# **LEXIS**

# Poetica, retorica e comunicazione nella tradizione classica

# **SOMMARIO**

# ARTICOLI

Liana Lomiento, Ricordo di Bruno Gentili (Valmontone 20 novembre 1915 – Roma 7 gennaio 2014)
Marina Caputo, Osservazioni sul trattamento dei carmi di 'Anthologia Latina' per lo sviluppo dell'applicazione 'Memorata Poetis'
Emily Allen-Hornblower, Gods in Pain: Walking the Line Between Divine and Mortal in 'Iliad' 5
Paolo Cipolla, Spigolature stesicoree
Pär Sandin, The Emblems of Excellence in Pindar's First and Third 'Olympian Odes' and Bacchylides' Third 'Epinician'
Alexander Garvie, Eschilo nel ventunesimo secolo
Antonella Candio, Pregare e maledire: Aesch. 'Ch.' 145 s.
Letizia Poli Palladini, Aesch. 'Sept.' 778-87
Guido Avezzù, 'Lexis' drammatica e critica del testo
Patrick J. Finglass, Il Sofocle di Jebb
Luigi Battezzato, La data della caduta di Troia nell''Ecuba' di Euripide e nel ciclo epico: le Pleiadi, Sirio, Orione e la storiografia greca
Stefano Novelli, Lo stile disadorno: l'εἰκῆ λέγειν nel trimetro euripideo
Andrea Taddei, Le Panatenee nel terzo stasimo degli 'Eraclidi' (Eur. 'Heracl.' 748-83).  Rammemorazione rituale e identità corale
Michela Curti, Anomalie responsive nei giambi lirici
Simonetta Nannini, Il 'Menesseno' di Platone?
Tristano Gargiulo, Μεταμανθάνειν in Aristotele 'Pol.' 4.1289a 4 s.
Maria Jennifer Falcone, <i>Due note esegetiche al 'Dulorestes' di Pacuvio (frr. 21.143-5 e 18.139 R.³)</i>
Enrico Corti, Nube di guerra: percorsi di un'immagine poetica
Paola Gagliardi, Alberi e amore nell''ecl.' 10 di Virgilio
Silvia Mattiacci, Prometeo ebbro e i suoi 'monstra' (a proposito di Mart. 14.182 e Phaedr. 4.16)
Francesca Mestre, Aspectos de la dramaturgia del diálogo en Luciano
Tiziana Drago, Una lepre quasi invisibile: Ael. 'ep.' 11 e 12
Lucia Pasetti, L'avarizia del padre Dite (Apul. 'met.' 6.18.6)
Stefano Vecchiato, Una congettura al testo della 'Vita Maximini duo' (2.5)
Giovanna Pace, Sul valore di προφδικός / ἐπφδικός / μεσφδικός in Demetrio Triclinio
Matteo Taufer, Considerazioni sulle possibili fonti di Robortello e del Bodl. Auct. T.6.5 (Oa) relativamente al 'Prometheus Vinctus'
Miquel Edo, La fealdad de Safo en la literatura moderna: historia de un eufemismo
Francesco Citti, Un frammento 'primitivo' delle 'Eee' pascoliane e il poemetto 'Leucothoe'

Pau Gilabert Barberà, Classical References and Their Significance in 'The Magic Mountain' by Thomas Mann
Mattia De Poli, The Land of Teucer
RECENSIONI
Dieter Bremer – Hellmut Flashar – Georg Rechenauer (hrsg. von), Frühgriechische Philosophie, Erster und zweiter Halbband der Philosophie der Antike, Grundriss der Geschichte der Philosophie (G. Ugolini)
Omero, <i>Odissea</i> , introduzione, commento e cura di Vincenzo Di Benedetto, traduzione di Vincenzo Di Benedetto e Pierangelo Fabrini (F. Ferrari)
Marco Ercoles, Stesicoro: le testimonianze antiche (M. Catrambone)
Sophocles, Philoctetes, edited by Seth L. Schein (F. Lupi)
Nicofonte. Introduzione, Traduzione e Commento, a c. di Matteo Pellegrino (S. Novelli)
Aristoteles Romanus. La réception de la science aristotélicienne dans l'Empire gréco-romain, Textes réunis et édités par Yves Lehmann (S. Maso)
Alexandre le Grand. Les risques du pouvoir. Textes philosophiques et rhétoriques, trad. et comm. par Laurent Pernot (C. Franco)
Virginia Fabrizi, 'Mores veteresque novosque': rappresentazioni del passato e del presente di Roma negli 'Annales' di Ennio (A. Borgna)
Stefania Santelia, <i>La 'miranda fabula' dei 'pii fratres' in 'Aetna' 603-645</i> , con una nota di Pierfrancesco Dellino (G. Scarpa)
Stefano Costa, 'Quod olim fuerat'. La rappresentazione del passato in Seneca prosatore (P. Mastandrea)
M. Valerii Martialis <i>Epigrammaton liber quintus</i> , introd., ed. crit., trad. e comm. a c. di Alberto Canobbio (G. Scarpa)
Jean-Luc Vix, L'enseignement de la rhétorique au IIe siècle ap. JC. à travers les discours 30-34 d'Ælius Aristide. ἐν λόγοις καὶ μαθήμασιν καὶ ἐπαίνοις τραφείς; Johann Goeken, Aelius Aristide et la rhétorique de l''hymne' en prose (C. Franco)
Iulius Africanus, <i>Cesti. The Extant Fragments</i> , edited by Martin Wallraff – Carlo Scardino – Laura Mecella – Christophe Guignard, translated by William Adler (T. Braccini)
Gesine Manuwald, Nero in Opera. Librettos as Transformations of Ancient Sources (C. Franco)
Kurt Sier – Eva Wöckener-Gade (hrsg. von), <i>Gottfried Hermann</i> (1772-1848), Internationales Symposium in Leipzig, 1113. Oktober 2007 (G. Mancuso)
Angelo Giavatto – Federico Santangelo (a c. di), La Retorica e la Scienza dell'Antico. Lo stile dei classicisti italiani nel ventesimo secolo / Between Rhetoric and Classical Scholarship. The Style of Italian Classicists in the Twentieth Century (A. Balbo)
Giovanni Salanitro Scritti di filologia greca e latina (A Franzoi)

VITTORIO CITTI PAOLO MASTANDREA ENRICO MEDDA

#### Redazione

STEFANO AMENDOLA, GUIDO AVEZZÙ, FEDERICO BOSCHETTI, CLAUDIA CASALI, LIA DE FINIS, CARLO FRANCO, ALESSANDRO FRANZOI, MASSIMO MANCA, STEFANO MASO, LUCA MONDIN, GABRIELLA MORETTI, MARIA ANTONIETTA NENCINI, PIETRO NOVELLI, STEFANO NOVELLI, GIOVANNA PACE, ANTONIO PISTELLATO, RENATA RACCANELLI, ANDREA RODIGHIERO, GIANCARLO SCARPA, PAOLO SCATTOLIN, LINDA SPINAZZÈ, MATTEO TAUFER

# Comitato scientifico

MARIA GRAZIA BONANNO, ANGELO CASANOVA, ALBERTO CAVARZERE, GENNARO D'IPPOLITO, LOWELL EDMUNDS, PAOLO FEDELI, ENRICO FLORES, PAOLO GATTI, MAURIZIO GIANGIULIO, GIAN FRANCO GIANOTTI, PIERRE JUDET DE LA COMBE, MARIE MADELEINE MACTOUX, GIUSEPPE MASTROMARCO, GIANCARLO MAZZOLI, CARLES MIRALLES, GIAN FRANCO NIEDDU, CARLO ODO PAVESE, WOLFGANG RÖSLER, PAOLO VALESIO, MARIO VEGETTI, BERNHARD ZIMMERMANN

## LEXIS - Poetica, retorica e comunicazione nella tradizione classica

http://www.lexisonline.eu/info@lexisonline.eu, infolexisonline@gmail.com

Direzione e Redazione:

Università Ca' Foscari Venezia Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici Palazzo Malcanton Marcorà – Dorsoduro 3484/D I-30123 Venezia

Vittorio Citti vittorio.citti@gmail.it

Paolo Mastandrea mast@unive.it

Enrico Medda e.medda@flcl.unipi.it

Pubblicato con il contributo del Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici Università Ca' Foscari Venezia

Copyright by Vittorio Citti ISSN 2210-8823 ISBN **Lexis**, in accordo ai principi internazionali di trasparenza in sede di pubblicazioni di carattere scientifico, sottopone tutti i testi che giungono in redazione a un processo di doppia lettura anonima (*double-blind peer review*, ovvero *refereeing*) affidato a specialisti di Università o altri Enti italiani ed esteri. Circa l'80% dei revisori è esterno alla redazione della rivista. Ogni due anni la lista dei revisori che hanno collaborato con la rivista è pubblicata sia online sia in calce a questa pagina.

**Lexis** figura tra le riviste di carattere scientifico a cui è riconosciuta la classe A nella lista di valutazione pubblicata dall'**ANVUR** (*Agenzia Nazionale di Valutazione del Sistema Universitario e della Ricerca*). È inoltre censita dalla banca dati internazionale **Scopus-Elsevier**, mentre è in corso la procedura di valutazione da parte della banca dati internazionale **Web of Science-ISI**.

**Informazioni per i contributori**: gli articoli e le recensioni proposti alla rivista vanno inviati all'indirizzo di posta elettronica **infolexisonline@gmail.com**. Essi debbono rispettare scrupolosamente le norme editoriali della rivista, scaricabili dal sito **www.lexisonline.eu** (si richiede, in particolare, l'utilizzo esclusivo di un font greco di tipo unicode). Qualsiasi contributo che non rispetti tali norme non sarà preso in considerazione da parte della redazione.

Si raccomanda di inviare due files separati del proprio lavoro, uno dei quali reso compiutamente anonimo. Il file anonimo dovrà essere accompagnato da una pagina contenente nome, cognome e recapiti dell'autore (tale pagina sarà poi eliminata dalla copia inviata ai referees).

## The Land of Teucer

#### Introduction.

Seferis' *Helen* (*Logbook III*) is mainly a monologue by Teucer but sometimes the poet makes his voice heard speaking of his present times, so that myth and modern history get confused<sup>1</sup>. Euripides' *Helen* was the main influence on this modern poem, but probably we can better understand their relationship if we consider some critical works about Euripidean tragedies, which were collected in Seferis' library. This kind of approach is particularly interesting, since we are face to face with a mythical episode – Teucer's exile to Cyprus – that was well attested in both Greek and Latin antiquity, while we can't find any trace of it in western European modern literature<sup>2</sup>.

## Seferis' Helen: Form and Cultural Background.

Ancient Greek literature is an important presence in Seferis' poetry (Marcheselli 1966; Benedetti 1970; Stevanoni 1976; Alexopolou 2006; Tachopoulou 2013). He seemed to love the *Odissey* and, as for the tragedy, Aeschylus and Sophocles, but in his *Logbook III* Euripides becomes a stronger influence. When this book was first published in 1955, its title was ...Κύπρον, οὖ μ' ἐθέσπισεν..., a quotation of Euripides' *Helen* (v. 148), and three poems referred either to this ancient tragic poet – Ευριπίδης, Αθηναίος – or to his works – Πενθεύς, being Pentheus one of the main characters in the *Bacchae*, and Ελένη.

The main source for Seferis' *Helen* was the Euripidean tragedy with the same name, *Helen*: we are sure of it, not only because of the title, but also because of the epigraph attached to this modern poem, that is a quotation of some verses taken from three different sections of that ancient play. Moreover, scholars have found in Seferis' *Helen* many reminiscences of the text written by the Athenian dramatist (Savvidis 1961, 340-7; Pontani 1963, 335; Krikos-Davies 1994, 42-50).

The lines quoted in the epigraph give the impression of a dialogue among Teucer, Helen and the Messenger, that we don't actually find in Euripides' *Helen*. On the other hand, Seferis derived quotations and echoes from words that were spoken by different characters in that tragedy – Teucer, Helen, the Chorus – and he rearranged these elements within his poem, so that it mostly takes the form of a soliloquy. The memory of a direct speech by Helen (vv. 29-31) and the refrain (vv. 1, 9, 53)<sup>3</sup> – «the poem's choral

Later a similar situation is also in I.M. Panaytopoulos, *Sunday of the Aegean* (1970) (Gumpert 2001, 243).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Scholars usually focus on the character of Helen, even if Teucer is sometimes considered more than a narrator, i.e. the main character in this poem: «Seferis's Teucer is the hero in what is, in effect, a Cratylean tragedy» (Gumpert 2001, 247 f.). Possibly we can find traces of the so-called «Teucer's paradigm» (Bittarello 2007) in some modern novels by J. Conrad or E. Salgari, but the ancient mythical character is never mentioned.

Peron 1976, 149, suggested a parallel with T.S. Eliot, *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*, vv. 13 f., 35 f., but I consider the parallel with *The Waste Land*, "A Game of Chess", vv. 141 = 152 =

part» (Krikos-Davies 1994, 45), probably a translation of the nightingales' song into human language – don't undermine its structure as a monologue.

The most natural question that arises at this point is: who is speaking in this poem? The answer is quite easy: Teucer. Telamon's son had already been an Homeric hero and, after his brother Ajax died, their father condemned him to exile: thus, according to Apollo's oracle, Teucer sailed to Cyprus in order to found a new city with the same name of his motherland, Salamis. This mythical tale was quite famous in Greek and Latin literature, both in tragedies and in lyric poems (Bittarello 2007; De Poli 2008), but today we come to know it mainly thanks to the *Helen* by Euripides: in the prologue of this drama Teucer arrives to Egypt and he meets Helen there, or rather – according to his final opinion – a kind woman whose aspect looks like Helen's one. The memory of their meeting is evident in the central part of the modern poem (vv. 13-37).

Anyway, we must be careful while looking at Seferis' *Helen* just as «Teucer's monologue» (Krikos-Davies 1994, 45). This poem, in fact, gives a fair example of the so called "mythical method", that we find in several works by Seferis: the interfusion of mythical and contemporary details «distances Teucer from his Homeric reality enough for him to be as much a man of our time as a mythical hero – in short, a contemporary hero» (Krikos-Davies 1994, 48; see also Kapsomenos 2003; Tachopoulou 2012).

Reading the first seven lines of the poem, we may understand that the speaking person is Seferis. But afterword he talks about an «exacerbated slave» (v. 8): who is she? Is she one of the below mentioned «Spartan slaves» in Egypt (v. 25)? Is she Helen, who is among them (v. 26)? Or is she a personification of the modern isle of Cyprus, still constrained under the British control after the World War II, when Seferis wrote this poem? The stress on the tourist resort of Platres, the description of a fresco in the church of Asinou and the word  $\pi o \iota \eta \tau \acute{\alpha} \varrho \eta \varsigma$  referred to the nightingale: all these elements lead the reader into a modern age and we know that the poet went to Cyprus twice in the early 1950s. Moreover, it has been noted that both Teucer's brother and Seferis' brother died far from their country and their families (v. 51; Pontani 1963, 336). So it is very difficult to say when the modern poet stops speaking and the voice of the mythical hero begins to be heard.

## Search for Sources and Reading Mistakes.

In Seferis' *Helen* the presence of a double voice, mixing the one of the poet and the one of the hero, has been deeply analysed in every single verse. Anyway, while scholars were just searching for literary sources, they have sometimes fallen into inaccuracies, both when they focused on the similarities and when they stressed the differences between Euripides and Seferis.

For example, N. Nikolaou (2000, 108 n. 13) observed that the summary of the ancient tragedy, we find in the notes to Ελένη, which was published in the ninth edition of Seferis' Ποιήματα (1974) and which is still re-printed today (Seferis 2004, 338), is incorrect or, at least, misleading. It reads that «στο έργο του Ευριπίδου, ο

165 = 168 = 169, as a more appropriate one. Such a structural feature was also used by Kavafis (Savvidis 1961, 341).

Τεύμος, ταξιδεύοντας για την Κύπος, συναντάει στην Αίγυπτο την Ελένη, η οποία τού λέει ότι ο Πάρις είχε μλέψει το φάντασμά της, ενώ η ίδια είχε μεταφερθεί από τον Ερμή στην αυλή του Πρωτέως»: this situation is fairly assumed in Seferis' poem, but at the end of the Euripidean prologue, when Teucer leaves the stage and goes to Cyprus, he is still unaware of the εἴδωλον-deceit<sup>4</sup>.

Similarly, the claim that in this poem Teucer is just a «Seferis' hero», who «shows sympathy for both Greeks and Trojans» and who is «modelled [...] according to his own (i.e. the poet's) tastes», so that he is «very much a Teucer-Seferis» (Krikos-Davis 1979, 64), is not completely correct. In the Euripidean play Teucer doesn't feel sympathy for both Greeks and Trojans, but Greeks and Trojans had already been coupled together in their tragic destiny of death by both Helen and the Chorus several times (e.g. vv. 38 f., 239). Thus this «Seferis' hero» is very coherent with Seferis' general rearrangement of the ancient model.

Finally, a Spanish scholar (Cuenca 1976, 376) stated that Seferis took whatever sounds amazing and fantastic by Euripides and converted it into troubled question and «tragic predicament». But is it true at all? Obviously it depends on which opinion about this Euripidean tragedy we have. Someone underlined the comic effects of some situations throughout the play: the general evaluations suggested by Jebb (*Encyclopaedia Britannica*, ed. 11, quoted by Campbell 1950, 157, «more than fantastic ... well-nigh grotesque») and Cuenca are very similar. More recently someone else focussed his attention, for example, on the theological matters, that are implied in the dramatic work (Susanetti 2007, 153-83), so that Euripides' *Helen* is more likely to be a real tragedy. Allan (2008, 46) is probably right when he argues that «much of the play's brilliance lies in its creation of a dramatic world whose at times humorous and domestic surface [...] can have a serious philosophical import»: so the label of «tragedy of ideas» fits it well.

Anyway, we have to consider Seferis' own view of such a problem and we can try to reconstruct it with some plausibility through a survey on the editions of the Euripidean text that the modern poet had in his library.

# Seferis as Reader of Euripides' Helen.

The Κατάλογος βιβλιοθήμης Γιώργου καί Μαρώς Σεφέρη (Giannadakis 1989) shows that at the beginning of the 1950s the poet could read Euripides' *Helen* in three different editions<sup>5</sup>:

a. Grégoire 1950

*Euripide*, VI, '*Hélène*', '*Les Phéniciennes*', ed. and transl. by H. G. – L. Méridier – F. Chapouthier, Paris 1950.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Perhaps this mistake depends on the verses quoted in the epigraph, which are taken as a real dialogue among Teucer, Helen and the Chorus.

It would be interesting to examine any kind of marks and notes, particularly written by Seferis on the first and the third of these books, but unfortunately all the volumes of his collection are today stored in boxes in a warehouse because of the static restoring of the Βικελαία Δημοτική Βιβλιοθήκη of Iraklion: Mr Dimitrios Savvas, Vikelaia's Library Director, made me aware about this situation via e-mail (8 March 2011).

#### b. Warner 1951

The 'Helen' of Euripides, transl. by R. Warner, London 1951.

#### c. Vellacott 1954

The 'Bacchae' and Other Plays: 'Ion', 'The Women of Troy', 'Helen' and the 'Bacchae', transl. by Ph. Vellacott, Baltimore 1954.

I think it is particularly useful to read the introductions to these books, in order to see how they present Euripides' *Helen* and how they could influence Seferis, when he was reading that play.

## Henri Grégoire (1950).

The most important «image» in Seferis' Helen is the πουκάμισο αδειανό, the modern version of the Euripidean εἴδωλον. This «empty blouse» is just a symbol for the non-sense of the war, and *Le pacifisme de l'Hélène. L'*Εἴδωλον is the title of a chapter in the *Notice* by H. Grégoire (1950, 23-24), who immediately put side by side the idea of peace and the εἴδωλον. In this section, the French scholar observed that we may give to this play by Euripides the title *La grande illusion*<sup>6</sup>, that fits both the ancient tragedy and the ode by Seferis.

Grégoire claimed that Euripides was disgusted by the war, Athens was fighting against Sparta, and «pour lui [...] toutes les guerres sont, come la plus fameuse [i.d. the Trojan war], des erreurs sanglantes, des folies». The same idea is well expressed by Seferis' *Helen*: the modern poet was disgusted by the horrors of World War II and he was disappointed by the British policy in Cyprus. So in his poem the Trojan war and the foundation of a new Salamis are just the «signifier», wheras the vanity of conflicts and human actions in general, and the inconstancy of fate, both in the past and in the present days, are the «meaning» (Kapsomenos 2003).

As for the sources of the Euripidean tragedy, and particularly for the εἴδωλον-theme, Grégoire went back to Stesichorus' *Palinody*, focusing his attention on the first three lines, as attested by Plato's *Phaedrus* 243a<sup>7</sup>, «le seul fragment connu de cette 'Palinodie'» (Grégoire 1950, 31): οὖκ ἔστ' ἔτυμος λόγος οὕτος / οὖδ' ἔβας ἐν νηυσὶν ἐϋσσέλμοις / οὖδ' ἵκεο Πέργαμα Τροίας, which Seferis (*Helen*, vv. 29-31) fairly rearranged in modern Greek: 'Δεν είναι αλήθεια, δεν είναι αλήθεια' [...] / 'Δεν μπήκα στο γαλαζόπλωρο καράβι. / Ποτέ δεν πάτησα την αντρειωμένη Τροία'.

#### Rex Warner (1951).

Also Warner in the introduction to his translation of Euripides' *Helen* stressed the

On the same year, Campbell 1950, 161, wrote: «It is war itself that is a mistake; war is "the Great Illusion"».

We have followed the normal convention of referring to passages in Plato, which is to refer to the page numbers and column letters of the standard edition of the works of Plato, edited by Stephanus. These page numbers and column letters are repeated in all modern editions of Plato's works.

relationship between the works by Euripides and Stesichorus, mainly as far as the literary invention of the phantom for whom «the Greeks go on fighting year after year at Troy» is concerned (Warner 1951, 7). Even though this introduction is very short, less than three pages, it is very meaningful: in it Warner dealt with the usual interpretation of the play «more like a romantic comedy than what we usually think of as "tragedy"» (Warner 1951, 8). But near the end he suggests that «perhaps Euripides is more serious still in his treatment of the whole idea of war, and this play [...] is among the most "pacifist" of his works» (Warner 1951, 9). Warner considered the audience of the drama in ancient times: the themes of war and unnecessary sufferings must have been applied to the contemporary situation, namely to the Athenian disasters in Sicily. At the very end of this introduction Warner claimed: «there is a keen edge to much that might appear as merely humorous, and it is easier, perhaps, for us in our days to appreciate this than it was for nineteenth-century critics» (Warner 1951, 9). So, once again, he suggested the possibility of considering World War II and the following events as a situation not far from the Peloponnesian war and the Athenian collapse, i.e. as a situation not less impressive than the ancient one. And Seferis seems to have perfectly caught on this «keen edge», which he mainly developed in his poem.

Moreover, Warner clearly claimed that in the Euripidean tragedy unnecessary sufferings happen both for «Greeks and Trojans alike» (Warner 1951, 9), as we have already suggested before referring both to the ancient play and to the modern poem.

## Philip Vellacott (1954).

Perhaps it is not a mere accident that Vellacott's translation of the Euripidean *Helen* was collected in the same volume as the *Bacchae* and that a short poem of Seferis' *Logbook III* is dedicated to *Pentheus*<sup>8</sup>.

In his general introduction to the volume, Vellacott admitted that he may «put forward somewhat arbitrarily the view» he presents, but he justified this effect with the attempt «to make the problem itself seem interesting enough to persuade at least an occasional reader to weigh in his own mind the accepted evidence and to read further in search of evidence hitherto unnoticed». He was against the idea «too often assumed that understanding of the main works of Classical literature is now complete» and — what is most important — he considered the Euripidean plays as «a world whose mysteries are infinite because they are the simple ones of common human experience; whose life and language offer a touchstone for the vitality of our modern world» (Vellacott 1954, 7). The past can speak to the present and give it an impulse. Past and present can be confused and their confusion will produce a strange, vague atmosphere, such as the one we find in Seferis' poem, which is ruled by the «absolute kingdom of the memory» (Nikolaou 2000, 160), both the personal memory and the literary one.

Vellacott believed that «it is possible to enjoy a work of art for the succession of moving or delightful moments it provides, together with a vague impression of design and a strong sense of atmosphere, without troubling to acquire that knowledge

Anyway, one of the most famous editions of the Euripidean *Bacchae* with introduction and commentary by E.R. Dodds (1953) was in Seferis' library and also this book was noted by the poet.

of detail, association and source which was assumed by the author as necessary to its full comprehension» (Vellacott 1954, 20) and, in order to explain what he meant, he suggested the example of *The Waste Land* by T.S. Eliot as a parallel for such a reading. According to Vellacott, this approach – a great enjoyment in the text, which allows numerous questions to remain unanswered – works well also with Euripides' *Helen* itself, because «it is [...] a play full of puzzles» (Vellacott 1954, 21). For example, in the prologue Teucer plays a mere dramatic role, emphasizing the power of appearance, but he just gives Helen «news which she might in fact have got from Theonoe any time in the last seven years», and then he «departs [...] into the nebulous exile from which he appeared» (Vellacott 1954, 22).

In the 1950s Seferis well knew *The Waste Land*, which he translated in the years 1933-1936 (Loulakaki-Moore 2010): so Vellacott's suggestions and considerations might have led Seferis to face the strange and troubling character of Teucer and try to go deeper into his mystery, after having shared a similar life experience with him. Hence, there is nothing surprising if – in the modern poem – the border line between Teucer's soliloquy and Seferis' one is not immediately clear, at least not everywhere.

#### Conclusions.

Such a quick survey on this range of editions of the Euripidean *Helen* was intended to focus on the relationship between Seferis' ode and its main source in a more complete cultural context. Our opinion about the ancient tragedy is less important than the interpretations offered by scholars like Grégoire, Warner and Vellacott, since Seferis had their editions, published in the early 1950s, in his library: so they are likely to have somehow led him into his personal reading of Euripides' *Helen*. And Seferis' one is indeed a personal reading, as for both the general situation, i.e. the setting at Cyprus (Nikolaou 2000, 119), and the adoption of Teucer's point of view.

Ancient texts talk about the arrival of Teucer at Cyprus, where he founded a new Salamis and established his kingdom (Nikolaou 2000, 105-8; but he avoided to mention the important allusion to this myth in Pindar's *Nemean Ode* 4.75-7). The happy ending of his trip erases – as far as we can understand – the sufferings of the exile. Surely, this is not what we read in Seferis' poem. In this text Teucer's mood looks more like Helen's mood in Egypt, as for what we read in the Euripidean drama, and his monologue takes some themes from her tragic monologue: they both go through the main steps of a sorrowful life, so that Teucer's soliloguy supplies Helen's one.

As for this shift in the point of view, it is obvious that a male speaking character could make the poet's identification with him easier and lead to the confusion between their voices<sup>9</sup>. But again, this choice could also depend on the Euripidean criticism and another book we find in Seferis' library could be relevant to such a choice: Murray 1947, 148, clearly claimed that «Helen, in her thorough process of rehabilitation has emerged that most insipid of fancies, a perfectly beautiful and blameless heroine with no character except love of her husband, whom, by the way, she has not seen for seventeen years». Hence the innovation in Seferis' ode, still named

Also Teucer's monologue in Horace's *Odes* I 7.21-32 might be an influence on Seferis' *Helen* (Cuenca 1976, 378; De Poli 2008, 108 f.), but this is another matter: we won't deal with it here.

## The Land of Teucer

*Helen*: Teucer takes the main role. And he is not just an Euripidean but also a Sophoclean character: in *Ajax*, he is an important figure indeed and he utters a long monologue while standing beside the corpse of his brother (vv. 992-1039). Seferis loved Sophocles' tragedies: so the choice of Teucer and the substitution of Helen's soliloguy with Teucer's soliloguy were probably quite easy.

In this analysis we have shown once more, how the history of classical studies may be useful to investigate Seferis' poetry, mainly referring to a myth – such as Teucer's exile to Cyprus – which is completely absent from modern western European literature (Nikolaou 2000, 108).

Università degli Studi di Padova

Mattia De Poli mattia.depoli@unipd.it

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES**

Alexopoulou 2006 = M. Alexopoulou, 'Nostos' and the Impossibility of 'a Return to the Same': From Homer to Seferis, New Voices in Classical Reception Studies 1, 2006 (<a href="http://www2.open.ac.uk/ClassicalStudies/GreekPlays/newvoices/issue1/Alexopolou.pdf">http://www2.open.ac.uk/ClassicalStudies/GreekPlays/newvoices/issue1/Alexopolou.pdf</a>).

Allan 2008 = W. Allan, Euripides, 'Helen', Cambridge 2008.

Benedetti 1970 = E. Benedetti, *Poesia e pensiero della Grecia classica nell'opera di Giorgio Seferis*, in *Omaggio a Seferis*, Padova 1970, 25-143.

Bittarello 2007 = M.B. Bittarello, *The 'Teucer Paradigm' and the Eastern Other in Western Literature*, CLCWeb: Comparative Literature and Culture 9.3, 2007, (<a href="http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/clcweb/vol9/iss3/1">http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/clcweb/vol9/iss3/1</a>)

Campbell 1950 = A.Y. Campbell, Euripides, 'Helena', Liverpool 1950.

Cuenca 1976 = L.A. de Cuenca, La 'Hélena' de Euripide y un poema de Giorgos Seferis, EClás 20, 1976, 371-8.

De Poli 2008 = M. De Poli, *Il mito di Teucro a Cipro: da Pindaro a Seferis*, in *Giornate per Cipro*, Atti degli incontri tenuti all'Università di Padova, 10 maggio 2005 e 28 novembre 2006, Padova 2008, 91-111.

Dodds 1953 = E.R. Dodds, Euripides, 'Bacchae', Oxford 1953.

Giannadakis 1989 = N. Giannadakis, Κατάλογος βιβλιοθήκης Γιώργου καί Μαρώς Σεφέρη, Iraklion 1989.

Grégoire 1950 = H. Grégoire, *Notice*, in *Euripide*, '*Hélène*', '*Les Phéniciennes*', ed. and transl. by H. G. – L. Méridier – F. Chapouthier, Paris 1950, 9-46.

Gumpert 2001 = M. Gumpert 2001, *Grafting Helen: The Abduction of the Classical Past*, Madison 2001.

Kapsomenos 2003 = E. Kapsomenos, *La mitologia poetica di Seferis*, in *Giorgio Seferis*, Giornata di studi nel centenario della nascita, Palermo 30 novembre 2000, Palermo 2003, 15-36.

Krikos-Davies 1994 = K. Krikos-Davis, 'Kolokes'. A Study of George Seferis' "Logbook III" (1953-1955), Amsterdam 1994.

Loulakaki-Moore 2010 = I. Loulakaki-Moore, *Seferis and Elytis as Translators*, Bern 2010.

Marcheselli 1966 = L. Marcheselli, *Echi dei tragici greci nell'opera di Seferis*, Dioniso 40, 1966, 99-113.

Murray 1947 = G. Murray, Euripides and His Age, London-New York-Toronto 1947.

Nikolaou 2000 = N.A. Νικολάου, Μυθολογία Γ. Σεφέρη: Από τον Οδυσσέα στον Τεύκρο, Athens 2000.

#### Mattia De Poli

Peron 1976 = G. Peron, Per una lettura parallela di T. S. Eliot e G. Seferis, in Memoria di Seferis. Studi critici, Firenze 1976, 127-200.

Pontani 1963 = F.M. Pontani, Giorgio Seferis. Poesie, Milano 1963.

Savvidis 1961 = Γ.Π. Σαββίδης, Μια περιδιάβαση: Σχόλια στο ...Κύπρον, οὖ μ' ἐθέσπισεν..., in Για τον Σεφέρη: Τιμητικό αφιέρωμα στα τριάντα χρόνια της "Στροφής", Athens 1961, 304-408.

Seferis [1974] 2004 = Γ. Σεφέρης, Ποιήματα, Athens 2004.

Stevanoni 1976 = C. Stevanoni, *Seferis e il teatro*, in *Memoria di Seferis. Studi critici*, Firenze 1976, 267-322.

Susanetti 2007 = D. Susanetti, Euripide. Fra tragedia, mito e filosofia, Roma 2007.

Tachopoulou 2012 = O. Tachopoulou, *Re-imagining the Past: Views of Greek Antiquity in George Seferis's poetry*, in *Modern Views of Greek and Roman Antiquity*, Grundtvig Workshop, Nicosia 22-30 April 2012, being printed.

Vellacott 1954 = Ph. Vellacott, *Introduction*, in *The 'Bacchae' and Other Plays: 'Ion'*, 'The Women of Troy', 'Helen' and the 'Bacchae', transl. by Ph. Vellacott, Baltimore 1954, 7-32.

Warner 1951 = R. Warner, *Introduction*, in *The 'Helen' of Euripides*, transl. by R. Warner, London 1951, 7-9.

**Abstract:** Euripides' *Helen* was the main influence on Seferis' *Helen* (*Logbook III*), but probably we can better understand their relationship if we consider some critical works about Euripidean tragedies, which were collected in Seferis' library.

Keywords: Euripides, Seferis, Teucer, Helen, Cyprus.