

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Full dense CoSb<sub>3</sub> single phase with high thermoelectric performance prepared by oscillated cooling method**

**This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1533777> since 2016-06-17T16:54:11Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.10.029

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

***This is an author version of the contribution published on:***

*Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:*

*Scripta Materialia, volume 113, year 2016,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.10.029>*

***The definitive version is available at:***

*<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.10.029>*

# Full Dense CoSb<sub>3</sub> single phase with high thermoelectric performance prepared by Oscillated Cooling Method

Babak Alinejad, Alberto Castellero, Marcello Baricco

Laboratory of Metallurgy, Department of Chemistry, University of Turin, Via P.Giuria, 9, I-10125, Torino, Italy

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 3804752983; E-mail address: babak.alinejad@edu.unito.it; alinejad\_bk@yahoo.com

A new mechanism is established for preventing porosity formation in the microstructure of CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase during peritectic solidification. Phase and microstructure analysis at different stages of solidification revealed that oscillated cooling could hinder spontaneous nucleation, improve homogenous nucleation, limit the number of primary grains and impose planar growth. These factors enhance melt flux during peritectic solidification and prevent porosity formation due to phase transformation contraction. By utilizing this method, dense single phase of CoSb<sub>3</sub> could be obtained in single batch process without any post treatment. Highest power factor of  $55\mu\text{Wcm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-2}$  was measured at 550°C.

Keywords: peritectic solidification, thermoelectric materials, crystallization, microstructure, full dense.

Thermoelectric materials equip us to encounter upcoming energy crisis by recycling the heat wasted in power plants and engine exhausts, posing no threat to already endangered earth environment [1,2]. For medium-temperature thermoelectric applications, CoSb-based skutterudites have been extensively studied [3], among them; CoSb<sub>3</sub> is the most qualified skutterudite compound [4]. According to the literatures, adding Yb into structure of CoSb<sub>3</sub> enhances its thermoelectric properties by decreasing thermal conductivity and enhancing n-type conductivity [5]. Phase transformations in Co-Sb system is distinguished by 3 different stages in Sb-rich region and CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase is theoretically obtained from melts after two peritectic transformations (Fig.1A) [6]. Practically, because of sluggish peritectic transformation, annealing treatment is needed to gain CoSb<sub>3</sub> single-phase [7]. Due to low concentration of Yb in partially filled skutterudite (Yb<10 at %), Co-Sb binary-phase diagram is used for simplification [6]. Peritectic solidification involves nucleation and growth of primary phase followed by reaction of primary phase with remnant liquid phase to obtain second phase. The second step is mainly controlled by atomic diffusion and, therefore, usually a combination of primary and secondary phases is obtained after solidification [8,9]. However, one can obtain single-phase by subsequent annealing [4]. During solidification, dendritic growth of primary phase entraps liquid phase in the dendritic arms. This prevents liquid from filling the spaces left by peritectic phase transition, producing porosity [6,8,9]. Solidification contraction rate for phase transitions (2) and (3) are 7% and 0.1%, respectively (Fig.1A); implying that reaction (2) is responsible for solidification contraction during peritectic phase transition [6]. Nevertheless, real value of porosity is usually much more than 10% because as dendrites grow, a capillary network of interdendritic melt is formed among the dendrites [10], decreasing the temperature, dendrites grow even more, and remained melt is entrapped in dendrites arms and capillary network connection is lost. Hence, melt fails to fill free spaces emerged

from density difference between  $\text{CoSb}_2$  and  $\text{L(Sb)+CoSb}$  phases in the first peritectic transitions [6]. Resultant porosity percentage strongly depends on dendrites length, space among them and growth rates of dendrites and their branches. This porosity is superimposed with  $\sim 3\%$  shrinkage due to isolated melt contraction during the last step of solidification, which depends on transformation fraction. Producing dense  $\text{CoSb}_3$  single phase sounds very appealing because both impurity and porosity diminish thermoelectric properties. Porosities make joining process more intricate and incompetent for fabrication of thermoelectric devices. A common (but not fully successful) procedure for preparing  $\text{CoSb}_3$  from melt is Bridgman method that results in multiphase and inhomogeneous ingot, again causing porosity after annealing trial for gaining single-phase [11,12]. Although growth of the  $\text{CoSb}_3$  single crystal has been reported in ref.[13], but achievement of fully dense  $\text{CoSb}_3$  single-phase was not validated by structure and microstructure investigations. In the mentioned work, only tip of the crystal has been reported to be dense while the rest is Sb-rich eutectic which is normally porous due to evaporation of excess Sb when thermoelectric is exposed to working temperature. So far, gaining fully dense  $\text{CoSb}_3$  from melt has been a challenge for researchers. Even 95% of theoretical density has been considered as a significant success which is obtained by controlling the melting temperature and employing proper starting materials. However, still some impurities (Sb and  $\text{CoSb}_2$ ) remain [6]. Other methods (e.g. rapid solidification) need complementary techniques like SPS [14] to obtain highly dense  $\text{CoSb}_3$  [15] but temperature gradient of sintering process causes heterogeneity in sample density [16]. Therefore, SPS cannot be considered as appropriate procedures to produce industrial and commercial thermoelectric products. Hence, producing fully dense  $\text{CoSb}_3$  using a single process step still remains the Holy Grail.

This work introduces a method for porosity elimination in  $\text{CoSb}_3$  microstructure using oscillated cooling (OC) method. We also suggest a new mechanism for solidification in this innovative technique [17]. Effects of OC on nucleation, grain growth and porosity prevention in  $\text{CoSb}_3$  microstructure are described in detail. Two control samples were utilized for comparison: one was cooled in air after being removed from furnace followed by subsequent annealing, while the other is cooled monotonically in furnace with a pure cooling rate equal to average rate of OC. Interpretation of result helps us understand more about solidification and microstructure of  $\text{CoSb}_3$  produced by OC.

Elemental cobalt powder (purity 99.95%), antimony shot (purity 99.999%) and Ytterbium (99.999%) were weighted  $\sim 16\text{g}$  according to stoichiometry  $\text{Yb}_{0.25}\text{Co}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ . Five samples were prepared for different procedures (Fig.1B). The mixed powder was poured into quartz ampoule with diameter of 1cm, sealed under vacuum of  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  torr and placed into a muffle furnace. Length of the ampoule was 7cm after sealing. The muffle slowly warmed up from room temperature to  $1200^\circ\text{C}$  with rate of  $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$  and maintained at this temperature for 2h.

Then five samples were prepared:

A: brought out from furnace and cooled down in air. The resulted sample was then annealed at  $600^\circ\text{C}$  for 48h.

B: underwent a monotonic slow cooling in furnace with rate of  $20^\circ\text{C}/\text{h}$ .

C: "OC procedure ( $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{step}$ )" which implies periodic  $5^\circ\text{C}$  temperature increment followed by  $10^\circ\text{C}$  decrease with average cooling rate of  $20^\circ\text{C}/\text{h}$ , down to  $800^\circ\text{C}$  (to preserve solidification front for subsequent metallographic examination).

D: same as sample C, except that oscillation stopped at 700°C.

E: same as sample C, except that oscillation stopped at 600°C.

Some isothermal heat-treatments were applied on samples B, C, D and E to reach equilibrium condition as shown in Fig. 1B.

Microstructures of the samples were studied by both optical and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, Leica Stereoscan 410 instrument) equipped with an energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) microprobe (Oxford Instruments) operating at 25kV and X-ray diffraction analysis using PW3040/60 X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer operating at 40kV and 30mA with  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda=1.5405\text{\AA}$ ). Composition of samples was investigated by EDS installed on SEM instrument to confirm different phases. Densities of samples A, B and E were measured by Archimedean method. Seebeck coefficient and electrical conductivity were measured by standard temperature differential and 4-point-probe methods from 25°C to 600°C.

Sample B was single-phase just after solidification, but contained numerous pores of size 100-300 $\mu\text{m}$  and very fine voids of size 1-3 $\mu\text{m}$  (Fig.2.B), resulting in 89% of theoretical density. Fig.2.C represents the second peritectic phase transformation in sample C, self-assembled grains with regular geometry containing  $\text{CoSb}_2$  cores and  $\text{CoSb}_3$  shells in faceted polygonal shapes encompassed by residual Sb. Average size of primary grains in sample C is 200 $\mu\text{m}$  with a density of  $\sim 10/\text{mm}^2$ . Fig.2.D illustrates that peritectic transformation in sample D has progressed more compared with sample C. Residual Sb and  $\text{CoSb}_2$  phase in sample D are less than those in sample C and  $\text{CoSb}_3$  is dominant phase (Fig.2.D and S.Fig.2). Sample E was single-phase having 99.6% of theoretical density as confirmed by Archimedean method. EDX results were confirmed by XRD results (S.Fig.2 and Fig.4). Hence, continuing OC to 600°C, peritectic transformation is accomplished (Fig. 2.E).

According to binary-phase diagram of Co-Sb system, by reducing temperature from  $\sim 1000^\circ\text{C}$ , atoms are rather arranged and embryos are formed in the melt and transformed to CoSb nuclei. Increasing temperature during oscillation eliminates most of unstable nuclei and by the next temperature decrease, nucleation starts again. Repeating this process, few nucleuses (only larger ones) survive [18] which results in limited number of primary grains (Fig.2.C). Fig.3.A shows faceted primary grains having multi ledges with no evidence of dendritic structure. Clear faceted grains show that the grains are well-crystallized. Ledge shapes indicate that lateral growth is dominant mechanism. Therefore, dendritic shape cannot be stable and faceted grains are formed by remelting of dendrite tips at the peak of each temperature oscillation cycle. This phenomenon can also be explained by effect of OC on reversing heat transfer direction. In typical solidification, center of melt is hotter than outer part and, knowing the fact that primary dendrites grow in direction of heat transfer, [19] temperature increase during slow cooling reverses heat transfer direction and helps dendrites tip to melt and prepares condition of lateral growth. In fact, using temperature oscillation, heat transfer from melt center to mold surface (quartz tube) is reversed periodically. This swaying heat transfer pattern is experienced by all materials in quartz tube (melts and primary grains). Since growth direction of primary dendrites depends on heat transfer from dendrite tip to the facing melt, [19] tendency for dendrite growth is periodically controlled by branches tip melting. Since heat transfer from furnace chamber to the sample center is isotropic, grains growth is identical in all three dimensions and there is no elongation in the grains. It seems that the

ampoule size was sufficiently small and duration of each cycle was long enough for whole sample to sense temperature oscillation homogeneously. Decreasing temperature to less than 930°C, the first peritectic phase transformation starts. Diffusion of Sb atoms into CoSb grains forms CoSb<sub>2</sub> phase. Continuing cooling process to below 875°C, the second peritectic phase transfer starts. As it can be concluded from Fig.2.C.1 and Fig.3.A, whole CoSb phase is then consumed, so the first peritectic is completed in sample C. Comparing fig.2.C.2 and fig.3.A, it is found that grain boundaries increase dramatically while CoSb phase transforms to CoSb<sub>2</sub>. Diffusion of Sb atoms into CoSb<sub>2</sub> grains forms a narrow shell of CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase around CoSb<sub>2</sub> cores (Fig.2.C.1). Subsequently, antimony diffuses from Sb-rich melt through CoSb<sub>3</sub> toward CoSb<sub>2</sub> grains and reacts with CoSb<sub>2</sub> to increase CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase. Fig.3.B illustrates sample C at higher magnification shows a grain (with a small rectangular tooth of CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase) which has stopped lateral growth in antimony phase; indicating that lateral growth is also dominant in second peritectic solidification. The cross-section of sample D including area of grown crystal near the wall of quartz ampoule is shown in Fig.3.D.2. In traditional solidification, mold vicinities are heterogeneous nucleation sites. However, as depicted in the sample cross-section, mold vicinities are not saturated with primary grains (grains are formed sparsely and distant from the mold). Distribution of the grains is uniform both near and far from the mold. This implies that, using OC method, heterogeneous nucleation is superseded by homogeneous nucleation. The OC-method ability to eliminate closed shrinkage can be concluded from fig.3.D.1 where even with diminutive liquid trapped in the last steps of solidification, the microstructure shows no closed shrinkage and ingot cross-section is completely porous-free. If the melt had no possibility for moving in 3D capillary network through open paths, there would be 3% porosity in areas containing Sb where the last solidification would happen. However, no porosity is found in fig.2.D.1, implying that although the solidification is more than 90% complete, number, arrangement and form of grains provide intergranular melt capillary network still open and functional for feeding solidification and compensating the shrinkage.

Continuing OC down to 600°C (sample E), second peritectic completes and single-phase is achieved with CoSb<sub>3</sub> grains nearly uniform and highly cohesive. The SEM image shows that grains are closely packed also Archimedean method confirmed a 99.6% of theoretical density. There are two distinguishable types of grains (Fig.2.E.2): fine elongated column-like grains (with average size of ~2μm, emerged from peritectic transformations of CoSb<sub>2</sub> to CoSb<sub>3</sub>), and equiaxed grains (less than 5μm, emerged from Sb-rich region between primary grains in last solidification stage).

Complete pattern Rietveld refinement analysis of sample E by MAUD software [20,21] is depicted in Fig.4. Difference between calculated and experimental patterns is almost zero in entire 2θ ranges, indicating that good refinements were performed and calculated lattice parameter for sample E by Rietveld refinement (i.e., 9.046Å) is reliable. This value is slightly higher than 9.0347Å (standard lattice parameter of pure CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase [22]), indicating that Yb was successfully inserted in vacant sites of CoSb<sub>3</sub>. Since diffusion efficiency of grain boundary can be hundred times higher than that of mass, and since enormous grain boundaries were formed (Fig.2.E.1), one can explain high purity of CoSb<sub>3</sub> achieved by this technique.

Seebeck coefficients of samples differ slightly but electrical conductivity of sample B is almost 60% of sample E; although, difference in densities is less than 10%. This shows adverse effect of porosity on electrical conductivity. Sample E has a high power factor of 55μWcm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-2</sup>, comparable to previous report for n-type CoSb<sub>3</sub> made by powder

metallurgical process [5]. It should be noted that CoSb<sub>3</sub> phase prepared in the current work has high homogeneity which could not be obtained by typical powder metallurgical process.

A new OC was developed to eliminate porosity in CoSb<sub>3</sub> peritectic alloy microstructure. Results showed that, with continuous OC down to 600°C, fully dense single-phase of CoSb<sub>3</sub> could be obtained and highest power factor of 55 μWcm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-2</sup> was measured for obtained thermoelectric materials at 550°C while the sample with more than 10% porosity and power factor of 27 μWcm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-2</sup> (less than half of the sample prepared by OC method) obtained by typical monotonic slow cooling in furnace with the same average cooling rate.

## References:

1. D. M. Rowe. CRC Handbook of Thermoelectrics, CRC press, New York, 2005.
2. R. Funahashi, M. Mikami, T. Mihare, S. Urata and N. Ando, J. Appl. Phys. 99 (2006) 066117-20.
3. C. Zhou, D. Morelli, X. Zhou, G. Wang and C. Uher, Intermetallics. 19 (2011) 1390-93.
4. Y. Kawaharada, K. Kurosaki, M. Uno and S. Yamanaka, J. Alloy. Compd. 315 (2001) 193-97.
5. T. Dahal, Q. Jie, G. Joshi, S. Chen, C. Guo and Y. Lan, Acta. Materialia. 75 (2014) 316-21.
6. H. Y. Geng, S. Ochi and J.Q. Guo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91(2007) 022106-9.
7. I. H. Kim, J. I. Lee, S. C. Ur, K W. Jang and J. S.Kim, Solid. State. Phenomena. 118 (2006) 565-70.
8. K. Biswas, R. Hermann, H. Wendrock, J. Priede, G. Gerbeth and B. Buechner, J. Alloys. Compd. 480 (2009) 295-98.
9. W. Zhai and B. Wei, Mater. Lett. 108 (2013) 145-48.
10. L. Zheng, G. Zhang, C. Xiao, T. L. Lee, B. Han, Z. Lee, D. Daisenberger and J. Mi, Scripta. Materialia. 74 (2014) 84-87.
11. M. Akasaka, T. Iida, G. Sakuragi, S. Furuyama, M. Noda, S. Matsui, M. Ota, H. Suzuki, H. Satu, Y. Takanashi and S. Sakuragi, J. Alloys. Compounds. 386 (2005) 228-33.
12. S. Furuyama, T. Iida, S. Matsui, M. Akasaka, M. Nishio and Y. Takanashi, J. Alloy. Compounds. 415 (2006) 251-56.
13. T. Caillat, J. Fleurial, A. Borshchevsky, Crystal. Growth. 166 (1996) 722-26.
14. X. Su, H. Li, Y. Yan, G. Wang, H. Chi, X. Zhou, X. Tang, Q.Zhang and C. Uher, Acta. Materialia. 60 (2012) 3536-44.
15. J. Zhang, Q. Lu, K. Liu, L. Zhang and M. Zhou, Mater. Lett. 58 (2004) 1981-84.
16. M. Suárez, A. Fernández, J. L. Menéndez, R. Torrecillas, H. U. Kessel, J. Hennicke, R. Kirchner and T. Kessel, Challenges and Opportunities for Spark Plasma Sintering: A Key Technology for a New Generation of Materials, in: Burcu Ertuğ, Sintering Applications, Intech., Hampshire, 2013, pp. 321-22.
17. A. Castellero, B. Alinejad and M.Baricco, Proc. Intl. Conf. Thermoelectrics 34 (2015) 40-41.
18. W. Hintzmann and G. M. Vogt, J. Crystal. Growth. 5 (1969) 274-78.
19. D.A. Porter and K. E. Easterling. Phase transformations in metals and alloys, Chapman & Hall, London, 1992.
20. L. Lutterotti, S. Matthies, H. Wenk, A. Schultz and J. Richardson, J. Appl. Phys. 8 (1997) 1594-1600.
21. N. M. Torkaman, Y. Ganjkanlou, M. Kazemzad and H. H. Dabaghi, Material. Characterization. 61 (2010) 362-70.
22. N. R. Dilley, E. D. Bauer, M. B. Maple, B. C. Sales, J. Appl. Phys. 88 (2000) 1948-51.

## Figure Captions:

Fig.1. A) phase diagram of Co-Sb system. B) Time-Temperature Curve for solidification of different samples (Sample B using monotonic slow cooling and samples C, D and E using Oscillated cooling method).

Fig.2. Effect of different cooling program on microstructure of the samples was investigated by BS.SEM. B: Microstructure of sample B which is prepared using monotonic slow cooling. C, D and E illustrate Different step progression in peritectic solidification in sample C, D and E respectively. (Insets illustrate samples with higher magnification).

Fig.3 A) Faceted primary grains having multi ledges indicate lateral growth before completing first peritectic transformation and B) rectangular tooth indicated lateral growth during second peritectic solidification.

Fig.4. Rietveld refinement performed on Sample E (experimental, calculated and differences of them are shown)

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

