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ABSTRACT: A composite material in the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ system has been synthesized by high-energy ball milling. Some peaks in addition to that of the binary 2LiH–MgB₂ and 2LiF–MgB₂ systems are obtained for the composite material by high-pressure differential scanning calorimetry (HP-DSC), indicating the formation of intermediate phases. In situ synchrotron radiation powder X-ray diffraction (SR-PXD) performed at 60 bar of H₂ and 390 °C shows a superposition of both reaction pathways that are typical for 2LiH–MgB₂ and 2LiF–MgB₂. After hydrogen absorption of the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite the vibrational modes of LiBH₄ were observed by attenuated total reflection infrared (ATR-IR) spectroscopy. The ¹⁹F MAS NMR spectrum of the LiF–LiBH₄ sample after heat treatment in hydrogen is strongly dominated by the centerband and spinning sidebands from LiF; in addition, a low-intensity resonance, very similar to that of [BF₄]⁻, is identified.

1. INTRODUCTION

Destabilization of thermodynamically stable LiBH₄ for hydrogen storage has been first proposed and studied by the groups of Vajo et al.²-⁴ at HRL Laboratories and Cho et al.³ and Dornheim et al.⁶-¹⁵ at HZG independently. The idea is to add another high capacity hydride to LiBH₄, so that during dehydrogenation a new stable phase or compound is formed via an exothermic reaction at a temperature which is lower than the respective decomposition temperature of pure LiBH₄ and therewith decreasing the desorption reaction temperature as well as the overall reaction enthalpy. Nevertheless, the total gravimetric hydrogen capacity of a new composite had to be maintained at the same level. A prominent example of such reactive hydride composites (RHCs) with high gravimetric hydrogen storage density is the reversible system LiBH₄–MgH₂. The total enthalpy of reaction between 2 mol of LiBH₄ and 1 mol of MgH₂ was predicted to be about 40.5 kJ/mol H₂ at 1 bar equilibrium pressure and 225 °C.² Simultaneous desorption of H₂ from LiBH₄ and formation of MgB₂ took place at T ≈ 400 °C and a hydrogen back pressure around 5 bar.¹¹ Mechanically milled mixtures of LiBH₄ with MgH₂ in 2:1 molar ratio were shown to store reversibly above ~9 H₂/100% of hydrogen. Strong influences of stoichiometry between LiBD₃ and MgD₂ on the reaction pathway and cycling kinetics were observed in ref 12. There it was shown that the high LiBD₃ content material may result in the encapsulation of MgD₂ within the LiD matrix, hindering the mass transport required for complete reaction.

Another approach to destabilize LiBH₄ could be the distortion of the B–H bonds inside the [BH₄]⁻ anion by partial substitution of hydrogen atoms. Brinks et al.¹⁴ and Eigen et al.¹⁵ were the first who discovered the formation of mixed NaAlH₄Fₓ₋ₓ phases with reduced heat of reaction if compared to the pure complex hydride. On the basis of thermodynamic modeling of solid solutions between the low-temperature phase of LiBH₄ and LiBF₄, it was concluded that there are no mixed LiBH₄₋ₓFₓ compounds stable at room temperature and 1 bar of pressure. In another theoretical work decomposition of LiₓB₄H₁₂₋ₓFₓ and formation of LiₓHₓ₋ₓFₓ (0 ≤ x ≤4) compounds were proposed by first-principles calculations.¹⁶

In practice fluorine substitution for hydrogen in the LiH and LiBH₄ was reported in several experimental studies.¹⁷,¹⁸ The first paper where authors suggest a significant destabilization with respect to pure LiBH₄ was shown for hydrogen absorption–desorption in the LiF–MgB₂ composite with molar ratio 2:1,¹⁹ which was explained by the formation of MgF₂ and LiBH₄–Fₓ during hydrogenation. However, in another experimental work the main products of the hydrogen sorption were found to be MgF₂ and LiBH₄ even with different stoichiometry between LiF and MgB₂.²⁰ The LiF–MgB₂ composite with molar ratio 1:1 showed improved kinetics and good reversibility and lower hydrogen storage capacity with respect to that with molar ratio 2:1.

In this work we present a study of the new LiH–LiF–MgB₂ system. The comparison of the last to LiH–MgB₂ and LiF–MgB₂ was made. The mechanism of reversible hydrogen absorption–desorption of the prepared LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite is discussed based on the SR-PXD, HP-DSC, ATR-IR, and NMR results.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

LiH (≥95%, Sigma Aldrich), LiF (99.99%, Sigma Aldrich), and MgB₂ (≥96%, Alfa Aesar) powders were mixed by a molar ratio 1:1:1. The mixtures of powders were high-energy milled for 5 h using Spex 8000 M Mixer Mill in argon atmosphere. Stainless steel balls 10 mm in size and weight ratio to powders of 10:1 were used.
Hydrogen sorption measurements were carried out in a commercial Sievert’s type apparatus (PCTpro 2000). Milled samples were hydrogenated at 60 bar of hydrogen pressure and 390 °C in a special high-pressure–temperature sample holder. The hydrogen desorption measurements were performed at 5 bar back pressure of hydrogen and 420 °C.

High pressure differential scanning calorimetry (HP-DSC) measurements were performed using a Netzsch DSC 204 HP Phoenix located in a glovebox filled with Ar. All prepared composites were heated from 25 to 530 °C using a heating rate of 5 °C/min and after that cooled to room temperature. A constant hydrogen flow of 20 mL/min was used to establish a constant pressure under hydrogen absorption/desorption at 50 or 5 bar, respectively.

In situ synchrotron radiation powder X-ray diffraction (SR-PXD) was performed at the I711 beamline of MAX II Synchrotron in Lund, Sweden.21 A Mar165 charge-coupled detector (CCD) was exposed for 20 s with a selected X-ray wavelength of 1.07200 or 0.94608 Å for different measure-ments. The samples were airtight encapsulated in sapphire capillaries and installed in a special in situ SR-PXD cell (details described in ref 22). Samples after the third hydrogen desorption were heated with 5 °C min rate from room temperature up to 390 °C and kept at isothermal conditions for 1 h under 60 bar of H2. After that they were cooled to room temperature in open air. All handling and preparation of materials took place in a glovebox with continuously purified argon atmosphere and oxygen, and moisture values were less than 1 ppm.

Attenuated total reflection infrared (ATR-IR) spectra were taken on a Bruker-ALPHA Platinum spectrometer with ATR diamond crystal accessory. The spectra were recorded in the 4000–375 cm⁻¹ range with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution. Sixty-four scans were averaged for background and sample spectra. All the measurements were carried out at room temperature in the nitrogen-filled glovebox with O2 and H2O levels less than 1 ppm.

Solid-state 11B and 19F MAS NMR spectra were acquired for samples of LiBH4 mixed with LiF in a 1:1 molar ratio. The mixture was milled for 25 h using a planetary Mill (Fritsch Pulverisette 5) under 250 rpm in argon atmosphere. A ceramic vial and 10 mm ceramic balls with ball to powder ratio of 10:1 were used. The samples were heat treated in a commercial Sievert’s type apparatus PCTpro 2000 in a vacuum (P ~ 0.05 bar; T = 390 °C; 23 h) or hydrogen atmosphere (P ~ 60 bar H2; T = 390 °C; 3 h). The 11B and 19F MAS NMR spectra were obtained at room temperature on Varian INOVA-400 (9.39 T) and Varian INOVA-300 (7.05 T) spectrometers, respectively, using home-built CP/MAS NMR probes for 5 mm o.d. SiN4 rotors (110 µL sample volume). The samples were loaded in the PSZ rotors in an argon-filled glovebox and closed using Torlon end-caps equipped with two Viton o-rings. The 11B and 19F chemical shifts are referenced to neat F,B(O(CH2)3)3 and CFCl3, respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Hydrogen Uptake–Release Cycles of the LiH–LiF–MgB2 Composite. The LiH–LiF–MgB2 hydrogenation at 60 bar of hydrogen pressure and 390 °C as well as dehydrogenation at 5 bar back pressure and 420 °C were performed for approximately 50 h in the case of the first cycle (Figure 1). The first hydrogen uptake and release cycle acts as activation for the next ones which might be explained by grain refinement in the solid composite under cycling. The kinetics of the second and third cycles were very similar to that of the LiF–MgB2 composite with molar ratio 2:1,20 but no incubation period was observed for LiH–LiF–MgB2. Probably this fact is explained by fast nucleation of MgB2 because of the small lattice misfit between MgB2 and LiH as shown in ref 9. Only because of the absence of this incubation period in the LiH–LiF–MgB2 composite the kinetics of hydrogen sorption can be regarded as improved compared to 2LiF–MgB2. Since LiH has three times lower weight than LiF, the theoretical gravimetric hydrogen storage capacity in the LiF–LiH–MgB2 composite is 9.2 wt % H2 and therefore higher than that of 2LiF–MgB2 (7.5 wt % H2). Hydrogen uptake by the LiH–LiF–MgB2 composite was measured as ~7.0, 7.0, and 6.7 wt % H2 for the first, second, and third cycle, respectively (Figure 1a). In the case of desorption (Figure 1b) in contrast to subsequent cycles, the first cycle did not show the full reversible hydrogen capacity (~5.6 wt % H2). In accordance with the LiF–MgB2 case published in ref 20 only for the first cycle three stages of hydrogen absorption or desorption could be distinguished. For LiH–LiF–MgB2 these stages are: 0–3, 3–18, and 18–50 h. The following cycles were smoother and quite reproducible. It can be concluded that adding of LiH to the LiF–MgB2 system indeed increases hydrogen storage capacity from 6.4 wt % H2 (for 2LiF–MgB2) to 7.0 wt % H2 (for LiH–LiF–MgB2) and eliminates the incubation period during the hydrogen desorption.

3.2. Differential Scanning Calorimetry of the LiH–LiF–MgB2 Composite under Hydrogen Absorption Desorption. For the LiH–LiF–MgB2 composite HP-DSC measurements for hydrogen uptake and subsequent release were performed (Figure 2). Phase transformation and melting-solidification of LiBH4 (peaks A and B, respectively) correspond to those temperatures given in ref 20 for LiF–MgB2 composites. During hydrogen absorption a broad exothermic peak appeared approximately from 100 to 400 °C (Figure 2a). In the case of hydrogen release during heating, in addition to the typical (A) and (B) endothermic peaks, MgH2 decomposition (C) at ~360 °C and further decomposition of LiBH4 (E) at ~500 °C were visible together with two unknown events (D) in the temperature region 450–460 °C (Figure 2b). Probably, both (D) and (E) peaks are responsible for hydrogen desorption from the LiBH4 since the peak position of (E) is very similar to that in LiF–MgB2 composites,20 but its intensity is much lower. We suggest that (D) events might be some intermediates under LiBH4 decomposition which could appear again under cooling at (F).

In conclusion, HP-DSC of the LiH–LiF–MgB2 composite under hydrogen sorption showed new events that could be the signal of hydrogen release from intermediates. It means that the reaction pathway in the LiH–LiF–MgB2 might be different from the LiF–MgB2 system.

3.3. In Situ SR-PXD of the Dehydrogenated LiH–LiF–MgB2 Composite under Hydrogen Absorption. To understand the reaction mechanism of hydrogen sorption in the LiH–LiF–MgB2 composite an in situ SR-PXD measure-ment was performed after the third desorption (Figure 3). The results showed that after the third hydrogen desorption LiH, LiF, and MgB2 together with pure Mg were present in the sample. As was mentioned in ref 11 the products of the hydrogen desorption reaction of 2LiBH4−MgH2 strongly depend on hydrogen pressure and applied temperature. At low pressures and high temperatures (T ≥ 450 °C and P ≤ 3 bar) amorphous boron and pure magnesium can be formed by the reaction

\[2\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2 \rightarrow \text{B} + \text{Mg} + \text{H}_2\]
2LiBH₄ + MgH₂ ↔ 2LiH + Mg + 2B + 4H₂

(1)

During heating at 60 bar of H₂ the dehydrogenated LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite started to absorb hydrogen at ~360 °C, which is evident by the emerging of the peaks due to MgH₂ and MgF₂. At this temperature LiBH₄ is liquid, but the peaks due to the crystalline LiBH₄ became evident during cooling at around 100 °C. Therefore a presumable hydrogenation reaction path omitting any possible intermediates might be

LiF + LiH + MgB₂ + 4H₂ ↔ 2LiBH₄ + 1/2MgF₂ + 1/2MgH₂

(2)

As it was mentioned before in Section 3.1 the experimental hydrogen storage capacity of this composite is around 7 wt % H₂ which is in good agreement with the calculated (~9.2 wt % H₂) value according to eq 2. In addition to the products of hydrogen absorption Li₂B₅ was also detected. This new phase appeared during the isothermal period and remained even at room temperature. Probably at high temperature amorphous B₂, formed by eq 1, reacted with LiF and/or LiH together with MgB₂ by the chemical reaction

LiF + LiH + MgB₂ + 4B → Li₂B₅ + 1/2MgH₂ + 1/2MgF₂

(3)

In conclusion, the mechanism of hydrogen sorption of LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite (eq 2) was suggested based on in situ SR-PXD measurements. The results showed a superposition of two processes typical for 2LiH–MgB₂ and 2LiF–MgB₂ composites. Small amounts of pure Mg and Li₂B₅ obviously appeared by reactions 1 and 3, correspondingly, giving evidence of a strong pathway dependence on the pressure and applied temperature.

3.4. Attenuated Total Reflection Infrared Spectroscopy of the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ Composite. ATR-IR spectroscopy was used to characterize the composites before and after hydrogen absorption since the formation of the compounds with complex anions, such as [BH₄]⁻, [BF₄]⁻, or even [BH₃F]⁻, can be easily seen in the IR spectra. Furthermore, the vibrational features of these anions depend on their symmetry and therefore on the crystal lattice they are embedded in. The ATR-IR spectra of the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite after ball-milling and third hydrogen desorption are shown in Figure 4. It is readily seen that these spectra do not exhibit the characteristic modes of B–H stretching and H–B–H bending in the 2400–2000 and 1400–900 cm⁻¹ region. Instead, the spectra of the composite have the baselines steadily increasing in absorption at the lower frequencies due to the lattice phonons of the compounds like, for example, LiF, LiH, or MgB₂.

The ATR-IR spectrum of the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite after the first hydrogen absorption is shown in Figure 5. The characteristic [BH₄]⁻ vibrational profile in LiBH₄ with B–H stretching and H–B–H bending modes, respectively, in the 2400–2000 and 1400–900 cm⁻¹ regions is observable. In general, the spectrum of LiBH₄ formed in the hydrogenated mixture is similar to that of the commercially available LiBH₄, where the different components of v₂,BH and v₃,BH normal modes can be distinguished. Since no modifications of the [BH₄]⁻ vibrations are observable in the spectrum of hydrogenated LiH–LiF–MgB₂, the formation of LiBH₄, LiF phases is not evidenced. The additional broad peak at ca. 1000 cm⁻¹, however, is present. It can correspond to the B–F stretching vibrations, pointing at the formation of some B–F containing groups. At the same time, the peak due to F–B–F bending in the [BF₄]⁻ groups, present at ca. 550 cm⁻¹ in the reference spectrum of LiBF₄, is not visible in the spectrum of the hydrogenated LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite; therefore, these anions could not be detected in the sample.

3.5. Investigation of Possible Fluoride Substitution Using ATR-IR and ¹¹B and ¹⁹F MAS NMR Spectroscopy. Several experimental studies have reported a significant destabilization of LiBH₄ by fluoride substitution. To study whether fluoride substitution occurs between LiF and LiBH₄ in the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite system, a ball-milled sample of LiBH₄–LiF has been studied by ATR-IR. The sample was subsequently exposed to heat treatment in either hydrogen atmosphere (T ≥ 390 °C, 60 bar of H₂) or vacuum (T ≥ 390 °C, <0.05 bar), and both samples were studied by ¹¹B and ¹⁹F MAS NMR.

The ATR-IR spectrum of the LiBH₄–LiF system after ball milling is compared with the corresponding spectra of commercial LiF and LiBH₄ in Figure 6. The IR spectrum of the LiBH₄–LiF sample after ball milling shows the presence of a physical mixture of LiBH₄ and LiF, and no fluoride substitution is observed.

The ¹¹B MAS NMR spectra of the ball-milled LiF–LiBH₄ sample exposed to heat treatment in a hydrogen atmosphere and in vacuum are compared in Figure 7. The ¹¹B MAS NMR spectrum of the sample stored in hydrogen (Figure 7a) is identical to the spectrum after ball-milling (not shown) and shows the characteristic spectrum for the ¹¹B central and satellite transitions for LiBH₄ with the isotopic chemical shift δ(¹¹B) = −41.2 ppm. Furthermore, low-intensity resonances in the range of δ(¹¹B) = 18–1 ppm are observed, which most likely arise from a small amount of borate species, BO₃ and BO₂ units, formed as impurities as a result of reaction with oxygen during the preparation/handling of the sample. After heat treatment in vacuum (Figure 7b), a significant amount of LiBH₄ has decomposed and formed amorphous boron (α-B) as observed by the broad centerband resonance at δ(¹¹B) ≈ 0 ppm. A very small resonance at 1.4 ppm remains in the spectrum and indicates a small impurity of BO₂ species. The ¹¹B resonance for a commercial sample of LiBF₄ is observed at δ(¹¹B) = −2.8 ppm, and thus, we expect that ¹¹B resonances from LiBH₄, LiF should fall in the range −2 to −41.2 ppm. However, no additional resonances are observed in this range for the two heat-treated samples, indicating that no or only a very small degree of F⁻ substitution into LiBH₄ has occurred for the two samples.

The ¹⁹F MAS NMR spectra of commercial LiF and the two heat-treated LiF–LiBH₄ samples are compared in Figure 8. A ¹⁹F centerband resonance at δ(¹⁹F) = −202.8 ppm is observed for commercial LiF (Figure 8a), flanked by a number of spinning sidebands with significant intensity, which reflect strong ¹⁹F−¹⁹F dipolar couplings. The ¹⁹F MAS NMR spectrum of the LiF–LiBH₄ sample after heat treatment in hydrogen (Figure 8b) is quite similar and thereby demonstrates that fluorine is mainly present as LiF. However, a high-frequency shoulder (asterisk) is observed for the first-order spinning sideband from LiF, showing the presence of a second fluorine-containing phase. This resonance is more clearly observed in the difference spectrum between Figure 8b and Figure 8a, which shows a narrow peak at δ(¹⁹F) = −159.2 ppm. The chemical shift for this resonance is very similar to the value δ(¹⁹F) = −162.4 ppm, observed under the same experimental conditions for a commercial sample of LiBF₄. Thus, the observation of this resonance strongly suggests that a small degree of fluoride...
substitution in LiBH$_4$ has taken place for the LiF–LiBH$_4$ sample. However, the degree of fluoride substitution is so small that it is not clearly observed by $^{11}$B NMR; i.e., the corresponding low-intensity $^{11}$B resonance may be blurred by overlap with the resonances from either LiBH$_4$ or the BO$_3$ impurity. However, a very small $^{11}$B central band resonance is observed at $\delta$($^{11}$B) = −15 ppm which may originate from BH$_4$–F$_x$ units. The small shift (3 ppm) of the $^{19}$F peak, relative to the resonance from pure LiBF$_4$, suggests that the LiF–LiBH$_4$ sample after heat treatment in hydrogen contains a small fraction of BH$_4$–F$_x$ units, most likely BH$_2$F$^-$ units, rather than BF$_2$ anions.

The $^{19}$F MAS NMR spectrum of the sample exposed to heat treatment under vacuum (Figure 8c) shows only the presence of LiF in the sample, and the −159 ppm peak cannot be identified in difference plots between Figure 8c and Figure 8a.

The first results of near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) at the B K-edge (193 eV) for the LiF–MgB$_2$ composite with molar ratio (2:1) are presented in the recent paper. The obtained results indicate the formation of mixed borohydrides/borofluorides of the type of LiBH$_4$–F$_x$, thus suggesting fluorine substituting for hydrogen inside the [BH$_4$]$^-$ anion. In addition to that, the conclusion about the substitution based on the $^{19}$F MAS NMR spectrum of the LiF–LiBH$_4$ sample after heat treatment in hydrogen is a second strong hint that has been made in the present paper. Apparently, compared to SR-PXD, HP-DSC, and ATR-IR, the NMR and XAFS spectroscopies might be more sensitive to identify LiBH$_4$–F$_x$-type phases. Therefore, all systems (LiF–MgB$_2$, LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$, and LiF–LiBH$_4$) are recommended to be analyzed by $^{19}$F MAS NMR and XAFS at the B K-edge.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Hydrogen absorption capacity of the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$ composite was estimated as $\sim 7.0$, 7.0, and 6.7 wt % H$_2$ correspondently for the first, second, and third cycle, which is higher than that of 2LiF–MgB$_2$. Incubation periods which are present in 2LiF–MgB$_2$ composites were not observed for hydrogen sorption of the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$. Only because of the absence of this incubation period in LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$ the kinetics of hydrogen sorption with regard to 2LiF–MgB$_2$ can be improved, whereas with comparison to 2LiH–MgB$_2$ is slower.

During hydrogen absorption HP-DSC characterization of the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$ composite was similar to that of 2LiF–MgB$_2$. In the case of hydrogen desorption endothermic peaks of MgH$_2$ and LiBH$_4$ decomposition were visible together with two unknown events. We suggest that these new peaks might be signals of some intermediates under LiBH$_4$ decomposition which could be reversible under cooling.

No crystalline compounds as intermediates of LiBH$_4$ decomposition were detected using in situ SR-PXD measurement. During hydrogen sorption of the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$, MgH$_2$, LiBH$_4$, and MgF$_2$ were found as the main reaction products. In addition to these, small amounts of pure Mg and Li$_2$B were confirmed as additional reactions under a hydrogen uptake-release cycle.

The ex situ ATR-IR spectra of the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$ composite as milled and after the third hydrogen desorption did not show the peaks due to the LiBH$_4$, but after hydrogen absorption the vibrational modes essentially identical to that of the commercial LiBH$_4$ were found. The ATR-IR spectrum of a LiBH$_4$–LiF sample after ball milling shows the presence of a physical mixture of LiBH$_4$ and LiF.

The $^{11}$B MAS NMR spectrum of the LiBH$_4$–LiF sample after heat treatment in hydrogen (T = 390 °C; 60 bar H$_2$) showed the presence of LiBH$_4$, along with a small impurity of borate species (BO$_3$ or BO$_4$). After heat treatment in vacuum (T = 390 °C; ~0.05 bar), a significant amount of LiBH$_4$ has decomposed, and amorphous boron was formed. The $^{19}$F MAS NMR spectrum of the LiBH$_4$–LiF sample after heat treatment in hydrogen is strongly dominated by the resonances from LiF; however, a second fluoride-containing phase has also been identified, where the close similarity in $^{19}$F chemical shift with LiBF$_4$ strongly suggests that this phase includes the [BF$_4$]$^-$ ion. However, the amount of this F-anion substitution in LiBH$_4$ is too small to be used to alter the thermodynamics of LiBH$_4$–MgH$_2$ composites significantly.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme FP 7/2007–2013 under grant agreement # 226943-FLHYH.

The use of the facilities at the Instrument Centre for Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy, Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University, sponsored by the Danish Natural Science Research Council, the Danish Technical Science Research Council, Teknologistyrelsen, Carlsbergfondet, and Direktor Ib Henrik-sens Fond, is acknowledged.

REFERENCES


Figure 1. Hydrogen absorption performed at 390 °C under 60 bar of H$_2$ pressure (a) and desorption at 420 °C under 5 bar of H$_2$ (b) for the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$ composite with molar ratio 1:1:1.
Figure 2. HP-DSC investigation (heating/cooling rate of 5 °C/min) for the LiH–LiF–MgB\(_2\) composite with molar ratio 1:1:1 at hydrogen absorption (a) and desorption (b). (A) Peak position corresponds to the LiBH\(_4\) phase transformation. (B) Melting-solidification of LiBH\(_4\). (C) Decomposition of MgH\(_2\). (D) Unknown event. (E) Decom-position of LiBH\(_4\). (F) Unknown event. A constant hydrogen flow of 20 mL/min was used to establish a constant pressure under hydrogen absorption/desorption: 50 or 5 bar, respectively.
Figure 3. In situ SR-PXD performed at 60 bar of $\text{H}_2$ and 390 $^\circ\text{C}$ isothermal period for 1 h of the LiH–LiF–MgB$_2$ composite with molar ratio (1:1:1) after the third hydrogen desorption. The first and last patterns are in red.
Figure 4. ATR-IR spectra of the LiH–LiF–MgB2 composite as milled and after the third hydrogen desorption. Spectra of commercial LiH, LiF, and MgB2 are shown for comparison. Spectra are translated along the y-axis for better representation.
Figure 5. ATR-IR spectra of the LiH–LiF–MgB₂ composite after the first hydrogen absorption. Spectra of commercial LiBH₄ and LiBF₄ are shown for comparison. Spectra are translated along the y-axis for better representation.
Figure 6. ATR-IR spectra of the ball-milled LiF–LiBH₄ composite compared with commercial LiF and LiBH₄.
Figure 7. (a) $^{11}$B MAS NMR spectrum of the central and satellite transitions for LiBH$_4$ ($\delta = -41.2$ ppm) in the LiBH$_4$–LiF sample after heat treatment ($T = 390$ °C) in a hydrogen atmosphere ($P(H_2) = 60$ bar). (b) $^{11}$B MAS NMR spectrum of the central-transition region for the LiBH$_4$–LiF sample after a similar heat treatment in vacuum (~0.05 bar). A small resonance from an oxide impurity (BO$_4$ species, $\delta = 1.2$ ppm) is present in both spectra and is marked by an asterisk. The $^{11}$B MAS NMR spectra (9.4 T) have been acquired with $^1$H decoupling and a spinning speed of $\nu_R = 10.0$ kHz, using a short excitation pulse ($\tau_p = 0.5$ $\mu$s) and a relaxation delay of (a) 10 s (100 scans) and (b) 30 s (1024 scans).
Figure 8. $^{19}$F MAS NMR spectra (7.1 T, $v_r = 10.0$ kHz) of (a) commercial LiF and the ball-milled LiBH$_4$-LiF sample after heat treatment ($T = 390 \, ^\circ C$) in (b) a hydrogen atmosphere ($P(H_2) = 60$ bar) and (c) in vacuum ($\sim 0.05$ bar). The asterisk in (b) indicates a second resonance, which overlaps with the first-order spinning sideband from LiF. This resonance becomes clearly apparent in the difference spectrum for (a) and (b), shown below spectrum (b). The intensity in the difference spectrum is multiplied by a factor of 2 relative to the spectrum in (b). All spectra are with a $\sim 30^\circ$ excitation pulse and a relaxation delay of 30 s. The lower S/N ratio in part (c) reflects that only a small amount of sample was available for this experiment.