



# AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Learning Iteration for Grades 2-3: Puzzles vs. UMC in Code.org

This is a pre print version of the following article:
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1919750 since 2023-07-11T17:03:46Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1145/3545947.3576312
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

# Learning Iteration for Grades 2-3: Puzzles vs. UMC in Code.org

Enrico Nardelli, Francesco Lacchia University of Roma "Tor Vergata" Italy

Violetta Lonati, Mattia Monga, Anna Morpurgo Università degli Studi di Milano Italy Renzo Davoli, Michael Lodi, Marco Sbaraglia University of Bologna Italy

> Luca Forlizzi, Giovanna Melideo University of L'Aquila Italy

Ilenia Fronza Free University of Bozen-Bolzano Italy Veronica Rossano, Enrichetta Gentile University of Bari Italy

Sara Capecchi University of Turin Italy

Tullio Vardanega University of Padua Italy

## ABSTRACT

This project, partially supported by research grant —*anonymized*—to —*-anonymized*—, compares the effectiveness of two alternative instructional methods and procedures in supporting the learning of central concepts of Informatics in primary education. The project, contributed by eight university groups, runs in two rounds in the year 2022, with teachers' feedback helping to fine-tune the deployment of the interventions. The results that are beginning to emerge suggest that the two interventions may have measurable outcome differences in the short term. Additional data will soon be available to strengthen our analysis.

## **RESEARCH GOALS**

To pursue the research goals of the project, we recruited a number of primary-school teachers and co-designed with them two variants of a learning module targeted to second graders engaged in the learning of the iteration (the loop) using block-based programming. We aimed to compare the relative performance-in-the-field of the two proposed learning variants in terms of children's measured effectiveness and perceived satisfaction by all participants. Variant V1 used the Use-Modify-Create (UMC) approach [2], requiring children to first use and modify projects we previously built for them with the Code.org Artist (Pre-Reader) lab [1], and then create their own projects in the same environment. Variant V2 used a standard set of coding exercises available in the Code.org platform, hence with a more rigid structure.

### APPROACH

We run the project in two successive rounds of three elapsed weeks each. The first round took place in Spring 2022, the other in Fall 2022

SIGCSE TS '23, March 15-18, 2023, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

© 2023 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnn

(still ongoing). In the first round, we invited several hundreds of Italian primary-school teachers to the project, eventually recruiting 22 of them. We divided those teachers into two equal-size per-variant groups balancing provenance and professional profile. One group was assigned to the V1 learning variant, the other group to the V2 variant. The teachers in each group: (a) aligned their students using two Code.org lessons on sequences, identical across groups; (b) administered an identical pretest designed to assess the children's understanding of sequences; (c) taught the concept of iteration following the group-specific variant and methodological guidance; (d) administered an identical questionnaire to assess children's satisfaction with the activities and an equally identical post-test built to evaluate the children's syntactic, conceptual and strategic understanding of iteration; (e) completed an evaluation survey on the overall experience. The second round recruited ~100 teachers, the Fall period showing a better fit for this kind of interventions. The second round is following the same approach, improved with insights from lessons learned in the first round.

#### PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

184 second graders overall (age 7-8) participated in our first-round learning experiments (87, V1; 97, V2). This partition reflected the size of the participating classes. 13 of 22 teachers carried out all of the proposed activities; the others only a fraction of them. Preliminary analyses of the children-side responses show noticeable V1-to-V2 differences in a few hotspots. The V1 group: felt slightly more fatigued by the learning effort; had more troubles understanding the code shown in two pretest questions; performed worse in two post-test questions (a counted iteration of a single instruction and a counted iteration of two instructions), and better in one (a sequence of two counted iterations). Interestingly, no children opted out of the experiments and all found the activities very engaging. This bodes well for the learning of Informatics in primary schools.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Code.org. 2022. Artist pre-reader. https://studio.code.org/projects/artist\_k1/new
- [2] Irene Lee, Fred Martin, Jill Denner, Bob Coulter, Walter Allan, Jeri Erickson, Joyce Malyn-Smith, and Linda Werner. 2011. Computational Thinking for Youth in Practice. ACM Inroads 2, 1 (2011), 32–37. https://doi.org/10.1145/1929887.1929902

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.