AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Interactive transmission of two phytoplasmas by the vector insect

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/152811> since 2016-07-25T09:29:17Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/aab.12146

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the accepted version of the following article: [3. Rashidi M., D'Amelio R., Galetto L., Marzachi C., and Bosco D., 2014. Interactive transmission of two phytoplasmas by the vector insect. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165: 404-413.],
which has been published in final form at [doi:10.1111/aab.12146]

Interactive transmission of two phytoplasmas by the vector insect

Journal:	<i>Annals of Applied Biology</i>
Manuscript ID:	AAB-2013-0321.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Rashidi, Mahnaz; Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, CNR, Istituto di Virologia Vegetale D'Amelio, Romina; Universita di Torino, DISAFA - Entomologia Galletto, Luciana; Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, CNR, Istituto di Virologia Vegetale Marzachi, Cristina; Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, CNR, Istituto di Virologia Vegetale Bosco, Domenico; Universita di Torino, DISAFA - Entomologia
Key Words:	Phytoplasmas, Transmission By Vectors, Entomology

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Interactive transmission of two phytoplasmas by the vector insect

Rashidi M.^{1,2*}, D’Amelio R.^{1*}, Galetto L.², Marzachi C.², and Bosco D.^{1*}

¹ University of Torino, DISAFA, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

² Istituto di Virologia Vegetale, CNR, Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135 Torino, Italy.

*the two authors equally contributed to the paper

***Corresponding author:** Domenico Bosco, University of Torino DISAFA, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, Grugliasco (TO), Italy, tel. +39 011 6708529, fax +39 011 670853, domenico.bosco@unito.it

Running title: CYP and FDP competition in *E. variegatus*

Abstract

Phytoplasmas are wall-less bacteria associated with many plant diseases of wild and cultivated plants, transmitted by hemipteran insects. In nature, vectors can acquire more than one phytoplasma by feeding on multiple-infected source plants or sequentially on plants infected by different phytoplasmas. The acquisition of multiple phytoplasmas may lead to their interaction in the insects. In this work, nymphs of the leafhopper *Euscelidius variegatus* were allowed to feed sequentially on Flavescence dorée (FDP)-infected and chrysanthemum yellows (CYP)-infected source plants and vice-versa. Following the acquisition feeding, the titre of the two phytoplasmas in the insect was measured over time. FDP and CYP transmission capability of the doubly-infected leafhoppers was studied by transmission to *Vicia faba* L., and to artificial feeding medium. Both phytoplasmas were acquired by the vector regardless of the feeding order and FDP titre, but not CYP titre, which was affected by double infection. FDP and CYP persisted in the insect for life. Only CYP was consistently and efficiently transmitted by doubly-infected leafhoppers. Consistently, FDP was seldom detected in the salivary glands and never in the artificial feeding medium of doubly-infected leafhoppers. In conclusion, competition between CYP and FDP affected only salivary gland colonization, while it had almost no effect on phytoplasma acquisition. Competition among phytoplasma strains in an evolutionary time scale may explain the greater ability of CYP to colonize the insect body and be transmitted.

Key words: *Euscelidius variegatus*, *Candidatus* Phytoplasma vitis, *Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris, quantitative real time PCR, pathogen competition

Introduction

Phytoplasmas, are wall-less plant pathogenic bacteria of the Class Mollicutes infecting a wide variety of herbaceous and woody plants, and they cause important economic losses on crops worldwide (Hogenhout *et al.*, 2008). Phytoplasmas are transmitted by phloem-feeding hemipteran insects (plant, leafhoppers and psyllids) (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006) and transmission involves a latent period in the vector during which the ingested bacteria pass from the alimentary canal through the midgut into the haemocoel, and colonize salivary glands before being transmitted to a new host plant. These plant pathogens may have a

1
2
3 43 broad or a restricted plant host range (Foissac and Wilson, 2010), and they can be transmitted by
4
5 44 polyphagous or monophagous insects (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006). Once infected, vectors remain
6
7 45 inoculative for life (Bosco and D’Amelio, 2010), and for these reasons transmission by the vector is by far
8
9 46 the most important route of phytoplasma dispersion and a key element in the epidemiology of these vector-
10
11 47 borne pathogens (Gratz, 1999; Bosco and D’Amelio, 2010).
12
13 48 In nature, insect vectors can potentially acquire more than one phytoplasma species by feeding sequentially
14
15 49 on plants infected by different phytoplasmas or by feeding on multiply-infected plants. Mixed phytoplasma
16
17 50 infections are known in several plant species (Lee *et al.*, 2000; Marzachi *et al.*, 2001; Roggia *et al.*, 2013),
18
19 51 and competition between different phytoplasmas within the same plant has been described only for few
20
21 52 closely related phytoplasma strains (Kuske and Kirkpatrick, 1992; Sinclair *et al.*, 2000; Seemuller *et al.*,
22
23 53 2010). In contrast, mixed phytoplasma infection in the vector have been only sporadically reported.
24
25 54 Phytoplasma interactions in the vector insects may result in interactive (enhanced or suppressed) or non-
26
27 55 interactive (independent) transmission of the two agents (reviewed in Bosco and D’Amelio, 2010).
28
29 56 Generally, the interference is most pronounced between closely related strains of the same pathogen (Purcell,
30
31 57 1982). In this case the interaction results in lack of transmission of one of the strains or in a transmission
32
33 58 pattern where the strain acquired first is also the first and most efficiently transmitted (Freitag, 1967).
34
35 59 Moreover, some suppressive interactions have been observed between phytoplasmas and other plant
36
37 60 pathogens such as spiropasmas (Maramorosch, 1958, De Oliveira *et al.*, 2007) and viruses (Hsu and
38
39 61 Bantari, 1979). The interaction between aster yellows phytoplasma and oat blue dwarf virus (OBDV,
40
41 62 Tymoviridae) by the vector *Macrostes quadrilineatus* Forbes (= *fascifrons*) results in a decrease of the
42
43 63 transmission rate of both pathogens (Hsu and Bantari, 1979), and the interaction between corn stunt
44
45 64 spiropasma and maize bushy stunt phytoplasma within the vector *Dalbulus maidis* results in the suppression
46
47 65 of phytoplasma transmission (“unilateral cross-protection”) when spiropasma acquisition precedes that of
48
49 66 phytoplasma (Maramorosch, 1958; de Oliveira *et al.*, 2007).
50
51 67 The leafhopper *Euscelidius variegatus* (Kirschbaum) (Cicadellidae Deltocephalinae) is a natural vector of
52
53 68 the chrysanthemum yellows strain (CYP) of the *Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris (16SrI-B, Palermo *et al.*,
54
55 69 2001), and a well-known laboratory vector of the Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (FDP, 16SrV, Caudwell *et*

al., 1970), and preliminary experiments have shown that it can acquire both phytoplasmas in mixed infections (D'Amelio *et al.*, 2007). The aims of the work were to describe the competition between two genetically unrelated phytoplasmas (CYP and FDP) in the common vector *E. variegatus*, and provide details on the acquisition, multiplication and transmission pattern of the competing pathogens. For the first time, interactions between different phytoplasmas in the same vector are studied in detail using molecular tools that allow detection and quantification of phytoplasmas in the insect body. In competition experiments, transmission assays provided information on the interaction between CYP and FDP, while molecular detection in the whole body and in the dissected organs as well as in artificial feeding media explained some aspects of their competition.

Materials and methods

Phytoplasma isolates, host plants and insect vector

Two phytoplasmas were used in this study, chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (CYP, a strain of the *Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris, 16SrI-B genetic group originally found in the Italian Riviera (Conti *et al.*, 1988), and Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (FDP), 16SrV-D (Martini *et al.*, 2002), kindly provided by E. Boudon-Padieu (INRA, Dijon, France). CYP and FDP were maintained by *Euscelidius variegatus* (Kirschbaum) transmission in daisy (*Chrysanthemum carinatum* Schousboe) and broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) plants, respectively.

Healthy colonies of *E. variegatus*, vector of both CYP (Bosco *et al.*, 1997; 2007) and FDP (Caudwell *et al.* 1970; Boudon-Padieu *et al.* 1989), were reared on oat (*Avena sativa* L.) inside plexiglas and nylon cages in growth chambers at 20-25°C, photoperiod L16:D8. Healthy leafhopper colonies were never exposed to infected plants and were checked by PCR to be phytoplasma-free.

Acquisition and transmission experiments

Two double infection experiments and two control experiments were carried out. In the first double-infection experiment, about 150 3rd – 4th instar nymphs were first allowed to feed on CYP-source daisies for one week. After that, all the insects were confined on FDP-source broad bean for another week. The second double-infection experiment was similar but nymphs were first fed on FDP-infected broad bean and then on CYP-

1
2
3 98 infected daisies. In the two control experiments 3rd – 4th instar nymphs were allowed to feed for one week on
4
5 99 CYP-source daisies only or on FDP-source broad bean only. Both CYP and FDP source plants were used for
6
7 100 phytoplasma acquisition by *E. variegatus* soon after symptom appearance, two and five weeks post
8
9 101 inoculation, respectively. In all the experiments, at the end of the acquisition access period (AAP) on source
10
11 102 plants, ten leafhoppers per plant were caged for serial 3-4 days inoculation access periods (IAP, two IAPs per
12
13 103 week) on 2-4 broad bean test plants in the same cage, for transmission until their death (60-80 dpa). All
14
15 104 experiments were carried out in climatic chambers with photoperiod 16:8 (l:d), 25-20°C (l-d). Acquisition
16
17 105 and inoculations were performed inside plexiglas and nylon cages (40x40x40 cm). All the transmission
18
19 106 experiments were carried out twice, but the data of the two experimental repeats were combined because
20
21 107 phytoplasma transmission over time was very similar and leafhoppers became infective at the same dates in
22
23 108 the two repeats. Broad bean test plants were exposed to the vectors two weeks after sowing. At 14, 22, 33,
24
25 109 40, 50 and 60 days post acquisition (dpa), 10-20 leafhopper adults from each treatment acquisition were
26
27 110 sampled and phytoplasmas were quantified in infected insects as described later. Also for phytoplasma
28
29 111 quantification, the insects were sampled in two experiments but the data of the two experimental repeats
30
31 112 were combined for statistical analyses since a two-way ANOVA for dpa and repeat revealed no statistically
32
33 113 significant interaction between dpa and repeat for all the treatments (CYP only, FDP only, CYP first
34
35 114 acquisition, CYP second acquisition, FDP first acquisition, FDP second acquisition). . Test plants exposed to
36
37 115 insects were then treated with insecticides and maintained in the greenhouse for 60 days. Broad beans
38
39 116 exposed to healthy vectors were used as controls.
40
41 117 In a third experiment, to confirm that transmission results were actually due to phytoplasma competition in
42
43 118 the insect and not in the recipient plant, about 50 leafhoppers fed on both CYP- and FDP-source plants in
44
45 119 both orders as previously described were singly caged for a 24 h IAP inside 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes with
46
47 120 caps filled with 200 µl of an artificial feeding medium (5% sucrose in TE buffer pH 8.0, 10mM Tris and
48
49 121 1mM EDTA) 35 days after the first acquisition period. At the end of the IAP, feeding medium was collected
50
51 122 and analysed for the presence of phytoplasmas as described below. At the same time, surviving insects were
52
53 123 collected and salivary glands were dissected and analysed for the presence of phytoplasmas as described
54
55 124 below.
56
57 125
58
59
60

DNA extraction and detection of CYP and FDP by conventional PCR

Leaves of all broad beans exposed to leafhoppers were sampled four weeks after inoculation and total DNA was extracted from CYP and FDP inoculated broad bean leaves (0.1 g) with the PureLink Plant Total DNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer protocol and eluted in 50 µL of kit elution buffer. Total DNA was extracted with the same protocol from healthy broad beans as control.

Total DNA was also extracted from single leafhoppers sampled at 14, 22, 33, 40, 50 and 60 dpa following a procedure previously described by Marzachi *et al.* (1998). The same extraction procedure (volume was adjusted according to the smaller size of salivary glands compared with the whole body) was applied to extract DNA from the dissected salivary glands of leafhoppers caged inside Eppendorf tubes to feed on the sucrose feeding medium.

Detection of CYP and FDP by conventional nested PCR was also performed on feeding media collected from Eppendorf tube caps after inoculation with infectious leafhoppers according to Tanne and co-workers (2001). Phytoplasma particles were pelleted out of the feeding solution by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 15 min, at 4°C. DNA was extracted by adding 10 µl of 0.5 M NaOH, followed by the addition of 20 µl of 1M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 20 mM EDTA. The mixture was incubated at 65°C for 15 min and precipitated with 2 volumes of ethanol, and the pellet was dissolved in 30 µl of TE. 1.5 µl of this preparation was used as PCR template.

The presence of CYP and FDP in the broad beans, individual leafhoppers, individual salivary glands and feeding media collected from Eppendorf tube caps following insect feeding was assessed by conventional nested PCR using the primers R16F2/R2 followed by R16F1/R1 (I) or (V) (Lee *et al.*, 1993; Lee *et al.*, 1994). Cycling conditions were as detailed in the original papers. The products were separated in a 1% (wt/vol) agarose gel, buffered in TBE (90 mM Tris borate and 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.3), stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV light.

Quantification of phytoplasmas in the insect bodies

The concentration of DNA in preparations from single *E. variegatus* extracts was measured with a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA).

1
2
3 153 Samples were then diluted in ddH₂O to a final concentration of 1 ng μl^{-1} , and 5 μl used as template for real-
4
5 154 time assays in a Chromo4 Real-Time PCR (qPCR, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) thermal
6
7 155 cycler, according to Marzachi & Bosco (2005). Phytoplasma and insect DNAs from the same sample were
8
9 156 separately quantified in each plate. The SecY DNA sequence was chosen as target for the amplification of
10
11 157 phytoplasma DNAs (Roggia *et al.*, 2013).
12
13 158 Absolute quantification of CYP was achieved by comparison of cycle thresholds (Cts) of the samples with
14
15 159 those of four dilutions in distilled water of the plasmid pSecYCY (Galletto *et al.*, 2008), containing the CYP
16
17 160 *secY* target sequence ($1,09 \times 10^8$, $1,09 \times 10^6$, $1,09 \times 10^4$ copy number/ per μl). Absolute quantification of FDP
18
19 161 was achieved by comparison of Cts of the samples with those of four dilutions in distilled water of the
20
21 162 plasmid pSecYFD containing the FDP *secY* target sequence ($1,17 \times 10^8$, $1,17 \times 10^6$, $1,17 \times 10^4$ copy number/ per
22
23 163 μl). For insect DNA amplification, the 18S rDNA sequence was chosen as target and amplified with primer
24
25 164 pair MqFw and MqRv (Marzachi and Bosco, 2005). Absolute quantification of total insect DNA was
26
27 165 achieved by comparison of Cts of the samples with those of three dilutions (10 ng/ μl , 1 ng/ μl , 0.1 ng/ μl) of
28
29 166 healthy insect DNA employed in the qPCR reactions for the standard curve construction.
30
31 167 CYP and FDP DNAs were measured as fg of phytoplasma DNA per ng of insect DNA and then transformed
32
33 168 into the number of phytoplasma cells per ng of vector DNA as described in the original method (Marzachi
34
35 169 and Bosco, 2005) with some modifications: one fg of pSecYFD and pSecYCY plasmids contained 234 and
36
37 170 219 molecules of plasmids, respectively, each containing a single copy of the *secY* gene. As this is a single
38
39 171 copy gene in phytoplasma genomes (Oshima *et al.*, 2004), one femtogram of each plasmid corresponded to
40
41 172 234 and 219 FD or CY cells, respectively.
42
43 173 The amplification mix contained 0.3 μM of each primer (FD SecY Fw/Rev for FDP; CY SecY Fw/Rev for
44
45 174 CYP; Mq Fw/Rev for vector DNA), 1X iQTM SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and templates in a final
46
47 175 volume of 25 μl . Cycling conditions for CYP and insect 18S rDNA amplification were as follows: 95°C for 3
48
49 176 min, 63°C for 1 min repeated 45 times, 95°C for 1 min, 65°C for 1 min for 45 times. For FDP amplification
50
51 177 were as follow: 95°C for 3 min, 61°C for 1 min repeated 45 times, 95°C for 1 min, 65°C for 1 min for 45
52
53 178 times. Specificity of the reaction was tested by running a melting curve analysis of the amplicons following
54
55 179 each quantification reaction. In each qPCR plate, DNA from phytoplasma-free insects and water controls
56
57 180 were also included. All samples were run in triplicate. CYP and FDP quantification by real time PCR was
58
59
60

applied to the leafhoppers sampled at different times post acquisition that tested positive in nested PCR assays (see table 2). Therefore, sample sizes for phytoplasma titre estimation varied slightly but, on average, 10 insects per treatment per days after the start of AAP (dpa) were analysed.

Data analysis

For the statistical analysis, raw data (number of phytoplasma cells/ ng of total insect DNA) were transformed into the logarithm. To compare the phytoplasma titres measured in individual leafhoppers following the same acquisition conditions at different dpa one-way ANOVA was performed. The same test was applied to compare the phytoplasma titres in the insects following single or mixed infection at different times post-acquisition (CYP in single and doubly infected insects at 14, 22, 33, 40 and 50 dpa, FDP in single and doubly infected insects at 14, 22, 33, 40 and 50 dpa). The t-test was applied to compare CYP and FDP titres at each sampling time. The proportion of phytoplasma-positive insects (as determined by PCR) following different acquisition conditions were compared with χ^2 . Statistical tests were performed with Jandel SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, Inc, San Jose, CA USA).

Results

Symptoms of CYP- and FDP-infected broad beans

Broad bean plants developed different symptoms following inoculations with CYP or FDP by the vector *E. variegatus*. CYP induced thickening and vein yellowing of the basal leaves while FDP induced upward leaf roll of younger leaves with a variable level of yellowing of the same leaves (Supplementary Figure S1A and B). Symptoms induced by the two phytoplasmas were the same both in single- and doubly-infected plants and these latter showed CYP symptoms on the basal leaves and FDP symptoms on the apical ones. Symptoms of CYP infection appeared consistently earlier than symptoms of FDP: 15-18 versus 28-30 dpi.

CYP and FDP transmission

Infection of all the test plants was established by species-specific PCR assays for both phytoplasmas. Symptom development was in agreement with results of PCR detection. The total number of plants infected by CYP and FDP, following single and double acquisitions, are summarised in table 1. Only transmission

1
2
3 209 experiments carried out from 28 days post acquisition (dpa) onwards were considered in table 1 as most of
4
5 210 the leafhoppers were not yet infectious (latent period was not completed) in earlier transmission tests
6
7 211 (Supplementary table S2). Figure 1 describes the transmission of CYP (A) and FDP (B) over time by *E.*
8
9 212 *variegatus* following different acquisition conditions. *E. variegatus* transmitted CYP from 17-21 dpa and
10
11 213 FDP from 28 dpa onwards. The vector transmitted both phytoplasmas until its death. When *E. variegatus*
12
13 214 was allowed to acquire both phytoplasmas, CYP transmission was not affected by the concurrent acquisition
14
15 215 of FDP ($\chi^2=0,764$ with 2 d.f., $P=0,682$) while FDP transmission was suppressed in the presence of CYP
16
17 216 ($\chi^2=71,222$ with 2 d.f., $P<0,001$) (Table 1). The rate of CYP transmission to broad bean was always greater
18
19 217 than 75%, but the rate of FDP transmission was significantly lower after FDP-only acquisition (7-16%) than
20
21 218 after FDP+CYP acquisition (62%)..
22
23 219
24
25 220 *CYP and FDP acquisition*
26
27 221 The numbers of leafhoppers that acquired CYP and/or FDP following single and double AAPs are
28
29 222 summarized in Table 2. *E. variegatus* acquired CYP with higher efficiency than it acquired FDP, both
30
31 223 following a single AAP ($\chi^2=11.48$ with 1 d.f.; $P=0.003$) and two subsequent AAPs ($\chi^2=40.952$ with 2 d.f.;
32
33 224 $P<0.001$). The proportion of leafhoppers that became CYP-infected was significantly lower in treatments
34
35 225 providing exposure to both phytoplasmas (FDP+CYP) than treatments containing only CYP. ($\chi^2=11.480$
36
37 226 with 2 d.f.; $P=0.003$). A similar phenomenon seemed to occur for FDP, though the difference was not
38
39 227 significant ($\chi^2=5.022$ with 2 d.f.; $P=0.081$). *E. variegatus* acquired CYP with higher efficiency if it fed
40
41 228 first on FDP and then CYP than if it was exposed to the two phytoplasmas in the opposite order. ($\chi^2=4.891$
42
43 229 with 1 d.f.; $P=0,027$). On the contrary, acquisition of FDP was not influenced by feeding order ($\chi^2=0.153$
44
45 230 with 1 d.f.; $P=0.696$).
46
47 231
48
49 232 *CYP and FDP titres in infected Euscelidius variegatus*
50
51 233 CYP titre in *E. variegatus*, as determined in qPCR, ranged from about three thousand cells per ng of insect
52
53 234 DNA at 14 dpa, up to a few tens of thousands of cells at later stages in the infection process (Figure 2). One-
54
55 235 way ANOVA for CYP titre over time showed a significant increase ($P<0.001$). CYP concentration was not
56
57 236 influenced by the acquisition conditions. One-way ANOVA for CYP titre (14, 22, 33, 40 and 50 dpa) always

indicated that CYP concentration was the same, irrespective of the acquisition conditions (single or double). FDP titre in *E. variegatus* ranged from one thousand cells per ng of insect DNA at 14 dpa to a few hundred thousand cells later in the infection process. One-way ANOVA for FDP titre over time showed a significant increase ($P < 0.001$). FDP titre was influenced by the acquisition conditions (single or double)- Following double acquisition, at 14 and 22 dpa an increase in FDP titre was recorded ($P=0.045$ and $P=0.047$, respectively), while at 33 dpa a decrease was recorded ($P=0.040$). Later in the infection process FDP titres measured in single or doubly-infected insects did not differ significantly. Leafhoppers infected by FDP hosted a higher phytoplasma titre compared to those infected by CYP. This higher FDP titre was recorded both in singly (22 dpa, $P=0.004$; 33 dpa, $P<0.001$; 40 dpa, $P=0.017$; 50 dpa, $P=0.005$) and doubly-infected (22 dpa, $P=0.015$; 33 dpa, $P=0.004$; 40 dpa, $P<0.001$; 50 dpa, $P=0.048$) insects from 22 dpa onwards (Figure 2, Supplementary table S3).

CYP and FDP detection in salivary glands and artificial feeding media

The two phytoplasmas colonized the salivary glands with with significantly different efficiencies. Following CYP + FDP acquisitions, 23/24 and 3/24 leafhoppers had CYP- and FDP-positive salivary glands, respectively. Following FDP + CYP acquisitions, 15/16 and 3/16 leafhoppers had phytoplasma-positive salivary glands to CYP and FDP, respectively. Consistently, CYP was detected by PCR in 11/24 feeding media following CYP + FDP acquisitions and in 7/16 feeding media following the FDP + CYP acquisition, while FDP was never detected in feeding media (Table 3).

Discussion

The competition between two unrelated phytoplasma species within the leafhopper vector *E. variegatus* was studied following serial acquisition of the vector on plants singly infected by the chrysanthemum yellows strain of the '*Ca. P. asteris*' (CYP) and the Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (FDP). The two phytoplasmas were chosen as they can be both transmitted by *E. variegatus* to broad bean plants, although, as this leafhopper is not the natural vector of FDP, the possibility that interactions between naturally occurring phytoplasmas might be different cannot be excluded. In particular, CYP and FDP induced distinctive symptoms in the basal and apical parts of the infected plants, respectively, and doubly infected broad beans

1
2
3 265 showed both symptoms. Symptom expression in each phytoplasma – plant combination may differ due to the
4
5 266 presence of different phytoplasma effector proteins (Sugio *et al.*, 2011) and different plant responses to them.
6
7 267 *E. variegatus* transmitted CYP after a shorter LP than FDP, in line with previous experiments (this group,
8
9 268 unpublished). Also, *E. variegatus* transmitted CYP more efficiently than FDP after exposure to singly
10
11 269 infected plants, and CYP transmission was not influenced by FDP, irrespective of the sequence of
12
13 270 acquisition. On the other hand, FDP transmission was severely reduced by the acquisition of CYP,
14
15 271 irrespective of the order of exposure to both sources. A similar situation was reported for *Dalbulus maidis*
16
17 272 where prolonged acquisition of corn stunt spiroplasma suppressed maize bushy stunt phytoplasma
18
19 273 transmission (Maramorosch, 1958), and for the vector *Macrostes quadrilineatus* (= *fascifrons*) where the
20
21 274 majority of the leafhoppers transmitted only the first phytoplasma to which they had access when allowed to
22
23 275 acquire different strains of aster yellows phytoplasmas (Freitag, 1967). In these previous studies, the absence
24
25 276 of molecular tools hampered the analyses of other analyses of aspects of the competition between mollicutes
26
27 277 other than transmission. In this study, competition was not recorded at the acquisition level, as FDP
28
29 278 acquisition efficiency was not affected by CYP in double acquisition trials, while a slight decrease was
30
31 279 observed in CYP acquisition when *E. variegatus* was also exposed to FDP. When the titre of both
32
33 280 phytoplasmas was measured within the vector body, both microorganisms actively multiplied in singly
34
35 281 infected vectors, and FDP reached a much higher concentration than CYP. In the presence of CYP, FDP titre
36
37 282 seemed to be suppressed but this effect was significant only at 33 dpa. In contrast, CYP multiplication over
38
39 283 time was not affected by the presence of FDP and also CYP titre was not influenced by co-acquisition of
40
41 284 FDP. These results indicate an unilateral competition of the two phytoplasmas within the insect body, where
42
43 285 the presence of CYP seemed to hamper the multiplication of FDP and limit the number of FDP cells in the
44
45 286 vector. Despite this competition, FDP reached higher concentration compared to CYP at later stages of
46
47 287 infection (from 22 dpa onwards) in doubly infected vectors. However, it is important to note that FDP was
48
49 288 unable to colonize the salivary glands and reach the saliva of doubly infected vectors as it was only
50
51 289 sporadically detected in dissected salivary glands of *E. variegatus* following exposure to CYP and FDP-
52
53 290 infected plants, in both orders, and it was never detected in the artificial medium following inoculation. This
54
55 291 suggests that salivary glands are the barrier where competition between CYP and FDP prevents efficient
56
57 292 FDP transmission by *E. variegatus*. It can be suggested that the phytoplasma having the shorter latent period
58
59
60

in the vector, CYP, is the first to colonize salivary glands and therefore is the most competitive for transmission. In this case, salivary glands already colonized by a phytoplasma might not be available for further invasion by a second one. FDP showed a more active multiplication in the insect body, but it did not suppress CYP multiplication. Therefore, the speed of salivary glands colonization, rather than the level of multiplication, is likely to be the key factor for successful transmission. This hypothesis may also explain the suppression of maize bushy stunt phytoplasma (MBSP) by corn stunt spiroplasma (CSS) in the vector *D. maidis*: CSS may move more rapidly in the insect body, thus suppressing transmission of MBSP (Maramorosch, 1958). CYP transmission depends on phytoplasma titre in the salivary glands of *E. variegatus*, as non-transmitter individuals host only a few hundred CYP cells per ng of insect DNA in their salivary glands while transmitters host thousands of cells (Galletto *et al.*, 2009), therefore a high titre of phytoplasma in the salivary glands seems to be a prerequisite for efficient transmission. The erratic presence of FDP in the salivary glands of *E. variegatus* exposed subsequently to CYP and FDP and the absence from saliva (feeding media) also ruled out phytoplasma competition in the inoculated plants as possible cause of the failure of FDP transmission to broad bean. A correlation between phytoplasma titre and transmission efficiency occurs for CYP and two of its natural vectors: *Macrostelus quadripunctulatus* sustains a higher phytoplasma multiplication compared to *E. variegatus*, and it is also the most efficient vector (Bosco *et al.*, 2007). The same correlation does not apply to FDP and CYP transmission by *E. variegatus*, since this vector sustains a higher FDP multiplication compared to CYP but transmits the latter more efficiently. This apparent contradiction may be explained by the competition for the colonization of the salivary glands when two phytoplasmas co-infect the same individual. From an evolutionary perspective, the phytoplasma colonizing salivary glands first would obtain a fitness gain compared to a slower one (the faster, the fitter). The different behaviour of the two phytoplasmas may also be explained by the interactions with the insect host, besides competition between the phytoplasmas. The host immune system may recognize and suppress the CYP, or the CYP may have developed mechanisms to invade the salivary glands during the long-term relationship of the insect host and the phytoplasma. CYP, as the other aster yellows strains, has a broad host range and therefore it is very likely that in an evolutionary time scale it interacted with several different vector species. On the contrary, FDP has a very narrow host range (grapevine and very few alternative hosts) and it is not likely to be co-evolved with

1
2
3 321 different vector species. This may contribute to explain why CYP is more competitive for transmission in *E.*
4
5 322 *variegatus*.
6
7 323 In summary, *E. variegatus* transmitted CYP with high efficiency and it was not influenced by the presence of
8
9 324 FDP, and CYP was able to colonize the salivary glands and reach saliva, consistent with the high efficient
10
11 325 transmission results. On the other hand, the good efficacy of *E. variegatus* as vector of FDP following single
12
13 326 acquisition was significantly lower when competition with CYP was introduced, although FDP persisted for
14
15 327 life within the vector. *E. variegatus* is a natural vector of CYP (Bosco *et al.*, 1997; Palermo *et al.*, 2001),
16
17 328 while it transmits FDP under laboratory conditions only, as it is not able to feed on grape, the natural host
18
19 329 plant of FDP. In the case of *E. variegatus*-CYP association, both partners are of Palaearctic origin and
20
21 330 therefore co-evolved for a long time so that phytoplasma multiplication is mitigated and no pathogenic
22
23 331 effects are recorded (D'Amelio *et al.*, 2008). In contrast, the association between FDP and *E. variegatus* is
24
25 332 artificial and this can explain the phytoplasma low ability to colonize the salivary glands (needed for
26
27 333 transmission) and also its high multiplication in the vector, which causes severe pathogenic effects (Bressan
28
29 334 *et al.*, 2005). Nevertheless, even the “natural” vector of FDP, *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball, is not long co-
30
31 335 evolved with FDP because the leafhopper is of Nearctic origin (Bertin *et al.*, 2007) while FDP is very likely
32
33 336 of Palaearctic origin (Arnaud *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, it is possible that the same phenomenon also occurs in
34
35 337 *S. titanus*, but further studies are needed to demonstrate this.
36
37 338 In nature, polyphagous vectors have chances of acquiring different phytoplasmas by visiting and feeding on
38
39 339 different host plants. This work, together with those of Freitag (1967) on related strains of aster yellows
40
41 340 phytoplasmas infecting *M. quadrilineatus*, Maramorosch (1958) and de Oliveira and co-workers (2007) on
42
43 341 different mollicutes within *D. maidis*, indicates that interactive transmission (up to unilateral suppression) is
44
45 342 more common than non-interactive transmission, as this latter has not been reported so far for phytoplasmas.
46
47 343 Interestingly, unilateral suppression occurs between both genetically related (Freitag, 1967) and un-related
48
49 344 phytoplasmas (this work). This is the first time that interaction / competition of different phytoplasmas has
50
51 345 been described and dissected at the acquisition, multiplication, body colonization and transmission levels.
52
53 346 The role of interactive transmission in nature must be taken into account for the description and prediction of
54
55 347 phytoplasma epidemiology, as different phytoplasmas are likely to be present in different environments and
56
57
58
59
60

interactive transmission may contribute to explain differential spread of the same phytoplasma in the same crop under different environmental conditions.

Acknowledgements

MR was supported by a grant from Piedmont Region within the project “FLADO”, RD’A was supported by a grant from Piedmont Region within the project “Valutazione dell’azione di microrganismi rizosferici ed elicitori di resistenza sull’infezione da fitoplasmi in un sistema modello (CIPE 2006).

References

- Arnaud G., Malembic-Maher S., Salar P., Bonnet P., Maixner M., Marcone C., Boudon-Padieu E., Foissac X. (2007) Multilocus Sequence Typing Confirms the Close Genetic Interrelatedness of Three Distinct Flavescence Dorée Phytoplasma Strain Clusters and Group 16SrV Phytoplasmas Infecting Grapevine and Alder in Europe. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **73**, 4001–4010.
- Bertin S., Guglielmino C.R., Karam N., Gomulski L.M., Malacrida A.R., Gasperi G. (2007) Diffusion of the Nearctic leafhopper *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball in Europe: a consequence of human trading activity. *Genetica*, **131**, 275-285.
- Bosco D., D’Amelio R. (2010) Transmission specificity and competition of multiple phytoplasmas in the insect vector. In *Phytoplasmas: genomes, plant hosts, and vectors*, 293-308. Weintraub, P., and Jones, P. (eds). Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Bosco D., Minucci C., Boccardo G., Conti M. (1997) Differential acquisition of Chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma by three leafhopper species. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **83**, 219-224.
- Bosco D., Galetto L., Leoncini P., Saracco P., Raccach B., Marzachi C. (2007) Interrelationships between “Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris” and its leafhopper vectors. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **100**, 1504-1511.

- 375 Boudon-Padieu E., Larrue J., Caudwell A. (1989) ELISA and Dot-Blot detection of Flavescence
 376 dorée MLO in individual leafhopper vectors during latency and inoculative state. *Current*
 377 *Microbiology*, **19**, 357–364.
- 378 Bressan A., Girolami V., Boudon-Padieu E. (2005) Reduced fitness of the leafhopper vector
 379 *Scaphoideus titanus* exposed to Flavescence doree phytoplasma. *Entomologia Experimentalis et*
 380 *Applicata*, **115**, 283-290.
- 381 Caudwell A., Kuszala C., Larrue J., Bachelier J.C. (1970) Transmission de la Flavescence dorée de
 382 la fève à la fève par des cicadelles des genres *Euscelis* et *Euscelidius*. Intervention possible de
 383 ces insectes dans l'épidémiologie du bois noir en Bourgogne. *Annales de Phytopathologie*, n°
 384 **hors série**, 181-189.
- 385 Conti M., D'Agostino A., Casetta A., Mela L. (1988) Some characteristics of Chrysanthemum
 386 yellows disease. *Acta Horticulturae*, **234**, 129-136.
- 387 D'Amelio R., Marzachi C., Bosco D. (2007) Double infection of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris'
 388 and "flavescence doree" phytoplasma in the vector *Euscelidius variegatus*. *Bulletin of*
 389 *Insectology*, **60**, 223-224.
- 390 D'Amelio R., Palermo S., Marzachi C., Bosco D. (2008) Influence of Chrysanthemum yellows
 391 phytoplasma on the fitness of two of its leafhopper vectors, *Macrosteles quadripunctulatus* and
 392 *Euscelidius variegatus*. *Bulletin of Insectology*, **61**, 349-354.
- 393 de Oliveira E., Santos J.C., Magalhaes P.C., Cruz I. (2007) Maize bushy stunt phytoplasma
 394 transmission by *Dalbulus maidis* is affected by spiroplasma acquisition and environmental
 395 conditions *Bulletin of Insectology*, **60**, 229-230.
- 396 Foissac X., Wilson M.R. (2010) Current and possible future distributions of phytoplasma diseases
 397 and their vectors. In *Phytoplasmas: genomes, plant hosts, and vectors*, 309-324. Weintraub, P.,
 398 and Jones, P. (eds). Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- 399 Freitag J.H. (1967) Interaction between strains of aster yellows virus in the six-spotted leafhopper
 400 *Macrosteles fascifrons*. *Phytopathology*, **57**, 1016–1024.

- 401 Galetto L., Fletcher J., Bosco D., Turina M., Wayadande A., Marzachi C. (2008) Characterization
402 of putative membrane protein genes of the '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris', Chrysanthemum
403 yellows isolate. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **54**, 341-351.
- 404 Galetto L., Nardi M., Saracco P., Bressan A., Marzachi C., Bosco D. (2009) Variation in vector
405 competency depends on Chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma distribution within *Euscelidius*
406 *variegatus*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **131**, 200-207.
- 407 Gratz N.G. (1999) Emerging and resurging vector-borne diseases. *Annual Review of Entomology*,
408 **44**, 51-75.
- 409 Hogenhout S.A., Oshima K., Ammar E.D., Kakizawa S., Kingdom H.N., Namba S. (2008)
410 Phytoplasmas: bacteria that manipulate plants and insects. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, **9**, 403-
411 423.
- 412 Hsu T.P., Bantari E.E. (1979) Dual transmission of the aster yellows mycoplasma-like organism
413 and the oat blue dwarf virus and its effect on longevity and fecundity of the aster leafhopper
414 vector. *Phytopathology*, **69**, 843-845.
- 415 Kuske C. R., Kirkpatrick B.C.. (1992) Distribution and multiplication of western aster yellows
416 mycoplasmalike organisms in *Catharanthus roseus* as determined by DNA hybridization
417 analysis. *Phytopathology*, **82**, 457-462.
- 418 Lee I.M., Davis R.E., Hsu H.T. (1993) Differentiation of Strains in the Aster Yellows
419 Mycoplasmalike Organism Strain Cluster by Serological Assay with Monoclonal-Antibodies.
420 *Plant Disease*, **77**, 815-817.
- 421 Lee I.M., Davis R.E., Gundersen-Rindal D.E. (2000) Phytoplasma: Phytopathogenic mollicutes.
422 *Annual Review Microbiology*, **54**, 221-255.
- 423 Lee I.M., Gundersen D.E., Hammond R.W., Davis R.E. (1994) Use of Mycoplasmalike Organism
424 (MLO) Group-Specific Oligonucleotide Primers for Nested-Pcr Assays to Detect Mixed-MLO
425 Infections in a Single Host-Plant. *Phytopathology*, **84**, 559-566.

- 426 Maramorosch K. (1958) Cross-protection between two strains of corn stunt virus in an insect vector.
427 *Virology*, **6**, 448–459.
- 428 Martini M., Botti S., Marcone C., Marzachi C., Casati P., Bianco P.A. *et al.* (2002) Genetic
429 variability among flavescence doree phytoplasmas from different origins in Italy and France.
430 *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, **16**, 197–208.
- 431 Marzachi C., Bosco D. (2005) Relative quantification of Chrysanthemum yellows (16Sr I)
432 phytoplasma in its plant and insect host using real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Molecular*
433 *Biotechnology*, **30**, 117–127.
- 434 Marzachi C., Veratti F., Bosco D. (1998) Direct PCR detection of phytoplasmas in experimentally
435 infected insects. *Annals of Applied Biology*, **133**, 45–54.
- 436 Marzachi C., Palermo S., Boarino A., Veratti F., d'Aquilio M., Loria A., Boccardo G. (2001)
437 Optimisation of a one-step PCR assay for the diagnosis of Flavescence doree related
438 phytoplasmas in field-grown grapevines and vector populations. *Vitis*, **40**, 213–217.
- 439 Oshima K., Kakizawa S., Nishigawa H., Jung H.Y., Wei W., Suzuki S. *et al.* (2004) Reductive
440 evolution suggested from the complete genome sequence of a plant-pathogenic phytoplasma.
441 *Nature Genetics*, **36**, 27–29.
- 442 Palermo, S., Arzone, A., and Bosco, D. (2001) Vector-pathogen-host plant relationships of
443 Chrysanthemum yellows (CY) phytoplasma and the vector leafhoppers *Macrostelus*
444 *quadripunctulatus* and *Euscelidius variegatus*. *Entomologia Experimentalis Applicata*, **99**, 347–
445 354.
- 446 Purcell A.H. (1982) Insect vector relationships with prokaryotic plant pathogens. *Annual Review of*
447 *Phytopathology*, **20**, 397–417.
- 448 Roggia C., Caciagli P., Galetto L., Pacifico D., Veratti F., Bosco D., Marzachi C. (2013)
449 Flavescence dorée phytoplasma titre in field-infected Barbera and Nebbiolo grapevines. *Plant*
450 *Pathology*, **63**, 31–41.

- 451 Seemueller E., Kiss E., Sandor S., Schneider B. (2010) Multiple infection of apple trees by distinct
452 strains of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma mali' and its pathological relevance. *Phytopathology*, **100**,
453 863-870.
- 454 Sinclair W.A., Gleason M.L., Griffiths H.M., Iles J.K., Zriba N., Charlson D.V., Batzer J.C. (2000)
455 Responses of 11 *Fraxinus* cultivars to ash yellows phytoplasma strains of differing
456 aggressiveness. *Plant Disease*, **84**, 725-730.
- 457 Sugio A., Maclean A.M., Kingdom H.N., Grieve V.M., Manimekalai R., Hogenhout S.A. (2011)
458 Diverse targets of phytoplasma effectors: from plant development to defense against insects.
459 *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **49**, 175-195.
- 460 Tanne E., Boudon-Padieu E., Clair D., Davidovich M., Melamed S., and Klein M. (2001) Detection
461 of phytoplasma by polymerase chain reaction of insect feeding medium and its use in
462 determining vectoring ability. *Phytopathology*, **91**, 741-746.
- 463 Weintraub, P.G., Beanland L. (2006) Insect vectors of phytoplasmas. *Annual Review of*
464 *Entomology*, **51**, 91-111.

Table and Figure legends

Table 1. Results of transmission experiments of chrysanthemum yellows (CYP) and Flavescence dorée (FDP) phytoplasmas with *Euscelidius variegatus* to broad bean plants. Number of infected/exposed plants as determined by species-specific nested PCR are reported, following single (CYP only; FDP only) and double (CYP + FDP; FDP + CYP) acquisitions. Group transmissions for 3-4 day IAPs from 28 dpa onwards. AAP, acquisition access period. Within columns, figures followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (χ^2 test).

Table 2. Results of acquisition experiments of *Euscelidius variegatus* following AAP on chrysanthemum yellows (CYP) and Flavescence dorée (FDP) -infected broad bean plants. Number of infected leafhoppers are reported following single (CYP only; FDP only) and double (CYP +

1
2
3 477 FDP; FDP + CYP) acquisitions as determined by species-specific nested-PCR tests. AAP,
4
5 478 acquisition access period. Within columns, figures followed by the same letter do not differ
6
7 479 significantly (χ^2 test).
8
9 480
10
11 481 Table 3. PCR detection (presence: +; absence: -) of chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (CYP)
12
13 482 and Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (FDP) in salivary glands of single *Euscelidius variegatus* and
14
15 483 in corresponding sucrose feeding media following feeding on CYP- and FDP-infected source plants
16
17 484 in both orders. The analysis was carried out 35 days post acquisition. Each line corresponds to a
18
19 485 single insect.
20
21 486
22
23 487 Figure 1. Results of phytoplasma transmission experiments with *Euscelidius variegatus*. A:
24
25 488 chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (CYP) transmission following acquisition on CYP-infected
26
27 489 plants or on CYP- and Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (FDP)- infected source plants. B: FDP
28
29 490 transmission following acquisition on FDP-infected plants or on CYP- and FDP- infected source
30
31 491 plants. AAP, acquisition access period.
32
33 492
34
35 493 Figure 2. Mean $\pm$ SE quantities of chrysanthemum yellows (CYP) and Flavescence dorée (FDP)
36
37 494 phytoplasmas (log of cells per ng of insect DNA) measured at different days post acquisition in
38
39 495 *Euscelidius variegatus* leafhoppers fed on CYP source only, FDP source only, CYP followed by
40
41 496 FDP (CYP + FDP) and FDP followed by CYP (FDP + CYP).
42
43 497
44
45 498 Supplementary Figure S1. Symptoms of chrysanthemum yellows (A) and Flavescence dorée (B)
46
47 499 phytoplasmas on broad bean plants.
48
49 500
50
51 501 Supplementary TableS2. Results of chrysanthemum yellows (CYP) and Flavescence dorée (FDP)
52
53 502 phytoplasma transmission experiments with *Euscelidius variegatus* following acquisition on CYP-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

503 infected plants, on FDP-infected plants, or on both source plants. Number of phytoplasma infected
504 plants over exposed ones are reported, as determined by species-specific nested-PCR tests. AAP,
505 acquisition access period.

506

507 Supplementary TableS3. Summary of the statistical analyses performed on the phytoplasma titres
508 measured by R-PCR in *Euscelidius variegatus* individual leafhoppers following different
509 phytoplasma acquisition conditions. Raw data (phytoplasma cells/ ng of total insect DNA) were
510 transformed into the logarithm for the analyses.

511

512

Table 1. Transmission of chrysanthemum yellows (CYP) and Flavescence dorée (FDP) phytoplasmas. Numbers of infected/exposed plants as determined by species-specific nested PCR are reported, following single (CYP only; FDP only) and double (CYP + FDP; FDP + CYP) acquisitions. Group transmissions for 3-4 day IAPs from 28 dpa onwards. AAP, acquisition access period. Within columns, figures followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (χ^2 test).

Acquisition source	CYP transmission positive/exposed plants (% of infected plants)	FDP transmission positive/exposed plants (% of infected plants)
CYP only (7-day AAP)	51/64 (79.7%)a	-
FDP only (7-day AAP)	-	67/108 (62.0%)a
CYP + FDP (two 7-day AAPs)	53/68 (77.9%)a	5/68 (7.4%)b
FDP + CYP (two 7-day AAPs)	56/76 (76.3%)a	12/76 (15.8%)b

Table 2. Acquisition of phytoplasmas by *Euscelidius variegatus* following AAP on chrysanthemum yellows (CYP) and Flavescence dorée (FDP) -infected broad bean plants. Number of infected leafhoppers are reported following single (CYP only; FDP only) and double (CYP + FDP; FDP + CYP) acquisitions as determined by species-specific nested-PCR tests. AAP, acquisition access period. PCR assays were carried out on insects sampled from 35 to 60 days post acquisition. Within columns, figures followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (χ^2 test).

Acquisition source	CYP acquisition positive/tested (% of infected leafhoppers)	FDP acquisition positive/tested (% of infected leafhoppers)
CYP only (7-day AAP)	54/59 (91.5%)a	-
FDP only (7-day AAP)	-	46/69 (66.7%)a
CYP + FDP (two 7-day AAPs)	75/105 (71.4%)b	44/89 (49.4%)a
FDP + CYP (two 7-day AAPs)	103/122 (84.4%)c	57/107 (53.3%)a

Table 3. PCR detection (presence: +; absence: -) of chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (CYP) and Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (FDP) in salivary glands of single *Euscelidius variegatus* and in corresponding sucrose feeding medium following feeding on CYP- and FDP-infected source plants in both sequences. The analysis was carried out 35 days post acquisition. Each line corresponds to a single insect.

Acquisition access on CYP followed by FDP					Acquisition access on FDP followed by CYP				
Insect number	Salivary gland		Feeding medium		Insect number	Salivary gland		Feeding medium	
	CYP	FDP	CYP	FDP		CYP	FDP	CYP	FDP
1	+	+	-	-	1	+	-	-	-
2	+	-	-	-	2	+	-	+	-
3	+	-	+	-	3	+	-	+	-
4	+	-	-	-	4	+	-	-	-
5	+	-	+	-	5	+	-	-	-
6	+	-	+	-	6	+	-	+	-
7	+	-	-	-	7	+	-	+	-
8	+	-	-	-	8	+	+	-	-
9	+	-	-	-	9	+	-	-	-
10	+	-	+	-	10	+	+	-	-
11	+	-	+	-	11	+	-	+	-
12	+	+	-	-	12	-	+	-	-
13	+	+	-	-	13	+	-	-	-
14	+	-	+	-	14	+	-	+	-
15	+	-	+	-	15	+	-	-	-
16	+	-	-	-	16	+	-	+	-
17	+	-	-	-					
18	+	-	+	-					
19	+	-	-	-					
20	-	-	-	-					
21	+	-	+	-					
22	+	-	+	-					
23	+	-	+	-					
24	+	-	-	-					

Figure 1.

Figure 2