Observation of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$ at BESIII
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mass energies from 4.009 to 4.420 GeV, the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$ is observed for the first time with a statistical significance of 6.3σ. The measured mass of the $X(3872)$ is $(3871.9 \pm 0.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{syst}})$ MeV/$c^2$, in agreement with previous measurements. Measurements of the product of the cross section $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872))$ and the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}[X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi]$ at center-of-mass energies 4.009, 4.229, 4.260, and 4.360 GeV are reported. Our measurements are consistent with expectations for the radiative transition process $Y(4260) \rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$. 

The $X(3872)$ was first observed 10 years ago by Belle [1] in $B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ decays; it was subsequently confirmed by several other experiments [2–4]. Since its discovery, the $X(3872)$ has stimulated considerable interest. Both BABAR and Belle observed the $X(3872) \to J/\psi$ decay process, which ensures that the $X(3872)$ is a C-even state [5,6]. The CDF and LHCb experiments determined the spin parity of the $X(3872)$ to be $J^P = 1^+$ [7,8], and CDF also found that the $\pi^+\pi^-$ system was dominated by the $\rho^0(770)$ resonance [9]. Because of the proximity of its mass to the $D\bar{D}^*$ mass threshold, the $X(3872)$ has been interpreted as a candidate for a hadronic molecule or a tetraquark state [10]. Until now, the $X(3872)$ was only observed in $B$ meson decays and hadron collisions. Since the $X(3872)$ is a $1^{++}$ state, it should be able to be produced through the radiative transition of an excited vector charmonium or charmoniumlike states such as a $\psi$ or a $Y$.

The puzzling $Y(4260)$ [11] and $Y(4360)$ [12] vector charmoniumlike states have only been observed in final states containing a charmonium meson and a $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair, in contrast to the $\psi(4040)$ and $\psi(4160)$ which dominantly couple to open charm final states [13]. The observation of the charged charmoniumlike state $Z_c(3900)$ [11,14], which is clearly not a conventional charmonium state and is produced recoiling against a $\pi^\pm$ at the c.m. energy of 4.26 GeV, indicates that these two “exotic” states seem to couple with each other. To better understand their nature, an investigation of other decay processes, such as the radiative transition of the $Y(4260)$ and $Y(4360)$ to lower lying charmonium or charmoniumlike states is important [15]. The process $Y(4260)/Y(4360) \to \gamma X(3872)$ is unique due to the exotic feature of both the $X(3872)$ and the $Y(4260)$ or $Y(4360)$ resonances.

In this Letter, we report the first observation of the process $e^+e^- \to \gamma X(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$. $J/\psi \to \ell^+\ell^-$ ($\ell^+\ell^- = e^+e^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$) is an analysis of data collected with the BESIII detector operating at the BEPCII storage ring [16] at $\sqrt{s} = 4.009$ GeV to 4.420 GeV [17]. The c.m. energy is measured with a precision of $\pm 1.0$ MeV [18]. A GEANT4-based Monte Carlo (MC) simulation software package that includes the geometric description of the BESIII detector and the detector response is used to optimize the event selection criteria, determine the detection efficiency, and estimate backgrounds. For the signal process, we generate $e^+e^- \to \gamma X(3872)$, with $X(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ at each c.m. energy. Initial state radiation (ISR) is simulated with KKMC [19], where the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \to \gamma X(3872)$ between 3.90 and 4.42 GeV is assumed to follow the $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ line shape [11]. The maximum ISR photon energy corresponds to the 3.9 GeV/$c^2$ production threshold of the $\gamma X(3872)$ system. We generate $X(3872) \to \rho J/\psi$ MC events with $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ line shape [11]. Here the $\rho^0$ and $J/\psi$ are assumed to be in a relative S wave. Final state radiation (FSR) is handled with PHOTOS [20].

Events with four good charged tracks with net charge zero are selected as described in Ref. [14]. Showers identified as photon candidates must satisfy fiducial and shower quality as well as timing requirement as described in Ref. [21]. When there is more than one photon candidate, the one with the largest energy is regarded as the radiative photon. In order to improve the momentum and energy resolution and reduce the background, the event is subjected to a four-constraint (4C) kinematic fit to the hypothesis $e^+e^- \to \gamma \pi^+\pi^- l^+l^- l^+l^-$, that constrains total four momentum of the measured particles to be equal to the initial four-momentum of the colliding beams. The $\chi^2$ of the kinematic fit is required to be less than 60. To reject radiative Bhabha and radiative dimuon ($\gamma e^-e^-/\gamma \mu^-\mu^-$) backgrounds associated with photon conversion, the cosine of the opening angle of the pion candidates, is required to be less than 0.98. This restriction removes almost all the background events with an efficiency loss for signal that is less than 1%. Background from $e^+e^- \to \eta J/\psi$ with $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ is rejected by requiring $M(\gamma\pi^+\pi^-) > 0.6$ GeV/$c^2$, and its remaining contribution is negligible [21,22].

After imposing the above requirements, there are clear $J/\psi$ peaks in the $\ell^+\ell^-$ invariant mass distribution at each c.m. energy data set. The $J/\psi$ mass window to select signal events is $3.08 < M(\ell^+\ell^-) < 3.12$ GeV/$c^2$ (mass resolution is 6 MeV/$c^2$), while the sidebands are $3.0 < M(\ell^+\ell^-) < 3.06$ and $3.14 < M(\ell^+\ell^-) < 3.20$ GeV/$c^2$, which is three times as wide as the signal region.

The remaining backgrounds mainly come from $e^+e^- \to (\gamma_{ISR})\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$, $\eta J/\psi$, and $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0/J/\psi$ MC simulation based on available measurements for $(\gamma_{ISR})\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ [11], and cross sections measured from the same data samples for $\eta J/\psi$ ($\eta \to \gamma \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$) shows a smooth, nonpeaking $M(\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)$ mass distribution in the $X(3872)$ signal region, and indicates that background from $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0(J/\psi)$ is small and can be estimated from the $J/\psi$ mass sideband data. Figure 1 shows the $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ invariant mass distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 4.009, 4.229, 4.260$, and 4.360 GeV. Here $M(\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi) = M(\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-) - M(\ell^+\ell^-) + m(J/\psi)$ is used to reduce the resolution effect of the lepton pairs, and $m(J/\psi)$ is the nominal mass of $J/\psi$ [13]. There is a huge $e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}J/\psi(3686)$ signal at each c.m. energy data set. In addition, there is a narrow peak around $3872$ MeV/$c^2$ in the 4.229 and 4.260 GeV data samples, while there is no significant signal at the other energies.

The $M(\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)$ distribution (summed over all c.m. energy data sets) is fitted to determine the mass and $X(3872)$ yield. We use a MC simulated signal histogram convolved with a Gaussian function which represents the resolution difference between data and MC simulation as the signal shape, and a linear function for the background.
The ISR $\psi(3686)$ signal is used to calibrate the absolute mass scale and to extract the resolution difference between data and MC simulation. The fit to the $\psi(3686)$ results in a mass shift of $\mu_{\psi(3686)} = -(0.34 \pm 0.04)$ MeV/$c^2$, and a standard deviation of the Gaussian resolution function of $\sigma = (1.14 \pm 0.07)$ MeV/$c^2$. The resolution parameter of the resolution Gaussian applied to the MC simulated signal shape is fixed at 1.14 MeV/$c^2$ in the fit to the X(3872). Figure 2 shows the fit result (with $M[X(3872)]_{\text{input}} = 3871.7$ MeV/$c^2$ as input in MC simulation), which gives $\mu_{X(3872)} = -(0.10 \pm 0.69)$ MeV/$c^2$ and $N[X(3872)] = 20.1 \pm 4.5$. So, the measured mass of X(3872) is $M[X(3872)] = M[X(3872)]_{\text{input}} + \mu_{X(3872)} - \mu_{\psi(3686)} = (3871.9 \pm 0.7)$ MeV/$c^2$, where the uncertainty includes the statistical uncertainties from the fit and the mass calibration. The limited statistics prevent us from measuring the intrinsic width of the X(3872). From a fit with a floating width we obtain $\Gamma[X(3872)] = (0.0^{+1.7}_{-0.0})$ MeV, or less than 2.4 MeV at the 90% confidence level (C.L.). The statistical significance of X(3872) is 6.3σ, estimated by comparing the difference of log-likelihood value [Δ(−2 ln L) = 44.5] with and without the X(3872) signal in the fit, and taking the change of the number of degrees of freedom (Andf = 2) into consideration.

Figure 3 shows the angular distribution of the radiative photon in the $e^+e^-$ c.m. frame and the $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution, for the X(3872) signal events $(3.86 < M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) < 3.88$ GeV/$c^2$) and normalized sideband events $(3.83 < M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) < 3.86$ or $3.88 < M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) < 3.91$ GeV/$c^2$). The data agree with MC simulation assuming a pure E1-transition between the $Y(4260)$ and the X(3872) for the polar angle distribution, and the $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ distribution is consistent with the CDF observation [9] of a dominant $\rho^0(770)$ resonance contribution.

The product of the Born-order cross section times the branching fraction of $X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ is calculated using $\sigma^\text{obs}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872)) \times B[X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi] = N^\text{obs}/L_{\text{int}}(1 + \delta)eB$, where $N^\text{obs}$ is the number of observed events obtained from the fit to the $M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$ distribution, $L_{\text{int}}$ is integrated luminosity, $e$ is the detection efficiency, $B$ is the branching fraction of $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ and $(1 + \delta)$ is the radiative correction factor, which depends on the line shape of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$. Since we observe large cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 4.229$ and 4.260 GeV, we assume the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$ cross section follows that of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ over the full energy range of interest and use the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ line-shape from published results [11] as input in the calculation of the efficiency and radiative correction factor. The results of these studies at different energies ($\sqrt{s} = 4.009$, 4.229, 4.260, and 4.360 GeV) are listed in Table I. For the 4.009 and 4.360 GeV data, where the X(3872) signal is not statistically significant, upper limits for production yield at 90% C.L. are also given. As a validation, the

FIG. 1 (color online). The $\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ invariant mass distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 4.009$ (top left), 4.229 (top right), 4.260 (bottom left), and 4.360 GeV (bottom right). Dots with error bars are data, the green shaded histograms are normalized $J/\psi$ sideband events.

FIG. 2 (color online). Fit of the $M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$ distribution with a MC simulated histogram convolved with a Gaussian function for signal and a linear background function. Dots with error bars are data, the red curve shows the total fit result, while the blue dashed curve shows the background contribution.

FIG. 3 (color online). The $\cos \theta$ distribution of the radiative photon in $e^+e^-$ c.m. frame (left) and the $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ distribution (right). Dots with error bars are data in the X(3872) signal region, the green shaded histograms are normalized X(3872) sideband events, and the red open histogram in the left panel is the result from a MC simulation that assumes a pure E1 transition.
measured ISR $\psi(3686)$ cross section at each energy, together with the corresponding QED prediction [23] are also listed in Table I, where there is good agreement.

We fit the energy-dependent cross section with a $Y(4260)$ resonance (parameters fixed to PDG [13] values), a linear continuum, or an $E1$-transition phase space ($\propto E^2$) term. Figure 4 shows all the fit results, which give $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 0.49/3$ (C.L. = 92%), 5.5/2 (C.L. = 6%), and 8.7/3 (C.L. = 3%) for a $Y(4260)$ resonance, linear continuum, and phase space distribution, respectively. The $Y(4260)$ resonance describes the data better than the other two options.

The systematic uncertainty in the $X(3872)$ mass measurement include those from the absolute mass scale and the parametrization of the $X(3872)$ signal and background shapes. Since we use ISR $\psi(3686)$ events to calibrate the fit, the systematic uncertainty from the mass scale is estimated to be 0.1 MeV/$c^2$ (including statistical uncertainties of the MC samples used in the calibration procedure). In the $X(3872)$ mass fit, a MC simulated histogram with a zero width is used to parameterize the signal shape. We replace this histogram with a simulated $X(3872)$ resonance with a width of 1.2 MeV [13] (the upper limit of the $X(3872)$ width at 90% C.L.) and repeat the fit; the change in mass for this new fit is taken as the systematic uncertainty due to the signal parametrization, which is 0.1 MeV/$c^2$. Likewise, changes measured with a background shape from MC-simulated ($\gamma_{\text{ISR}}\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $\eta'J/\psi$ events indicate a systematic uncertainty associated with the background shape of 0.1 MeV/$c^2$ in mass. By summing the contributions from all sources assuming that they are independent, we obtain a total systematic uncertainty of 0.2 MeV/$c^2$ for the $X(3872)$ mass measurement.

The systematic uncertainty in the cross section measurement mainly comes from efficiencies, signal parametrization, background shape, radiative correction, and luminosity measurement. The luminosity is measured using Bhabha events, with an uncertainty of 1.0%. The uncertainty of tracking efficiency for high momenta leptons is 1.0% per track. Pions have momentum ranges from 0.1 to several hundreds of MeV. Studies with a sample of $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ events show that the uncertainty in the reconstruction efficiency for photons in this energy range is less than 1.0%.

The number of $X(3872)$ signal events is obtained through a fit to the $M(\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)$ distribution. In the nominal fit, a simulated histogram with zero width convolved with a Gaussian function is used to parameterize the $X(3872)$ signal. When a MC-simulated signal shape with $\Gamma[X(3872)] = 1.2$ MeV [13] is used, the difference in the $X(3872)$ signal yield, is 4.0%; this is taken as the systematic uncertainty due to signal parametrization. Changing the background shape from a linear term to the expected shape from the dominant background source $\eta'J/\psi$ results in a 0.2% difference in the $X(3872)$ yields. The $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ line shape affects the radiative correction factor and detection efficiency. Using the measurements from BESIII, Belle, and BABAR [11] as inputs, the maximum difference in $(1 + \delta)e$ is 0.6%, which is taken as the systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty from the kinematic fit is estimated with the very pure ISR $\psi(3686)$
sample, and the efficiency difference between data and MC simulation is found to be 1.5%. The systematic uncertainty for the $J/\psi$ mass window is also estimated using the ISR $\psi(3686)$ events, and the efficiency difference between data and MC simulation is found to be $(0.8 \pm 0.8)\%$. We conservatively take 1.6% as the systematic uncertainty due to $J/\psi$ mass window. The uncertainty in the branching fraction of $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^{+}\ell^{-}$ is taken from Ref. [13]. The efficiencies for other selection criteria, the trigger simulation, the event start time determination, and the final-state-radiation simulation are quite high (>99%), and their systematic uncertainties are estimated to be less than 1%. Assuming all the systematic uncertainty sources are independent, we add all of them in quadrature, and the total systematic uncertainty is estimated to be 6.5%.

In summary, we report the first observation of the process $e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$. The measured mass of the $X(3872)$, $M[X(3872)]=(3871.9\pm0.7\pm0.2)\text{MeV}/c^{2}$, agrees well with previous measurements [13]. The production rate $\sigma_{B}(e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \gamma X(3872))B[X(3872)\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi]$ is measured to be $(0.27\pm0.09\pm0.02)\text{pb}$ at $\sqrt{s}=4.229\text{GeV}$, $(0.33\pm0.12\pm0.02)\text{pb}$ at $\sqrt{s}=4.260\text{GeV}$, less than 0.11 pb at $\sqrt{s}=4.009\text{GeV}$, and less than 0.36 pb at $\sqrt{s}=4.360\text{GeV}$ at the 90% C.L. Here the first uncertainties are statistical and the second systematic. (For the upper limits, the efficiency has been lowered by a factor of $(1-\sigma_{\text{sys}})$).

These observations strongly support the existence of the radiative transition process $Y(4260)\rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$. While the measured cross sections at around 4.260 GeV are an order of magnitude higher than the NRQCD calculation of continuum production [24], the resonant contribution with $Y(4260)$ line shape provides a better description of the data than either a linear continuum or a $E1$-transition phase space distribution. The $Y(4260)\rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$ could be another previously unseen decay mode of the $Y(4260)$ resonance. This, together with the previously reported transitions to the charged charmoniumlike state $Z_{c}(3900)$ (which is manifestly exotic) [11,14], suggest that there might be some commonality in the nature of these three different states. This may be a clue that can facilitate a better theoretical interpretation of them. As an example, the measured relative large $\gamma X(3872)$ production rate near 4.260 GeV is similar to the model dependent calculations in Ref. [15] where the $Y(4260)$ is taken as a $D\bar{D}J$ molecule.

Combining with the $e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ cross section measurement at $\sqrt{s}=4.260\text{GeV}$ from BESIII [14], we obtain $\sigma_{B}(e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \gamma X(3872))B[X(3872)\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi]/\sigma_{B}(e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi)=(5.2\pm1.9)\times10^{-3}$, under the assumption that the $X(3872)$ is produced only from the $Y(4260)$ radiative decays and the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ is only from the $Y(4260)$ hadronic decays. If we take $B[X(3872)\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi]=5\%$ [25], then $R=(\sigma_{B}(e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \gamma X(3872)))/\sigma_{B}(e^{+}e^{-}\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi))=0.1$, or equivalently, $(B[Y(4260)\rightarrow \gamma X(3872)]/B(Y(4260)\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi))=0.1$.
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