

Supplementary Information

Relative impact of oenological tannins in model solutions and red wine according to phenolic, antioxidant, and sensory traits

Maria Alessandra PAISSONI^a, Giovanni BITELLI^a, Mar VILANOVA^b, Carlo MONTANINI^c, Susana RÍO SEGADE^{a*}, Luca ROLLE^a, Simone GIACOSA^a

^a Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino. Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy.

^b Instituto de Ciencias de la Vid y del Vino (ICVV-CSIC), Carretera de Burgos Km. 6, Finca La Grajera; 26007 Logroño, Spain.

^c AEB S.p.A., Via Vittorio Arici 104, 25134 Brescia, Italy.

*Corresponding author: Susana Río Segade

e-mail: susana.riosegade@unito.it

phone: +39 011 6703989

Table S1. Pearson's correlation (*r*) of the OETs investigated parameters in model solution (water or model wine) and wine.

	TPIm	BSm	FCm	MTCm	ABTsm	DPPHm	FRAPm	CUPRACm	A230m	BSAm	BITm	ASTm	ABTS APm	DPPH APm	FRAP APm	CUPRAC APm	TPIw	TAW	MON%w	SPP%w	LPP%w	DPPHw	FRAPw	BITw	
BSm	-0.171																								
FCm	0.792 ***	0.253																							
MTCm	0.972 ***	-0.143	0.842 ***																						
ABTsm	0.917 ***	-0.136	0.768 ***	0.867 ***																					
DPPHm	0.954 ***	-0.259	0.698 **	0.977 ***	0.977 ***																				
FRAPm	0.432	0.093	0.429	0.317	0.703 **	0.604 *																			
CUPRACm	0.925 ***	-0.020	0.891 ***	0.921 ***	0.966 ***	0.927 ***	0.612 **																		
A230m	0.286	0.678 **	0.744 ***	0.312	0.385	0.225	0.501 *	0.516 *																	
BSAm	0.955 ***	-0.264	0.698 **	0.918 ***	0.934 ***	0.967 ***	0.550 *	0.905 ***	0.217																
BITm	0.499 *	0.151	0.722 **	0.612 **	0.431	0.386	0.096	0.597 *	0.472	0.398															
ASTm	0.304	0.379	0.682**	0.386	0.266	0.172	0.124	0.434	0.645 **	0.188	0.674 **														
ABTS APm	0.188	-0.468	-0.242	0.033	0.417	0.443	0.564 *	0.190	-0.340	0.353	-0.388	-0.531 *													
DPPH APm	0.509 **	-0.539 **	0.039	0.367	0.660 **	0.714 **	0.606 **	0.462	0.226	0.639 **	-0.176	-0.385	0.930 ***												
FRAP APm	-0.305	-0.188	-0.545 *	-0.469	-0.040	-0.054	0.515 *	-0.248	-0.279	-0.116	-0.581 *	-0.553 *	0.799 ***	0.581 **											
CUPRAC APm	0.362	-0.440	0.001	0.254	0.596 *	0.595 *	0.654 **	0.433	-0.163	0.536 *	-0.125	-0.331	0.924 ***	0.914 ***	0.645 **										
TPIw	0.915 ***	-0.219	0.788 ***	0.902 ***	0.852 ***	0.874 ***	0.487 *	0.877 ***	0.352	0.898 ***	0.418	0.321	0.123	0.446	-0.276	0.32									
TAW	-0.176	0.069	-0.257	-0.173	-0.038	-0.057	0.049	-0.139	-0.204	-0.115	-0.131	-0.119	0.329	0.191	0.300	0.225	-0.338								
MON%w	0.067	0.037	0.232	0.161	-0.152	-0.124	-0.404	0.024	0.145	-0.019	0.313	0.212	-0.630 **	-0.493 *	-0.618 **	-0.473	0.164	-0.816 ***							
SPP%w	0.064	0.312	0.183	0.080	0.228	0.141	0.298	0.180	0.249	0.059	0.028	0.138	0.152	0.095	0.095	0.106	-0.030	0.747 ***	-0.757 ***						
LPP%w	-0.179	-0.425	-0.571 *	-0.342	-0.035	0.022	0.266	-0.250	-0.517 *	-0.041	-0.514 *	-0.489 *	0.785 ***	0.641 **	0.833 ***	0.598 *	-0.216	0.366	-0.635 **	-0.216					
DPPHw	0.821 ***	-0.289	0.633 **	0.771 ***	0.869 ***	0.870 ***	0.613 **	0.833 ***	0.248	0.854 ***	0.240	0.116	0.413	0.646 **	0.008	0.580 *	0.899 ***	-0.173	-0.010	0.087	0.051				
FRAPw	0.564 *	-0.094	0.524 *	0.488 *	0.748 ***	0.680 **	0.719 **	0.711 **	0.418	0.620 **	0.193	0.037	0.471	0.583 *	0.201	0.634 **	0.611 **	-0.179	-0.042	-0.030	0.101	0.787 ***			
BITw	-0.276	-0.095	-0.388	-0.310	-0.177	-0.184	0.092	-0.247	-0.165	-0.164	-0.046	-0.232	0.291	0.138	0.436	0.266	-0.410	0.496 *	-0.355	0.140	0.377	-0.367	-0.128		
ASTw	0.145	-0.074	0.252	0.153	0.174	0.160	0.314	0.214	0.251	0.209	-0.062	0.096	-0.061	0.054	0.010	0.055	0.433	-0.673 **	0.396	-0.362	-0.177	0.334	0.244	-0.458	

TPI = Total phenolic index, BS = Bate-Smith method, FC = Folin-Ciocalteu method, MTC = Methylcellulose method, AST = sensory analysis intensity of astringency, BITT = sensory analysis intensity of bitterness, TA = Total anthocyanins; MON, SPP, and LPP = percentage of monomeric forms, percentage of small polymeric pigments, and percentage of long polymeric pigments for Adams-Harbertson method, respectively.

ABTS_{ap}, DPPH_{ap}, FRAP_{ap}, CUPRAC_{ap}, where ap = antioxidant potency, calculated as antioxidant capacity of each test / total phenolic content (as FC, g/L gallic acid) *1000.

Letter “m” represents parameters evaluated on formulate dissolved in model wine (for chemical analysis) or water (for sensory analysis), and letter “w” represents parameters evaluated on wine after one-month storage from formulate addition (0.4 g/L).

Table S2. Aroma relative frequencies (>15%) of OETs under evaluation in water at 0.4 g/L and in wine without addition (control) and added with 0.4 g/L of OETs.

Samples	Aromas (Frequency > 15%) in water								Aromas (Frequency > 15%) in wine						
	Orange	Caramel	Mushroom	Wood	Licorice	Pepper	Balsamic	Vanilla	Orange	Caramel	Mushroom	Wood	Licorice	Pepper	Vanilla
<i>Control</i>															
Sd1				26.7			26.7		20.0					20.0	20.0
Proc/prod Sd2				16.7						20.0		20.0			
Sk1	17.6			17.6						20.0		26.7			20.0
Average	17.6			20.3			26.7					15.6		6.7	13.3
Q1		23.5		29.4						20.0		26.7			20.0
Prof/pror Q2		16.7		22.2								22.2			22.2
Ac												20.0			
Average		20.1		25.8						6.7		23.0		6.7	14.1
Et1											20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	60.0
Hydro Et2				50.0		16.7	33.3					33.3	20.0		20.0
Gt				16.7			27.8			22.2		66.7			
Average				33.35		5.6	20.4				6.7	40.0	13.3	6.7	26.7
Mx1		27.8		33.3				16.7		22.2	22.2	22.2			
Mx2		22.2		33.3	16.7				20.0			40.0		20.0	
Mx3		26.7		46.7	20.0				20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0	
Mix Mx4		27.8		55.6	33.3							26.7			
Mx5				20.0						22.2	22.2				
Mx6		17.6		29.4						20.0		26.7			20.0
Mx7		13.3							20.0					20.0	
Mx8		29.4	17.6	29.4								33.0			
Average		20.6	2.2	31.0	8.8		2.1		7.5	10.6	5.6	21.1	2.5	7.5	2.5

Groups: Proc/prod, Prof/pror, Hydro, and Mix corresponding to OETs procyanidins/prodelphinidins, profisetinidins/prorobinetinidins, hydrolysable, and mix group, respectively.