



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Self-Performed Lung Ultrasound for Home Monitoring of a Patient Positive for Coronavirus Disease 2019

 This is a pre print version of the following article:

 Original Citation:

 Availability:

 This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1802722

 since 2021-09-21T09:23:58Z

 Published version:

 DOI:10.1016/j.chest.2020.05.604

 Terms of use:

 Open Access

 Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Lung ultrasound for home monitoring of a COVID-19 positive patient

Emanuele Pivetta M.D., Ph.D., Erin Girard Ph.D., Francesca Locascio, R.N., Enrico Lupia M.D.,

Ph.D., John D. Martin M.D., Mike Stone M.D.

Corresponding author: Emanuele Pivetta

Emanuele Pivetta, MD, PhD Division of Emergency Medicine and High Dependency Unit AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino Corso Bramante 88, Turin, Italy, 10126 - +390116337122 <u>emanuele.pivetta@gmail.com</u>

Erin Girard, Ph.D. Butterfly Network Inc. 506 Whitfield St. Guilford CT 06437 203-430-9972 egirard@butterflynetwork.com

Francesca Locascio, RN Department of Emergency Medicine AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino Corso Bramante 88, Turin, Italy, 10126 - +390116334757 francescaloc93@libero.it

Enrico Lupia, MD, PhD Division of Emergency Medicine and High Dependency Unit AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino and University of Turin Corso Bramante 88, Turin, Italy, 10126 - +390116337122 enrico.lupia@unito.it

John D Martin, MD, MBA, FACS Butterfly Network 506 Whitfield St Guilford CT 06437 410-553-1864 <u>jmartin@butterflynetwork.com</u>

Michael B. Stone, MD

Northwest Acute Care Specialists 825 NE Multnomah St, Portland, OR 97232 +1 (503) 464-9034 mstone@butterflynetinc.com

Keywords

Covid19 Lung ultrasound Telemedicine

Abstract

Not since WWII have novel solutions for medical resource allocation and implementation been in such dire need. A subset of patients with COVID-19 and lung involvement pose a disposition challenge, particularly when hospital resources are constrained. Those not in respiratory failure are sent home, often with phone monitoring and/ respiratory rate and oxygen saturation monitoring. Hypoxemia may be a late presentation and is often preceded by abnormal lung findings on ultrasound. Early identification of pulmonary progression may preempt emergency hospitalization for respiratory decompensation and facilitate more timely admission. With the goal of safely isolating infected patients while providing advanced monitoring, we present a first report of patient self-performed lung ultrasound in the home with a hand-held device under the guidance of a physician using a novel TeleGuidance platform.

Introduction

In late March, the Piedmont region, Italy, reported more than 7,000 diagnosed cases of COVID-19 with 3,000 of these cases discharged to home quarantine [1,2]. The rapid increase of infected patients creates an unmanageable situation for hospitals and emergency medical service (EMS) providers [3].

Lung ultrasound (LUS) imaging for pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome has been documented for years [4-6] and recent evidence supports the role of LUS for the management of COVID-19 patients [7,8]. LUS has been demonstrated in the home setting [9,10], but never by the patient him/herself. This case report details the integration of LUS into the home management plan of a COVID-19 patient using a novel TeleGuidance approach.

Case Report

On March 2nd, a 26 year-old female nurse had occupational exposure to COVID-19 while working at the emergency department (ED). The patient went into home isolation with no symptoms and monitored her vitals twice daily (Table 1), receiving confirmation of positive RTqPCR on March 10th. From March 13-21, she performed daily unsupervised LUS examinations (iQ, Butterfly Network) and uploaded these to the cloud for review by an expert operator (E.P., with 12 years experience). The patient had prior point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) training, including a single-day didactic course and 40 supervised bedside evaluations for peripheral intravenous access and bladder examinations.

Beginning March 21st, a TeleGuidance feature became available on the device that allowed real-time remote support by the expert operator, who could use two-way audio and video to guide the novice to obtain optimized views. The expert operator could adjust the ultrasound

settings (preset, depth, gain) and capture cines. The subject obtained images under TeleGuidance supervision from March 21-28.

Daily LUS examinations were saved and scored for image quality by a second expert (M.S.) blinded to the acquisition and interpretation. The scoring convention used was: 0, no lung visualized; 1, image poorly informative, pleural line partially visualized; 2, image interpretable, pleural line partially visualized but sufficient for evaluation; 3, image easily interpreted, pleural line well visualized. Images scoring 0 or 1 were considered non-diagnostic. Image quality was compared between the self-guided and remote expert-guided approach (using the Kruskal Wallis test).

Table 2 describes the number of zones imaged, the diagnostic quality, and the daily sonographic findings. Over time, bilateral B-lines and small subpleural consolidations developed. Bilateral lung sliding was present and no pleural effusions were found for the entire period. Overall, 114 images were acquired. The median quality score for unsupervised scans (n=35) was significantly lower than for supervised teleguidance scans (n=79) (2 vs 3 respectively, p<.001), but only 3 images were reviewed as non-diagnostic (2.6%). For the initial, unsupervised scans, cines were recorded for three zones (anterior, lateral, and posterior) per hemithorax. During teleguidance sessions, a 12-zone protocol was followed (superior and inferior, anterior, lateral, and posterior). An example teleguidance session is shown in Figure 1.

Based on the patient's symptoms, a focused cardiac ultrasound was also performed due to the presence of bilateral chest pain using parasternal short and long axis views. This exam excluded a pericardial effusion, dilatation of the right ventricle and abnormal left ventricular contractility.

On March 28th, during a telephone follow-up with the Hygiene and Public Health Service (SISP) the patient reported dyspnea at mild exertion. Per protocol, EMS brought her to the ED, where chest radiography (CXR), arterial blood gas analysis, and laboratory tests were performed (Table S1 and Figure S1). The laboratory tests were all normal and the CXR was negative for pneumonia, which was different from the bilateral basal B-lines found on POCUS the same day (Table 2). The patient was not admitted and was followed at home until symptom resolution on April 6th.

Discussion

We highlight three key findings within this case: first, daily LUS allowed the attending physician to correlate the reported symptoms of shortness of breath with radiographic findings. Second, when the subject reported bilateral chest pain, cardiac involvement was ruled out. Third, despite a normal CXR in the ED, lung involvement was still present as documented by the presence of B-lines, suggesting that LUS could provide additional information that isn't seen on CXR for COVID-19 patients.

In conclusion, we present a new mechanism for monitoring COVID-19 in the home using a novel TeleGuidance system for LUS that can be performed by the patient (or by EMS). Integrating LUS into home management could decrease the need for patients to be transported to a hospital or imaging center, avoiding unnecessary disease transmission through patient movement [11].

References

- Lazzerini M, Putoto G. COVID-19 in Italy: momentous decisions and many uncertainties. The Lancet Global Health; 2020.
- Piedmont regional service for epidemiology, surveillance, prevention and infectious diseases control. March 27, 2020 (<u>https://www.seremi.it/</u>)
- 3. Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. COVID-19 and Italy: what next? The Lancet; 2020.
- Volpicelli G, Elbarbary M, Blaivas M, et al. International evidence-based recommendations for point-of-care lung ultrasound. Intensive Care Med 2012;38(4):577-91.
- Gargani L, Volpicelli G. How I do it: lung ultrasound. Cardiovascular ultrasound. 2014 Dec;12(1):25.
- Soldati G, Smargiassi A, Inchingolo R, et al. Lung ultrasonography may provide an indirect estimation of lung porosity and airspace geometry. Respiration. 2014;88(6):458-68.
- Peng QY, Wang XT, Zhang LN, Chinese Critical Care Ultrasound Study Group. Findings of lung ultrasonography of novel corona virus pneumonia during the 2019–2020 epidemic. Intensive Care Medicine. 2020 Mar 12:1.
- Soldati G, Smargiassi A, Inchingolo R, Buonsenso D, Perrone T, Briganti DF, Perlini S, Torri E, Mariani A, Mossolani EE, Tursi F. Proposal for international standardization of the use of lung ultrasound for COVID-19 patients; a simple, quantitative, reproducible method. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine. 2020 Mar 30.
- Namiki H, Kobayashi T. Lung Ultrasound for Initial Diagnosis and Subsequent Monitoring of Aspiration Pneumonia in Elderly in Home Medical Care Setting. Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine. 2019 Jun;5:2333721419858441.

- 10. Bonnel AR, Baston CM, Wallace P, Panebianco N, Kinosian B. Using point-of-care ultrasound on home visits: the home-oriented ultrasound examination (HOUSE). Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2019 Dec;67(12):2662-3.
- 11. Nacoti M, Ciocca A, Giupponi A, et al. At the epicenter of the Covid-19 pandemic and humanitarian crises in Italy: changing perspectives on preparation and mitigation. NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery. 2020 Mar 21;1(2).

March	mean temperature	oxygen saturation at rest	oxygen saturation at mild exertion	respiratory rate	symptoms	
2	likely day of contact with a positive case during a night shift					
3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no	
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no	
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no	
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	no - first day of quarantine	
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	myalgia	
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	myalgia and headache	
9	36.5	N/A	N/A	18-20	myalgia, headache, diarrhea, nasal congestion	
10	36.5	N/A	N/A	18-20	myalgia, diarrhea, nasal congestion	
11	36.5	N/A	N/A	18-20	myalgia, nasal congestion	
12	36.5	97	N/A	18-20	mild shortness of breath	
13	36.5	97	N/A	22	shortness of breath	
14	36.5	97-99	N/A	24	shortness of breath	
15	36.5	97-99	91-93	22	diarrhea, shortness of breath on mild exertion	

Table 1. Subjects's daily vitals and symptoms

16	36.5	98-99	91-93	22	shortness of breath on mild exertion and episodic left localized chest pain
17	36.5	98-99	91-93	20	shortness of breath on mild exertion
18	36.5	98-99	91-93	20	shortness of breath on mild exertion
19	36.5	98-99	NA	18	sore throat
20	36.5	98-99	NA	16	sore throat, epigastric, left midclavicular and anterior axillary pain
21	36.5	98-99	NA	16	sore throat
22	36.5	98-99	91-93	16	shortness of breath on mild- moderate exertion and bibasal chest pain
23	36.5	98-99	NA	16	headache and bibasal chest pain
24	36.5	98-99	91	16	shortness of breath on mild- moderate exertion and bibasal chest pain
25	36.5	98-99	93-94	16	left basal chest pain
26	36.5	98-99	93-94	16	left basal chest pain and shortness of breath on mild- moderate exertion
27	36.5	98-99	93-94	16	left basal chest pain and shortness of breath on mild- moderate exertion
28	36.5	98-99	95	16	left basal chest pain and shortness of breath on mild- moderate exertion (ED evaluation)

Legend: N/A, not available

Table 2

Daily lung ultrasound report including the number of images, image quality, and ultrasound findings. The last day reported corresponds to the day the subject was assessed in the hospital (highlight).

Day (March)	Number of lung zones imaged	% diagnostic quality	POCUS findings		
			Left	Right	
13	7	100	Anterior: few vertical artifacts, thin pleural line; Lateral: few no confluent b- lines Posterior:few no confluent b-lines	Anterior: multiple non- confluent B-lines (inferior) Lateral: few no confluent b- lines; single B-lines and some small vertical artifacts (inferior) Posterior: multiple non- confluent B-lines	
14	6	100	Anterior: few small vertical artifacts Lateral: few no confluent vertical artifacts (inferior) Posterior: multiple vertical artifacts, rare, no b-lines.	Anterior: few vertical artifact Lateral: some not confluent b-lines (inferior) Posterior: few b-lines (inferior)	
15	7	86	Anterior: some no confluent B-lines. Lateral: some no confluent B-lines. Posterior:some isolated B- lines (left>right)	Anterior: some B-lines, not confluent (inferior) Lateral: isolate B-lines (superior), diffuse B-lines, not confluent (inferior) Posterior: some isolated B- lines (left>right)	
16	6	100	Anterior: some small vertical artifacts Lateral: some no confluent B-lines Posterior: some small vertical artifacts	Anterior: Some vertical artifacts Lateral: few no confluent B- lines Posterior: few no confluent B-lines	
17	9	100	Anterior: few vertical artifacts and a single B-line in the inferior area; Lateral: few vertical artifacts Posterior: some small vertical artifacts	Anterior: some vertical artifacts, a single B-line between superior and inferior zones Lateral: some B-lines in inferior area Posterior: some B-lines	
18	9	100	Anterior: normal	Anterior: A single B-lines	

			Lateral: some small vertical artifacts and 2-3 in the basal area Posterior: some B-lines with a likely subpleural consolidation	between superior and inferior areas and a few small vertical artifacts Lateral: 2-3 B-lines Posterior: 2-3 B-lines
19	11	100	Anterior: normal Lateral: some vertical artifacts and 2-3 B-lines in the inferior, lateral area Posterior: some B-lines with a likely subpleural consolidation	Anterior: A single B-line between superior and inferior anterior areas, a few small vertical artifacts Lateral: 2-3 basal B-lines Posterior: 2-3 basal B-lines
20	8	100	Anterior: few vertical artifacts with irregular pleural line Lateral: irregular and thickened pleural line with some B-lines Posterior: some B-lines with a subpleural consolidation.	Anterior: few small vertical artifacts Lateral: 2-3 B-lines between superior and inferior areas with a small subpleural consolidation Posterior: 2-3 basal B-lines
21	10	100	Anterior: few vertical artifacts with irregular pleural line Lateral: irregular and thickened pleural line with some B-lines Posterior: some B-lines in with a subpleural consolidation.	Anterior: few vertical artifacts Lateral: some B-lines in the inferior area Posterior: 2-3 basal B-lines
22	7	100	Anterior: few vertical artifacts Lateral: some vertical artifacts with some B-lines Posterior: some B-lines in with a subpleural consolidation.	Anterior: few vertical artifacts Lateral: Some vertical artifacts in the inferior area with an irregular pleural line Posterior: some basal B- lines
23	11	100	Anterior: few vertical artifacts Lateral: few vertical artifacts Posterior: some B-lines in the basal zone with a subpleural consolidation.	Anterior: Some small vertical artifacts Lateral: Some small vertical artifacts Posterior: 2-3 basal B-lines
24	11	91	Anterior: few vertical artifacts	Anterior: Some small vertical artifacts

			Lateral: 2-3 B-lines in the basal area Posterior: 2-3 B-lines in the basal area with a small subpleural consolidation.	Lateral: a single B-lines Posterior: an irregular pleural line with a small subpleural consolidation in the inferior area
25	12	92	Anterior: irregular pleural line Lateral: 2 B-lines in the basal area Posterior: 2 B-lines in the basal area	Anterior: irregular pleural line with a few vertical artifacts Lateral: few B-lines Posterior: vertical artifacts with a small subpleural consolidation in the inferior area
26	12	100	Anterior: irregular pleural line Lateral: irregular pleural line with some B-lines in the basal area Posterior: some B-lines in the basal area	Anterior: irregular pleural line with 1 B-line in the superior area Lateral: 2-3 non confluent B-lines in the basal lateral area Posterior: 2 B-lines in the basal area.
27	12	100	Anterior: irregular pleural line Lateral: some vertical artifacts between superior and inferior anterior areas with a single B-line Posterior: few B-lines in the basal area	Anterior: Irregular pleural line Lateral: some diffuse small vertical artifacts and a single B-line in the basal area. Posterior: few B-lines in the basal area.
28	12	100	Anterior: irregular pleural line Lateral: some vertical artifacts with 2-3 basal B- lines Posterior: few B-lines in the basal area	Anterior: minimally irregular pleural line Lateral: 1-2 B-lines in the basal area Posterior: 1-2 B-lines in the basal area

Figure 1

The expert's view of a teleguidance session with the lung image shown on the left and the twoway video features on the right. The expert can change modes, adjust depth and gain, and save clips. Directions can be given through augmented reality buttons and/or auditory feedback.

