

This is a pre print version of the following article:



#### AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Fate of selected pathogens in spiked «SALAME NOSTRANO» produced without added nitrates following the application of NONIT™ technology

Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1687703	since 2021-12-29T17:55:21Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.02.002	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the to of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or protection by the applicable law.	erms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 FATE OF SELECTED PATHOGENS IN SPIKED «SALAME NOSTRANO»
- 2 PRODUCED WITHOUT ADDED NITRATES FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF
- 3 NONIT<sup>TM</sup> TECHNOLOGY

4

- 5 B. T. Cenci-Goga<sup>1</sup>, M. Karama<sup>2</sup>, P. Sechi, M.F. Iulietto, L. Grispoldi, R. Selvaggini<sup>3</sup>, S.
- 6 Barbera<sup>4</sup>

7

- 8 Medicina Veterinaria, Laboratorio di Ispezione degli Alimenti di Origine Animale, Università
- 9 degli Studi di Perugia, 06126 Perugia, Italy
- 10 <sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze agrarie, alimentari e ambientali Università di Perugia
- <sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari DISAFA Università di Torino

12

13

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Address and correspondence to: B. T. Cenci-Goga, Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, Università degli Studi di Perugia, via San Costanzo, 06126 Perugia, Italy, **Telephone**: +39 075 585 7929, **Telefax**: +39 075 585 7976, **E-mail**: beniamino.cencigoga@unipg.it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present address: University of Pretoria, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Department of Paraclinical Sciences, Onderstepoort, South Africa

Abstract
This study evaluated the effect of a novel formulation for starter culture associated with specific
ripening conditions (NoNit <sup>TM</sup> technology) vs. a commercial» starter on the fate selected
pathogens and hygiene indicators during fermentation and ripening of experimentally spiked
salame nostrano (italian dry salami). Selected strains of Staphylococcus aureus 27R,
Escherichia coli CSH26 K 12, Listeria innocua ATCC 33090 and Salmonella Derby 27 were
inoculated into salami batter and challenged with two formulation of starter cultures (a
commercial one and the NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation consisting of <i>Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis</i> , strain
340; L. lactis ssp. lactis, strain 16; Lactobacillus casei ssp. casei, strain 208 and Enterococcus
faecium strain 614) in specific ripening conditions.
The proposed formulation along with specific ripening conditions (NoNit <sup>TM</sup> ) limited the
growth of spiked Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella Derby 27 and Listeria
innocua yet maintaining the basic appearance and aroma and texture attributes of the products.
Key words: starter culture, dry salami, Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis, Lactobacillus casei ssp.
casei, Enterococcus faecium

#### Introduction

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

Fermentation of raw meat to improve the safety, the shelf life and the acceptability of certain foods has a long tradition. In fermented sausages produced from raw meat the conditions that result from fermentation are in general sufficient to inhibit the growth and toxin production of most pathogens due to a combinations of hurdles, such as pH, water activity, preservatives and the action of lactic acid bacteria, either added as starter cultures or naturally present (B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016). However studies have demonstrated that several bacteria, including Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria spp., Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. can survive in final products, and although fermented sausages have a wellfounded reputation for safety, outbreaks of food poisonings occurs (Al-Mutairi, 2011; Chajecka-Wierzchowska, Zadernowska, Nalepa, Sierpinska, & Laniewska-Trokenheim, 2015; D'Ostuni et al., 2016). In Italy, for instance, salami have been implicated in several E. coli and Salmonella spp. related family outbreaks (Conedera et al., 2007; Luzzi et al., 2007). Outbreaks of L. monocytogenes linked to the consumption of pre-sliced ready to eat foods have been described (Anonymous, 2002; Sartz et al., 2008; Sheen & Hwang, 2008). During fermentation, ripening and drying of fermented sausages levels of pathogens have been shown to drop with the inactivation proportional to pH, salt and nitrates/nitrite levels (Casey & Condon, 2000; Riordan et al., 1998). Over the last years there has been a growing consumer interest in ready to eat fermented sausages produced with lower concentrations of additives and many technologies have been proposed to limit their use yet maintaining the same level of safety (Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Zarringhalami, Sahari, & Hamidi-Esfehani, 2009). Moreover, further to the recommendation of the «International agency for research on cancer» of the «World health organization» based on epidemiological studies suggesting that small increases in the risk of several cancers may be associated with high consumption of red meat or processed meat (Bouvard et al., 2015),

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

several agencies and the media have raised the question whether processed meat can be safely produced without added nitrates and nitrites. Processed meat refers to meat that has been transformed through salting, curing, fermentation, smoking, or other processes to enhance flavor or improve preservation, such as nitrates and nitrites addition. Nitrates and nitrites are currently authorized as food additives within the EU. These additives function as preservatives in food and they are both used extensively to enhance the color and extend the shelf life of processed meats. Nitrite is considered the active curing ingredient responsible for the preservation of the food in combination with other ingredients, like salt. Nitrate, when added to food, converts to nitrite before exerting a preservative function. The use of nitrates and nitrites in food products must comply with the provisions set out in Annex II part E of Regulation (EC) No.1333/2008 on food additives which is in force since 1 June 2013. The maximum levels at which nitrates and nitrites may be used, and also the specific foodstuffs in which they may be used as well as their conditions of use are also established by Regulation (EC) No. 1333/2008 as amended. These levels are set at values which ensure that a person consuming a typical diet would not exceed the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) established for these additives. Purity criteria have also been established for nitrates and nitrites via Regulation (EC) No. 231/2012 as amended. Two main nitrite and nitrate salt forms are allowed. These are sodium and potassium nitrite and potassium and sodium nitrate. These substances have been attributed E numbers (E250, E249, E251 and E252 respectively). In accordance with EU legislation, nitrates and nitrites are permitted for use in foods such as cheese, raw and processed meats, and processed fish and may only be sold in a mixture with salt or a salt substitute when labeled for food use. This is designed to limit the amount of nitrite that can be added and to prevent accidental poisoning through the addition of excessive quantities of nitrite to food (Anonymous, 2016).

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

A primary function of nitrite is the production of the characteristic red color of cured meats. Nitrite, in addition, impart antibacterial activity, particularly the inhibition of germination of spores and toxin formation by Clostridium botulinum (Adams & Moss, 2000; Mossel, Corry, Struijk, & Baird, 1995). Despite the desired properties, the safety of nitrite to human health has been questioned as they can cause the formation of carcinogenic N-nitrosamines. In foods, nitrosamines are produced from nitrites and secondary amines: their formation can occur only under certain conditions, including strongly acidic conditions such as that of the human stomach. Carcinogenic chemicals that form during meat processing include N-nitroso compounds and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Bouvard et al., 2015; Ozel, Gogus, Yagci, Hamilton, & Lewis, 2010). For these reasons the consumer interest in nitrite-free products has raised and, as a consequence, hand-crafted products made locally in small-scale plants are often marketed as nitrate and nitrite free, in attempt to link locally made products to safer technologies (Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Zarringhalami et al., 2009). It is obvious that nitrite-free sausages manufacture pose two big problems to the food business operators: first of all the production of safe food (i.e. absence of Clostridium botulinum toxin) and then color formation and stability (Zarringhalami et al., 2009). Several alternatives to nitrates nitrites for their antimicrobial action have been tested (Pegg & Shahidi, 2000) and many different methods have been proposed to enhance color intensity and uniformity, including starter cultures based mainly on cold adapted lactic acid bacteria and natural colorant (Zarringhalami et al., 2009; Zhang, Kong, & Xiong, 2007). The application of starter cultures in food production has a crucial aim: their activity is addressed to restrain indigenous microbiota to control the processing and promote food safety. According to the *hurdle technology* (Leistner, 2000), every condition which make the pathogen or spoilers bacterial growth disadvantaged can be considered a hurdle; according to that,

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

beneficial bacterial strains can be applied to enhance shelf-stable cured meat products, guaranteeing safe productions. Lactic acid bacteria and staphylococci are the most used strains in cured meat production and they have been directly applied to the meat batter since several years. On one hand, the application of staphylococci as starter cultures shows some limitations since pH tolerance and temperature tolerance are key factor to enable them to produce elective enzymes; low temperatures, in fact, can affect their activity. Meat color formation and stability is enhanced by staphylococci while rancidity processes are slowed. Among staphylococci, the most frequently used are Staphyloccous xylosus and S. carnosus and the produced enzymes, in association with the enzymes in the meat, contribute to aroma definition. On the other hand, lactic acid bacteria determine technological changes, which promote meat stability. They ferment available sugars and produce organic acids: the produced acids determine pH reduction which contribute to drying process through the reduction of the water holding capacity, to color formation and to inhibit undesired microbiota occurred in the product. Before defining which strains can be applied as starter cultures, it is required that the strains are homofermentative (to avoid unwanted gas production), producing mostly lactic acid or little amount of acetic acid, through the definition of the acidification profile. Among Lactic Acid Bacteria, Lactobacillus spp. and Pediococcus spp. are the most frequently applied in dry sausages production for the desired activities. Anyway, it has to be considered that according to each specific meat product raw composition and recipe, the acidification capability of indigenous microbiota may vary and influence the activities of the added strains. To maximize the beneficial effect of the starter culture application, therefore, it is crucial to well-define product characteristics, processing technology and predict the final product. In addition, processing parameters, mince composition, product diameter influence acidification speed which can affect the quality of the final product. In fact, fast reached low pH value affects

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

staphylococci enzymes activities with subsequent less effective color and aroma formation, as required by the manufacturer to be satisfied. During our studies on hand-crafted products made in small-scale plants in Umbria, central Italy (Cenci Goga, Ranucci, Miraglia, & Cioffi, 2008; Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015) we discovered that fermentation and ripening temperature were consistently below 12°C throughout the whole ripening process. In the area of food biotechnology, cold-adapted microorganisms, that is, psychrophilic, psychrotrophic and psychrotolerant microorganisms, have generally been regarded as food-spoilage organisms rather than as potentially useful, an outlook that has grown especially since the introduction of refrigerators for food storage. Thus, research into the mechanisms of control of the growth of cold-adapted microorganisms and their enzyme activities has been very popular. Less attention has been paid to the fact that coldadapted microorganisms and their enzyme systems can themselves be applied as potential biocatalyst at low temperature. Low temperature reactions utilizing such biocatalysts have various advantages, e.g., low temperatures in the processing of foods prevent contamination by mesophilic organisms, and cold-adapted enzymes, due to their heat-lability, can be easily inactivated by heating when unneeded after use. With this in mind we reproduced in the ripening chamber of the pilot plant the average conditions recorded during our studies and tested a new formulation of cold-adapted microorganism against a commercial one to investigate their effect on selected spiked pathogens throughout ripening.

Materials and methods

150

151	Definition of ripening conditions
152	During a survey on hand-crafted products made in small-scale plants in Umbria, central Italy
153	(Cenci Goga et al., 2008; Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015) we discovered
154	that fermentation and ripening temperature for salame nostrano, a typical dry salami produced
155	in central Italy, were consistently below 12°C throughout the whole ripening process. Using
156	several Testostor 175-2 (Testo, Lenzkirch, Germany) data loggers we measured the
157	temperature and humidity conditions in small-scale plants that produce fermented salami. The
158	same conditions were used for this experiment (Figure 1).
159	Selection of starter cultures
160	A selection of 138 lactic acid bacteria isolated from meat and dairy products and identified in
161	previous works (Cenci Goga et al., 2008; Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015;
162	B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Clementi, Cenci Goga, Trabalza-Marinucci, & Di Antonio,
163	1998; Filipović et al., 2012; Sechi et al., 2014) have been tested for ability to grow at low
164	temperature (10°C) in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. All strains that showed growth at 10°C
165	both in aerobic and anaerobic conditions without gas production from dextrose were tested for
166	acidifying activity in Skim Milk (BD Difco) and then, based on the instantaneous acidification
167	rate and its maximum value, a selection of four strain was made to be used as cold adapted
168	starter culture (NoNit <sup>TM</sup> ) (B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016).
169	Starter cultures
170	Bacterial strains used in the NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation were: <i>Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis</i> , strain
171	340; L. lactis ssp. lactis, strain 16; Lactobacillus casei ssp. casei, strain 208 and Enterococcus
172	faecium UBEF-41. The morphological, biochemical, physiological characterization, growth
173	curves at several temperature, including refrigeration conditions, the acidifying activity of four

bacterial strains and their ability to improve palatability of dry salami have been reported by the authors in previous papers (Cenci Goga, Clementi, & Di Antonio, 1995; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Clementi et al., 1998). Before further tests (acidification in milk, challenge in vitro and challenge in salami production), freeze-dried strains of the starter cultures were grown aerobically in Nutrient Broth (NB, Oxoid CM0001, Basingstoke, UK) at 37°C for 24 hrs. Each strain was then sub-cultured in Skim Milk (BD Difco, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA, 232100) at 37°C for 24 hrs. Total viable cells (TVC) count (on Nutrient Agar, NA, Oxoid CM0003, incubated at 37°C on air for 24 hrs) at 24 hrs was approximately 1 x 10° cfu ml-1. For the tests (acidification in milk, challenge in vitro and challenge in salami production) strains were inoculated into milk or salami batter to get an initial concentration of approximately 1 x 10° cfu ml-1 or g-1 which mimics the initial starter concentration in salami production.

186 Selected spiked microorganisms.

Bacterial strains used as marker micro-organisms for the inoculation were taken from the collection of the Laboratorio di Ispezione degli Alimenti di Origine Animale: *S. aureus*, strain 27R mec(A), resistant to 2 µg/ml of methicillin; *E. coli*, strain CSH26 K-12, resistant to 200 µg/ml nalidixic acid; *Listeria innocua* ATCC 33090; *Salmonella* Derby field strain (internal ref. #27). The micro-organisms were grown aerobically in Nutrient Broth (NB, Oxoid CM0001, Basingstoke, UK) at 37°C for 24 hrs. The total viable cells (TVC) count (on Nutrient Agar, NA, Oxoid CM0003, incubated at 37°C on air for 24 hrs) at 24 hrs was approximately 1 x  $10^9$  CFU/ml<sup>-1</sup>. For the challenge test in milk and in salami each strain was inoculated in skim milk (BD Difco) or into salami batter to get an initial concentration of approximately 1 x  $10^6$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> (1 x  $10^4$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> for the salami batter).

197 Characterization of NoNit<sup>TM</sup> starter cultures with acidification and challenge growth curves 198 at 10°C

Acidification. Strains of the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation (*Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis*, strain 340; *L. lactis* ssp. *lactis*, strain 16; *Lactobacillus casei* ssp. *casei*, strain 208 and *Enterococcus faecium* UBEF-41) were inoculated as pure cultures into skim milk (BD Difco) at 37°C to get a final concentration of 10° ml<sup>-1</sup> after 24 h of incubation. The association was then inoculated into skim milk (BD Difco) to get an initial cocci: bacilli:enterocci ratio of 2:1:1 and an initial concentration of about 10<sup>7</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. The association was incubated at 10°C and pH was measured with a Foodtrode electrode (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV, USA) hooked to an Eutech pH 2700 (Eutech Instrument Europe B.V. Nijkerk, Netherlands) which recorded pH values continuously with CyberComm 6000 (Eutech Instrument) every minute. To find mathematically the maximum instantaneous rate of acidification and the moment in which this is achieved, a fourth degree polynomial equation was used as an empirical model for fitting the experimental data collected for each microorganism and for each condition:

$$y= a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3 + ex^4$$
 [equation1]

In this equation y is the pH value, x is time (minutes) and a, b, c, d, and e are the regression coefficients of the independent variable x. The coefficients were determined by the statistical package STATGRAPHICS Centurion XVI version 16.2.04 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA). The first derivative of the equation gives the instantaneous acidification rate and its maximum value  $(V_m)$  corresponds to the inflection point of the acidification curve, whereas the second derivative gives the acceleration and one of its roots give the x value  $(t_m)$  of the inflection point. Substituting to x the  $t_m$  value, can be evaluated by the fourth degree equation the pH value corresponding to the inflection point.

Challenge test in vitro. Bacterial reduction for selected pathogens (*Escherichia coli*, strain CSH26 K-12, *Staphylococcus aureus*, strain 27R, *Salmonella* Derby n. 27) and *L*.

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

monocytogenes surrogate (L. innocua ATCC 33090) vs. the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation were performed in six replicates. All strains for NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation were inoculated as pure cultures into skim milk (BD Difco) and incubated at 37°C to get a final concentration of about 10<sup>9</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 24 h of incubation. The association was then inoculated into BHI broth (BD Difco) and skim milk (BD Difco) to get an initial cocci:bacilli:enterocci ratio of 2:1:1 and a concentration of approx 10<sup>7</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> until challenge vs. selected pathogens and hygienic indicators. Pathogens and hygiene indicators were inoculated as pure cultures into BHI (BD Difco) and incubated at 37°C to get final concentration of about 10<sup>9</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 24 h of incubation. For the test each strain was then inoculated into skim milk (BD Difco) to get an initial concentration of approx 10<sup>6</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. The challenges were carried out in skim milk (BD Difco) at 10°C. Bacterial counts were recorded at time 0, 12 h, 24 h, 30 h, 48 h, 72 h, 120 h, 168 h, 240 h. Microbiological analysis were conducted using the methods described below (Microbiological analysis paragraph). Salami production, spiking and sampling The study was performed in nine different replications in nine diverse days. Two batches on each replication were produced: with a «commercial starter culture formulation» (Commercial) and with the «NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation» (NoNit<sup>TM</sup>). Both batches were then spiked with the following strains: S. aureus, strain 27R mec(A), resistant to 2 µg/ml of methicillin; E. coli, strain CSH26 K-12, resistant to 200 µg/ml nalidixic acid; Listeria innocua ATCC 33090 and Salmonella Derby field strain (internal ref. #27). All batches, before intentional contamination with the selected microorganism, were tested for the absence of *Listeria* spp. and *Salmonella* spp., while for antibiotic resistant strains of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* the absence of contamination

was ensured by the use of culture media with antibiotics.

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

Salami were prepared with a procedure handed down among butchers for centuries, at the pilot plant of the Laboratorio di Ispezione degli Alimenti di Origine Animale. For each replication pork meat came form the same farm and all animals were "suino pesante italiano tipico" with a live weight of more than 150 kg, and more than 9 months of age. Meat, shoulder and flank (70%) and hind fat (30%), was minced and blended with the ingredients (NaCl, 30 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, pepper, 5 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, garlic, 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, dextrose 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and starter cultures). Starter cultures of the formulation NoNit<sup>TM</sup> were added at a concentration of 10<sup>7</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of meat, with a cocci:bacilli:enterococci ratio of 2:1:1. The commercial starter contained a combination of Lactobacillus paracasei and Lb. rhamnosus with S. carnosus and S. xylosus with a bacilli:cocci ratio of 1:1, and according to manufacturer indications, the final concentration reached in meat batter is above  $10^7$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of meat. Salami (30 mm by 10 cm), were then hoisted in a dry-curing hall. Figure 1 shows fermentation and ripening parameters as logged by the chamber. Sampling (three sausages per group, three subsamples each sausage) occurred at time 0 (ground meat), day 3 (middle fermentation), day 7 (end-fermentation), day 13 (middle ripening), day 21 (end ripening process) and day 28 (final product). Microbiological analysis For each of the nine replications, 3 sausages per group at each sampling point and 3 subsamples for sausage were sampled. For the analysis of salami, more solito (Cenci Goga et al., 2012) about 25 g of sample were transferred aseptically to 225 ml of sterile, buffered, peptone water (Oxoid), and homogenised in a stomacher (PBI International). Serial decimal dilutions in buffered peptone water were prepared and triplicate 1 ml or 0.1 ml samples of appropriate dilutions were poured or spread on total count and selective agar plates. Microbial analysis were conducted according to the

methods described previously (Cenci Goga et al., 2012).

271 Briefly, Oxacillin Resistance Screening Agar base (ORSAB, Oxoid CM1008) with ORSAB selective supplement (Oxoid, SR0195), incubated at 37°C for 24 h was used for S. aureus 272 methicillin resistant strain. Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar (VRBG, Oxoid, CM0485), to which 273 274 a solution of nalidixic acid at a final concentration of 200 µg/ml was added, incubated at 37°C 275 for 24h was used for counts of E. coli nalidixic acid resistant strain. RAPID'Listeria spp. Agar 276 Base (Biorad, USA, 3564744) with RAPID'Listeria spp. Supplement 1 (Biorad, 3564745) and RAPID'Listeria Supplement 2 (Biorad 3564746), incubated at 37°C for 24 h, was used for 277 278 Listeria innocua. X.L.D. AGAR (Oxoid CM0469), incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours was used 279 for Salmonella Derby 27. Total aerobic mesophilic microbiota on Plate Count Agar (Oxoid), at 30 °C for 72 h; *Lactococcus* spp. on M17 agar (Oxoid) 10% v/v lactose, at 37°C for 48 h; 280 281 Lactobacillus spp. on MRS Agar (Oxoid) pH 5.5, at 30 °C for 72 h under anaerobic conditions 282 (Gas generating kit, Oxoid); enterococci on Slanetz and Bartley (SB) Agar (Oxoid), at 37 °C for 48 h. Staphylococcus spp. on Baird Parker agar (Oxoid CM 275) containing Egg Yolk 283 284 Tellurite (Oxoid SR 54) at 37°C for 48 h, after replica-plating (Lederberg & Lederberg, 1952) 285 on Oxacillin Resistance Screening Agar base (ORSAB, Oxoid CM1008) with ORSAB selective supplement (Oxoid, SR0195), incubated at 37°C for 24 h to disregard spiked S. aureus 286 287 methicillin resistant strain.

Physico-chemical and chemical analysis

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

With the sampling scheme used for microbiological analysis (nine replications, 3 sausages per group at each sampling point, 3 subsamples for sausage) salami were macerated in a chopper and appropriate portions of the homogenised sample were used for chemical analysis. A Double Pore F electrode (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV, USA) hooked to an Eutech pH 2700 (Eutech Instrument Europe B.V.) was used to measure the pH by mixing 10 g of sausage with 90 ml of distilled water. Water activity (a<sub>w</sub>) was measured with a dew-point hygrometer HygroLab 3 (Rotronic, Huntington, NY, USA). Calibration was performed using five saturated

solutions of known a<sub>w</sub>. Chemical composition and NaCl content were determined according to AOAC methods (AOAC, 2000). a<sub>w</sub>, and pH were determined through ripening, whereas chemical composition and ashes content were determined on the day of stuffing and at the end of the ripening process.

#### Sensory evaluation

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

Few days after the end of ripening, a descriptive sensory evaluation was performed. The panel consisted of 6 assessors selected among the staff at the Laboratorio di Ispezione degli Alimenti di Origine Animale, previously trained in descriptive analysis for cured meat products. The tasters were warned that some samples could be contaminated with pathogens and asked to test the dry-cured sausages for the following characteristics: colour intensity, colour uniformity, fat/lean connection, fat/lean distribution, global odour, mould odour, elasticity, hardness, cohesiveness. Each assessor was given sheets with a 7-point scale (non-numbered to avoid biased assessment) for each characteristic: 7 = maximum intensity and 1 = minimum intensity. The evaluations were held in individual booths, built according to the criteria of the International Standards Organisation (ISO, 2003), each testers wore personal protective equipment (protective glasses, disposable gloves and disposable lab cots). Samples were taken from the middle of the sausage by cutting off 2 cm from each edge. The sausage slices were 4 mm thick and were immediately served on a plastic dish covered with plastic film and coded with random, three-digit numbers. Assessments were carried out under natural light at a room temperature of 20±2°C. The individual scores for each assessor were then averaged to give a score for the taste panel as a whole. Three evaluations for each different sausage were made. Each evaluation was carried out in different test sessions at the same time of day, between 10 and 12 a.m. To reduce fatigue, assessors conducted no more than three tests per day, lasting a maximum of 1 h. The significance and the quantitative scale for each descriptor were discussed during the training sessions. A preparatory session was held prior to analysis, so that each

assessor could thoroughly discuss and clarify each attribute to be evaluated. Briefly, color intensity was defined as the characteristic red color of cured *salame nostrano*, color uniformity as the absence of a darker, external halo in the slice due to anomalous drying process, fat/lean connection as the degree of adherence of the product's principal ingredients (fat and lean), fat/lean distribution as the uniform distribution of lean and fat on the slice. Global odor is the global sensation of aroma, mold is the characteristic odor associated with the chemical compound 1-octen-3-ol and has a distinct mushroom odor. Elasticity is the rapidity of recovery from deforming force applied with forefinger and thumb, hardness is the force necessary to compress the sample between forefinger and thumb to achieve a given deformation; cohesiveness is the resistance of the sample before breaking when it is strained.

Analysis of results.

The arithmetic means within each sampling was computed, subsequently all data (geometric mean for microbiology) were elaborated with GraphPad InStat, 3.0b and GraphPad Prism 6.0h for Mac OS X. For each of the nine replications, the log<sub>10</sub> of the arithmetic means for all microbiological analysis was calculated, following which all log<sub>10</sub> data were analysed with GraphPad InStat, version 3.0b, for Mac OS X for the analysis of variance followed by the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons test.

The detectable colony limit was 10<sup>2</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> for spread plate and 10 cfu g<sup>-1</sup> for pour plate and

The detectable colony limit was  $10^{2}$  cfu g for spread plate and 10 cfu g for pour plate and the confidence limit 95%, according to the classic formula  $2s = 2\sqrt{x}$ . Only values included between 30 and 300 cfu were considered suitable for data analysis and when the count revealed lower values at the lowest dilution, the results were reported as <300 for pour plate and <3000 for spread plate. Samples showing at least one typical colony in the lowest dilution were defined as positive, otherwise the result was considered negative (Cenci Goga et al., 2005).

For sensory evaluation a t -student test was carried out to determine the effect of the starter formulation (Commecial vs. NoNit<sup>TM</sup>) on the appearance salami.

#### Results

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

Characterization of starter cultures with acidification and challenge growth curves at 10°C Acidifying activity of selected starter cultures. Three species of lactic acid bacteria along with a commercial probiotic were chosen to be used as a starter. These strains had been previously identified by API 50 CHL and sequencing and a selection of them had already been used as a starter in the manufacturing of salami (Cenci Goga et al., 2008; Cenci Goga et al., 2012). The acidifying activity of the different species had been preliminarily tested (Cenci Goga et al., 1995; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Clementi et al., 1998; Sechi et al., 2014) as pure cultures and as different associations in milk to assess their suitability to be used as a starter. The parameters describing the acidification kinetics for the test at 10°C are described in Table 1 together with the regression coefficients of the fourth degree polynomial [equation 1] which was used as an empirical model. This adequately fitted the experimental data, since the r<sup>2</sup> values varied from 0.998 to 0.999 and the actual values were almost exactly superimposed on the empirical model curves (Figure 2a and 2b). The values of the maximum instantaneous acidification rate [V<sub>m</sub>] were of the same order for all strains, except for Lc. lactis ssp. lactis strain 340 which had the highest  $V_m$  [-2.35 x 10<sup>-4</sup>  $\Delta pH/min$ ) and for Lc. lactis ssp. lactis strain 16 which had the lowest t<sub>m</sub> [3754 min] values. After 12.000 min. all strains reached pH values below 5.5 (below 5.0 for strain 340). E. faecium gave a one fold pH decrease within about 2000 min, like some commercial cold adapted lactobacilli (Chamba & Prost, 1989). The association of the four strains performed reached a final pH of 4.20 and

387

367 showed the fastest pH drop in the first 24 hrs along with a steady descent subsequently. The association mimicked the behaviour of the two Lc. lactis ssp. lactis strains in the first 16 hrs 368 and then, when the lactococci acidification activity decreased (steady pH values after 24 hrs), 369 mirrored the *Lb. casei spp casei* performance for a continuous pH drop. 370 Challenge test. Results are shown in Figure 3 which display the different growth curves of 371 pathogens or hygiene indicators alone or vs. the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation. Figure 3a shows the 372 373 evolution of S. aureus, strain 27R, 3b E. coli CSH26 K-12; 3c L. innocua ATCC 33090; 3d S. 374 Derby strain 27. For the challenge S. aureus vs NoNit<sup>TM</sup>, level of S. aureus dropped, after 240 375 hrs, below  $10^5$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. A similar behaviour was shown by E. coli with a slower drop ( $<10^7$ 376 cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 120 hrs). L. innocua had a substantial reduction in total viable cells between 72 377 and 120 hrs (<10<sup>5</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 24 hrs in BHI and at 240 hrs in milk). LAB had an effect on Salmonella Derby, with levels below 10<sup>5</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 240 hrs. Table 2 shows the log reduction 378 along with the statistics: after 240 hours of incubation with NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation, S. aureus 379 had a 1.45 log reduction, E. coli 0.81 log, L. innocua 1.30 log and S. Derby 2.18 log. 380 Figure 4 show the correct evolution of the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> strains both in milk and in BHI alone and 381 382 vs. selected pathogens. 383 Salami challenge test 384 **Pathogens**. No *Listeria* spp. nor *Salmonella* spp. were detected before the challenge testing in 385 the raw materials, pork meat, pork fat and in natural casing and ingredients (NaCl, pepper, 386 dextrose, garlic and starter cultures). Counts of S. aureus, strain 27R; E. coli, strain CSH26

K-12; Listeria innocua ATCC 33090 and Salmonella Derby (internal ref. #27) at time 0

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

(ground meat), day-3 (middle fermentation), day-7 (end-fermentation), day-13 (middle ripening), day-21 (end ripening process) and day-28 (final product) are displayed in Figure 5 (5a S. aurues, 5b E. coli, 5c L. innocua, 5d S. Derby) and Table 3. The initial inoculum levels (day-0) was always approx. 4 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>: for S. aureus it ranged from 4.19 (in commercial batch) to 4.26 (in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batch), for E. coli form 4.03 to 4.18, for L. innocua from 4.09 to 4.18 and for Salmonella Derby from 3.69 to 3.90. During fermentation and ripening, with very little heterogeneity observed between batches, S. aureus strain 27R reached levels of 6 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> from day-21 in the commercial batches while remained always below 6 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> in the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batches. E. coli, strain CSH26 K-12 reached levels of 5.05 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> on day-7 and remained at about 4 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> throughout ripening in commercial batches, while dropped to 1.93 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> in NoNit<sup>TM</sup>batches, after a peak to 4.53 on day-3. L. innocua ATCC 33090 reached about 6 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> on day-3 with a plateau till the end of ripening in commercial batches and reached 5.02 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> on day-21 to drop below 5 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at the end of ripening. Salmonella Derby reached levels of 5.42 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> on day-3 and stayed above 3 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> throughout fermentation and ripening in commercial batches while dropped below 3 from day-21 and to 1.79 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at the end of ripening in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batches. Evolution of starter cultures. Lactococcus spp. and Lactobacillus spp. counts were always above 6 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> and reached values above 8 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> from day-3 (for *Lactobacillus* spp.) and day-7 (for Lactococcus spp.) for both formulations. Enterococcus spp. counts started from 4.14 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> in the commercial batches and from from 6.11 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> in the  $NoNit^{TM}$  batches and remained above from 6  $log_{10}$  cfu g  $^{-1}$  throughout fermentation and ripening in both batches. Staphylococcus spp. counts (these data are the difference between counts in Baird Parker agar and counts in Oxacillin Resistance Screening Agar base with

ORSAB selective supplement, after replica plating), were always above 4 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> and 412 decreased to 3.25 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at the end of ripening in commercial batches, while in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> 413 batches counts were always below 4 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> and decreases below 2 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> on day-414 21 (Figure 6 and Table 4). 415 416 Physico-chemical and chemical analysis 417 Figures 7 and 8 show aw and pH. aw decreased below 0.90 on day-13 in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batches and on day-21 in commercial batches. Fully ripened salami were between 0.82 in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batchges 418 and 0.84 in commercial batches. Mean pH values on the day of stuffing were 6.10 and reached 419 420 values below 6.0 on day-3 in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batches and on day-21 on commercial batches. At the end of ripening NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batches reached pH values of 5.17 and commercial batches of 5.67. 421 422 Table 5 shows the chemical composition: on the day of stuffing, proteins were 40.44, fat 50.32, ashes 8.88, (g 100 g<sup>-1</sup> total solids), and similar data were obtained at the end of ripening. 423 424 Moisture decreased from 58.67% on the day of stuffing to 28.22% (commercial) and 29.30 425 (NoNit<sup>TM</sup>) in full ripened salami. Sensory evaluation 426 427 Figure 9 and Table 6 show the results of sensory analysis which was limited to appearance attributes, odors and texture attributes because batches had been spiked with pathogens. For 428 the two group of batches similar results were obtained, except for color uniformity (3.38 for 429 commercial batches and 5.00 for NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batches), fat/lean distribution (3.43 vs. 4.87), and 430 431 hardness (2.50 vs. 3.87). 4. Discussion 432 433 Selected pathogens and hygiene indicators were spiked into salame nostrano (italian dry sausage) to determine the impact of two different starter cultures formulation, a commercial 434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

one and an experimental one (Commercial vs NoNit<sup>TM</sup>), on their behavior during manufacturing, fermentation and ripening. The batters were spiked with three pathogens (S. aureus, strain 27R mec(A), resistant to 2 µg/ml of methicillin; E. coli, strain CSH26 K-12, resistant to 200 µg/ml nalidixic acid; and Salmonella Derby field strain, internal ref. #27), and one surrogate for *L. monocytogenes* (*Listeria innocua* ATCC 33090). The commercial formulation is a common formulation which is widely used by producer that require salami with the typical characteristics of home made salami. The formulation contains Lb. paracasei, Lb. rhamnosus, S. carnosus and S. xylosus and according to the manufacturer, staphylococci enhance the formation of a stable color, promote aroma formation, color stability and prevent rancidity, while lactobacilli control the fermentation process and may result in medium acidity depending on the amount of fermentable sugar. For producers that have opted for a production without added nitrates, this formulation is also active at low temperature. A common trend among producers that have opted for the so-called nitrate free production, is in fact the use low temperature for fermentation and ripening to limit the growth of pathogens. A logic consequence of low fermentation and ripening conditions is the use of starter cultures strains that at these temperatures are still able to multiply and to exert their activity. The experimental NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation, instead, is the result of several years of study on handcrafted products made in small-scale plants in Umbria, central Italy, when we discovered that fermentation and ripening temperature were consistently below 12°C throughout the whole ripening process and that the majority of the microbiota was represented by Lactobacillus spp., Lactococcus spp. and Enterococcus spp. rather than Staphylococcus spp. and Micrococcus spp. (Cenci Goga et al., 2008; Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015). The NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation, when tested for acidifying activity at 10°C performed well with a final pH of 4.20 and showed the fastest pH drop, within the first 24 hrs along with a steady descent subsequently. The association mimicked the behavior of the two Lc. lactis ssp. lactis

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

strains in the first 16 hrs and then, when the lactococci acidification activity decreased (steady pH values after 24 hrs), mirrored the *Lb. casei spp casei* performance for a continuous pH drop. This synergism is of the utmost importance in the production of fermented food such as dry salami because the activity of starter cultures is desirable throughout the whole fermentation process (Cenci Goga et al., 2012; Cizeikiene, Juodeikiene, Paskevicius, & Bartkiene, 2013). There is a difference in fermented sausage technology between the United States and the European countries. American methods rely on rapid acid production (lowering pH) through a fast fermentation in order to stabilize the sausage against spoilage bacteria. Fast acting starter cultures such as Lactobacillus plantarum and Pediococus acidilactici are used at high temperatures up to 40° C. As a result pH drops to 4.6, the sausage is stable but the flavor suffers and the product is sour and tangy. In European countries, the temperatures of 22-26°C are used and the drying, instead of the acidity (pH) is the main hurdle against spoilage bacteria which favors better flavor development. The final acidity of a traditionally made salami is low (high pH) and the sourly taste is not present. The new trend among manufacturers is the production of nitrate free salami and many culture starter companies are aggressively targeting new formulation for low temperature fermentation. However, many available commercial starter cultures used in salami fermented and ripened at low temperatures have sometimes been linked to a bitterness taint and salami manufacturer are seeking for better alternatives. It well known that proteolysis and protein insolubility influence the flavor and texture of the final product and the release of free amino acids are highly correlated with flavor development (Cordoba et al., 1994; Mc Lain, Blumer, Graig, & Stelel, 1968) and they have been reported as precursors of sour, sweet, and bitter taste (Kato, Rhue, & Nishimura, 1989). Aro Aro et al. (2010) demonstrated that Staphylococci cultures, especially S. xilosus, increase free aminoacid levels in salami compared with S. carnosus, L. sakei associated with S. carnosus and P. pentosaceus associated with S. xilosus. In contrast, simple cultures with lactic acid bacteria do not affect

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

proteolysis in salami made with beef (Candogan, Wardlaw, & Acton, 2009) and pork (Aro Aro et al., 2010). The commercial starter tested in this work contained a combination of Lb. paracasei and Lb. rhamnosus with S. carnosus and S. xylosus. Indeed, the most common species traditionally added to the raw-meat sausages are S. xylosus and S. carnosus (Rai & Bai, 2014). However taking into account the main enzymatic activities provided by Micrococcus spp. and Staphylococcus spp., in Nonit<sup>TM</sup> technology, strains belonging to these species were not included, since their enzymatic makeup would not be necessary. In fact, no nitrate-reductase activities provided by these species is required since there are no added nitrates to the mixture, the low temperature ripening condition is disadvantageous both for the catalase activity (best enzyme temperature range conditions is between  $25 - 55^{\circ}$ C) and the lipolytic activities which is mainly guaranteed by tissues enzymes activities (Molly et al., 1997; Zambonelli, Papa, Romano, Suzzi, & Grazia, 1992). Moreover, even if the proteolytic activities of *Micrococcus* spp. and Staphylococcus spp. generate appreciated profiles of aromatic compounds (Nazzaro et al., 2004), the popular application among producers of selected strains as commercial starter cultures may determine a reduction in aroma variability in local productions. For these reasons in the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation *Staphylococcus* spp. was replaced by an *Enterococcus* spp. strain (E. faecium) which grows well at low temperature and belong to a species which is capable of modulating the aroma by means of the conversion of amino acids and free fatty acids (Corbiere Morot-Bizot, Leroy, & Talon, 2007; García Fontán, Lorenzo, Parada, Franco, & Carballo, 2007; González-Fernández, Santos, Rovira, & Jaime, 2006; Leroy, Verluyten, & De Vuyst, 2006). The NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation had already been tested in an in vitro set up and challenged vs selected pathogens and hygiene indicators at 37°C (B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015): E. coli in milk dropped, after 48 hrs, below 10<sup>4</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>, and was no longer detectable at 72 hrs, while in BHI

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

the growth curve of E. coli was parallel to control, indicating an effect of pH rather than a direct activity of starter cultures and/or bacteriocins. A similar behavior was shown by S. Derby 27, with an even faster drop (<10<sup>4</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 30 hrs). S. aureus proved to be more resilient and a substantial reduction in total viable cells was observed between 72 and 120 hrs (<10<sup>3</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 120 hrs) with a complete elimination after 168 hrs. L. innocua was no longer detectable in milk after 120 hrs, with a steady drop after 24 hrs (<10<sup>4</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 72 hrs). LAB had an effect on L. innocua, also in BHI, with levels below 10<sup>4</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> just after 30 hrs. The direct effect of certain LAB strains on *Listeria* spp. rather than the indirect effect of pH drop has been described (Winkelströter & De Martinis, 2015). Salami made with the NoNit™ formulation in previous experiments (Cenci Goga et al., 2008; Cenci Goga et al., 2012; B. Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; B. T. Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Sechi et al., 2014) were perceived by panelists as slightly saltier, more cohesive and generally more acceptable. This is possibly related to the incorporation of the Enterococcus faecium strain in the starter formulation. In fact, throughout fermentation and ripening, counts of enterococci were consistently higher in salami made with the addition of starter cultures, when compared to salami produced without starter addition. Before challenging the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation vs selected pathogens in salami, an in vitro set up was arranged in the present study with incubation temperature lowered to 10°C. Even a low temperature level of S. aureus in milk and in BHI dropped, after 240 hrs, below 10<sup>5</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. E. coli showed a similar behavior with a slower drop (<10<sup>7</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 120 hrs). L. innocua had a substantial reduction in total viable cells between 72 and 120 hrs (<10<sup>5</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 24 hrs in BHI and at 240 hrs in milk). The NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation had an effect on Salmonella Derby, in milk, with levels below 10<sup>5</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> after 240 hrs. These results, even if the inoculum used in this challenge was very high (10<sup>6</sup> cfu ml-<sup>1</sup>) and not representative of the natural contamination commonly encountered in the raw material for salami production, demonstrates that the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation is able to prevent the growth of S. aureus and E. coli yet exerting

a bactericidal activity on L. innocua and S. Derby. All testing demonstrated that the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation is a promising candidate as starter culture for salami produced a low temperature throughout fermentation and ripening, therefore the formulation was used in salami manufacture and compared to a commercial starter used for nitrate-free salami. Both formulation were able to control the growth of the three pathogens and of the surrogate for L. monocytogenes (L. innocua), however from day-3 for S. aureus, L. innocua and S. Derby and from day-7 for E. coli, counts in NoNit<sup>TM</sup> batters were statistically significant lower (p < 0.001) when compared to batters made with the commercial starter. In conclusion the NoNit<sup>TM</sup> formulation performed better than the commercial formulation in any test.

545	Acknowledgements This research was supported by a grant from the Sviluppumbria S.p.A.,
546	programma iStart 2014, prot. 28. The authors wish to express sincere appreciation to
547	members of Polyglot, Perugia for a careful reading and comments on the manuscript.

548	References
549	Adams, M., & Moss, M. (2000). Food microbiology. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
550	Al-Mutairi, M. F. (2011). The incidence of enterobacteriaceae causing food poisoning in some
551	meat products. [Article]. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology, 3(2), 116-
552	121.
553	Anonymous. (2002). Public health dispatch: outbreak of listeriosis—Northeastern United
554	States. CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)
555	Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 51, 951-951.
556	Anonymous. (2016). Use and Removal of Nitrite in Meat Products Retrieved February 2016,
557	2016, from <a href="https://www.fsai.ie/faq/use_and_removal_of_nitrite.html">https://www.fsai.ie/faq/use_and_removal_of_nitrite.html</a>
558	AOAC. (2000). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International (OMA), 17th Edition (17
559	ed.). Wasington, DC, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
560	Aro Aro, J. M., Nyam-Osor, P., Tsuji, K., Shimada, K. i., Fukushima, M., & Sekikawa, M.
561	(2010). The effect of starter cultures on proteolytic changes and amino acid content in
562	fermented sausages. [Article]. Food Chemistry, 119(1), 279-285. doi:
563	10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.06.025
564	Bouvard, V., Loomis, D., Guyton, K. Z., Grosse, Y., Ghissassi, F. E., Benbrahim-Tallaa, L., .
565	Straif, K. (2015). Carcinogenicity of consumption of red and processed meat. The
566	Lancet Oncology, 16(16), 1599-1600. doi: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-0.00">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-0.00</a>
567	<u>2045(15)00444-1</u>
568	Candogan, K., Wardlaw, F. B., & Acton, J. C. (2009). Effect of starter culture on proteolytic
569	changes during processing of fermented beef sausages. [Article]. Food Chemistry,
570	116(3), 731-737. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.03.065
571	Casey, P., & Condon, S. (2000). Synergistic lethal combination of nitrite and acid pH on a
572	verotoxin-negative strain of Escherichia coli O157. [Conference Paper]. International

573	Journal of Food Microbiology, 55(1-3), 255-258. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00199-
574	9
575	Cenci Goga, B. T., Clementi, F., & Di Antonio, E. (1995). Behaviour of lactic and non lactic
576	microflora during production and ripening of farm manufactured Pecorino cheese.
577	Annals of Microbiology, 45(2), 219-236.
578	Cenci Goga, B. T., Ortenzi, R., Bartocci, E., Codega de Oliveira, A., Clementi, F., & Vizzani,
579	A. (2005). Effect of the implementation of HACCP on the microbiological quality of
580	meals at a university restaurant. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 2(2), 138-145.
581	Cenci Goga, B. T., Ranucci, D., Miraglia, D., & Cioffi, A. (2008). Use of starter cultures of
582	dairy origin in the production of Salame nostrano, an Italian dry-cured sausage. Meat
583	Science, 78(4), 381-390. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.07.001
584	Cenci Goga, B. T., Rossitto, P. V., Sechi, P., Parmegiani, S., Cambiotti, V., & Cullor, J. S.
585	(2012). Effect of selected dairy starter cultures on microbiological, chemical and
586	sensory characteristics of swine and venison (Dama dama) nitrite-free dry-cured
587	sausages. Meat Sci., 90(3), 599-606. doi: 510.1016/j.meatsci.2011.1009.1022. Epub
588	2011 Oct 1016.
589	Cenci-Goga, B., Karama, M., Sechi, P., Iulietto, M. F., Novelli, S., Selvaggini, R., & Mattei,
590	S. (2015). Growth inhibition of selected microorganisms by an association of dairy
591	starter cultures and probiotics. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 14(2), 246-250.
592	Cenci-Goga, B. T., Karama, M., Sechi, P., Iulietto, M. F., Novelli, S., Selvaggini, R., &
593	Barbera, S. (2016). Effect of a novel starter culture and specific ripening conditions on
594	microbiological characteristics of nitrate-free dry-cured pork sausages. Italian Journal
595	of Animal Science, 15(3), 358-374. doi: 10.1080/1828051X.2016.1204633
596	Chajecka-Wierzchowska, W., Zadernowska, A., Nalepa, B., Sierpinska, M., & Laniewska-
597	Trokenheim, T. (2015). Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) isolated from ready-

598	to-eat food of animal origin - Phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic resistance. [Article].
599	Food Microbiology, 46, 222-226. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2014.08.001
600	Chamba, J. F., & Prost, F. (1989). Mesure de l'activité acidifiante des bactéries lactiques
601	thermophiles utilizées pour la fabrication des fromages à pâte cuite. Lait, 69, 417-431.
602	Cizeikiene, D., Juodeikiene, G., Paskevicius, A., & Bartkiene, E. (2013). Antimicrobial activity
603	of lactic acid bacteria against pathogenic and spoilage microorganism isolated from
604	food and their control in wheat bread. Food Control, 31(2), 539-545.
605	Clementi, F., Cenci Goga, B. T., Trabalza-Marinucci, M., & Di Antonio, E. (1998). Use of
606	selected starter cultures in the production of farm manufactured goat cheese from
607	thermized milk. Italian Journal of Food Science, 10(1), 41-56. doi: IDS Number:
608	ZH874
609	Conedera, G., Mattiazzi, E., Russo, F., Chiesa, E., Scorzato, I., Grandesso, S., Caprioli, A.
610	(2007). A family outbreak of Escherichia coli O157 haemorrhagic colitis caused by
611	pork meat salami. [Article]. Epidemiology and Infection, 135(2), 311-314. doi:
612	10.1017/S0950268806006807
613	Corbiere Morot-Bizot, S., Leroy, S., & Talon, R. (2007). Monitoring of staphylococcal starters
614	in two French processing plants manufacturing dry fermented sausages. Journal of
615	Applied Microbiology, 102(1), 238-244. doi: doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03041.x
616	Cordoba, J. J., Antequera Rojas, T., García González, C., Ventanas Barroso, J., López Bote,
617	C., & Asensio, M. A. (1994). Evolution of free amino acids and amines during ripening
618	of Iberian cured ham. [Article]. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 42(10),
619	2296-2301.
620	D'Ostuni, V., Tristezza, M., De Giorgi, M. G., Rampino, P., Grieco, F., & Perrotta, C. (2016).
621	Occurrence of Listeria monocytogenes and Salmonella spp. in meat processed products

622 from industrial plants in Southern Italy. [Article]. Food Control, 62, 104-109. doi: 623 10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.10.025 Filipović, I., Cenci Goga, B., Njari, B., Dobranić, V., Zdolec, N., & Kozačinski, L. (2012). 624 625 Safety and quality of traditional croatian product Meat from tiblica. Paper presented at 626 the Proceedings of 6th Central European Congress on Food - CEFood Congress, 627 University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology. 628 García Fontán, M. C., Lorenzo, J. M., Parada, A., Franco, I., & Carballo, J. (2007). 629 Microbiological characteristics of "androlla", a Spanish traditional pork sausage. Food 630 Microbiology, 24(1), 52-58. 631 González-Fernández, C., Santos, E. M., Rovira, J., & Jaime, I. (2006). The effect of sugar 632 concentration and starter culture on instrumental and sensory textural properties of 633 chorizo-Spanish dry-cured sausage. Meat Science, 74(3), 467-475. 634 ISO. (2003). Sensory analysis - Guidelines for the use of quantitative response scales, ISO 635 4121:2003. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland. 636 Kato, H., Rhue, M. R., & Nishimura, T. (1989). Role of free amino acids and peptides in food 637 taste. Flavor Chemistry. Trends and Developments, In R. T. Teranishi, R. G. Buttery, 638 F. Shahidi, Washington, DC: American Chemical Society, 158-174. Lederberg, J., & Lederberg, E. M. (1952). Replica plating and indirect selection of bacterial 639 640 mutants. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 63, 399-406. 641 Leroy, F., Verluyten, J., & De Vuyst, L. (2006). Functional meat starter cultures for improved sausage fermentation. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 106(3), 270-285. 642 643 Luzzi, I., Galetta, P., Massari, M., Rizzo, C., Dionisi, A. M., Filetici, E., . . . Ciofi degli Atti, 644 M. L. (2007). An Easter outbreak of Salmonella Typhimurium DT 104A associated 645 with traditional pork salami in Italy. Euro Surveill, 12(4), E11-12.

646 Mc Lain, G. R., Blumer, T. N., Graig, H. B., & Stelel, R. G. (1968). Free amino acids in ham 647 muscle during successive aging periods. Journal of Food Science, 33, 142-146. Molly, K., Demeyer, D., Johansson, G., Raemaekers, M., Ghistelinck, M., & Geenen, I. (1997). 648 649 The importance of meat enzymes in ripening and flavour generation in dry fermented sausages. First results of a European project. Food Chemistry, 59(4), 539-545. doi: 650 651 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(97)00004-6 652 Mossel, D. A. A., Corry, J. E. L., Struijk, C. B., & Baird, R. M. (1995). Essentials of the 653 microbiology of food. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 654 Nazzaro, F., Di Luccia, A., Tremonte, P., Grazia, L., Sorrentino, E., Maurelli, L., & Coppola, 655 R. (2004). Evaluation of proteolytic activity of Staphylococcus xylosus strains in 656 Soppressata Molisana, a typical Southern Italy fermented sausage. Annals of 657 Microbiology 54(3), 269-281 Ozel, M. Z., Gogus, F., Yagci, S., Hamilton, J. F., & Lewis, A. C. (2010). Determination of 658 volatile nitrosamines in various meat products using comprehensive gas 659 660 chromatography-nitrogen chemiluminescence detection. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 48(11), 3268-3273. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2010.08.036 661 Pegg, R., & Shahidi, F. (2000). Nitrite curing of meat. The N-Nitrosamine Problem and Nitrite 662 Alternatives: Food & nutrition press, Inc. Trumbull, Connexticut 06611 USA. 663 664 Rai, R., & Bai, J. A. (2014). Beneficial Microbes in Fermented and Functional Foods: CRC 665 Press. Riordan, D. C. R., Duffy, G., Sheridan, J. J., Eblen, B. S., Whiting, R. C., Blair, I. S., & 666 667 McDowell, D. A. (1998). Survival of Escherichia coli O157:H7 during the manufacture 668 of pepperoni. [Article]. Journal of Food Protection, 61(2), 146-151. Sartz, L., De Jong, B., Hjertqvist, M., Plym-Forshell, L., Alsterlund, R., Löfdahl, S., . . . 669 670 Karpman, D. (2008). An outbreak of Escherichia coli O157:H7 infection in southern

671	Sweden associated with consumption of fermented sausage; aspects of sausage
672	production that increase the risk of contamination. [Article]. Epidemiology and
673	Infection, 136(3), 370-380. doi: 10.1017/S0950268807008473
674	Sechi, P., Iulietto, M. F., Mattei, S., Traina, G., Codini, M., & Cenci Goga, B. (2014). Effect
675	of a formulation of selected dairy starter cultures and probiotics on microbiological,
676	chemical and sensory characteristics of swine dry-cured sausages. Journal of
677	Biotechnology, 185(S83). doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.07.283
678	Sheen, S., & Hwang, C. A. (2008). Modeling transfer of Listeria monocytogenes from slicer
679	to deli meat during mechanical slicing. [Article]. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease,
680	5(2), 135-146. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2007.0049
681	Winkelströter, L. K., & De Martinis, E. C. P. (2015). In vitro protective effect of lactic acid
682	bacteria on Listeria monocytogenes adhesion and invasion of Caco-2 cells. Beneficial
683	Microbes, 11, 1-8. doi: 10.3920/BM2013.0091
684	Zambonelli, C., Papa, F., Romano, P., Suzzi, G., & Grazia, L. (1992). Microbiologia dei Salumi
685	(Edagricole-Edizioni Agricole ed.).
686	Zarringhalami, S., Sahari, M. A., & Hamidi-Esfehani, Z. (2009). Partial replacement of nitrite
687	by annatto as a colour additive in sausage. Meat Science, 81(1), 281-284.
688	Zhang, X., Kong, B., & Xiong, Y. L. (2007). Production of cured meat color in nitrite-free
689	Harbin red sausage by Lactobacillus fermentum fermentation. Meat Science, 77(4),
690	593-598.
691	

692	Figures and table legends
693	
694	<b>Table 1</b> . Acidifying activity of the starter cultures in sterile skim milk at 10°C as pure cultures
695	or in association. Kinetic parameters and regression coefficients (+/- se) of the acidification
696	curves as determined by equation 1
697	
698	Table 2. Growth and bacterial reduction at 10°C for selected pathogens challenged with the
699	NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation in milk
700	
701	Table 3. Microbiological counts for total S. aureus, E. coli, L. innocua spp. and S. Derby in
702	salami produced with commercial starter vs. NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation (Log cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ). Different
703	superscripts in the same row indicate significant different means (p<0,001)
704	
705	Table 4. Microbiological counts for total mesophilic microbiota, Lactococcus spp.
706	Lactobacillus spp. and Enterococcus spp. in salami produced with commercial starter vs.
707	NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation (Log cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ). Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant
708	different means (p<0,001)
709	
710	<b>Table 5</b> . Chemical parameters on the day of stuffing and at the end of ripening salame nostrano
711	
712	Table 6. Sensory analysis. Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant different
713	means (p<0,005)
714	
715	Figure 1. Temperature () and relative humidity (—) recorded throughout fermentation and
716	ripening of salame nostrano
717	
718	Figure 2. Empirical model curves for acidifying curves at 10°C for LAB and association of
719	NoNJit <sup>TM</sup> + pathogens
720	
721	Figure 3. Growth curves for the challenge in BHI and in milk: NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation vs
722	pathogens at 10°C (3a: S. aureus 27R, 3b: E. coli CSH26 K-12, 3c: L. innocua ATCC 33090
723	3d: S. Derby 27),□□□□ pathogens alone in BHI,□ pathogens vs NoNit™

724	formulation in BHI, $\_\_\Box\Box\Box\Box$ pathogens alone in milk, $\Box$ pathogens vs NoNit <sup>TM</sup>
725	formulation in milk
726	
727	Figure 4. Evolution of NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation in BHI and in milk at 10°C (4a: NoNit <sup>TM</sup>
728	formulation in BHI, 4b: NoNit $^{TM}$ formulation in milk, 4c: <i>E. faecium</i> in BHI, 4c: <i>E. faecium</i> in
729	milk), □ □ □ LAB alone, <b>O</b> NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation vs <i>S. aureus</i> 27R, <b>Q</b> NoNit <sup>TM</sup>
730	formulation vs $E.~coli$ CSH26 K-12, D NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation vs $L.~innocua$ ATCC 33090,
731	
732	
733	Figure 5. Growth curves for pathogens in the challenge in salame nostrano (5a S. aureus 27R,
734	5b E. coli CSH26 K-12, 5c L. innocua ATCC 33090, 5d S. Derby 27), commercial starter,
735	NoNit™formulation
736	
737	Figure 6. Growth curves for starter in the challenge in salame nostrano (6a: mesophilic
738	microbiota, 6b: lactococci, 6c: lactobacilli, 6d: enterococci, 6e: staphylococci),
739	commercial starter, NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation
740	
741	Figure 7. Development of aw in salame nostrano during fermentation and ripening,
742	commercial starter, NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation
743	
744	Figure 8. Development of pH in salame nostrano during fermentation and ripening,
745	commercial starter, NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation
746	
747	Figure 9. Sensory descriptive analysis of salame nostrano (9a: appearance attributes, 9b: basic
748	aromas and texture attributes), commercial starter, NoNit <sup>TM</sup> formulation
749	