

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Suspected environmental poisoning by drugs, household products and pesticides in domestic animals

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1835691> since 2022-01-26T12:52:16Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.etap.2020.103471

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Suspected environmental poisoning by drugs, households and pesticides in domestic animals

2
3 Alessia Bertero^a, Marina Rivolta^b, Franca Davanzo^b, Francesca Caloni^{a,*}

4
5 ^a Department of Environmental Science and Policy, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 10,
6 20133 Milan, Italy;

7 ^b Milan Poison Control Centre, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Piazza
8 dell'Ospedale Maggiore 3, 20162 Milan, Italy

9
10 * Corresponding author

13 Abstract

14 Animal poisoning by chemicals (pesticides and household products) and drugs is a frequent
15 occurrence and special attention should be paid to this phenomenon to improve prevention and
16 treatment strategies but also because of the fundamental role that animals may play as
17 bioindicators for the environmental health.

18 From January 2017 to March 2019 the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) in collaboration with
19 the University of Milan, collected and analyzed epidemiological data on animal poisoning. During
20 this period, the CAV received a total of 442 toxicology consultations related to animal poisoning
21 and, among these, 80.3% were related to chemicals and drugs. The dog was the species most
22 frequently involved (83.7%), followed by cats (14.6%) and rabbits (0.6%), while single enquiries
23 concerned a pony, a ferret and an African hedgehog (0.3% each). The outcome was positive for
24 52.7% of the episodes, negative for 4.2% and unknown for 43.1% of the cases. Pesticides and
25 drugs were the two major causes of poisoning (34.1% and 33.5%, respectively), followed by
26 household products (29.3%) and other causative agents (3.1%, n=11). As for drugs, this category
27 included human (84%, mainly CNS drugs and NSAIDs) and veterinary (10.1%, mainly parasiticides)
28 medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine (2.5%) and drugs of abuse (*Cannabis* and hashish, 3.4%). The
29 dog was the most involved species (86.6%), followed by cats (13.4%). Detergents (20.2%)
30 accounted for the majority of the toxicology consultations on household products, followed by
31 caustic agents (16.3%), fertilizers (15.4%), antifreezes (7.7%, mainly ethylene glycol) and
32 firelighters (6.7%). The involved species were dogs (71.2%), cats (26.9%) and rabbits (1.9%). Other
33 causative agents included chemiluminescent glow-sticks, firecrackers and coal tar. In conclusion,
34 these findings can provide useful information for the identification and monitoring of known and
35 emerging toxicants, with positive repercussions on human, animal and environmental health.

37 Keywords (max 6)

38 Chemicals; domestic animals; drugs; households; pesticides; poisoning.

40 1. Introduction

41 Animal poisoning is a frequent occurrence (Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al.,
42 2018; McFarland et al., 2017) and is an issue that is receiving special attention nowadays, thanks
43 also to the spreading of a new public sensibility and awareness. Against this background, an
44 increasing level of importance is placed on the systematic collection of epidemiological data
45 concerning toxicant exposure in animals, not just to help veterinarians in the diagnosis and
46 treatment of poisoning cases or for the implementation of preventive measures but also for the
47 role that animals can play as bioindicators for human and environmental health. Indeed, a
48 structured recording and analysis of animal toxicant exposure cases may provide fundamental

49 pieces for the evaluation of the risk posed by environmental pollutants through a one health
50 perspective, by virtue of the close interconnection existing between animals, humans and
51 ecosystems.

52 A centralized veterinary poison center does not exist in Italy (Caloni et al., 2012) and the collection
53 of data relies on the efforts of universities, research institutes, government institutions and poison
54 centers. The human Poison Control Centre in Milan (CAV), established in 1967, consists of a
55 dedicated team of specialists that offer telephone consultations to the public and to healthcare
56 professionals on toxicant exposures, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Due to the absence of a
57 veterinary-specific poison centre, the CAV also provides consultations on episodes of suspected
58 animal poisoning. Moreover, thanks to an ongoing collaboration with the University of Milan,
59 epidemiological data are extrapolated from the toxicology consultations classified, inserted in a
60 databank and analysed.

61 In this paper, epidemiological data on animal poisoning enquiries concerning drugs, households
62 and pesticides received by the CAV between January 2017 - March 2019 will be presented and
63 analyzed. The purpose is to provide comprehensive information on toxicant exposure in terms of
64 incidence, species involved, causative agents, route of exposure, clinical sign and outcome, also
65 analyzing causative agent trends and the emergence of new tendencies/compounds.

66

67 **2. Material and methods**

68 Since 1990 the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) records, analyzes and archives data related to
69 animal poisoning episodes occurring in Italy. On request, the CAV gives telephone consultations
70 providing information and suggestions for the management of animal poisoning to veterinarians
71 but also to animal owners.

72 The typical procedure for the collection of data concerning the professional counseling require to
73 complete a form during the toxicology consultations with information on the animal species,
74 potential poisoning agents, route of exposure, clinical signs. Veterinary toxicologists at the
75 University of Milan collaborate with the CAV to handle the enquiries. Moreover, continuous
76 update on cases from follow-up calls are included, in order to maintain the database as up-to-
77 date, complete and accurate as possible. The data on this paper have been collected from January
78 2017 to March 2019 and the toxic compounds have been classified according to the following
79 categories: pesticides (insecticides, rodenticides, molluscicides, herbicides and fungicides), drugs
80 (human and veterinary medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine and drugs of abuse), household
81 products and other compounds.

82

83 **2.1. Statistical analysis**

84 Descriptive statistic was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, Version 26.0 (Armonk, NY:
85 IBM Corp.) and graphs were created using Prism for Mac, Version 8.4.1 (GraphPad Software Inc.,
86 La Jolla, CA, USA).

87

88 **3. Results**

89 From January 2017 to March 2019, the CAV received a total of 442 toxicology consultations
90 related to animal poisoning episodes. Among these, 80.3% (n=355) were related to chemicals
91 (households and pesticides) and drugs. As for the latter, 70.4% of the toxicology consultations
92 (n=250) were from veterinarians, 28.7% (n=102) from animal owners and for 0.8% of the enquiries
93 (n=3) the caller was unknown. The majority of the calls were from Lombardy (36.3%, n=129),
94 followed by Emilia Romagna (12.4%, n=44), Veneto (11.5%, n=41) and Sicily (6.8%, n=24) (Figure
95 1). The dog was the species most frequently involved (83.7%, n=297), followed by the cat (14.6%,
96 n=52). Two calls regarded rabbits (0.6%) and single enquiries were received concerning a pony, a

97 ferret and an African hedgehog (0.3% each) (Figure 2). The majority of the exposures occurred
98 indoor (78.9%, n=280), 17.2% (n=61) outdoor, whereas for 3.9% of the episodes (n=14) the site of
99 exposure was unknown. The route of the exposure was ingestion in most of the cases (87.9%).
100 Toxicant exposures were generally accidental (93%, n=330), but in some cases they were due to
101 owner errors/misuses (2.8%, n=10), one (0.3%) episode was due to an intentional poisoning and
102 for 14 cases (3.9%) the circumstances that led to the intoxication were unknown. In the majority of
103 the cases, symptoms of the intoxication appeared within 24 h after the exposure (62.5%, n=222).
104 The outcome was positive for 187 animals (52.7%), fatal for 15 animals (4.2%) and unknown in 153
105 cases (43.1%).
106

107 **3.1 Classes of toxic compounds**

108 The data analysis showed that, among the considered toxicants (chemicals and drugs) (Figure 3),
109 pesticides and drugs were the two major causes of poisoning (34.1%, n=121 and 33.5%, n=119,
110 respectively), followed by household products (29.3%, n=104) and other causative agents (3.1%,
111 n=11).
112

113 **3.1.1 Pesticides**

114 A total of 121 enquiries (34.1%) were related to pesticides. Among these, the greater number of
115 calls involved insecticides (44.6%, n=54), followed by rodenticides (28.9%, n=35), fungicides (9.1%,
116 n=11), herbicides (7.4%, n=9) and molluscicides (6.6%, n=8), whereas in 4 cases (3.3%) the
117 involved pesticide was not further characterized (Figure 4).
118

118 **3.1.1.1 Insecticides**

119 The enquiries on insecticides have been classified as reported in Figure 5. Pyrethrins/pyrethroids
120 were the most common cause of intoxication (42.6%, n=23), with the association cypermethrin-
121 tetramethrin being the most frequently involved, followed by neonicotinoids (acetamiprid and
122 imidacloprid, 25.9%, n=14), organoarsenic compounds (dimethylarsinate; 14.8%, n=8), carbamates
123 (5.6%, n=3), isothiazolinones (1.9%, n=1), phenylpyrazoles (1.9%, n=1) and pyrroles (1.9%, n=1),
124 while in 3 cases the insecticide involved was unknown (5.6%).

125 Specifically, concerning the dog, 16.5% of all the enquiries on this species were due to insecticides
126 (49 out of 297), and pyrethrins/pyrethroids were the most involved class (40.8%, n=20), followed
127 by neonicotinoids (24.5%, n=12), organoarsenic compounds (dimethylarsinate; 16.3%, n=8) and
128 carbamates (6.1%, n=3). In cats, 5.8% (3 out of 52) of the calls were related to insecticides, with 2
129 calls involving neonicotinoids (acetamiprid and imidacloprid) and 1 call pyrethroids (deltamethrin).
130 Both in dogs and cats the major route of exposure was ingestion.

131 Chlorfenapyr, a novel pyrrole insecticide (Ngufor et al., 2016), was reported as the causative agent
132 in one case of intoxication concerning a dog. A poisoning episode of a ferret involved the mucosal
133 exposure to pyrethroids, and the same class was involved in the intoxication of a pony through the
134 gastrointestinal route.

135 **3.1.1.2 Rodenticides**

136 Rodenticides accounted for 9.9% (n=35) of all the calls received by CAV concerning chemicals and
137 drugs, and 28.9% of the enquiries on pesticides (Figure 6). The dog was the only species involved.
138 Anticoagulant rodenticides accounted for 31.4% of the enquiries (n=11) and non-anticoagulant
139 compounds were responsible for 5.7% (n=2) of the calls, while in 22 cases the involved molecule
140 was unknown (62.9%). Bromadiolone and difenacoum were the most frequently involved
141 compounds (14.3%, n=5 and 8.6%, n=3, respectively), but brodifacoum, coumatetralyl,
142 difethialone, thallium and α -chloralose were also reported (2.9%, n=1, each).
143

143 **3.1.1.3 Molluscicides**

144 All the enquiries received by CAV on molluscicide intoxications were related to the accidental
145 ingestion of metaldehyde by dogs (6.6% of the call concerning pesticides and 2.3% of the total
146 calls on chemicals and drugs).

147 **3.1.1.4 Herbicides**

148 Herbicides accounted for 7.4% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 4) and for 2.5% of the
149 calls concerning chemicals and drugs. Dogs and cats were the species most frequently involved
150 (44.4%, n=4, each). Glyphosate was the major culprit (66.7%, n=6) in dogs (3 cases out of 4) as well
151 as in cats (2 cases out of 4). In the dog species, synthetic auxins (fluroxypyr and triclopyr) were
152 also reported (1 case). In cats, other involved compounds were dicamba and metribuzin (1 case
153 each).

154 Glyphosate was also involved in one enquiry concerning an African hedgehog which after the
155 exposure to this herbicide showed dyspnea and oral edema.

156 **3.1.1.5 Fungicides**

157 Fungicide exposure accounted for 9.1% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 4) and for
158 3.1% of the calls received by CAV on chemicals and drugs. The dog was the only species affected,
159 with copper sulfate and dodine being the most frequently implicated compounds (27.3%, n=3,
160 each), followed by ziram (18.2%, n=2) and dicopper chloride trihydroxide (9.1%, n=1). In 2 cases
161 (18.2%) the involved fungicide compound was not identified.

162

163 **3.1.2 Drugs**

164 In this category (Figure 7) are included human (84%, n=100; Table 1) and veterinary (10.1%, n=12;
165 Table 2) medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine (2.5%, n=3) and drugs of abuse (3.4%, n=4). As for
166 dogs (86.6% of the calls, n=103), the majority of the enquiries involved the exposure to human
167 drugs (85.4%, n=88), with CNS drugs (20.5%, n=18) and NSAIDs (12.5%, n=11) together with alpha
168 and beta blockers (12.5%, n=11) being the most involved classes of compounds (Table 1).

169 Veterinary drugs (mainly parasiticides and NSAIDs) were responsible for 7.8% of the intoxications
170 in dogs (n=8) (Table 2) and drugs of abuse, specifically *Cannabis indica* (n=1) and hashish (n=3),
171 were involved in 3.9% of the cases, followed by the exposure to tobacco/nicotine (2.9%, n=3)
172 (Figure 7).

173 A significantly lower number of drug intoxications were reported in cats, which accounted for
174 13.4% of the calls (n=16). Human drugs were the major culprit (75%, n=12), particularly CNS drugs
175 (33.3%, n=4), muscle relaxers (25%, n=3) and NSAIDs (16.7%, n=2) (Table 1), followed by veterinary
176 drugs (25%, n=4)(Table 2). As for the latter, the most involved classes of compounds were
177 parasiticides (75%, n=3), with 2 cases due to adverse reactions to pyrethroids. A sporadic case of
178 acute intoxication with dyspnea was reported in a cat after the accidental ingestion of feline facial
179 pheromones (Table 2).

180

181 **3.1.3 Household products**

182 In general, detergents (20.2%, n=21) accounted for the majority of the calls involving households,
183 followed by caustic agents (16.3%, n=17), fertilizers (15.4%, n=16), antifreezes (7.7%, n=8) and
184 firelighters (6.7%, n=7).

185 The dog species accounted for the majority of the calls on household products (71.2%, n=74),
186 followed by the cat (26.9%, n=28) and just 2 enquiries (1.9%) were about rabbits (Figure 8). As for
187 dogs, the majority of the cases were due to the exposure to fertilizers and detergents (20.3%,
188 n=15 and 18.9%, n=14, respectively), followed by caustic agents such as strong acids and bases,
189 anti-limescales and bleaches (16.2%, n=12). Other frequent implicated classes of compounds were
190 antifreezes (mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (8.1%, n=6 each). Concerning cats, many
191 enquiries were about detergents (25%, n=7), caustic agents (anti-limescales, bleach and sodium

192 hydroxide, 17.9%, n=5), essential oils (liquid potpourri for home fragrance, 14.3%, n=4) and
193 antifreezes (7.1%, n=2). The 2 calls received on rabbits were about the ingestion of a firelighter
194 and a washable mural paint.

195

196 **3.1.4 Other causative agents**

197 Other causative agents are reported in Table 3. Among those, a chemiluminescent glow-stick was
198 responsible of an intoxication in a cat which ingested its liquid content. The ingestion of a
199 firecracker by a dog was reported to cause vomiting and sensory alterations (the animal was
200 lethargic/comatose). These 2 cases had positive outcomes whereas a fatal episode was reported in
201 a dog after the ingestion of coal tar, due to aspiration pneumonia.

202

203 **3.2 Clinical signs**

204 The most frequent clinical signs due to toxicant exposure were gastrointestinal (mainly vomiting),
205 neurological (especially convulsions, tremors and ataxia) and cardiological (arrhythmias,
206 bradycardia and tachycardia) signs. Death occurred in 7.4% of the cases with a known outcome.
207 Household products (53.3%, n=8), pesticides (20%, n=3) and drugs (20%, n=3) were the most
208 common causes of death (Table 4).

209

210 **4. Discussion**

211 This work aims to provide an overview on animal exposure to toxicants (drugs, households and
212 pesticides). Keeping a systematic and up-to-date collection of these data is crucial, not only for the
213 clinical management of this type of intoxications but, other than that, to maintain the attention
214 high on the issue of environmental safety, which connects humans, animals and ecosystems, also
215 in the view to perform a comprehensive evaluation of the toxicological risks.

216 In this context, animals may play a fundamental role as bioindicators for the determination and
217 assessment of environmental toxicants (Bertero et al., 2020b; Bischoff et al., 2010; Braouezec et
218 al., 2016; Henriquez-Hernandez et al., 2017; Serpe et al., 2018; Srebocan et al., 2019). Moreover,
219 animals have shown to be very sensitive to the detrimental health effects of environmental
220 pollutants, often more than humans, being also able to furnish key information on the rise of
221 emerging toxicants (Gulson et al., 2009; Tsuchiya, 1992).

222 Results on toxicant exposure collected in this paper are quite similar to those previously reported
223 in Italy and in other European countries (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a;
224 Bertero et al., 2020b; Caloni et al., 2018; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; McFarland et al.,
225 2017; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et
226 al., 2007), but some peculiarities and new trends are emerging.

227 From national perspective, a great number of calls were from the Northern part of Italy (*i.e.* from
228 Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia Romagna) but Southern and Central regions are also well
229 represented since a remarkable number of enquiries had been received from these territories,
230 enabling to outline a fair view of the phenomenon at a national level.

231 Most of the toxicology consultations were related to dogs and cats (Figure 2), revealing a better
232 predisposition for pet owners and veterinarians to use the CAV consultation service, maybe
233 because these figures are more likely to know the existence of this opportunity. The majority of
234 the enquiries related to dogs were due to the exposure to pesticides and drugs, followed by
235 household products (Figure 3), whereas for the feline species households, followed by drugs and
236 pesticides, have been identified as the major culprits. A similar situation has been reported in a
237 previous work by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), in which the data collected from 2000 to 2010 revealed
238 that pesticides and drugs, followed by household products were the toxic classes most frequently
239 involved in calls related to suspected animal poisonings. A similar trend has been observed in

240 Europe, where pest control substances and drugs are common causative agents of poisoning in
241 pets, followed by other toxicants such as household products (Caloni et al., 2018). In particular,
242 Vandenbroucke *et al.* (Vandenbroucke et al., 2010) reported pesticides, followed by drugs and
243 households, as the major causes of intoxication in dogs, whereas medicinal products (21.8 %) and
244 pesticides (17.3%) were among the top three toxicant categories involved in toxicology
245 consultations received by German Poison Centers (McFarland et al., 2017). In France, data analysis
246 of the enquiries received by the Centre National d'Informations Toxicologiques Vétérinaires
247 (CNITV) of the College of Veterinary Medicine in Lyon identified pesticides, drugs and pollutants
248 (*i.e.* hydrocarbons, detergents, antifreezes, etc.) as the major three toxicant classes involved in
249 intoxications of domestic carnivores (Barbier, 2005). In Switzerland, medicinal products followed
250 by pesticides are indicated as the most frequent causes of poisoning in dogs, whereas for cats,
251 drugs (mainly veterinary medicines) but also household products (especially cleaning agents) have
252 been implicated (Schediwy et al., 2015).

253 Concerning pesticides, insecticides (Figure 4) were the most involved class of compounds. Among
254 them (Figure 5), pyrethrins/pyrethroids were the predominant agents of poisoning in dogs,
255 followed by neonicotinoids, whereas just few cases involved carbamates. These data confirm the
256 findings reported by Caloni *et al.* (Caloni et al., 2016), who described the exposure to
257 pyrethrins/pyrethroids as the primary cause of insecticide poisoning in pets delineating a new
258 tendency since previous trends have seen carbamates as one of the most frequent cause of
259 insecticide poisoning. Indeed, in the dog species, anticholinesterase insecticides (carbamates and
260 organophosphates) were reported as the most commonly found insecticide compounds in a
261 previous epidemiological study on animal poisoning by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), and the same
262 class has been indicated among the major causes of insecticide poisoning in many European
263 papers (Barbier, 2005; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2018; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Ruiz-
264 Suárez et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007). Besides, in this scenario,
265 neonicotinoids appear as emerging molecules in our study, with many cases recorded in dogs
266 (Figure 5). Moreover organochlorines, insecticides that are still responsible of pet intoxications
267 (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016;
268 Martínez-Haro et al., 2008), have not been found as a cause of animal poisoning in this study,
269 whereas a case concerning the exposure to chlorfenapyr, a novel pyrrole insecticide (Ngufor et al.,
270 2016), has been reported in a dog (Figure 5). On the other hand, the toxicology consultations
271 related to insecticide intoxications in the feline species were mainly due to neonicotinoid
272 (acetamiprid and imidacloprid) intoxications and just one case involved pyrethroids (deltamethrin)
273 (Figure 5). Even if only 3 cases of insecticide poisoning have been recorded for this species in the
274 present study, these data may be interesting, introducing possible new trends on causative agents
275 since, besides the most frequently reported anticholinesterase and pyrethrin/pyrethroid
276 intoxication episodes (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; Giuliano Albo and
277 Nebbia, 2004; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015), neonicotinoids seem to
278 emerge among the main causes of insecticide poisoning (Caloni et al., 2016). The reasons of this
279 rise may lay on the relatively low toxicity towards mammals, in the face of a high toxicity towards
280 insects (Goulson, 2013), together with a great versatility (various formulations are available, for
281 home gardening and for indoor use as baits).

282 As for rodenticides (Figure 6), anticoagulant compounds and in particular second generation
283 molecules such as bromadiolone and difenacoum remained a major cause of intoxication, due to
284 their widespread use, confirming previous findings from Italy and other European countries
285 (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; McFarland et al., 2017;
286 Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015). Non-anticoagulant rodenticides were found
287 responsible of just 2 poisoning episodes, one due to the exposure to α -chloralose and the other to

288 thallium, thus, despite the restrictions applied to the use of the latter as a rodenticide in many
289 countries, this molecule is still responsible of poisoning cases. Interestingly, no rodenticide
290 intoxications have been reported in cats: all the enquiries on these compounds involved dogs,
291 species that is known to be more subject to rodenticide poisoning (Berny et al., 2010b; Caloni et
292 al., 2016; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). Metaldehyde was the only molluscicide compound related
293 to animal intoxication and it was responsible of 6.6% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure
294 4), percentage that is in line with those detected in another recent study performed in Italy
295 (Bertero et al., 2020a). In this regard it seems that metaldehyde intoxication, which sees in the
296 domestic carnivores the target species (Bertero et al., 2020a), is undergoing a slight decrease in
297 comparison with data from previous Italian studies (Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016), even if
298 it continues to be a major issue in Italy as well as in other European countries (Caloni et al., 2018;
299 Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al.,
300 2007), probably because of the palatability and wide availability that characterize this compound.
301 As reported also by other authors (Barbier, 2005; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016;
302 Vandenbroucke et al., 2010), glyphosate was the herbicide most frequently involved in animal
303 poisoning episodes, mainly in cats and dogs, while other compounds (synthetic auxins, dicamba
304 and metribuzin) were involved only sporadically. With regard to glyphosate, attention must be
305 paid to the formulations available in the market since it seems that the toxicity of this molecule is
306 influenced (and increased) by the surfactants/adjuvants (*i.e.* polyoxyethylene amine) added in the
307 commercial products (Coalova et al., 2014; Cortinovis et al., 2015). In accordance with other data
308 from European literature (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al.,
309 2016), the fungicide implicated in the highest number of enquiries was copper sulphate, together
310 with dodine. Additional involved compounds were ziram and dicopper chloride trihydroxide,
311 which have also been reported in cases of fungicide intoxications by other authors (Barbier, 2005;
312 Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016).

313 Drugs (Figure 7) generally account for a great number of intoxications in domestic animals, mainly
314 because of owner improper/off-lab use (*i.e.* administration without a prescription) or accidental
315 ingestion (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; McFarland et
316 al., 2017; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). In the
317 present work, the dog was the species most affected (86.6% of the calls), with the majority of the
318 enquiries concerning exposure to human drugs (Table 1) (86.6%; mainly CNS drugs, NSAIDs and
319 alpha/beta blockers) and just few toxicology consultations (7.8%, n=8) related to veterinary drugs
320 (Table 2) (parasiticides and NSAIDs). These results are in line with those of a previous survey by
321 CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), which reported CNS drugs and NSAIDs as the classes of human medicines
322 most involved in dog intoxications. Similar results were obtained in another study by CAV (Caloni
323 et al., 2014) and in other surveys performed by European authors (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al.,
324 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Schediwy et al., 2015), probably because of to the widespread use of
325 these drugs by people. As for the cats, this species accounted for a lower number of drug
326 intoxications (13.4%); human medicines (Table 1) were again the principal cause of poisoning
327 (75%; CNS drugs, muscle relaxers, NSAIDs), followed by veterinary drugs (Table 2) (25%; mainly
328 parasiticides). In addition, an interesting case was related to the oral exposure of a cat to feline
329 facial pheromones, which led to an acute intoxication with respiratory symptoms that ended with
330 a positive outcome but draw attention to the toxicological aspects connected to these relatively
331 new products (pheromones). Previous data from CAV (Caloni et al., 2012) reported, for this
332 species, several cases of misuse of veterinary parasiticides (mainly pyrethroids and in particular
333 permethrin-based spot on) together with episodes of acetaminophen intoxications, and similar
334 results have been reported by other authors (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; McFarland et
335 al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015). Therefore, our data seem to differ from those of many European

336 researches that found veterinary parasiticides as the major culprit of drug intoxications in cats
337 (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; McFarland et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015), while,
338 considering all the enquiries on drugs, our data are in line with the general tendency reported in
339 the European literature which sees the parasiticides as the major class of veterinary drugs
340 involved in animal poisoning (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; McFarland
341 et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015), and CNS drugs and NSAIDs as the human medicines most
342 frequently implicated (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012;
343 McFarland et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). The dog was the only
344 species exposed to drugs of abuse (Figure 7), with percentages similar to those detected in a
345 previous paper by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012). Household products accounted for a large number of
346 enquiries (Figure 3), being the domestic environment reach in potentially toxic chemicals, whose
347 numerousness and assortment is continuously increasing due to the incessant placing on the
348 market of new products. Detergents accounted for the majority of the enquiries involving
349 households, followed by caustic agents, fertilizers, antifreezes (mainly ethylene glycol) and
350 firelighters (Figure 8), results that are in accordance with those reported in a previous
351 epidemiological study performed by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012) and in many researches carried out
352 around Europe (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010b; Caloni et al., 2018; McFarland et al., 2017;
353 Schediwy et al., 2015). Dogs accounted for the majority of the enquiries on households, followed
354 by cats, and just 2 enquiries were related to rabbits (Figure 8). In dogs, fertilizers (20.3%) and
355 detergents (18.9%) were the major culprits, but also caustic agents (16.2%), antifreezes (8.1%,
356 mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (8.1%) were among the most frequent causes. In cats, the
357 greatest number of calls were about detergents (25%), followed by caustic agents (17.9%),
358 essential oils (liquid potpourri, 14.3%) and antifreezes (7.1%). Interestingly, with regard to the
359 feline species, essential oils emerged as a frequent cause of poisoning incidents. In literature a
360 general tendency seems to depict detergents as often involved both in cat and dog intoxications
361 (Caloni et al., 2018; Giuliano Albo and Nebbia, 2004; McFarland et al., 2017), as in our work,
362 whereas fuel (petroleum distillate) intoxications seem to affect particularly cats (just one case
363 recorded in our study, no cases in dogs) (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Giuliano Albo and
364 Nebbia, 2004), probably because of the grooming behavior of this species, which may lead to a
365 high oral absorption. As for ethylene glycol, intoxications are frequently observed in the dog (5
366 cases in the present work) as well as in the feline species (2 cases concerning cats have been
367 observed in our survey) (Amoroso et al., 2017; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Potter et al.,
368 2015). Moreover, it should be noted that household products were the major cause of fatal
369 poisoning incidents in this study (53.3%)(Table 4), and in particular ethylene glycol alone
370 accounted for 26.7% of the recorded fatal cases, which is in line with the high mortality rate
371 generally observed for this compound (Bates, 2016; Berny et al., 2010a; García-Ortuño et al.,
372 2006; Popa et al., 2018; Schweighauser and Francey, 2016).

373 Other causative agents (Table 3) involved in animal intoxications included one episode due to the
374 ingestion by a cat of the liquid content of a chemiluminescent glow-stick (plastic rods used as
375 decorative items that sparkle in the dark as a result of a chemical reaction). Indeed these products
376 are becoming a popular fashion accessory, particularly among young people, and cases of
377 intoxication are sprouting up in pets (Schediwy et al., 2015) as well as in humans, particularly
378 children (Cairns et al., 2018; Garnier et al., 2012). In our study the cat exposed developed, one
379 hour after the ingestion, vomiting and reddening of the oral mucosa, symptoms that are similar to
380 those (hypersalivation, retching/vomiting, hyperemia of the oral mucosa) described in other
381 episodes in literature and that are due to the irritant effects exerted by the liquid content
382 (Schediwy et al., 2015). However, even if the symptoms in case of an accidental acute exposure
383 are reported to be not severe and the outcome favorable, attention should be paid to this

384 emerging product, since the chemiluminescent dyes are usually composed of polycyclic aromatic
385 hydrocarbons (PAH) and phthalates, substances that may pose cancerogenic, genotoxic and
386 reprotoxic risks (Garnier et al., 2012). Other reported causes of intoxication are fireworks/
387 firecrackers. In our work, a dog developed vomit and a comatose state after the ingestion of
388 firecrackers and in the literature episodes of animal poisoning caused by explosives (mainly due to
389 components such as cyclonite, barium, and chlorate (Gahagan and Wismer, 2018)) are also
390 described (Stanley et al., 2019), sometimes with a fatal outcome (Schediwy et al., 2015). Two
391 enquiries were related to coal tar ingestion by dogs, in one case the animal developed
392 gastrointestinal symptoms with a favorable outcome, whereas the other developed a fatal
393 aspiration pneumonia. Cases of coal tar-related poisoning have been reported in farm as well as in
394 domestic animals (Osweiler, 2013). Symptoms may change in relation to the particular
395 composition of the coal tar but in general acute/chronic hepatic damage and eventually renal
396 tubular damage (due to the presence of phenolic components) are observed (Osweiler, 2013).
397

398 **5. Conclusion**

399 Animals are greatly affected by environmental toxicants and may play a crucial role as
400 bioindicators. Indeed, toxico-epidemiological studies on animal poisoning can be useful tools to
401 identify, monitor and anticipate environmental, human and animal health hazards, through a one
402 health approach.

403 The data collected in this work provide a complete and up-to-date overview on toxicant (drugs,
404 households and pesticides) exposure in animals. The observed trends in the major toxicant
405 categories share similarities with those reported in previous Italian and European studies, but
406 some peculiarities and new tendencies are emerging, stressing the need to perform a continuous
407 surveillance to carry out a proper and comprehensive risk evaluation on environmental pollutants.
408

409 **Aknowledgement**

410 The authors are very grateful to Francesca Assisi, Maurizio Bissoli, Rossana Borghini, Valeria
411 Dimasi, Marcello Ferruzzi, Paola Angela Moro, Antonella Pirina, Ilaria Rebutti, Angelo Roberto
412 Travaglia, Fabrizio Maria Sesana, Giovanni Milanese, Joannhe Georgatos and Paolo Severgnini.
413

414 **Declaration of competing interest**

415 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or
416 not-for-profit sectors.
417

418 **References**

- 419 Amoroso L, Cocumelli C, Bruni G, Brozzi A, Tancredi F, Grifoni G, et al. Ethylene glycol toxicity: a
420 retrospective pathological study in cats. *Veterinaria Italiana* 2017; 53: 251-254.
421 doi:10.12834/VetIt.1159.6409.2.
- 422 Barbier N. Bilan d'activité du Centre National d'Informations Toxicologiques Vétérinaires pour
423 l'année 2003. Lyon, 2005, pp. 220.
- 424 Bates N. Ethylene glycol poisoning. *Companion Animal* 2016; 21: 95-99.
425 doi:10.12968/coan.2016.21.2.95.
- 426 Berny P, Caloni F, Croubels S, Sachana M, Vandenbroucke V, Davanzo F, et al. Animal poisoning in
427 Europe. Part 2: Companion animals. *The Veterinary Journal* 2010a; 183: 255-259.
428 doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.03.034.
- 429 Berny P, Velardo J, Pulce C, D'Amico A, Kammerer M, Lasseur R. Prevalence of anticoagulant
430 rodenticide poisoning in humans and animals in France and substances involved. *Clinical*
431 *Toxicology* 2010b; 48: 935-941. doi:10.3109/15563650.2010.533678.

432 Bertero A, Chiari M, Vitale N, Zanoni M, Faggionato E, Biancardi A, et al. Types of pesticides
433 involved in domestic and wild animal poisoning in Italy. *Sci Total Environ* 2020a; 707:
434 136129. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136129.

435 Bertero A, Fossati P, Caloni F. Indoor poisoning of companion animals by chemicals. *Science of The*
436 *Total Environment* 2020b. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139366.

437 Bischoff K, Priest H, Mount-Long A. Animals as sentinels for human lead exposure: a case report.
438 *Journal of medical toxicology : official journal of the American College of Medical*
439 *Toxicology* 2010; 6: 185-189. doi:10.1007/s13181-010-0014-9.

440 Braouezec C, Enriquez B, Blanchard M, Chevreuil M, Teil MJ. Cat serum contamination by
441 phthalates, PCBs, and PBDEs versus food and indoor air. *Environmental Science and*
442 *Pollution Research* 2016; 23: 9574-9584. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6063-0.

443 Cairns R, Brown JA, Dawson AH, Davis W, Buckley NA. Carols by glow sticks: a retrospective
444 analysis of Poisons Information Centre data. *Med J Aust* 2018; 209: 505-508.

445 Caloni F, Berny P, Croubels S, Sachana M, Guitart R. Chapter 3 - Epidemiology of Animal Poisonings
446 in Europe. In: Gupta RC, editor. *Veterinary Toxicology (Third Edition)*. Academic Press,
447 2018, pp. 45-56.

448 Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Pizzo F, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Epidemiological study (2006–2012) on the
449 poisoning of small animals by human and veterinary drugs. *Veterinary Record* 2014; 174:
450 222. doi:10.1136/vr.102107.

451 Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Animal poisoning in Italy: 10 years of epidemiological
452 data from the Poison Control Centre of Milan. *Vet Rec* 2012; 170: 415.
453 doi:10.1136/vr.100210.

454 Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Suspected poisoning of domestic animals by
455 pesticides. *Science of The Total Environment* 2016; 539: 331-336.
456 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.09.005.

457 Coalova I, Ríos de Molina MdC, Chaufan G. Influence of the spray adjuvant on the toxicity effects
458 of a glyphosate formulation. *Toxicology in Vitro* 2014; 28: 1306-1311.
459 doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2014.06.014.

460 Cortinovis C, Davanzo F, Rivolta M, Caloni F. Glyphosate-surfactant herbicide poisoning in
461 domestic animals: an epidemiological survey. *Veterinary Record* 2015; 176: 413.
462 doi:10.1136/vr.102763.

463 Gahagan P, Wismer T. Toxicology of Explosives and Fireworks in Small Animals. *Vet Clin North Am*
464 *Small Anim Pract* 2018; 48: 1039-1051. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2018.06.007.

465 García-Ortuño LE, Bouda J, Jardón HG, Morales E. Clinical-pathological diagnosis of ethylene glycol
466 poisoning: A case report. *Vet Mex* 2006; 37: 9.

467 Garnier R, Manel J, de Bels F, Blanc-Brisset I, Nisse P, Saviuc P, et al. Abstracts of the 2012
468 International Congress of the European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical
469 Toxicologists, 25 May–1 June 2012, London, UK. *Clinical Toxicology* 2012; 50: 273-366.
470 doi:10.3109/15563650.2012.669957.

471 Giuliano Albo A, Nebbia C. Incidence of Poisonings in Domestic Carnivores in Italy. *Veterinary*
472 *Research Communications* 2004; 28: 83-88. doi:10.1023/B:VERC.0000045383.84386.77.

473 Goulson D. REVIEW: An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides.
474 *Journal of Applied Ecology* 2013; 50: 977-987. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12111.

475 Gulson B, Korsch M, Matisons M, Douglas C, Gillam L, McLaughlin V. Windblown lead carbonate as
476 the main source of lead in blood of children from a seaside community: an example of local
477 birds as "canaries in the mine". *Environ Health Perspect* 2009; 117: 148-54.
478 doi:10.1289/ehp.11577.

479 Henriquez-Hernandez LA, Carreton E, Camacho M, Montoya-Alonso JA, Boada LD, Martin VB, et al.
480 Potential Role of Pet Cats As a Sentinel Species for Human Exposure to Flame Retardants.
481 *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 2017; 4. doi:10.3389/fvets.2017.00079.

482 Martínez-Haro M, Mateo R, Guitart R, Soler-Rodríguez F, Pérez-López M, María-Mojica P, et al.
483 Relationship of the toxicity of pesticide formulations and their commercial restrictions with
484 the frequency of animal poisonings. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 2008; 69: 396-
485 402. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.05.006.

486 McFarland SE, Mischke RH, Hopster-Iversen C, von Krueger X, Ammer H, Potschka H, et al.
487 Systematic account of animal poisonings in Germany, 2012-2015. *Vet Rec* 2017; 180: 327.
488 doi:10.1136/vr.103973.

489 Modrá H, Svobodová Z. Incidence of animal poisoning cases in the Czech Republic: current
490 situation. *Interdisciplinary toxicology* 2009; 2: 48-51. doi:10.2478/v10102-009-0009-z.

491 Ngufor C, Critchley J, Fagbohoun J, N'Guessan R, Todjinou D, Rowland M. Chlorfenapyr (A Pyrrole
492 Insecticide) Applied Alone or as a Mixture with Alpha-Cypermethrin for Indoor Residual
493 Spraying against Pyrethroid Resistant *Anopheles gambiae* sl: An Experimental Hut Study in
494 Cove, Benin. *PLOS ONE* 2016; 11: e0162210. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162210.

495 Osweiler GD. Overview of Coal-Tar Products Poisoning. *MSD MANUAL Veterinary Manual*, 2013.

496 Popa AM, Goanta AM, Fernoaga C, Ionita L, Codreanu M. Clinical-diagnosis coordinates in ethylene
497 glycol intoxication in a cat. Case study. *Scientific Works. Series C. Veterinary Medicine*
498 2018; 64: 91-94.

499 Potter A, Yeates J, Gaines S. Diagnosis and reporting of antifreeze poisoning. *Veterinary Record*
500 2015; 177: 630. doi:10.1136/vr.h6831.

501 Ruiz-Suárez N, Boada LD, Henríquez-Hernández LA, González-Moreo F, Suárez-Pérez A, Camacho
502 M, et al. Continued implication of the banned pesticides carbofuran and aldicarb in the
503 poisoning of domestic and wild animals of the Canary Islands (Spain). *Science of The Total*
504 *Environment* 2015; 505: 1093-1099. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.093.

505 Schediwy M, Mevissen M, Demuth D, Kupper J, Naegeli H. [New causes of animal poisoning in
506 Switzerland]. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd* 2015; 157: 147-52. doi:10.17236/sat00011.

507 Schweighauser A, Francey T. Ethylene glycol poisoning in three dogs: Importance of early diagnosis
508 and role of hemodialysis as a treatment option. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd* 2016; 158: 109-14.
509 doi:10.17236/sat00051.

510 Serpe FP, Fiorito F, Esposito M, Ferrari A, Fracassi F, Miniero R, et al. Polychlorobiphenyl levels in
511 the serum of cats from residential flats in Italy: Role of the indoor environment. *Journal of*
512 *Environmental Science and Health Part a-Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental*
513 *Engineering* 2018; 53: 777-785. doi:10.1080/10934529.2018.1445079.

514 Srebocan E, Rafaj RB, Crnic AP, Mrljak V. Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ether congeners in the
515 serum of dogs as a potential indicator of environmental pollution and human exposure-
516 short communication. *Veterinarski Arhiv* 2019; 89: 247-255. doi:10.24099/vet.arhiv.0093.

517 Stanley MK, Kelers K, Boller E, Boller M. Acute barium poisoning in a dog after ingestion of
518 handheld fireworks (party sparklers). *Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care*
519 2019; 29: 201-207. doi:10.1111/vec.12820.

520 Tsuchiya K. Historical perspectives in occupational medicine. The discovery of the causal agent of
521 minamata disease. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 1992; 21: 275-280.
522 doi:10.1002/ajim.4700210215.

523 Vandenbroucke V, van Pelt H, Backer P, Croubels S. Animal poisonings in Belgium: A review of the
524 past decade. *Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift* 2010; 79: 259-268.

525 Wang Y, Kruzik P, Helsberg A, Helsberg I, Rausch W-D. Pesticide poisoning in domestic animals and
526 livestock in Austria: A 6 years retrospective study. *Forensic Science International* 2007;
527 169: 157-160. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.08.008.

528

529 **Figure captions**

530 **Figure 1.** Geographical distribution in Italy of the enquiries received by the Poison Control Centre
531 of Milan (CAV) during the period January 2017 - March 2019 on animal exposures to drugs,
532 households and pesticides.

533 **Figure 2.** Species involved in suspected poisoning by drugs, households and pesticides, according
534 to the calls received by the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) during the period January 2017 -
535 March 2019.

536 **Figure 3.** Classes of toxicants (drugs, households and pesticides) involved in suspected animal
537 poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.

538 **Figure 4.** Pesticide poisoning (calls) in animals. Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV), data from
539 January 2017 to March 2019.

540 **Figure 5.** Classes of insecticides involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control
541 Centre of Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.

542 **Figure 6.** Rodenticides involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of
543 Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.

544 **Figure 7.** Drugs (including human and veterinary medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine and drugs
545 of abuse) involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV),
546 data from January 2017 to March 2019.

547 **Figure 8.** Households involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of
548 Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.

549

550