

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment: A Rehabilitative Program for Traumatic Brain Injury Individuals

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/152259> since 2016-06-22T11:00:56Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000087

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

I. Gabbatore; K. Sacco; R. Angeleri; M. Zettin; B.G. Bara; F.M. Bosco.
Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment: A Rehabilitative Program for Traumatic
Brain Injury Individuals. JOURNAL OF HEAD TRAUMA
REHABILITATION. 30 (5) pp: E14-E28.
DOI: 10.1097/HTR.0000000000000087

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage&an=00001199-201509000-00012>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/152259>

16 **Abstract**

17

18 **Objective:** To verify the efficacy of Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment (CPT), a new rehabilitation
19 training program for improving communicative-pragmatic abilities. **Design:** The CPT program
20 consists of 24 group sessions, concerned with improving several communication modalities, Theory
21 of Mind (ToM) and cognitive components that can affect pragmatic performance, such as
22 awareness and executive functions. **Participants:** A sample of 15 adults with severe traumatic brain
23 injury. **Main Measures:** Improvements were evaluated before and after training, using the
24 equivalent forms of the Assessment Battery for Communication (ABaCo), a tool for evaluating
25 comprehension and production of a wide range of pragmatic phenomena. A neuropsychological and
26 ToM assessment was also conducted. **Results:** The patients' performance improved after training,
27 in terms of both comprehension and production, in all the communication modalities assessed by
28 the ABaCo, i.e. linguistic, extralinguistic, paralinguistic and social appropriateness abilities. The
29 follow-up showed that the improvement of patients persists after three months from the end of the
30 training. **Conclusion:** The results suggest that the CPT program is **efficacious** in improving
31 communicative-pragmatic abilities in individuals with TBI, and that improvements at this level are
32 still detectable even in chronic patients years after the injury.

33

34 **Keywords:** Traumatic Brain Injury; Communication; Pragmatics; Cognitive; Training

35

Introduction

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61

The ability to interact and communicate with others effectively is essential in our society. This ability can be compromised following TBI, and it has been demonstrated that poor communication skills are a serious obstacle to community reintegration and personal autonomy^{1,2}. Communication impairment refers not only to a linguistic deficit but involves social communication skills³ and pragmatic aspects of communication, such as the use of language, gestures or prosodic cues to convey a specific meaning in a given context⁴⁻⁷. Communicative-pragmatic competence refers to a complex cluster of abilities that allow a person to understand the interlocutor's intended meaning, starting from the literal meaning of an utterance. Communicative-pragmatic deficits after TBI may include excessive talkativeness, poor topic maintenance, repetitiveness⁸ and difficulties in starting and maintaining a conversation⁹⁻¹². Patients with TBI may show impairments in the organization of narrative discourse¹³, which may be long-winded, poorly organized and tangential¹⁴. They may have an impaired ability to understand sarcasm¹⁵, irony¹⁶ and indirect requests¹⁷. Moreover, these patients often exhibit low levels of social appropriateness during their communicative interactions; this means that they show insensitivity, poor social judgment and inadequate intimacy with their interlocutors¹⁸. The social communication impairment of these patients is also attributable to their impaired ability to understand the prosodic aspects of speech¹⁹, recognize emotional prosody, i.e. the recognition of emotion based on prosodic cues²⁰, and specifically understand facial expressions²¹.

TBI patients often have a damage in the frontal lobe, a brain area involved in executive function²². Executive functioning is a construct used to describe the goal-directed behaviour, including abilities such as attention, memory, cognitive flexibility, planning and self-monitoring. Such functions can be significant contributors to patients' communication deficits^{23, 24}. In particular, there is evidence to support the hypothesis that impaired executive functions and Theory of Mind, that is the ability to ascribe mental states to oneself and the others and to use this knowledge to predict and explain the relevant actions and behaviors^{25,26} play a role in explaining

62 communicative/pragmatic performance of patients with brain injury²⁷⁻³⁰. In particular, some
63 authors³¹ suggested that a rehabilitation program should take these factors – executive functions and
64 ToM - into consideration, in order to improve patients' communicative abilities.

65 One of the key aims of rehabilitation in this field is to give individuals who have sustained a
66 brain injury opportunities to acquire communication skills and to effectively use them in their life,
67 with the final aim of maximizing functioning and foster independence. A rehabilitation program
68 should not focus exclusively on the remediation of impairments, but should also reduce disability
69 and help to restore social role functioning³². This is achieved by also focusing on patients' self-
70 awareness, which can contribute to increasing their levels of motivation during rehabilitation³ and
71 by improving their ability to recognize their residual abilities and suggesting compensatory
72 strategies³³.

73 Traditionally, treatment approaches in the communicative-pragmatic literature have focused
74 therapeutic practice on the effective use of language in a given context; the first effective pragmatic
75 rehabilitation program was *Functional Communication Treatment*³⁴, based upon the patient's
76 involvement in simulated real-life settings through the use of non-verbal communication strategies.
77 This clinical approach was later taken up in the *Conversational Coaching*³⁵ approach, aimed at
78 stimulating patients' conversational abilities. Ehlich and Sipes³⁶ went on to create a
79 communication rehabilitation program, specifically for patients with TBI and based on the
80 functional-pragmatic approach. The program used role-playing games and it was aimed at
81 improving non-verbal communication, appropriate communication in a particular context, message
82 repair and cohesiveness of the messages conveyed. Marshall³⁷, adopting the pragmatic approach,
83 demonstrated the effectiveness of group therapy, focused on the ability to begin conversational
84 exchanges and convey messages and on self-awareness about personal goals and progress made³⁸.
85 Improvements in social communication skills are achieved with both individual (e.g emotional
86 perception training³⁹ and group treatments, targeting specific communication behaviors with
87 individualized treatment goals, role playing, video-feedback, reinforcement, practice and self

88 monitoring^{40, 3, 41, 42}. Moreover, the role of regular communication partners in improving everyday
89 interactions of people with TBI was also recently underlined⁴³⁻⁴⁵.

90 Both in a systematic review⁴⁶ and in the EFSN guidelines on cognitive rehabilitation⁴⁷ it has
91 been claimed that overall empirical data support the effectiveness of functional-pragmatic therapies
92 after TBI, though require further confirmation given the limited number of studies and small
93 samples investigated. However, a more recent meta-analytic re-examination⁴⁸ did not support the
94 efficacy of functional-pragmatic therapy in patients with TBI. It thus seems that further research in
95 this domain is necessary (see also ^{49, 50}).

96 The aim of the present paper is to present, and verify the effectiveness, of a new
97 rehabilitation program - Cognitive-Pragmatic Treatment (CPT) – developed to take into account the
98 main components, i.e. executive functions, and ToM, related to communication competence and
99 useful for reintegrating patients with TBI into their social environment. The novelty of the
100 Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment is that it adopted a different theoretical perspective with respect
101 those already existing in the literature, that is the Cognitive Pragmatic theory⁵¹⁻⁵⁵, focused on the
102 cognitive and inferential processes underlying human communication. In addition to executive
103 functions and ToM, the CPT also take into account a further factor useful in explaining
104 communicative deficits in patients with TBI, that is inferential ability^{5,56}. Inferential ability refers to
105 a person's capacity to fill the gap that sometimes exists between what a person actually says (i.e.
106 "Could you pass me the salt?") and what s/he intends to communicate (i.e. to obtain the salt and not
107 really to know whether or not the partner is able to pass the salt). The convenience in adopting such
108 framework is that it offers a useful theoretical base on which to explain communicative deficits in
109 patients with TBI^{4, 5}.

110 According to the theory, a communication act can be conveyed through different modalities
111 - words, gestures, body movements and facial expressions - which should be intended as different
112 means for expressing the same communication competence^{51- 53}. One of the relevant aspects of the
113 theory, useful for the purposes of the present research, is that communication is conceived as a

114 process that requires different steps of elaboration. In more detail, according to the Cognitive
115 Pragmatic theory, the process of comprehension and production of a communicative act occurs in a
116 sequence of distinct inferential steps that allow a person to comprehend the interlocutor's intended
117 meaning, starting from the literal meaning of an utterance.

118 1. Expression act. The partner recognizes what the actor communicated, starting from the literal
119 meaning. Note that the use of the terms actor and partner - instead of speaker and hearer - was
120 intended to highlight that the theory refers to both linguistic and extralinguistic communication.

121 2. Actor's meaning. The partner recognizes the meaning of the utterance when he reconstructs the
122 actor's communicative intention.

123 3. Communicative effect. This represents the entire set of the partner's mental states acquired or
124 modified as a result of the communicative intentions expressed by the actor.

125 4. Reaction and response: The partner decides how s/he wishes to respond to the actor as a result of
126 the communicative act; and s/he thus produces an overt communicative response (an action or an
127 utterance) in reply to the actor's communicative act.

128 Using this theoretical framework⁵⁶ conducted a fine-grained model for describing clinical
129 observations concerning the severity of pragmatic deficits in participants suffering from TBI and
130 described the extent of a deficit on the basis of an individual's difficulty with
131 understanding/producing the expression act, or the actor's meaning, or the communicative effect.
132 The identification of a specific level of impairment offered us some clinical suggestions regarding
133 methods to improve the communicative efficacy of individuals affected by TBI. In our
134 rehabilitation program we focused patients' attention on the fact that people who interpret what is
135 said literally do not necessarily understand what the other person intended to communicate. **We**
136 **focused patients' attention on the fact that in order to fully comprehend what a person intends to**
137 **communicate they must make the effort to consider other possible communicative meanings, with**
138 **respect to what the interlocutor actually (literally) says.**

139 Furthermore, another novelty of the present study is that, to our knowledge, this is the first
140 time that equivalent forms⁵⁷ of the same test, the Assessment Battery for Communication^{58,59} have
141 been used to evaluate improvements in patients' communicative performance⁶⁰.

142 To summarize, we expected patients to show an improvement in their communicative-
143 pragmatic skills after CPT, with regard to all the communication modalities taught during the
144 rehabilitation sessions. In particular we focused on the following communication modalities:
145 linguistic, that is a person's ability to convey communicative meaning through language;
146 extralinguistic, that is a person's ability to convey communicative meaning through the use of
147 gestures, facial expression and body postures; paralinguistic, that is a person's ability to convey
148 communicative meaning through the use of voice – such as rate, pitch, volume- and prosodic cues,
149 such as rhythm and intonation and conversational, that is the ability to manage turn taking and the
150 theme of conversation. We also focused our training on social appropriateness, that is a person's
151 sensitivity to the social context, such as the ability to answer in a polite manner to a kind request.
152 Finally, we expected this improvement to persist after a follow-up period of three months.

153

154

155

Method

156 Participants

157 Twenty adult patients with TBI were recruited for the study. Five of the patients did not
158 complete the rehabilitative program because of personal and health problems encountered during
159 the study (e.g. one of the patients moved to an other town). Thus, the results of the present study are
160 referred to a sample of 15 patients with TBI (5 females and 10 males) ranging in age from 22 to 51
161 years ($M = 36.7$ years; $SD = 8.73$ years); their level of education ranged from 8 to 16 years of
162 schooling ($M = 9.27$ years; $SD = 2.6$ years). The sample of this study is representative of the Italian

163 population in terms of age and educational level, according to the Italian National Institute of
164 Statistics (ISTAT) (see also ⁵⁹)₁.

165 Participants with brain injury were recruited in a one-year lasting period with the help of
166 Centro Puzzle, a local rehabilitation centre for patients following head and severe brain injury.
167 The patients were divided into three rehabilitation groups, each composed of five individuals,
168 according to the time of recruitment.

169 The time after onset ranged from 12 to 228 months ($M = 76.13$; $SD = 60.76$). All patients
170 had sustained a severe TBI: their scores on the Glasgow Coma Scale in the acute phase had been
171 equal to or less than 8 (see Table 1 for patients' clinical details). Brain lesions were identified
172 through TC/RM scanning by a neuroradiologist. The majority of patients had sustained their injury
173 in a road traffic accident. At the time of the study, all the patients were living at home; all were in a
174 post-acute phase and none were living independently without a partner or parent.

175 The patients with TBI were included into the study if they were able to meet the following
176 inclusion criteria: (1) be at least 18 years of age; (2) be at least at 12 months post brain injury, in
177 order to establish that the cognitive profile was stable; (3) be Italian native speakers; (4) have
178 adequate cognitive and communication skills, certified by the achievement of a cut-off score on the
179 Mini Mental State Examination⁶¹ (MMSE; cut-off 24/30) and Token Test⁶² (cut-off 29/36) and (5)
180 exhibit communicative-pragmatic deficits, as resulting from the administration of form A of the
181 Assessment Battery for Communication⁵⁷ in comparison to normative performance by healthy
182 controls⁵⁹. (6) A minimum attendance rate of 60% at all therapy sessions was mandatory for
183 inclusion in this study. Exclusion criteria were report of (1) neuropsychiatric illness and (2) pre-
184 morbid alcohol or drug addiction; (3) prior history of TBI or other neurological disease. All the

¹ The Italian school system is organized as follows: primary school (lasting 5 years - from 6 to 11 years of age), secondary school (lasting 3 years), high school (lasting 5 years) and then University and further. Nowadays, schooling is compulsory up the age of 16 (10 years of schooling), nevertheless until 1993 the limit was 8 years of attending school.

185 information concerning the clinical profile of each participant were available via medical record.
186 Patients attended the rehabilitative center as day-hospital or residential guests: this implied
187 periodical medical examinations able to guarantee the health status of each patient. Beside, we
188 could verify that none of the patients had sustained further injury or neurological event after the
189 TBI we considered for this study; moreover we are able to ensure that none of the participants had
190 been using alcohol or drugs at the moment of the study and that they had no history of substances
191 addiction during their life-span. After screening, all the patients attending the rehabilitative center
192 who met the criteria required by the study were included.

193

194 All the participants gave their written informed consent to participate in the study. Approval for the
195 study had previously been obtained from the local ethics committee.

196

-Table 1 about here -

197

198

- Table 2 about here -

199

200 **Experimental Design, Structure and Procedure of the Training**

201 The study was conducted over a period of 9 months and comprised a 3-month training
202 period and 4 experimental sessions, organized according to the ABAB design (see Figure 1).

203 *T0_Baseline:* Three months before the treatment started, the recruited patients were assessed
204 using Form A of the ABaCo in order to delineate their communication abilities and impairments.

205 *Control procedure to check for improvements due to non-communication activities:* After

206 undergoing this assessment the patients attended twice weekly sessions, which lasted the same

207 number of hours as our CPT and involved various activities not specifically focused on

208 communication. These included: (a) memory and attention group and individual activities, (b)

209 socializing activities, including group recreation and games activities and (c) intellectual and

210 creative activities, such as reading the newspaper, cooking and painting. The purpose of this control

211 procedure was to test patients for any improvements in their communication skills due to
212 spontaneous recovery, as a consequence of non-specific activities or simply owing to the fact that
213 they were taking part in a research program.

214 *T1_Pre-Training:* The day before the treatment started, the patients' communicative
215 performance was assessed again using Form B of the ABaCo, in order to obtain a measure of their
216 abilities before embarking on the rehabilitation program and to verify the absence of any
217 improvement due to the non-specific activities attended between T0 and T1. In order to have a
218 further evaluation of the patients profile of functioning pre- and post treatment a
219 neuropsychological and ToM tests battery was also administered to the patients (see Table 2),

220 *T2_Post-Training:* The day after the treatment ended, Form A of the ABaCo was
221 administered to the patients in order to verify the efficacy of the training program on their
222 communicative performance. Moreover, we conducted a post-training cognitive evaluation using
223 the same neuropsychological and ToM tests used at T1.

224 *T3_FollowUp:* Three months after the end of the rehabilitation program, we administered
225 Form B of the ABaCo to the patients, in order to verify the stability of their communicative
226 performance in time.

227 -Figure 1 about here –

228
229 The Cognitive-Pragmatic Treatment program consists of 24 sessions; each session is
230 concerned with training and enhancing one particular aspect of communication at a time. The
231 treatment is provided in two sessions per week and lasts 12 weeks. Each session lasts approximately
232 one and a half hours with a ten-minute break. Rehabilitation activities are performed in small
233 groups of five patients led by a psychologist. Most of the treatment focuses on communication,
234 regarding different expressive modalities, i.e. linguistic, extralinguistic, paralinguistic, social
235 appropriateness and conversational abilities. Other rehabilitation sessions focus on other aspects
236 related to communication and cognitive competences such as awareness, theory of mind, and

237 planning abilities. See Table 3 and Table 4 for a schematic representation of the training and a
238 description of the general structure of the rehabilitative sessions, respectively. Moreover, a detailed
239 description of the topics covered in each rehabilitative session is provided in Text, Supplemental
240 Digital Content 1.

241 The rehabilitation treatment we proposed addresses pragmatic communicative competence
242 as a whole, in terms of both comprehension and production. The program provides an ecological
243 setting in which patients can practice their communication abilities and learn how to manage
244 everyday communication problems through self-monitoring and feedback by the therapist. Unlike
245 social skills training^{3, 43, 71}, our treatment is primarily focused on the mental representations
246 underlying one's behaviors rather than on teaching patients how to handle everyday life situations.
247 In everyday communication the intended meaning often does not simply correspond to the literal
248 one, for example a person could say "What nice weather", meaning to be ironic and remarking on
249 the fact that it is raining. The ability to manage the inferential processes needed to fill this gap is
250 often compromised in patients with TBI^{5,17}. Communication may be viewed as a process that
251 involves different stages of elaboration, that from the literal meaning of an utterance allows a
252 person to comprehend the communicative meaning intended by the partner (see the Introduction).
253 The activities proposed during the training program are designed to increase patients' inferential
254 abilities that allow them to fill the gap that sometimes occurs between what a person says and what
255 s/he intends to communicate. In each session the discussions and exercises are focused on the
256 communicative intentions observed rather than on the mere linguistic aspects of the utterances,
257 which are quite well preserved in these patients. In particular, the patients were encouraged to go
258 beyond the literal meaning of the utterances and focus on the speakers' communicative intentions,
259 on the different meanings and implications a sentence can assume, depending on the specific
260 situation and the surrounding context.

261 Moreover, particular emphasis is placed on the ability to adequately match linguistic
262 utterances with appropriate paralinguistic aspects, such as the tone of voice, facial expressions and

263 body movements. The ability to manage the paralinguistic aspects of communication is, indeed,
264 often impaired in individuals with TBI, who have difficulties both in accompanying their
265 communication acts with appropriate paralinguistic cues and in understanding prosodic aspects of
266 speech, especially when prosody would help in disambiguating utterances^{20, 72}. Finally, the training
267 is aimed at helping patients to modulate their communication according to a particular context.
268 Communicative inappropriateness following TBI represents, in fact, an impressive obstacle to
269 patients' social reintegration.

270 - Table 3 about here -

271

272 Each session was video-taped, with the participants' consent, to allow the experimenters to
273 give a better analytical, critical and objective contribution to the contents of the sessions and also to
274 help patients develop an awareness of their deficits and their progress, through video feedback
275 during and at the end of the rehabilitation program.

276 Some examples of the material used are given in Text, Supplemental Digital Content 2.

277

278

279 -Table 4 about here –

280

281

Measures

282 Treatment effects were evaluated using the equivalent forms (A and B) of the Assessment
283 Battery for Communication^{57, 58}. Equivalent forms of the same test are useful tools in clinical
284 practice and intervention research, when patients' performance needs to be tested at different times,
285 before and after a rehabilitation program. They use test and retest procedures to provide a measure
286 of treatment efficacy and reduce the possibility of patients' scores obtained during the retest
287 assessment session being attributable to practice and memory, rather than representing an actual
288 measurement of their progress. The equivalent forms of the ABaCo are made up of four different

289 evaluation scales - linguistic, extralinguistic, paralinguistic and context - which investigate all the
290 main pragmatic aspects of communication. Each scale is, in turn, divided into comprehension and
291 production tasks, thus each scale is composed of a *comprehension* and a *production* subscale
292 respectively evaluating comprehension and production abilities in each communication modality.
293 Each form comprises 68 items based on the examiner's prompts during a brief communicative
294 interaction with the patient, or on brief videotaped scenes. Each videotaped scene lasts 20–25 s and
295 comprises a controlled number of words (range: 7 ± 2), (for a more detailed description see ^{5, 56-59}).

296 Moreover, before (T1) and after the training program (T2), a series of neuropsychological
297 and ToM tests (see Table 2 for a brief description of the aim and the procedure of each test) were
298 administered to the patients in order to assess and establish the integrity or impairment of ToM and
299 the main cognitive functions (i.e. attention, memory, planning ability, cognitive flexibility, logical
300 reasoning) and to evaluate the effect of possible cognitive deficits in undermining patients'
301 communication skills.

302

303 **Coding procedures**

304 The participants' answers on the ABaCo were coded off-line by two independent judges,
305 blind with respect to the aims of the research. **The level of agreement among raters was calculated**
306 **using the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC); inter-rater concordance was .84, indicating a**
307 **very good inter-rater agreement, according to indication⁷³.**

308 Scoring was kept on specific score sheets, while watching the subjects' video-recorded
309 experimental session. For each task, patients can obtain 0 or 1 point, on the basis of correct (1 point)
310 or incorrect (0 point). In the comprehension task, the patient obtains 1 point if s/he correctly
311 comprehended the proposed task, 0 point if s/he did not show comprehension of the task. The target
312 item the patient had to understand was the communicative-pragmatic meaning of: an utterance in
313 the linguistic scale, a gesture in the extralinguistic scale, a paralinguistic cue in the paralinguistic
314 scale and the adequacy of the communicative act to social context/situation in the context scale. In

315 the production tasks, the patient obtained 1 mark if s/he has produced a congruent (with the
316 requested task) communication act. In the linguistic scale the act produced must be an utterance, in
317 the extralinguistic scale a gesture, in the paralinguistic scale a paralinguistic cues (i.e. producing an
318 utterance with a specific intonation, for example a question, or showing a specific emotion). In the
319 context scale the patient obtained 1 point if s/he produced a communication act appropriate to the
320 context/situation and with respect to the formality or informality required. For all tasks the patients
321 obtained 0 point if they were not able to produce the requested communication act in the requested
322 modality (for a more detailed description of scoring criteria, see ^{5,56-59,74}). The psychometric
323 properties of the ABaCo have been reported in⁵⁸: scales showed satisfactory to excellent internal
324 consistency, and the ABaCo showed excellent inter-rater agreement.

325 The neuropsychological and ToM tests (see Table 2) were also scored, following the
326 relevant criteria available in the literature for each test.

327

328

Results

329

Communicative-Pragmatic assessment

330

331

332

We conducted a paired samples T-test analysis to verify the efficacy of the training program and analyze the trends in patients' performance on the equivalent forms of the ABaCo in the four phases of assessment.

333

334

335

Overall, we observed no improvements due to the non-specific control activities which the patients attended between T0 (baseline) and T1 (pre training), either in comprehension ($t = .88$; $p = .41$) or in production ($t = .56$; $p = .59$) (See Figure 2).

336

337

- Figure 2 about here -

338

339

340

Patients' performance at T2 (post training) was significantly better than at T1 (pre training) both on comprehension (T test: $t = 4.9$; $p < .001$) and on production tasks ($t = 5.07$; $p < .001$). The

341 improvements were stable even at three months after the end of the treatment, as shown by the
342 comparison between the scores obtained at T2 (post training) and at the Follow Up assessment on
343 comprehension ($t = .18$; $p = .86$) and production tasks ($t = 1.03$; $p = .32$) (see Figure 2).

344 In particular, significant improvements were detected on all the ABAcO scales
345 (comprehension and production considered together), that is the Linguistic ($t = 3.29$; $p = .005$),
346 Extralinguistic ($t = 3.06$; $p = .008$), Paralinguistic ($t = 2.66$; $p = .02$) and Context ($t = 2.86$; $p = .01$)
347 scales. The improvements observed at the end of the treatment were also stable at three months after
348 the end of treatment on all the scales, as shown by the comparison between scores obtained at T2
349 (post training) and at the Follow-Up assessment ($.21 < t < 1.44$; $0.17 < p < .84$) (see Figure 3).

350

351 - Figure 3 about here -

352

353 **Cognitive and theory of mind assessment**

354 At T1 and T2 we administered a series of neuropsychological tests, in order to obtain a
355 precise cognitive profile of each patient before and after the training program; in particular we
356 evaluated ToM and the most important cognitive functions related to communicative-pragmatic
357 competence, i.e. attention, memory, planning ability, cognitive flexibility. We performed paired
358 samples T-test analysis between scores obtained at each test at T1 (pre-training) and T2 (post
359 training), to compare patients' performance before and after the training program.

360 The analysis did not reveal any statistically significant differences between performance pre
361 and post training on Verbal Span tasks (T test: $t = .70$; $p = .49$), Spatial Span tasks ($t = .34$; $p =$
362 $.74$), the Attentive Matrices test ($t = .97$; $p = .35$), the Trial Making test ($t = .77$; $p = .45$), the Tower
363 of London test ($t = 68$; $p = .50$), Raven's Colored Progressive Matrices ($t = 1.81$; $p = .09$), the
364 denomination scale of the Aachen Aphasic Test ($t = 1.28$; $p = .22$), the Sally and Ann task ($t =$
365 $.56$; $p = .58$), or the Strange Stories task ($t = .00$; $p = 1$). It did, however, show a significant

366 improvement on the Immediate and Deferred Recall test for long-term verbal memory ($t = 3.06$; $p =$
367 $.01$) and the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test ($t = 3.66$; $p = .003$). See Figure 4.

368

369 - Figure 4 about here -

370

371

Discussion

372 The aim of this study was to verify the efficacy of a new rehabilitation program, Cognitive-
373 Pragmatic Treatment, in improving and enhancing communicative-pragmatic performance in a
374 sample of patients with TBI. Poor communication abilities, often resulting from brain injury, may
375 represent an obstacle for reintegration into daily activities². The program's efficacy was measured
376 by administering, before and after the training, the equivalent forms of the Assessment Battery for
377 Communication⁵⁷, a tool able to provide a complete overview of the communication abilities of
378 these patients, taking into account a wide range of pragmatic phenomena expressed through
379 different communication modalities. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in the
380 communicative-pragmatic domain to use the equivalent forms of the same tool in different
381 assessment phases; thus, the possibility of the results being attributable to practice and memory is
382 reduced.

383 All the patients were tested at the beginning of the research program in order: (i) to verify
384 the presence of communication deficits, detected by comparing patients' performance with the
385 normative value on the ABaCo⁵⁹ and (ii) to assess their baseline communication performance. The
386 patients then attended various control rehabilitation activities not based on communication, which
387 lasted the same number of hours as the CPT. These included socializing activities, such as group
388 recreation and games, and intellectual and creative activities, such as reading the newspaper,
389 cooking and painting.

390 After this period the patients were retested using the equivalent form B of the ABaCo and
391 showed no improvement in their communication abilities. The patients subsequently attended the

392 CPT program twice a week for a total of 12 weeks, under the guidance of a psychologist.
393 Nevertheless, speech therapists could also run the rehabilitation program, after being specifically
394 trained on the structure and the procedures underlying the Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment.
395 The results of post-treatment tests revealed a significant improvement in patients' performance on
396 comprehension and production tasks for all the scales of the ABaCo. In particular, we observed a
397 significant improvement in linguistic aspects of communication, and in extralinguistic abilities, i.e.
398 intentional use of hand gestures, and body movements to convey a meaning during communicative
399 interaction. Moreover, at the end of the treatment program, the patients showed greater fluency and
400 confidence in the use of tone of voice and gaze to communicate their emotions, as demonstrated by
401 their scores on the paralinguistic scale of the ABaCo. Finally, the results revealed higher levels of
402 social appropriateness, sensitivity to the context and social judgment, as measured on the context
403 Scale of the ABaCo.

404 When considered overall, these preliminary results confirm previous findings^{3, 42, 75,76}
405 according to which chronic patients can also continue to learn and improve their abilities even years
406 after the injury occurred. In particular our results are in line with studies reporting the efficacy of
407 specific interventions in changing the psychosocial functioning and reorganization of everyday
408 behaviors of these patients, focusing on social communication⁷⁵, social skills⁴², self-regulation and
409 self-awareness⁷⁷ and on cognitive components, related to communication abilities such as attentive
410 processes² executive functions⁷⁸ and metacognitive strategies⁷⁹.

411 Moreover, our research indicated that the improvement at the communicative-pragmatic
412 level remained stable in time: the effect of the treatment was maintained at 3 months follow-up.

413 In addition to the equivalent forms of the ABaCo, a neuropsychological and ToM test
414 battery was administered to the patients before and after the rehabilitation program. No significant
415 differences in the patients' cognitive profile were found, with the exception of a significant
416 difference in performance pre and post treatment on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, and on the
417 Immediate and Deferred Recall test for long-term verbal memory. We attribute the improvement in

418 cognitive flexibility to the patients' ability to generalize the strategies they experienced during the
419 rehabilitation program, in particular referring to production activities, where participants were
420 invited to plan and choose effective communication acts to suit a specific interaction context. For
421 example, the participants watched a brief video in which a communication failure occurred and they
422 were asked to assume the actor's perspective and to try to remediate (see Text, Supplemental
423 Digital Content 2 where an example of the session's structure is provided). As a result of these
424 activities and based on feedback received from the trainer and the other participants, several
425 improvements were observed in terms of adaptation to different situations. The patients were
426 encouraged throughout the whole of the training program to apply the strategies they experienced
427 and were trained to use during the sessions to their everyday life. This process might also have
428 influenced their cognitive flexibility in a wider perspective, with a consequent improvement in
429 performance on the WCST. This interconnection between communicative performance and
430 executive functions is in line with several studies in the literature. Some authors²⁷ suggest that the
431 executive function system is necessary to engage in adaptive and effective communication and in
432 particular the inability to integrate the utterances with the surrounding context might be attributable
433 to a rigid and concrete information processing style. Moreover, impairments in executive functions,
434 including concept shifting, may influence social communication, especially regarding topic shifts,
435 inappropriate comments and literal interpretation of the statements (see²⁸). Executive control
436 therefore seems to be related to numerous aspects of personal functioning and daily-life⁸⁰ including
437 those communicative abilities which are fostered during the course of our Cognitive Pragmatic
438 Treatment.

439 As far as long-term verbal memory is concerned, the patients obtained higher scores at
440 retest; in this case one possible explanation is that we included chronic patients at least one year
441 after injury, with a high level of institutionalization, and since this test is frequently used in the
442 neuropsychological assessment during the recovery process, it might have been difficult to control
443 the learning effect of the test.

444 Often in everyday communication, the intended meaning simply does not correspond to the
445 literal one: our training is primarily focused on the inferential chain necessary to fill the gap
446 between the literal and the intended meaning: this is the case of indirect communication acts,
447 deceitful and ironic statements, where the comprehension of the speaker's intended meaning (that
448 does not simply correspond to the literally expressed one) is necessary, in order to achieve an
449 effective communicative interaction. The activities during the treatment are designed to assist
450 patients at this level and to encourage them to reflect on these inferential processes and to practice
451 them with the help of the trainer. For example during the Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment the
452 therapist focuses patients' attention on the fact that people who interpret what is said literally do not
453 necessarily understand the other person's communicative intention, and that in order to fully
454 comprehend what the actor intended to communicate they must make the effort to consider other
455 possible communicative meanings, with respect to what the interlocutor actually (literally) says.
456 From this perspective, our treatment differs from social skills trainings^{3,71} as its aim is not to teach
457 patients how to handle everyday life situations.

458 Furthermore in our rehabilitative training specific sessions are devoted to improve specific
459 abilities, such as planning and theory of mind, since they are recognized²⁷ to play a role in
460 sustaining communicative-pragmatic abilities.

461 Our preliminary findings appear to support the efficacy of the CPT program in improving and
462 enhancing communicative-pragmatic abilities in patients with TBI, although further research is still
463 necessary to generalize the results to the TBI population.

464 One limitation of the study is the lack of a control group. Given the heterogeneous clinical
465 features of TBI patients, we used a within- rather than a between-subjects design. From T0 to T1
466 the patients attended cognitive and motor enhancing activities such as memory and attention
467 groups, socializing and creative activities, which lasted the same number of hours as the training
468 program. This did not result in any change in their communication profile as shown by their scores
469 on the Equivalent form of the ABaCo administered at T1. Given this experimental design, the

470 clinical sample could itself operate as a control group, considering the different stages of the design,
471 and the improvements in patients' pragmatic performance could be attributable to the CPT program
472 rather than to any other non-specific activity. A second limitation of the present study is the small
473 sample size: a larger number of participants would strengthen the results.

474 In conclusion, the Cognitive-Pragmatic Treatment program aims to address all aspects of
475 communicative-pragmatic competence, by also taking into consideration abilities such as theory of
476 mind and executive functions which contribute to the communicative performance of patients
477 following brain injury^{27, 28}. Our findings appear to support the efficacy of the CPT program in
478 improving and enhancing communicative-pragmatic abilities in patients with TBI, although further
479 research is still necessary to generalize the results to a larger number of patients suffering as a
480 consequence of TBI.

481

482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507

References

1. Galski T, Tompkins C, Johnston MV. Competence in discourse as a measure of social integration and quality of life in persons with traumatic brain injury. *Brain injury*. 1998; *12*(9):769-782. doi:10.1080/026990598122160
2. Sohlberg MM, Mateer CA. *Cognitive rehabilitation: An integrative neuropsychological approach*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 2001.
3. Dahlberg CA, Cusick CP, Hawley LA, et al. Treatment efficacy of social communication skills training after traumatic brain injury: A randomized treatment and deferred treatment controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehab*. 2007; *88*(12): 1561-1573. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2007.07.033
4. Bara BG, Cutica I, Tirassa M. Neuropragmatics: Extralinguistic communication after closed head injury. *Brain Lang*. 2001; *77*(1): 72-94. Doi: 10.1006/brln.2000.2430
5. Angeleri R, Bosco FM, Zettin M, Sacco K, Colle L, Bara BG. Communicative impairment in traumatic brain injury: A complete pragmatic assessment. *Brain Lang*. 2008; *107*(3): 229-245. Doi: 10.1016/j.bandl.2008.01.002
6. Bosco FM, Angeleri R, Colle L, Sacco K, Bara BG. Communicative abilities in children: An assessment through different phenomena and expressive means. *J Child Lang*. 2013; *40*(4):741-788. doi: 10.1017/S0305000913000081
7. Cummings L. *Pragmatic Disorders*. Springer Netherlands; 2014.
8. McDonald S, Togher L, Code C. (Eds.). *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury*. Hove, UK: Psychology press; 1999.
9. Coelho CA, Youse KM, Le KN. Conversational discourse in closed-head- injured and non-brain-injured adults. *Aphasiology*. 2002; *16*(4-6): 659-672.
10. Marini A, Galetto V, Zampieri E, Vorano L, Zettin M, Carlomagno S. Narrative language in traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychologia*. 2011; *49*(10): 2904-2910. Doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.06.017

- 508 11. Galetto V, Andreetta S, Zettin M, Marini, A. Patterns of impairment of narrative language in
509 mild traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*. 2013; 26(6): 649-661.
510 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroling.2013.05.004>
- 511 12. Johnson JE, Turkstra LS. Inference in conversation of adults with traumatic brain injury.
512 *Brain Injury*. 2012; 26(9): 1118-1126. doi:10.3109/02699052.2012.666370
- 513 13. Coelho CA, Grela B, Corso M, Gamble A, Feinn R. Microlinguistic deficits in the narrative
514 discourse of adults with traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury*. 2005; 19(13): 1139- 1145.
515 DOI: 10.1080/02699050500110678
- 516 14. Glosser G. Discourse production patterns in neurologically impaired and aged populations.
517 In: Brownell HH, Joannette Y eds. *Narrative discourse in neurologically impaired and*
518 *normal aging adults*. San Diego, CA: Singular; 1993: 191–211.
- 519 15. McDonald S. Neuropsychological studies of sarcasm. *Metaphor and Symbol*. 2000; 15(1-2):
520 85–98. doi:10.1080/10926488.2000.9678866
- 521 16. Gaudreau G, Monetta L, Macoir J, Laforce Jr R, Poulin S, Hudon C. Verbal irony
522 comprehension in older adults with amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychology*,
523 2013; 27(6): 702-712. doi: 10.1037/a0034655
- 524 17. Evans K, Hux K. Comprehension of indirect requests by adults with severe traumatic brain
525 injury: Contributions of gestural and verbal information. *Brain Injury*. 2011; 25(7-8): 767-
526 776. doi:10.3109/02699052.2011.576307
- 527 18. McDonald S. Differential pragmatic language loss after closed head injury: Ability to
528 comprehend conversational implicature. *Appl Psycholinguistics*, 1992; 13: 295–312. doi:
529 10.1017/S0142716400005658
- 530 19. Joannette YE, Brownell HH. Discourse ability and brain damage: Theoretical and empirical
531 perspectives. In: *This book was motivated by a symposium, entitled " The Effect of Brain*
532 *Damage on Discourse Ability," organized for the Twenty-Fourth Annual Meeting of the*
533 *Academy of Aphasia in Nashville in October 1986*. Springer-Verlag Publishing; 1990.

- 534 20. Milders M, Fuchs S, Crawford R. Neuropsychological impairments and changes in
535 emotional and social behavior following severe traumatic brain injury. *J Clin Exp*
536 *Neuropsych.* 2003; 25(2): 157–172. doi: 10.1076/jcen.25.2.157.13642
- 537 21. Croker V, McDonald S. Recognition of emotion from facial expression following traumatic
538 brain injury. *Brain Injury.* 2005; 19(10): 787-799. doi:10.1080/02699050500110033
- 539 22. Cattelani R. *Neuropsicologia delle sindromi post-traumatiche*. Milano: Raffaello Cortina
540 Editore; 2006.
- 541 23. Coelho CA, Liles BZ, Duffy RJ. Impairments of discourse abilities and executive functions
542 in traumatically brain-injured adults. *Brain Injury.* 1995; 9(5): 471-477. doi:
543 10.3109/02699059509008206
- 544 24. Ylvisaker M, Szekeres SF. Metacognitive and executive impairments in head-injured
545 children and adults. *Top Lang Disord.* 1989; 9(2): 34-49.
- 546 25. Premack D, Woodruff G. Does the chimpanzee have a theory of mind? *Behav Brain Sci.*
547 1978; 1, 512–526.
- 548 26. Tirassa M., Bosco F.M. (2008). On the nature and role of intersubjectivity in human
549 communication. *Emerging Communication: Studies in New Technologies and Practices in*
550 *Communication*, 10, 81-95.
- 551 27. Martin I, McDonald S. Weak Coherence, No Theory of Mind, or Executive Dysfunction?
552 Solving the Puzzle of Pragmatic Language Disorders. *Brain Lang.* 2003; 85(3): 451-466.
553 Doi: 10.1016/S0093-934X(03)00070-1
- 554 28. Struchen MA, Clark AN, Sander AM, Mills MR, Evans G, Kurtz D. Relation of executive
555 functioning and social communication measures to functional outcomes following traumatic
556 brain injury. *NeuroRehabilitation.* 2008; 23(2): 185-198.
- 557 29. Mozeiko J, Le K, Coelho C, Krueger F, Grafman J. The relationship of story grammar and
558 executive function following TBI. *Aphasiology*, 2011; 25(6-7): 826-835. Doi:
559 10.1080/02687038.2010.543983

- 560 30. Bosco FM, Bono A, Bara BG. Recognition and repair of communicative failures: the
561 interaction between Theory of Mind and cognitive complexity in schizophrenic patients. *J*
562 *Commun Disord.* 2012; 45(3): 181–97. Doi: 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2012.01.005
- 563 31. Bibby H, McDonald S. Theory of mind after traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychologia.*
564 2005; 43(1): 99-114. Doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2004.04.027
- 565 32. Cicerone KD, Dahlberg C, Malec JF, et al. Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: updated
566 review of the literature from 1998 through 2002. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2005; 86(8): 1681-
567 1692. Doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2005.03.024
- 568 33. Bosco FM. Rehabilitation, communication. In: Cummings L. eds. *The Pragmatics*
569 *Encyclopedia.* London, New York: Routledge; 2010; 391-393.
- 570 34. Aten JL, Cagliuri MP, Holland AL. The efficacy of functional communication therapy for
571 chronic aphasic patients. *J Speech Hear Disord.* 1982; 47(1): 93–96.
- 572 35. Holland AL. Pragmatic aspects of intervention in aphasia. *J Neurolinguist.* 1991; 6(2): 197-
573 211.
- 574 36. Ehrlich JS, Sipes AL. Group treatment of communication skills for head trauma patients.
575 *Cognitive Rehabilitation.* 1985; 3(1): 32-37.
- 576 37. Marshall RC. *Introduction to group treatment for aphasia: Design and management.*
577 Butterworth-Heinemann. 1999.
- 578 38. Elman RJ, Bernstein-Ellis E. The efficacy of group communication treatment in adults with
579 chronic aphasia. *J Speech Lang Hear R.* 1999; 42(2): 411-419. doi:10.1044/jslhr.4202.411
- 580 39. Radice-Neumann D, Zupan B, Tomita M, Willer B. (2009). Training emotional processing
581 in persons with brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2009; 24, 313-323. doi:
582 10.1097/HTR.0b013e3181b09160.
- 583 40. Struchen MA. Social communication interventions. In: High WM, Sander AM, Struchen
584 MA, Hart K A. eds. *Rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury.* Oxford: University Press;
585 2005; 88-117.

- 586 41. Gajar A, Schloss PJ, Schloss CN, Thompson CK. Effects of feedback and self-monitoring
587 on head trauma youths' conversation skills. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1984; 17(3): 353-358.
588 DOI: 10.1901/jaba.1984.17-353.
- 589 42. McDonald S, Tate, R, Togher L, et al. Social skills treatment for people with severe, chronic
590 acquired brain injuries: A multicenter trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation.*
591 2008; 89(9): 1648-1659. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2008.02.029>
- 592 43. Ylvisaker M. Self-coaching: A context-sensitive, person-centred approach to social
593 communication after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Impairment.* 2006; 7(3): 246-258. Doi:
594 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1375/brim.7.3.246>
- 595 44. Togher L, McDonald S, Tate R, Power E, Rietdijk R. Training communication partners of
596 people with severe traumatic brain injury improves everyday conversations: A multicenter
597 single blind clinical trial. *Journal of Rehabilitative Medicine.* 2013; 45: 637–645. doi:
598 10.2340/16501977-1173
- 599 45. Togher L, Power E, Rietdijk R, McDonald S, Tate R. An exploration of participant
600 experience of a communication training program for people with traumatic brain injury and
601 their communication partners. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2012; 34(18): 1562-1574. DOI:
602 10.3109/09638288.2012.656788
- 603 46. Cicerone KD, Dahlberg C, Malec JF, et al. Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: updated
604 review of the literature from 1998 through 2002. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2005; 86(8): 1681-
605 1692. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2005.03.024>
- 606 47. Cappa SF, Benke T, Clarke S, Rossi B, Stemmer B, van Heugten M. EFNS guidelines on
607 cognitive rehabilitation: Report of an EFNS task force. *Eur J Neurol.* 2005; 12(9): 665-680.
608 Doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2005.01330.x
- 609 48. Rohling ML, Faust ME, Beverly B, Demakis G. Effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation
610 following acquired brain injury: A meta-analytic re-examination of Cicerone et al.'s (2000,

- 611 2005) systematic reviews. *Neuropsychology*. 2009; 23(1): 20-39. Doi:
612 <http://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0013659>
- 613 49. Driscoll D, Dal Monte O, Grafman J. A need for improved training interventions for the
614 remediation of social functioning following brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2011; 28: 319-326.
615 doi: 10.1089/neu.2010.1523.
- 616 50. Gindri G, Pagliarin KC, Casarin FS, Branco LD, Ferré P, Joannette Y, Fonseca RP. (2014).
617 Rehabilitation of discourse impairments after acquired brain injury. *Dement. neuropsychol*,
618 2014; 8(1): 58-65.
- 619 51. Bara BG. *Cognitive pragmatics: The mental processes of communication*. Cambridge, MA:
620 MIT Press; 2010.
- 621 52. Bara BG, Bucciarelli M. Language in context: The emergence of pragmatic competence.
622 *Cognition in context*, 1998; 317-344.
- 623 53. Bosco FM, Bucciarelli M, Bara BG. The fundamental context categories in understanding
624 communicative intention. *J Pragmatics*. 2004; 36(3): 467-488. Doi:
625 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166\(03\)00055-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166(03)00055-9)
- 626 54. Bosco FM, Bucciarelli M, Bara BG. Recognition and recovery of communicative failures in
627 children. *J Pragmatics*. 2006; 38(9): 1398-1429.
- 628 55. Bosco FM, Bono A, Bara BG. Recognition and repair of communicative failures: the
629 interaction between Theory of Mind and cognitive complexity in schizophrenic patients. *J*
630 *Commun Disord*. 2012; 45(3): 181–97. Doi:
631 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2012.01.005>
- 632 56. Bosco FM, Angeleri R, Zettin M, Sacco K, Bara BG. Communicative Errors in Patients
633 with Closed-Head Injury: When and Why They Fail. *Int J Health Commun Disorders*.
634 accepted for publication.

- 635 57. Bosco FM, Angeleri R, Zuffranieri M, Bara BG, Sacco K. Assessment Battery for
636 Communication: Development of two equivalent forms. *J Commun Disord.* 2012; 45(4):
637 290-303. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2012.03.002>
- 638 58. Sacco K, Angeleri R, Bosco FM, Colle L, Mate D. Bara BG. Assessment Battery for
639 Communication–ABaCo: A new instrument for the evaluation of pragmatic abilities. *J*
640 *Cognitive Science.* 2008; 9: 111-157.
- 641 59. Angeleri R, Bosco FM, Gabbatore I, Bara BG, Sacco K. Assessment battery for
642 communication (ABaCo): Normative data. *Behav Res Meth.* 2012; 44(3), 845-861. Doi:
643 [10.3758/s13428-011-0174-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0174-9)
- 644 60. Dollaghan CA. Evidence-based practice in communication disorders: What do we know,
645 and when do we know it? *J Commun Disord.* 2004; 37: 391-400. Doi:
646 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2004.04.002>.
- 647 61. Folstein M, Folstein S, McHugh P. Mini-Mental State: A practical method for grading the
648 cognitive state of patients for the clinicians. *J Psychiat Res.* 1975; 12: 189–198.
- 649 62. De Renzi E, Vignolo LA. The Token Test: A Sensitive Test to Detect Receptive
650 Disturbances in Aphasics. *Brain,* 1962; 85: 665-678.
- 651 63. Spinnler H, Tognoni G. Standardizzazione e taratura italiana di tests neuropsicologici. *Ital J*
652 *Neurological Sciences.* 1987; 6 (Suppl. 8): 20–119.
- 653 64. Reitan RM. (1958). Validity of the Trail Making Test as an indicator of organic brain
654 damage. *Percept Motor Skills.* 1958; 8(3): 271-276.
- 655 65. Shallice T. (1982). Specific impairments of planning. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
656 *Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences.* 1982; 298(1089): 199-209.
- 657 66. Nelson HE. (1976). A modified card sorting test sensitive to frontal lobe defects. *Cortex.*
658 1976; 12(4): 313-324.

- 659 67. Raven JC. (1947). *Coloured Progressive Matrices: Sets A, Ab, B*. Grieve and Sons,
660 Dumfries; trad. it. Ravaven J. C., *Progressive Matrici Colore, serie A, Ab, B*. Edizione O.S.,
661 Firenze.
- 662 68. Huber W, Poeck K, Weniger D, Willmes K. (1983). Der Aachener Aphasie Test (AAT).
663 Gottingen: Hogrefe. [Italian version: Luzzatti, C., Willmes, K., & De Bleser, R. (Eds.),
664 1996].
- 665 69. Wimmer H, Perner J. Beliefs about beliefs: Representation and constraining function of
666 wrong beliefs in young children's understanding of deception. *Cognition*, 1983; 13: 103-128.
667 Doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(83\)90004-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(83)90004-5)
- 668 70. Happé F. An advanced test of theory of mind: Understanding of story characters' thoughts
669 and feelings by able autistic, mentally handicapped, and normal children and adults. *J*
670 *Autism Dev Disord*. 1994; 24: 129-154. Doi: 10.1007/BF02172093
- 671 71. Ylvisaker M, Turkstra LS, Coelho C. Behavioral and social interventions for individuals
672 with traumatic brain injury: A summary of the research with clinical implications. In
673 *Seminars in speech and language*. 2005; 26 (4): 256-267. Doi: 10.1055/s-2005-922104
- 674 72. Marquardt TP, Rios-Brown M, Richburg T, Seibert LK, Cannito MP. Comprehension and
675 Expression of Affective Sentences in Traumatic Brain Injury. *Aphasiology*, 2001; 15
676 (10/11): 1091-1101. Doi: 10.1080/02687040143000429
- 677 73. Altman DG. (1991). *Practical statistics for medical research*. London, UK: Chapman and
678 Hall.
- 679 74. Colle L., Angeleri R., Vallana M., Sacco K., Bara B.G., Bosco F.M. (2013). Understanding
680 the communicative impairments in schizophrenia: A preliminary study. *Journal of*
681 *Communication Disorders*, 46, 294-308, Doi: 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2013.01.003.
- 682 75. Braden C, Hawley L, Newman J, Morey C, Gerber D, Harrison-Felix C. Social
683 communication skills group treatment: A feasibility study for persons with traumatic brain
684 injury and comorbid conditions. *Brain Injury* 2010; 24(11), 1298-1310.

- 685 76. Brownell H, Lundgren K, Cayer-Meade C, Milione J, Katz DI, Kearns K. (2012). Treatment
686 of Metaphor Interpretation Deficits Subsequent to Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Head Trauma*
687 *Rehab* 2013; 28(6): 446-452. doi: 10.1097/HTR.0b013e31825b5e85
- 688 77. Ownsworth T, Quinn H, Fleming J, Kendall M, Shum D. Error self-regulation following
689 traumatic brain injury: A single case study evaluation of metacognitive skills training and
690 behavioural practice interventions. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* 2010; 20(1): 59-80. Doi:
691 10.1080/09602010902949223
- 692 78. Levine B, Robertson IH, Clare L, et al. Rehabilitation of executive functioning: An
693 experimental–clinical validation of goal management training. *Journal of the International*
694 *Neuropsychological Society.* 2000; 6(3): 299-312.
- 695 79. McDonald S, Wiseman-Hakes C. Knowledge translation in ABI rehabilitation: A model for
696 consolidating and applying the evidence for cognitive-communication interventions. *Brain*
697 *Injury.* 2010; 24(3): 486-508.
- 698 80. Ownsworth T, McKenna K. Investigation of factors related to employment outcome
699 following traumatic brain injury: a critical review and conceptual model. *Disability Rehab.*
700 2004; 26, 765-784.

701

702

703 *Table 1 Clinical details of the participants (N = 15).*

	Sex	Age	Education (yrs)	Months post injury	GCS	MMSE
Participants ID						
01	M	37	8	67	6	28.42
02	M	35	10	102	3	29
03	F	22	8	12	3	25
04	M	50	8	42	4	30
05	F	35	10	45	7	25.75
06	F	40	16	78	3	24.59
07	F	42	8	100	3	25.62
08	M	32	8	50	3	23.42
09	F	44	8	72	5	18.62
10	M	45	10	228	5	28.62
11	M	30	11	58	-	27
12	M	23	8	18	4	28.59
13	M	35	8	54	4	28.42
14	M	51	5	24	-	27.26
15	M	30	13	192	8	21.75

704

705

706

707

708

709
710
711
712

Table 2 Neuropsychological and Theory of Mind tests.

Domain	Construct	Name of the Test	Description of the test
Attention	Selective attention, i.e. the ability to focus on a single or few elements of the perceptual field, for a certain amount of time.	Attentive Matrices ⁶³	The test consists of a series of patterns of numbers displayed on a sheet. The patient is required to check the numbers to find the target one. The tasks follow a trend of increasing complexity (from 1 to 3 digits to be found) and scores are attributed according to accuracy and completion time.
Attention	Divided attention, i.e. the ability to direct the attention on more than one cognitive task at the same time.	Trail Making test ⁶⁴	The test consists of two parts (A and B). Both parts of the TMT consist of 25 circles distributed over a sheet of paper. In Part A, the patient is asked to draw lines to connect the circles (1-25) in ascending order. In Part B, the circles include both numbers (1-13) and letters (A-L) and the patient is asked to connect the circles in an ascending pattern, alternating between numbers and letters (i.e., 1-A-2-B...). The patient is required to complete the tasks as quickly as possible. The direct score of each part is represented by the time required to complete the tasks. In addition to direct scores, the B-A difference score is used for clinical purposes as indicators of cognitive operations.
Memory	Verbal short-term memory, i.e. the ability to hold in mind a limited amount of information (short words in the verbal modality), in an active, readily available state for a short period of time.	Verbal Span ⁶³	The patient is asked to repeat more and more complicated sequences right after the examiner. These sequences range between 1 and 9 words, each word is made up of two syllables. Scores are given according to the longest series for which two or more sequences are correctly repeated.
Memory	Spatial short-term memory, i.e. the ability to hold in mind a limited amount of information (different locations and spatial relations between objects), in an active, readily available state for a short period of time.	Spatial Span ⁶³	In this test there are 9 wooden blocks arranged irregularly. The examiner taps the blocks in randomized sequences of increasing length, using from 2 to 10 blocks. Immediately after each tapped sequence, the subject is required to repeat the sequence. Scores are attributed according to the length of the sequence of at least two taps repeated correctly by the patient.
Memory	Verbal long-term memory, intended as the ability to extract and memorize information and recall them, immediately after their presentation and after a brief amount of time.	Immediate and Deferred Recall test for long-term verbal memory ⁶³	A standardized short story is read aloud by the examiner and the patient is asked for immediate free recall. After the first recall, the examiner reads the story again. Ten minutes later (non-verbal interfering activity) the patient is asked to recall the details of the story again (deferred recall). A separate score is attributed for each of the two recalls, based on precise coding criteria for each element of the story.

Domain	Construct	Name of the Test	Description of the test
Planning	Ability to create a mental representation of the current situation and of the goal and to be able to establish which actions are needed to transform the current state into the goal state. This ability requires a comprehensive plan of action, able to take into account constraints and alternatives.	Tower of London ⁶⁵	The test is a problem-solving task requiring the patient to rearrange three colored rings, from their initial position on three upright sticks to a new set of predetermined positions. Patients are required to achieve the goal arrangement in as few moves as possible and in accordance with very simple rules such as, for example, do not move more than one ring at a time.
Cognitive flexibility	Ability to switch between reasoning about different concepts, and to reason about multiple concepts at the same time.	Wisconsin Card Sorting Test – WCST ⁶⁶	The test is composed of a set of stimulus cards with shapes on them, which differ in color, number and form of the shapes. The patient is asked to complete a categorizing process, placing each response card below one of the stimulus cards. Rules for the correct completion of the task are given at the beginning and during the task. Scoring is mainly based on the number of categories completed and the number of errors.
Logical reasoning	Capacity to recognize patterns and relationships of theoretical or intangible ideas.	Coloured Progressive Matrices Raven ⁶⁷	This is a multiple-choice test consisting of a series of visual pattern matching and analogy problems pictured in colored non-representational designs. The patient is required to conceptualize spatial, design, and numerical relations of increasing difficulty. They are presented with a set of incomplete figures and the task is to complete the set choosing one of the six responses given below the figure.
Language	Ability to understand and to produce linguistic elements (i.e. words and short sentences) in a proper and precise manner.	Aachener Aphasia Test-denomination scale – AAT ⁶⁸	The AAT consists of five subtests and six spontaneous speech-rating scales. On the Denomination scale, the patient is required to say aloud the name of 40 visually-presented images of increasing complexity. The score is attributed on the basis of the accuracy of the answers.
Theory of Mind	Ability to infer thoughts and intentions of another person	Sally e Ann Task ⁶⁹	This task is administered through the use of two paper dolls (Sally & Ann) acting in a false belief scenario. The patient is required to correctly interpret the character behavior on the basis of the attributed beliefs to the characters themselves.
Theory of Mind	Ability to deal with doubly embedded representations. It requires understanding and reasoning about the fact that people have beliefs both about the world and about the contents of others' minds.	Strange Stories Task ⁷⁰	The task consists of a set of mentalistic stories (e.g. double bluff, mistakes, white lies..), read aloud by the examiner. The patient is asked to listen carefully and answer some questions requiring an inference about the characters' thoughts, feelings and intentions. Each story is scored separately and no time limit is given.

713

714

715

716 Table 3 *General structure of each rehabilitative session.*

<p><u>Each session is organized as follows:</u></p> <p><i>Introduction and summary of previous topics:</i> Introduction and explanation of the contents of the session, explicitly referring to daily life episodes in which the topic of the session plays an important role. This part of the program ends with a brief summary of what has been done in the previous sessions.</p> <p><i>Comprehension activities:</i> Video-taped scenes, where two actors interact using the specific communication modality on which the session is based (i.e. mainly through language in linguistic sessions, mainly through gestures in the extralinguistic session and so on). At the end of each video, the participants are invited to discuss the interactions depicted in the scenes, in order to stimulate and extend their comprehension of the proposed communicative situations. The discussion is also aimed at improving their discourse coherence. Moreover the trainer encourages the participants to interact with each other and to introduce compensatory communication strategies.</p> <p><i>Production activities:</i> Role-playing activities - interactive scenarios reproducing everyday situations, in order to provide patients with specific communication strategies and feedback in a protected setting. Patients are invited to conduct in-group conversations, in order to stimulate their ability to use contextual elements, as proposed by the theory of referential communication. Specific sessions are devoted to enhancing various aspects of communication, such as the ability to recognize and correctly use facial expressions and prosody.</p> <p><i>Conclusion and homework:</i> This gives patients the possibility to practice and to reinforce the aspects of communication addressed during the session.</p>
--

717

718

719 Table 4. *Schematic Structure of the Cognitive Pragmatic Treatment, reporting the topic and the*
 720 *clinical tools of each session.*

Weeks	Sessions order	Topic	Tools and Procedures
1	1	Awareness	Construction of the clinical setting and introduction of the CPT
	2	General communicative ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
2	3	General communicative ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
	4	Linguistic ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
3	5	Linguistic ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
	6	Extra-linguistic ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing, based on the gestural modality
4	7	Extra-linguistic ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing based on the gestural modality
	8	Paralinguistic ability	Video-taped scenes, Facial expression recognition and tone of the voice tasks, role playing.
5	9	Paralinguistic ability	Video-taped scenes, Facial expression recognition and tone of the voice tasks, role playing.
	10	Paralinguistic ability	Video-taped scenes, Facial expression recognition and tone of the voice tasks, role playing.
6	11	Social appropriateness ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
	12	Social appropriateness ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
7	13	Conversational ability	Video-taped scenes, role playing and Tangram exercises
	14	Conversational ability	Video-taped scenes, role playing and Tangram exercises
8	15	Management of telephonic conversation	Audio-taped telephone conversations and role playing
	16	Management of telephonic conversation	Audio-taped telephone conversations and role playing
9	17	Planning ability	Sub-goal task activities, both alone and in groups (e.g. planning household chores)
	18	Planning ability	Sub-goal task activities, both alone and in groups (e.g. planning household chores)
10	19	Theory of Mind	Video-taped scenes and role playing
	20	Theory of Mind	Video-taped scenes and role playing
11	21	Narrative ability	Description tasks and speech elicitation pictures
	22	General communicative ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
12	23	General communicative ability	Video-taped scenes and role playing
	24	Post-training awareness	Conclusions and feedbacks based on the video-recording of the sessions

722 **List of the Supplemental Digital Content**

723 - Supplemental Digital Content 1. Structure of the rehabilitation sessions in the Cognitive-

724 Pragmatic Treatment. doc

725 - Supplemental Digital Content 2. Example of a Session: Linguistic Abilities, Session 5. doc

726

Figure 1. Graphical representation of the experimental design



Figure 2. Comparison between the average scores obtained in the production and comprehension tasks, considered overall, at T0 – Baseline, T1 - pre, T2 - post training and Follow Up




Figure 3. Comparison between the average scores obtained at the scales of ABaCo, at T1 - pre training, T2 - post training and Follow Up




Figure 4. Mean scores obtained at neuropsychological and ToM tests at T1 - pre training and T2 - post training

