



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Submucosal resection via a transanal approach for treatment of epithelial rectal tumors - a multicenter study

This is the author's manuscript Original Citation: Availability: This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1834244 since 2023-01-27T08:13:21Z Published version: DOI:10.1111/vsu.13766 Terms of use: Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use

of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright

(Article begins on next page)

protection by the applicable law.

1 SUBMUCOSAL RESECTION VIA A TRANSANAL APPROACH FOR 2 **TREATMENT OF EPITHELIAL RECTAL TUMORS - A MULTICENTER STUDY** Abstract 3 **Objective** 4 5 Several surgical techniques are described to treat canine rectal masses. Recent 6 recommendations suggest that submucosal resection via a transanal approach should be reserved for benign masses, with limited published data on submucosal resection of 7 malignant masses. The aim of this study was to evaluate complications and long-term 8 9 outcome after submucosal resection of benign and malignant epithelial rectal masses. 10 Study design Retrospective multicentric study. 11 12 Animals or sample population 13 Ninety-three (n = 93) dogs. 14 Methods The databases of 7 referral hospitals were reviewed. For survival analysis dog tumors were 15 16 categorized as benign, carcinoma in situ and carcinoma. The Kaplan-Meier survival curve 17 and Cox proportional hazards analysis were used to determine the association of a range of 18 variables with recurrence and survival time. 19 Results Twenty-seven dogs (29%) developed complications. Recurrence was identified in 20/93 20 21 (21%), with 12/20 recurrent masses undergoing repeat submucosal resection. Median 22 survival was not reached in any group. Carcinomas had a significantly shorter survival than benign tumors (P = .001), but not carcinomas in situ. The 1-,2-, 5-year survival rates for 23 24 carcinomas were 95%, 89% and 73% respectively. Dogs developing a complication (P =

25	.032) or having incomplete margins ($P = .023$) were associated with an increased risk of
26	recurrence. Recurrence was associated with an increased risk of death ($P = .046$).
27	Conclusion
28	Submucosal resection of both benign and malignant rectal masses is associated with a low
29	rate of severe complications and prolonged survival.
30	Clinical significance
31	Submucosal resection via transanal approach is a suitable technique for resection of selected
32	rectal masses.
33	
34	Keywords: RECTAL TUMOR TRANSANAL SUBMUCOSAL RESECTION
35	

36 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Rectal tumors account for less than 10% of all canine tumors.¹⁻⁵ More than half of colorectal 37 masses are malignant,^{1,2,6} with adenocarcinoma being the most common.^{1,2,4} Multiple 38 39 surgical techniques have been described for removal of rectal masses including transanal 40 rectal eversion and submucosal resection, dorsal inverted U approach, caudal abdominal 41 approach combined with pubic symphysiotomy or bilateral pubic and ischial osteotomy, rectal pull-through procedure, transanal pull-through procedure, and Swenson's pull-42 through and modifications.^{2,6-15} Most of these surgical techniques are invasive with 43 complication rates as high as 100% in some reports.^{11,14,16,17,21} Recent literature has supported 44 more aggressive techniques for removal of malignant rectal masses with the recommendation 45 that rectal eversion with submucosal resection should be limited to benign masses.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Two 46 out of these three references are, however, recent textbook chapters which, although lacking 47 48 a significant body of literature to support the recommendations, can nonetheless influence 49 opinion and surgeons' decisions. .A recent article on transanal rectal pull-through reported a 50 median survival time of 696 and 1006 days after removal of rectal carcinomas and 51 carcinomas in situ respectively, but significant complications and peri-operative mortality were reported.¹⁷ Information on the outcome of conservative surgeries such as submucosal 52 53 resection via a transanal approach for removal of benign and malignant masses is limited to two small case series with only one including a small number of malignant tumors.^{6,19} 54

The treatment algorithm for the management of human rectal masses is much more refined, with different treatment options based on location (high rectal versus low rectal) and stage (early stage versus advanced stage).²⁰ Preoperative staging with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and endoscopic rectal ultrasound are critical to determine whether a multimodal or surgical first approach is indicated.^{21, 22} The morbidity and functional impairment associated

with radical surgery for low rectal masses in people has led to an increased interest in less 60 61 invasive options such as transanal surgery. However, specific indications and more 62 importantly contraindications have been defined to ensure that a less invasive surgery does not compromise the outcome, especially where a more radical surgery could be curative.^{23,24} 63 64 Unfortunately a treatment algorithm based on patient specific or tumor specific factors is not available in dogs to guide the veterinary surgeon's decision making. Conclusions drawn from 65 human research may not be applicable in dogs because the biological behavior of rectal 66 masses in dogs might differ. 67

The aim of this study was to report the long-term outcome following submucosal resection
via a transanal approach in a large population of dogs and to identify factors associated with
recurrence and survival.

71 We hypothesize that submucosal resection via a transanal approach is a suitable surgical 72 technique to treat benign and malignant rectal masses and is associated with a low 73 complication rate and good long-term outcome.

75 <u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>

76 Study design and eligibility criteria

This retrospective observational study was approved by the RCVS Ethics Review Panel. The medical record databases of 7 referral hospitals from Italy and United Kingdom were reviewed to identify dogs that underwent submucosal resection of epithelial benign or malignant masses via a transanal approach between June 2006 and June 2019. Dogs were excluded if they presented with rectal tumors of non-epithelial origin, if the tumor was removed with any surgical procedure other than submucosal resection via a transanal approach, or if the mass was already a recurrence at the time of presentation.

84 *Medical records review*

85 Data retrieved from the medical record included signalment, body weight, clinical history, 86 duration of clinical signs, examination findings, diagnostic procedures performed, size of the mass, distance from the anocutaneous junction, surgical time, histopathological results for 87 88 the excised mass, completeness of excision, duration of hospitalization, postoperative 89 complications, recurrence or metastasis, follow-up time, survival time, and cause of death. 90 Postoperative complications were defined as transient if they resolved and permanent if they 91 persisted until death or last follow-up. Complications were classified as minor, if they 92 spontaneously resolved or required medical treatment, and major if they required revision 93 surgery. Histopathology of samples obtained during colonoscopy versus histopathology of the excised mass were compared. The excision was considered complete if no microscopic 94 tumor cells were noted at the margin and incomplete if tumor cells were identified at the 95 96 margin. All histopathology samples were assessed and reported by Diplomates of the 97 American or European College of Veterinary Pathologists.

98 Surgical technique

Dogs were placed in sternal recumbency with the tail bandaged and reflected cranially. The 99 100 rectal wall was everted through the anus via traction with Babcock or Allis tissue forceps or 101 stay sutures. Sequential placement of further stay sutures was needed in some cases to 102 achieve adequate exposure. Once the mass was exteriorized, a marginal elliptical incision of 103 the mucosa and submucosa was performed around the mass with up to 10 mm lateral margins 104 using a combination of sharp and blunt dissection. Apposition of mucosa and submucosa was performed in one layer using a simple interrupted or simple continuous suture pattern with 105 absorbable monofilament material. 106

107 Statistical analysis

108 Outcome of interest for each dog included diagnosis, complications, time to local recurrence, 109 time to metastasis, and survival time. All times were calculated from the date of surgery to the event (local recurrence, metastasis or death) or when lost to follow-up. The cause of death 110 111 was classified as either related or unrelated to the disease. Disease-related deaths were further 112 classified as associated either with surgical complications or with the disease itself. For dogs 113 with incomplete follow-up information, referring veterinarians were contacted and the 114 clinical records from the referring first opinion practice were obtained. When information 115 could not be obtained from the referring veterinarian or referring practice records, the owner 116 was directly contacted using a standardized telephone questionnaire. Referring veterinarians 117 and/or owners were contacted, if necessary, in the time period between September 2019 and August 2020. 118

119 Analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2020 and SPSS 26.0 (IBM SPSS statistics,

120 version 26.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, United States).

121 Normality was assessed by the Shapiro–Wilk test: datasets likely to be from a normal 122 distribution (P > .001) are reported as mean (range), whereas datasets likely not to be from a 123 normal distribution (P < .001) are reported as median (range).

124 A χ^2 test was used to determine any significant association between the categorical variables 125 and the histopathological diagnosis, and a Kruskal-Wallis H test for independent variables 126 was used between all the continuous variables and the histopathological diagnosis. When a 127 significant association was found, Fisher's exact test for categorical variables or Mann-128 Whitney U test for continuous variables were used to characterize the results.

For survival analysis dogs were grouped in 3 categories based on the histopathology of the rectal mass: benign rectal epithelial mass (which included dogs with rectal hyperplasia and rectal adenoma), carcinoma in situ (CiS) and rectal carcinoma. Rectal masses were classified as CiS if they contained marked cell dysplasia but they remained confined by the basement membrane and did not show an invasive phenotype.⁵

134 For each dog, disease free survival (DFS) was determined to be the time from the date of 135 surgery to the date of recurrence or censorship; overall survival (OS) was determined to be 136 the time from the date of surgery to the date of death or censorship. Dogs were censored from 137 analysis if they were alive at the time of analysis, died for reasons unrelated to the rectal mass 138 or were lost to follow-up. The Kaplan-Meier method and Cox proportional hazards analysis 139 were used to determine the association of a range of variables with the recurrence and the survival time. The outcome variable was either DFS or OS, and the explanatory variables 140 141 were size of the mass, distance from the anus, surgical time, diagnosis (benign epithelial 142 rectal mass vs CiS vs rectal carcinoma), margins (complete vs incomplete), complications 143 and recurrences. All variables were initially tested separately via univariate Cox proportional 144 hazards analysis, and a multivariate Cox proportional hazards model was then built, which

- initially included the variables identified as P < .2 on univariate analysis. Cox proportional
- 146 hazards analysis results are reported as odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, and the
- 147 associated *P* -value. Significance was set at P < .05 for two-sided analyses.

149 <u>RESULTS</u>

150 **Animals** - Ninety-three dogs fitting the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Each center contributed between 2 and 30 cases. The male to female ratio was 1.44:1 (5 entire 151 152 females, 33 spayed females, 23 entire males and 32 castrated males). The mean age at the 153 time of initial evaluation for the study was 93.1 ± 33.1 months, and the median body weight was 20 kg (range, 6-64.6). A variety of breeds were represented, including crossbreed (16); 154 West Highland White Terrier (12); Labrador Retriever (9); Cocker Spaniel (6); Golden 155 Retriever (4); English Springer Spaniel, Border Collie, Boxer (3 each); British Bulldog, 156 157 Bullmastiff, French Bulldog, German Shepherd, Jack Russel Terrier (2 each); and other 158 breeds represented by one individual.

Presurgical clinical findings – The most common clinical signs were hematochezia (n=82 [88.2%]), tenesmus (n=31 [33.3%]), dyschezia (n=12 [12.9%]), persistent rectal prolapse (n=12 [12.9%]), mass prolapse (n=10 [10.7%]), diarrhea (n=10 [10.7%]), anal pruritus (n=3 [3.2%]), rectal protrusion/prolapse after defecation (n=2 [2.1%]), mucoid feces (n=2 [2.1%]), perianal pain (n=1 [1.1%]), weight loss (n=1 [1.1%]), melena (n=1 [1.07%]), stranguria (n=1 [1.1%]) and vomiting (n=1 [1.1%]). Median duration of clinical signs was 8 weeks (range, 0-96).

Rectal abnormalities were detected on rectal digital palpation in 89 (95.7%) dogs, with a palpable mass in 88 (94.6%) and an abnormal/irregular rectal wall in 1 (1.1%) dogs. Digital rectal palpation was unremarkable in one dog while information was not available in 3 dogs. Median maximum tumor diameter was 1.9 cm (range, 1-6). Median distance of the tumor from the anocutaneous junction was 2 cm (range, 1-7). None of the tumors presented with an annular morphology. 172 Thoracic radiographs were obtained in 20 (21.5%) dogs, abdominal radiographs in 7 (7.5%)173 and the area assessed and radiographic projections were not specified in 8 (8.6%). No 174 metastatic lesions were identified on radiographs. Abdominal ultrasonography was 175 performed in 48 (51.6%) dogs. Six of these dogs had evidence of lymphadenomegaly 176 affecting the mesenteric lymph nodes (3), colic lymph nodes (2) and medial iliac lymph node 177 (1). Ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration of enlarged lymph nodes was performed in 3 178 dogs, and identified metastatic disease affecting the colic lymph node in 1 dog with rectal 179 carcinoma. Abdominal and thoracic computed tomography (CT) was performed in 8 (8.6%) 180 dogs and metastatic lesions were not identified in any dog. Five of these dogs presented with 181 mild lymphadenopathy on CT affecting the colic (2), cranial mediastinal (2), right axillary 182 (1), sternal (1) and sacral (1) lymph nodes but sampling was not performed. Twelve out of 21 dogs (57.1%) with malignant tumors underwent both thoracic (radiographs or CT) and 183 184 abdominal imaging (ultrasound or CT). The remaining 9 (42.9%) underwent only thoracic or 185 only abdominal imaging.

Endoscopy was performed in 56 (60.2%) dogs, with identification of the rectal mass in all. Other abnormalities were detected in 4 patients and included edema affecting the distal rectum, small focal erythematous areas on the distal colon, focal areas of erythema and edema in the gastric and duodenal mucosa and a proliferative ulcerated/inflamed region orad to the rectal mass.

Fine-needle aspirates of the rectal masses were performed in 5 (5.4%) dogs and cytological evaluation revealed carcinoma or suspected carcinoma in 4 dogs, and was suggestive of plasmacytoma or inflammatory disease in 1 dog. Preoperative fine-needle aspirates of the rectal mass were consistent with the postoperative histology in 2/5 of the dogs (rectal carcinoma in both cases). Pre-surgical biopsies were performed in 42 (45.2%) dogs, with 196 results being consistent with definitive diagnosis in 27/42 (64.3%). Biopsy results were non-197 diagnostic in 1/42 (2.4%) dog, and the biopsy report was not available for 1/42 (2.4%) dog. The pre-surgical biopsy results differred from the postoperative biopsy results in 13 cases 198 199 (31%). The pathologist changed their diagnosis from a benign non-neoplastic diagnosis 200 (hyperplasia, n=1 and lymphoplasmacytic inflammation, n=1) to adenoma in 2/13 (15.4%) 201 cases, from a benign diagnosis (adenoma, n=3) to carcinoma in situ in 3/13 cases (23%), 202 from benign (lymphoplasmacytic inflammation, n=1 and adenoma, n=5) to carcinoma in 6/13 203 cases (46.2%), from carcinoma to adenoma in 1/13 case (7.7%) and from a carcinoma to 204 carcinoma in situ in 1/13 case (7.7%). Overall, the examination of the whole surgical sample 205 was suggestive of a more aggressive pathology in the majority of cases (69%) where a 206 discrepancy between pre-surgical and postoperative samples was present.

207 Surgical results and complications - Median surgical time was 25 minutes (range, 10-90). 208 Median postoperative hospitalization time was 1 day (range, 0-6). No intraoperative 209 complications occurred. Twenty-seven out of 93 (29%) dogs developed at least 1 210 postoperative complication. Eighteen dogs developed a single complication, 2 dogs 211 developed 2 complications, and 7 developed 3 complications. Complications were minor in 26/27 dogs (96.3%) and major in 1/27 (3.7%). Complications were transient in 26 (96.3% of 212 dogs with complications, 27.9% of dogs in total) and permanent in 1 (3.7% of dogs with 213 214 complications, 1.1% of dogs overall).

Dyschezia was the most common complication affecting 13/93 (14.0%) dogs (transient in
12, permanent in 1). Median duration of dyschezia was 24.5 days (range 1-122). Tenesmus
was observed in 12/93 (12.9%) dogs, and was transient in all the cases. Median duration of
tenesmus was 14 days (range, 2-42). Duration was not reported in 1/12 dog with tenesmus.
Other complications included transient hematochezia in 5/93 (5.4%), transient fecal

incontinence 2/93 (2.1%) with a duration of 2 and 10 days, regurgitation in 2/93 (2.1%) dogs,
intermittent transient diarrhea in 2/93 (2.1%), rectal prolapse in 1/93 (1.07%), focal
superficial perianal dermatitis due to clipping in 1/93 (1.1%) dog, intermittent bleeding and
lethargy of 24 hours duration in 1/93 (1.1%) dog each. The only major complication consisted
of wound dehiscence in 1/93 (1.1%) dog that prompted revision (primary closure of the
dehisced mucosal defect) 5 days after the first surgery.

226 Histopathologic diagnosis - The 93 masses were classified as follows: rectal hyperplasia (5;

227 5.4%), rectal adenoma (44; 47.3%), rectal CiS (23; 24.7%), and rectal carcinoma (21; 22.6%).

228 Margin evaluation was available in 86/93 (92.5%). Excision was complete in 63/86 (73.3%)

dogs (4 hyperplasia, 31 adenomas, 17 CiS and 11 carcinomas) and incomplete in 23/86
(26.7%) dogs (8 adenomas, 6 CiS and 9 carcinomas).

Follow-up and postoperative outcome - Median follow-up was 708 days (range, 25-4383).

Follow-up information until death was available for 43 dogs. For the remaining 50 dogs, 28
had greater than 12 months follow-up time available. Tumor recurrence was identified in 20
(21.5%) dogs: 1/5 (20%) dog with rectal hyperplasia, 6/44 (13.6%) dogs with rectal
adenomas, 7/23 (30.4%) dogs with CiS and 6/21 (28.6%) dogs with rectal carcinomas.
Margins were incomplete in 8/20 (40%) recurrent tumors, whereas 9/20 (45%) recurred
despite histologically complete excision. Margins were not available for 3/20 (15%) recurrent
tumors.

Twelve out of 20 (60%) dogs with recurrence had repeat submucosal resection via a transanal

approach (1/1 dog with recurrent rectal hyperplasia, 2/6 [33.3%] dogs with rectal adenoma,

5/7 [71.4%] dogs with CiS, 4/6 [66.7%] dogs with rectal carcinoma). Overall reoperation rate

for benign masses (hyperplasia and adenomas combined) was 42.9%.

Malignant transformation was suspected in two cases: one dog with rectal hyperplasia and one with CiS developed a recurrent mass at the same location 1347 and 761 days after the initial surgery respectively. In both cases, the recurrent mass was re-excised and histopathology was consistent with carcinoma.

Of the 21 dogs with rectal carcinomas 1 (4.8%) was diagnosed with preoperative metastatic
disease, and 1 (4.8%) developed metastases to lungs, kidney and spleen 761 days after
surgery.

At the end of the study period 83 dogs (89.2%) were either alive (50 dogs) or the cause of 250 251 death was unrelated to rectal disease (33 dogs) whereas 10 (10.7%) dogs died for reasons 252 related to the rectal mass. None of the dogs died as a result of surgical complications. Nine 253 out of the 10 cases that died for reasons related to the rectal mass were euthanized due to 254 recurrence (1 adenoma, 3 CiS, 1 CiS that underwent malignant transformation, and 4 255 carcinomas), while 1/10 due to distant metastasis (1 carcinoma). Follow-up information until 256 death was available for 43 dogs. For the remaining 50 dogs still alive at the last follow-up, 257 12 had less than 6 months follow-up information available, 10 had between 6 and 12 months 258 follow-up, 12 had between 12 and 24 months follow-up and 16 had more than 24 months 259 follow-up after surgery.

Forty-two of the 50 dogs alive at the end of the study were free of rectal disease at the last follow-up while 7 dogs had evidence of recurrence and 1 dog had clinical signs suggestive of rectal disease. Two dogs with completely excised rectal adenomas, were alive 670 and 693 days after surgery despite identification of a recurrence on day 540 and 165, respectively. Three dogs with completely excised rectal CiS were alive 417, 557 and 1580 days after surgery despite identification of a recurrence on day 309, 400 and 286 respectively. One dog with incompletely excised rectal CiS was alive 245 days after surgery but developed hematochezia at the last follow-up; a recurrent mass was not palpable and repeat endoscopy
was declined. Two dogs with completely and incompletely excised rectal carcinomas, were
alive 272 and 1135 days after surgery despite identification of recurrence on day 260 and
330, respectively.

271 Median DFS and OS for dogs undergoing submucosal resection for rectal epithelial neoplasia

272 were not reached. Based on Kaplan-Meier estimates, the 1-, 2-, and 5- year DFS rates were

273 94%, 89% and 85% for benign tumors, 87%, 70% and 64% for CiS and 75%, 75% and 75%

for carcinomas, respectively (Table 1). The 1-,2- and 5-year OS rates were 100%, 97.5% and

275 97.5% for benign tumors, 100%, 100% and 80% for CiS and 95%, 89% and 73% for rectal

- 276 carcinomas, respectively (Table 2).
- 277 There was no statistically significant difference in the DFS when comparing benign tumors

278 versus carcinomas in situ (P = .084), benign tumors versus carcinomas (P = .78) or CiS versus

279 carcinomas (P = .956) (Figure 1).

280 There was no statistically significant difference in OS when comparing benign tumors versus

281 CiS (P = .1) or CiS versus carcinomas (P = .956). However, OS was significantly longer for 282 benign tumors than carcinomas (P = .001) (Figure 2).

283 Risk factors associated with survival of submucosal resection for rectal epithelial
284 neoplasia

Logistic regression analysis was used to determine factors associated with recurrences and survival. After the initial model was refined by backward-stepwise elimination, the best-fit model for recurrences included four variables: surgical time, diagnosis, margins, complications (Table 3). In the final multiple-regression model (Table 4), the only factors associated with an increased risk of recurrence included having a complication (P = .032) or incomplete margins (P = .023). The best-fit model for overall survival included five

- 291 variables: surgical time, diagnosis, margins, complications, recurrences (Table 5). In the final
- 292 multiple-regression model (Table 6), the only factor associated with an increased risk of
- 293 death included having a recurrence (P = .046).
- 294

295 <u>DISCUSSION</u>

The results of this study suggest that submucosal resection via a transanal approach is associated with a low rate of severe complications and prolonged survival times for both benign and malignant tumors.

299 The low complication rate and positive outcome after resection of benign tumors are 300 unsurprising. The use of submucosal resection for treatment of malignant masses is, however, more controversial as this technique does not respect some of the basic surgical oncologic 301 302 principles regarding treatment of these masses. Achieving an adequate lateral margin may or 303 may not be possible depending on the characteristics of the mass treated and the deep margin 304 is of concern in all cases, given that it will be narrow by definition. Possibly as a result of 305 these concerns, there has been a shift in more recent literature towards more invasive procedures for removal of malignant rectal masses.^{5,14,16-18} Morello et al in 2008 suggested 306 that rectal eversion with submucosal resection should be limited to benign masses.¹⁴ 307 308 Similarly, two recent textbooks suggest that submucosal resection should be reserved for small and superficial benign tumors and possibly selected CiS.^{16,18} This recommendation is 309 310 not fully supported by the available (albeit limited) literature. To our knowledge, there are only two small case series that describe the complications and outcome following 311 submucosal resection via a transanal approach,^{6,19} with only one of these including dogs with 312 malignant rectal tumors.⁶ In the latter case series,⁶ 13 out of 23 cases were carcinomas with 313 314 recurrence identified in 3 cases 16, 24 and 24 months after surgery respectively. In two of 315 these cases, re-excision was performed. Only one patient died of tumor-related causes 24 316 months after the initial surgery. The results of our study further support the use of submucosal resection via a transanal approach as a suitable option for the treatment of select rectal 317 318 carcinomas. In addition to the aforementioned case series as well as our results, Church et al

reported in 1987 on the outcome of treatment of colorectal carcinoma.²⁵ Twenty-one dogs were treated with 'local excision' which resulted in a mean survival of 22 months, suggesting that a conservative approach is reasonable and can provide good outcome. Unfortunately, the details on the surgical technique, perioperative complications and cause of death in that report were limited. In particular, there is no description of what was considered 'local excision' and whether it included patients undergoing local but full thickness excision and/or partial pull-through surgeries in addition to dogs treated via submucosal resection.

326 The simplicity, short surgical time and low morbidity of the submucosal resection via a 327 transanal approach make it particularly attractive to both the surgeon and dog owner. Complication rate in the current study was 29%, which is lower than previously reported for 328 329 transanal submucosal resection. In Danova's study 10/23 (43%) dogs developed minor complications (tenesmus, hematochezia, partial dehiscence) that were managed 330 conservatively.⁶ Most dogs in our study developed minor complications and none of the 331 332 complications resulted in the death of the patient. All minor complications were short lived 333 with only one dog developing permanent dyschezia which required long term medical 334 management. These results compare favorably with more aggressive surgical techniques that have reported complication rates as high as 100% with up to 33% of dogs experiencing 335 permanent complications of which fecal incontinence was the most common.^{11,14,17,26} The 336 severity of these complications can result in death or euthanasia.^{11,14} 337

It is unlikely that rectal submucosal resection will be appropriate for all rectal masses. While not specifically investigated in this study, it is intuitive that submucosal resection via a transanal approach is not suitable for annular or deeply infiltrative masses. Indeed, recurrence rate in this study was 21% which is far from negligible. Recurrence rate was higher for rectal carcinomas (28.6%) and for CiS (30.4%); however, repeat submucosal resection was possible

in the majority of cases and only about 10% of dogs died of tumor-related causes. It could be 343 344 argued that a more aggressive surgery might have reduced the recurrence rate: Nucci et al reported a recurrence rate of 13.7% with partial or complete rectal pull-through, despite more 345 than half of the rectal tumors being malignant.¹⁷ Nonetheless, morbidity was also higher with 346 347 7/74 (9.5%) of dogs dying or being euthanized as a result of a complication.¹⁷ Repeat pull-348 through to address recurrences may further increase morbidity and has not been reported to our knowledge. Conversely, the option to repeat submucosal resection is another advantage 349 350 of the technique. Repeat submucosal resection was indeed performed in 60% of dogs with 351 recurrence in our study, was well tolerated and prolonged DFS and OS. Another option could 352 be to consider a submucosal resection via transanal approach as the initial approach, and 353 reserve rectal pull-through or alternative approaches for full thickness resection in case of incomplete excision or to address recurrent malignant tumors. This treatment algorithm 354 355 appears reasonable but has not been explored in our study and it is unknown whether it could 356 result in a better long-term outcome. In people with early stage rectal cancer treated with 357 local transanal excision, salvage radical surgery after a recurrence is identified, is associated with high recurrence rates and poor oncologic outcome.²⁷ Instead, immediate salvage radical 358 surgery (within 30 days of the local excision) provides oncologic outcomes comparable to 359 matched patients going directly through radical surgery.²⁸ It is unknown whether the same 360 361 conclusions would apply to dogs with rectal cancer and further studies are needed. Based on the available literature, a surgeon presented with a dog with rectal carcinoma faces 362

a decision-making dilemma. We attempted to identify preoperative findings that may predict recurrence and tumor-related death after submucosal resection to provide clinical information that might be used to understand when a more aggressive surgical procedure might be indicated. Unfortunately, none of the preoperative parameters and tumor characteristics was a predictor for recurrence or survival. Development of complications and incomplete margins
on histopathology were the only factors significantly associated with development of a
recurrence. Development of recurrence was the only predictor significantly associated with
the risk of tumor-related death.

As a consequence, and based on these results, it is currently not possible to preoperatively identify the subset of patients that may benefit from more aggressive procedures. The decision on which surgical technique to adopt remains subjective. Submucosal resection is expected to allow a good outcome in most but not all cases, while ensuring minimal morbidity. A full thickness pull-through is more likely to achieve complete margins which would be expected to reduce recurrence rate and tumor-related death but may increase morbidity-related mortality.

On the contrary, human literature on rectal masses is extensive and has clear indications and, 378 379 more importantly, contraindications to the use of less invasive local excision techniques. 380 Local excision can be achieved via transanal open or endoscopic procedures such as transanal 381 endoscopic microsurgery (TEM) and transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS), as well as via endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD).^{29,30,31,32} Meticulous preoperative staging 382 with MRI and endoscopic rectal ultrasound (ERUS) are critical for staging human patients 383 with rectal cancer, to assess the depth of rectal wall invasion and to channel patients into the 384 most appropriate treatment pathway.^{21,22} Advanced imaging is used to classify the tumor 385 according to a clinical T and N classification (cT and cN) which ultimately are the only 386 387 information available for decision making before surgery. Clinical T based on MRI and 388 ERUS has been proven to correlate well with the pathological T (pT), the T classification based on histopathology of the resected mass, allowing clinicians to identify early stage 389 cancer before surgery.²³ 390

391 Transanal approaches are considered for early stage low rectal cancer; however even in the 392 subset of patients with T1 or T2 N0 low rectal tumors, radical surgery remains a good option with excellent oncologic and functional outcome when sphincter preservation is possible.²⁰ 393 394 Transanal options play a crucial role when location near the sphincter requires perineal 395 resection and permanent colostomy, with the associated high morbidity.³³ The appeal of less 396 invasive procedure should however not compromise the outcome and result in unacceptable high rates of recurrences in situations where a more radical surgery could be curative. For 397 this reason strict case selection is paramount.^{23,24} Transanal surgery with local full thickness 398 excision is considered for ERUS staged Tis and T1 lesions that do not have negative 399 prognostic factors (poorly differentiated histology, lymphovascular, or perineural invasion, 400 more than 30% wall involvement, size >3 cm).²³ It has to be noted that most human guidelines 401 402 for treatment of early rectal cancer suggest transanal full thickness (open or endoscopic) local 403 excision, which remains a more aggressive approach and differs substantially from the 404 submucosal dissection procedure in the dogs in the present report. However, an ESD 405 approach to human early rectal cancer is showing promising results and is more comparable 406 from a surgical oncology standpoint to the procedure done in our patients. A recent metaanalysis compared TEM to ESD for early rectal cancer showing that recurrence rates were 407 similar for full thickness versus submucosal excision (5.2 vs. 2.6% respectively; P = .068). 408 409 However, patients undergoing ESD were less likely to undergo R0 resection (complete 410 histological excision), requiring revision abdominal surgery more often (2.9 vs. 8.4%; P $=.011).^{32}$ 411

The biology of rectal masses in dogs might differ from people and further research is needed
to develop a staging system that could lead to a treatment algorithm with prognostic
significance in dogs. Similar to people, the low morbidity of transanal approaches in dogs is

415 appealing. In the present study, the metastatic rate was low and tumor related mortality was 416 uncommon. It could be speculated that canine rectal tumor may be biologically less 417 aggressive than their human counterpart. However, the recurrence rates in our dogs would be 418 considered unacceptably high according to human standards and tumor related mortality was 419 not negligible. The veterinary literature should strive to follow the example coming from 420 human publications. It is likely that refining case selection will improve the outcome, reduce 421 the recurrence rate for the patients treated via a transanal approach and identify the high risk 422 patients that would benefit from a more radical surgery.

While not specifically investigated in this study, it is intuitive that submucosal resection via
a transanal approach is not suitable for annular or deeply infiltrative masses. In this situation,
decision-making for the veterinary surgeon is simpler and options include a pull-through
procedure, colorectal resection and anastomosis via pubic symphysiotomy or bilateral pubic
and ischial osteotomy or palliative colorectal stenting.^{2,6-15,34}

West Highland White Terriers appear to be overrepresented in this study, a finding that 428 concurs with the results of previous studies.^{17,26,35} Male to female ratio was 1.44:1. Previous 429 studies have reported that males are overrepresented with ratios of 3:1 to 3.7:1.^{1,10,17,19,25,26,35} 430 431 The number of dogs undergoing staging procedures was relatively low in this study. Overall 432 only 30% of dogs underwent thoracic imaging (either radiographs or CT). In some cases, 433 staging was not performed because a pre-surgical biopsy was suggestive of benign disease. Furthermore, even in the absence of a pre-surgical biopsy, transanal submucosal resection of 434 435 rectal masses without extensive investigations is sometimes considered in some of the 436 institutions participating in the study. This is generally decided on a case by case basis and pursued due to a variety of reasons including the relatively high prevalence of benign lesions 437 438 in the canine rectum, the owner's financial limitations, the owner's reluctance to pursue more

439 aggressive procedures, regardless of the final diagnosis, as well as an owner's intention to 440 treat for palliation of clinical signs, regardless of whether metastatic disease is present. If 441 after excision a malignant lesion is identified, retrospective staging to discuss potential 442 additional treatment and clarify prognosis is generally recommended. We speculated that this 443 probably explains why the overall numbers of dogs staged is low, however all dogs with 444 rectal carcinoma were staged with 12/21 dogs with carcinoma undergoing both thoracic and abdominal imaging, and the remaining 9 undergoing either abdominal or thoracic imaging. 445 446 However, it should be underlined that staging in these 9 dogs was incomplete and, as a result, 447 the number of dogs with metastatic disease might have been underestimated.

448

Sampling of the mass before surgery was often unreliable with only 64.3% of presurgical biopsies consistent with the final histopathologic result. Nonetheless presurgical sampling may remain useful, particularly if addressing large masses that may not be amenable to surgical resection but may respond to medical treatment,³⁵ such as lymphomas and plasmacytomas.^{36,37}

To our knowledge this is the first study that compares the outcome of benign rectal masses versus CiS and carcinomas after submucosal resection. In a previous study on a smaller number of patients, dogs with CiS were more likely to have recurrence of clinical signs after submucosal resection, compared to dogs with rectal adenomas but mass recurrence was not confirmed and statistical analysis was not performed.¹⁹ Dogs with rectal carcinomas were not included in the aforementioned study.

460 Our study did not identify any significant difference in the DFS between groups (Figure 1).
461 Recurrence was the only predictor for OS but surprisingly, despite the similar recurrence
462 rates across groups, OS was significantly worse for rectal carcinomas compared to benign

463 tumors (Figure 2). Different hypotheses may explain this finding. It is possible that surgeons
464 and owners may be more inclined to re-operate on a recurrence of a benign adenoma.
465 Conversely re-operation of a carcinoma may be declined due to a perceived need for more
466 aggressive procedures and worse prognosis.

However, the re-operation rate for recurrent carcinomas was higher than for benign tumors, suggesting that an alternative mechanism was responsible for the decreased survival. Analysis of the results revealed that all recurrences in the rectal carcinoma group occurred within a year of surgery. We speculated that early recurrence of malignant masses and a more aggressive biological behavior leading to more rapid growth and progression of the clinical signs, may have led to euthanasia and a shorter survival time than in the other groups.

473 Rectal CiS were grouped separately due to some controversy on their classification. They are 474 generally classified as benign despite the fact they contain marked cell dysplasia.⁵ A previous 475 study suggested that rectal CiS may have a worse biological behavior than rectal adenomas.¹⁹ 476 In our study rectal, CiS appear to display an intermediate behavior between benign and 477 malignant tumors, as apparent on visual assessment of the DFS and OS curves as well as 478 based on assessment of the 1-, 2- and 5- year recurrence and survival rates (Figures 1 and 2, 479 Table 1 and 2).

The major limitations of the present study were inherent with its multicentric retrospective nature. Investigations, staging, operative techniques, peri-operative management and followup protocols were not standardized. The measurements of tumor size and distance from the anus were obtained from the clinical notes, surgery reports or referral letters. It is not known how the measurements were obtained and how accurate they were. The histopathological specimens were not reviewed by a single pathologist. However, all samples were assessed and reported by diplomates of the American or European College of Veterinary Pathologists

which we believe is sufficient to allow reliable and consistent results for the purpose of the 487 488 study and better reflects the clinical situation in practice. There might have been a selection 489 bias with smaller masses more likely to undergo submucosal resection rather than more aggressive surgeries. However, larger tumor size was not a predictor for recurrence or 490 491 survival in our study and the range of tumor size (1-6 cm) and tumor distance from the ano 492 cutaneous junction (1-7 cm) was considered broad and representative of the majority of clinical situations. Furthermore, the demographics and tumor characteristics in our study are 493 494 similar to those of a recent study of rectal masses treated with partial or complete pullthrough.¹⁷ The median maximum tumor diameter in our study was 1.9cm (range, 1-6) 495 compared to 2.5cm (range, 1-10) in the study from Nucci et al.¹⁷ This suggests a wide overlap 496 497 between tumors of similar size being treated with conservative submucosal resection in our study and more aggressive pull-through in the study from Nucci et al¹⁷, which allows for 498 499 some comparison between the results.

500 In conclusion, submucosal resection via a transanal approach is a suitable technique for 501 resection of selected rectal masses. Morbidity is low and tumor-related death is possible but 502 uncommon for both benign and malignant tumors. Incomplete excision is associated with a 503 higher risk of recurrence and recurrence is a predictor for tumor-related death. Submucosal 504 resection of rectal carcinomas is associated with a good long-term outcome although survival 505 is significantly shorter than for benign masses. Recurrent benign or malignant masses can 506 benefit from repeat submucosal resection. Future prospective, randomized studies on larger 507 number of cases should focus on preoperative identification of which subset of patients might 508 be at higher risk of recurrence and tumor-related death, and may therefore, benefit from more aggressive procedures, justifying the associated increase in morbidity. It is likely that 509 510 identifying pre-operative prognostic factors may require more refined staging and advanced

- 511 imaging. The role of MRI and/or ERUS to differentiate between early stage and advanced
- 512 stage rectal carcinoma in dogs should be investigated and might allow to channel individual
- 513 patients into the most appropriate treatment pathway.

514 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

515 Author contributions:

516 Author 1: Study design and concept, data acquisition (case contribution: 26), analysis, and 517 interpretation, primarily drafted and revised the work, accountable for all aspects of integrity 518 and accuracy, and approved the published manuscript; Author 2: Study design, data 519 acquisition (26), analysis, organization and interpretation, primarily drafted and revised the work, figures and tables preparation, accountable for all aspects of integrity and accuracy, 520 521 and approved the published manuscript; Author 3: Study design, data acquisition (19), 522 analysis, and interpretation, draft revision, and approved the published manuscript; Author 523 4: Study design, data acquisition (19), analysis, and interpretation, draft revision and 524 approved the published manuscript; Author 5: Study design, data acquisition (8), analysis, and interpretation, draft revision and approved the published manuscript; Author 6: Study 525 526 design, data acquisition (8), analysis, and interpretation, draft revision and approved the 527 published manuscript; Author 7: Study design, data acquisition (8), analysis, and 528 interpretation, draft revision and approved the published manuscript; Author 8: Study design 529 and concept, data acquisition (2), analysis, and interpretation, draft revision, and approved the published manuscript; Author 9: Study design, data acquisition (1), analysis, and 530 531 interpretation, draft revision and approved the published manuscript; Author 10: Study 532 design, data acquisition and interpretation (21), statistical methods and analysis, primarily drafted and revised the manuscript, figures and tables preparation, accountable for all aspects 533 534 of integrity and accuracy, and approved the published manuscript.

535

536 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

537 The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this report.

538 **REFERENCES**

- Patnaik AK, Hurvitz AI, Johnson GF. Canine gastrointestinal neoplasm. *Vet Pathol.* 1977:14:547–555.
- 542 2. Davies JV, Read HM. Sagittal pubic osteotomy in the investigation and treatment of
 543 intrapelvic neoplasia in the dog. *J Small Anim Pract.* 1990;31:123–130.
- Selting KA. Intestinal tumors. In: Withrow SJ, ed. Withrow & MacEwen's small animal
 clinical oncology. 5th ed. St Louis, MO: Saunders Elsevier; 2007:412–423.
- Adamovich-Rippe K, Mayhew PD, Marks SL, et al. Colonoscopic and histologic features
 of rectal masses in dogs: 82 cases (1995 2012). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2017;250:424 430.
- 5. Munday JS, Löhr CV, Kiupel M. Tumors of the alimentary tract. In: Meuten DJ, ed.
 Tumors in Domestic Animals. 5th Ed. Iowa: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2017:588-601.
- 551 6. Danova NA, Robles-Emanuelli JC, Bjorling DE. Surgical excision of primary canine
 552 rectal tumors by anal approach in twenty-three dogs. *Vet Surg.* 2006;35:337 340.
- 553 7. Greene JA, Knecht CD, Dunstan R. Pull-through resection of the rectum. *Canine Pract*.
 554 1977;4(5):43–46.
- 8. McKeown DB, Cockshutt JR, Partlow GD, De Kleer VS. Dorsal approach to the caudal
 pelvic canal and rectum: effect on normal dogs. *Vet Surg.* 1984;13:181–184.
- 9. Anderson GI, McKeown DB, Partlow GD, Percy DH. Rectal resection in the dog: a new surgical approach and the evaluation of its effect on fecal continence. *Vet Surg.*1987;16:119–125.
- 560 10. White RAS, Gorman NT. The clinical diagnosis and management of rectal and pararectal
 561 tumors in the dog. *J Small Anim Prac*. 1987;28:87–107.

- 562 11. Anson LW, Betts CW, Stone EA. A retrospective evaluation of the rectal pull-through
 563 technique. *Vet Surg.* 1988;17:141–146.
- 564 12. Allen SW, Crowell WA. Ventral approach to the pelvic canal in the female dog. *Vet Surg*.
 565 1991:20:118–121.
- 566 13. Holt PE. Evaluation of transanal endoscopic treatment of benign canine rectal neoplasia.
 567 *J Small Anim Pract.* 2007;48:17–25.
- 568 14. Morello E, Martano M, Squassino C, et al. Transanal pull-through rectal amputation for
 569 treatment of colorectal carcinoma in 11 dogs. *Vet Surg.* 2008;37:420–426.
- 570 15. Yoon H-Y, Mann FA. Bilateral pubic and ischial osteotomy for surgical management of
- 571 caudal colonic and rectal masses in six dogs and a cat. J Am Vet Med Assoc.
 572 2008;232:1016–1020.
- 573 16. Culp WTN, Cavanaugh RP, Calfee III EF, Buracco P, Banks TA. Alimentary tract. In:
 574 Kudnig ST, Séguin B, eds. Veterinary Surgical Oncology. Chichester: Wiley & Sons;
 575 2012:223-245.
- 576 17. Nucci DJ, Liptak JM, Selmic LE, et al. Complications and outcomes following rectal
 577 pull-through surgery in dogs with rectal masses: 74 cases (2000 2013). *J Am Vet*578 *Med Assoc.* 2014;245:684-695.
- 579 18. Smeak DD. Surgery of the rectum. In: Monnet E, Smeak DD, eds. Gastrointestinal
 580 Surgical Technique in Small Animals. NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, inc; 2020:245581 256.
- 582 19. Valerius KD, Powers BE, McPherron MA, Hutchinson JM, Mann FA, Withrow SJ.
- Adenomatous polyps and carcinoma in situ of the canine colon and rectum: 34 cases
 (1982 1994). *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 1997;33:156–160.
- 585 20. Wilkinson N. Management of rectal cancer. Surg Clin N Am. 2020;100:615–628.

- 21. Lutz MP, Zalcberg JR, Glynne-Jones R, et al. Second St. Gallen European Organisation
 fro Research and Treatment of Cancer Gastrointestinal Cancer Conference: consensus
 recommendations on controversial issues in the primary treatment of rectal cancer.
 Eur J Cancer. 2016 Nov; 68:208-209.
- 590 22. Bipat S, Glas AS, Slors FJ, et al. Rectal cancer: local staging and assessment of lymph
 591 node involvement with endoluminal US, CT, and MR imaging–a meta-analysis.
 592 *Radiology*. 2004;232(3):773–83.
- 593 23. São Julião GP, Celentano JP, Alexandre FA, Borba Vailati BB. Local excision and
 594 endoscopic resections for early rectal cancer. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg.* 2017;30:313595 323.
- 596 24. Monson JR, Weiser MR, Buie WD, et al, Standards Practice Task Force of the American
 597 Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. Practice parameters for the management of
 598 rectal cancer (revised). *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2013;56(5):535–50.
- 599 25. Church EM, Mehlhaff CJ, Patnaik AK. Colorectal adenocarcinoma in dogs:78 cases. J
 600 Am Vet Med Assoc. 1987;191:727-730.
- 601 26. Holt PE, Lucke VM. Rectal neoplasia in the dog: a clinicopathological review of 31
 602 cases. *Vet Rec.* 1985;116:400–405.
- 603 27. Doornebosch PG, Ferenschild FT, de Wilt JH, Dawson I, Tetteroo GW, de Graaf EJ.
- Treatment of recurrence after transanal endoscopic microsurgery (TEM) for T1 rectal
 cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2010;53(09):1234–1239.
- 28. Hahnloser D, Wolff BG, Larson DW, Ping J, Nivatvongs S. Immediate radical resection
- 607 after local excision of rectal cancer: an oncologic compromise? *Dis Colon Rectum*.
- 608 2005;48(3):429–437.

609	29. Mayhew PD, Balsa IM, Guerzon CN, et al. Evaluation of transanal minimally invasive
610	surgery for submucosal rectal resection in cadaveric canine specimens. Vet Surg.
611	2020;49:1379–1388.

- 30. Albert MR, Atallah SB, deBeche-Adams TC, Izfar S, Larach SW. Transanal minimally
 invasive surgery (TAMIS) for local excision of benign neoplasms and early-stage
 rectal can- cer: efficacy and outcomes in the first 50 patients. *Dis Colon rectum*.
 2013;56:301-307.
- 31. Maglio R, Muzi GM, Massimo MM, Masoni L. Transanal minimally invasive surgery
 (TAMIS): new treatment for early rectal cancer and large rectal polyps—experience
 of an Italian center. *Am Surg.* 2015;81:273-277.
- 32. Arezzo A, Passera R, Saito Y, et al. Systematic review and meta- analysis of endoscopic
 submucosal dissection versus transanal endoscopic microsurgery for large
 noninvasive rectal lesions. *Surg Endosc* 2014;28(2):427–438
- 622 33. Prytz M, Angenete E, Ekelund J, et al. Extralevator abdominoperineal excision (ELAPE)
 623 for rectal cancer: short-term results from the Swedish Colorectal Cancer Registry.
- 624 Selective use of ELAPE warranted. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2014;29(8):981–7.
- 625 34. Culp WTN, MacPhail CM, Perry JA, Jensen TD. Use of nitinol stent to palliate a
 626 colorectal neoplastic obstruction in a dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2011;239:222-227.
- 627 35. Knottenbelt CM, Simpson JW, Tasker S, et al. Preliminary clinical observations on the
 628 use of piroxicam in the management of rectal tubulopapillary polyps. *J Small Anim*629 *Pract.* 2000;41:393-397.
- 630 36. Kupanoff PA, Popovitch CA, Goledschmidt MH. Colorectal plasmacytomas: a
 631 retrospective study of nine dogs. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc.* 2006;42:37–43.

- 632 37. Van Den Steen N, Berlato D, Polton G, et al. Rectal lymphoma in 11 dogs-a retrospective
- 633 study. *J Small Anim Pract*. 2012;53:586-591.

TABLES 636

637 Table 1. 1-, 2-, 5- year disease free survival (DFS) for dogs with benign rectal neoplasia,

638	carcinoma in situ and rectal carcinoma treated by submuc	osa resection.

		1-year			2-year			5-year		
	Cases	Censored	Recurrence	Survival probabil ity	Censored	Recurrence	Survival probabil ity	Censored	Recurrence	Survival probabil ity
Benign	48	8	3	94%	9	2	89%	15	1	85%
Carcinoma in situ	23	4	3	87%	2	2	76%	8	2	63%
Carcinoma	20	2	6	75%	4	0	75%	8	0	75%

- **Table 2.** 1-, 2-, 5- year overall survival (OS) for dogs with benign rectal neoplasia,carcinoma in situ and rectal carcinoma treated by submucosa resection.

				1-year		2-year			5-year		
	Cases	Censored	Died	Survival	Censored	Died	Survival	Censored	Died	Survival	
				probability			probability			probability	
Benign	48	8	0	100%	12	1	98%	16	0	98%	
Carcinoma	23	4	0	100%	4	0	100%	10	3	80%	
in situ											
Rectal	20	3	1	95%	4	1	89%	8	2	73%	
carcinoma											

Logistic regression	Rectal epithelial neoplasia survival				
	OR^1	95% CI ²	P value		
Size	1.15	0.78-1.68	.467		
Distance from the anus	0.94	0.68-1.29	.721		
Surgical time	1.02	0.99-1.04	.069		
Diagnosis	1.69	<i>0.99-2.88</i>	.051		
Margins	2.15	0.81-5.70	.121		
Complications	3.27	1.35-7.92	.008		

Table 3. Simple logistic regression results determining factors associated with recurrence

648 after surgical intervention of rectal epithelial neoplasia in dogs

¹ OR: odds ratio; ² 95% CI: ninety-five percent confidence interval: Reference category used 649

650 in logistic regression. Variables highlighted in bold qualified for inclusion in the multiple

regression analysis at P < 0.20 (Table 4) 651

646

Logistic regression		Complications	
	OR^1	95% Cl ²	P value
Surgical time	1.00	0.98-1.03	.496
Diagnosis	1.08	0.56-2.07	.809
Margins	2.97	1.16-7.62	.023
Complications	2.79	1.09-7.17	.032

Table 4. Multiple logistic regression results determining factors associated with recurrenceafter surgical intervention of rectal epithelial neoplasia in dogs

¹ OR = odds ratio ² 95% CI = ninety-five percent confidence

Logistic regression	Rectal epithelial neoplasia survival				
	OR^1	$95\% \text{ CI}^2$	P value		
Size	1.01	0.55-1.86	.952		
Distance from the anus	0.92	0.60-1.41	.704		
Surgical time	1.04	1.01-1.07	.008		
Diagnosis	3.62	1.49-8.80	.004		
Margins	5.05	0.96-26.43	.055		
Complications	2.76	0.79-9.54	.109		
Recurrences	34.88	4.41-275.69	.001		

time after surgical intervention of rectal epithelial neoplasia in dogs

¹OR: odds ratio; ²95% CI: ninety-five percent confidence interval: Reference category used

Table 5. Simple logistic regression results determining factors associated with survival

662 in logistic regression. Variables highlighted in bold qualified for inclusion in the multiple

663 regression analysis at P < 0.20 (Table 6)

658

Logistic regression		Complications	
	OR^1	95% CI ²	P value
Surgical time	1.00	0.97-1.04	.721
Diagnosis	1.00	0.42-2.37	.991
Margins	4.89	0.92-25.81	.061
Complications	11.79	0.30-4.54	.811
Recurrences	4.33	1.025-18.3	.046

Table 6. Multiple logistic regression results determining factors associated with survival
time after surgical intervention of rectal epithelial neoplasia in dogs

 $667 \quad {}^{1}$ OR = odds ratio 2 95% CI = ninety-five percent confidence

668

FIGURES

- **Figure 1.** Kaplan-Meier disease free survival curve for dogs with benign rectal neoplasia, carcinoma in situ and rectal carcinoma treated by submucosa resection.

- **Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier overall survival curve for dogs with benign rectal neoplasia, carcinoma in situ and rectal carcinoma treated by submucosa resection.