Leveraging Multiple Populations across Time Helps Define Accurate Models of Human Evolution: A Reanalysis of the Lactase Persistence Adaptation
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ABSTRACT
Access to a geographically diverse set of modern human samples from the present time and from ancient remains, combined with archaic hominin samples, provides an unprecedented level of resolution to study both human history and adaptation. The amount and quality of ancient human data continue to improve and enable tracking the trajectory of genetic variation over time. These data have the potential to help us redefine or generate new hypotheses of how human evolution occurred and to revise previous conjectures. In this article, we argue that leveraging all these data will help us better detail adaptive histories in humans. As a case in point, we focus on one of the most celebrated examples of human adaptation: the evolution of lactase persistence. We briefly review this dietary adaptation and argue that, effectively, the evolutionary history of lactase persistence is still not fully resolved. We propose that, by leveraging data from multiple populations across time and space, we will find evidence of a more nuanced history than just a simple selective sweep. We support our hypotheses with simulation results and make some cautionary notes regarding the use of haplotype-based summary statistics to estimate evolutionary parameters.

Understanding the evolutionary forces that human populations have been subject to in the past has been a central issue in evolutionary biology. In particular, determining how two principal mechanisms, genetic drift and natural selection, have shaped genetic variation remains a highly studied area of research. One of the difficulties in addressing this issue is that there are many forms of natural selection, and sometimes various types of selection lead to similar genetic patterns. For example, dips in genetic diversity along the genome have been associated with the effects of both negative and positive selection or with a neutral scenario in a region with low mutation rate (Otto 2000). Furthermore, positive selection can occur through different mechanisms. For example, in humans, most studies have focused on two models: selection on a de novo mutation (SDM) (Otto 2000; Bustamante et al. 2001; Nielsen 2005; Nielsen et al. 2007) and selection from standing genetic variation (SSV) (Przeworski et al. 2005; Fu and Akey 2013). In SDM, a new mutation enters a population and immediately confers an adaptive advantage. In SSV, a neutral mutation already
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exists in a population at some frequency and only at a later time, due to an environmental change, becomes beneficial. More recently, another scenario has emerged for some adaptations in modern humans: in adaptive introgression (AI), adaptation is facilitated through the acquisition of mutations from archaic hominins (Racimo et al. 2015; Hawks and Cochran 2006; Xu et al. 2017). Notably, we can confidently ascertain AI only because we now have access to archaic human DNA, and without this resource, in the absence of making inferences of archaic sequence based on linkage disequilibrium statistics (Plagnol and Wall 2006) or frequencies (Hawks and Cochran 2006), we would have likely ascribed particular examples of selection to either SDM or SSV. Therefore, as we compile more DNA from archaic hominins, such as Neanderthal (Green et al. 2010; Prüfer et al. 2014), Denisovan (Prüfer et al. 2014; Reich et al. 2010; Meyer et al. 2012), and different modern human populations from the present and the past (Rasmussen et al. 2010, 2011; Keller et al. 2012; Skoglund et al. 2012; Lazaridis et al. 2014; Fu et al. 2014; Seguin-Orlando et al. 2014; Schroeder et al. 2015), we have an unprecedented opportunity to study genetic variation across time and space axes. Joint analyses of these data have the potential to elucidate human evolution in a manner never before possible. Consequently, as we continue to acquire more data, we need to verify or update previous findings and better define models of human evolution.

**Detecting Positive Selection in Present-Day Human Populations**

Determining the contributions of natural selection in the evolution of a population is one of the central questions in human evolutionary biology, as we seek the genetic basis underlying adaptations to the diverse challenging environments inhabited by humans. The HapMap Consortium produced one of the first large population genetic data sets from a sample of Africans, Europeans, and Asians (International HapMap Consortium 2005). The HapMap data were generated from genotyping arrays that probe allelic information for a specific set of known polymorphic positions in the genome. More recently, the 1000 Genomes Project produced whole-genome sequences of more than 2,500 individuals encompassing 26 populations from Africa, Europe, Asia, and the Americas (1000 Genomes Project Consortium 2012, 2015). Using these data, genome-wide scans were performed to detect signatures of positive selection using summary statistics that exploit haplotype or frequency information. For example, haplotype-based statistics such as iHS (integrated haplotype score; Voight et al. 2006), EHH (extended haplotype homozygosity; Sabeti et al. 2002), XP-EHH (cross-population extended haplotype homozygosity; Sabeti et al. 2007), and the nSL statistic (number of segregating sites by length; Ferrer-Admetlla et al. 2014) (see Supplementary Table 1) are based on the observation that haplotype homozygosity should be greater around a positively selected locus than in a neutrally evolving locus. In parallel, statistics depending on allele frequencies such as the SFS (site frequency spectrum; Bustamante et al. 2001; Nielsen 2005), \( F_{ST} \) (fixation index; Reynolds et al. 1983), and PBS (population branch statistic; Yi et al. 2010) (Supplementary Table 2) can be applied to one, two, and three populations respectively to identify regions under positive selection and have been widely applied to both the HapMap and 1000 Genomes data sets (1000 Genomes Project Consortium 2015; Sabeti et al. 2007). The SFS is the distribution of mutation frequencies present in a population and in the presence of positive selection skews toward a larger proportion of high-frequency variants. \( F_{ST} \) measures population differentiation from allele frequencies observed in a pair of populations, and local regions of large differentiation compared to the genome-wide \( F_{ST} \) value may be due to positive selection acting on genetic variation in one of the populations. PBS calculates the allele frequency change at a given locus since its divergence from two other populations, one a closely related population and the other acting as a reference population. The statistic is functionally related to the \( F_{ST} \) statistic for population differentiation, but unlike \( F_{ST} \) between two populations, the reference population controls for local rates of drift.

These haplotype and frequency based approaches have led to the identification of several genomic regions under positive selection. Among the genes detected are those involved in lactase persistence (\( LCT: \) Voight et al. 2006; Sabeti et al. 2007; Bersaglieri et al. 2004; Enattah et al. 2002), hair thickness (\( EDAR: \) Sabeti et al. 2007; Fujimoto et al. 2007; Kimura et al. 2009), skin pigmentation (\( SLC24A5: \) Sabeti et al. 2007; Lamason et al. 2005),
and eye color (HERC2/OCA2: Sabeti et al. 2007; Sturm et al. 2008; Eiberg et al. 2008; Han et al. 2008). Other genes are involved in the response to hypoxia (EPAS1: Yi et al. 2010; Simonson et al. 2010; Bigham et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Beall et al. 2010; EGLN1: Yi et al. 2010; Simonson et al. 2010; Bigham et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; BHLHE1: Huerta-Sánchez et al. 2013), immunity (HLA-DQA1: Lindo et al. 2016; TLR, Barreiro et al. 2009), and metabolism (TRX5: Fumagalli et al. 2015; Racimo et al. 2017a, 2017b; FADS: 1000 Genomes Project Consortium 2015; Fumagalli et al. 2015). Overall it is likely these genes are subject to genetic changes in response to changing environmental conditions (Sabeti et al. 2007; Pickrell et al. 2009). Results from positive selection scans using haplotype-based or frequency-based statistics often identify the same loci because these summaries are sensitive to incomplete selective sweeps, that is, when positively selected mutations are at high frequencies in the human population but have not yet reached fixation. Beneficial mutations rising in frequency due to sweeps leave a distinctive haplotype pattern: many almost identical haplotypes (low diversity) that segregate at high frequencies. However, as noted by Hernandez et al. (2011), the decreased level of genetic diversity (as measured by average pairwise difference, \( \pi \)) at nonsynonymous loci putatively under selected sweeps are generally not significantly lower than synonymous loci, and high population differentiation can alternatively be explained under a model of purifying selection, suggesting that the selective sweep model has in many cases been identifying non-neutral loci that were actually subject to purifying selection (Hernandez et al. 2011). The haplotype- and frequency-based statistics also have differential performance depending on the exact scenario of selection. Population differentiation statistics (\( F_{ST} \) and PBS) are more likely to pick up older sweeps, whereas homozygosity-based statistics (EHH, iHS) fare better in detecting more recent sweeps (Sabeti et al. 2006). Detecting sweeps from standing variation is more difficult even though it is likely a common process in human evolution (Voight et al. 2006; Ferrer-Admetlla et al. 2014; Peter et al. 2012). Haplotype methods are prone to false-positive calls of selection in regions where recombination rates are unusually low. Nevertheless, haplotype- and frequency-based summary statistics continue to be the mainstays for identifying positively selected loci in genomic sequence data.

Unlike discriminating between sweeps and neutral variation, these statistics have more difficulty differentiating specific modes of positive selection, although some improvements have been made in the single-population scenario (Peter et al. 2012) and between populations (Key et al. 2014). The approach is to leverage multiple frequency- and haplotype-based summary statistics in an approximate Bayesian computation framework to distinguish modes of selection, but in some cases there is a loss of power (Peter et al. 2012; Key et al. 2014). Spatial-temporal data could enhance performance in these cases. As an example, we earlier mentioned that most studies have implicitly assumed that positive selection acts on de novo mutations (SDM) or on standing genetic variation (SSV) and that summary statistics are tailored for these scenarios. However, evidence from ancient samples that predate the selection event and carry the subsequently selected allele will unambiguously indicate SSV. Likewise, if a selected mutation discovered in one population is observed in a second spatially distinct population, and the time of the population split is before the estimated time of selection, we can conclude that SSV occurred. Therefore, both time and space may clarify these two modes of selection.

Spatial-temporal data can go further and suggest alternative evolutionary mechanisms. For example, EPASI (Yi et al. 2010), a gene involved in high-altitude adaptation and identified using the PBS statistic, involves an evolutionary scenario that differs from SDM and SSV, because the beneficial variants originated in archaic hominins and modern humans acquired them through introgression (AI) (Huerta-Sánchez et al. 2014; Huerta-Sánchez and Casey 2015). AI leads to different patterns of genetic variation than either SSV or SDM. Studies have shown that, under SDM, the expected pattern of variation leads to a reduction in effective population size around the selected region (Nielsen 2005), because the haplotype background in which the de novo mutation landed rises to high frequency. In contrast, under SSV, the neutral mutation may be segregating on multiple haplotype backgrounds before it becomes beneficial (Barrett and Schluter 2008), leading to more than
one beneficial haplotype in the populations. If we consider an AI model, we also expect to have a single haplotype that increases in frequency in the population. However, the divergence between the selected haplotype and nonselected haplotypes will be much larger than for SSV or SDM models (Huerta-Sánchez et al. 2014). In a more recent analysis (Racimo et al. 2017b), we showed that both the number of uniquely shared archaic mutations and their allele frequency are powerful in detecting AI, and these summaries are not sensitive to SSV or SDM, suggesting that they could be used to distinguish between models. We also found that measures of linkage disequilibrium such as $r^2$ and $D'$ have very little power to detect AI and high power to detect SDM, so these too could be used to distinguish between models (Racimo et al. 2017b).

Other examples of leveraging spatial genomic data to elucidate the mode of selection go beyond just population differentiation: clines of allele frequency can arise under geographically uniform and nonuniform selective pressures or even neutral scenarios (Novembre and Di Rienzo 2009). For some alleles these data can reveal the originating population, and correlations between allele frequency and environmental factors supply strong supplementary evidence for the selective pressures at play (Fumagalli et al. 2011). With the availability of serial samples collected over time, Wright-Fisher models or approximations thereof can be applied to explicitly define a likelihood function for a given allele trajectory (Malaspinas 2016). The likelihood function allows the estimation of crucial parameters: the age of the allele, the strength of selection, and the population effective size. An extension to multilocus models allows for the inference of selection coefficients based on comparison to reference, putatively neutral, loci (Nishino 2013). These methods show that, for more accurate parameter estimation, availability of samples distributed over time is generally preferable to having the same number of samples taken at a single time point, as intuitively the change in allele frequency over time is dramatically affected by the selection strength. In all these cases, the deviation from accepted models, either in model structure or in model parameters, is often revealed when using complementary information from other time points or other populations, and therefore we suggest that incorporating those other data sources will better determine the mechanisms underlying human adaptations and will provide a more complete picture of our evolutionary history.

Integrating Ancient Data to Characterize Human Adaptation

Studying data from extant individuals has revealed several examples of human adaptation. The possibility of sequencing ancient samples, however, provides an unprecedented level of resolution to delineate a more detailed process of how positive selection acted on genetic variation through time. Additionally, we can look for signatures of positive selection in the ancient populations and ask whether we also observe those in the population in the present. For example, one study sequenced the exomes of ancient and modern First Nations individuals of the Prince Rupert Harbour region of British Columbia. This study found signals of positive selection in the immune-related HLA-DQA1 gene in the ancient samples (Lindo et al. 2016). Natural selection likely acted on the genetic variation in this gene because, as populations settled in the Americas, they adapted to local environments, including local pathogens. Notably, these signatures are absent in the present-day descendants of these populations. One plausible reason is that those variants became harmful when the environment changed with the introduction of new diseases, such as smallpox, during European colonization (Lindo et al. 2016). This work demonstrates the necessity of ancient DNA in deciphering past evolutionary events, and it allows us to observe the genetic changes that occurred during the recent past and to correlate them with actual historical events.

Most studies, however, have utilized ancient DNA to provide new insights on positively selected loci identified in present-day human populations and to infer where the beneficial variants originated, when the adaptation occurred, and the magnitude of selection. As the geographic region with the highest number of sequenced ancient samples is western Eurasia (Slatkin and Racimo 2016), these data have already aided in obtaining additional details about European adaptations. For example, Allentoft et al. (2015) sequenced 101 ancient humans from across Eurasia from the Bronze age and compared them to populations from different time periods (Paleolithic, Mesolithic, and Neolithic) to study population migrations, as
well as the temporal dynamics of selected genetic variants. Among the variants are those associated with skin pigmentation (SLC24A5, SLC45A2), eye color (HERC2/OC2A2), and lactase persistence (LCT). Interestingly, they found that, while the Mesolithic hunter-gatherers carried an allele associated with blue eye color, the allele was absent in the populations from the Pontic Steppe. In subsistence-related traits, they found that Bronze Age Europeans had the lactase persistence allele (rs4988235) at low frequencies. This is quite surprising because this allele is at high frequency (~70%) in Northern European populations today, suggesting a rapid increase in frequency in the very recent past. Previous estimates of the onset of selection from data collected from present-day Europeans varied widely (Bersaglieri et al. 2004; Peter et al. 2012; Burger et al. 2007; Itan et al. 2009; Enattah et al. 2007); estimates range from the very recent (~3,000 years ago; Bersaglieri et al. 2004; Allentoft et al. 2015) to more than 10,000 years ago (Peter et al. 2012). Interestingly, the ancient population with the largest allele frequency is the Bronze Age Yamnaya, leading to speculation that perhaps the allele originated in the steppe populations and was introduced into Europe via migration.

Another study (Mathieson et al. 2015) investigated data of 230 ancient individuals from West Eurasia from 6,500 to 1,000 BCE. They scanned for variants that deviated from the expected proportions of Mesolithic hunter-gatherer, early farmer, and steppe pastoralist ancestries in present-day Europeans. Some of the genetic mutations that did not follow the expected proportions lie in genes involved in diet (LCT, FADS), skin pigmentation (SLC24A5, SLC45A2), eye color (HERC2-OC2A2), and immunity (e.g., TLR1-TLR6-TLR10 gene cluster). Again, these two studies show how ancient DNA can inform us about human adaptation: they resolved previous highly variable estimates on the timing of selection and revealed the potential origin of the putative beneficial genetic variants.

Ancient genomes from Africa were largely left aside until very recently—challenges with the rate of DNA deterioration and contamination, which were only recently overcome, had inhibited any extensive studies. Improved methods for DNA extraction, including from petrous bones, have now started to yield some exciting ancient data sets from this continent. A recently published study (Schlebusch et al. 2017) has proposed a deeper in-Africa branching, dating to at least 260,000 years ago, signatures of back-to-Africa migrations of Levantine populations, and evidence of a recent Bantu expansion into southeast Africa, previously populated by San-related groups. The complex within-Africa demographics that is starting to emerge, enabled by ancient DNA sequencing, has the potential to be disruptive in the field, as it will completely upend our assumptions regarding Africans as a reference population for statistics of selection and introgression.

Methods

Haplotype Structure Plot
To inspect the region involved in lactase persistence in Europeans, Haplostrips (Marnetto and Huerta-Sánchez 2017) software was used to produce the haplotype plot of an 88-kb region around the putative beneficial lactase persistence allele (rs4988235 located at position 136608646 of chromosome 2 in hg19 coordinates) shown in Figure 2 below. This software displays each SNP within a predefined region as a column, and each row represents a phased haplotype. Derived alleles are represented as black spots, and ancestral alleles are represented as white spots. Each haplotype is labeled with a color that corresponds to the population of its carrier individual. The haplotypes were first hierarchically clustered, and the resulting dendrogram of haplotypes was reordered by decreasing similarity to the NW European (CEU from 1000 Genomes Project) consensus haplotype. Variant positions were filtered out if the minor allele had a population frequency smaller than 5% in NW Europeans and Han (CHB from 1000 Genomes Project) independently. This 88-kb region spans two genes, LCT and MCM6, the latter harboring the regulatory variant rs4988235.

Calculating the Length of the Shared Track of Homozygosity
The length of the shared track of homozygosity is one measure of haplotype length. We defined the pairwise shared track of homozygosity length around a position \( x \) (hereafter “track length” around \( x \)) as the sum of the maximum number of base pairs to the left and right of position \( x \) until the two
chromosomes differ by a base pair. This measure is negatively affected by the presence of rare variants, which depend on the number of chromosomes in the sample. Therefore, to make the simulation comparable to the real data, we filtered out all SNPs under 5% frequency in both the simulation and the 1000 Genomes data. We calculated the track length by lexicographically sorting the chromosomes from the edge up to the base pair next to site $x$ and calculating the shared length between every adjacent pair. This is an efficient approach such that we can obtain all pairwise track lengths in a computational time that is proportional to $N \times (L + N)$, where $N$ is the total number of sequences, and $L$ is the length of the sequence (Durbin 2014). A naïve implementation would take a computational time that is proportional to $N \times N \times L$.

For the LCT locus, we first partitioned all sampled chromosomes into three types: the ones carrying the derived allele in NW Europeans, the ones carrying the ancestral allele in NW Europeans, and for control, the Han haplotypes (which all carry the ancestral allele). We then calculated the within-type shared track length and the between-type shared track length. We did similar calculations for the simulated haplotypes, except that since the derived allele frequency at the present time may be nonzero in the Han (either if the allele arose before the Han-European split or due to migration), the control was not all sampled Han haplotypes but only those carrying the ancestral allele.

**Simulation Using SLiM**

We used SLiM2 (Haller and Messer 2016) to simulate recent selection on standing variation in a population of northwestern European descent (CEU population in 1000 Genomes Project, hereafter “NW European”) and no selection in an Asian population (CHB population in the 1000 Genomes Project, hereafter “Han”). We implemented the Gravel et al. (2011) model of human demography from the SLiM2 manual (Haller and Messer 2016). The recombination rate used to generate Figure 4 below is 3e-9 events per base pair, and the mutation rate is 2.36e-8 events per base pair. The demographic model is shown in Figure 1. We simulated two different selection scenarios: selection from de novo mutation (SDM), and selection from low-frequency standing variation (SSV). In both cases, we simulated neutral evolution until generation 57,800 and then picked one segregating site at low frequency (between 0.5% and 1%), or a new mutation present at exactly one copy in the NW European population, in the middle of a 1-Mb segment, and assigned it a positive fitness value (0.1 in the SDM case, or 0.06 in the SSV case). We chose two different selection coefficients for each model because these values lead to a better match of the observed frequency of the putative selected allele in NW Europeans. We continued the simulation for another 200 generations, and after the end of simulation, we sampled 198 chromosomes from the NW European population and 204 from the Han population as these are the sample sizes in the observed data. The average final frequency of the adaptive allele in the NW population in the standing variation case is 0.70, with standard deviation of 0.09, and in the new mutation case it is 0.45, with standard deviation of 0.34, while the observed frequency is around 0.6 in European populations. We note that the selection strength coefficients we have matched to the observed data are consistent with previous inferences (for review, see Ségurel and Bon 2017; Enattah et al. 2007).

Our choice of recombination rate is derived from pedigree-inferred recombination rates from

---

**FIGURE 1.** Demographic model of Gravel et al. (2011). The brown, red, and blue colors represent African, Asian, and European populations, respectively. The rectangles show constant population size, and triangles show the exponential growth of the Asian and European populations. The red numbers are the effective population sizes. The black numbers are the times of each event, and blue numbers are the times between each event in years. Note that the size of the shapes is not proportional to the time or population size. There is low level of migration between all three populations, shown as dashed arrows.
the DECODE project (Kong et al. 2010). Our variant of interest rs4988235 is located at position 136608646 of chromosome 2; thus we took the average recombination for 1 Mb around our variant from the DECODE recombination map and had a recombination rate of 0.6791328, 0.4306461, and 1.178304 cM/Mb for the sex-averaged map, female map, and male specific map respectively; 1 cM/Mb corresponds to 1e-8 recombinations per base pair per generation. Thus, 3e-9 (or 0.3 cM/Mb) is a lower bound for recombination rate, 1e-8 is close to the true estimate, and 2e-8 is an upper bound for true recombination rate in this region; 3e-9 gave haplotype lengths that are closest to the observed data, so we show the results using this parameter. We set the mutation rate at 2.36e-8 since that is the rate implemented in the population demography model from Gravel et al. (2011). Even if this might not be the most accurate mutation rate, we think it is most appropriate to use the same parameter as the one used for our reference demographic model.

Although we present only one parameter set in Figure 4 below, we experimented with different selection start times (100 or 200 generations), selection coefficients (0.06, 0.1, and 0.15), starting frequencies (between 0.5% and 1%), and recombination rates (1e-8, 2e-8, 3e-9) in the case of SSV (Supplementary Figure 1). The results show a similar pattern, and we chose the parameter set closest to the observed data. For the case of SDM, we simulated under only one parameter set (recombination rate = 3e-9, 200 generations ago, and selection coefficient s = 0.1), because forward simulations are slow, and we had to simulate the entire human history for each simulation, so introducing de novo mutations is highly inefficient because the mutation is highly likely to be lost (each simulation takes about 5 minutes and will be discarded if the allele is lost before reaching the present generation). For the results presented in Figure 4 below, there are 315 independent replicates in the SDM scheme, where 94 of them match the observed frequency, and 437 independent replicates in the SSV scheme, where 386 of them match the observed frequency (excluding the simulations where the variant was lost of fixed). Here, a frequency match means a frequency between 0.4 and 0.8.

Our simulation results do not match the haplotype similarity between populations in the observed data and suggest that, at least with the parameters used here, the two models of positive selection considered may not be the right model for the evolutionary history in this local region.

Results

What is the evolutionary scenario underlying the LCT region? Integrating ancient data have already provided new insights of how this human adaptation may have occurred; the increase in frequency of the beneficial allele in LCT was faster than most had estimated and, as mentioned earlier, perhaps acquired from populations from the Pontic Steppe (Mathieson et al. 2015; Allentoft et al. 2015). It is worth noting, however, that these insights come from considering the frequency of the putative selected SNP (rs4988235) that carries the derived allele. In addition to SNP frequency, the sequences of variation (the haplotypes) near that SNP are also informative, as positive selection leaves a distinctive haplotype pattern. We argue that inspection of the genetic variation around the putative beneficial alleles may provide additional information regarding the adaptive history of the region, even in the case of a highly studied example such as LCT. For example, if we inspect the haplotype patterns of individuals of NW Europeans, the LCT region exhibits a long haplotype at high frequency (Figure 2). One way to summarize the observed haplotype similarity in NW European individuals is by computing the haplotype shared tract length at this locus, a pairwise measure that is simply the number of shared base pairs from the site of interest until a mismatch is encountered (see Methods). Therefore, a “derived-derived” shared tract length is generated from comparing two chromosomes both with the derived allele at the site of interest (rs4988235, blue arrow in Figure 2). On the other hand, “derived-ancestral” is the shared tract length generated from comparing two chromosomes, one with the derived allele and the other with the ancestral allele at the site of interest. We measured all the pairwise shared tract lengths among the NW European haplotypes with the putative selected derived mutation (rs4988235) and found that most haplotypes with the derived allele are identical (see derived-derived histogram in Figure 3). This is consistent with previous findings that observed a longer than expected decay
The haplotype patterns in Europeans at this locus are often used as the canonical example of what happens under a selective sweep (Voight et al. 2006; Bersaglieri et al. 2004). However, comparisons with the Han show that the Han also carry a haplotype with striking similarity to the NW European population at intermediate frequencies even though the Han haplotype is missing the two putatively beneficial mutations (Figure 2). Indeed, we computed the shared tract length between the Han haplotypes (denoted as controls in Figure 3) and observed that a proportion of the Han haplotypes are quite similar to the putative selected haplotype in the NW Europeans (Figure 3, control-derived, peak at 100 kb). This suggests that the haplotype background may have been an ancestral haplotype and that after the Han-NW European split a mutation arose on that haplotype background in the NW European populations only. Previous haplotype studies of *LCT* have examined haplotype lengths and the relationship to the lactase persistence allele. Enattah et al. (2007) remarked on this observation of the similarity and frequency of selected and nonselected haplotypes (within a 30-kb region) and hypothesized that a Central Asian haplotype (which does not have the lactase persistence allele) may in fact be the haplotype background of the current European selected haplotype. Bersaglieri et al. (2004) also summarized *LCT* haplotypes from 101 genotyped SNPs across a 3.2-Mb region, noting that the parental core haplotype is present in Asians, but did not make the observation described here, which challenges the underlying assumption that the extended high-frequency haplotypes are solely due to a selective sweep on the putatively selected lactase persistence allele. Inspecting the patterns of genetic differentiation (as measured by $F_{ST}$) between the NW European and Han populations in a region of 1 Mb (see Supplementary Figure 2) shows a region of size 200–400 kb around the putative selected site that exhibits many variants with constant level of genetic differentiation ($F_{ST}$) around 0.3 that is maintained for at least ±100 kb (200 kb total length).

To understand if the haplotype similarity between populations is to be expected under our current demographic out-of-Africa model (Gravel et al. 2011), we simulated chromosomes of length 1 Mb under the reference demographic model and applied selection on the European branch consistent with the estimated selection parameters on *LCT* from other studies. Under this model, we calculated shared haplotype lengths by the same method as we did with the sequenced data. The averages between ancestral-ancestral, derived-derived, ancestral-derived, and control-control lengths in the *LCT* data are similar to the values obtained by simulation under several parameter sets, especially with strong selection and low recombination rate. We did not conduct extensive optimization to find the best-fit simulation parameters but, rather, derived them from reference papers. However, the control-derived (Han-NW European selected) and control-ancestral (Han-NW European not selected) haplotype sharing is much

![Figure 2. Haplotype structure in an 88-kb region encompassing two genes, *LCT* and *MCM6*, in their entirety: the *LCT* region exhibits a long haplotype at high frequency. Rows are haplotypes, and columns are variable sites. Black denotes derived alleles, and white denotes ancestral alleles. The red arrow points to the site with the derived allele present at high frequency in NW European haplotypes and absent in Han haplotypes. Data are from 1000 Genomes phase 3. Sample sizes are 103 Han individuals and 99 NW European individuals. For more details, see “Haplotype Structure Plot” in Methods.](image-url)
FIGURE 3. The six haplotype-sharing comparisons in a 1-Mb region, shown as six histograms. The x-axis shows the one-sided shared length, with pairwise comparisons starting at the selected locus until 500 kb to the left or right of the locus. (Note that the length of sharing could be longer than 500 kb.) The y-axis indicates the proportion of pairs of haplotypes in a 10-kb bin of length sharing. "Control" is a haplotype in the Han population (which does not have the ancestral allele in the putatively selected position), "ancestral" is the NW European haplotype with ancestral allele in the selected position, and "derived" is the NW European haplotype with the selected allele.
longer in real data compared to the simulated data under this demographic model (Figure 4). Therefore, a standard model of demographic history coupled with strong selection parameters does not reflect the high level of shared similarity between the selected European haplotype and a subset of the Han haplotypes. The nature of the evolutionary scenario responsible for the patterns at this locus remains an open question. One possibility is that the haplotype background, which predated the arrival of the selected allele, may have already had some positive effect with respect to lactase persistence. Another scenario may be that the descendants of the Pontic Steppe also independently introduced the haplotype into East Asia, which can be tested with ancient DNA by looking for genomewide admixture from the Yamnaya. It would also be informative to assess the similarity of the ancient Yamnaya haplotype to the high-frequency NW European haplotype (some modern central Asian populations have a divergent lactase persistence haplotype, as described in Enattah et al. 2007). The exact sequence of events that led to the rise of the selected haplotype in Europeans will therefore be better approximated when we have access to haplotype data of humans from the recent past, which may help clarify why some genomic features in the LCT gene are not easily explained by the
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**FIGURE 4.** The distribution of average length of sharing between haplotypes of the same or different allelic states from the same or different populations (NW European or Han). The y-axis indicates the average length of sharing of the comparison in one replicate of simulation. There are in total two selection schemes: the locus determining the allelic state is either selected or neutral in the European population and is always neutral in other populations. The result from the selection simulation is plotted in blue, and the result from the neutral simulation is in red. The real data values for the LCT haplotype length sharing of each comparison are shown as red diamonds, indicating how extreme the average control-derived shared haplotype length is for the real data. The recombination rate is low to reflect the rate at the LCT region: 3e-9. The top panel shows the result when selection is acting on a de novo mutation with s = 0.1, and the bottom panel shows the result when selection is acting on a low-frequency standing-variation SNP with s = 0.06 (see Materials and Methods for details of how the simulations were run).
current models explored here. Indeed, recent work by Ségurel and Bon (2017) has highlighted the as yet unresolved history of the \textit{LCT} gene. Based on comparison to ancient samples, they concluded that the selected European allele appears to only have grown to high frequency as recently as 2,000 years ago. They also conclude that the selected allele is less likely derived from Yamnaya herders given the very low observed frequency in ancient Bronze Age samples from those populations.

Beyond determining what evolutionary process explains the data around the \textit{LCT} gene region, these observations imply that we need to consider the patterns of genetic variation in more than one population and develop new summaries of the data that capture variation in multiple populations. Otherwise we may end up making spurious inferences regarding the model of positive selection and parameters of interest (e.g., the timing and the strength of selection). For example, often summaries of the data that measure the haplotype length are used both to distinguish between models of positive selection and to estimate parameters (Peter et al. 2012). However, in the case of \textit{LCT}, the haplotype length associated with the selection event has likely been overestimated when only considering the European population. Instead, we should be measuring the relative change in haplotype length with respect to the haplotype observed in the Han to obtain a more accurate estimate of how positive selection affected the length of the haplotype associated with the selected allele.

**Discussion/Interpretations**

Before genomics, the search for positive selection was tested only through candidate gene studies. Now, we can query whole genomes of hundreds of individuals to identify positively selected regions and build an understanding of the genetic signature of selection. Through this effort, we have discovered many candidate mutations that likely facilitated the necessary phenotypic changes underlying key adaptations in recent human evolution. However, the origin of these mutations, when they were introduced into a population, when they became advantageous, and their biological mechanism of adaptation still largely remain unknown. Considering possible evolutionary models enables us to address these questions. By examining two specific evolutionary models, SDM and SSV, under current best estimates for demography and \textit{LCT} selection parameters, we showed (but did not formally quantify) that the observed haplotype structure among the NW European and Han populations cannot be explained. Since most modeling of the \textit{LCT} region (Bersaglieri et al. 2004; Peter et al. 2012; Tishkoff et al. 2007) does not include comparisons of genetic variation between multiple populations or ancient DNA in parameter estimation, it is plausible the estimates will need to be revised.

Many examples of adaptation were identified before whole-genome sequencing, and we suggest reinspecting those regions to determine the compatible evolutionary scenarios, which may validate or update previous conjectures. For example, selection on \textit{EPAS1} was first discovered from exome sequencing data and genotyping array data (Yi et al. 2010; Simonson et al. 2010; Bigham et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Beall et al. 2010). However, it was only through full resequencing of \textit{EPAS1} that we recognized a highly divergent haplotype that must have originated from archaic introgression, which was subsequently confirmed by comparing to DNA from an archaic Denisovan genome (Huerta-Sánchez et al. 2014). As we have highlighted, ancient DNA adds information that allows us to study populations in the past and the subsequent temporal changes. By filling in the details of the evolutionary scenarios underlying these adaptations, we will be better able to explain humans’ evolutionary past and to contribute to the story of human evolution.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Main Information</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EHH (extended haplotype homozygosity):</strong></td>
<td>$EHH(x) = \sum_{x_{(x,h)}} \frac{n_x}{2}$</td>
<td>The EHH score is calculated given a locus of interest and the $i$th marker upstream or downstream $x$, $h$ is all the observed types (unique combinations of SNPs) from SNP $x_i$ to $x$, $n_x$ is the number of haplotypes of type $h$, and $n$ is the total number of haplotypes. EHH is very similar to EHH but is calculated only for samples carrying the core haplotype $c$.</td>
<td>Linkage disequilibrium and haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$EHH_c(x) = \sum_{x_{(x,h)}} \frac{n_x}{2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$EHH_{c}(x) = \sum_{x_{(x,h)}} \frac{n_x}{2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>iHS (integrated haplotype score):</strong></td>
<td>$\text{iHS}<em>i = \frac{1}{m} \sum</em>{x} 2 \text{EHH}<em>i(x,x) g(x</em>{i+1},x_i)$</td>
<td>The iHS score calculates the decay of EHH for the ancestral ($c = 0$) and derived ($c = 1$) haplotype extending from a query site. $D$ is a set of markers downstream from the marker of interest, and $U$ is a set of markers upstream from the marker of interest. $g(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ is the genetic distance between $x_{i+1}$ and $x_i$. $E$ and $SD$ denote the expected value and standard deviation respectively.</td>
<td>Linkage disequilibrium and haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{iHH}_i = \ln \left( \frac{\text{iHS}_i}{\text{iHH}_i} \right)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unstandardized $\text{iHS} = \ln \left( \frac{\text{iHS}_i}{\text{iHH}_i} \right)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>standardized $\text{iHS} = \ln \left( \frac{\text{iHS}_i}{\text{iHH}_i} \right) - \frac{\ln</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>}{SD}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XP-EHH (cross-population EHH):</strong></td>
<td>$\text{XP-EHH} = \left( \frac{\ln</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>}{SD} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{XP-EHH} = \left( \frac{\ln</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>}{SD} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>nSL (number of segregating sites by length):</strong></td>
<td>$nSL = \sum_{x_{(x,h)}} \frac{1}{2} \text{EHH}<em>i(x,x) g(x</em>{i+1},x_i)$</td>
<td>nSL is very similar to the iHS score, and the only difference is that $g(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ correspond to the distance in base pairs rather than the recombination distance, so $g(x_{i+1}, x_i) = 1$.</td>
<td>Linkage disequilibrium and haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$+ \sum_{x_{(x,h)}} \frac{1}{2} \text{EHH}<em>i(x,x) g(x</em>{i+1},x_i)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\ln \left( \frac{\text{SL}_a}{\text{SL}_c} \right) - \frac{\ln</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>}{SD}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplementary Table 2. Frequency-Based Methods to Detect Positive Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Main Information</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\pi$ (average number of pairwise differences):</td>
<td>$\pi = \frac{1}{n/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((n-i) \xi_{i})$</td>
<td>$\pi$ is the mean pairwise sequence differences among a sample of $n$ haplotypes. $\xi_{i}$ is the unfolded site frequency spectrum.</td>
<td>Allele frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{ST}$ (fixation index):</td>
<td>$F_{ST} = \frac{H_{T} - H_{S}}{H_{T}}$</td>
<td>$H_{T}$ is the mean pairwise sequence differences in the total population, and $H_{S}$ is the same statistic in a subpopulation. It can be used to detect population structure, and local outliers of high values of $F_{ST}$ suggest selection specific to a subpopulation. $p_{i}$ is the frequency of allele $i$ in population $j$.</td>
<td>Allele frequency, cross-population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS (population branch statistic):</td>
<td>$\gamma^{AB} = -\log(1 - F_{AB})^{2}$</td>
<td>$\gamma^{AB}$ is an estimate of the divergence time between populations A and B as a function of genetic differentiation, $F_{ST}$.</td>
<td>Allele frequency, cross-population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supplementary Figure S1. Genetic Disease Risks in Ancient Hominins

The haplotype length of the ancestral-ancestral, derived-derived, ancestral-derived, control-control, control-derived, and control-ancestral comparisons with varying selection schemes and recombination rates. Each column has the same recombination rate and increases going from left to right (3e-9, 1e-8, 2e-8), and each row has the same selection coefficient (0.06 starting 200 generations ago, 0.1 starting 200 generations ago, 0.1 starting 1 generation ago). All the simulations started from standing variation. Note that the y-axis scale differs among the plots.

Go to the following link to view Supplementary Figure S1: [http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?filename=0&article=1122&context=humbiol_preprints&type=additional](http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?filename=0&article=1122&context=humbiol_preprints&type=additional)
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2. \( F_{ST} \) between NW European and Han populations for all SNPs in the region around rs4988235 (±500 kb; position of SNP denoted by black vertical line). Each SNP is a dot with \( x \)-coordinate given by the position on chromosome 2 and \( y \)-coordinate representing the \( F_{ST} \) computed according to Weir and Cockerham (1984). Blue SNPs have a minor allele frequency > 0.05; gray SNPs have a minor allele frequency ≤ 0.05. Diamonds (in dark blue or black) represent the 101 SNPs included by Bersaglieri et al. (2004).