

Impact of oenological processing aids and additives on the genetic traceability of 'Nebbiolo' wine produced with withered grapes

Giorgio GAMBINO, Lorenzo FERRERO, Giulia SCALZINI, Camilla DE PAOLIS, Maria Alessandra PAISSONI, Susana RÍO SEGADE, Simone GIACOSA, Paolo BOCCACCI, Luca ROLLE

Supplementary material

Table S1. Primers and probes used for the SNP genotyping.

ID marker	SNP position	Allele Nebbiolo	Allele non-Nebbiolo	ID Oligo	Primer and Probe sequences 5'-3'	Length of the fragment (bp)
SNP_14783	chr8_13053532	G	A	For	GAGCACAATCAACAATTATCCATTT	83
				Rev	TGGTTGTGTTAATAGCAGGCAA	
				Probe Allele A	FAM-TAAAAAAGTGTTAAGGTGATAAT-NFQ	
				Probe Allele G	VIC-TAAAAAAGTGTTAAGGTGATGAT-NFQ	
SNP_15082	chr8_19402046	T	C	For	TCTCTTCTGGCATGGAAATCAAT	89
				Rev	TAGATTACGGGCCAAGCTGA	
				Probe Allele T	FAM-TCTCATTTTCCTCATTAT-NFQ	
				Probe Allele C	VIC-TCTCATTTTCCTCATCATG-NFQ	

Table S2. Characterization of 'Nebbiolo' wine before the treatments. Data are mean values \pm SDs of two replicates.

Wine parameter	
Ethanol (% v/v)	13.61 \pm 0.00
Glycerol (g/L)	11.08 \pm 0.02
pH	3.46 \pm 0.00
Total acidity (g/L tartaric acid)	6.32 \pm 0.08
Malic acid (g/L)	0.04 \pm 0.02
Lactic acid (g/L)	1.95 \pm 0.00
Tartaric acid (g/L)	1.60 \pm 0.06
Citric acid (g/L)	0.13 \pm 0.01
Acetic acid (g/L)	0.30 \pm 0.00
Free SO₂ (mg/L)	10.50 \pm 0.71
Total SO₂ (mg/L)	76.48 \pm 0.91
Turbidity (NTU)	15.05 \pm 0.01
Color intensity (AU)	8.29 \pm 0.01
Hue	0.75 \pm 0.00
L*	16.2 \pm 0.0
a*	46.99 \pm 0.04
b*	27.12 \pm 0.02
Total phenolics (mg/L (-)-epicatechin)	3163 \pm 70
Total anthocyanins (mg/L malvidin-3-O-glucoside chloride)	148.4 \pm 1
Total flavonoids (mg/L (+)-catechin)	1063 \pm 6

1 **Table S3.** DNA quantity and quality extracted from 'Nebbiolo' wines treated with different additives and processing aids using Plant/Fungi DNA
2 Isolation Kit (Norgen). For each treatment repetition, one sample was extracted (R1, R2, and R3). Purity and yield measured using NanoDrop.
3 Allelic profiles of genotyping assays SNP_15082 and SNP_14783. '-' in the allelic profile denotes an incorrect allelic call; '+' indicates samples
4 that correctly amplified, and 'nd' stands for 'not detected'. Data are means of 3 replicates \pm standard deviation. Values followed by different letters
5 within a column are significantly different ($p < 0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Conover's Comparison test). CONTR20: untreated control sampled
6 one year before the application of additives; CONTR: untreated control; BEN: bentonite; GEL: gelatine; VEG: vegetable protein; PVPP:
7 polyvinylpyrrolidone; YST: yeast hulls; CHT: chitosan; MAN: mannoprotein; ARG: arabic gum; POL: potassium polyaspartate; TAN: grape
8 skin tannin.

Sample	Treatment	NanoDrop Quantification			SNP_14783			SNP_15082		
		DNA yield [ng/mL of wine]	A ₂₆₀ /A ₂₈₀	A ₂₆₀ /A ₂₃₀	Alleles			Alleles		
					R1	R2	R3	R1	R2	R3
CONTR20	-	33.7 \pm 6.5 a	1.35 \pm 0.15 a	0.51 \pm 0.15 a	+	+	+	+	+	+
CONTR	-	5.2 \pm 1.1 b	1.13 \pm 0.11 a	0.26 \pm 0.04 a	nd	-	nd	-	-	-
BEN	Bentonite	3.4 \pm 1.8 b	1.13 \pm 0.08 a	0.23 \pm 0.14 a	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEL	Gelatine	6.8 \pm 3.1 b	1.19 \pm 0.07 a	0.31 \pm 0.01 a	-	-	-	-	-	-
VEG	Vegetables protein	7.6 \pm 1.8 b	1.27 \pm 0.05 a	0.31 \pm 0.03 a	-	-	-	-	-	-
PVPP	Polyvinylpyrrolidone	5.8 \pm 0.9 b	1.05 \pm 0.19 a	0.24 \pm 0.09 a	-	-	-	-	-	-
YST	Yeasts hulls	7.5 \pm 3.1 b	1.18 \pm 0.06 a	0.27 \pm 0.02 a	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
CHT	Chitosan	7.4 \pm 2.4 b	0.98 \pm 0.06 a	0.21 \pm 0.04 a	-	nd	nd	nd	nd	-
MAN	Yeast mannoprotein	11.9 \pm 5.1 b	1.17 \pm 0.09 a	0.25 \pm 0.03 a	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARG	Arabic gum	16.6 \pm 19.9 b	1.11 \pm 0.06 a	0.29 \pm 0.06 a	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
POL	Potassium polyaspartate	6.7 \pm 0.8 b	1.08 \pm 0.09 a	0.26 \pm 0.06 a	+	nd	nd	nd	+	nd
TAN	Grape skin tannin	5.5 \pm 1.2 b	1.03 \pm 0.14 a	0.31 \pm 0.12 a	-	nd	-	nd	nd	-