



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Defatted black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) in pikeperch (Sander lucioperca) diets: Effects on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, fillet quality, economic and environmental sustainability

 This is a pre print version of the following article:

 Original Citation:

 Availability:

 This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1877179

 since 2023-12-02T10:20:27Z

 Published version:

 DOI:10.1016/j.aninu.2022.06.022

 Terms of use:

 Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Defatted black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) in pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*) diets: Effects on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, fillet quality, economic and environmental sustainability

Vlastimil Stejskal, Hung Quang Tran, Markéta Prokesová, Mahyar Zare, Tatyana Gebauer, Tomas Policar, Christian Caimi, Francesco Gai, Laura Gasco

PII: S2405-6545(22)00124-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2022.06.022

Reference: ANINU 656

To appear in: Animal Nutrition Journal

Received Date: 24 August 2021

Revised Date: 13 May 2022

Accepted Date: 12 June 2022

Please cite this article as: Stejskal V, Tran HQ, Prokesová M, Zare M, Gebauer T, Policar T, Caimi C, Gai F, Gasco L, Defatted black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) in pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*) diets: Effects on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, fillet quality, economic and environmental sustainability, *Animal Nutrition Journal*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2022.06.022.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd.



1	Defatted black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) in pikeperch (Sander lucioperca) diets:
2	Effects on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, fillet quality, economic and
3	environmental sustainability
4	
5	Vlastimil Stejskal ^a , Hung Quang Tran ^a , Markéta Prokesová ^a , Mahyar Zare ^a , Tatyana Gebauer ^a ,
6	Tomas Policar ^a , Christian Caimi ^b , Francesco Gai ^{c.} *, Laura Gasco ^b
7	
8	^a University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of
9	Waters, South Bohemian Research Center of Aquaculture and Biodiversity of Hydrocenoses,
10	Husova tř. 458/102, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic
11	^b University of Torino, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, Largo P. Braccini
12	2, 10095 Grugliasco, Italy
13	^c National Research Council, Institute of Sciences of Food Production, Largo P. Braccini 2,
14	10095 Grugliasco, Italy
15	
16	*Corresponding author.
17	Email address: <u>francesco.gai@ispa.cnr.it</u> (F. Gai)
18	

19

20 Abstract

21 The use of insect meal in aquafeed formulations has recently gained attention. Detailed knowledge about the inclusion levels for pikeperch (Sander lucioperca), a promising candidate 22 23 for intensive aquaculture in Europe remains, however, fragmented. In the present study, 4 24 isoproteic (45% dry matter) and isoenergetic (21 MJ/kg) diets were formulated, including a 25 control diet (H0) containing 30% fishmeal (FM) on an as fed basis and the other 3 diets in which 26 FM protein was replaced by defatted black soldier fly meal (*Hemetia illucens*) (HIM) at 25%, 27 50%, and 100% (diet abbreviation H9, H18 and H36, corresponding to an inclusion level of 9%, 18% and 36%, respectively). The feeding trial was performed in triplicate groups of 50 28 29 juvenile pikeperch (mean weight, 68.7 g) fed with experimental diets for 84 d during which the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, fillet quality and economic and environmental 30 31 sustainability of rearing pikeperch were evaluated. Our findings indicated that pikeperch fed 32 H0, H9, and H18 groups displayed better results regarding growth performance indices, except for survival rate where no significant difference among groups was recorded (P = 0.642). A 33 34 significantly lower organ-somatic index, including hepatosomatic, viscerosomatic and perivisceral fat index, was found in fish fed H18 groups than other groups (P < 0.05). Inclusion 35 of HIM affected the digestibility of the nutrients and resulted in an almost linear reduction in 36 37 the apparent digestibility coefficient of dry matter and protein. Concerning the fillet quality, dietary HIM negatively affected the protein and ash contents of the fish fillets, while the crude 38 39 fat remained unchanged. Dietary HIM did not significantly modify total saturated, 40 monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids in the fillets of fed pikeperch (P > 0.05) but 41 did reduce total n-3 fatty acids (P = 0.001) and increased total n-6 (P < 0.001). Increasing 42 inclusion levels of HIM reduced the environmental impacts associated with fish-in-to-fish out 43 ratio but entailed heavy burdens on energy use and eutrophication. Low and moderate inclusion 44 levels of HIM did not negatively affect land use and water use compared to an HIM-free diet 45 (P > 0.05). The addition of HIM at a level as low as 9% elicited a similar carbon footprint to

46 that of the control diet. The economic conversion ratio and economic profit index were 47 negatively affected at increased insect meal inclusion levels. This study has shown that the incorporation of HIM in feed formulations for pikeperch is feasible at inclusion levels of 18% 48 49 without adverse effects on growth performance parameters. The feasibility also highlighted the environmental benefits associated with land use and marine resources required to produce 50 51 farmed fish. 52 Keywords: Alternative feed; Digestibility; Fish-in-to-fish-out ratio; Insect meal; Percids; 53 Sustainability

- 54
- 55
- 56

.-o-fish-out

57 **1. Introduction**

European aquaculture has recently been expanding to include new species such as pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*) (Policar et al., 2019). In the wild, this carnivorous species feeds mainly on crustaceans and insects, and on fish at a later stage. It is an important food-fish for European inland aquaculture, and considerable efforts have been made to increase stock in fish farms (Steenfeldt et al., 2015; Policar et al., 2016).

In order to fully replace the natural diet with a formulated feed, pikeperch diets have to contain high levels of protein (43% to 50%) as recommended by Nyina-wamwiza et al. (2005). This requirement can be covered by marine fishmeal (FM), which is considered an optimal and nutritionally well-balanced ingredient for carnivorous fish (Oliva-Teles et al., 2015; Gasco et al., 2018). Nevertheless, FM sources are not endless; their market price is increasing and FM is therefore becoming unfavourable for commercial fish farming (FAO, 2020a).

69 It is well known that significant progress has been made over the past decade in reducing FM levels in commercial feeds for farmed fish (Gasco et al., 2019; Nogales-Mérida et al., 2019). 70 71 Nowadays, various plant or animal-based alternatives are used for industrial aquafeedsto help 72 decrease the dependency on FM and fish oil, with appropriate economic incentives to reduce 73 the feed cost (Gasco et al., 2018). To be used in aquaculture, an alternative protein source needs 74 to have certain nutritional characteristics, such as relatively high protein content, high nutrient 75 digestibility, a balanced amino acid profile and low levels of fibre and anti-nutrients (Gasco et 76 al., 2018). Plant proteins (i.e. soybean meal or plant protein concentrates) are frequently used 77 (Fry at al., 2016), but are often associated with certain complications, mainly due to imbalances 78 in the essential amino acid (EAA) profile, the presence of anti-nutritional factors or palatability 79 problems (Mastoraki et al., 2020), consequently adversely affecting growth performance and/or 80 fish health (Gai et al., 2012; Oliva-Teles et al., 2015). Processed animal proteins (PAPs), such 81 as poultry by-products, blood or meat and bone meal, have also been included in aquafeeds,

with promising results (Hua et al., 2019; Galkanda-Arachchige et al., 2020), even though their
use is limited by legislation in Europe (Gasco et al., 2018) and by EAA deficiency, high ash
content and variability in digestibility (Galkanda-Arachchige et al., 2020).

85 A great deal of attention has recently been paid to insects (Barragan-Fonseca et al., 86 2017; Gasco et al., 2019), which have already been proposed as an efficient and high-quality 87 alternative protein source for poultry (Neumann et al., 2018; Secci et al., 2018; Gariglio et al., 88 2019; Pieterse et al., 2019; Yoo et al., 2019) and swine (Biasato et al., 2019; Chia et al., 2019). 89 Insects are also a suitable source of protein and lipids for carnivorous fish (Lock et al., 2018) 90 as a naturally available food in their environment. Insect meal has been shown to be a promising 91 alternative to FM in aquaculture (Lock et al., 2018; Gasco et al., 2019; Nogales-Mérida et al., 92 2019) with optimal dietary sources of several vitamins and minerals (e.g. iron, potassium, 93 calcium, magnesium etc.) (Gasco et al., 2018; Hawkey et al., 2021). Several insect species can 94 be included successfully in carnivorous fish diets [e.g. for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) 95 (Chemello et al., 2020), European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) (Gasco et al., 2016), Atlantic 96 salmon (Salmo salar) (Belghit et al., 2019) and gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) (Piccolo et 97 al., 2017)] or in omnivorous fish diets [e.g. for common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Li et al., 2017)] 98 and Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Devic et al., 2018)], with the best results having been 99 obtained from a partial replacement of FM. The most common insect species included as 100 processed larva meal are mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*), black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*, 101 HI) and house fly (Musca domestica) (Lin and Mui, 2017; Magalhães et al., 2017; Ido et al., 102 2019; Chemello et al., 2020). In particular, HI larva meal seems to be one of the most promising 103 insect-based PAP alternatives to FM. HI larva meal is rich in protein, with levels up to 60%. 104 Even if lower in some EAAs compared to FM, HI larva meal has a well-balanced amino acid 105 profile (Hawkey et al., 2021) and provides a good amount of minerals and vitamins (Li et al., 106 2016; Barragan-Fonseca et al., 2017; Magalhães et al., 2017; Renna et al., 2017; Devic et al.,

107 2018, Nogales-Mérida et al., 2019). Moreover, black soldier fly larvae grown on low value 108 organic can be an environmentally sustainable protein source (Danieli et al., 2019; Smetana et 109 al., 2019; Gasco et al., 2020). Recent research has been conducted on the use of H. illucens 110 meal in pikeperch (Sander lucioperca) showing that insect containing diets positively 111 modulated the richness and diversity of fish intestinal microbiota without adverse effects in 112 terms of intestinal histomorphology (Tran et al., 2021). To complement \ the cited study, the 113 effects of different dietary inclusion levels of a partially defatted HI larva meal (HIM) in 114 substitution of FM on the growth performance, digestibility, somatic indices, body and fillet 115 proximate composition, economic indices and environmental sustainability of pikeperch 116 juveniles has been evaluated and reported in this paper.

117

118 **2. Materials and methods**

119

120 The feeding trial was conducted at the South Bohemia University, Faculty of Fisheries 121 and Protection of Waters, in České Budějovice (The Czech Republic). The animal care and 122 experimental protocols were designed and carried out and in accordance with the Czech and 123 European Community Directive (2010/63/EU) on the protection of animals used for scientific 124 purposes (ethic approval protocol number MSMT-6744/2018-2). The HIM provided by 125 Hermetia Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG (Baruth / Mark, Germany) was obtained from larvae 126 raised on plant by-products and partially defatted with a mechanical process performed using 127 high pressure and without solvents. HIM composition is reported in Table 1.

128

129 2.1 Diet formulations

Four experimental diets, with increasing levels of HIM, were formulated: a control diet (H0) containing 30% FM, in which plant-based ingredients cover part of the protein requirements to mimic the current trend of using such materials in aquafeeds, and 3 diets in

133 which HIM was used to substitute 25% (H9), 50% (H18) and 100% (H36) of the FM, thus 134 leading to HIM inclusion levels of 9%, 18% and 36%, on an as fed basis, respectively. The diets 135 were isonitrogenous (crude protein [CP]: 44.9% on an as fed basis), isolipidic (ether extract 136 [EE]: 18.4% on an as fed basis) and isoenergetic (gross energy [GE]: about 20.71 MJ/kg as 137 fed) to meet the nutritional requirements of juvenile pikeperch (Schulz et al. 2007, 2008). The 138 extruded experimental feeds were prepared at the EXOT HOBBY s.r.o. facility (Cerna v 139 Posumavi, Czech Republic). All dried ingredients, which were finely ground to 300 to 400 µm, 140 were mixed in a feed mixer HLJ-700/C (Saibainuo, China), then 4% oil and water were 141 sequentially blended in the feed mixer and the obtained mixture was then extruded, using a 142 commercial dual-screw extruded SLG II 70 (Saibainuo, China), to form 3 mm pellets. The 143 remainder of the lipid was added during vacuum coating. The pellets were dried to 144 approximately 90% dry matter using a 7-layer air dryer KX-7-8D (Saibainuo, China). The 145 pellets were vacuum packed and stored at -20° C until fed. The temperature and pressure during 146 the feed production process ranged from 96 to 106°C and from 19 to 22 atm, respectively. A 147 maximal temperature of 138 °C was used during the drying process, which lasted 25 to 30 min. 148 Crystalline EAAs lysine and methionine were supplemented in the diets to ensure that the 149 requirements of the pikeperch were met (Geay and Kestemont, 2015). The ingredients and the 150 proximate composition of the experimental diets are reported in Table 1.

151

152 2.3 Facilities, fish and the feeding trial

The feeding trial lasted 12 wk and was conducted in a recirculation system (total volume 154 11,400 L), consisting of fifteen 250 L round conical plastic tanks (black walls, white bottom), 155 a mechanical drum filter (AEM 15, AEM-Products V.O.F., Lienden, The Netherlands), 156 sedimentation tanks (total volume 2,600 L, series of filtration sections Bioakvacit PP10) and a 157 moving bed biofilter (volume 4,700 L, media BT10 Ratz Aqua & Polymer Technik, Remscheid,

158 Germany). The water temperature was maintained at 23.1 ± 1.0 °C by conditioning the ambient 159 air and using Eheim Jäger Thermocontrol 300 submerged heaters (Eheim GmbH & Co KG, 160 Stuttgart, Germany); the photoperiod was set at 12 h light-12 h dark by controlling the light 161 through the use of timers. Light intensity was set at 20 to 35 Lx on the water surface. The flow 162 rate in each tank was approximately 200 L/h. Dissolved oxygen ($8.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ mg/L}$) and pH (6.98163 \pm 0.28) were monitored twice daily, at 08:00 and 16:00, using a HACH HQ 40 multi-meter 164 (HACH Lange, Germany). Pure oxygen was distributed, using ceramic diffusors, in the header 165 tank, whenever necessary. The ammonia, nitrate and nitrite concentrations were analysed by 166 means of HACH, LCK 304, LCK 339 and LCK 341 kits, using a HACH DR2800 167 Spectrophotometer at 2-day intervals. The nitrite-N, nitrate-N, and ammonia-N concentrations 168 were 0.42 ± 0.24 , 78.88 \pm 37.31 and 1.89 \pm 0.58 mg/L, respectively.

169 The juvenile pikeperch used in the trial were obtained, according to the procedure described in 170 Policar et al. (2013), from the own faculty source. Part of this stock was implanted with a PIT-171 tag (7 mm \times 1.35 mm, Loligo Systems ApS) when juveniles reached a mean body weight of 172 52.51 ± 5.23 g (10 d before start of feeding trial). In order to perform the trial, a total of 750 173 juveniles (of which 450 were tagged) were individually weighed using a digital balance (Scout, 174 Ohaus Corporation, The USA, d = 0.1 g) (initial body weight [IBW] of 68.7 ± 6.6 g) and 175 randomly allotted to 15 tanks with a total of 50 fish per tank. The mean stocking density at the 176 start of the trial was 13.17 ± 0.24 kg/m³.

Moreover, the tagged fish were also measured after anaesthesia in an MS 222 bath (50 mg/L), (initial body length [IBL] \pm 1 mm) to follow both the body weight and length over time. All the fish were acclimated to the rearing system for 10 d before the start of the trial and fed by a grower commercial feed EFICO Sigma 970 (crude protein: 54%, crude lipid: 18%, pellet size: 3 mm) (BioMar A/S, Brande, Denmark).

182	The pikeperch in each tank were fed 7 d, using a combination of automatic feeders (EHEIM
183	Twins, 5 meals per day at 07:00, 09:00, 11:00, 13:00 and one hand feeding at the end of the day
184	at 15:00). Feed distribution was stopped as soon as the fish stopped eating. After each meal,
185	any uneaten pellets were siphoned off using a central bottom drain and counted to calculate the
186	real total feed supply.
187	
188	2.4 Growth parameters
189	On the first day and on day 21, 42, 63 and 84 of the experiment, a subsample of 30
190	tagged fish per tank was weighed (0.01 g) and measured (body length [BL] \pm 1 mm). The fish
191	were anesthetized during the measurements with a solution of MS 222 in the bath (50 mg/L).
192	At the end of the trial, the fish were starved for 2 d, anesthetised, and individually weighed to
193	record the final body weight (FBW). Moreover, the biomass of each tank was then determined
194	through a bulk weighing of all the fish.
195	The obtained data were used to calculate the following variables:
196	• Survival(SR, %) = $100 - (Number of dead fish/Initial number of fish) \times 100$
197	• Weight gain(WG, %) = [(FBW (g) – IBW (g)/IBW (g)] × 100
198	• Specific growth rate (SGR, %/day) = [($\ln FBW - \ln IBW$)/Number of feeding days] ×
199	100
200	Feed intake (g/kg ABW per day) = Total feed consumed (g, DM)/Average body weight
201	(kg)/Number of feeding days (Guerreiro et al., 2020)
202	Feeding rate (FR, %/day) = [Total feed supplied (g, DM) \times 100/Number of feeding
203	days]/[$e^{(lnFBW + lnIBW) \times 0.5}$] (Lock et al., 2018)
204	Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = WG (g)/Total protein fed (g, DM)
205	Where ABW is average body weight and calculated as (Initial body weight + Final body
206	weight)/2; SD is the standard deviation of the fish subsample.

207	At the end of the experiment, 7 individuals were taken from each replicate (tank) to be measured
208	and their viscera, liver and perivisceral fat were weighed (\pm 0.01 g) to determine the
209	viscerosomatic (VSI), hepatosomatic (HSI) and perivisceral fat indices (PFI). All the fish were
210	filleted, by a person experienced in filleting, to calculate the fillet yield (FY). The collected data
211	were used to calculate the following parameters:
212	Fulton's condition factor (K) = $(FBW/FBL^3) \times 100$
213	Hepatosomatic index (HSI, %) = $100 \times \text{Liver weight (g)/Fish weight (g)}$
214	Viscerosomatic index (VSI, %) = $100 \times$ Viscera weight (g)/Fish weight (g)
215	Perivisceral fat index (PFI, %) = $100 \times \text{Perivisceral fat weight (g)/Fish weight (g)}$
216	Fillet yield (FY, %) = $100 \times$ Fillet weight (g)/BW.
217	Where FBL is final total body length (mm). The right and left fillets of 5 fish per tank (15
218	fish/treatment) were stored at -20 °C for subsequent proximate composition analyses.
219	Moreover, 3 fish per tank (9 fish/treatment) were sampled and stored at -20 °C for a whole-
220	body composition (WBC) assessment.

221

222 2.5 Digestibility trial

223 Seventy-five day after the start of the trial, faeces were collected daily for 7 d using 224 settling columns placed at the bottom of the tanks. After each meal, any uneaten feed was 225 collected, as reported in section 2.3. One hour after each feeding, the faeces accumulated in 226 each settling column were collected, centrifuged $(3,000 \times g)$, pooled for each tank and stored at -20 °C until they were freeze dried for analyses. The apparent digestibility coefficients of the 227 228 dry matter (ADC_{DM}), crude protein (ADC_{CP}) and ether extract (ADC_{EE}) of the 4 experimental 229 diets were measured using the indirect acid-insoluble ash (AIA) method, with 1% celite (Fluka, 230 Switzerland) added to the diets as an inert marker, and then calculated according to Renna et al. (2017). Celite is a common and reliable indigestible marker used to assess nutrient 231

digestibility in fish (Da et al., 2013; Chemello et al., 2020; Caimi et al., 2021). This marker was
found to not leak from faeces throughout a 24 h cycle and therefore feasible to recover in
adequate quantities in the faeces (Sales et al., 2001).

235

236 2.6 Proximate composition of the HIM, diets, fish and fillets

237 The HIM and feed samples were analysed as reported in Renna et al. (2017). The diets 238 were ground finely using a cutting mill (MLI 204; Bühler AG, Uzwil, Switzerland) and the 239 analyses were performed according to AOAC International 2000. Samples were dried in the 240 oven at 105 °C to reach constant weight for dry matter (AOAC no.934.01), then crude protein 241 was estimated using the Kjeldahl method (AOAC no.984.13), ash content measured (AOAC 242 no.942.05) by incinerating the samples in a muffle furnace at 550 °C, and crude fat determinated 243 by the Soxhlet extraction method following the procedure AOAC no. 2003.05 (AOAC, 2003). 244 The gross energy content was determined using an adiabatic calorimetric bomb (C7000; IKA, 245 Staufen, Germany). Chitin was estimated according to Finke (2007). All the feed analyses were 246 performed in duplicate. Fatty acid profile was determined as described in detail by Sampels et 247 al. (2014) by methylating lipid with boron triflouoride-methanol complex (BF3), dissolving in 248 0.5 mL of hexane and storing under normal atmosphere at -80 °C until gas chromatography 249 analysis. Fatty acid methyl esters were determined using a gas chromatograph. Analysis of the 250 amino acid composition of the experimental diets was performed in triplicate, using an 251 automatic amino acid analyzer AAA 400 (INGOS Prague) based on dye-forming reaction of 252 amino acids using ninhydrin as an oxidizing agent (Stejskal et al. 2019).

The whole-fish (n = 9) and fillets (n = 15) that had been stored for analysis were individually ground using a Braun FP3131WH grinder and then freeze-dried. Proximate composition and gross energy tests were performed using the same methods as those used for the experimental feeds.

- 257 The lipid quality indices were calculated according to Chen and Liu (2020) as follows:
- Atherogenicity index (AI) = $[C12:0 + (4 \times C14:0) + C16:0]/\Sigma UFA$
- 259 Thrombogenicity index (TI) = $(C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0)/[(0.5 \times \Sigma MUFA) + (0.5 \times \Sigma n6 PUFA)]$

260 + $(3 \times \Sigma n3 PUFA) + (n3/n6)$]

- 261 Unsaturation index (UI) = $1 \times (\% \text{ monoenoics}) + 2 \times (\% \text{ dienoics}) + 3 \times (\% \text{ trienoics}) + 4 \times (\% \text{ trienoics})$
- 262 (% tetraenoics) + $5 \times$ (% pentaenoics) + $6 \times$ (% hexaenoics)
- 263
- 264 2.7 Economic analyses and environmental sustainability of the experimental diets

An economic conversion ratio (ECR) and an economic profit index (EPI) were calculated for each tested group to determine the relative efficacy of the tested diets and their subsequent

267 benefits, using the following formulas [Moutinho et al., (2017)]:

268 ECR (
$$\notin$$
/kg of fish) = Feed conversion ratio × D_P

269
$$EPI(\notin/fish) = (WG \times S_P) - (WG \times D_P)$$

270 Where D_P is the price of the diet (ℓ/kg of diet) and S_P is the selling price ($\ell 7.58/kg$)

271 The per kilogram cost (in €), excluding labour and taxes, of all the used components bought 272 from commercial retailers was as follows: $FM = \notin 1.48$; $HIM = \notin 3.50$; wheat meal = $\notin 0.60$; fish 273 oil = €1.33; mineral mixture = €0.51; vitamin mixture = €3.90; soy concentrate = €1.50; corn 274 gluten meal = $\notin 0.37$; soybean meal = $\notin 0.33$; merigel = $\notin 0.75$; fish oil = $\notin 1.33$; soybean oil = 275 $\notin 0.58$; vitamin premix = $\notin 3.90$; mineral premix = $\notin 0.51$; L-methionine = $\notin 6.00$; L-lysine = 276 €1.50. The followed prices of the diets were calculated: H0 = €0.97; H9 = €1.17; H18 = €1.36 277 and H36 = \notin 1.75. The sales price of pikeperch was calculated as \notin 7.58/kg based on published 278 price report (FAO 2020b) and personal communication with 2 European fish farms who 279 produce pikeperch in RAS systems. The fish-in-to-fish-out ratio (FIFO) was used as a practical 280 measure of the quantity of live fish from capture fisheries required for each kilogram of farmed 281 pikeperch. This indicator was calculated as follows (Tacon and Metian, 2008):

- 282 $FIFO = (L_{FM} + L_{FO})/(Y_{FMw} + Y_{FOw}) \times Feed conversion ratio$
- Where L_{FM} is the level of FM in the diet; L_{FO} is the level of fish oil in the diet; Y_{FMw} is the FM yield from wild fish; Y_{FOw} is the fish oil yield from wild fish.
- 285 The simulated environmental impacts associated with 1 kg farmed pikeperch production were 286 calculated according to Tran et al. (2022a) as a multiplication between environmental impacts 287 of the diet and respective Feed Conversion Ratio. Six environmental impact categories of experimental diets, including global warming potential (GWP, kg CO₂ equivalent [eq.]), 288 289 energy use (EU, kg oil eq.), acidification (kg SO₂ eq.), eutrophication (kg P eq.), land use (m² arable land [a.]) and water use (WU, m³), were calculated based on the life cycle assessment 290 database for animal feed ingredients (GFLI, 2022). These categories for black soldier fly (H. 291 illucens) were retrieved from Smetana et al. (2019). Environmental impacts were calculated as 292 293 follows:
- Environmental impact (GWP, EU, WU) per kilogram of feed = Environmental impact (GWP,
 EU, WU)/kg ingredient (GFLI, 2022 database) × Inclusion levels of ingredients in pikeperch
 diet
- 297 Environmental impact (GWP, EU, WU) per kilogram of fish produced = Environmental impact
 298 (GWP, EU, WU) per kilogram ofkg feed × Feed conversion ratio
- 299

300 2.8 Statistical analysis

All data were tested for homogeneity of variance using the Cochran, Hartley and Bartlett
tests. The effects of the diet on the growth performance, somatic indices, whole body proximate
composition, FIFO, ECR and EPI were analysed separately, by means of one-way ANOVA,
followed by the Tukey test.

The effects of the diet on composition of the pikeperch fillets were tested, by means of Kruskal–
Wallis non-parametric analysis, using the median test and multiple pair wise comparisons by

- 307ranks. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05. The data were expressed as the mean308 \pm SD, and statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA 12.0.
- 309

310 3. Results

The fish readily accepted the feeds and the survival rate was high, with no significant differences between treatments. At the end of the experiment, the FBW, WG and SGR, were found to be lower in the H36 group, while these parameters were not significantly different in the remaining groups. Clear differences in fish growth appeared between H36 and the other dietary treatments after 42 d of the trial. Consequently, the H36 group displayed significantly higher FR and feed intake than H0, H9 and H18 (Table 4).

Significant differences (P < 0.05) were highlighted for K, somatic and perivisceral indices and fillet yields (Table 5). The K of fish fed H36 was lower than H0 and H18, but similar to H9 groups. Similar trends were observed for the HSI and VSI of the fish fed the dietary treatments. HSI and VSI were lower in H18 than in H0 and H36, while H9 presented intermediate values. As far as PFI was concerned, H18 showed the lowest result (P < 0.05) of all the treatments. The only significant difference (P < 0.05) in FY was found in H18 and H36, with H36 having the lowest yield.

The ADC values of the nutrients are presented in Table 6. Differences (P < 0.05) were recorded for all the parameters, with the lowest values of DM and CP digestibility being recorded for the H36 diet. A decreasing trend of nutrient digestibility was generally observed for increasing inclusion levels of HIM, except for ether extract digestibility, where only the H36 diet differed from the other diets.

The inclusion of HIM significantly affected the whole-body DM, CP, EE and energy content (P < 0.05). The whole-body composition for DM, CP and energy content were markedly reduced in H36, compared to H9 (P = 0.043, 0.026, and 0.007, respectively). The whole-body

EE content was significantly lower in the H36 and H18 groups (P = 0.006) than in H9 while the ash content showed no significant differences (Table 7).

The chemical composition and fatty acid profiles of the fillets of the fish fed the experimental diets is reported in Table 8. Although EE remained unaffected by the treatments, the inclusion of HIM significantly altered the DM, CP and ash content (P < 0.05). In details, DM was lower in H36 than in H9 (P < 0.05). The CP of the fillets was improved in H9, compared to H0 (+2.5%) and H36 (+5.2%) (P < 0.05). The total replacement of FM by HIM decreased the ash content, while H0, H9 and H18 did not show any correlation with this parameter.

The total amount of saturated fatty acids (SFA) in the pikeperch fillets was not influenced by the diet. The lauric acid (C12:0) and myristic acid (C14:0) values of the fillets gradually increased as the insect meal inclusion increased.

343 Palmitic acid (C16:0) was the predominant SFA, with a significantly higher content in the H9 344 group than in the H36 group (Table 8). Stearic acid (C18:0) was also present at high levels, but 345 dietary insect meal inclusion showed no effect. Other SFAs made up less than 3% of the total 346 fatty acids. The total monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) level was not influenced by the feeds 347 with different insect meal inclusion levels. Oleic acid (C18:1n9) was the predominant MUFA 348 in all the experimental groups, but the insect meal inclusion level showed no effect. Moreover, 349 no difference was found for the total polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) between the 350 experimental groups. Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, C22:6n3) was the predominant PUFA, with 351 similar levels in the H0, H9 and H18 groups. The H36 group showed a significantly lower 352 relative content than H0 and H9 (P = 0.001). The second most abundant PUFA was linoleic 353 acid (C18:2n6), which showed a higher level in H36 than in the other diets. A significant 354 difference also emerged between groups for the n3:n6 ratio (P < 0.001) as well as for UI (P =0.003), AI (P = 0.002) and TI (P = 0.003). On the contrary, the C18:2n6, C18:3n3, MUFA, 355

356 PUFA+MUFA and n6 values for the fillets were numerically lower than those of the357 experimental insect-based feeds.

358 The effects of the insect meal inclusion level on the pikeperch diets, as observed for some 359 environmental parameters and economic aspects, are shown in Table 9. The increased inclusion 360 level of HIM increased the cost of the diet and had an adverse effect on ECR and EPI. However, 361 the inclusion of HIM progressively improved the fish-in-fish-out ratio (P < 0.001). 362 Environmental impacts associated with one kg pikeperch production were HIM-dose 363 dependent. Dietary HIM significantly elevated eutrophication and energy use (P < 0.001), while 364 acidification and land use remained comparable among the control, H9, and H18 groups (P >365 0.05). At an inclusion level as low as 9%, dietary insect meal entailed similar GWP as the control diet, while increasing HIM levels caused a significant burden on GWP (P < 0.001). It 366 367 is worth noting that low to moderate inclusion levels of HIM (9% and 18%) required a similar 368 amount of water to produce one kg pikeperch compared to HIM-free diet (P > 0.05), but the 369 higher inclusion (36%) created a higher water demand (P < 0.001).

370

371 **4. Discussion**

Insect meal has been identified as one of the most promising potential alternative protein sources for aquafeeds in the coming decades (Hua et al., 2019). The inclusion of insect meal at appropriate levels in aquatic animal diets has shown a good response, in terms of growth performance and feed utilisation (Gasco et al., 2019; Hua, 2021). In addition, the use of dietary insect meal entails environmental benefits associated with the use of forage fish (FIFO) (Stejskal et al., 2020) and, from a life cycle assessment viewpoint, on climate change, acidification, human toxicity, marine ecotoxicity and abiotic depletion (Smárason et al., 2017).

380 4.1 Growth performance, condition factor, somatic indices, and digestibility of the diets

381 The growth performance of juvenile pikeperch in the present study, measured as 382 specific growth rate (SGR) (range 0.76% to 0.95%/d), was comparable to the 0.77%/d in earlier 383 findings (Zakęś et al., 2008) but slightly lower than that reported previously [1.14% to 1.24 %/d 384 (Jarmołowicz et al., 2012)] and [(1.1% to 2.1%/day (Wang et al., 2009)]. The discrepancy could 385 be attributed to the different fish sizes utilised in these studies; in fact larger fish, such as those 386 utilised in our study, usually have lower SGR compared to fingerlings utilised in the other trials 387 (Wang et al., 2009; Jarmołowicz et al., 2012). A meta-analysis concerning the effects of FM 388 replacement by insect meal on the growth performance of fish conducted by Hua (2021) 389 revealed that possible inclusions up to 33% and 25% full and defatted HIM, respectively, 390 ensured a similar growth response to that of fish fed FM-based diets. Our results are consistent with that finding and have confirmed that an 18% inclusion threshold (which, in our research, 391 392 led to 50% FM substitution) was possible for pikeperch. Previous studies that included HIM 393 also reported a threshold over the 13.2% to 40% range (or 25% to 50% FM substitution) (St-394 Hilaire et al., 2007; Sealey et al., 2011; Renna et al., 2017; Dumas et al., 2018; Terova et al., 395 2019) for rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), whilst 14.8% to -25%, or a 100% substitution 396 level, was applied, with no adverse effects, to SGR in Atlantic salmon (Lock et al., 2016; 397 Belghit et al., 2019). Similarly, 10.6% to 14% levels, or 100% FM substitution, were found to 398 be possible for omnivorous common carp (Cyprinus carpio), without any negative effects on 399 SGR (Li et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018). Feeding Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) with a 400 dietary HM of 8% (Devic et al., 2018) or 30% (Muin et al., 2017) was also found to be 401 successful.

Increasing the dietary HIM inclusion to 36% (100% FM substitution) depressed the growth performance of pikeperch, as shown by the significantly lower WG, FW and SGR in H36 than in the control diet. Hua (2021) reported that the negative effect on fish growth, caused by increasing levels of insect meal, could refer to a nutritional imbalance. Such a worsening of the

18

406 performance parameters was supported by the general decrease in the digestibility coefficients 407 recorded as the HIM inclusion increased. In addition, an increasing dietary inclusion of HIM 408 reduced essential fatty acid components, PUFA and MUFA (Table 3), which play important 409 roles in the growth and health-promoting effects of aquatic animals (Turchini et al., 2009). The 410 presence of chitin, a non-protein nitrogen, in the cuticle of insects (Henry et al., 2015), could 411 be a factor that impairs the growth rate of pikeperch fed H36. An analysis of chitin revealed a 412 content in the HIM of 5.34% as it is, leading to dietary inclusions of 0.47%, 0.97% and 1.93% 413 for H9, H18 and H36, respectively. These values are similar to the ones reported in the study 414 of Stejskal et al. (2020). Previous studies pointed out a reduction in the SGR of turbot (Kroeckel et al., 2012) fed 17% HIM as a replacement of 20% FM. In contrast, feeding increasing levels 415 416 of HIM did not affect the SGR of European perch (Stejskal et al., 2020) or Atlantic salmon 417 (Belghit et al., 2018) fed diets containing 40% and 60% of HIM, respectively. The detrimental 418 effect of chitin on the growth performance of fed organisms could be due to the compromise of 419 protein digestibility related to its capacity to reduce the activity of proteolytic enzymes that 420 break down peptides into aminoacids or bind proteins (Henry et al., 2015; Weththasinghe et al., 421 2021) and the induction of stress in fish (Gopalakannan and Arul, 2006). This is illustrated by 422 a decreasing condition factor (K), which is known to reflect the growth rate of fish (Mahadevan 423 et al., 2020). K is an index of the health and metabolic status of fish; the lower K value in 424 pikeperch fed H36 could possibly be the result of a synergic effect, considering that fish in this 425 group were smaller and less fatty in respect the other groups. Conversely, fish in the H18 group 426 showed a higher K value due to the different metabolism of fat as shown by the HSI an VSI 427 indices.

428 One criterion that should be considered concerning the possibility of introducing alternative 429 ingredients to FM in aquafeeds is palatability, which can influence the feed intake and other 430 physiological characteristics of fed organisms (Galkanda-Arachchige et al., 2020). HIM

19

431 appeared to be palatable to pikeperch as a higher feed intake was recorded for the H36 group 432 compared with HIM inclusion levels up to 18%, where a similar feed intake was recorded. 433 These results are in contrast to those observed for Jian carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Li et al., 2017), 434 rainbow trout (Renna et al., 2017), Japanese seabass (Lateolabrax japonicus) (Wang et al., 435 2019), and European perch (Stejskal et al., 2020) where a decreased palatability was observed 436 with increasing HIM inclusion level. Interestingly, our results indicated that HIM inclusions of 437 9% and 36% did not affect the somatic indices (VSI, HSI and PFI), while HIM inclusion of 438 18% significantly reduced these parameters. In fish metabolism, the liver plays a key role and 439 HSI is often used to assess the effect of diet on liver functionality (Dernekbaşı, 2012; Chemello 440 et al., 2020). In salmonids, values between 1% and 2% are considered standard for HSI while 441 lower or higher values could indicate issues such as oxidized feed, disorders in lipid and glucose 442 metabolism, or vitamin deficiency (Pearce et al., 2003). In our study, all the fish groups 443 recorded HSI values in the range considered normal for salmonids, therefore an HIM inclusion 444 level up to 36% in pikeperch feeds could be tolerated without negative impacts on lipid and 445 glucose metabolism.

446

447 4.2 Whole body and fillet composition

448 No consistent trends were observed with the composition of the body of pikeperch fed 449 graded levels of HIM among the low and medium inclusion levels. However the pikeperch fed 450 the H36 diet, except for the ash content, showed a significantly different composition than other 451 groups. This pattern could be explained by considering feed nutrient digestibility, as the lower 452 body nutrient content recorded in the pikeperch fed H36, compared to the other groups, could 453 be attributed to a decline in nutrient digestibility as reported in other fish trials carried out in 454 several species fed increasing content of insect meal (Coutinho et al. 2021). Furthermore, the

detrimental effect of chitin on protein digestibility is well known (Henry et al., 2015; Gasco etal., 2016).

The fat content of the fillets in our study was dietary HIM-independent and ranged from 0.81% to 0.88%, which was higher than the range (0.20% to 0.58%) reported for pikeperch farmed in RAS, pond-RAS and in a pond system (Policar et al., 2016), or controlled rearing conditions (Schulz et al., 2005) with values of 0.6% in fish fed diets with different dietary lipid composition. However, the protein content in the fillets was comparable with the data from these studies.

The FA profile in the pikeperch fillets reflects those of the corresponding diets, as reported for finfish species (Turchini et al., 2009). The major effect of dietary partially defatted HIM on the muscle profile of pikeperch was a significant increase in total n6 constituents, especially linoleic acid (C18:2n6), and a significant decrease of total n3 fatty acids (especially C22:6n3). A similar phenomenon was also observed in previous studies carried out on juvenile pikeperch fed with feed supplemented with vegetable oils, such as linseed and peanut (Kowalska et al., 2010).

469 Another pronounced trend was observed for the fish muscle saturated fatty acids, lauric and 470 myristic acids, which increased significantly with insect meal dietary inclusion. A similar 471 pattern was also reported for rainbow trout fed increasing levels of defatted HIM (Renna et al., 472 2017). However, these differences in lauric and myristic acids seem to be too mild to alter the 473 total SFA across the fed groups. Interestingly, the considerably lower lauric acid content in the 474 fish fillets than in the feed may attributed to a prioritised energy utilisation of this FA (Renna 475 et al., 2017) in pikeperch. PUFAs are significant components of muscle lipids in pikeperch, and 476 they were found to range from 50.2% to 57.0% (Guler et al., 2007). These fatty acids were 477 found to be high in our study (55% to 57% total detectable fatty acids) and independent of the 478 administered diets. Compared to data reported for sander farmed in a different system (PUFAs, 479 34% to 44%) (Policar et al., 2016), the present study has shown relatively higher percentages

480 of these fatty acids. DHA and EPA are important fatty acids that play vital roles in human 481 health. DHA was found to be predominant in our study, ranging from 28% to 32% of the total 482 detected fatty acids, and was affected by dietary HIM. An HIM inclusion of 18% maintained 483 the DHA content relative similar to the FM group. The percentage of EPA instead varied by 484 4.5% to 4.9%, regardless of the dietary HIM. The DHA values are higher than those previously 485 published for pikeperch (Policar et al., 2016; Kowalska et al., 2010). Therefore, using HIM at 486 moderate inclusion levels, in combination with a marine oil source, could be a good way of 487 enhancing the beneficial fatty acids of pikeperch for human nutrition.

488

489 4.3 Economic analysis and environmental sustainability

490 There is a general lack of economic analysis on insect meal inclusion in aquafeeds (Arru et al., 491 2019; Stejskal et al., 2020). The current study has revealed that increasing inclusion levels of 492 HIM resulted in elevated ECR and reduced EPI, which is consistent with recent findings for European perch (Stejskal et al., 2020). Arru et al. (2019) revealed low profitability as a result 493 494 of insect meal (T. molitor) inclusion in farmed seabass aquafeeds. This economic insufficiency 495 could mainly be due to the uncompetitive price of insect meal vs. FM (IPIFF, 2018; Arru et al., 496 2019). Fortunately, insect meal production is increasing globally (IPIFF, 2018; Gasco et al., 497 2020) and the price of insect meal is thus expected to be comparative with that of FM in the 498 near future (Arru et al., 2019; Hua et al., 2019). In the meantime, the marketing of seafood 499 products with socially and environmentally sustainable feed ingredients, such as insect meal, 500 could improve consumers' perceptions and their willingness to pay (Zander and Feucht, 2018). 501 Together with the economic aspects, the environmental impacts associated with aquafeeds are 502 of critical concern (Ghamkhar and Hicks, 2020). Our study has shown that dietary HIM has 503 negative impacts on the environment associated with eutrophication and energy use. On the 504 other hand, an inclusion level of up to 18% resulted in comparable acidification and land use

505 with the control diet. Our study also highlighted the benefits of using insect meal HIM in the 506 diet for pikeperch at a moderate inclusion level (18%) in terms of water resource use relative 507 to an HIM-free diet. The high variability in environmental impact indices following 508 replacement of FM by HIM could be attributed to the percentage of HIM vs. FM ingredients 509 and slight modification of wheat meal across experimental diets. Indeed, the larger impact of 510 HIM production, associated with energy use, GWP, eutrophication, and land use, than those of 511 FM, has been confirmed (Salomone et al., 2017; Smetana et al., 2019, Tran et al., 2022b). 512 Recent studies employing life cycle assessment have demonstrated that feeding arctic char 513 (Salvelinus alpinus) with dietary HIM also entailed a heavier environmental burden of EU than 514 insect-free diets, while multiple benefits were reported for abiotic depletion, acidification, 515 eutrophication, the global warming potential, the human toxicity potential and the marine 516 aquatic ecotoxicity potential (Smárason et al., 2017). Similar findings were reported for 517 rainbow trout fed dietary T. molitor (Le Feon et al., 2019).

518 Although insect meal inclusion entails more environmental impacts than improvements, Le 519 Feon et al. (2019) found a positive effect on the use of biotic resources and water. In addition 520 to water use, we also found similarities in land use among H0, H9, and H18. In other words, 521 the low to moderate inclusion level of HIM did not negatively affect the environmental impact indices associated with the most limited natural resources - water and land. This phenomenon 522 523 could be associated with the change in wheat meal inclusion levels across experimental diets. 524 It is well acknowledged that the production of wheat meal among plant ingredients requires a 525 significantly higher amount of water and arable land than FM (GFLI, 2022; Silva et al., 2018; 526 Smetana et al., 2019). Therefore, a substantial decrease in wheat meal following FM 527 replacement by HIM to ensure nutrient balance, in combination with slightly higher water use 528 and land use from production of HIM over FM (Samuel-Fitwi et al., 2013; Smetana et al., 2019), 529 could result in comparable impacts on these natural resources among the control, H9, and H18

530 groups. Additionally, feed conversion ratio was reported to be responsible for the 531 environmental impacts of the aquaculture system (Bohnes et al., 2019) and for that associated 532 with one kg pikeperch production in the present study. As illustrated by the comparable feed 533 conversion ratio, 3 diets, H0, H9, and H18, were efficiently utilized by pikeperch (Tran et al., 534 2021). However, despite a gradual decrease in wheat meal, a significantly higher feed 535 conversion ratio following 100% replacement FM with HIM did not improve environmental 536 impacts on pikeperch production. It is apparent that although an FM-free diet with the addition 537 of HIM did not benefit pikeperch aquaculture in terms of either production performance or 538 environmental consequences, elimination of FM originated from marine resources in aquafeed 539 could be beneficial for the marine ecosystem as indicated by FIFO. In the present study, 540 replacement of FM by HIM significantly improved the FIFO as less marine fish forage was 541 required to produce the live weight of farmed fish (Tacon and Metian, 2008; Naylor et al., 542 2009). The same result has been reported for European perch (Stejskal et al., 2020) and for 543 Siberian sturgeon (Rawski et al., 2021). We found that FIFO could be decreased by 40.1% in 544 pikeperch fed an insect-based diet, without affecting the growth performance (group H18). 545 From a global perspective, an increasing use of fish by-products and other FM alternatives 546 could be a strategic way of ensuring the environmental sustainability of the aquaculture industry 547 (Hua et al., 2019; Cottrell et al., 2020; Gasco et al., 2020), thereby reducing FM, and the fish 548 oil proportion in aquafeeds. Consequently, the global FIFO is expected to reduce considerably 549 in the coming decades (Kok et al., 2020). Since aquaculture is increasingly dependent on 550 terrestrial crops and forage fish as feed inputs, and thereby damaging to aquatic ecosystems and 551 fisheries (Smith et al., 2011; Troell et al., 2014), the use of insect meal could provide a 552 promising alternative to tackle the growth of aquaculture in an era that has limited natural and marine fishery resources. 553

Future research should be focused on optimising the level of inclusion of insect meal in fish 554 555 diets and the fine tuning of insect-based diets. Moreover, long-term studies focusing on growing 556 fish to higher marketable size (more than 700g) in combination with sensory and textural 557 analyses of the final product should be carried out to explore the full potential and gaps of 558 insect-based diets for pikeperch throughout their whole life cycle. Information on the effect of 559 insect meal on the physical characteristics of extruded feeds in aquafeeds for different fish 560 species is still lacking, and more research and new methods to establish the correct insect meal 561 digestibility of such fish feeds are therefore needed (Arru et al., 2019; Papáček et al., 2020).

562 This investigation is the first on the potential of HI larva meal for S. lucioperca. The main 563 findings of the present work are that the inclusion of HIM to levels of up to 18% (equivalent to 564 a 50% substitution of FM in the diet), did not affect the biometry, fillet yield, or the nutritional 565 quality of pikeperch, except for the fat content which was lower. Both hepatosomatic index and 566 perivisceral fat index were even improved by the inclusion of HIM up to 18%. Feeding HIM to 567 pikeperch improved the FIFO, that led to the use of less forage fish from marine ecology to 568 produce farmed fish and conserved more water resources than an insect-free diet. In economic 569 terms, at present, HIM does not seem to be a price-competitive ingredient for pikeperch feeds.

570 **5. Conclusion**

This study has shown that the incorporation of HI meal in the feed formulations of pikeperch for inclusion levels of up to 18% did not affect most of the growth parameters considered. Moreover, the use of such feeds is associated with a reduction in reliance on marine resources and freshwater use. On the other hand, certain limitations have emerged, such as the production cost, decreased digestibility of protein and dry matter as well as increased impact on greenhouse gas production, energy use, and eutrophication.

577 Author contributions

578 Francesco Gai, Laura Gasco and Vlastimil Stejskal conceived and designed the experiment. 579 Hung Ouang Tran, Christian Caimi, Laura Gasco and Vlastimil Stejskal prepare the diets, 580 performed the trial and collected the experiments data. Hung Quang Tran, Markéta 581 Prokesová, Tatyana Gebauer, Tomas Policar and Christian Caimi carried out the laboratory 582 analyses. Vlastimil Stejskal performed the statistical analysis. Hung Quang Tran, and 583 Vlastimil Stejskal analyzed and interpret the data. Hung Quang Tran, Francesco Gai, Laura 584 Gasco and Vlastimil Stejskal wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors critically 585 reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content and gave final approval for the version to be 586 published.

587 **Declaration of competing interests**

588 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal 589 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

590 Acknowledgements

This study was supported financially by the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic and by the NAZV project (grant number QK1810296). This JU-IAPW research paper is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 652831 [AQUAEXCEL²⁰²⁰]), the TNA project ID number: AE070026. This output reflects only the author's view and the European Union cannot be held responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

597

598 **References**

- AOAC International, 2000. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 16th ed.
 Gaithersburg: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- 601 AOAC International, 2003. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 17th ed.
- 602 Gaithersburg: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

26

603	Arru, B., Furesi, R., Gasco, L., Madau, F.A., Pulina, P.,. The introduction of insect meal into
604	fish diet: the first economic analysis on European sea bass farming. Sustainability 2019;
605	11(6): 1697.

- Barragan-Fonseca KB, Dicke, M, van Loon, JJ. Nutritional value of the black soldier fly
 (*Hermetia illucens* L.) and its suitability as animal feed–a review. J Insects Food Feed
 2017; 3(2): 105-120.
- Belghit I, Liland NS, Gjesdal P, Biancarosa I, Menchetti E, Li Y, Waagbø R, Krogdahl Å, Lock
 EJ. Black soldier fly larvae meal can replace fish meal in diets of sea-water phase Atlantic
 salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture 2019; 503: 609-619.
- Belghit I, Lilan NS, Waagbø R, Biancarosa I, Pelusio N, Li Y, Krogdahl Å, Lock EJ. Potential
 of insect-based diets for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture 2018; 491: 72-81.
- Biasato I, Renna M, Gai F, Dabbou S, Meneguz M, Perona G, Martinez S, Lajusticia AC,
 Bergagna, S, Sardi L, Capucchio MT. Gasco L. Partially defatted black soldier fly larva
 meal inclusion in piglet diets: effects on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility,
 blood profile, gut morphology and histological features. J Anim Sci Biotechnol 2019;
 10(1): 1-1.
- Bohnes FA, Hauschild MZ, Schlundt J, Laurent A. Life cycle assessments of aquaculture
 systems: a critical review of reported findings with recommendations for policy and
 system development. Rev Aquac 2019;11(4): 1061-1079.
- Caimi C, Biasato I, Chemello G, Bellezza Oddon S, Lussiana C, Malfatto V, Capucchio MT,
 Colombino E, Schiavone A, Gai F, Trocino A, Brugiapaglia A, Renna M, Gasco L. Dietary
 inclusion of a partially defatted black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larva meal in low
 fishmeal-based diets for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). J Animal Sci Biotechnol
- 626 2021; 12: 50.
- 627 Çelik M, Diler A, Küçükgülmez A. A comparison of the proximate compositions and fatty acid

628 profiles of zander (*Sander lucioperca*) from two different regions and climatic conditions.

629 Food Chem. 2005; 92(4): 637-641.

630 Chemello G, Renna M, Caimi C, Guerreiro I, Oliva-Teles A, Enes P, Biasato I, Schiavone A,

- 631 Gai F, Gasco L. Partially defatted *Tenebrio molitor* larva meal in diets for grow-out
- 632 rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum): Effects on growth performance, diet
- 633 digestibility and metabolic responses. Animals 2020; 10(2): 229.
- 634 Chen J, Liu H. Nutritional indices for assessing fatty acids: A mini-review. Int J Mol Sci 2020;
 635 21(16): 5695.
- 636 Chia SY, Tanga CM, Osuga IM, Alaru AO, Mwangi DM, Githinji M, Subramanian S, Fiaboe
- KK, Ekesi S, van Loon JJ, Dicke M. Effect of dietary replacement of fishmeal by insect
 meal on growth performance, blood profiles and economics of growing pigs in Kenya.
 Animals 2019; 9(10): 705.
- 640 Cottrell RS, Blanchard JL, Halpern BS, Metian M, Froehlich HE. Global adoption of novel
 641 aquaculture feeds could substantially reduce forage fish demand by 2030. Nat Food 2020;
 642 1(5): 301-308.
- 643 Coutinho F, Castro C, Guerreiro I, Range, F, Couto A, Serra CR, Peres H, Pousão-Ferreira P,
 644 Rawski M, Oliva-Teles A, Enes P.. Mealworm larvae meal in diets for meagre juveniles:
 645 Growth, nutrient digestibility and digestive enzymes activity. Aquaculture 2021; 535:
 646 736362.
- Da CT, Lundh T, Lindberg JE. Digestibility of dietary components and amino acids in animal
 and plant protein feed ingredients in striped catfish (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*)
 fingerlings. Aqua Nutr 2013; 19(5): 741-50.
- Danieli PP, Lussiana C, Gasco L, Amici A, Ronchi B. The effects of diet formulation on the
 yield, proximate composition, and fatty acid profile of the black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens* L.) prepupae intended for animal feed. Animals 2019; 9(4): 178.

28

653	Devic E, Leschen W, Murray F, Little DC. Growth performance, feed utilization and body
654	composition of advanced nursing Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) fed diets containing
655	Black Soldier Fly (Hermetia illucens) larvae meal. Aquac Nutr 2018; 24(1): 416-423.

656 Dernekbaşı. Digestibility and Liver Fatty Acid Composition of Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus

- 657 *mykiss*) Fed by Graded Levels of Canola Oil. Turkish J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2012; 12: 105-
- 658 113.
- Dumas A, Raggi T, Barkhouse J, Lewis E, Weltzien E. The oil fraction and partially defatted
 meal of black soldier fly larvae (*Hermetia illucens*) affect differently growth performance,
- 661 feed efficiency, nutrient deposition, blood glucose and lipid digestibility of rainbow trout
- 662 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture 2018; 492: 24-34.
- FAO, 2020a. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action.Rome.
- 665 FAO, 2020b. European price report. Rome.
- 666 Finke MD. Estimate of chitin in raw whole insects. Zoo Biology 2007; 26(2): 105-115.
- 667 Fry JP, Love DC, MacDonald GK, West PC, Engstrom PM, Nachman KE, Lawrence RS.
- Environmental health impacts of feeding crops to farmed fish. Environ Int 2016; 91: 201-214.
- 670 Gai F, Gasco L, Daprà F, Palmegiano GB, Sicuro B. Enzymatic and histological evaluations of
- gut and liver in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, fed with rice protein concentratebased diets. J World Aquac Soc 2012; 43(2): 218-229.
- Galkanda-Arachchige HS, Wilson AE, Davis DA. Success of fishmeal replacement through
 poultry by-product meal in aquaculture feed formulations: a meta-analysis. Rev Aquac
 2020; 12(3): 1624-1636.
- Gariglio M, Dabbou S, Biasato I, Capucchio MT, Colombino E, Hernández F, Madrid J,
 Martínez S, Gai F, Caimi C, Oddon SB. Nutritional effects of the dietary inclusion of

- partially defatted *Hermetia illucens* larva meal in Muscovy duck. J Anim Sci Biotechnol
 2019; 10(1): 37.
- Gasco L, Acuti G, Bani P, Dalle Zotte A, Danieli PP, De Angelis A, Fortina R, Marino R, Parisi
 G, Piccolo G, Pinotti L. Insect and fish by-products as sustainable alternatives to
 conventional animal proteins in animal nutrition. Ital J Anim Sci 2020; 19(1): 360-372.
- 683 Gasco L, Biancarosa I, Liland NS. From waste to feed: A review of recent knowledge on insects
- as producers of protein and fat for animal feeds. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem 2020;
 23: 67-79.
- Gasco L, Biasato, I, Dabbou S, Schiavone A, Gai F. Animals fed insect-based diets: State-ofthe-art on digestibility, performance and product quality. Animals 2019; 9(4): 170.
- 688 Gasco L, Gai F. Maricchiolo G, Genovese L, Ragonese S, Bottari T, Caruso G. Fish meal
- alternative protein sources for aquaculture feeds. In: Gasco, L., Gai, F., Maricchiolo, G.,
- 690 Genovese, L., Ragonese, S., Bottari, T., Caruso, G. (eds) Feeds for the Aquaculture Sector.
- 691 Current situation and alternative sources. Berlin: Springer International Publishing,; 2018.
 692 p. 1–28.
- 693 Gasco L, Henry M, Piccolo G, Marono S, Gai F, Renna M, Lussiana C, Antonopoulou E, Mola
- 694 P, Chatzifotis S. *Tenebrio molitor* meal in diets for European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus*
- *labrax* L.) juveniles: growth performance, whole body composition and in vivo apparent
 digestibility. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2016; 220: 34-45.
- 697 Geay F, Kestemont P. Feeding and nutrition of percid fishes during ongrowing stages. In:
 698 Biology and Culture of Percid Fishes. 2015, 587-622. Springer, Dordrecht.
- 699 GFLI, Global Feed LCA Institute: LCA feed database, Blonk Consultants (2022).
 700 <u>https://tools.blonkconsultants.nl/tool/gfli/</u>. [assessed 15 January 2022].
- 701 Ghamkhar R, Hicks A. Comparative environmental impact assessment of aquafeed production:
- 702 Sustainability implications of forage fish meal and oil free diets. Resour Conserv Recycl

703 2020; 161: 104849.

724

- Gopalakannan A, Arul V. Immunomodulatory effects of dietary intake of chitin., chitosan and
 levamisole on the immune system of *Cyprinus carpio* and control of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in ponds. Aquaculture 2006; 255(1-4): 179-187.
- 707 Guerreiro I, Castro C, Antunes B, Coutinho F, Rangel F, Couto A, Serra CR, Peres H, Pousão-
- Ferreira P, Matos E, Gasco L, Gai F, Corraze G, Oliva-Teles A, Enes, P. Catching black
- soldier fly for meagre: Growth, whole-body fatty acid profile and metabolic responses.
 Aquaculture 2020, 516: 734613.
- 711 Guler GO, Aktumsek A, Citil OB, Arslan A, Torlak E. Seasonal variations on total fatty acid
- 712 composition of fillets of zander (*Sander lucioperca*) in Beysehir Lake (Turkey). Food
 713 Chem 2007; 103(4): 1241-1246.
- Hawkey KJ, Lopez-Viso C, Brameld JM, Parr T, Salter AM. Insects: a potential source of
 protein and other nutrients for feed and food. Annu Rev Anim Biosci 2021; 9: 333–54.
- Henry M, Gasco L, Piccolo G, Fountoulaki E. Review on the use of insects in the diet of farmed
 fish: past and future. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2015; 203: 1-22.
- Hua K. A meta-analysis of the effects of replacing fish meals with insect meals on growth
 performance of fish. Aquaculture 2021; 530: 735732.
- Hua K, Cobcroft JM, Cole A, Condon K, Jerry DR, Mangott A, Praeger C, Vucko MJ, Zeng C,
- Zenger K, Strugnell JM. The future of aquatic protein: implications for protein sources in
 aquaculture diets. One Earth 2019; 1(3): 316-329.
- 723 Ido A, Hashizume A, Ohta T, Takahashi T, Miura C, Miura T. Replacement of fish meal by

defatted yellow mealworm (Tenebrio molitor) larvae in diet improves growth performance

- and disease resistance in red seabream (*Pargus major*). Animals 2019; 9(3): 100.
- 726 IPIFF International Platform of Insects for Food and Feed (IPIFF), 2018. The European insect
- sector today: challenges., opportunities and regulatory landscape. IPIFF: Brussels.,

728 Belgium.

- Jarmołowicz S, Zakęś Z, Siwicki AK, Hopko M, Głabski E, Demska-Zakęś K, Partyka K.
 Effects of brewer's yeast extract on growth performance and health of juvenile pikeperch *Sander lucioperca* (L.). Aquac Nutr 2012; 18(4): 457-464.
- Kok B, Malcorps W, Tlusty MF, Eltholth MM, Auchterlonie NA, Little DC, Harmsen R,
 Newton RW, Davies SJ. Fish as feed: Using economic allocation to quantify the Fish In:

Fish Out ratio of major fed aquaculture species. Aquaculture 2020; 528: 735474.

- 735 Kowalska A, Zakęś Z, Jankowska B, Siwicki A. Impact of diets with vegetable oils on the
- 736 growth, histological structure of internal organs, biochemical blood parameters, and
- proximate composition of pikeperch *Sander lucioperca* (L.). Aquaculture 2010; 301(1-4):
- *69-77.*
- Kroeckel S, Harjes AG, Roth I, Katz H, Wuertz S, Susenbeth A, Schulz C. When a turbot
 catches a fly: Evaluation of a pre-pupae meal of the Black Soldier Fly (*Hermetia illucens*)
 as fish meal substitute—Growth performance and chitin degradation in juvenile turbot
 (*Psetta maxima*). Aquaculture 2012; 364: 345-352.
- Le Feon S, Thévenot A, Maillard F, Macombe C, Forteau L, Aubin J. Life Cycle Assessment
 of fish fed with insect meal: Case study of mealworm inclusion in trout feed, in France.
 Aquaculture 2019; 500: 82-91.
- Li S, Ji H, Zhang B, Tian J, Zhou J, Yu H. Influence of black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens)
 larvae oil on growth performance, body composition, tissue fatty acid composition and
 lipid deposition in juvenile Jian carp (*Cyprinus carpio* var. Jian). Aquaculture 2016; 465:
 43-52.
- Li S, Ji H, Zhang B, Zhou J, Yu H. Defatted black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae meal
 in diets for juvenile Jian carp (*Cyprinus carpio* var. Jian): Growth performance.,
 antioxidant enzyme activities, digestive enzyme activities, intestine and hepatopancreas

- histological structure. Aquaculture 2017; 477: 62-70.
- Lin YH, Mui JJ. Evaluation of dietary inclusion of housefly maggot (*Musca domestica*) meal
 on growth, fillet composition and physiological responses for barramundi, *Lates calcarifer*. Aquac Res 2017; 48(5): 2478-2485.
- 757Lock ER, Arsiwalla T, Waagbø R. Insect larvae meal as an alternative source of nutrients in the
- diet of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) postsmolt. Aquac Nutr 2016; 22(6): 1202-1213.
- Lock EJ, Biancarosa I, Gasco L. Insects as raw materials in compound feed for aquaculture. In:
 Edible insects in sustainable food systems. 2018: 263-276. Springer, Cham.
- 761 Magalhães R, Sánchez-López A, Leal RS, Martínez-Llorens S, Oliva-Teles A, Peres H. Black
- soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) pre-pupae meal as a fish meal replacement in diets for
 European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Aquaculture 2017; 476: 79-85.
- Mahadevan G, Gosavi SM, Murugesan P. Length-weight relationships and condition factor of
 five marine finfish species from Parangipettai (Tamil Nadu) and Mumbai (Maharashtra)
 coast of India. Thalassas 2020; 36: 375-385.
- 767 Mastoraki M, Ferrándiz PM, Vardali SC, Kontodimas DC, Kotzamanis YP, Gasco L,
- Chatzifotis S, Antonopoulou E. A comparative study on the effect of fish meal substitution
 with three different insect meals on growth, body composition and metabolism of
 European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). Aquaculture 2020; 528: 735511.
- 771 Moutinho S, Martínez-Llorens S, Tomás-Vidal A, Jover-Cerdá M, Oliva-Teles A, Peres H.
- 772 Meat and bone meal as partial replacement for fish meal in diets for gilthead seabream
- (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles: Growth, feed efficiency, amino acid utilization, and economic
 efficiency. Aquaculture 2017; 468: 271-277.
- Muin H, Taufek NM, Kamarudin MS, Razak SA. Growth performance, feed utilization and
 body composition of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fed with
 different levels of black soldier fly, *Hermetia illucens* (Linnaeus, 1758) maggot meal diet.

33

778 Iran J Fish Sci 2017; 16(2): 567-577.

- Naylor RL, Hardy RW, Bureau DP, Chiu A, Elliott M, Farrell AP, Forster I, Gatlin DM,
 Goldburg RJ, Hua K, Nichols PD. Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite resources. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci 2009; 106(36): 15103-15110.
- 782 Neumann C, Velten S, Liebert F. Improving the Dietary Protein Quality by Amino Acid
- Fortification with a High Inclusion Level of Micro Algae (Spirulina platensis) or Insect

784 Meal (*Hermetia illucens*) in Meat Type Chicken Diets. Open J Anim Sci 2018; 8: 12-26.

785 Nogales-Mérida S, Gobbi P, Józefiak D, Mazurkiewicz J, Dudek K, Rawski M, Kierończyk B,

Józefiak A. Insect meals in fish nutrition. Rev Aquac 2019; 11(4): 1080-1103.

- Nyina-wamwiza L, Xu XL, Blanchard G, Kestemont P. Effect of dietary protein, lipid and
 carbohydrate ratio on growth, feed efficiency and body composition of pikeperch *Sander lucioperca* fingerlings. Aquac Res 2005; 36(5): 486-492.
- Oliva-Teles A, Enes P, Peres H. Replacing fishmeal and fish oil in industrial aquafeeds for
 carnivorous fish. In: Allen Davis editor. Feed and feeding practices in aquaculture,
 Woodhead Publishing Series in Food Science, Technology and Nutrition; 2015. p. 203233.
- Papáček Š, Petera K, Císař P, Stejskal V, Saberioon M. Experimental & Computational Fluid
 Dynamics Study of the Suitability of Different Solid Feed Pellets for Aquaculture Systems.
 Appl Sci 2020; 10(19): 6954.
- Pearce J, Harris JE, Davies SJ. The effect of vitamin E on the serum complement activity of the
 rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). Aquac Nutr 2003; 9: 337-334.
- 799 Piccolo G, Iaconisi V, Marono S, Gasco L, Loponte R, Nizza S, Bovera F, Parisi G. Effect of
- 800 Tenebrio molitor larvae meal on growth performance, in vivo nutrients digestibility,
- 801 somatic and marketable indexes of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). Anim Feed Sci
- 802 Technol 2017;226: 12-20.

34

- Pieterse E, Erasmus SW, Uushona T, Hoffman LC. Black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) prepupae meal as a dietary protein source for broiler production ensures a tasty chicken with
 standard meat quality for every pot. J Sci Food Agric 2019; 99(2): 893-903.
- Policar T, Schaefer FJ, Panana E, Meyer S, Teerlinck S, Toner D, Żarski D. Recent progress in
 European percid fish culture production technology–tackling bottlenecks. Aquac Int 2019;
- 808 27: 1151-1174.
- 809 Policar T, Blecha M, Křišťan J, Mráz J, Velíšek J, Stará A, Stejskal V, Malinovskyi O, Svačina

810 P, Samarin AM. Comparison of production efficiency and quality of differently cultured

811 pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca* L.) juveniles as a valuable product for ongrowing culture.

- 812 Aquac Int 2016; 24(6): 1607-1626.
- Policar T, Stejskal V, Kristan J, Podhorec P, Svinger V, Blaha M. The effect of fish size and
 stocking density on the weaning success of pond-cultured pikeperch *Sander lucioperca* L.
 juveniles. Aquac Int 2013; 21(4): 869-882.
- Rawski M, Mazurkiewicz J, Kierończyk B, Józefiak D. Black soldier fly full-fat larvae meal is
 more profitable than fish meal and fish oil in siberian sturgeon farming: The effects on

aquaculture sustainability, economy and fish git development. Animals 2021;11(3): 604.

- 819 Renna M, Schiavone A, Gai F, Dabbou S, Lussiana C, Malfatto V, Prearo M, Capucchio MT,
- 820 Biasato I, Biasibetti E, De Marco M. Gasco L. Evaluation of the suitability of a partially
- 821 defatted black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens* L.) larvae meal as ingredient for rainbow trout

822 (Oncorhynchus mykiss Walbaum) diets. J Anim Sci Biotechnol 2017; 8(1):1-3.

- Sales J, Britz PJ. Evaluation of different markers to determine apparent nutrient digestibility
 coefficients of feed ingredients for South African abalone (*Haliotis midae* L.). Aquaculture
- 825 2001; 202(1-2): 113-129.
- Salomone R, Saija G, Mondello G, Giannetto A, Fasulo S, Savastano D. Environmental impact
 of food waste bioconversion by insects: application of life cycle assessment to process

Journal Pre-proo

- using *Hermetia illucens*. J Clean Prod 2017; 140: 890-905.
- 829 Samuel-Fitwi B, Meyer S, Reckmann K, Schroeder JP, Schulz C. Aspiring for environmentally
- 830 conscious aquafeed: comparative LCA of aquafeed manufacturing using different protein
- 831 sources. J Clean Prod 2013;52: 225-233.
- 832 Sampels S, Zajic T, Mraz J. Effects of frying fat and preparation on carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)
 833 fillet lipid composition and oxidation. Czech JFood Sci2014; 32(5): 493-502.
- Schulz C, Knaus U, Wirth M, Rennert B. Effects of varying dietary fatty acid profile on growth
 performance, fatty acid, body and tissue composition of juvenile pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*). Aquac Nutr 2005; 11(6): 403-413.
- 837 Schulz C, Böhm M, Wirth M, Rennert B. Effect of dietary protein on growth, feed conversion,
- body composition and survival of pike perch fingerlings (*Sander lucioperca*). Aquacult
 Nutr 2007; 13: 373–380.
- 840 Schulz C, Huber M, Ogunji J, Rennert B. Effects of varying dietary protein to lipid ratios on
- growth performance and body composition of juvenile pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*).
 Aquacult Nutr 2008; 14: 166–173.
- 843 Sealey WM, Gaylord TG, Barrows FT, Tomberlin JK, McGuire MA, Ross C, St-Hilaire S.
- Sensory analysis of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, fed enriched black soldier fly
 prepupae, *Hermetia illucens*. J World Aquac Soc 2011; 42(1): 34-45.
- 846 Secci G, Bovera F, Nizza S, Baronti N, Gasco L, Conte G, Serra A, Bonelli A, Parisi G. Quality
- 847 of eggs from Lohmann Brown Classic laying hens fed black soldier fly meal as substitute
- 848 for soya bean. Animal 2018; 12(10): 2191-2197.
- Silva CB, Valente LM, Matos E, Brandão M, Neto B. Life cycle assessment of aquafeed
 ingredients. Int J Life Cycle Assess. 2018;23(5): 995-1017.
- 851 Smárason BÖ, Ögmundarson Ó, Árnason J, Bjornsdottir R, Davidsdottir B. Life cycle 852 assessment of Icelandic arctic char fed three different feed types. Turk J Fish Aquat Sci

- 853 2017; 17: 79-90.
- Smetana S, Schmitt E, Mathys A. Sustainable use of *Hermetia illucens* insect biomass for feed
 and food: Attributional and consequential life cycle assessment. Resour Conserv Recycl
 2019; 144: 285-296.
- Smith AD, Brown CJ, Bulman CM, Fulton EA, Johnson P, Kaplan IC, Lozano-Montes H
 Mackinson S, Marzloff M, Shannon LJ, Shin YJ. Impacts of fishing low–trophic level
 species on marine ecosystems. Science 2011; 333(6046): 1147-1150.
- 860 Steenfeldt S, Fontaine P, Overton JL, Policar T, Toner D, Falahatkar B, Horvath A, Khemis IB,
- 861 Hamza N, Mhetli M. Chapter 32: Current status of Eurasian percid fishes aquaculture. In:
- Kestemont, P., Dabrowski, K., Summerfelt, R.C (eds) Biology and culture of percid
 fishes—principles and practices. New York: Springer, 2015. p. 817–841.
- Stejskal V, Matousek J, Podhorec P, Prokesova M, Zajic T, Mraz J. The effect of culture system
 on proximate composition and amino and fatty acid profiles of peled *Coregonus peled*fillets. J Aquat Food Prod Technol 2019; 28(9); 933-943.
- 867 Stejskal V, Tran HQ, Prokesova M, Gebauer T, Giang PT, Gai F, Gasco L. Partially defatted
- *Hermetia illucens* larva meal in diet of Eurasian perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) juveniles.
 Animals 2020; 10(10): 1876.
- 870 St-Hilaire S, Sheppard C, Tomberlin JK, Irving S, Newton L, McGuire MA, Mosley EE, Hardy
- RW, Sealey W. Fly prepupae as a feedstuff for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J
 World Aquac Soc 2007; 38(1): 59-67.
- Tacon AG, Metian M. Global overview on the use of fish meal and fish oil in industrially
 compounded aquafeeds: Trends and future prospects. Aquaculture 2008; 285(1-4): 146158.
- 876 Terova G, Rimoldi S, Ascione C, Gini E, Ceccotti C, Gasco L. Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*877 *mykiss*) gut microbiota is modulated by insect meal from *Hermetia illucens* prepupae in

Journal Pre-proof

- 878 the diet. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2019; 29(2): 465-486.
- 879 Thévenot A, Rivera JL, Wilfart A, Maillard F, Hassouna M, Senga-Kiesse T, Le Féon S, Aubin
- J. Mealworm meal for animal feed: Environmental assessment and sensitivity analysis to
 guide future prospects. J Clean Prod 2018; 170: 1260-1267.
- 882 Tran HQ, Prokešová M, Zare M, Gebauer T, Elia AC, Colombino E, Ferrocino I, Caimi C, Gai
- F, Gasco L, Stejskal V. How Does Pikeperch Sander lucioperca Respond to Dietary Insect
- Meal *Hermetia illucens*? Investigation on Gut Microbiota, Histomorphology, and
 Antioxidant Biomarkers. Front. Mar. Sci. 2021;8: 680942
- 886 Tran HQ, Prokešová M, Zare M, Matoušek J, Ferrocino I, Gasco L, Stejskal V. Production
- performance, nutrient digestibility, serum biochemistry, fillet composition, intestinal
 microbiota and environmental impacts of European perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) fed defatted
 mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*). Aquaculture 2022a; 547:737499.
- Tran HQ, Van Doan H, Stejskal V. Environmental consequences of using insect meal as an
 ingredient in aquafeeds: A systematic view. Reviews in Aquaculture 2022b; 14(1):23751.
- Troell M, Naylor RL, Metian M, Beveridge M, Tyedmers PH, Folke C, Arrow KJ, Barrett S,
 Crépin AS, Ehrlich PR, Gren Å. Does aquaculture add resilience to the global food
 system?. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2014; 111(37): 13257-13263.
- 896 Turchini GM, Torstensen BE, Ng WK. Fish oil replacement in finfish nutrition. Rev Aquac
 897 2009; 1(1): 10-57.
- Wang N, Xu X, Kestemont P. Effect of temperature and feeding frequency on growth
 performances, feed efficiency and body composition of pikeperch juveniles (*Sander lucioperca*). Aquaculture 2009; 289(1-2): 70-73.
- Wang G, Peng K, Hu J, Yi C, Chen X, Wu H, Huang Y. Evaluation of defatted black soldier
 fly (*Hermetia illucens* L.) larvae meal as an alternative protein ingredient for juvenile

903 Japanese seabass (Lateolabrax japonicus) diets. Aquaculture 2019; 507: 144-154.

904 Weththasinghe P, Hansen JØ, Nøkland D, Lagos L, Rawski M, Øverland M. Full-fat black 905

soldier fly larvae (Hermetia illucens) meal and paste in extruded diets for Atlantic salmon

- 906 (Salmo salar): Effect on physical pellet quality, nutrient digestibility, nutrient utilization
- 907 and growth performances. Aquaculture 2021; 530: 735785.
- 908 Yoo JS, Cho KH, Hong JS, Jang HS, Chung YH, Kwon GT, Shin DG, Kim YY. Nutrient ileal 909 digestibility evaluation of dried mealworm (Tenebrio molitor) larvae compared to three 910 animal protein by-products in growing pigs. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2019; 32(3): 387.
- 911 Zakęś Z, Kowalska A, Demska-Zakęś K, Jeney G, Jeney Z. Effect of two medicinal herbs
- 912 (Astragalus radix and Lonicera japonica) on the growth performance and body
- 913 composition of juvenile pikeperch [Sander lucioperca (L.)]. Aquac Res 2008; 39(11):
- 914 1149-1160.
- Zander K, Feucht Y. Consumers' willingness to pay for sustainable seafood made in Europe. J. 915 916 Int. Food Agribus Mark 2018; 30(3): 251-275.
- 917 Zhou JS, Liu SS, Ji H, Yu HB. Effect of replacing dietary fish meal with black soldier fly larvae 918 meal on growth and fatty acid composition of Jian carp (Cyprinus carpio var. Jian). Aquac 919 Nutr 2018; 24(1): 424-433.

921	Table 1. Ingredients and	proximate composition	(%, as fed) of the HIM and of the

922 experimental diets.

Item	Fishmeal	HIM	H0	H9	H18	H36
Ingredients						
Herring fishmeal ¹			30	22.5	15	0
HIM^2			0.0	9.0	18.0	36.0
Soybean protein concentrate			7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
Corn gluten meal			17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0
Soybean meal			15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Wheat meal			8.0	6.5	5.0	2.0
Merigel			6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Fish oil			6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Soybean oil			6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Vitamin mixture ³			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Mineral mixture ⁴			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
DL-Methionine			0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
L-Lysine			0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Celite ⁵			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Proximate composition ⁶						
DM	94.0	91.0	94.3	94.9	94.5	94.8
$CP(N \times 6.25)$	71.2	54.5	44.8	45.2	44.7	45.1
EE	9.4	8.5	18.9	18.2	18.9	17.4
Ash	14.0	7.6	8.7	8.6	8.1	7.4
Chitin ⁷		5.34	-	0.47	0.97	1.93
NFE ⁸	4.1	24.06	27.60	27.53	27.33	28.17
Gross energy ⁹ , MJ/kg	21.22	20.20	21.05	20.36	20.32	21.06

923 HIM = defatted *Hermetia illucens* larva meal; DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether

- 924 extract; NFE = nitrogen free extracts.
- ⁹²⁵ ¹Purchased from FF SKAGEN A/S (Skagen, Denmark).
- ⁹²⁶ ²Purchased from Hermetia Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG (Baruth/Mark, Germany).
- 927 ³Vitamin mixture (IU or mg/kg diet): DL-α tocopherol acetate, 60 IU; sodium menadione
- 928 bisulphate, 5 mg; retinyl acetate, 15,000 IU; DL-cholecalciferol, 3,000 IU; thiamin, 15 mg;
- riboflavin, 30 mg; pyridoxine, 15 mg; B₁₂, 0.05 mg; nicotinic acid, 175 mg; folic acid, 500 mg;
- 930 inositol, 1,000 mg; biotin, 2.5 mg; calcium panthotenate, 50 mg (purchased from Granda
- 931 Zootecnici S.r.l., Cuneo, Italy).
- ⁴Mineral mixture (g or mg/kg diet): dicalcium phosphate, 500 g; calcium carbonate, 215 g;
- sodium salt 40, g; potassium chloride, 90 g; magnesium chloride, 124 g; magnesium carbonate,

- 934 124 g; iron sulphate, 20 g; zinc sulphate, 4 g; copper sulphate, 3 g; potassium iodide, 4 mg;
- 935 cobalt sulphate, 20 mg; manganese sulphate, 3 g; sodium fluoride, 1 g (purchased from Granda
- 936 Zootecnici S.r.l., Cuneo, Italy).
- 937 ⁵Celite, a source of acid-insoluble ash.
- 938 ⁶Values are reported as the mean values of duplicated analyses.
- 939 ⁷Estimated as ADF – ADFN.
- 940 8 Calculated as 100 - (CP + EE + Ash + Chitin).
- 941 ⁹Determined by means of a calorimetric bomb.
- 942

halphore

943	Table 2. Amino acid content (% of protein) of the fishmeal, defatted black	soldier fly
-----	--	-------------

Item	БМ		_	Experime	ntal diets ¹	
	FM	HIM	H0	H9	H18	H36
Σ Essential amino acids	46.2	54.3	50.8	46.1	48.8	47.2
Arginine	6.2	5.6	4.4	3.8	4.5	4.2
Histidine	2.4	3.0	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.3
Isoleucine	4.2	5.1	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.8
Leucine	7.2	7.9	9.2	8.4	8.9	8.7
Lysine	7.5	6.6	9.8	8.8	9.2	8.3
Methionine	2.7	2.1	3.4	2.6	2.7	2.3
Phenylalanine	3.9	5.2	4.6	4.2	3.9	3.9
Tyrosine	3.1	6.9	3.6	3.7	3.6	4.2
Threonine	4.1	3.7	5.3	4.8	5.1	4.8
Valine	4.9	8.2	4.1	3.8	4.6	4.7
Σ Non-essential amino acids	42.5	44.0	46.5	44.2	43.8	45.5
Alanine	6.3	7.7	5.4	5.2	6.1	6.5
Aspartic acid	9.1	10.0	7.9	7.2	7.9	7.7
Glycine	6.4	5.7	4.2	3.8	3.9	3.8
Glutamic acid	12.6	10.9	15.7	14.6	15.3	14.9
Proline	4.2	6.6	9.2	9.6	6.4	8.3
Serine	3.9	3.1	4.1	3.8	4.2	4.3
Total amino acids	88.7	98.3	97.3	90.3	92.6	92.7

944 *Hermetia illucens* and the experimental diets.

945 FM = herring fish meal; HIM = *Hermetia illucens* meal;

¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by HIM at 0%,

947 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

Fatty acids	FM	HIM		Experimental diets ¹			
Tatty actus	1 111	111111	H0	H9	H18	H36	
C12:0	0.35	43.70	0.04	1.61	2.57	6.18	
C14:0	5.16	11.82	1.72	2.01	2.12	2.75	
C16:0	21.64	16.34	10.27	10.68	10.52	10.62	
C16:1	5.00	3.92	2.37	2.39	2.40	2.41	
C18:0	4.45	2.69	2.99	3.02	3.03	2.81	
C18:1n9	16.64	11	20.13	19.60	19.56	18.85	
C18:1n7	1.67	0.38	20.62	19.60	19.79	19.45	
C18:2n6	2.47	nd	25.76	25.41	25.10	24.18	
C18:3n3	0.16	0.76	3.89	3.73	3.70	3.43	
C20:1n9	1.25	nd	3.30	3.12	3.10	2.75	
C20:3n3	4.26	nd	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.08	
C20:4n6	0.17	nd	0.25	0.24	0.19	0.11	
C20:5n3	0.99	nd	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.26	
C22:5n6	9.72	nd	0.63	0.59	0.54	0.42	
C22:6n3	1.00	nd	4.82	4.55	3.91	2.67	
C23:0	nd	nd	0.50	0.80	0.86	0.81	
Other	4.40	1.0	2.28	2.24	2.21	2.22	
SFA	33.76	74.89	16.46	19.06	20.00	23.95	
MUFA	29.30	15.43	47.09	45.36	45.48	44.02	
PUFA	36.58	9.15	36.00	35.14	34.04	31.60	
n3	31.83	0.76	9.14	8.69	8.01	6.44	
n6	4.74	8.39	26.81	26.40	25.98	24.83	
n3/n6	6.72	0.09	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.26	

949 soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) and the experimental diets.

950 FM = herring fish meal; HIM = defatted black soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*); nd = traces, <
951 0.05%; SFA = saturated fatty acids; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA =
952 polyunsaturated fatty acids.

¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by HIM at 0%,

954 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

956	Table 4. Survival and growth performances of the pikeperch fed the experimental diets
-----	---

Item —	Experimental diets ¹					
	H0	H9	H18	H36	- <i>P</i> -value	
IBW, g	69.0±6.5	67.5±7.0	68.4±5.7	69.9±7.0	0.092	
BW21, g	91.3±12.1 ^{ab}	91.1±8.9a ^b	91.2±10.3 ^a	87.6±9.8 ^b	0.031	
BW42, g	$111.8{\pm}18.0^{a}$	109.3±12.9ª	110±16.8 ^a	102.4±13.6 ^b	0.001	
BW63, g	128.5 ± 21.8^{a}	129.6±20.3ª	127.1±20.8 ^{ab}	119.0±18.6 ^b	0.005	
FBW, g	154.3±24.5ª	152.3 ± 24.2^{a}	151.6±26.5 ^a	132.7 ± 19.9^{b}	< 0.001	
SR, %	96±2.0	97.3±3.1	96.7±1.2	94±5.3	0.642	
WG, %	122.0±2.5ª	126.1 ± 17.4^{a}	121.9±6.5 ^a	86.9±6.7 ^b	0.004	
SGR, %/d	0.95 ± 0.20^{a}	0.96±0.21ª	0.93±0.22 ^a	0.76 ± 0.17^{b}	< 0.001	
Feed intake (g/kg ABW per day)	10.65±0.27 ^b	10.86±0.30 ^b	10.66±0.18 ^b	11.78±0.12ª	< 0.001	
Feed conversion ratio ²	1.27±0.06 ^b	1.28±0.07 ^b	1.29±0.03 ^b	1.81±0.15ª	< 0.001	
FR, %/d	1.25 ± 0.01^{b}	1.28 ± 0.01^{b}	1.26±0.03 ^b	1.34 ± 0.02^{a}	0.002	
PER	1.66 ± 0.08^{a}	1.64±0.09 ^a	1.64 ± 0.04^{a}	1.16 ± 0.10^{b}	< 0.001	

957 (mean ± standard deviation).

IBW = initial body weight; BW21 = body weight at day 21; BW42 = body weight at day 42;

BW63 = body weight at day 63; FBW = final body weight; SR = survival rate; WG = weight
gain; SGR = specific growth rate; ABW = average body weight; FR = feeding rate; PER =
protein efficiency ratio.

962 ^{a,b}Different letters within a row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by defatted black
 soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) at 0%, 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

964 solder Hy (*Hemelia unicens*) at 0%, 25\%, 50% and 100 965 ² Data published in the study (Tran et al., 2021).

Journal Pre-proof

967 Table 5. Condition factor, somatic indexes and fillet yield in the pikeperch fed the

Item -		Duralma			
	H0	H9	H18	H36	<i>P</i> -value
K^2	0.81 ± 0.09^{a}	$0.80{\pm}0.07^{ab}$	0.81 ± 0.09^{a}	$0.78 {\pm} 0.06^{b}$	0.019
HSI ³ , %	1.41 ± 0.36^{a}	$1.20{\pm}0.27^{ab}$	1.03 ± 0.26^{b}	1.27 ± 0.22^{a}	< 0.001
VSI ⁴ , %	$9.42{\pm}1.58^{a}$	8.68 ± 1.39^{ab}	7.54 ± 0.95^{b}	8.79 ± 1.73^{a}	< 0.001
PFI ⁵ , %	5.16 ± 1.42^{a}	4.64 ± 1.27^{a}	3.92 ± 0.74^{b}	4.58 ± 1.40^{a}	0.019
FY ⁶ , %	45.6 ± 2.1^{ab}	46.1 ± 2.2^{ab}	46.6 ± 1.5^{a}	44.8 ± 1.9^{b}	0.027

968 experimental diets (mean \pm standard deviation, n = 21).

969 ^{a,b}Different letters within a row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

⁹⁷⁰ ¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by defatted black

soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) at 0%, 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

972 ²Fulton's condition factor (K) = [Final body weight (g)/Final body length (mm)³] \times 100.

973 ³Hepatosomatic index (HSI) = $100 \times \text{Liver weight (g)/Fish weight (g)}$.

 4 Viscerosomatic index (VSI) = $100 \times$ Viscera weight (g)/Fish weight (g).

975 ⁵Perivisceral fat index (PFI) = $100 \times$ Perivisceral fat weight (g)/Fish weight (g).

976 ⁶Fillet yield (FY) = $100 \times$ Fillet weight (g)/BW.

978 Table 6. Apparent digestibility coefficient of the dry matter, proteins and ether extract

979	of pikeperch fed the experimental diets (mean \pm standard deviation, $n = 3$).
111	or properties for the experimental areas (mean \pm standard are standard), $n = 5/3$

	E	Experimental diet	s ¹		D voluo
Item	H0	H9	H18	H36	<i>P</i> -value
ADC DM	82.77 ± 0.77^{a}	81.64 ± 0.59^{ab}	80.86 ± 0.35^{b}	$72.90{\pm}0.16^{c}$	0.001
ADC CP	86.10 ± 0.62^{a}	84.35 ± 0.50^{b}	82.95±0.16 ^c	$70.75{\pm}0.18^{d}$	0.001
ADC EE	84.15±0.71 ^a	82.90 ± 0.55^{a}	83.15 ± 0.68^{a}	$72.22{\pm}0.17^{b}$	0.001
1 D C			1		·

980 ADC = apparent digestibility coefficient; DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether

- 982 ^{a-d}Different letters within a row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).
- ¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by defatted black
- soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) at 0%, 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

985

John of Breiler

⁹⁸¹ extract.

986 Table 7. Proximate composition (homogenates of the whole body; g/100 g as it is) of the

Item	H0	H9	H18	H36	<i>P</i> -value
DM	26.2 ± 1.4^{ab}	27.0 ± 1.8^{a}	25.7 ± 0.9^{ab}	25.0 ± 1.5^{b}	0.043
СР	16.8 ± 0.6^{ab}	17 ± 1.0^{a}	16.9 ± 1.0^{ab}	15.9 ± 0.7^{b}	0.026
EE	7.2 ± 0.7^{ab}	7.8 ± 1.6^{a}	6.2 ± 0.7^{b}	6.4 ± 0.7^{b}	0.006
Ash	3.8±0.2	3.8±0.4	4.0 ± 0.4	3.8±0.3	0.597
Energy content, MJ/kg	0.63 ± 0.04^{ab}	0.65 ± 0.06^{a}	$0.59{\pm}0.03^{ab}$	$0.57{\pm}0.06^{b}$	0.007

987 pikeperch fed the experimental diets (mean \pm standard deviation, n = 9).

988 DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract.

989 ^{a,b}Different letters within a row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

⁹⁹⁰ ¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by defatted black

shidt re

- soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) at 0%, 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.
- 992

994	Table 8. Proximate composition (g/100 g as it) and fatty acid profiles (% of total fatty
995	acids) of fillet of pikeperch fed the experimental diets.

		Experimental diets ¹			D voluo
Itom	H0	H9	H18	H36	<i>P</i> -value
Item Proximate comp		П9	Піо	ПЗО	
DM	20.8 ± 0.1^{ab}	21.3±0.6 ^a	21.0 ± 0.8^{ab}	20.3±1.1 ^b	0.003
CP	19.7 ± 0.7^{b}	21.3 ± 0.0 20.2 ± 0.3^{a}	19.9 ± 0.5^{ab}	20.3 ± 1.1 19.2 $\pm0.7^{\circ}$	< 0.003
EE	19.7 ± 0.7 0.86 ± 0.21	20.2 ± 0.3 0.81 ± 0.21	19.9 ± 0.3 0.88 ± 0.27	19.2 ± 0.7 0.83 ± 0.20	< 0.001 0.791
Ash	0.86 ± 0.21 1.10 ± 0.06^{a}	0.81 ± 0.21 1.10±0.11 ^a	0.88 ± 0.27 1.09±0.12 ^a	0.83 ± 0.20 1.01 ± 0.04^{b}	< 0.001
Fatty acid	1.10±0.00	1.10±0.11	1.0720.12	1.01_0.01	< 0.001
profiles					
C12:0	$0.02\pm0.01^{\circ}$	0.23 ± 0.09^{bc}	0.55±0.14 ^a	$0.50{\pm}0.27^{ab}$	< 0.001
C12:0	$1.15\pm0.21^{\circ}$	1.26 ± 0.13^{bc}	1.59±0.20 ^a	1.55 ± 0.29^{ab}	0.001
C16:0	18.83 ± 1.44^{ab}	19.25 ± 0.57^{a}	18.75 ± 0.65^{ab}	18.27±0.81 ^b	0.048
C16:1	2.17±0.31	1.84±0.30	2.15 ± 0.39	2.08±0.42	0.112
C18:0	4.98±0.60	5.45±0.31	5.5±0.64	5.27±0.55	0.155
C18:1n9	13.15±1.65	11.86 ± 1.24	13.02 ± 1.08	13.3 ± 1.72	0.072
C18:1n7	nd	nd	nd	nd	01072
C18:2n6	13.85±3.95 ^b	14.36±1.06 ^b	15.34±0.63 ^b	17.31 ± 1.18^{a}	0.001
C18:3n3	1.66 ± 0.47^{a}	1.47 ± 0.13^{b}	1.67 ± 0.13^{ab}	1.83 ± 0.20^{a}	0.001
C20:1n9	1.64 ± 0.08^{a}	1.43 ± 0.13^{b}	1.46 ± 0.06^{b}	1.57 ± 0.12^{ab}	< 0.001
C20:3n3	1.45 ± 0.18^{a}	1.44 ± 0.13^{a}	1.27 ± 0.10^{ab}	1.25 ± 0.16^{b}	0.002
C20:4n6	0.14±0.03	0.14±0.03	$0.14{\pm}0.01$	0.14 ± 0.04	0.690
C20:5n3	4.88±0.61	4.95±0.56	4.53±0.22	4.89±0.54	0.252
C22:5n6	$1.49{\pm}0.17^{b}$	1.47 ± 0.52^{ab}	1.41±0.45 ^b	$1.82{\pm}0.36^{a}$	0.009
C22:6n3	32.79 ± 4.14^{a}	32.85±2.02 ^a	30.69 ± 1.80^{ab}	28.37±2.67 ^b	0.001
C23:0	nd	nd	nd	nd	
SFA	25.66±1.93	26.88±0.65	27.04±0.95	26.21±0.82	0.078
MUFA	15.08±1.64	13.59±1.32	14.73±1.13	15.12±1.80	0.075
PUFA	56.75±1.41	57.21±1.47	55.57±1.91	56.16±2.19	0.185
PUFA+MUFA	$71.82{\pm}1.76^{a}$	$70.8{\pm}0.71^{ab}$	70.29 ± 1.10^{b}	71.28±0.81 ^{ab}	0.029
n3	40.78±4.13 ^a	40.71±2.10 ^a	38.16 ± 1.94^{ab}	36.33 ± 2.84^{b}	0.001
n6	15.96 ± 3.88^{b}	16.49±1.25 ^b	17.40±0.77 ^b	$19.82{\pm}1.04^{a}$	< 0.001
n3/n6	$2.88{\pm}1.53^{a}$	2.49 ± 0.29^{a}	$2.20{\pm}0.16^{ab}$	1.84±0.21 ^b	< 0.001
UI	284.90±16.76 ^a	284.25±9.77 ^a	272.37±10.35 ^{ab}	267.05±13.74 ^b	0.003
AI	0.33 ± 0.02^{b}	$0.35{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	0.37 ± 0.02^{a}	0.35±0.02 ^{ab}	0.002
TI	$0.18{\pm}0.01^{b}$	$0.19{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.003

996 DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; nd = traces, < 0.05%; SFA = saturated

997 fatty acids; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids.

998 ^{a-c}Different letters within a row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by defatted black
soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) at 0%, 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

Journal Pre-proof

- 1001 ²Unsaturation index (UI) = $1 \times (\% \text{ monoenoics}) + 2 \times (\% \text{ dienoics}) + 3 \times (\% \text{ trienoics}) + 4 \times (\% \text{ trienoic$
- 1002 (% tetraenoics) + $5 \times$ (% pentaenoics) + $6 \times$ (% hexaenoics).
- ³Atherogenicity index (AI) = $[C12:0 + (4 \times C14:0) + C16:0]/\Sigma$ Unsaturated fatty acids.
- 1004 ⁴Thrombogenicity index (TI) = $(C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0)/[(0.5 \times \Sigma MUFA) + (0.5 \times \Sigma n6 PUFA)]$
- 1005 + $(3 \times \Sigma n3 \text{ PUFA}) + (n3/n6)$].

1006

1007

1008

Journal Pre-proof

1009 **Table 9 Economic and environmental sustainability parameters of pikeperch fed the**

Item	Experimental diets ¹				<i>P</i> -value
	H0	H9	H18	H36	
Diet cost, €/kg	0.97	1.17	1.36	1.75	-
ECR ² , € /kg of fish	1.23±0.06°	1.50 ± 0.08^{bc}	$1.75{\pm}0.04^{b}$	3.17 ± 0.27^{a}	< 0.00
EPI ² , €/fish	1.06 ± 0.02^{a}	$1.03{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$1.00{\pm}0.03^{a}$	$0.81{\pm}0.03^{b}$	< 0.00
FIFO ²	1.66 ± 0.08^{a}	1.33 ± 0.07^{b}	$0.98 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.40{\pm}0.03^{d}$	< 0.00
Environmental impacts a	ssociated with 1 kg p	oikeperch produc	tion		
GWP, kg CO ₂ eq.	$2.59\pm0.13^{\rm c}$	3.1 ± 0.17^{bc}	3.6 ± 0.09^{b}	6.45 ± 0.54^{a}	< 0.00
Acidification, kg SO ₂ eq.	$11.67\pm0.58^{\text{b}}$	$12.96\pm0.71^{\text{b}}$	14.24 ± 0.36^{b}	23.42 ± 1.96^a	< 0.00
Eutrophication, kg P eq.	0.26 ± 0.01^{d}	$0.98\pm0.05^{\rm c}$	$1.71\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$4.44\pm0.37^{\text{a}}$	< 0.00
Land use, m^2a	$2.11\pm0.11^{\text{b}}$	2.23 ± 0.12^{b}	$2.35\pm0.06^{\text{b}}$	$3.61\pm0.3^{\rm a}$	< 0.00
Energy use, kg oil eq.	$0.34\pm0.02^{\text{d}}$	$0.53 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$0.73\pm0.02^{\rm b}$	$1.58\pm0.13^{\rm a}$	< 0.00
Water use, m ³	$0.036\pm0.002^{\text{b}}$	0.036 ± 0.002^{b}	0.036 ± 0.001^{b}	0.051 ± 0.004^{a}	< 0.00

1010 experimental diets (mean ± standard deviation, n = 3).

1011 GWP = global warming potential; eq. = equivalent.

1012 a-dDifferent letters within a row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

¹H0, H9, H18, H36 represent experimental diets where fishmeal was replaced by defatted black

1014 soldier fly (*Hemetia illucens*) at 0%, 25%, 50% and 100%, respectively.

1015 2 ECR = Feed conversion ratio × D_P;

- 1016 EPI = (Weight gain \times S_P) (Weigth gain \times D_P);
- 1017 $FIFO = (L_{FM} + L_{FO})/(Y_{FMw} + Y_{FOw}) \times Feed conversion ratio;$
- 1018 Where D_P is the price of the diet (ϵ /kg of diet) and S_P is the selling price (ϵ 7.58/kg); L_{FM} is the
- 1019 level of FM in the diet; L_{FO} is the level of fish oil in the diet; Y_{FMw} is the FM yield from wild
- 1020 fish; Y_{FOw} is the fish oil yield from wild fish.