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Abstract

We report the clinical outcomes of 83 patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (median age, 46 years; range, 18-75 years) treated at our institution between 1999 and 2011. Treatment refers to clinical trials open for accrual at the time of diagnosis or to institutional guidelines. Upfront allografting was considered for younger high-risk patients. Seventy-eight of 83 (94%) patients achieved complete remission after induction, although 53% of them eventually relapsed. Forty of 70 patients younger than 61 years underwent allografting. The median follow-up was 7.4 years (range, 0.2-15.0 years). Overall, the 5-year overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) were 40% and 39%, respectively. In patients undergoing transplantation, OS and EFS at 5 years were both 53%, whereas in a nontransplantation setting, both OS and EFS were 35% at 5 years (P = .044 for both OS and EFS). By multivariate analysis, the independent predictors of OS and EFS were age and leukocytosis in the overall population and allografting in young patients.
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Introduction

Adult acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) is a rare disease with an estimated incidence of about 1 in 100,000.\(^1\) With the current intense chemotherapy protocols, 90% of patients younger than 55 years achieve postinduction remission, but the majority invariably experience relapsed disease.\(^1\) Postremission strategies have included prolonged chemotherapy, autografting, and allografting. More recently, the introduction of targeted therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs)\(^2\), \(^3\), \(^4\), \(^5\) and monoclonal antibodies\(^6\), \(^7\), \(^8\) and \(^9\) have changed the scenario of ALL treatment. Furthermore, persistence or reappearance of minimal residual disease (MRD) evaluated by molecular methods after induction may soon lead to risk-oriented treatment guidelines.\(^10\)

The policy for the treatment of ALL at our institution has been that of enrolling patients in multicenter clinical trials and considering an allograft in first remission in young high-risk patients. The primary aim of this single-institution study was to compare the results of our policy with those reported in the current literature (http://ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01785914).

Methods

Patients

Between December 1999 and December 2011, 88 consecutive adult patients were diagnosed with ALL at the Division of Hematology at Città della Salute e della Scienza Hospital, University of Torino, Torino, Italy according to standard criteria.\(^11\) Five of 88 patients were excluded from the analysis because they received only supportive care owing to their poor clinical condition. All died shortly after diagnosis. Complete remission (CR), relapse, and refractory disease were defined according to published criteria.\(^12\) Molecular analysis with qualitative and quantitative polymerase chain reaction has been performed since 2001, with minimal target sensitivity of \(10^{-4}\), as previously described.\(^13\) Data were retrospectively and anonymously collected through the review of medical records. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Città della Salute e della Scienza Hospital of Torino, Torino, Italy according to the Declaration of Helsinki (http://ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01785914). Patients were stratified by standard or high risk of progression by cytogenetic analysis, immunophenotyping, and presenting clinical features.\(^14\)

Induction Chemotherapy

Patients were induced with chemotherapy regimens in prospective clinical trials active at the time of diagnosis or according to institutional guidelines for those not eligible for controlled trials. An allograft from a related or an unrelated donor was considered in all patients younger than 61 years in first complete remission (CR) if they were at high risk or in second CR if a standard risk of relapse existed.

Allografting

Myeloablative regimens consisted of cyclophosphamide/total body irradiation (TBI),\(^15\) cyclophosphamide-busulfan, and thiotepa/busulfan/cyclophosphamide, whereas reduced-intensity conditioning regimens consisted of thiotepa/cyclophosphamide.\(^16\) A low-dose total body irradiation–based nonmyeloablative regimen (200 cGy) was used in 2 patients with a high comorbidity score.\(^17\) Acute and chronic graft-vs.-host disease (GVHD) was diagnosed and graded according to common criteria.\(^18\) and \(^19\)
Statistical Analysis

Primary end points were overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) from the time of diagnosis. OS was defined as the time from diagnosis to death from any cause, whereas EFS was defined as the time from diagnosis to disease progression/relapse or death from any cause, whichever occurred first. Patient characteristics were tested using the Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables. OS and EFS were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared with the log-rank test; 2 landmark analyses for OS were performed at minimum and median times from diagnosis to allografting. For univariate analyses, OS and EFS were analyzed by the Cox proportional hazards model, comparing the 2 risk factors by the Wald test and calculating 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Univariate and multivariate analyses were carried out on the entire patient cohort and on patients younger than 61 years who were potential candidates for an allograft. Risk factors included age (> 60 years vs. 36-60 years vs. ≤ 35 years), year of diagnosis (2008-2011 vs. 2004-2007 vs. 2000-2003), leukocytosis (B lymphocytic acute leukemia [ALL], > 30 × 10^9/L vs. < 30 × 10^9/L; T lymphocytic acute leukemia [T-ALL], > 100 × 10^9/L vs. < 100 × 10^9/L), cytogenetic features and immunophenotyping at diagnosis (high vs. standard risk), presence of the Bcr-Abl rearrangement, allografting (yes vs. no), grade II/IV acute GVHD and chronic GVHD. Allografting and acute and chronic GVHD were treated as time-dependent variables. For patients undergoing transplantation, cumulative incidences of acute and chronic GVHD and nonrelapse mortality (NRM) were estimated by Gray competing risk regression models as previously described. NRM was defined as death without previous relapse. Death without acute GVHD was considered a competing risk for acute GVHD, whereas death without chronic GVHD was considered a competing risk for chronic GVHD, and relapse was considered a competing risk for NRM. All \( P \) values were 2-sided at the conventional 5% significance level. Data were analyzed by IBM SPSS Statistics, version 21.0.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and R 2.15.2 package cmprsk (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Study Population

Clinical characteristics of 83 evaluable patients are summarized in Table 1. Two HIV-positive patients receiving retroviral treatment were included. Overall by leukocytosis, cytogenetic analysis, and immunophenotyping, 57 of 83 (69%) patients were at high risk of progression, and 19 had a standard risk of progression. By cytogenetic analysis only, 36 patients had a high risk (Table 1). In the 57 high-risk patients, only 34 (60%) were eligible for an allograft as part of first-line treatment because of age or comorbidities, or both.
### Table 1. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex (N)</strong></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>18-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phenotype (N)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biphrenotypic</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WBC ( \times 10^9/L ) (N)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 B-ALL</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100 T-ALL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytogenetic Analysis (N)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(9;22)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(4;11)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex (&gt;5 aberrations)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prognostic Stratification (N)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard risk</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transplant patients</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range), years</td>
<td>41 (19-59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplantation in CR1</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplantation in CR2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplantation in progression</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biphrenotypic</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: B-ALL = B-lymphocytic acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CR = complete remission; NK-ALL = natural killer-acute lymphoblastic leukemia; T-ALL = T-lymphocytic acute lymphoblastic leukemia; WBC = white blood cell.

a

Missing data.
Chemotherapy

All patients received induction and consolidation chemotherapy followed by either maintenance therapy or allografting. Seventy-nine of 83 (95%) patients were enrolled in prospective clinical trials, whereas 4 patients were treated according to institutional guidelines (Table 2). All first-line treatments included steroids, vincristine, methotrexate, daunorubicin, and L-asparaginase. The 2 patients with HIV were treated with protocols 2 and 3 (Table 2); 1 of these patients required dose reduction because of liver toxicity.

Table 2. Treatment Protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Prophase</th>
<th>Induction</th>
<th>Consolidation</th>
<th>Reinduction/Intensification</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocol 2 (n = 6)</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Prednisone</td>
<td>Daunorubicin</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol 3 (n = 38) (2006-2011)</td>
<td>Prednisone</td>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>L-asparaginase</td>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: it = intrathecal.

Transplant Preparative Regimens, Stem Cell Source, and GVHD

Overall, in the 70 patients younger than 61 years, 40 (57%) underwent an allograft procedure (Table 1) because of a high risk of relapse (n = 34), disease recurrence (n = 5), or disease refractory to first-line treatment (n = 1) (Table 1). Donors were HLA identical siblings (n = 22), unrelated (n = 15) or haploidentical siblings (n = 3). Conditioning regimens were myeloablative in the majority of cases (n = 38), and in 37 of 40 (93%) cases, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor–mobilized peripheral blood was the source of stem cells. Overall, 19 of 40 patients eventually died, and in 16 of the 19 patients, the cause of death was disease recurrence. The cumulative incidence of NRM was 2.5% at 1 year and 7.5% at both 3 and 5 years, whereas the relapse incidence was 17.5% and 40.5%, respectively. Cumulative incidences of grade II-IV acute and extensive chronic GVHD were 41.0% and 35.9%, respectively. No grade IV acute GVHD occurred. Only 2 patients underwent nonmyeloablative transplantation; both underwent transplantation in first CR but eventually died because of disease recurrence.
**Disease Response**

Overall, 78 of 83 (94%) patients achieved CR, and in 74 of 78 (95%) of them, CR was achieved within 4 weeks from induction. However, 41 of 78 (53%) eventually experienced relapse of disease. Five patients never achieved CR and died of disease progression at a median time of 3 months from diagnosis (range, < 1-8).

**Disease-Specific Markers**

Disease-specific molecular markers were identified in 34 of the 73 patients evaluated (47%). Twenty patients carried t(9;22) (Ph+ ALL) and 2 additional patients carried the Bcr-Abl rearrangement without t(9;22). Other markers included a monoclonal T-cell receptor in 7 patients, MLLA-F4 in 2, FR2-JH in 2 and FR3-JK in 1 patient each. In 20 of 22 (90%) patients with the Bcr/Abl rearrangement diagnosed after 2002, treatments also included tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Imatinib was given upfront in 17 of 20 patients (85%), and 6 of them later switched to dasatinib for persistent disease or molecular progression; 1 also received nilotinib and ponatinib. Four of 20 patients (20%) were treated with imatinib after induction because of persistence of MRD, and 1 patient also received dasatinib.

**MRD and Allografting**

Twenty of 40 patients who received an allograft had a molecular marker. MRD was evaluated in 19 of 20 patients during pretransplantation workup: 10 did not have MRD and 9 had MRD at the time of transplantation. During follow-up, 8 of 10 patients without MRD maintained a molecular response, whereas 3 of 9 patients with MRD achieved a status of no MRD after transplantation, and 4 still had MRD (data missing in 4 patients).

**Clinical Outcomes**

**Overall Patient Population**

After a median follow-up of 7.4 years (range, 0.2-15.0 years), 41% of the patients were alive, and 5-year OS and EFS were 40% and 39%, respectively (Figure 1). The 2 patients with HIV tolerated the treatment but eventually died of disease recurrence at 38 and 11 months, respectively. OS at 5 years was 15% in patients older than 60 years, 38% in those between 35 and 60 years, and 58% in patients younger than 35 years ($P = .001$), whereas 5-year EFS was 8%, 38%, and 58% ($P < .001$), respectively. OS and EFS at 5 years in patients with leukocytosis were 26% and 22%, respectively, and 51% and 51%, respectively, in those without leukocytosis ($P = .008$ and $P = .002$, respectively). Year of diagnosis and type of chemotherapy did not correlate with OS or EFS. Patients at high risk of relapse/progression by cytogenetic analysis showed a trend toward worse OS and EFS when compared with those at low risk (22 and 16 months, respectively, vs. not reached).
Univariate and multivariate analyses are shown in Table 3. By multivariate analysis, age and leukocytosis were statistically significant predictors of OS and EFS. Year of diagnosis was not associated with either OS (P = .656) or EFS (P = .636). The impact of high-risk cytogenetic results did not reach statistical significance.

Table 3. Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of the Entire Patient Cohort (N = 83)
Patients Younger Than 61 Years of Age

Five-year OS and EFS were both 53% in patients undergoing transplantation and 35% in patients not undergoing transplantation (P = .044 for both OS and EFS). Median time from diagnosis to allografting was 6 months (range, 4-10 months). Landmark analysis at 4 months from diagnosis
showed a 5-year OS of 53% in 40 patients undergoing transplantation and of 36% in patients not undergoing transplantation (n = 28; $P = .065$), whereas landmark analysis at 6 months showed an OS of 53% and 38%, respectively (n = 40 and n = 26; $P = .139$).

At 5 years, both OS and EFS were 68% versus 50% ($P = .543$ and $P = .459$, respectively) in patients with MRD (n = 10) and patients without MDR (n = 9) before allografting. Of the 8 patients with a molecular marker who were not eligible for transplantation, all patients with MRD (n = 4) died, whereas all patients without MRD (n = 2) were alive at follow-up (data missing in 2 patients).

Univariate and multivariate analyses (Table 4) showed that allografting was the only variable correlated with longer OS and EFS. No impact of acute and chronic GVHD was observed.

**Table 4. Univariate and Multivariate Analyses in Patients Younger Than 61 Years (n = 70)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; EFS = event-free survival; HR = hazard ratio; hSCT = hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; GVHD = graft-vs.-host disease; OS = overall survival.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Univariate Analyses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2011 vs. 2000-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytogenetic Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunophenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCr-Abi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hSCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute GVHD ( n = 39 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic GVHD ( n = 39 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EFS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2011 vs. 2000-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytogenetic Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunophenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCr-Abi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hSCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute GVHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic GVHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multivariate Analyses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hSCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treated as time-dependent variables.
Discussion

Current treatment of adult ALL represents an evolving paradigm. Our study summarized a 12-year experience in 83 consecutive patients with ALL diagnosed and treated at the Città della Salute e della Scienza Hospital in Torino, Italy. The aim was to compare the results of our “real-life” experience with that reported in the current literature, despite the limitation of the retrospective analysis.

With a median follow up of 7.4 years, OS at 5 years was 40%, which was similar to other reports. Leukocytosis only was a strong predictor of survival. Patients with poor prognostic cytogenetic results showed a trend for a worse outcome, most likely because of the sample size and a larger proportion of high-risk patients (60%), by cytogenetic analysis, who underwent allografting. Similarly, the poor prognostic role of the Bcr/Abl rearrangement did not emerge.

Pediatric patients with ALL show better survival than adults. Poorer outcomes in adults are multifactorial but are likely related to a higher incidence of adverse cytogenetic features and a lessened ability to tolerate intensive pediatric-inspired chemotherapy regimens. These factors carry even more weight in elderly patients who are rarely eligible for allografting. However, 5-year OS has recently improved about 12% from the early 1980s to the early 2000s, especially in patients ≤ 60 years, but our study period was too short to appreciate a significant difference. In our study, patients younger than 35 years gained a significant benefit regarding both OS and EFS, as confirmed by multivariate analyses (P = .03).

Several reports showed that high-risk patients are the most suitable candidates for allografting. Most of our patients younger than 61 years (57%) underwent an allograft procedure. Although mostly prepared with myeloablative conditioning, NRM was extremely low. This finding may partially be explained by a high incidence of early relapse (17.5% at 1 year), transplantation in first CR (34 of 40 patients), and young median age (41 years). The incidence of acute and chronic GVHD (41.0% and 35.9%, respectively) was consistent with previously published data. Allografting was correlated with a significant advantage regarding both OS and EFS compared with chemotherapy alone. Moreover, 2 landmark analyses at 4 and 6 months (minimum and median time from diagnosis to transplantation, respectively) suggested an advantage in patients undergoing transplantation, although the difference did not reach statistical significance. By multivariate analysis, allografting was confirmed as an independent predictor of outcome. Predictors of longer survival were age and leukocytosis in the whole study population.

Several points of interest have recently been raised in ALL treatment:

- MRD monitoring represents an independent risk factor, and it may help to identify patients who would most benefit from an allograft.

- Reduced-intensity conditionings could broaden transplantation eligibility to older patients.
TKIs can be regarded as the first targeted therapy in ALL. Their optimal role remains to be defined in both transplant-eligible and non–transplant-eligible patients.

- Monoclonal antibodies have been recently introduced: rituximab, which improved survival in CD20-positive ALL; blinatumomab, a biallelic T cell engaging the CD3-CD19 monoclonal antibody, resulted in overall response rates of 40% to 50% in a refractory population with relapsed disease, and inotuzumab ozogamicin, a CD33 monoclonal antibody bound to calicheamicin resulted in 55% CR in a similar setting.

In conclusion, our “real-life” report suggests that our single-institution experience is similar to that reported by other groups and confirms the role of allografting. Hopefully, the introduction of novel targeted therapies as a bridge to transplantation or as maintenance in high-risk patients, or both, may better control the disease and improve transplantation outcomes, leading to a higher cure rate.

Clinical Practice Points

- Adult acute lymphoid leukemia is a rare disease.

- With the current intense chemotherapy protocols, 90% of patients younger than of 55 years achieve postinduction remission, but the majority invariably experience relapsed disease.

- Postremission strategies have included prolonged chemotherapy, autografting, and allografting.

- We reported the clinical outcomes of 83 patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, median age 46 years (range, 18-75 years) years, treated at a single institution between 1999 and 2011. Five additional patients were excluded because they died shortly after diagnosis before starting therapy.

- The aim was to compare the results of our “real-life” experience with that reported in the current literature. Most of the patients were at high risk of relapse and were enrolled in active clinical trials at the time of diagnosis.

- Overall, the 5-year survival was 40%. With the limitation of a retrospective analysis, our experience confirmed the impact of age and leukocytosis on patient survival in the whole population and the key role of allografting in patients younger than 61 years.
The introduction of monitoring MRD and novel targeted therapies (such as TKIs and monoclonal antibodies) as a bridge to transplantation or as maintenance, or both, in high-risk patients may better control the disease and improve transplantation outcomes, leading to a higher cure rate.
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