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## Numdam

# ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE ROOTS OF POLYNOMIALS 

by F. AMOROSO and M. MIGNOTTE

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we are interested in the angular distribution of the roots of univariate polynomials. To explain our results we need to recall some definitions. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ is a finite sequence of points in $[0,2 \pi)$, we define the absolute discrepancy of this sequence by

$$
D\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\sup _{0 \leq \alpha<\beta<2 \pi}\left|\frac{\#\left\{j ; x_{j} \in[\alpha, \beta)\right\}}{N}-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2 \pi}\right|
$$

where \# denotes the cardinality of a set. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}=a_{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\rho_{j} e^{i \varphi_{j}}\right) \\
& a_{0} a_{n} \neq 0, \quad \rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

be a polynomial of degree $n$ with complex coefficients, where $\varphi_{j} \in[0,2 \pi)$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. For $0 \leq \alpha<\beta<2 \pi$, put $N(\alpha, \beta)=\#\left\{j ; \varphi_{j} \in[\alpha, \beta)\right\}$. We are interested in the distribution of the points $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$. With the previous notations,

$$
D\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right)=\sup _{0 \leq \alpha<\beta<2 \pi}\left|\frac{N(\alpha, \beta)}{n}-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2 \pi}\right|
$$

[^0]to simplify the notation we put
$$
D_{P}=D\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right)
$$
which we call absolute discrepancy of the roots of $P$.
The first result on $D_{P}$ was obtained by Erdös and Turán:
Theorem A. - With the above notations, for $0 \leq \alpha<\beta<2 \pi$, we have
$$
\left|N(\alpha, \beta)-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2 \pi} n\right| \leq 16 \sqrt{n \log \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}}}
$$
where $|P|=\max _{|z|=1}|P(z)|$.
In other words,
$$
D_{P} \leq 16 \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}}}
$$

The proof of [ET] consists in solving several extremal problems on polynomials, using orthogonal polynomials. A few years later, Ganelius [G] proved a general theorem on conjugate functions and showed that his theorem implies a sharpening of the Erdös-Turán, namely he could replace the constant 16 by $\sqrt{2 \pi / k}=2.5619 \ldots$, where $k=\sum_{0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m-1}(2 m+$ $1)^{-2}=0.915965594 \ldots$ is Catalan's constant.

The result of Ganelius is the following:
Theorem B. - Let $F=f+i \tilde{f}$ be an analytic function on $\mathbf{D}=\{|z|<1\}$ satisfying $F(0)=0$. Suppose that $f, \tilde{f}$ are real and $f<H$, $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta<K$ on $\mathbf{D}^{(*)}$. Then for $\beta>\alpha$ and $\rho<1$,

$$
\left|\tilde{f}\left(\rho e^{i \beta}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(\rho e^{i \alpha}\right)\right|<2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}} \cdot \sqrt{H K}
$$

For the convenience of the reader, we briefly explain how Theorem B implies Theorem A. Let us consider the polynomial

$$
Q(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-z \cdot e^{-i \varphi_{j}}\right)
$$

[^1]As remarked by Schur,

$$
\rho_{j}\left|1-\frac{e^{i t}}{\rho_{j} e^{i \varphi_{j}}}\right|^{2} \geq\left|1-e^{i\left(t-\varphi_{j}\right)}\right|^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
|Q| \leq \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}}
$$

Now let

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \log |Q(z)|, \quad \tilde{f}(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-z e^{-i \varphi_{j}}\right)
$$

and observe that the function $F(z)=f+i \tilde{f}$ is analytic on $\mathbf{D}$ and satisfies $F(0)=0$. We have $f \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log |Q|$ and $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta<n /(2 \pi)$. Moreover, it is easily seen that $\tilde{f}$ takes the boundary value

$$
\frac{n \theta}{2 \pi}-N(0, \theta)+C(Q)
$$

where

$$
C(Q)=\frac{n}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{\varphi_{j}}{2 \pi}\right\}
$$

Henceforth Theorem B gives

$$
D_{P}<\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{k}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log |Q|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{k}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}}}
$$

Theorem B was sharpened much later in [M], where, under the same hypotheses, it is proved that

$$
\left|\tilde{f}\left(\rho e^{i \beta}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(\rho e^{i \alpha}\right)\right|<2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k} \tilde{H} K}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{H}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u^{+}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \leq \max u^{+}
$$

and $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$.
Let us define $\tilde{h}(P)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log ^{+}\left|P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta$. Since

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log ^{+}\left|Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log ^{+} \frac{\left|P\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}} d \theta
$$

Mignotte's result leads to a version of Erdös-Turán's theorem where $\log \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}}$ is replaced by $\tilde{h}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}}\right)$. It is worth remarking that $\tilde{h}(P)$
can be much smaller than $\log |P|$ : for a discussion on the relations between these two measures, see [A].

In Section 3 we give a new (very short) proof of the result of [M], using a theorem of Kolmogorov on conjugate functions (see Section 2 for definitions and properties of conjugate and harmonic functions).

Recently, Blatt obtained a sharpening of Theorem A for square-free polynomials. He proved the following result:

Theorem C. - Let $P(z)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n$ with all its roots $z_{j}$ on the unit circle. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P| \leq A \quad \text { and } \quad\left|P^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{B}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $A, B>1$. Then,

$$
D_{P} \leq c \frac{(\log n) \log C_{n}}{n}
$$

where $c$ is some (non computed) absolute constant and $C_{n}=\max \{A, B, n\}$.
A similar statement holds for polynomials vanishing only on $[-1,1]$. Totik improved this last result on $[-1,1]$ by replacing $\log n$ with $\log \left(n / \log C_{n}\right)$, provided that $\log C_{n} \leq n / 2$.

As noticed in Blatt's paper, Theorem $C$ is a direct consequence of the following:

Theorem D. - Let $P(z)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n$ with all its roots on the unit circle. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{P} \leq C \frac{\log n}{n} \max _{|z| \geq 1+n^{-8}}|\log | P(z)|-n \log | z| | \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|z^{-n} P(z)\right|=|P(1 / \bar{z})|$, inequality (1.2) is equivalent to

$$
D_{P} \leq\left. C \frac{\log n}{n}|\log | P(z)\right|_{1 /\left(1+n^{-8}\right)}
$$

In Section 4 we give a short and simple proof of the following theorem on conjugate functions:

Theorem E. - Let $f$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}=\{|z|<1\}$ and let assume that its conjugate function $\tilde{f}$ satisfies $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta \leq K$ on $\mathbf{D}$. Then, for any $r \in[1 / 2,1)$

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq \frac{6}{\pi}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-r}\right)|f|_{r}+4 \sqrt{3} K \frac{1-r}{r}
$$

If we choose as before $f=\frac{1}{\pi} \log |P|$, we obtain the following improvement of Theorem D:

Theorem $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. - Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ with all its roots on the unit circle. Then

$$
D_{P} \leq\left.\frac{12}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-r}\right)|\log | P\right|_{r}+\frac{8 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1-r}{r}, \quad r \in[1 / 2,1]
$$

This result implies the following improved version of Blatt's theorem:
Theorem $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. - Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial satisfying the assumptions of Theorem C. Then

$$
D_{P} \leq 13 \max \left\{1, \log \frac{2 n}{\log C_{n}}\right\} \frac{\log C_{n}}{n}
$$

The previous assertion is trivial if $\log C_{n}>\frac{n}{2}$. Assume that (1.1) holds and suppose $\log C_{n} \leq \frac{n}{2}$. We apply theorem $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ choosing $r=$ $1-\frac{\log C_{n}}{n}$. By the maximum principle $\log ^{+}|P|_{r} \leq \log ^{+} A$, while by the Lagrange interpolation formula

$$
1=\sum_{z_{j}, P\left(z_{j}\right)=0} \frac{P(z)}{P^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\left(z-z_{j}\right)}
$$

we have $\log ^{-}|P|_{r} \leq \log ^{+} \frac{n B}{1-r}$. Hence

$$
|\log | P \|_{r} \leq \max \left\{\log ^{+} A, \log ^{+} \frac{n B}{1-r}\right\} \leq \log C_{n}+\log \frac{n}{1-r}=\log \frac{n^{2} C_{n}}{\log C_{n}}
$$

Theorem $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{P} \leq \frac{12}{n \pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{2 n}{\log C_{n}}\right) \log \frac{n^{2} C_{n}}{\log C_{n}}+\frac{16 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} & \frac{\log C_{n}}{n} \\
\leq & 13 \max \left\{1, \log \frac{2 n}{\log C_{n}}\right\} \frac{\log C_{n}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We notice that the conformal mapping $z \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\left(z+\frac{1}{z}\right)$ which sends the unit circle onto $[-1,1]$ can be used to get similar results on the distribution of the roots of a polynomial vanishing only on $[-1,1]$.

In Section 5 we consider the problem of finding an upper bound for the maximum modulus of a polynomial depending on its degree and on the discrepancy. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem F. - Let $f$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}=\{|z|<1\}$ such that $f(0)=0$ and $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta \leq K$ on D. Let also

$$
\Delta=\max _{z, w \in \mathbf{D}}|\tilde{f}(z)-\tilde{f}(w)|
$$

Then

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{D}} f \leq \frac{\Delta}{\pi}\left(3+\log \frac{2 \pi K}{\Delta}\right)
$$

By applying the previous result to the function $f=\frac{1}{\pi} \log |P|$ we obtain:

Corollary. - Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ with all its roots on the unit circle and such that $P(0)=1$. Then

$$
\log |P| \leq n D_{P}\left(3+\log 1 / D_{P}\right)
$$

Finally, in Section 6 we discuss an extremal example.

## 2. Some results from harmonic analysis.

In this section we recall some basic facts on harmonic analysis. The standard reference of all definitions and results is the book of P. Koosis ([K]).

Let $f$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic real function on in $L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$. Then its Hilbert transform

$$
\tilde{f}(\theta)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(\theta-t)}{2 \tan t / 2} d t
$$

exists and is finite almost a.e. ( $=\underset{\tilde{f}}{ }$ almost everywhere). We call $\tilde{f}$ the conjugate function of $f$. Although $\tilde{f}$ does not belongs to $L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$ in general, we have the following theorem of Kolmogorov (as improved by Davis) which is very important for our purposes.

Theorem 2.1. - Let $f \in L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic real function and let $\tilde{f}$ be its conjugate. Then, for any positive $\lambda$,

$$
\mu\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi) ;|\tilde{f}|>\lambda\}<\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 k \lambda} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(\theta)| d \theta
$$

where $k$ is Catalan's constant. In this inequality, the constant $\pi^{2} / 8$ is the best possible.

Kolmogorov's proof gave no information about the best constant, which was obtained much later by Davis [D]. Also, see Baernstein [Ba] for another proof.

A real function $f(z)$ on the open disk $\mathbf{D}=\{|z|<1\}$ is harmonic if it is the real part of a function $F(z)$ analytic on $\mathbf{D}$. We notice that $F$ is unique to within an additive constant. The harmonic conjugate of $f$ is the real function $\tilde{f}$ such that $f+i \tilde{f}$ is analytic and $\tilde{f}(0)=0$. Given a function on $\mathbf{D}$, we often use the notation $f(r, \theta)=f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$. Let $f=\Re F$ with $F$ analytic on $\mathbf{D}$. Then the non-tangential limits

$$
f(\theta):=\lim _{\substack{\varphi \rightarrow \theta \\ r \rightarrow 1^{-}}} f(r, \varphi), \quad \tilde{f}(\theta):=\lim _{\substack{\varphi \rightarrow \theta \\ r \rightarrow 1^{-}}} \tilde{f}(r, \varphi)
$$

exist a.e. if $F$ belongs to the Hardy space $H_{1}$, i.e. if

$$
\sup _{0 \leq r<1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<+\infty
$$

Let $p \in(1, \infty)$. By a theorem of Riesz,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq r<1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(r, \theta)|^{p} d \theta<+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq r<1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\tilde{f}(r, \theta)|^{p} d \theta<+\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if (2.1) or (2.2) holds for some $p>1$, then $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$. In particular, if $f$ or $\tilde{f}$ are bounded, then $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$. If the non-tangential limit $f(\theta)$ exists, it is called the boundary value of $f$ and similarly for $\tilde{f}$.

Let, for $r \in[0,1)$ and $\theta \in R$,

$$
\mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta)=\frac{1-\rho^{2}}{1-2 \rho \cos \theta+\rho^{2}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta)=\frac{2 \rho \sin \theta}{1-2 \rho \cos \theta+\rho^{2}}
$$

be the Poisson kernel and the conjugate Poisson kernel. Then for any real harmonic function $f$ we have the Poisson representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho / r, \theta) f(r, \varphi-\theta) d \theta \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho / r, \theta) f(r, \varphi-\theta) d \theta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which hold for $0 \leq \rho<r<1$ and $\varphi \in R$. If $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$, then (2.3) and (2.4) still hold for $r=1$.

Let $g \in L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic real function and let $\tilde{g}$ its conjugate function. Then

$$
f(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) g(\varphi-\theta) d \theta
$$

is harmonic and its harmonic conjugate is

$$
\tilde{f}(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho / r, \theta) g(\varphi-\theta) d \theta
$$

Assume further $\tilde{g} \in L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$. Then the boundary values $f(\theta)$ and $\tilde{f}(\theta)$ both exist and

$$
f(\theta)=g(\theta), \quad \tilde{f}(\theta)=\tilde{g}(\theta) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

We also recall the following elementary inequalities which hold for all $\rho \in[0,1)$ and all $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \leq \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) \leq \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta)| \leq \frac{2 \rho}{1-\rho^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) d \theta=2 \operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \cdot \operatorname{tg} \frac{\theta}{2}\right)+\text { constant } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) d t=1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the conjugate kernel, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta) d \theta=\log \left(1-2 \rho \cos \theta+\rho^{2}\right)+\text { constant } \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta)| d \theta=4 \log \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Ganelius' theorem via Kolmogorov.

We begin this section by a very elementary lemma.
Lemma 3.1. - Let $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic function and suppose that there exists a constant $K$ such that

$$
g(\varphi+\varepsilon) \leq g(\varphi)+\varepsilon K
$$

for any $\varphi \in R$ and any $\varepsilon>0$. Assume further that for any positive number $\lambda$ the set

$$
E_{\lambda}=\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi) ;|g(\theta)|>\lambda\}
$$

satisfies

$$
\mu\left(E_{\lambda}\right)<\frac{C}{\lambda}
$$

where $\mu$ is Lebesgue measure and $C$ is some positive constant. Then

$$
\max |g| \leq 2 \sqrt{C K}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left|g^{+}\right|+\left|g^{-}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{2 C K}
$$

Proof. - Put $\lambda=\sqrt{C K}$ and $A=2 \sqrt{C K}$. We first want to prove that $|g(\varphi)| \leq A$ for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$.

If $\varphi \notin E_{\lambda}$ then $|g(\varphi)| \leq \lambda$ and we have nothing to prove. If $\varphi \in E_{\lambda}$, since $\mu\left(E_{\lambda}\right)<C \lambda^{-1}$, there exists $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that $\varepsilon_{1} \leq C \lambda^{-1}$ and $\varphi-\varepsilon_{1} \notin E_{\lambda}$, hence

$$
g(\varphi) \leq g\left(\varphi-\varepsilon_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} K \leq \lambda+\frac{C K}{\lambda}=A
$$

In the same way, there exists $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ such that $\varepsilon_{2} \leq C \lambda^{-1}$ and $\varphi+\varepsilon_{2} \notin E_{\lambda}$, which implies

$$
g(\varphi) \geq g\left(\varphi+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\varepsilon_{2} K \geq-\lambda-\frac{C K}{\lambda}=-A
$$

This proves the first assertion. To prove the second one consider the sets

$$
E_{\lambda}^{+}=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi) ; g^{+}(\theta)>\lambda\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{\lambda}^{-}=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi) ; g^{-}(\theta)>\lambda\right\}
$$

For any $\lambda>0$ and any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{R}$, the preceding argument leads to $g^{+}(\varphi)+g^{-}(\psi) \leq \lambda+K \mu\left(E_{\lambda}^{+}\right)+\lambda+K \mu\left(E_{\lambda}^{-}\right) \leq 2 \lambda+K \mu\left(E_{\lambda}\right) \leq 2 \lambda+\frac{K C}{\lambda}$, and the choice $\lambda=\sqrt{C K / 2}$ gives the second assertion. This concludes the proof.

We denote by $|f|_{r}$ the sup of $|f(z)|$ on $|z|=r$ and by $|f|$ the sup of $|f(z)|$ on $|z|=1$. When $f$ is real-valued we define the span of $f$ by the formula

$$
\Delta(f)=\left|f^{+}\right|+\left|f^{-}\right|
$$

where $f^{+}(x)=\max \{f(x), 0\}$ and $f^{-}(x)=\max \{-f(x), 0\}$. Trivially, $\Delta(f) \leq 2|f|$.

If we apply Kolmogorov's Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1 (with $g=\tilde{f}$ ), we get:

Theorem 3.1. - Let $f \in L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic real function and let $\tilde{f}$ be its conjugate. Suppose that there exists a positive constant $K$ such that

$$
\tilde{f}(\varphi+\varepsilon) \leq \tilde{f}(\varphi)+\varepsilon K
$$

for any $\varphi \in T$ and any $\varepsilon>0$. Let also

$$
\tilde{H}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(\theta)| d \theta
$$

Then,

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq \pi \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{k}} \cdot \sqrt{\tilde{H} K} \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta(\tilde{f}) \leq 2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}} \cdot \sqrt{\tilde{H} K}
$$

where $k$ is Catalan's constant.
One may notice that this result is essentially the same as the refinement of Ganelius theorem published in [M]. In fact, denote by the same letter $f$ the real harmonic function on $D$ whose boundary value coincide with $f$ almost everywhere. Then, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) d \theta=f(0)$. Hence, if we further assume $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\tilde{H}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(\theta)| d \theta=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{+}(\theta) d \theta \leq \max f^{+}
$$

## 4. On Blatt's theorem.

Let $g$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}$ and assume that there exists a constant $K$ such that $\partial g / \partial \theta<K$ on $\mathbf{D}$. The function $\rho \rightarrow|g|_{\rho}$ in general does not satisfy Lipschitz's condition. As an example, consider $g=\operatorname{Arg}(1-z)$. However, we have:

Lemma 4.1 ("Turn-growth lemma"). - Let $g$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}$ and assume that there exists a constant $K$ such that $\partial g / \partial \theta<K$ on $\mathbf{D}$. Then, for any $\rho \in[0,1)$,

$$
|g| \leq 3|g|_{\rho}+4 \sqrt{3} K \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}
$$

Proof. - Let $\varepsilon=2 \operatorname{arctg}\left(\sqrt{3} \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}\right) \in(0, \pi)$. Then, by (2.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) d \theta=\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \cdot \operatorname{tg} \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)=\frac{2}{3} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by (2.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\varepsilon<|\theta| \leq \pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) d \theta=1-\frac{2}{3}=\frac{1}{3} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume $|g|=-g(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$ (otherwise $|g|=\left|g^{+}\right|$and a similar argument applies). Since $g$ is bounded on $|z| \leq 1$, Poisson's Formula (2.3) applies and we have, by (4.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
-|g|_{\rho} & \leq g(\rho, \varphi+\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) g(\varphi+\varepsilon-\theta) d \theta \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) g(\varphi+\varepsilon-\theta) d \theta+\frac{1}{3}|g| \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By our assumption we have $g(\varphi+\varepsilon-\theta) \leq g(\varphi)+K(\varepsilon-\theta)$ for $\theta \leq \varepsilon$. Moreover $\mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta)>0$, whence, by (4.1),

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) g(\varphi+\varepsilon-\theta) d \theta \leq \frac{2}{3}(g(\varphi)+K \varepsilon)-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) \theta d \theta
$$

Since $\theta \mapsto \theta \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta)$ is odd we have $\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) \theta d \theta=0$ and we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta) g(\varphi+\varepsilon-\theta) d \theta \leq \frac{2}{3}(-|g|+K \varepsilon)
$$

Now (4.3) gives

$$
-|g|_{\rho} \leq \frac{2}{3}(-|g|+K \varepsilon)+\frac{1}{3}|g|=\frac{2}{3} K \varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}|g|
$$

and, since $\varepsilon \leq 2 \sqrt{3} \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}$,

$$
|g| \leq 3|g|_{\rho}+4 \sqrt{3} K \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}
$$

The next lemma is an easy consequence of Poisson's formula.

Lemma 4.2. - Let $f$ be a real harmonic function on $|z|<1$ and let $0<\rho<r<1$. Then,

$$
|\tilde{f}|_{\rho} \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log \frac{r+\rho}{r-\rho}\right)|f|_{r}
$$

Moreover, if $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$, we also have

$$
|\tilde{f}|_{\rho} \leq \frac{2 \rho}{1-\rho^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(\theta)| d \theta
$$

Proof. - By Poisson's formula (2.4)

$$
\tilde{f}(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho / r, \theta) f(r, \varphi-\theta) d \theta
$$

It follows by (2.11) that

$$
|\tilde{f}|_{\rho} \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log \frac{r+\rho}{r-\rho}\right)|f|_{r}
$$

Assume now $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$. Then Poisson's formula (2.4) still holds for $r=1$ and we find

$$
\tilde{f}(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta) f(\varphi-\theta) d \theta
$$

Therefore, by (2.7),

$$
|\tilde{f}(\rho, \varphi)| \leq \frac{2 \rho}{1-\rho^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(\theta)| d \theta
$$

Lemma 4.1 and the first part of Lemma 4.2 lead to Theorem E announced in the introduction:

Theorem 4.1. - Let $f$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}$ and let assume that its conjugate function $\tilde{f}$ satisfies $\partial \tilde{f} / \theta<K$ on $\mathbf{D}$. Then, for any $r \in[1 / 2,1)$

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq \frac{6}{\pi}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-r}\right)|f|_{r}+4 \sqrt{3} K \frac{1-r}{r}
$$

Proof. - Let $\rho, r$ such that $0 \leq \rho<r<1$. From Lemmas 2 and 3 we obtain

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq \frac{6}{\pi}\left(\log \frac{r+\rho}{r-\rho}\right)|f|_{\rho}+4 \sqrt{3} K \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}
$$

Now choose $\rho=2 r-1$.

The second part of Lemma 4.2 leads to an elementary proof (with a worse constant) of Ganelius-Mignotte's theorem:

Theorem 4.2. - Let $f$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}$ such that $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta<K$ on $\mathbf{D}$. Then

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq 4 \sqrt{3 \sqrt{3}} \cdot \sqrt{\tilde{H} K}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{H}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(\theta)| d \theta
$$

Proof. - From Lemma 4.1 (with $g=\tilde{f}$ ) and Lemma 4.2 (since $\tilde{f}$ is bounded, $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$ ) we obtain

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq \frac{12 \rho \tilde{H}}{1-\rho^{2}}+4 \sqrt{3} K \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}
$$

Let $\alpha, \beta>0$ and $u(\rho)=\frac{\alpha \rho}{1-\rho^{2}}+\frac{\beta(1-\rho)}{1+\rho}$. Then $\inf _{0<\rho<1} u(\rho) \leq \sqrt{\alpha \beta}$. In fact, if $\beta \leq \alpha$ we have $u(0)=\beta \leq \sqrt{\alpha \beta}$; otherwise $\rho_{0}=1-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \in(0,1)$ and $u\left(\rho_{0}\right)=\sqrt{\alpha \beta}$. Using this remark with $\alpha=12 \tilde{H}$ and $\beta=4 \sqrt{3} K$ we obtain

$$
|\tilde{f}| \leq \sqrt{6 \tilde{H} \cdot 4 \sqrt{3} K}=4 \sqrt{3 \sqrt{3} \tilde{H} K}<9.119 . \sqrt{\tilde{H} K}
$$

## 5. Upper bounds for $\max f$.

The aim of this section is to give an upper bound for the maximum of an harmonic function $f$ such that $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta$ is bounded on $D$.

Theorem 5.1. - Let $f$ be a real harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}$ such that $f(0)=0$ and $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta<K$ on $\mathbf{D}$ for some $K>0$. Then,

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{D}} f \leq \frac{\Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi}\left(3+2 \log \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \pi K}{\Delta(\tilde{f})}\right)
$$

Proof. - Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$ and let $\rho \in(0,1)$. We apply Poisson's formula (2.4) to the harmonic function $\tilde{f}$. Since $f(0)=0$ we have $\widetilde{f}=-f$.

Moreover, since $\tilde{f}$ is bounded, $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$ and (2.4) still holds with $r=1$. By using (2.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\rho, \varphi) & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta) \tilde{f}(\varphi-\theta) d \theta  \tag{5.1}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\rho, \theta)(\tilde{f}(\varphi+\theta)-\tilde{f}(\varphi-\theta)) d \theta \leq \frac{2 \Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial \theta=\rho(\partial f / \partial \rho)$, we have $f(\varphi) \leq f(\rho, \varphi)+K \log 1 / \rho$. Therefore (5.1) gives

$$
\max f \leq \frac{2 \Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi} \log \frac{2}{1-\rho}+K \log \frac{1}{\rho}
$$

Now choose $\rho=K \pi /(\Delta(\tilde{f})+K \pi)$. Since $\Delta(\tilde{f}) \leq 2 \pi K$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max f & \leq \frac{2 \Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi} \log \frac{2 \pi K}{\Delta(\tilde{f})}+\left(K+\frac{\Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi}\right) \log \left(1+\frac{\Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi K}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\Delta(\tilde{f})}{\pi}\left(3+2 \log \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \pi K}{\Delta(\tilde{f})}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We end this section with a further remark concerning harmonic functions.

Proposition 5.1. - Let $f$ be an harmonic function on $\mathbf{D}$ and assume that $f+i \tilde{f} \in H_{1}$. Then, for $0 \leq \rho<1$ and $\varphi \in R$,
(i) $\quad-\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{-}(\theta) d \theta \leq f(\rho, \varphi) \leq \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{+}(\theta) d \theta$.

Moreover, if $f(\theta) \leq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\rho, \varphi) \leq \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) d \theta \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - By Poisson's formula (2.3), for any $\rho \in(0,1)$ and for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
f(\rho, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta-\varphi) f(\theta) d \theta
$$

Thus, by (2.6)

$$
-\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{-}(\theta) d \theta \leq f(\rho, \varphi) \leq \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{+}(\theta) d \theta
$$

which proves (i).

Assume now $f(\theta) \leq 0$. Then
$-f(\rho, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{K}(\rho, \theta-\varphi)(-f(\theta)) d \theta \geq \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(-f(\theta)) d \theta$,
which leads to (ii).
Corollary 5.1. - Let $P$ be a polynomial with no zeros for $|z|<1$. Then, for $0 \leq \rho<1$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
-\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}(\tilde{h}(P)+\log \mathrm{M}(P)) \leq \log \left|P\left(\rho e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \times \tilde{h}(P)
$$

Proof. - Use (i) and the relation
$\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |P|=\log \mathrm{M}(P)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log ^{+}|P|-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log ^{-}|P|$.

Corollary 5.2. - Let $P$ be a polynomial with no zeros for $|z|<1$. Then, for $0 \leq r<1$,

$$
|P|_{r} \leq|P|^{\frac{2 r}{1+r}} \mathrm{M}(P)^{\frac{1-r}{1+r}}
$$

Proof. - This is an easy consequence of (ii).

## 6. An extremal example.

Let $x$ be a positive real number and consider the set $\Lambda_{x}$ of polynomials $P(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$ such that $a_{0} a_{n} \neq 0$ and

$$
\log \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}} \leq x \cdot n
$$

Let

$$
f(x)=\sup _{P \in \Lambda_{x}} D_{P}
$$

Then, $f$ is a non-decreasing function and Erdös-Turán's theorem implies the inequality

$$
f(x) \leq c \sqrt{x}, \quad c=\sqrt{2 \pi / k}
$$

The aim of this section is to prove that this inequality is essentially sharp.
Theorem 6.1. - For any $x \in(0,1 / 2)$ we have $f(x) \geq \sqrt{2 x}$.

Let $n, r$ two positive integers with $r<n$. By the results of [ET], $\S 14$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\frac{r\binom{n+r}{r}}{(1+z)^{r}} \int_{-1}^{z}(z-t)^{r-1}(1+t)^{r} t^{n-r} d t \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a monic polynomial of degree $n$ vanishing at -1 with multiplicity $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \|P\|=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=n-r+1}^{n} \log \left(1+\frac{r}{\nu}\right) \leq \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-r)} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|P\|$ is the euclidean norm of the polynomial $P$, i.e. the quadratic mean of the moduli of the coefficients of $P$. Moreover, by (6.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=P(0)=(-1)^{n-r} r\binom{n+r}{r} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{r} s^{n-1} d s=(-1)^{n-r} \frac{r}{n} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P$ has a root at -1 of multiplicity $\geq r$ we have $D_{P} \geq \frac{r}{n}$. On the other hand, by (6.2) and (6.3) we obtain

$$
\log \frac{|P|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0} a_{n}\right|}} \leq \log \frac{\sqrt{n}\|P\|}{\sqrt{\left|a_{0}\right|}} \leq \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-r)}+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n^{2}}{r} \leq \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-r)}+\log n
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{r}{n} \leq D_{P} \leq f\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2 n(n-r)}+\frac{\log n}{n}\right)
$$

Let now $x \in(0,1 / 2)$ and choose a sequence $\left(n_{k}, r_{k}\right)$ such that $n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{2 n_{k}\left(n_{k}-r_{k}\right)}+\frac{\log n_{k}}{n_{k}}
$$

increases to $x$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. Then we have $r_{k} / n_{k} \leq f(x)$ and, when $k \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\sqrt{2 x} \leq f(x)
$$
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