



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Use of online and paper-and-pencil questionnaires to assess the distribution of orthorexia nervosa, muscle dysmorphia and eating disorders among university students: can different approaches lead to different results?

 This is a pre print version of the following article:

 Original Citation:

 Availability:

 This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1845668

 since 2022-03-04T10:01:33Z

 Published version:

 DOI:10.1007/s40519-021-01231-3

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Eating and Weight Disorders - Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity

Comparability of online and paper-and-pencil questionnaires administered to university students for assessing the diffusion of orthorexia nervosa, muscle dysmorphia and eating disorders --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Comparability of online and paper-and-pencil questionnaires administered to university students for assessing the diffusion of orthorexia nervosa, muscle dysmorphia and eating disorders
Article Type:	Original Article
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	Purpose Online administration of questionnaires to assess the diffusion of disordered eating behaviours is becoming common today. Web-based surveys offer advantages, such as faster return and lower costs, although the relatively high nonresponse rate can result in selection bias. The aim of this study is to assess whether the administration of a test to assess traits of eating disorders (EDs), orthorexia nervosa (ON) and muscle dysmorphia (MD), via a web-based survey (WBS) and a paper-and-pencil-based survey (PBS) gives different results. Methods During two consecutive academic years, a self-reported questionnaire consisting of a socio-demographic section and three tests validated for the evaluation of ON (ORTO- 15), MD (MDDI-ITA), and EDs (EAT-26) were administered to a group of undergraduates using a web-based and a paper-based questionnaire. Results The WBS response rate was 7.9% (N=137), and the PBS response rate was 100% (N=372). The WBS group showed a statistically significant higher prevalence of students with eating disordered behaviours (21.2% vs 5.4%) and registered a higher mean score on the EAT-26 test (13.5±11.1 vs 6.0±8.0); no differences between the two groups emerged for ON and MD prevalence and test scores. Moreover, in the WBS group, the number of students with one or more tests with test scores above the cut-off values was significantly higher (46.0% vs 32.3%). Conclusion The setting of an online survey to assess EDs and related issues must take into account all the factors that can result in selection bias and that can affect the reliability of the results.
Corresponding Author:	Ilaria Silvia Rossella GORRASI Universita degli Studi di Torino Scuola di Medicina Torino, Italy ITALY
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universita degli Studi di Torino Scuola di Medicina
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Ilaria Silvia Rossella GORRASI
First Author Secondary Information:	

Order of Authors:	Ilaria Silvia Rossella GORRASI
	Raffaella Degan
	Giovanni Abbate Daga
	Simona Bo
	Anna Tagliabue
	Cinzia Ferraris
	Monica Guglielmetti
	Mattia Roppolo
	Giorgio Gilli
	Elisabetta Carraro
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Author Comments:	To the kind attention of Dr. Lorenzo Donini Editor-in-Chief, Eating and Weight Disorders - Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity
	Dear Dr. Donini, It is a pleasure to submit to your Journal the manuscript entitled "Comparability of online and paper-and-pencil questionnaires administered to university students for assessing the diffusion of orthorexia nervosa, muscle dysmorphia and eating disorders", of the authors: Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi, Raffaella Degan, Giovanni Abbate Daga, Simona Bo, Anna Tagliabue, Cinzia Ferraris, Monica Guglielmetti, Mattia Roppolo, Giorgio Gilli and Elisabetta Carraro, which I propose you for publication as full lenght paper. In this study, we aimed to evaluate whether a survey with questionnaires to evaluate the diffusion of traits of eating disorders (EDs), orthorexia nervosa (ON) and muscle dysmorphia (MD), conducted with two different methods that is a web-based survey and a paper-and-pencil-based survey, could give comparable results. The survey was conducted among students attending the first year at the University of Turin, Italy; students in the same university courses were involved for two consecutive academic years. The use of questionnaires administered online for psychological and psychiatric clinical research and other medical applications is becoming important. In situations such as the current COVID-19 pandemic, the importance of using online tools when it is not possible to meet face to face for direct interviews emerges more than ever. It is therefore essential that these tools are valid and representative and it is important to consider the impact that changing the mode of delivery can have on the responses collected. In our study the two different ways of administering the questionnaires gave some different results: students who completed the online questionnaire had a higher prevalence of EDs and presented a higher number of subjects with risk profiles for one or more of the three conditions examined than did students who completed the web- based questionnaire. In our opinion these differences could be due to several aspects, including an effective distinction between the two groups, bu

 $^{7}_{8}$ 6

7

11 8

27**16**

3 3**19**

Authors' names

¹ 2 Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi, Raffaella Degan, Giovanni Abbate Daga, Simona Bo, Anna Tagliabue, Cinzia Ferraris, ⊿ 3 3 Monica Guglielmetti, Mattia Roppolo, Giorgio Gilli and Elisabetta Carraro. ⁴ 4

Title

Comparability of online and paper-and-pencil questionnaires administered to university students for assessing the diffusion of orthorexia nervosa, muscle dysmorphia and eating disorders

Authors' institutional affiliations

Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi, Raffaella Degan, Mattia Roppolo, Giorgio Gilli, Elisabetta Carraro: Department of Public

13 9 14 15 10 16 17 11 18 19 12 20 21 13 22 23 14 25 5 Health and Pediatrics, University of Turin, Via Santena 5bis - 10126, Turin, Italy

Giovanni Abbate Daga: Department of Neurosciences "Rita Levi Montalcini", University of Turin, Via Cherasco 11 -

10126, Turin, Italy

Simona Bo: Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Corso Dogliotti 14 - 10126 Turin, Italy

Anna Tagliabue, Cinzia Ferraris, Monica Guglielmetti: Department of Public Health, Experimental and Forensic

Medicine, University of Pavia, Via Forlanini 2 - 27100, Pavia, Italy

Corresponding author:

Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi

20 ilaria.gorrasi@unito.it

+39 3391529971

1 Abstract

¹ 2 **Purpose**

2

Online administration of questionnaires to assess the diffusion of disordered eating behaviours is becoming common today. Web-based surveys offer advantages, such as faster return and lower costs, although the relatively high nonresponse rate can result in selection bias.

The aim of this study is to assess whether the administration of a test to assess traits of eating disorders (EDs), orthorexia nervosa (ON) and muscle dysmorphia (MD), via a web-based survey (WBS) and a paper-and-pencil-based survey (PBS) gives different results.

) Methods

During two consecutive academic years, a self-reported questionnaire consisting of a socio-demographic section and three tests validated for the evaluation of ON (ORTO-15), MD (MDDI-ITA), and EDs (EAT-26) were administered to a group of undergraduates using a web-based and a paper-based questionnaire.

Results

The WBS response rate was 7.9% (N=137), and the PBS response rate was 100% (N=372). The WBS group showed a statistically significant higher prevalence of students with eating disordered behaviours (21.2% vs 5.4%) and registered a higher mean score on the EAT-26 test (13.5 ± 11.1 vs 6.0 ± 8.0); no differences between the two groups emerged for ON and MD prevalence and test scores. Moreover, in the WBS group, the number of students with one or more tests with test scores above the cut-off values was significantly higher (46.0% vs 32.3%).

Conclusion

The setting of an online survey to assess EDs and related issues must take into account all the factors that can result in selection bias and that can affect the reliability of the results.

Level of Evidence: Level V, descriptive cross-sectional survey.

Keywords

Orthorexia nervosa; muscle dysmorphia; eating disorders; web-based survey; paper and pencil survey; questionnaire.

1 Introduction

Several instruments used for psychological and psychiatric clinical and research applications have been validated for administration via the internet [1], and this way of administering questionnaires is becoming important in the research field. The preferred mode for collecting survey data in research has traditionally been the paper questionnaire; however, in recent years, this way of collecting data has been challenged [2]. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic for example has highlighted the importance of using online tools given the impossibility of meeting in person in several situations.

For the assessment of eating disorders (EDs), since 2013, five new tools have been developed and validated exclusively for online self-report administration or for both online and pencil-and-paper administration [3]. EDs are mental disorders described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of the American Psychiatric Association (DSM-5). Orthorexia nervosa (ON) is not currently recognized as a mental disorder, while muscle dysmorphia (MD) is classified in the DSM-5 as a subtype of body dysmorphic disorder. ON and MD are considered close to EDs [4–9]. The term orthorexia nervosa, literally meaning "proper appetite", was first coined by Bratman in 1997 [10] to describe an excessive fixation on healthy eating, often associated with significant dietary restrictions and consequent lifethreatening medical conditions related to malnutrition, disrupted social life and social isolation. Muscle dysmorphia was first identified by Pope et al. [11] in a group of bodybuilders and refers to individuals preoccupied with their appearance and concerned about not being sufficiently large and muscular, with a life consumed by activities aimed at increasing muscularity, such as weightlifting, dieting and using drugs [12, 13].

The prevalence of ON and MD has been assessed using self-reported questionnaires as screening tools [14–17] administered mostly as paper-and-pencil questionnaires [18–25] but more recently as web-based questionnaires [5, 26– 31] Comparability of the reliability of web-based and paper questionnaires has been supported in some cases [32–34]. It is widely accepted that web-based questionnaires offer advantages, although they have not been scientifically confirmed [35]. Advantages supported by the literature include more complete data [36], faster return [37, 38], and lower costs [39]. Two main disadvantages have been identified: 1) the relatively high nonresponse rate compared with that from traditional methods and 2) concerns regarding the reliability and validity of the data obtained [40, 41]. Furthermore, when an online test is merely an adaptation of a traditional offline instrument, evidence that the offline version has satisfactory psychometric properties is not sufficient to allow one to assume they will apply to the online version as well [42].

In the present study, we compare the results of two surveys, an online version and a paper-and-pencil version, administered in two consecutive years, using the same questionnaire with tools to rate the presence of ON, MD and ED traits. The survey was conducted among students attending the first year at the University of Turin, Italy; students in the same university courses were involved for the two years. The aim of the study was to evaluate the comparability of results between a web-based questionnaire survey and a paper-based questionnaire survey assessing the presence of ON, measured by the ORTO-15 test [14], MD, measured by the MDDI-ITA test [16] and ED, measured by EAT-26 [43] traits, and the scores obtained for the three tests; the comparison of the survey results was conducted with reference to the personal characteristics of students (age, BMI, exercise level, use of supplements, drugs, dieting).

Methods

65

Study design and setting

Web-based and paper-based questionnaire surveys were carried out during two consecutives academic years at the University of Turin.

For the web-based questionnaire survey (WBS), an online questionnaire was developed using the Lime Survey TM (Fa. 1

12 Carsten Schmitz / Germany). An email invitation (and two reminders), including a link to the website to participate [⊿]3 3 anonymously, were sent to the institutional email addresses of the students.

4 **4** For the paper-and-pencil-based questionnaire survey (PBS), participants were approached during lessons after 5 э 6 5 conferring with professors and were asked to anonymously complete the questionnaire.

 $^{7}_{8}$ 6 In both years, the survey was presented as an investigation among university students about nutrition habits, approach 5 و 7 و towards physical activity and proper body aspect. This research was reviewed and approved by the Bioethical Committee of the University of Turin.

Participants

2

The participants were students attending first year courses in medicine, dietetics, physiotherapy, exercise and sport science and business administration. To participate in the survey, it was necessary to give informed consent after taking note of the informative paper.

Measures

The questionnaire comprised four sections: (I) socio-demographic section with questions about sex, age, weight, height, hours and type of physical exercise, supplements and medicines use, and dieting, (II) the ORTO-15 test [14], which identifies individuals with ON traits, (III) the MDDI-ITA test [16], which identifies individuals with MD traits, and (IV) the EAT-26 test [43], which identifies individuals with ED.

ORTO-15 test

The ORTO-15 test was validated for the Italian population by Donini and colleagues [14]; it is composed of 15 multiple-choice items using a four-point Likert scale (always, often, sometimes, never); answers that indicate ON have a score of "1", while the "healthier" responses receive a score of "4". The sum of the points is the final score of the test. Donini and colleagues [14] selected two threshold values below which a diagnosis of ON could be given: <40 and <35, identifying the value of 40 as more predictive of ON. The authors concluded that cut-off point values could be set depending on the purpose for which the scale was used. We chose the cut-off<35, which showed a high specificity (94,2%) and negative predictive value (91,1%).

MDDI-ITA test

MDDI-ITA is a test for the presence of MD. It was validated in the Italian language by Santarnecchi and Dettore [16]; the original English version was developed by Hildebrandt and colleagues [15]. It is composed of 13 multiple-choice items rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale (always, often, sometimes, rarely, never) ranging from point "1" for "never" to "5" points for always. The sum of the points is the final score of the test. Currently, measurement instruments for MD have not established a defined cut-off score that allows for discrimination between healthy and clinically significant results [44]. In this study, we used a cut-off of 39 as previously adopted [18, 28, 45] on the basis of Varangis and colleagues [46], who reported a specificity of 75% and a sensitivity of 73,7%.

EAT-26 test

EAT-26 is one of the most used tests for identifying subjects with EDs, and it was validated in Italy by Dotti & Lazzari [43]. It is composed of 26 multiple-choice items (always, usually, often, sometimes, rarely, never). The sum of the questions yields the total score. The threshold value ≥ 20 identifies subjects at risk.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation or percentage, except for exercise levels that were not normally distributed and are presented as the median (interquartile range). To assess the differences between variables among the groups, Student's t-test and the χ 2test were used. Exercise levels were compared by the Mann-Whitney test. For correlations, we used Pearson-r.

Data were processed using SPSS software, version 24.

Results

Participants

For the WBS, an email invitation was sent to 2,047 students: 180 (8.8%) entered the web page of the questionnaire, 18 did not give their informed consent, 162 agreed to participate, with a response rate of 7.9%, and 137completed the questionnaire. For the PBS, a questionnaire was administered to 430 students: 100% agreed to participate, and 372 provided a complete questionnaire response. No differences in data completeness emerged between the two methods of administration: 84.6% for the Web-based and 86.5% for the paper-based questionnaire surveys ($\chi 2=0.369$, p=0.544).

Sample characteristics and questionnaire results

Descriptive characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1. Considering personal characteristics and habits, the group of students who filled out the paper-based questionnaire had a statistically significant younger age and engaged in more physical activity than the group who filled out the web-based questionnaire. No statistically significant differences emerged for sex, BMI, supplements and medicines use or dieting. Analysing the results of the three tests that evaluated ON, MD and EDs, a statistically significant difference emerged only for EDs: the WBS group showed a higher prevalence and a higher score in the EAT-26 than did the PBS group; no differences between the two groups of students emerged in terms of the prevalence of ON and MD traits or in terms of the ORTO-15 and MDDI-ITA test scores (Table 1).

	Web-based questionnaire survey (n=137)	Paper-based questionnaire survey (n=372)	р
Males, n (%)	53 (38.7)	160 (43.0)	0.380
Age (years)	20.4±2.8	20.0±1.3	<0.001*
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.4±2.8	22.1±2.8	0.824
Physical activity (h/week)	4.0±4.0	4.5±7.5	0.020*
Supplements use (%)	10.2	16.1	0.093
Medicines use (%)	10.9	11.3	0.914
Dieting (%)	11.7	8.3	0.248
Traits of ON cut- off<35, n (%)	49 (35.8)	105 (28.2)	0.100
Traits of MD cut- off>39, n (%)	10 (7.3)	21 (5.6)	0.489
Traits of EDs cut-off≥20, n (%)	29 (21.2)	20 (5.4)	<0.001*
Score ORTO-15	35.7±3.8	36.5±3.9	0.819
Score MDDI-ITA	28.0±7.3	26.1±7.3	0.922

Table 1 - Characteristics of participants

Score EAT-26	13.5±11.1	6.0±8.0	<0.001*
--------------	-----------	---------	---------

Students with test scores above the cut-off and with a co-presence ON, MD and ED traits

The co-presence of a risk factor for two or three simultaneous conditions was registered in both groups. However, for the two groups, the analysis of the distribution of the number of students who had none, one or more test scores above the cut-off values in the three submitted test responses (ORTO-15, MDDI-ITA and EAT-26) showed a statistically significant difference: the number of students without any risk condition was higher in the group that filled out the paper-based questionnaire than that in the web-based questionnaire group, and in the same group, the number of students with one or more test scores above the cut-off values was lower than the number of web-based questionnaire students (Table 2).

Table 2 –Number of students with test scores above the cut-off

Number of tests with scores above the cut-off values	Web-based questionnaire survey (n=137)	Paper-based questionnaire survey (n=372)	р
0	74 (54.0%)	252 (67.7%)	0.002*
1	43 (31.4%)	100 (26.9%)	
2	15 (10.9%)	14 (3.8%)	
3	5 (3.6%)	6 (1.6%)	

Correlations between the three test scores, hours of physical activity and BMI

In the WBS and PBS groups, ON, MD and ED were correlated (Pearson correlation) with each other. In both groups of students, the ORTO-15 scores were negatively associated with the MDDI-ITA and EAT-26 scores; a lower score on the ORTO-15 test corresponded to a greater attitude towards ON: the correlations found suggest that as the orthorexic attitudes increase, attitudes for behaviours typical of MD or EDs also increase. MDDI-ITA scores were associated with EAT-26 scores and with hours of physical activity. Moreover, only in the PBS group was MDDI-ITA also correlated with BMI, and the EAT-26 was negatively correlated with hours of physical activity (Table 3).

Table 3 – Correlations among test scores, BMI and physical activity

	Web-based questionnaire survey (n=137)		Paper-based questionnaire survey (n=372)			
	ORTO-15	MDDI-ITA	EAT-26	ORTO- 15	MDDI-ITA	EAT-26
Physical	-0.104	0.152*(0.038)	-0.11	-0.037	0.117*(<0.001)	-0.141*
activity	(0.225)		(0.902)	(0.478)		(0.006)
(h/week), r						
BMI, r	-0.017	0.152 (0.077)	-0.013	0.084	0.172* (0.001)	-0.024 (0.647)
(sig.)	(0.841)		(0.876)	(0.108)		
ORTO-15,	-	-0.283*	-0.362*	-	269*(<0.001)	307*
r (sig.)		(0.001)	(<0.001)			(<0.001)
MDDI-	-	-	0.594*	-	-	0.420*(<0.001)
ITA, r			(<0.001)			
(sig.)			. ,			

r= Spearman's rank correlation coefficient

1 Discussion

In this study, we assessed the comparability of the results obtained from two surveys carried out among students at the University of Turin to evaluate the prevalence of ON, MD and ED traits using different methods of administering the questionnaire: online and paper-and-pencil. Surveys were carried out in two consecutive academic years and involved students attending their first year in degree courses of medicine, dietetics, physiotherapy, exercise and sport science and business administration; the number of students enrolled in university courses in the two academic years was comparable.

In the web-based questionnaire survey (WBS), 2,047 students were invited to participate via email, and the response rate was very low, with only 162 acceptances (7.9%); the data completeness was 84.6%. In the paper-and-pencil-based questionnaire survey (PBS), the number of students approached and invited to participate in the survey was lower, totalling 430 students, but all agreed to participate (100%), and the data completeness was comparable to that of the WBS, 86.5%. There were two reasons that fewer students were approached during lessons than were invited via email: 1) courses with a large number of students enrolled (i.e., business administration and exercise and sport science) divide students into more than one class, and we did not have the personnel or sufficient time to administer questionnaires in all the classes; and 2) since mandatory attendance is not required for all university courses, it is difficult to reach all students during lessons.

Comparing the results for the WBS and PBS groups, some statistically significant differences emerged. In terms of personal characteristics, participants in the WBS were older and spent less time in a week engaged in physical activity. Regarding the evaluation of ON, MD and EDs, the WBS participants had higher scores on the EAT-26 test and a greater number of subjects with EDs than did the PBS participants. No statistically significant differences in terms of sex, BMI, supplement, drug use and dieting were observed in relation to the ORTO-15 and MDDI-ITA scores or in the number of subjects with ON and MD traits. Compared to the WBS group, in the PBS group, the number of students with no tests with scores above the cut-off values was significantly greater, and the number of students with one or more tests with score above the cut-off was significantly lower.

These results indicate that in the WBS group, there was a major prevalence of EDs, and more generally, considering the number of tests with scores above the cut-off values, there were more students with a risk profile for the conditions examined than in the PBS group. Web-based administration may yield slightly different results compared with those obtained from paper-and-pencil assessments [47, 48], and it has been documented that the mode of test administration affects the expected score distributions [42]. Web-based questionnaires have been concerned about the reliability and validity of the data obtained. Studies in various areas of health research have shown that traditional epidemiologic risk factors, such as perceived health status, anthropometry data, and smoking and alcohol use, can be collected with equal or even better reliability in web-based questionnaires than with traditional approaches [40, 49].

We attribute differences in the scores in our study to three main aspects: the low response rate in the WBS and a possible resulting selection bias, the absence of a validation of the online versions of the three test, and a different approach of participants towards online questionnaires vs paper-and-pencil questionnaires.

Low response rates represent a major concern that threatens the quality of the web surveys [50], and self-selection is a common cause of selection bias [40]. Traditional modes of data collection, such as paper-and-pencil questionnaires, have shown little bias resulting from non-participation [40, 51, 52]. Actual data on the response rate to web-based surveys range from 53% to 92% [2], though the response rate in our study and in other studies of online assessments of ON and EDs [30, 53, 54] are generally inferior, and a low response rate is considered to be a limitation.

A rate response of 18.4% was obtained from 11,828 students at two universities in the USA after sending an email invitation to complete the EDE-Q test for assessing the prevalence of EDs [53]. Tremelling and colleagues [54] obtained a response rate of 27.4% among a sample of 2,500 dietitian nutritionists invited via email to complete the ORTO-15 and EDE-Q tests in Texas, concluding that choosing to participate or not could influence results regarding the presence of ON traits among respondents [54]. Dell'Osso and colleagues [30] sent an email invitation to the whole student population at the University of Pisa, Italy, (51,609 subjects) to fill out the ORTO-15, and the response rate was 4.13%, a factor that reduced the generalizability of the study results according to the authors. A higher rate was obtained by Parra-Fernandez and colleagues [55]: on 640 university students who were asked to complete an online questionnaire through the JotForm platform, they had a response rate of 70.28%.

Among the factors that can influence the response rate in web surveys are the sponsors, the topic, the length of time required to complete the survey, the presentation of the questionnaire, the contact delivery modes, the use of prenotifications and the presence of incentives [50].

According to a review of web surveys [50], several meta-analyses have shown that the salience of a topic is one of the most important factors that influences response rates to both mail and web surveys [56–58]. When the topic is of high salience (i.e., the topic is of high interest to some surveyees), potential respondents are more likely to respond to the survey [50].

We hypothesize that in our study, the topic of the survey presented in the WBS attracted a high number of subjects interested in personal and pathological involvement in issues related to nutrition, body aspect and physical activity, but this could be true only for the presence of EDs and not for ON or MD. In the PBS, all students approached in class agreed to participate, reducing the self-selection bias of the sample.

To our knowledge, there is no validation of the online version of MDDI-ITA or of the EAT-26 test. The validity of an online version of the ORTO-15 translated into Portuguese was tested among a sample of Brazilian dieticians, but no evidence was found of its validity and reliability with the initial psychometric evaluation performed [59]. Some studies used online adaptations of ORTO-15 [26, 27, 30, 54, 60, 61], MDDI-ITA [28] or its original English version [62] and EAT-26 [5, 62–64]. While most of the evidence to date indicates that online adaptations of offline tests usually address the expected constructs, there have been sufficient indications of (usually small) differences (e.g., in factor structure, score distributions) to advocate caution, especially in instances where test use has real implications for people's wellbeing [42]. According to Buchanan, when an online test is an adaptation of a traditional offline instrument, evidence that the offline version has satisfactory psychometric properties is not sufficient to allow one to assume they will apply to the online version as well [42]. In our study, the use of tools not even validated for psychometric properties for online administration can be a limitation in the results obtain ed.

A strong candidate for explaining the reasons behind the differences in the scores between online and paper-and-pencil surveys is increased self-disclosure [1]. There is compelling evidence that people may disclose more about themselves when communicating via computers than via face-to-face interactions [65], a phenomenon that appears to extend to internet-mediated communication [66]. This has actually been one of the possible advantages suggested for online clinical work and has also led to the suggestion that online psychological questionnaires will actually give a better picture of the individual's real personality than traditional measures would [1]. Electronic administration of questionnaires can affect the responses given to self-administered survey questionnaires through direct influence on the respondents [67]. For example, concerns about privacy, anonymity and confidentiality might influence the accuracy of the answers to certain items, and social and cultural beliefs can influence the acceptability of the response [67, 68].

A correlation among the three test scores was identified between both the WBS and PBS groups: the increase in attitudes towards one of the conditions examined also implies an increase in attitudes towards the other two conditions. This is in line with the literature: a correlation between ON and ED traits emerged in some studies [6, 60, 69, 70] as well as a correlation between MD and EDs [71–73]. This result is also in line with the studies in which a proximity of features between ON and MD with EDs is considered [4–9, 25].

The use of electronic self-administered survey questionnaires has become common in several research areas [74]. In situations such as the current COVID-19 pandemic, the importance of using online tools when it is not possible to meet face to face emerges more than ever. It is therefore essential that these tools are valid and representative and it is important to consider the impact that changing the mode of delivery can have on the responses collected [67]. In this study, the same questionnaire for evaluating the prevalence of ON, MD and ED traits administered in two consecutive years to an analogous group of undergraduates online and via paper-and-pencil gave some different results: students who completed the online questionnaire had a higher prevalence of EDs and presented a higher number of subjects with risk profiles for one or more of the three conditions examined than did students who completed the web-based questionnaire. These differences could be due to several aspects, including an effective distinction between the two groups, but the variation in the route of administration can also play a role. It is important to address correctly online surveys, preferably requiring instruments specifically validated for that use.

What is already know on the subject?

Online surveys to assess the diffusion of eating disorders, both classified and emerging as orthorexia nervosa and muscle dysmorphia have been widely used in recent years, however, the questionnaires used are not generally validated for online administration. The results of online surveys may be affected by bias due for example to low response rates, to a self-selection linked to the salience of a topic, the sponsors, the length of time required to complete the survey, the presentation of the questionnaire, the contact delivery modes, the use of pre-notifications and the presence of incentives. Furthermore, in online surveys, subjects often have greater self-disclosure. The results of the web-based surveys must take into account all these aspects to be considered valid and reliable.

What your study adds?

This study, for the first time to our knowledge, compares the results obtained with the online and paper administration of questionnaires for the evaluation of the diffusion of EDs, ON and MD on analogous groups of university students. Differences between the groups have been identified. The results highlight the need for an adequate design of webbased surveys and the importance of using validated questionnaires for this type of administration.

Declarations

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval: All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the national ethics committee and with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the bioethical committees of University of Turin.

Consent to participate: Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

Consent for publication: not applicable.

Availability of data and material: The dataset generated and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Code availability: not applicable.

Author's contributions: Conceptualization: Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi, Giovanni Abbate Daga, Simona Bo,
Elisabetta Carraro; Methodology: Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi, Giovanni Abbate Daga, Simona Bo, Elisabetta
Carraro, Anna Tagliabue; Formal analysis and investigation: Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi, Raffaella Degan, Mattia
Roppolo, Cinzia Ferraris, Monica Guglielmetti; Writing – original draft preparation: Ilaria Silvia Rossella Gorrasi,
Raffaella Degan, Elisabetta Carraro, Cinzia Ferraris, Monica Guglielmetti; Writing – review and editing: Ilaria Silvia
Rossella Gorrasi, Giovanni Abbate Daga, Simona Bo, Elisabetta Carraro, Anna Tagliabue, Cinzia Ferraris, Monica
Guglielmetti; Supervision: Giorgio Gilli, Elisabetta Carraro.

1 Reference List

- ¹ 2 1. Buchanan T (2003) Internet-based questionnaire assessment: Appropriate use in clinical contexts. Cogn Behav 2 ₃ 3 Ther. https://doi.org/10.1080/16506070310000957 ⁴ 4 Ebert JF, Huibers L, Christensen B, Christensen MB (2018) Paper- or Web-Based Questionnaire Invitations as 2. 5 ₆ 5 a Method for Data Collection : Cross-Sectional Comparative Study of Differences in Response Rate, ⁷ 6 Completeness of Data, and Financial Cost. J Med Internet Res 20:1-12. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8353 8 9 7 3. Forbush KT, Gould SR, Chapa DAN, et al (2017) New Horizons in Measurement : a Review of Novel and 10 8 Innovative Approaches to Eating-Disorder Assessment. Curr Psychiatry Res 1-17. 11 12 **9** https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-017-0826-2 13 14 4. Dell'Osso L, Abelli M, Carpita B, et al (2016) Historical evolution of the concept of anorexia nervosa and 1511 relationships with orthorexia nervosa, autism, and obsessive-compulsive spectrum. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 16 17 17 12:1651-1660. https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S108912 1813 5. Bundros J, Clifford D, Silliman K, Neyman Morris M (2016) Prevalence of Orthorexia nervosa among college ¹⁹14 20 2115 students based on Bratman's test and associated tendencies. Appetite 101:86-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.02.144 22 23 2417 25 26 27 19 28 29 20 Sanlier N, Yassibas E, Bilici S, et al (2016) Does the rise in eating disorders lead to increasing risk of orthorexia 6. nervosa? Correlations with gender, education, and body mass index. Ecol Food Nutr 55:266-278. https://doi.org/10.1080/03670244.2016.1150276 7. Barthels F, Meyer F, Huber T, Pietrowsky R (2017) Orthorexic eating behaviour as a coping strategy in patients with anorexia nervosa. Eat Weight Disord 22:269-276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-016-0329-x ³⁰21 ³¹ ₃₂22 Mitchison D, Mond J (2015) Epidemiology of eating disorders, eating disordered behaviour, and body image 8. disturbance in males: A narrative review. J Eat Disord 3:1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-015-0058-y ³323 34 3524 9. Murray SB, Nagata JM, Griffiths S, et al (2017) The enigma of male eating disorders: A critical review and synthesis. Clin Psychol Rev 57:1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.08.001 ³⁶25 37 38**26** 10. Bratman S (1997) Health food junkie. Yoga J Sept Oct:42-50 11. Pope HG, Katz DL, Hudson JI (1993) Anorexia nervosa "reverse anorexia" among 108 male bodybuilders. ³⁹27 40 41²⁸ Compr Psychiatry 34:406-409. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-440X(93)90066-D Tod D, Edwards C, Cranswick I (2016) Muscle dysmorphia: Current insights. Psychol Res Behav Manag 12. 4229 43 4430 9:179-188. https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S97404 13. Pope HG, Gruber AJ, Choi P, et al (1997) Muscle Dysmorphia: An Underrecognized Form of Body 45 46 1 Dysmorphic Disorder. Psychosomatics 38:548-557. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-3182(97)71400-2 4782 Donini LM, Marsili D, Graziani MP, et al (2005) Orthorexia nervosa: Validation of a diagnosis questionnaire. 14. 48 49 33 Eat Weight Disord 10:e28-e32. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03327537 50**84** Hildebrandt T, Langenbucher J, Schlundt DG (2004) Muscularity concerns among men: development of 15. 51 52**35** attitudinal and perceptual measures. Body Image 1:169-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2004.01.001 5336 Santarnecchi E, Dèttore D (2012) Muscle dysmorphia in different degrees of bodybuilding activities: Validation 16. 54 55**37** of the Italian version of Muscle Dysmorphia Disorder Inventory and Bodybuilder Image Grid. Body Image 5638 9:396-403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2012.03.006 ⁵⁷ 58**39** 17. Olivardia R, Pope J, Hudson JI (2000) Muscle dysmorphia in male weightlifters: A case-control study. Am J 5**940** Psychiatry 157:1291-1296. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.8.1291 60 61**41** Bo S, Zoccali R, Ponzo V, et al (2014) University courses, eating problems and muscle dysmorphia: Are there 18. 62
 - 11

any associations? J Transl Med 12:221. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-014-0221-2 1 ¹ 2 19. Bağci Bosi AT, Çamur D, Güler Ç (2007) Prevalence of orthorexia nervosa in resident medical doctors in the 2 ₃ 3 faculty of medicine (Ankara, Turkey). Appetite 49:661-666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2007.04.007 ⁴ 4 20. Catherine Walker D, Anderson DA, Hildebrandt T (2009) Body checking behaviors in men. Body Image 5 ₆ 5 6:164-170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2009.05.001 ⁷ 6 21. Murray SB, Rieger E, Hildebrandt T, et al (2012) A comparison of eating, exercise, shape, and weight related 8 **7** و symptomatology in males with muscle dysmorphia and anorexia nervosa. Body Image 9:193-200. 10 8 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2012.01.008 11 12 **9** 22. Malmborg J, Bremander A, Olsson MC, Bergman S (2017) Health status, physical activity, and orthorexia 13 14 nervosa: A comparison between exercise science students and business students. Appetite 109:137-143. 1511 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.11.028 16**12** 17 Brytek-Matera A, Fonte ML, Poggiogalle E, et al (2017) Orthorexia nervosa: relationship with obsessive-23. 1813 compulsive symptoms, disordered eating patterns and body uneasiness among Italian university students. Eat ¹⁹14 20 2115 Weight Disord 22:609-617. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-017-0427-4 24. Compte EJ, Sepulveda AR, Torrente F (2015) A two-stage epidemiological study of eating disorders and ²² 23¹⁶ 2417 ²⁵ 26¹⁸ muscle dysmorphia in male university students in Buenos Aires. Int J Eat Disord 48:1092-1101. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22448 25. Gorrasi ISR, Bonetta S, Roppolo M, et al (2020) Traits of orthorexia nervosa and muscle dysmorphia in Italian 27**19** university students: a multicentre study. Eat Weight Disord 25:1413-1423. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-019-28 29**20** 00779-5 ³⁰21 ³¹ ₃₂22 Dell'Osso L, Abelli M, Carpita B, et al (2016) Orthorexia nervosa in a sample of Italian university population. 26. Riv Psichiatr 51:190-196. https://doi.org/10.1708/2476.25888 ³³23 ³⁴ ³⁵24 27. Dunn TM, Gibbs J, Whitney N, Starosta A (2017) Prevalence of orthorexia nervosa is less than 1 %: data from a US sample. Eat Weight Disord 22:185-192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-016-0258-8 ³⁶25 37 38**26** 28. Longobardi C, Prino LE, Fabris MA, Settanni M (2017) Muscle dysmorphia and psychopathology: Findings from an Italian sample of male bodybuilders. Psychiatry Res 256:231-236. ³⁹27 40 41²⁸ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.06.065 29. Oberle CD, Samaghabadi RO, Hughes EM (2017) Orthorexia nervosa: Assessment and correlates with gender, 4229 43 4430 BMI, and personality. Appetite 108:303-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.10.021 30. Dell'Osso L, Carpita B, Muti D, et al (2018) Prevalence and characteristics of orthorexia nervosa in a sample of 45 46 university students in Italy. Eat Weight Disord 23:55-65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-017-0460-3 4782 31. Parra-Fernández ML, Onieva-Zafra MD, Fernández-Martínez E, et al (2019) Assessing the prevalence of ⁴⁸33 49 orthorexia nervosa in a sample of university students using two different self-report measures. Int J Environ Res 50**84** Public Health 16:. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142459 51 52**35** 32. Ritter P, Lorig K, Laurent D, Matthews K (2004) Internet versus mailed questionnaires: a randomized 5336 comparison. J Med Internet Res. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6.3.e29 54 55**37** Whitehead L (2011) Methodological issues in Internet-mediated research: a randomized comparison of internet 33. 56**88** versus mailed questionnaires. J Med Internet Res. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1593 ⁵⁷ 58**39** 34. Duracinsky M, Lalanne C, Goujard C, et al (2014) Electronic versus paper-based assessment of health-related 5**940** quality of life specific to HIV disease: Reliability study of the PROQOL-HIV questionnaire. J Med Internet 60 61**41** Res. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3330 62 12 63 64 65

Horevoorts NJE, Vissers PAJ, Mols F, et al (2015) Response Rates for Patient-Reported Outcomes Using Web-1 35. ¹ 2 Based Versus Paper Questionnaires : Comparison of Two Invitational Methods in Older Colorectal Cancer 2 [∠]₃ 3 Patients Corresponding Author: 17:1-12. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3741 ⁴ 4 36. Bech M, Kristensen MB (2009) Differential response rates in postal and web-based surveys among older 5 ₆ 5 respondents. Surv Res Methods. https://doi.org/10.18148/srm/2009.v3i1.592 ⁷ 6 37. Akl EA, Maroun N, Klocke RA, et al (2005) Electronic mail was not better than postal mail for surveying 8 9 7 residents and faculty. J Clin Epidemiol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.10.006 10 8 38. Kroth PJ, McPherson L, Leverence R, et al (2009) Combining Web-based and mail surveys improves response 11 12 **9** rates: A PBRN study from PRIME Net. Ann Fam Med. https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.944 13 14 39. Scott A, Jeon SH, Joyce CM, et al (2011) A randomised trial and economic evaluation of the effect of response 1511 mode on response rate, response bias, and item non-response in a survey of doctors. BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 16 17 17 Gelder MMHJ Van, Bretveld RW, Roeleveld N (2010) Practice of Epidemiology Web-based Questionnaires : 40. 1813 The Future in Epidemiology? 172:1292–1298. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq291 19 20**1**4 41. Best SJ, Krueger B, Hubbard C, Smith A (2001) An assessment of the generalizability of Internet surveys. Soc. 21**15** Sci. Comput. Rev. 22 23 2417 25 26 27 19 28 29 20 42. Buchanan T, Buchanan T (2010) Internet-based Questionnaire Assessment : Appropriate Use in Clinical Contexts Internet-based Questionnaire Assessment : Appropriate Use in Clinical Contexts. 6073:. https://doi.org/10.1080/16506070310000957 43. Dotti A, Lazzari R (1998) Validation and reliability of the Italian EAT-26. Eat Weight Disord - Stud Anorexia, Bulim Obes 3:188-194. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03340009 ³⁰21 ³¹ ₃₂22 44. Suffolk MT, Dovey TM, Goodwin H, Meyer C (2013) Muscle Dysmorphia: Methodological Issues, Implications for Research. Eat Disord 21:437-457. https://doi.org/10.1080/10640266.2013.828520 ³³23 ³⁴ ³⁵24 45. Devrim A, Bilgic P, Hongu N (2018) Is There Any Relationship Between Body Image Perception, Eating Disorders, and Muscle Dysmorphic Disorders in Male Bodybuilders? Am J Mens Health. ³⁶25 37 38**26** https://doi.org/10.1177/1557988318786868 46. Varangis E, Folberth W, Hildebrandt T, Langenbucher J (2012) Confirmatory factor analysis for the Muscle ³⁹27 40 41²⁸ Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory among male appearance and performance enhancing drug users. Poster Present. Int. Conf. Eat. Disord. Austin, TX 4229 43 4430 47. Donker T, van Straten A, Marks I, Cuijpers P (2010) Brief self-rated screening for depression on the Internet. J Affect Disord. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.07.013 45 46 1 48. Coles ME, Cook LM, Blake TR (2007) Assessing obsessive compulsive symptoms and cognitions on the 4782 internet: Evidence for the comparability of paper and Internet administration. Behav Res Ther. ⁴⁸33 49 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2006.12.009 50**84** 49. Al-Asadi AM, Klein B, Meyer D (2015) Multiple comorbidities of 21 psychological disorders and relationships 51 52**35** with psychosocial variables: A study of the online assessment and diagnostic system within a web-based 5**336** population. J Med Internet Res. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4143 54 55**37** Fan W, Yan Z (2010) Computers in Human Behavior Factors affecting response rates of the web survey : A 50. 56**88** systematic review. Comput Human Behav 26:132-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.10.015 ⁵⁷ 58**39** 51. Nohr EA, Frydenberg M, Henriksen TB, Olsen J (2006) Does low participation in cohort studies induce bias? 5**940** Epidemiology. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ede.0000220549.14177.60 60 61**41** 52. Laaksonen M, Aittomäki A, Lallukka T, et al (2008) Register-based study among employees showed small 62 13 63 64 65

1		nonparticipation bias in health surveys and check-ups. J Clin Epidemiol.	
1 2		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.09.010	
2 3 3	53.	Ketchen S, Jones JM, Taylor CB, et al (2017) Eating Behaviors Understanding and promoting treatment-	
3 J 4 4	55.	seeking for eating disorders and body image concerns on college campuses through online screening,	
5		prevention and intervention. 25:68–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2016.03.020	
₆ 5 ⁷ 6	51		
8	54.	Tremelling K, Sandon L, Vega GL, McAdams CJ (2017) Orthorexia Nervosa and Eating Disorder Symptoms	3 IN
7 و ¹⁰ 8		Registered Dietitian Nutritionists in the United States. J Acad Nutr Diet 117:1612–1617.	
11		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2017.05.001	
12 9 13.	55.	Parra-Fernández ML, Onieva-Zafra MD, Fernández-Martínez E, et al (2019) Assessing the prevalence of	
¹³ 10 14		orthorexia nervosa in a sample of university students using two different self-report measures. Int J Environ I	Res
15 11 16		Public Health 16:. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142459	
16 12 17	56.	Cook C, Heath F, Thompson RL (2000) A meta-analysis of response rates in Web- or internet-based surveys	
1813		Educ Psychol Meas. https://doi.org/10.1177/00131640021970934	
19 14 20	57.	Edwards P, Roberts I, Clarke M, et al (2002) Increasing response rates to postal questionnaires: Systematic	
2115		review. Br. Med. J.	
²² 16	58.	Yammarino FJ, Skinner SJ, Childers TL (1991) Understanding mail survey response behavior a meta-analysi	s.
24 17		Public Opin Q. https://doi.org/10.1086/269284	
²⁵ 26 ¹ 8	59.	Dos M, Alvarenga S, Martins M (2012) Orthorexia nervosa behavior in a by the Portuguese version of ORTO)-
27 19		15 e. 17:29–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03325325	
28 29 20	60.	Asil E, Sürücüoğlu MS (2015) Orthorexia Nervosa in Turkish Dietitians. Ecol Food Nutr 54:303–313.	
3021		https://doi.org/10.1080/03670244.2014.987920	
³¹ 32 22	61.	Barnes MA, Caltabiano ML (2017) The interrelationship between orthorexia nervosa, perfectionism, body	
		image and attachment style. Eat Weight Disord 22:177-184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-016-0280-x	
33 23 34 35 <mark>24</mark>	62.	Mitchell L, Murray SB, Hoon M, et al (2017) Correlates of muscle dysmorphia symptomatology in natural	
36 25 37		bodybuilders: Distinguishing factors in the pursuit of hyper-muscularity. Body Image 22:1–5.	
37 38 26		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.04.003	
	63.	Satherley R, Howard R, Higgs S (2016) The prevalence and predictors of disordered eating in women with	
40 ⊿1 28		coeliac disease. Appetite 107:260–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.07.038	
³ ⁹ 27 40 41 ²⁸ 4 ² 29 43 4 ⁴ 80 4 ⁵ 31 4 ⁶ 4 ⁷ 82	64.	Darcy AM, Dooley B (2007) A Clinical Profile of Participants in an Online Support Group. 195:185–195.	
43 1/ 30	0.11	https://doi.org/10.1002/erv	
45 21	65.	Weisband S, Kiesler S (1996) Self disclosure on computer forms: meta-analysis and implications. In:	
46 4- 2 2	05.	Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings	
48,52 48,52	66		
48 49 50 34	66.	Joinson A (1999) Social desirability, anonymity, and Internet-based questionnaires. Behav Res Methods,	
5054 51 ₅ -		Instruments, Comput. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03200723	
⁵¹ 35 52	67.	Js MB, Huckvale K, Saje A, et al (2014) Comparison of self administered survey questionnaire responses	
53 36 54		collected using mobile apps versus other methods (Protocol)	
⁵⁴ 37	68.	Cheng KG, Ernesto F, Ovalle-Bahamón RE, Truong KN (2011) Barriers to acceptance of personal digital	
56 38 57		assistants for HIV/AIDS data collection in Angola. Int J Med Inform.	
57 58 39		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2011.04.004	
59 40 60	69.	Agopyan A, Kenger EB, Kermen S, et al (2019) The relationship between orthorexia nervosa and body	
60 61 41		composition in female students of the nutrition and dietetics department. Eat Weight Disord 24:257-266.	
62 63			14
63 64			
65			

1 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-018-0565-3

- 270.Reynolds R (2018) Is the prevalence of orthorexia nervosa in an Australian university population 6 . 5 %? Eat23Weight Disord Stud Anorexia, Bulim Obes 23:453–458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-018-0535-9
- 471.Lamanna J, Grieve FG, Pitt Derryberry W, et al (2010) Antecedents of eating disorders and muscle dysmorphia5in a non-clinical sample. Eat Weight Disord. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03325277
- 7 6 72. Pope HG Jr, Phillips KA OR (2000) The Adonis complex: The secret crisis of male body image obsession. New York: Free Press, 2000.
- ⁰ 8
 73. Hildebrandt T, Schlundt D, Langenbucher J, Chung T (2006) Presence of muscle dysmorphia symptomology
 among male weightlifters. Compr Psychiatry 47:127–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPPSYCH.2005.06.001
- T4. Lane SJ, Heddle NM, Arnold E, Walker I (2006) A review of randomized controlled trials comparing the
 effectiveness of hand held computers with paper methods for data collection. BMC Med. Inform. Decis. Mak.