

Letter to the Editor

MET mutations are associated with aggressive and radioresistant brain metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer

The onset of brain lesions resulting from non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is associated with a poor prognosis, with only 5% of patients surviving beyond the first year after diagnosis.¹ Only a minority of carefully selected patients can be recommended for surgical resection of brain metastases.² Palliative brain radiotherapy (BRT) could be efficacious in improving symptoms and quality of life.³

However, growing evidence demonstrates that inappropriate execution of the MET-driven “invasive growth” program is implicated in the metastatic process and that MET overexpression promotes radiation-induced tumor invasiveness.^{4,5}

Here, we assessed the MET molecular status in a relevant series of surgical samples from primary NSCLC, along with the corresponding brain metastases, in order to decipher the role of MET in predicting patients’ outcomes and radiotherapy responsiveness.

A total of 68 NSCLC samples and their matched brain metastases were retrieved from consecutive cohorts from the archives of the pathology divisions of the University of Turin at the Città della Salute e della Scienza, Molinette Hospital of Turin and of San Luigi Hospital, Orbassano, Italy. The study received ethical approval from local institutional review boards. Each sample was independently reviewed by 2 dedicated pathologists (R.S. and P.C.), who confirmed all diagnoses according to the current World Health Organization classification.⁶ We analyzed the entire coding sequence of *MET*, together with gene amplification by fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis, as already described.⁷ Age, gender, and radiotherapy of primary tumor and brain metastases, histotypes, and survival were ascertained for each case. Descriptive statistics were produced for demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of cases. Cox regression was used to determine the association of patients’ characteristics with survival following diagnosis of brain metastases.

The cohort analyzed was characterized as follows. Of the 68 cases, 15 were female (22%) and 53 were male (78%); the mean age at diagnosis was 63.2 ± 9.1 years; the cumulative follow-up was 1438 patient-months, with a median overall survival of 12 months (interquartile range, 7–39). The adenocarcinoma histotype was represented in 54.4% of cases (37 patients); squamous cell carcinoma was detected in 20.5% of cases (14 patients), whereas neuroendocrine lineage occurred

in 10.2% of cases (7 patients). The remaining 10 patients displayed undifferentiated carcinomas. All the studied patients underwent surgical removal of both the primary mass and the brain metastasis. Brain surgery followed the removal of the primary mass (from 1 to 15 mo); in one case the brain lesion was diagnosed and removed 1 month before the appearance of the primary lung tumor. Of all the patients, 73.5% (50 patients) underwent BRT after surgery.

In line with reported data (COSMIC database, <http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic>), somatic *MET* mutations occurred in 4.41% (3) of primary lung tumors; 19.12% of those samples (13) harbored an increased *MET* gene copy number. We then investigated the *MET* molecular profile of metastatic brain lesions. Unexpectedly, 7.35% of patients (5) displayed activating *MET* mutations, while 22.39% of lesions (15 patients) were *MET* amplified. Two cases harbored mutations in both the primary and the metastatic lesion. Coexistence of *MET* mutation and amplification was not detected in any of the analyzed cases. Related clinical and molecular data are shown in detail in Table 1.

Notably, the mortality rate after BRT was significantly higher in tumors carrying *MET* somatic mutations compared with euploid *MET* wild-type lesions (hazard ratio, 4.39; 95% CI, 1.46–13.18; $P < .008$).

Overall, our findings have documented that the occurrence of *MET* mutations in brain metastasis from NSCLC neutralized the favorable effect of BRT, thus predicting a worse patients’ outcome. Although preliminary, our findings are coherent with published data suggesting that *MET* targeting may impair radioresistance.⁸ Moreover, for the first time, our results strongly indicate that *MET* activation by somatic mutations is associated with substantial radioresistance of highly invasive NSCLC. The above described *MET* mutations have 2 clinical implications: (i) a potential functional marker of highly aggressive lung tumors, easily detectable in the near future by the “liquid biopsy” approach⁹; (ii) a rationale for clinical trials with *MET* inhibitors in patients carrying advanced brain metastatic disease, directed to radiotherapy.

Funding

This work was supported by a grant from “Fondi di Ricerca Locale ex-60% 2013” to P.C.

Giulia Maria Stella, Rebecca Senetta, Simona Inghilleri, Ludovica Verdun di Cantogno, Cristina Mantovani, Davide Piloni, Luigia Scudeller, Federica Meloni, Mauro Papotti, Umberto Ricardi, and Paola Cassoni

Cardiothoracic and Vascular Department, Pneumology Unit, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy (G.M.S.,

Received 21 October 2015; accepted 28 December 2015

© The Author(s) 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Neuro-Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Table 1. Clinico-pathological and molecular profile of the MET-mutated brain metastases

	Pt 1	Pt 2	Pt 3	Pt 4	Pt 5
Gender	M	M	F	F	F
Age at diagnosis (y)	56	80	59	43	69
Histotype	U	LCNE	ADC	ADC	ADC
Brain metastatic site	Parietal lobe (r)	Frontal lobe	Parietal lobe (r)	Sella	Cerebellum
Number of brain lesions	1	1	1	1	1
MET mutational status					
Lung	T1010I	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt
Brain	T1010I	E168D	E168D	E168D	T1010I
MET copy number					
Lung	2	2	2	2	2
Brain	2	2	2	2	2
EGFR exon 18–21	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt
KRas exon 2	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt
Overall survival (mo)	7	2	9	40	7
TtP (mo)	5	0	1	39	2
Survival from metastasis (mo)	2	2	1	1	4
Radiotherapy					
Lung	No	No	Yes	No	No
Brain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Type of radiotherapy	Stereotactic	Stereotactic	Stereotactic	Stereotactic	Stereotactic

Abbreviations: U, undifferentiated carcinoma; ADC, adenocarcinoma; LCNE, large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; TtP, time to progression; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; Wt, wild type; KRas, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog.

All the *MET* mutations were found clustered either in the juxtamembrane or in the extracellular semaphorin domain of the receptor. Both the T1010I and the E168D changes are already established somatic mutations, previously reported in both non-small- and small-cell lung cancers, displaying documented tumorigenic potential. None of the *MET*-mutated patients underwent chemotherapy after surgical removal of both primary and secondary lesions.

S.I., D.P., F.M.); Department of Medical Sciences, Pathology Section, University of Torino, Torino, Italy (R.S., L.V.d.C., P.C.); Department of Oncology, Radiation Oncology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy (C.M., U.R.); Clinical Epidemiology and Biometric Unit, Scientific Direction, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy (L.S.); Department of Oncology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy (M.P.)

Corresponding Author: Giulia Maria Stella, MD, PhD, Cardiothoracic and Vascular Dept., Pneumology Unit, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Piazzale Golgi 19, 27100, Pavia, Italy (g.stella@smatteo.pv.it).

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Dr Radhika Srinivasan for careful revision of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

References

1. D'Addario G, Fruh M, Reck M, et al. Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis,

treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21(suppl 5):v116–v119.

2. Hatiboglu AM, Wildrick DM, Sawaya R. The role of surgical resection in patients with brain metastases. *Ecancermedicalscience.* 2013;7:308.
3. Zabel A, Debus J. Treatment of brain metastasis from non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): radiotherapy. *Lung Cancer.* 2004;45(suppl 2):S247–S252.
4. Bertotti A, Comoglio PM, Trusolino L. MET signalling: principles and functions in development, organ regeneration and cancer. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2010;11(12):834–848.
5. Comoglio PM, Giordano S, Trusolino L. Drug development of MET inhibitors: targeting oncogene addiction and expedience. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2008;7(6):504–516.
6. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Muller-Hermelink KM. *WHO Classification of Tumors of the Lung, Pleura, Thymus and Heart.* Lyon: IARC Press; 2004.
7. Stella GM, Benvenuti S, Gramaglia D, et al. MET mutations in cancers of unknown primary origin (CUPs). *Hum Mutat.* 2011;32:44–50.
8. De Bacco F, Luraghi P, Medico E, et al. Induction of MET by ionizing radiation and its role in radioresistance and invasive growth of cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2011;103(8):645–646.
9. Crowley E, Di Nicolantonio F, Loupakis F, et al. Liquid biopsy: monitoring cancer-genetics in the blood. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2013;10(8):472–484.