2 samples are shown

**Table 3.** Occurrence of the bacterial taxonomic groups by amplicon sequencing expressed as relative abundances. Only OTUs with an incidence above 1 % in at least 2 samples are shown

## FIGURE LEGEND

**Fig 1.** Dynamics of sugars and organic acid compounds in cocoa bean-pulp inoculated and non-inoculated during Box and Heap fermentations expressed as mg/g. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD values from triplicate determinations

**Fig 2.** Spearman's correlation between microbial OTUs observed with an incidence above >1 % in at least 2 samples. Samples are label according to fermentation method **A)** Box and **B)** Heap. Rows and columns are clustered by Ward linkage hierarchical clustering. The intensity of the colors represents the degree of correlation between fungal and bacterial OTUs as measured by the Spearman's correlation. The intensity of the colors represents the degree of correlation between yeast and bacterial with blue (negative degree of correlation) and red (positive degree of correlation).

**Fig 3.** Correlation plot showing Spearman's correlation between microbial OTUs and metabolites observed with an incidence above > 1% in at least 2 samples. Samples are label according to fermentation method **A)** Box and **B)** Heap. Significance associations between OTUs and metabolites are only shown ( $P < 0.05$ ). The intensity of the colors represents the degree of correlation between fungal and bacterial OTUs as measured by the Spearman's correlation, were the color blue represents a positive degree of correlation and red a negative correlation between sugars, organic acids and OTUs

BOX			FERMENTATION TIME (h)			
			0	48	96	120
	°C	S	26.73 ± 0.60	36.20 ± 1.53	41.88 ± 1.78	43.70 ± 2.94
		ST	26.48 ± 0.34	35.10 ± 2.46	41.73 ± 2.06	42.78 ± 3.68
		C	26.73 ± 0.62	36.20 ± 1.53	41.88 ± 1.78	43.70 ± 2.94
		A	26.58 ± 0.08 <sup>c</sup>	35.80 ± 1.22 <sup>b</sup>	42.09 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	43.33 ± 0.70 <sup>a</sup>
	pH	S	3.55 ± 0.03	3.88 ± 0.16	4.15 ± 0.11	3.96 ± 0.18
		ST	3.54 ± 0.01	4.00 ± 0.16	4.20 ± 0.15	4.18 ± 0.26
		C	3.55 ± 0.03	3.88 ± 0.17	4.27 ± 0.11	3.96 ± 0.18
		A	3.57 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	4.08 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	4.31 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	4.15 ± 0.17 <sup>ab</sup>
Yeast (Log CFU)	S	7.08 ± 0.05	7.19 ± 0.15	N.C	N.C	
	ST	7.14 ± 0.01	7.05 ± 0.06	N.C	N.C	
	C	7.19 ± 0.17	7.55 ± 0.27	N.C	N.C	
	A	7.14 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	7.26 ± 0.29 <sup>a</sup>	N.C	N.C	
LAB (Log CFU)	S	5.38 ± 0.30	7.18 ± 0.02	5.11 ± 1.28	6.75 ± 0.17	
	ST	6.13 ± 0.22	6.85 ± 0.27	4.33 ± 0.38	7.35 ± 0.25	
	C	6.21 ± 0.74	6.88 ± 0.40	4.00 ± 0.00	5.49 ± 0.59	
	A	5.91 ± 0.61 <sup>b</sup>	6.97 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	5.44 ± 2.85 <sup>c</sup>	6.55 ± 0.94 <sup>a</sup>	
AAB (Log CFU)	S	6.41 ± 0.11	7.01 ± 0.12	5.54 ± 1.78	5.63 ± 1.88	
	ST	6.28 ± 0.21	6.96 ± 0.06	5.60 ± 1.84	7.34 ± 0.09	
	C	6.28 ± 0.25	7.31 ± 0.26	4.00 ± 0.00	5.76 ± 0.49	
	A	6.32 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	7.09 ± 0.23 <sup>a</sup>	5.05 ± 2.01 <sup>b</sup>	6.69 ± 2.85 <sup>a</sup>	
HEAP						
	°C	S	28.20 ± 1.15	38.17 ± 0.75	36.57 ± 1.80	40.07 ± 0.12
		ST	27.37 ± 0.32	39.00 ± 2.21	36.57 ± 0.90	39.38 ± 0.32
		C	26.27 ± 0.06	38.97 ± 0.32	39.37 ± 2.57	40.30 ± 0.56
		A	27.28 ± 0.97 <sup>c</sup>	38.71 ± 0.47 <sup>b</sup>	38.40 ± 1.59 <sup>b</sup>	40.07 ± 0.23 <sup>a</sup>
	pH	S	3.55 ± 0.01	4.24 ± 0.17	4.48 ± 0.79	4.90 ± 0.97
		ST	3.53 ± 0.01	4.32 ± 0.23	4.05 ± 0.40	4.52 ± 0.74

	C	3.50 ± 0.05	3.87 ± 0.08	4.24 ± 0.29	3.99 ± 0.33
	A	<b>3.54 ± 0.02<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>4.28 ± 0.24<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>4.26 ± 0.21<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>4.71 ± 0.90<sup>a</sup></b>
<b>Yeast (Log CFU)</b>	S	7.16 ± 0.92	7.80 ± 0.15	7.13 ± 0.16	8.03 ± 0.29
	ST	6.76 ± 0.85	7.72 ± 0.15	7.24 ± 1.41	7.43 ± 0.07
	C	7.02 ± 0.71	6.62 ± 0.02	6.34 ± 0.04	7.24 ± 0.28
	A	<b>6.98 ± 0.20<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>7.38 ± 0.66<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>6.90 ± 0.48<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>7.57 ± 0.41<sup>a</sup></b>
<b>LAB (Log CFU)</b>	S	5.67 ± 0.25	7.28 ± 0.19	7.36 ± 0.04	7.69 ± 0.28
	ST	5.95 ± 0.29	7.07 ± 0.09	7.00 ± 0.01	7.50 ± 0.04
	C	5.72 ± 0.03	6.17 ± 0.21	7.40 ± 0.04	8.10 ± 0.13
	A	<b>5.78 ± 0.15<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>6.84 ± 0.59<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>7.25 ± 0.22<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>7.76 ± 0.30<sup>a</sup></b>
<b>AAB (Log CFU)</b>	S	6.20 ± 0.23	6.81 ± 0.14	8.33 ± 0.02	7.80 ± 0.18
	ST	5.70 ± 0.04	7.15 ± 0.28	8.08 ± 0.11	7.66 ± 0.01
	C	6.60 ± 0.04	5.65 ± 0.15	8.42 ± 0.02	8.56 ± 0.04
	A	<b>6.17 ± 0.45<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>6.54 ± 0.79<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>8.28 ± 0.18<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>8.00 ± 0.49<sup>b</sup></b>

Values are expressed as the mean ± SD from triplicate determinations. **Abbreviations:** **S:** *S. cerevisiae*, **ST:** *S. cerevisiae* and *T. delbrueckii*, **C:** Non-inoculated, **A:** Average N.C: Below detection limit. Different letters indicate statistical difference related to the fermentation time period using least significant difference test ( $P < 0.05$ ).  $P$ -values were adjusted using Bonferroni's method.

	Box				Heap					Box				Heap					Box				Heap			
	T0	T48	T96	T120	T0	T48	T96	T120		T0	T48	T96	T120	T0	T48	T96	T120		T0	T48	T96	T120				
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>									<i>S. cerevisiae</i> + <i>T. delbruecki</i>									Non-inoculated							
<i>Botryosphaeria</i>	1.33	0.43	0.46	0.21	0.59	1.55	0.13	0.13		0.53	0.44	0.91	3.51	0.56	0.32	0.59		0.66	0.20	1.08	0.16	11.07	0.00	0.47	0.12	
<i>Candida</i>	3.33	1.35	1.21	1.27	1.03	0.46	0.31	0.74		1.89	0.97	0.77	5.56	0.53	0.34	0.72		2.83	1.48	2.59	0.49	0.89	0.24	1.39	1.73	
<i>Candida butyri</i>	2.23	0.27	0.42	0.50	0.16	0.03	0.07	0.09		0.77	0.69	0.34	0.40	0.15	0.04	0.07		0.70	0.21	1.16	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.03	
<i>Candida diversa</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	5.50	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	1.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
<i>Candida inconspicua</i>	0.00	0.58	2.63	7.82	0.06	0.03	0.25	0.32		0.00	0.74	1.51	12.97	0.00	0.04	0.94		0.03	2.61	0.96	13.47	0.00	0.87	1.62	1.43	
<i>Candida jaroonii</i>	13.07	4.40	3.63	1.24	4.01	1.65	0.59	1.00		8.06	3.80	2.29	1.24	3.41	1.55	1.96		4.34	2.18	3.04	0.86	1.89	0.24	1.27	0.80	
<i>Candida quercitrusa</i>	2.27	1.03	0.78	0.32	1.31	0.94	0.32	0.81		1.40	0.72	1.17	0.49	1.27	0.99	1.34		2.43	1.08	1.04	0.16	0.47	0.09	1.46	0.89	
<i>Ceratocystis</i>	3.08	5.98	2.93	1.42	1.43	0.99	0.50	0.50		2.46	2.91	2.91	3.41	1.27	1.56	2.32		4.19	2.45	9.32	4.81	2.07	0.87	1.53	0.80	
<i>Hanseniaspora opuntiae</i>	38.33	40.98	39.72	28.21	49.76	39.10	48.67	64.37		36.78	37.25	15.62	0.38	43.73	29.51	11.70		54.21	48.11	29.13	21.78	69.22	72.35	35.05	44.81	
<i>Issatchenkia</i>	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	1.27	0.25	0.00	0.37		0.00	0.41	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.46	1.18	
<i>Kluyveromyces marxianus</i>	0.00	0.28	0.18	8.91	0.06	0.13	11.18	1.79		0.00	0.42	0.38	15.76	0.00	0.13	2.80		0.01	4.61	1.03	43.54	0.09	7.76	7.23	1.98	
<i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i>	0.83	0.61	2.07	1.33	0.49	0.49	0.09	0.07		3.30	0.91	1.22	0.90	0.80	0.09	0.25		4.94	0.61	0.92	0.27	0.10	0.16	0.07	0.16	
<i>Penicillium</i>	0.62	0.39	1.09	0.16	0.19	0.13	0.00	0.06		0.12	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.10	0.19	0.27		0.55	0.12	0.24	0.10	0.15	0.02	0.52	0.21	
<i>Pichia</i>	0.56	0.09	0.05	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.01	0.78		0.06	0.09	0.15	0.60	0.07	0.01	0.49		0.37	0.41	0.55	0.19	0.19	0.00	1.21	1.28	
<i>Pichia pijperi</i>	10.90	10.23	12.75	15.36	10.21	14.55	6.79	8.42		7.67	9.57	9.05	18.01	13.62	18.35	17.13		11.32	10.91	14.24	8.38	10.37	6.29	29.42	24.14	
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	12.95	28.62	28.30	30.22	26.08	37.67	30.29	19.11		7.73	21.50	31.97	15.98	7.67	21.57	33.96		0.28	19.95	27.12	3.35	0.37	10.28	11.92	17.96	
<i>Saccharomycopsis</i>	0.72	0.35	0.32	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.07	0.13		1.12	0.30	0.32	0.03	0.27	0.10	0.32		0.82	0.49	1.21	0.22	0.52	0.13	0.32	0.34	
<i>Torulaspora delbrueckii</i>	0.06	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.04		25.35	16.32	28.18	8.48	23.02	20.45	22.50		0.02	0.07	0.27	0.03	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.09	

750 Values are expressed as the mean from duplicate determinations. The abundance of OTUs in the 2 biological replicates of each sampling time was averaged. Samples are label according to fermentation time (0, 48, 96  
751 and 120 h), fermentation method (Box and Heap), and condition (inoculated with S, ST and non-inoculated).

752



	Box				Heap					Box				Heap					Box				Heap			
	T0	T48	T96	T120	T0	T48	T96	T120		T0	T48	T96	T120	T0	T48	T96	T120		T0	T48	T96	T120	T0	T48	T96	T120
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>									<i>S. cerevisiae</i> + <i>T. delbrueckii</i>									Non-inoculated							
<i>Acetobacter pasteurianus</i>	3.16	13.81	62.90	52.83	1.05	40.27	76.99	69.22		3.02	42.67	63.42	86.96	0.96	57.24	79.19	24.74		2.40	18.22	42.67	64.24	4.70	28.52	36.67	45.93
<i>Acetobacteraceae</i>	0.19	1.73	1.01	1.29	0.00	16.87	6.23	5.08		0.29	4.70	0.86	1.05	0.10	11.79	4.41	0.86		0.10	0.58	3.45	2.40	0.38	5.42	1.44	0.10
<i>Acinetobacter</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29		0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.99		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00
<i>Acinetobacter guillouiae</i>	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86		0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.25		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.14
<i>Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	1.44		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.25		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.19	0.24
<i>Bacillus</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77		0.00	0.00	11.94	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.36		0.00	0.10	0.00	4.41	0.05	0.00	0.96	8.96
<i>Dyella</i>	1.68	0.58	0.10	0.14	0.77	0.10	0.00	0.00		0.43	0.38	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00		1.25	0.29	0.58	0.19	0.96	1.10	0.53	0.14
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>	3.26	1.34	1.20	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10		0.96	0.58	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.10		1.82	1.53	0.58	0.19	2.21	1.53	0.62	0.34
<i>Erwinia</i>	4.94	1.68	1.10	0.53	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00		1.63	0.72	0.19	0.00	0.29	0.10	0.00	0.00		3.45	1.53	0.77	0.58	2.30	1.82	1.05	0.34
<i>Gluconobacter</i>	3.36	1.25	0.38	0.05	1.63	1.34	0.38	0.19		4.07	1.15	0.05	0.10	2.97	1.25	0.38	0.10		2.40	0.67	0.58	0.00	2.88	1.34	0.10	0.10
<i>Klebsiella</i>	0.34	0.43	0.19	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19		1.10	0.19	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.48		1.25	1.15	0.48	0.10	0.38	0.34	0.34	3.93
<i>Lactobacillaceae</i>	0.05	2.06	3.88	1.20	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.10		0.05	1.68	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.19	0.10		0.10	5.37	2.21	1.25	0.19	0.62	1.10	0.34
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> group	1.44	15.58	12.27	4.31	0.00	2.11	0.38	0.19		0.91	10.74	1.92	0.67	0.00	1.15	0.19	1.63		0.58	28.57	14.09	5.47	0.29	3.74	6.62	1.49
<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	0.19	31.59	3.40	8.63	0.00	10.07	7.19	5.75		0.14	6.14	10.12	1.44	0.00	9.20	6.90	12.18		0.10	3.55	0.58	7.00	0.05	30.87	13.71	16.73
<i>Lysinibacillus</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67		0.00	0.00	1.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.05
<i>Trabulsiella</i>	5.13	3.12	2.49	1.53	0.38	0.29	0.00	0.00		2.64	0.81	0.53	0.19	0.58	0.10	0.00	0.10		5.75	1.73	1.53	0.86	2.97	4.12	0.96	0.38

754 Values are expressed as the mean from duplicate determinations. The abundance of OTUs in the 2 biological replicates of each sampling time was averaged. Samples are label according to fermentation time (0, 48, 96

755 and 120 h), fermentation method (Box and Heap), and condition (inoculated with S, ST and non-inoculated)

Fig. 1





