AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Plant preference in the zoophytophagous generalist predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Heteroptera: Miridae)

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/128556> since 2016-01-13T16:21:40Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.06.003

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in

Biological control, 58 (3), 174-181, June 2011, doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.06.003

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>),
[<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1049964411001551>]

1 **Plant preference in the zoophytophagous generalist predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus***
2 **(Heteroptera: Miridae)**

3

4 Barbara L. INGEGNO, Marco G. PANSA, Luciana TAVELLA *

5

6 DIVAPRA, Entomologia e Zoologia applicate all'Ambiente "Carlo Vidano", University of

7 Torino, via Leonardo da Vinci, 44. I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

8

* Corresponding author: phone number: +39.011.670.85.33; fax number: +39.011.670.85.35; e-mail address:
luciana.tavella@unito.it

Abstract

Macrolophus pygmaeus (Heteroptera: Miridae) is an omnivorous predator used to control several pests of horticultural greenhouses. With the aim to explore the relationship between *M. pygmaeus* and different host plants compared with tomato, plant preferences and bio-cycle traits were studied using: *Capsicum annuum*, *Calendula officinalis*, *Salvia officinalis*, *Parietaria officinalis* and *Solanum nigrum*. Species were selected among natural host crop and wild plants. Plant preference was measured by multi-choice host plant selection and olfactometric bioassays. Bio-cycle traits were assessed on reproduction and on nymphal development with and without animal diet support. Among tested plants, *P. officinalis* was the least attractive under laboratory conditions. Furthermore the availability of prey was crucial for the successful establishment of *M. pygmaeus* on tested plants, suggesting the inability of nymphs to complete development to adulthood on a strictly phytophagous diet. Nevertheless *M. pygmaeus* seemed to prefer plants where phytophagy provides a fitness benefit.

Key words

Dicyphini, olfactometer bioassay, host plant selection, oviposition preference, biological control, tomato

Introduction

Species of *Macrolophus* (Heteroptera: Miridae) belonging to the subfamily Bryocorinae, tribe Dicyphini, are generalist predators well-known for their role in the control of several pests (e.g. aphids, mites, moths, thrips, whiteflies) in horticultural crops in Europe (Avilla et al., 2004). In fact, starting from the end of the '80s, their presence was reported in IPM vegetable crops of different regions of southern Europe, as a consequence of the reduced insecticide pressure (Tavella and Goula, 2001). In particular, unlike other predatory bugs, such as anthocorids of the genus *Orius*, that are hampered by glandular trichomes (Coll and Ridgway, 1995), tomato plants represent a very suitable host for Dicyphini (Riudavets and Castañé 1998; Tavella and Goula, 2001).

Dicyphini are characterized by zoophytophagous behaviour, thus they are strictly related to the plant besides the prey. Zoophytophagy is positive because predators can survive in the crop even when prey are scarce or totally absent (Eubanks and Denno, 1999). The plant can provide not only water essential for predation (Sinia et al., 2004), but also nutrients. In fact, some species can develop and reproduce in the absence of prey by feeding on plants, but only on some plant species or even on some parts of them (Lucas and Alomar, 2001). For example, in previous laboratory trials *Macrolophus* species proved to develop on various crop plants, i.e. leaves of tomato, eggplant, pepper, cucumber, melon and broad bean (Perdikis and Lykouressis, 1997, 1999, 2000), and French bean pods (Tavella and Arzone, 1996), whereas they are unable to reach adulthood feeding on Chinese cabbage, cabbage and Brussel sprouts (Hatherly et al., 2009). Anyway, the developmental time on a plant diet is often considerably longer, while emergence rate and adult size are smaller, as a further confirmation of their improved performance in the presence of prey.

Plants release volatile compounds varying quantitatively and qualitatively depending on plant species and attacks of specific pests, and able to attract predators (Paré and Tumlinson, 1999;

Dudareva et al., 2006). The tritrophic interactions regulating the plant-prey-predator relationships are very complicated in these zoophytophagous mirid bugs. In spring, predatory bugs, especially fertile females, migrate from winter refuges onto tomato where, if not disturbed by chemicals, they establish and contribute efficiently to control pest outbreaks (Tavella et al., 1997). An earlier colonization of tomato seems to be affected by the presence and abundance of natural host plants, rather than by their abundance in the agroecosystem (Alomar et al., 2002; Ingegno et al., 2009). Their density within crops in fact can be related to composition and abundance of the surrounding vegetation and to topographic characteristics, suggesting the importance of host plant proximity to enhance early movement of these predators into the fields (Alomar et al., 1994; Gabarra et al., 2004). Concerning host range, Dicyphini show a preference for glandular and sticky plants; in fact, most of the northwestern Italian species have been collected on hairy plant species belonging to Solanaceae, Lamiaceae and Geraniaceae (Ingegno et al., 2008; Tavella and Goula, 2001).

Among the Dicyphini species colonizing tomato crops in the Mediterranean region, the species initially identified as *M. caliginosus* Wagner seemed to be the most promising: in fact, it is now reared by several commercial producers and largely used in programmes of biological control and IPM. Recent studies on molecular taxonomy of *Macrolophus* species revealed that species marketed for several years as *M. caliginosus* is actually *M. pygmaeus* (Rambur) (Martinez-Cascales et al., 2006 a, b).

Due to their effectiveness as pest control agents, bio-ethological studies on *Macrolophus* species have been carried out to assess their predatory activity in different conditions (temperature, humidity, photoperiod) and on different prey (whiteflies, aphids, thrips, mites), and their functional response (Montserrat et al., 2000; Enkegaard et al., 2001; Perdakis 2002; Perdakis and Lykouressis 2002; Montserrat et al., 2004; Lykouressis et al., 2007). Artificial diets have also been tested and developed for rearing of predatory bugs to improve their

massive production (Castañé and Zapata, 2005; Zapata et al., 2005). Furthermore, laboratory trials were carried out to evaluate the side-effects of the most widely used pesticides in horticulture (Figuls et al., 1999; Tedeschi et al., 2001; Tedeschi et al., 2002). Recently, studies on responses of some Dicyphini species to volatile compounds produced by plants and prey were carried out above all in laboratory conditions (McGregor and Gillespie, 2004; Moayeri et al., 2006a, b; Moayeri et al., 2007a, b).

To enhance the presence and activity of Dicyphini in the crops, the factors stimulating predatory bugs to leave the natural host plants and colonize the crop should be investigated thoroughly for an economically and ecologically sustainable farming. Thus the present research has been aimed at assessing preference and bio-ethological responses of the zoophytophagous *M. pygmaeus* on different plant species in comparison with tomato, the crop plant where it is more frequently and abundantly found.

Material and methods

Insect mass rearing and plant growing

M. pygmaeus used in the experiments came from a laboratory colony derived from Bioplanet, Italy, and reared in insect cages (MegaView, Taiwan) on tobacco plants [*Nicotiana tabacum* L. (Solanaceae)], supplemented with eggs of *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Identity of *M. pygmaeus* was further assessed by molecular analyses as described in Martinez-Cascales et al. (2006 a, b). Mass rearing was maintained at $24\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$, RH $65\pm5\%$ and L16:D8.

Among crop (vegetable and garden) and wild plants, reported as host plants for Dicyphini species in NW Italy (Ingegno et al., 2008, 2009, personal observation), the following six plant species were selected: tomato [*Lycopersicon esculentum* Miller, cv Marmande (Solanaceae)], pepper [*Capsicum annuum* L., cv Quadrato d'Asti giallo (Solanaceae)], pot marigold

[*Calendula officinalis* L. (Asteraceae)], sage [*Salvia officinalis* L. (Lamiaceae)], pellitory-of-the-wall [*Parietaria officinalis* L. (Urticaceae)], and European black nightshade [*Solanum nigrum* L. (Solanaceae)]. To obtain plants of similar age and size (approximately 20cm high), they were periodically seeded, and afterwards transplanted in plastic pots (Ø 12cm), and maintained in a heated greenhouse without any pesticide use.

Reproduction and development on different plant species

Reproduction of *M. pygmaeus* was studied on single plants of the six species, supplemented with eggs of *E. kuehniella*. Each plant was isolated in a Plexiglas cylinder (height 195mm, Ø 110mm), wedged in the pot soil, and enclosed at the upper extremity by net. Three females and two males 1-week-old of *M. pygmaeus* were introduced in each cylinder and removed after one week. *E. kuehniella* eggs glued on a paper strip with a honey solution were periodically supplied as food source. Egg hatching and nymph emergence were monitored every 48 hours until no nymphs were seen for four days; all newly-emerged nymphs were removed and counted. Five replications (i. e. five cylinders) were performed for each plant species.

The survival rate and time of nymphal development were studied on the six plant species in presence and absence of *E. kuehniella* eggs. Freshly hatched nymphs (<1-day-old) from each plant species were placed individually on leaf discs of the same plant in cells of 2cm² (24-well tissue culture plate, Sarstedt, Germany). For each plant species 24 nymphs, 12 with *E. kuehniella* eggs (directly supplied on leaf discs) and 12 without *E. kuehniella* eggs, were observed during their life span. Moulting, evident from the presence of the exuvia, or death of each nymph were daily recorded and used to determine time and survival at each nymphal instar. All the emerged adults were examined under stereo-microscope to determine their sex, and to measure their length (from vertex to the end of hemielytra).

All the assays were carried out in climatic chambers at 24±1°C, RH 65±5%, and L16:D8.

After performing tests of homogeneity of variance (Levene) and normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov), data of reproduction and development, and of measures of the adults emerged on each plant species were analyzed with one-way ANOVA; means were then separated by Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$) (SPSS version 12.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Host plant selection experiments

The host plant selection experiments were set up as multi-choice assays where whole plants or single leaves of the six plant species were simultaneously offered to *M. pygmaeus*.

Whole plant choice

To assess the adult preference for the six plants being to be tested, one potted plant of each species was placed inside a 47.5×47.5×93cm net insect cage (MegaView, Taiwan). Five cages as five replications were set up. The position of the plant species in a 2×3 matrix was assigned randomly, taking care that the plants did not touch each other. Five 1-week-old females and two males were released on each plant (for a total of 30 females and 12 males per cage). After one week, each plant was wrapped in a net bag, removed from the cage, and inspected to count the adults of *M. pygmaeus*. Then the plants were singly isolated in Plexiglas cylinders (height 195mm, Ø 110mm), and checked to observe egg hatching and nymph emergence every 48 hours until no nymphs were seen for four days. All emergent nymphs were removed and counted.

Single leaf choice

The plant preference by ovipositing females was tested by offering a single leaf or, in the case of the plants with small leaves (i.e. *P. officinalis*), a piece of stem with some leaves, of the six plant species simultaneously in a 20×20×30cm net cage (MegaView, Taiwan). Ten cages as ten replications were set up. The single leaf or the piece of stem with some leaves were put into a 1.5mL plastic tube filled with water, and introduced through equidistant hole in a polystyrene support randomly in a 2×3 matrix. Leaf areas were about equivalent for the six

plant species. Oviposition preference was tested in presence of prey, *E. kuehniella* eggs, supplied in the centre of the matrix on paper strip glued with a honey solution. Two 1-week-old females and one male were released for each plant species (for a total of 12 females and six males per cage). The adults of *M. pygmaeus* were removed after 48 hours. The number of eggs laid on each plant species was counted under a stereo-microscope five days later. The plant preference by ovipositing females was expressed as the proportion of eggs laid on each plant species in the cage as described by Thompson (1988).

All the multi-choice assays were carried out at $24\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$, RH $65\pm 5\%$, and L16:D8.

In the whole plant multi-choice experiment the relationship between percentage of recaptured adults and number of emerged nymphs was estimated with Pearson's correlation (SPSS version 12.0). In the leaf multi-choice experiment, the percentages of eggs laid on each plant species in the cages were compared, after arcsine square-root transformation, using ANOVA, and means were separated by Tukey's test ($P<0.05$) (SPSS version 12.0).

Olfactometric bioassays

In the bioassays, 1-week-old females, kept without prey and plant in a glass tube (length 120mm, $\varnothing$ 23mm) for 18 hours, were used to assess olfactory responses of *M. pygmaeus* to the odours of tomato compared with those of the other tested plants. The bioassays were carried out in a Y-shaped Pyrex tube (internal $\varnothing$ 23mm) formed by an entry arm, 250mm long, and two side arms, 200mm long (70° angle), and positioned vertically as in other studies with Dicyphini (McGregor and Gillespie, 2004; Moayeri et al., 2006a, b; Moayeri et al., 2007a, b). Each side arm was connected to a glass cylindrical chamber (height 500mm, $\varnothing$ 130mm) as an odour-source container. Airflow was provided by an air pump (Air 275R, Sera, Germany). Before reaching the odour-source chambers, air passed in an activated CO_2 filter, in a flow meter (EK-2NRK, Comer, Italy) to set the airflow, and in a 1-L water bubbler half-

176 filled with deionized water. The odour-source chambers were held behind a black panel, so
177 that *M. pygmaeus* females could not see the plants during the bioassays in the Y-tube.
178 In all experiments, the flow rate through flow meter was set at 2.5L min^{-1} and measured at the
179 downwind end with a digital anemometer (TA-410, PCE Group, Italy) to control any flow
180 leak. Before each trial, an air flow was established in the Y-tube by adjusting the flow rate
181 using the air pump knob and the flow meter. After the flow was established, a single *M.*
182 *pygmaeus* female was introduced into the tube. Each female was observed until she had
183 walked at least 6cm up one of the side arms or until 20min had elapsed. Females that did not
184 choose a side arm within 20min were considered as “no choice” and were not counted in the
185 subsequent data analysis. Each female was tested only once. The odour sources chosen by
186 females that responded were recorded. Twenty-five responses were recorded for each pair of
187 odour sources.

188 After testing a batch of five females, the odour sources were switched between the left-hand
189 and right-hand side arms to minimize any spatial effect on choices, whereas after testing two
190 batches (i.e. after 10 insect responses) the Y-tube was cleaned with neutral soap and alcohol
191 (70%_v). Chambers were washed after each trial with neutral soap and alcohol (70%_v) and
192 sterilised in autoclave at 120°C for 20 min. The olfactometric bioassays were conducted at
193 $24\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, RH 25-30%, and $540\pm 30\text{lux}$.

194 Two experiments were carried out to assess: i) the preference of *M. pygmaeus* to tomato and
195 the other five plants; ii) the responses of *M. pygmaeus* to the odours of tomato plants
196 uninfested, presently infested and previously infested by the whitefly *Trialeurodes*
197 *vaporariorum* Westwood (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) (Table 1). The odour sources consisted of
198 one entire potted plant. The infested plants were grown separately from uninfested plants.
199 Moreover, to evaluate an eventual systemic effect of whitefly infestation, about 50 individuals
200 of *T. vaporariorum* were introduced into a fine mesh net covering the apex of the plant. After

15 days, the apex was cut to remove the parts that hosted the pest. Also the apex of the uninfested tomato plants used in comparison with these ones was cut to prevent any influence due to the mechanic damage.

In the olfactometric bioassays, responses of *M. pygmaeus* females were analyzed by Chi-square test with significance levels of 90% and 95% (SPSS version 12.0). The null hypothesis was that predatory females had 50:50 distribution across the two odour sources. Females that did not make a choice were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Results

Reproduction and development on different plant species

Nymphal hatching from the tested plant species supplemented with eggs of *E. kuehniella* was significantly different only between *C. officinalis* and *P. officinalis* (ANOVA: df=29, F=2.844, P=0.037), with on average 27.4 ± 6.2 and 2.8 ± 0.7 nymphs emerged, respectively (Figure 1).

The development times from egg-hatching to adulthood on the six plant species with prey were significantly different (ANOVA: df=53, F=4.182, P=0.003), the longest on *S. officinalis* (19.3 days) and the shortest on *C. officinalis* and *C. annuum* (14.4 and 15.5 days, respectively) (Table 2). The percentage of individuals that reached adulthood ranged from 58% on tomato and *S. officinalis* to 100% on *S. nigrum*, (Table 2). The sex ratio was variable on the tested plants, ranging from 0.30 on *P. officinalis* to 0.75 on *C. annuum* and *S. nigrum*; however we could analyze only the measures of females because we did not obtain males enough on all tested plants. The length of female adults was significantly different between *S. officinalis* (3.144 ± 0.045 mm) and *P. officinalis* (3.367 ± 0.035 mm) (ANOVA: df=31, F=2.673, P=0.045).

M. pygmaeus was able to complete development on all the tested plants when supplemented with *E. kuehniella* eggs, whereas no nymphs reached adulthood when fed on plant alone of the different species without prey (Table 2). Many 1st instar nymphs could reach the 2nd instar, but after only on *C. officinalis* and *S. nigrum* very few nymphs reached the 5th instar whereas on *P. officinalis* and *S. officinalis* no nymphs got over the 2nd instar (Table 2).

Host plant selection and oviposition multi-choice experiments

In the whole plant multi-choice experiment, no significant differences were found between numbers of nymphs emerged on the tested plant species (ANOVA: df=29, F=0.794, P=0.565), ranging on average from 27.0 on *L. esculentum* to 12.6 on *P. officinalis* (Table 3). As surveyed for the emerged nymphs, also the numbers of adults recaptured on each plant at end of experiment were not significantly different between the six plant species. However, the lowest percentage of *M. pygmaeus* adults was recaptured on *P. officinalis*, on which the lowest number of nymphs was also observed (Table 3). Furthermore, a positive correlation was found between the percentage of recaptured adults and the number of emerged nymphs on the same plant species (Pearson's correlation=0.507, P=0.004; n=30) (Figure 2).

In the leaf multi-choice experiment, the percentage of eggs laid per plant in each cage was significantly higher on tomato compared to sage (ANOVA: df=59, F=2.946, P=0.020), on which on average 30.8% and 2.5% of eggs were laid respectively (Table 4).

Olfactometric bioassays

In the first experiment (Table 1), no significant preference was detected between healthy tomato and the following plants (Figure 3): *C. officinalis* ($\chi^2=0.04$, P=0.84), *C. annuum* ($\chi^2=0.04$, P=0.84), *S. officinalis* ($\chi^2=0.36$, P=0.55) and *S. nigrum* ($\chi^2=0.36$, P=0.55). By contrast, *P. officinalis* resulted unattractive in comparison with tomato ($\chi^2=4.84$, P=0.03) as well as the empty chamber ($\chi^2=3.24$, P=0.07). The females that did not choose any odour

sources were always very few; at the most three non-responding females were observed in the comparison with *C. officinalis*, *C. annuum* and *S. officinalis*.

In the second experiment (Table 1), *M. pygmaeus* females proved to be more attracted by the whitefly infested tomato compared to the uninfested tomato ($\chi^2=3.24$, $P=0.07$) and by the uninfested tomato compared to the whiteflies ($\chi^2=3.24$, $P=0.07$) (Figure 4). No significant differences in responses of *M. pygmaeus* females were found comparing the healthy tomato with the previously infested one ($\chi^2=1.00$, $P=0.32$), as well as comparing whitefly odours with the empty chamber ($\chi^2=0.36$, $P=0.55$) (Figure 4). As in the first experiment, the females non-responding to the compared odorous source were always very few, at the maximum two females in the comparison with whitefly alone and whitefly infested tomato.

Discussion

The obtained results confirmed that host plant selection in *M. pygmaeus* has a substantial influence on survival and development, and that the benefits of particular host plants vary in the presence or absence of prey. When *M. pygmaeus* nymphs were provided with both plant and prey, they were able to complete development on all plant species showing similar survival rates between plants, whereas without prey no nymphs reached adulthood in the same experimental conditions. Nevertheless, our results showed that the absence of prey could be tolerated longer on *S. nigrum*, *C. officinalis*, *C. annuum* and tomato than on *S. officinalis* and *P. officinalis*. Development times on tested plants without prey varied greatly from the second instar, probably due to the various nutritional values of the plants or to the presence of inhibitor compounds. The availability of prey seems to be crucial for successful establishment of *M. pygmaeus* on tested plants in our experimental conditions. However, even if in other laboratory experiments (Perdikis and Lykouressis, 1999, 2000; Tavella and Arzone, 1996) *M. pygmaeus* could reach the adulthood on prey-free plants, worse biological traits (i.e. longer

development time and higher mortality) observed on plants without prey than with prey suggest the difficulty of the species to complete development to adulthood on a strictly phytophagous diet. This need was observed also in other omnivorous mirid bugs as *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Reuter) (Heteroptera: Miridae) (Urbaneja et al., 2005), *Dicyphus errans* (Wolff) (Guidone et al., 2005) and *D. hesperus* Knight (Sanchez et al., 2004) that did not complete development when feeding on tomato alone. Phytophagy mainly provides the water necessary for vital functions and although plants appear to be a much poorer food resource than prey for *M. pygmaeus*, nutrients collected from them may greatly improve the survival of individuals as prey become scarce.

Among the host plants tested in laboratory experiments, *P. officinalis* was the least attractive, even if during field surveys in NW Italy individuals of *M. pygmaeus* were often collected on this plant (Tavella and Goula, 2001). Although in the whole plant selection experiment no significant differences were found, an obvious separation emerged in positive relationship among percentages of recaptured adults and emerged nymphs of *M. pygmaeus* between *P. officinalis* and the other tested plants. The colonization of *P. officinalis* in natural conditions by Dicyphini (Alomar et al., 1994; Gabarra et al., 2004; Tavella and Goula, 2001), in contrast with the unattractiveness in laboratory assays, is probably due both to the location of this plant, usually grown on walls in sheltered sites, and to the concurrent presence of prey, possible source of food. These facts make *P. officinalis* a suitable refuge for *M. pygmaeus*, especially during winter in absence of tomato crop. In fact, a previous study showed that abundance and vicinity of this plant to the tomato crop facilitate the early entrance of predatory bugs (Gabarra et al., 2004).

Other plant species confirming their important role as natural hosts also in laboratory experiments were *S. nigrum* and *C. officinalis*. *S. nigrum* is one of the most common weeds in the vegetable agroecosystems of NW Italy, and also the most favourite host for another

299 predatory bug widespread in the area, *D. errans* (Tavella and Goula, 2001; Ingegno et al.,
300 2008). In the development experiments, *S. nigrum* supported the highest survival rate of *M.*
301 *pygmaeus* nymphs with prey and, even if no nymphs could complete the development to
302 adulthood, also without prey. This weed therefore can contribute to the conservation of
303 predatory bugs in the field as suggested also in another recent study (Lykourressis et al.,
304 2008). On the other hand, *C. officinalis* is a common garden plant, now widely naturalized
305 throughout NW Italy, where it represents one the most favourite natural host of *M. pygmaeus*
306 (Tavella and Goula, 2001). Like *S. nigrum*, *C. officinalis* supported a longer nymphal
307 development in absence of prey; moreover, in the reproduction experiments the highest
308 numbers of nymphs emerged on this plant species.

309 Natural presence of predatory bugs in vegetable agroecosystems of NW Italy seems to be
310 strictly related to the environmental conditions; in fact, Dicyphini are found mainly in
311 agroecosystems characterized by a high environmental complexity, i.e. patchy landscape
312 where vegetable crops are surrounded by natural corridors wasteland and woodland (Ingegno
313 et al., 2009). Therefore, since abundance of natural host plants acting as source affects greatly
314 the presence of predatory bugs, plants species, like *S. nigrum* and *C. officinalis*, can carry out
315 an important role in conserving and augmenting *M. pygmaeus* in agroecosystems. To
316 implement natural control augmentation strategies in vegetable crops these plants should be
317 conserved and, if scarcely present, increased. Conservation of natural host plant, such as
318 *Dittrichia viscosa* L. (Asteraceae) for *M. melanotoma* (Costa) (Perdikis et al., 2007), or their
319 use as banker plants in vegetable greenhouses, e.g. tobacco for *Macrolophus* sp. (Arnó et al.,
320 2000) and mullein [*Verbascum thapsus* L. (Scrophulariaceae)] for *D. hesperus* (Sanchez et al.,
321 2003a), have been already proposed to favour the early establishment of native predators on
322 tomato crops in other geographic areas.

323 Concerning the two vegetables compared in our experiments, besides tomato also pepper
324 appeared rather attractive for *M. pygmaeus*, especially in leaf multi-choice experiments. By
325 contrast, in the field this mirid species was surveyed on pepper (Goula and Alomar, 1994), but
326 only occasionally (Tavella and Goula, 2001), and not everywhere (Sanchez et al., 2003b). In
327 fact, *M. pygmaeus* is found on various vegetable crops in southern Europe but it is primarily
328 used in conservative and inoculative biological control strategies, sometimes in combination
329 with parasitoids, to control whiteflies and other pests in tomato greenhouses, as documented
330 by a rich literature (Avilla et al., 2004; Castañé et al. 2004). Therefore, some producers
331 suggest to release the species as control agents on tomato and egg-plant. Moreover, *M.*
332 *pygmaeus* also shows potential for the control of whiteflies in greenhouse melons (Alomar et
333 al., 2006).

334 The differences in plant preference between field surveys and laboratory experiments are
335 probably due to several environmental factors that may influence the zoophytophagous
336 behaviour of these omnivorous mirid bugs. In fact, even if Dicyphini are abundant and
337 widespread in the Mediterranean Basin, the species colonizing vegetable crops vary from
338 region to region, probably in relation to the presence and abundance of natural host plants
339 growing in the agroecosystem. Various species mainly belonging to the genera *Macrolophus*,
340 *Dicyphus* and *Nesidiocoris* were reported in different areas of Europe (Alomar et al., 1994;
341 Carnero-Hernández et al., 2000; Gabarra et al., 1988; Perdakis and Lykouressis, 1996;
342 Sanchez et al., 2003b; Sanchez et al., 2006; Tavella et al., 1997; Tavella and Goula, 2001).

343 Therefore, results obtained under laboratory conditions should be validated in the field in
344 specific situation in order to suggest successful control augmentation strategies.

345 Besides the plant, the prey and above all the interaction plant-prey are fundamental (Dicke
346 and Loon, 2000). In olfactometric bioassays, *M. pygmaeus* females were attracted from
347 whitefly infested tomato as previously observed for *D. hesperus* (McGregor and Gillespie,

2004), while no differences emerged between the previously infested tomato and the healthy one, likely excluding a systemic effect of whitefly infestation on plant. The actual presence of the prey on tomato is important for attracting *M. pygmaeus*, consistent with the results of another study conducted in Y-tube olfactometer where the predatory species preferred spider mite infested green bean plants to uninfested plants (Moayeri et al., 2006b). However, the only presence of the whitefly, without host plant, was not sufficient for attracting *M. pygmaeus*, as observed with other potential prey, the aphid *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) and the spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Moayeri et al., 2006a, b). Although in the bioassays the infested plants after prey removal were not significantly attractive, further research on responses of *M. pygmaeus* is needed to investigate thoroughly a possible systemic effect due to prey feeding on tomato, and to identify plant volatiles that, acting as indirect defences, constitutive and/or induced, can affect adversely the herbivorous victim by attracting the predatory bug.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the colleagues of the Faculties of Agricultural Science of Perugia and of Piacenza, and of the Istituto Agrario San Michele all'Adige for their precious suggestions to set up the olfactometer; Bioplanet s.c.a. (Italy) for providing insects. Research was funded by MIUR-PRIN 2006.

References

Alomar, Ò., Goula, M., Albajes, R., 1994. Mirid bugs for biological control: identification, survey in non-cultivated winter plants, and colonization of tomato fields. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 17 (5), 217-223.

372 Alomar, Ò., Goula, M., Albajes, R., 2002. Colonisation of tomato fields by predatory mirid
373 bugs (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) in northern Spain. Agric. Ecos. Environ. 89, 105-115.

374 Alomar, Ò., Riudavets, J., Castañé, C., 2006. *Macrolophus caliginosus* in the biological
375 control of *Bemisia tabaci* on greenhouse melons. Biol. Control 36, 154-162.

376 Arnó, J., Ariño, J., Español, R., Martí, M., Alomar, Ò., 2000. Conservation of *Macrolophus*
377 *caliginosus* Wagner (Het. Miridae) in commercial greenhouses during tomato crop-free
378 periods. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 23 (1), 241-246.

379 Avilla, J., Albajes, R., Alomar, Ò., Castañé, C., Gabarra, R., 2004. Biological control of
380 whiteflies on vegetable crops. In: Heinz, K.M.R., Van Driesche, G., Parrella, M.P. (Eds.).
381 Biocontrol in protected culture. Ball Publishing Batavia, IL, 171-184.

382 Carnero-Hernández, A., Díaz-Hernández, S., Amador-Martín, S., Hernández-García, M.,
383 Hernández-Suárez, 2000. Impact of *Nesidiocoris tenuis* Reuter (Hemiptera: Miridae) on
384 whitefly populations in protected tomato crops. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 23 (1), 259.

385 Castañé, C., Zapata, R., 2005. Rearing the predatory bug *Macrolophus caliginosus* on a meat-
386 based diet. Biol. Control 34, 66-72.

387 Castañé, C., Alomar, Ò., Goula, M., Gabarra, R., 2004. Colonization of tomato greenhouses
388 by the predatory mirid bugs *Macrolophus caliginosus* and *Dicyphus tamaninii*. Biol. Control
389 30, 591-597.

390 Coll, M., Ridgway, R.L., 1995. Functional and numerical responses of *Orius insidiosus*
391 (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) to its prey in different vegetable crops. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.
392 88, 732-738.

393 Dicke, M., van Loon, J.J.A., 2000. Multitrophic effects of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in
394 an evolutionary context. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 97, 237-249.

395 Dudareva, N., Negre, F., Nagegowda, D.A., Orlova, I., 2006. Plant volatiles: recent advances
396 and future perspectives. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 25, 417-440.

397 Enkegaard, A., Brødsgaard, H.F., Hansen, D.L., 2001. *Macrolophus caliginosus*: functional
 398 response to whiteflies and preference and switching capacity between whiteflies and spider
 399 mites. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 101, 81-88.

400 Eubanks, M.D., Denno, R.F., 1999. The ecological consequences of variation in plants and
 401 prey for an omnivorous insect. Ecology 80, 1253-1266.

402 Figuls, M., Castañé, C., Gabarra, R., 1999. Residual toxicity of some insecticides on the
 403 predatory bugs *Dicyphus tamaninii* and *Macrolophus caliginosus*. BioControl 44, 89-98.

404 Gabarra, R., Castañé, C., Bordas, E., Albajes, R., 1988. *Dicyphus tamaninii* as a beneficial
 405 insect and pest in tomato crops in Catalonia, Spain. Entomophaga 33 (2), 219-228.

406 Gabarra, R., Alomar, Ò., Castañé, C., Goula, M., Albajes, R., 2004. Movement of greenhouse
 407 whitefly and its predators between in- and outside of Mediterranean greenhouses. Agric.
 408 Ecos. Environ. 102, 341-348.

409 Goula, M., Alomar, Ò., 1994. Míridos (Heteroptera Miridae) de interés en el control integrado
 410 de plagas en el tomate. Guía para su identificación. Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal, Plagas 20,
 411 131-143.

412 Guidone, L., Massobrio, V., Tavella, L., 2005. Effetto della dieta su sviluppo e prolificità di
 413 *Dicyphus errans* (Wolff) e *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Rambur) (Heteroptera Miridae).
 414 Proceedings of XX Congresso Nazionale di Entomologia, Perugia-Assisi, Italy, 13-18 June,
 415 239.

416 Hatherly, I.S., Pederson, B.P., Bale, J.S., 2009. Effect of host plant, prey species and
 417 intergenerational changes on the prey preferences of the predatory mired *Macrolophus*
 418 *caliginosus*. BioControl 54, 35-45.

419 Ingegno, B.L., Goula, M., Navone, P., Tavella, L., 2008. Distribution and host plants of the
 420 genus *Dicyphus* in the Alpine valleys of NW Italy. Bull. Insectol. 61, 139-140.

421 Ingegno, B.L., Pansa, M.G., Tavella, L., 2009. Tomato colonization by predatory bugs
422 (Heteroptera: Miridae) in agroecosystems of NW Italy. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 49, 287-291.

423 Lucas, É., Alomar, Ò., 2001. *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Wagner) as an intraguild prey for the
424 zoophytophagous *Dicyphus tamaninii* Wagner (Heteroptera: Miridae). Biol. Control 20, 147-
425 152.

426 Lykouressis, D.P., Perdikis, D.C., Gaspari, M.D., 2007. Prey preference and biomass
427 consumption of *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae) fed *Myzus persicae* and
428 *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Eur. J. Entomol. 104, 199-204.

429 Lykouressis, D.P., Giatropoulos, A., Perdikis, D.C., Favas, C., 2008. Assessing the suitability
430 of noncultivated plants and associated insect prey as food sources for the omnivorous predator
431 *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae). Biol. Control 44, 142-148.

432 Martinez-Cascales, J.I., Cenis, J.L., Cassis, G., Sanchez, J.A., 2006a. Species identity of
433 *Macrolophus melanotoma* (Costa 1853) and *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Rambur 1839) (Insecta:
434 Heteroptera: Miridae) based on morphological and molecular data and bionomic implications.
435 Insect Syst. & Evol. 37, 385-404.

436 Martinez-Cascales, J.I., Cenis, J.L., Sanchez, J.A., 2006b. Differentiation of *Macrolophus*
437 *pygmaeus* (Rambur 1839) and *Macrolophus melanotoma* (Costa 1853) (Heteroptera: Miridae)
438 based on molecular data. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 29 (4), 223-234.

439 McGregor, R.R., Gillespie, D.R., 2004. Olfactory responses of the omnivorous generalist
440 predator *Dicyphus hesperus* to plant and prey odors. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 112, 201-205.

441 Moayeri, H.R.S., Ashouri, A., Brodsgaard, H.F., Enkegaard, A., 2006a. Odour-mediated
442 preference and prey preference of *Macrolophus caliginosus* between spider mites and green
443 peach aphids. J. Appl. Entomol. 130, 504-508.

444 Moayeri, H.R.S., Ashouri, A., Brodsgaard, H. F., Enkegaard, A., 2006b. Odour-mediated
445 responses of a predatory mirid bug and its prey, the two-spotted spider mite. Exp. Appl.
446 Acarol. 40, 27-36.

447 Moayeri, H.R.S., Ashouri, A., Brodsgaard, H. F., Enkegaard, A., 2007a. Males of the
448 predatory mirid bug *Macrolophus caliginosus* exploit plant volatiles induced by conspecifics
449 as a sexual synomone. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 123, 49-55.

450 Moayeri, H.R.S., Ashouri, A., Poll, L., Enkegaard, A., 2007b. Olfactory response of a
451 predatory mirid to herbivore induced plant volatiles: multiple herbivory vs. single herbivory.
452 J. Appl. Entomol. 131, 326-332.

453 Montserrat, M.R., Albajes, R., Castañé, C., 2000. Functional response of four heteropteran
454 predators preying upon greenhouse whiteflies and western flower thrips. Environ. Entomol.
455 29, 1075-1082.

456 Montserrat, M.R., Albajes, R., Castañé, C., 2004. Behavioral responses of three plant-
457 inhabiting predators to different prey densities. Biol. Control 30, 256-264.

458 Paré, P.W., Tumlinson, J.H., 1999. Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores. Plant
459 Physiol. 121, 325-331.

460 Perdakis, D.Ch., 2002. A method for laboratory studies on the polyphagous predator
461 *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae). J. Econ. Entomol. 95, 44-49.

462 Perdakis, D.Ch., Lykouressis, D.P., 1996. Aphid population and their natural enemies on fresh
463 market tomatoes in central Greece. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 19 (11), 33-37.

464 Perdakis, D.Ch., Lykouressis, D.P., 1997. Rate of development and mortality of nymphal
465 stages of the predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus* Rambur feeding on various preys and host
466 plants. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 20 (4), 241-248.

467 Perdikis, D.Ch., Lykouressis, D.P., 1999. Development and mortality of the nymphal stages
468 of the predatory bug *Macrolophus pygmaeus*, when maintained at different temperatures and
469 on different host plants. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 22 (5), 137-144.

470 Perdikis, D.Ch., Lykouressis, D.P., 2000. Effects of various items, host plants, and
471 temperatures on the development and survival of *Macrolophus pygmaeus* Rambur
472 (Hemiptera: Miridae). Biol. Control 17, 55-60.

473 Perdikis, D.Ch., Lykouressis, D.P., 2002. Life table and biological characteristics of
474 *Macrolophus pygmaeus* when feeding on *Myzus persicae* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*.
475 Entomol. Exp. Appl. 102, 261-272.

476 Perdikis, D., Favas, C., Lykouressis, D., Fantinou, A., 2007. Ecological relationships between
477 non-cultivated plants and insect predators in agroecosystems: the case of *Dittrichia viscosa*
478 (Asteraceae) and *Macrolophus melanotoma* (Hemiptera: Miridae). Acta Oecol. 31, 299-306.

479 Riudavets, J., Castañé, C., 1998. Identification and evaluation of native predators of
480 *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in the Mediterranean. Environ. Entomol.
481 27, 86-93.

482 Sanchez, J.A., Gillespie, D.R., McGregor, R.R., 2003a. The effects of mullein plants
483 (*Verbascum thapsus*) on the population dynamics of *Dicyphus hesperus* (Heteroptera:
484 Miridae) in tomato greenhouses. Biol. Control 28, 313-319.

485 Sanchez, J.A., Martinez-Cascales, J. I., Lacasa, A., 2003b. Abundance and wild host plants of
486 predator mirids (Heteroptera: Miridae) in horticultural crops in the Southeast of Spain.
487 IOBC/WPRS Bull. 26 (10), 147-151.

488 Sanchez, J.A., Gillespie, D.R., McGregor, R.R., 2004. Plant preference in relation to life
489 history traits in the zoophytophagous predator *Dicyphus hesperus*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 112,
490 7-19.

491 Sanchez, J.A., Martinez-Cascales, J. I., Cassis, G., 2006. Description of a new species of
492 *Dicyphus* Fieber (Insecta: Heteroptera: Miridae) from Portugal based on morphological and
493 molecular data. Insect Syst. & Evol. 37 (3), 281-300.

494 Sinia, A., Roitberg, B., McGregor, R.R., Gillespie, D.R., 2004. Prey feeding increases water
495 stress in the omnivorous predator *Dicyphus hesperus*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 110, 243-248.

496 Tavella, L., Arzone, A., 1996. Development of *Macrolophus caliginosus* and *Dicyphus errans*
497 reared on different diets (Rhynchotha Miridae). XX Int. Congr. of Entomol., Firenze, August
498 25/31: 652.

499 Tavella, L., Goula, M., 2001. Dicyphini collected in horticultural areas of north-western Italy
500 (Heteroptera Miridae). Boll. Zool. Agrar. Bachic., Ser. II. 33, 93-102.

501 Tavella, L., Alma, A., Sargiotto, C., 1997. Samplings of Miridae Dicyphinae in tomato crops
502 of northwestern Italy. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 20 (4), 249-256.

503 Tedeschi, R., Alma, A., Tavella, L., 2001. Side-effects of three neem (*Azadirachta indica*
504 A.Juss) products on the predator *Macrolophus caliginosus* Wagner (Het., Miridae). J. Appl.
505 Entomol. 125, 397-402.

506 Tedeschi, R., Tirry, L., van de Veire, M., de Clerq, P., 2002. Toxicity of different pesticides
507 to the predatory bug *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Heteroptera: Miridae) under laboratory
508 conditions. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 25 (11), 71-80.

509 Thompson, J.N., 1988. Evolutionary ecology of the relationship between oviposition
510 preference and performance of offspring in phytophagous insects. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 47, 3-
511 14.

512 Urbaneja, A., Tapia, G., Stansly, P., 2005. Influence of host plant and prey availability on
513 developmental time and survivorship of *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Het.: Miridae). Biocontrol Sci.
514 and Technol. 15 (5), 513-518.

515 Zapata, R., Specty, O., Grenier, S., Febvay, G., Pageaux, J.F., Delobel, B., Castañé, C., 2005.
516 Carcass analysis to improve a meat-based diet for the artificial rearing of the predatory mirid
517 bug *Dicyphus tamaninii*. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 60, 84-92.
518
519

520 **Tables**

521 **Table 1.** Theses in comparison in the two experiments in olfactometric bioassays.

Experiment 1			Experiment 2		
clean air	vs	tomato	clean air	vs	uninfested tomato
<i>C. officinalis</i>	vs	tomato	clean air	vs	whitefly
<i>C. annuum</i>	vs	tomato	whitefly	vs	uninfested tomato
<i>P. officinalis</i>	vs	tomato	infested tomato	vs	uninfested tomato
<i>S. officinalis</i>	vs	tomato	uninfested tomato	vs	previously infested tomato
<i>S. nigrum</i>	vs	tomato			

522

523

Table 2. Development times (days $\pm$ SE) of *M. pygmaeus* (n=12), for each nymphal instar and to reach adulthood on six plant species supplemented or not with *E. kuehniella* eggs. Percentages of survivorship for each nymphal instar. In the column means followed by different letters are significantly different (Tukey's test, P<0.05).

	Instar						sex ratio $\frac{\Omega}{(\Omega + \delta)}$	
	Plant	First	Second	Third	Forth	Fifth		Total
with <i>E. kuehniella</i> eggs	<i>C. officinalis</i>	3.4 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.6	14.4 ± 0.7 b	0.67
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	90.0%	75.0%	
	<i>C. annuum</i>	2.8 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.7 b	0.75
		100.0%	91.7%	90.9%	80.0%	100.0%	66.7%	
	<i>L. esculentum</i>	3.3 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.3	5.1 ± 0.4	16.8 ± 0.8 ab	0.43
		100.0%	100.0%	91.7%	81.8%	77.8%	58.3%	
	<i>P. officinalis</i>	3.0 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.3	2.7 ± 0.3	5.4 ± 0.5	17.0 ± 0.8 ab	0.30
		100.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	
	<i>S. officinalis</i>	4.8 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 0.4	19.3 ± 0.8 a	0.71
		100.0%	91.7%	90.9%	70.0%	100.0%	58.3%	
	<i>S. nigrum</i>	2.8 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.5	4.4 ± 0.2	16.7 ± 0.7 ab	0.75
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
without <i>E. kuehniella</i> eggs	<i>C. officinalis</i>	2.7 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.7	1.0	2.0	-		
		83.3%	50.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%		
	<i>C. annuum</i>	2.0 ± 0.2	1.0	1.0	-			
		83.3%	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
	<i>L. esculentum</i>	2.0 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.7	8.5 ± 1.5	-			
		91.7%	45.5%	40.0%	0.0%			
	<i>P. officinalis</i>	2.8 ± 0.6	-					
		41.7%	0.0%					
	<i>S. officinalis</i>	2.8 ± 0.5	-					
		83.3%	0.0%					
	<i>S. nigrum</i>	2.6 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.7	3.0	-		
		100.0%	75.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%		

531 **Table 3.** *M. pygmaeus* adults recaptured (mean % $\pm$ SE) and nymphs emerged (mean number
532 $\pm$ SE) on each whole plant of the six species in multi-choice selection experiment. Significant
533 differences between theses were not found (ANOVA, $P>0.05$).

Plant species	Mean % of adult recaptured $\pm$ SE	Mean no. of nymphs $\pm$ SE
<i>C. officinalis</i>	17.7 $\pm$ 4.5	24.0 $\pm$ 2.3
<i>C. annuum</i>	17.4 $\pm$ 4.9	21.8 $\pm$ 11.1
<i>L. esculentum</i>	17.8 $\pm$ 4.9	27.0 $\pm$ 4.6
<i>P. officinalis</i>	8.0 $\pm$ 3.0	12.6 $\pm$ 3.6
<i>S. officinalis</i>	19.7 $\pm$ 6.1	25.4 $\pm$ 8.1
<i>S. nigrum</i>	19.5 $\pm$ 2.5	21.8 $\pm$ 5.9

534

535

536 **Table 4.** Percentage of eggs (means $\pm$ SE) laid by *M. pygmaeus* females on leaf area of each
537 plant species in multi-choice oviposition experiment. Mean percentages followed by different
538 letters are significantly different (Tukey’s test, $P<0.05$).

Plant species	Mean percentage of eggs $\pm$ SE
<i>C. officinalis</i>	18.53 $\pm$ 5.48 ab
<i>C. annuum</i>	26.49 $\pm$ 8.35 ab
<i>L. esculentum</i>	30.77 $\pm$ 9.16 a
<i>P. officinalis</i>	6.33 $\pm$ 4.12 ab
<i>S. officinalis</i>	2.48 $\pm$ 1.12 b
<i>S. nigrum</i>	15.40 $\pm$ 9.58 ab

539

541

542 **Figure 1.** Nymphs of *M. pygmaeus* (mean number $\pm$ SE) emerged on the six plants in
 543 reproduction experiment: α = *C. officinalis*; δ = *S. officinalis*; λ = *L. esculentum*; β = *C.*
 544 *annuum*; ϵ = *S. nigrum*; γ = *P. officinalis*. Means characterized by different letters are
 545 significantly different (Tukey's test, $P < 0.05$).

546

Figure 2. Relationship between percentage of recaptured adults of *M. pygmaeus* in multi-choice selection experiment and number of emerged nymphs (Pearson's correlation, $P < 0.01$).

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

Figure 3. Responses of *M. pygmaeus* (no. of responding females in bars) in a Y-tube olfactometer and number of non-responding individuals (NC) to the odours of the plants for each compared pair. The following plants were tested against healthy tomato individuals (λ = *L. esculentum*): α = *C. officinalis*; β = *C. annuum*; γ = *P. officinalis*, δ = *S. officinalis*; ϵ = *S. nigrum*. Numbers in bars represent individual mirids that moved toward the volatiles. χ^2 statistics (** P <0.05, * P <0.10; df:1) tested the hypothesis that the distribution of side-arm choices deviated from a null model where odour sources were chosen with equal frequency.

560

561 **Figure 4.** Responses of *M. pygmaeus* (no. of responding females in bars) in a Y-tube
 562 olfactometer and number of non-responding individuals (NC) to the odours of infested (θ),
 563 previously infested (ϕ) or uninfested (λ) tomato with the whitefly *T. vaporariorum* (π) for
 564 each compared pair. Numbers in bars represent individual mirids that moved toward the
 565 volatiles. χ^2 statistics (* $P < 0.10$; $df:1$) tested the hypothesis that the distribution of side-arm
 566 choices deviated from a null model where odour sources were chosen with equal frequency.