

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Effect of simulated soil solarization and organic amendments on Fusarium Wilt of rocket and basil under controlled conditions.

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/156447> since 2016-11-12T14:20:45Z

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Gilardi G.; Demarchi S.; Gullino M.L.; Garibaldi A.. Effect of simulated soil solarization and organic amendments on Fusarium Wilt of rocket and basil under controlled conditions.. JOURNAL OF PHYTOPATHOLOGY. 162 pp: 557-566.

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/156447>

1 Control Fusarium wilt with non-chemical methods

2

3 **Effect of simulated soil solarization and organic amendments on Fusarium wilt**
4 **of rocket and basil under controlled conditions**

5

6 Giovanna Gilardi¹, Stefano Demarchi¹, M. Lodovica Gullino^{1,2} and Angelo Garibaldi¹

7

8 ¹ Centre for Innovation in the Agro-Environmental Sector, AGROINNOVA, University of Torino,
9 Via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy; ² DISAFA, University of Torino, Via
10 Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy; (correspondence to M.L. Gullino. E-mail:
11 marialodovica.gullino@unito.it)

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Four plot trials were carried out under controlled conditions in order to evaluate the effectiveness
15 against Fusarium wilt of rocket (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans*) and basil (*F. oxysporum*
16 f. sp. *basilici*), of soil amendments based on a patented formulation of *Brassica carinata* defatted
17 seed meal and compost, combined or not with a simulation of soil solarization. The soil solarization
18 treatment was carried out in a growth chamber by heating the soil for 7 and 14 days at optimal (55
19 to 52°C for 6 h, 50 to 48°C for 8 h and 47 to 45°C for 10 h/day) and sub-optimal (50 to 48°C for 6
20 h, 45 to 43°C for 8 h and 40 to 38°C for 10 h/day) temperatures similar to those observed in
21 summer in solarized soil in greenhouses in Northern Italy. Two subsequent cycles of cultivation
22 were carried out in the same soil. Even at sub-optimal temperature regimes, 7 days of thermal

23 treatment provided very interesting results in terms of disease control on both rocket and basil. In
24 general, the thermal treatment was more effective against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* than against
25 *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans*. Control of Fusarium wilt of rocket is improved with 14 days of
26 thermal treatment. The combination of organic amendments with a short period of soil solarization
27 (7 or 14 days), although not providing any improvement to the level of disease management,
28 permits a significant increase in the biomass, with a positive effect on yield.

29 **Key words:** Thermal treatment; biofumigation; compost; *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp.
30 *conglutinans*; *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici*; integrated disease management

31

32 **Introduction**

33 Soil solarization is a non-chemical method of soil disinfestation leading to pathogen control
34 either directly, through physico-thermal action, or indirectly by stimulating antagonists or by
35 weakening the pathogen's resting structures present in the soil, with a consequent exposure to the
36 activity of the microorganisms (Gamliel and Katan 2012). Incorporation of organic amendments in
37 to soil in order to control soilborne pathogens has been widely studied and exploited, since it
38 represents a low cost and ecologically sound method (Gamliel and Stapleton 1997). Organic
39 amendments, such as compost and crop residues, have shown great potential in controlling soil-
40 borne pathogens (Bonanomi et al. 2007; 2010; Noble and Coventry 2005; Pane et al. 2011; Hadar
41 and Papadopoulos 2012). Brassica crops incorporated as green manures have the ability to contain
42 multiple soil-borne problems (Mazzola et al. 2007; Larkin and Griffin 2007; Lazzeri et al. 2009;
43 Motisi et al. 2009) either alone or when combined with other disinfestation methods such as soil
44 solarization (Gamliel and Stapleton 1997). Disease suppression by organic amendments could be
45 related to specific microorganisms involved in predation, parasitism, and competition (Waller et al.
46 2002), or correlated with the metabolic activity of some groups of microorganisms (Hoitink and

47 Boehm 1999), as well as to the microbial population of the soil that can be affected differently
48 (Termorshuizen and Jeger 2008).

49 Indeed, due to the fact that soil solarization is a climate-dependant process, under favorable
50 environmental conditions in warm climates it must last at least 4 weeks, while under less favorable
51 weather conditions and during years with predominantly overcast summers, the duration should be
52 increased to 6 weeks (Katan and De Vay 1991; Gamliel and Katan 2012). In the Mediterranean area
53 the application of soil solarization requires a soil covering period of 4 to 6 weeks, which is
54 sometimes not very compatible with intensive agricultural systems (Granados et al. 2010; Garibaldi
55 and Gullino 1991; Gullino and Garibaldi 2012). To make soil solarization a more widely adopted
56 method, it is imperative to reduce its length by effective combination with other control measures,
57 including the use of beneficial microbial agents (Gamliel and Kapulnik 2012) or reduced dosages of
58 pesticides (Gamliel 2012).

59 The loss of effective fumigants as well as the need to use more environmentally friendly
60 methods makes the combination of soil solarization with organic amendments particularly
61 interesting and investigated (Gamliel and Stapleton 2012).

62 The incorporation of soil amendments can improve the efficiency of solarization, by
63 extending the spectrum of pathogens controlled, reducing the solarization duration, and preserving
64 soil microbial communities from the negative effects of heating (Stapleton 1984; 2000; Klein et al.
65 2011 b; Tjamos et al.2000). Previous studies carried out under field conditions showed improved
66 control of Fusarium wilt and dry root rot of clusterbean by combining 14 days of soil solarization
67 with urea and farmyard manure application (Lodha 1995). Similar results were obtained under
68 greenhouse conditions by combining 31 days of soil solarization with biofumigation using cabbage
69 residues, against *Pythium aphanidermatum* of cucumber (Deadman et al. 2006).

70 Methods to study and validate the different possible variations are needed in order to
71 determine the best combination for soil-borne pathogens control. A controlled laboratory system for
72 simulating soil solarization with or without organic amendments, using 2 L soil containers exposed

73 to controlled and constant aeration, and to temperature fluctuation similar to those occurring
74 naturally during soil solarization, has been developed by Klein et al. (2007) and tested against *F.*
75 *oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* on tomato.

76 This study was carried out by simulating the effect of soil solarization under favorable and
77 less favorable temperature conditions, with or without organic soil amendments (*Brassica carinata*
78 defatted seed meals and compost), in order to screen different possible combinations for the
79 management of the two causal agents of Fusarium wilt of rocket and basil.

80 Rocket (*Eruca sativa*) and basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) are high value crops affected by emerging
81 soil-borne pathogens (Gullino and Garibaldi 2010; Gullino et al. 2012). *F. oxysporum* f. sp.
82 *conglutinans* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *raphani* were recently observed in Italy on crucifer crops such
83 as cultivated (*E. sativa*) and wild (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia*) rocket (Garibaldi et al. 2006), while
84 Fusarium wilt, caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* has long been known in Italy (Grasso 1975).

85

86 **Material and methods**

87

88 **Layout of trials, thermal soil treatment and plant material.**

89 Four experimental trials (two on basil and two on rocket) were carried out at Agroinnova facilities
90 (Grugliasco, Italy), during March to November 2012. All trials started with the thermal treatment
91 under growth chamber conditions, using plastic containers (50 x 40 x 20 cm corresponding to 20-L
92 of soil capacity) filled with a mixture (70:30 v/v) of sandy loam soil (sand, 71.8% ± 5 ; silt, 5.4% ±
93 5; clay, 22.7% ± 5; pH, 7.3; organic matter content, 2.2%; cation exchange capacity, 2.7 meq100 g⁻¹
94 soil) and peat substrate (Tecno 2, 70% white peat and 30% clay, pH 5.5-6, N 110-190 mg L⁻¹, P₂O₅
95 140-230 mg L⁻¹, K₂O 170-280 mg L⁻¹, Turco Silvestro terricci, Bastia d'Albenga, SV, Italy). The
96 characteristics of the final substrate obtained were: sand, 68.8% ± 5 ; silt, 6.8% ± 5; clay, 26% ± 5;
97 pH, 7.1; organic matter content, 2.4%; cation exchange capacity, 5.7 meq100 g⁻¹ soil).

98 A thermal treatment for simulating soil solarization was carried out under growth chamber
99 conditions by heating the soil mix described above to optimal (55 to 52°C for 6 h, 50 to 48°C for 8
100 h and 47 to 45°C for 10 h/day) or sub optimal temperature conditions (50 to 48°C for 6 h, 45 to
101 43°C for 8 h and 40 to 38°C for 10 h/day) for 7 and 14 days. The two temperature regimes were
102 selected according to the temperatures reached in the soil under greenhouse conditions in northern
103 Italy (Gullino et al. 1998; Tamietti and Garibaldi, 1987). Immediately before starting the trial, soil
104 was irrigated with water at 4.5 L/pot corresponding to soil moisture capacity. Treated soil was
105 covered with polyethylene (PE) sheets (50 µm thick) immediately after the application of soil
106 amendments, and moved in to growth chambers in order to start heating. Soil temperature was
107 monitored at the depth of 10 cm in the middle of the solarized plot by using a Digital Data Logger
108 EM50 (Decagon Devices, USA) at 60 min intervals. The untreated control soil was kept between 25
109 and 28 °C under greenhouse conditions.

110 In all four trials, at the end of each thermal treatment carried out under growth chamber conditions,
111 treated and untreated soil was transferred into 10 plastic pots of 2 L capacity and kept in a
112 greenhouse with temperatures ranging from 25 to 28 °C and 70-80 UR% (Table 2).

113 Plants of cultivated rocket (*Eruca sativa*, cv. Coltivata, Bertolino) and basil (*Ocimum basilicum*, cv.
114 Fine verde, Furia Sementi), both highly susceptible to *Fusarium* wilt, were used. Rocket seeds were
115 sown in plug trays (160 plugs/tray) and 15-20 day old seedlings were used for transplanting in to
116 the 2-L pots, (5 plants/pot), while 50 to 70 basil seeds were sown in each treated and untreated 2-L
117 pots. Two subsequent cycles of cultivation were carried out in the same soil into treated and
118 untreated soil. Each crop cycle lasted 40 to 44 days after transplanting rocket or sowing basil (Table
119 1). Plants were irrigated daily.

120

121 **Artificial inoculation and soil treatments**

122 To achieve a high disease pressure, talc formulations of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* strain
123 Fob009RB (resistant to 10 mg L⁻¹ of benomyl), and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans*

124 ATCC16600RB (resistant to 10 mg L⁻¹ of benomyl) (Lu et al., 2010), prepared according to Locke
125 and Colhoun, (1974), were incorporated into the soil at 5x10⁴ FCU ml⁻¹ (Table 1 and 2).
126 *Brassica carinata*, as defatted seed meal (Biofence, N organic 3%, P 2.2%, K 2%, organic C 52%,
127 Triumph, Italy), was mixed into the soil at 2.5 g L⁻¹. A municipal compost (Acea Pinerolese,
128 Pinerolo, Italy), prepared from the organic fraction of municipal solid and biodegradable waste, was
129 used at 4 g L⁻¹ of soil. The soil was amended with *B. carinata* seed meal and/or compost before
130 starting the thermal treatment (T0), or at the end of thermal treatment (T 14) at soil uncovering, at
131 the dosages reported above, (Table 1).

132

133 **Disease and growth parameters evaluation**

134 The effectiveness of different treatments on the severity of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* on
135 rocket and *F. o oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* on basil was evaluated weekly during the trials.
136 Throughout the experiments wilted plants were counted and removed. The final disease rating was
137 carried out 3 to 4 weeks after transplanting rocket and sowing basil by evaluating the vascular
138 discoloration. At the end of rocket trials disease incidence (DI) was assessed on a 0 to 100 scale: 0:
139 corresponded to healthy plants; 12.5: plants growing regularly with slight vascular discoloration;
140 25: slight leaf chlorosis and reduced growth, vascular discoloration; 50: chlorosis, growth reduction,
141 vascular discoloration, initial symptoms of wilting; 75: extended vascular discoloration, strong leaf
142 chlorosis, severe growth reduction and wilting symptoms; 100: whole leaves yellow, plants totally
143 wilted and dead. The effect of the different treatments on basil was evaluated by counting the
144 number of healthy and diseased plants/replicate. Disease incidence was expressed as percentage of
145 diseased plants at the end of the trials,
146 At the end of each trial, after completing disease rating, the total fresh plant biomass was weighed
147 by using a technical balance (Orma SNC, Italy) in order to evaluate the effect of each treatment on
148 plant growth.

149

150 **Experimental design and data analysis**

151 Trials were carried out by adopting a completely randomized block design with five replications
152 for each treatment. Disease incidence data were analyzed to check the normal distribution with
153 Shapiro-Wilk Test and arcsine transformation was made when necessary. The data was subjected to
154 the analysis of variance (ANOVA). All data were statistically analyzed according to Tukey test
155 ($P=0.05$).

156

157 **Results**

158

159 The methodology used for soil infestation led to a good disease incidence in the control
160 plots in all trials for both pathogens on the two crops (Tables 2 to 5). The disease index for control
161 plants ranged from 55 to 97.5 in the case of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* on rocket (Tables 2
162 and 3) and from 40.9 to 80.4 in the case of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* on basil (Tables 4 and 5).

163 In the case of Fusarium wilt of rocket, in the presence of a disease incidence of 55 in the
164 inoculated and untreated plots, one week of soil solarization carried out at both sub-optimal and
165 optimal temperature regimes, lead to complete control (first crop) and almost complete
166 control(second crop) of the disease in the first trial (Table 2). The use of compost alone, applied at 4
167 g L⁻¹ of soil at the time of artificial infestation, resulted in quite effective disease control at the first
168 crop cycle, but not as effective at the second crop cycle. *Brassica carinata*, added at 2.5 g L⁻¹ of
169 soil, was only very partially effective at the first cycle, providing less than 20% disease reduction.
170 On the second crop, *B. carinata* applied alone at T0 caused no significant effect in disease incidence
171 reduction (Table 2). One and two weeks of thermal treatment, both at optimal and sub-optimal
172 temperature regimes were quite effective in reducing Fusarium wilt. The combination of thermal
173 treatment and soil amendment completely controlled Fusarium wilt of rocket on the first crop and
174 significantly reduced wilt incidence on the second crop. However, none of the combinations could

175 improve the effect of the thermal treatment by itself (Table 2). The soil amendments applied,
176 although not effective in terms of disease control, provided, in general, a positive effect on plant
177 biomass produced. The best results, in terms of fresh weight, were provided by the mixture of *B.*
178 *carinata* and compost, with and without the thermal treatment. The positive effect on biomass
179 provided by such a mixture was more evident on the first crop cycle and was observed when the
180 amendments were applied at T0 or T14 (Table 2).

181 In the second trial carried out against Fusarium wilt of rocket, in the presence of a very high
182 disease incidence, one week of thermal treatment alone was very effective when the optimal
183 temperature regime was adopted (Table 3). When sub-optimal temperatures were used, two weeks
184 of thermal treatment were needed to obtain a satisfactory reduction of Fusarium wilt. The same
185 trend was observed on the first and second cycle of the crop (Table 3). In the presence of such a
186 high disease incidence (DI), the soil treatment with *Brassica carinata* seed meal and compost, alone
187 or combined, was not effective at reducing DI on the first crop cycle. The same treatments were
188 more effective on the second cycle (Table 3). The use of soil amendments, alone or combined, lead
189 to a significant disease reduction when combined with 7 days of thermal treatment at sub-optimal
190 temperature regimes. One week of soil solarization combined with soil amendments of *B. carinata*
191 with or without compost at T 14 lead to the highest plant biomass. As already observed in the first
192 trial, the positive effect of soil amendments on plant biomass is more evident on the first crop cycle
193 (Table 3).

194 One and two weeks of thermal treatment, at both optimal and sub-optimal temperatures,
195 were very effective against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici*, on both the first and second crop cycles of
196 basil (Table 4). *B. carinata* seed meal alone and combined with compost only partially reduce
197 disease incidence at the first cycle (37%, 13% and 45% of reduction compared with the untreated
198 control), while at the second cycle no significant differences with the untreated control were
199 observed (Table 4). All combinations tested were very effective at reducing DI on the first and
200 second cycles, however they were not able to improve the level of control offered by one and two

201 weeks of thermal treatment alone (Table 4). The highest biomass was obtained with the
202 combination of thermal treatment and soil amendments with *B. carinata* seed meal and compost;
203 the increase in biomass in comparison with the thermal treatment alone was observed on both crop
204 cycles (Table 4).

205 Similar results, in the presence of a lower disease incidence of 52.4 and 64.5 in control
206 plants, were observed in the second trial carried out against Fusarium wilt of basil. One and two
207 weeks of thermal treatment, with optimal and sub-optimal temperature regimes, were very effective
208 in reducing disease incidence on the first and second crop cycles of basil (Table 5). *B. carinata* and
209 compost, applied alone, did not reduce Fusarium wilt on both cycles, while their combination did
210 partially provided a significant disease incidence reduction of 58% and 57% on first and second
211 cycles, respectively (Table 5). All combinations of thermal treatments and soil amendments were
212 very effective but not able to improve the efficacy provided by the thermal treatment alone (Table
213 5). However, the combination of thermal treatment and compost did affect plant biomass; the
214 highest fresh weight was observed in the plots solarized and amended with compost (Table 5).

215

216 **Discussion**

217

218 Soil solarization has been largely exploited all over the world wherever the climatic
219 conditions allow it. For instance, in northern Italy, its practical application is still primarily limited
220 to greenhouse production of high value crops (Gullino and Garibaldi 1991 and 2012). Besides
221 climate dependency, the length of soil solarization treatment represents a major drawback to its
222 broader implementation (Katan and Gamliel 2012). Many approaches have been developed to
223 reduce the length of soil solarization in order to encourage more growers adopt this method . Also,
224 environmentally controlled methods that simulate the soil solarization process have been developed

225 and validated (Klein et al. 2007) with the aim of better evaluating the different parameters involved
226 in soil solarization as well as the effects of organic amendments against soil-borne pathogens.
227 The system adopted in this study permits a better evaluation of several combinations of thermal
228 treatment of the soil and use of organic amendments, in order to determine the best combination for
229 different crops.

230 The two temperature regimes adopted (optimal and sub-optimal) for the simulation of soil
231 solarization correspond to the temperatures reached in greenhouses under natural conditions in
232 northern Italy, where average increases in soil temperature of 9.1 and 4.6 °C were observed at 12
233 and 25 cm depths, respectively, in several experimental trials (Tamietti and Garibaldi 1987;
234 Garibaldi and Gullino 1991).

235 The results obtained show that, even when a sub-optimal temperature regime is tested, 7
236 days of thermal treatment provides very interesting results, in terms of *Fusarium* wilt control.
237 Disease control is improved with 14 days of treatment at sub-optimal temperatures and with 7 or 14
238 days of treatment at optimal temperatures.

239 In general, the thermal treatment was always more effective against *F. oxysporum* f. sp.
240 *basilici* than *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans*. Differences in response to the thermal effect of soil
241 solarization among different pathogens, and even among *formae speciales* of the same species, are
242 known and well documented (Katan and Gamliel 2012). For this reason, the selection of the
243 treatment length should consider the variability in thermal susceptibility existing among the
244 different pathogens (Bollen 1969). In the mean time, short solarization treatments could fit in well
245 between short cycle crops, particularly under conditions of consistent insolation and when high
246 temperatures are reached. This situation is typical of southern European countries.

247 Although soil heating is a major factor in soil solarization, it is not the only one. It has been
248 documented that soil heating also enhances a number of beneficial microbial processes, thus
249 improving disease control and also increasing plant growth and yield (Katan and Gamliel 2012).
250 The incorporation of soil amendments into the soil did not provide, under our experimental

251 conditions, satisfactory disease control. This was probably due to the relatively short duration of the
252 trials. It is well known that organic amendments need long periods in order to be effective since
253 their activity is due to decomposition and release of volatiles (Bonamomi et al. 2010).

254 However, the combination of organic amendments with a short period of soil solarization (7
255 or 14 days), while not providing any improvement to the level of disease management, significantly
256 increase the biomass of plants. The positive effect on plant biomass was evident on rocket
257 especially on the first crop cycle, while it was more long lasting on basil. The plant biomass
258 increase is of particular interest in the case of leafy vegetable crops, because it leads to a yield
259 increase, with significant economic advantages.

260 When a shorter period of soil solarization is adopted due to practical constrains, this treatment can
261 be combined with the use of other methods (biocontrol agents, soil amendments, reduced dosages of
262 fumigants, ...), to exploit all possible additive or synergistic effects (Minuto et al. 2000; 2006). This
263 kind of approach, which is very compatible with an IPM approach, will achieve the best results
264 under practical conditions.

265 The results obtained in this study, in the presence of a very high disease pressure, show the
266 potential to develop different options for shorter solarization periods in combination with the use of
267 organic amendments, for a positive effect both on disease management and yield.

268

269 **Acknowledgements**

270 Work carried out within the EU Project “Sustainable Use of Chemical Fumigants for the
271 control of soil-borne pathogens in the horticultural sector” (Contract No LIFE08 ENV/IT/000432),
272 with co-funding by the Italian Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea. The authors thank Mr.
273 Marco Moizio for the technical support. Authors are also grateful to Dr. Kathryn Webb for her kind
274 help in language editing.

275

276 **References**

277

278 Bollen GJ. (1969) The selective effect of heat treatment on the microflora of a greenhouse soil.
279 Neth. J Plant Pathol 75:157-163.

280 Bonamomi G, Antignani V, Capodilupo M, Scala F. (2010) Identifying the characteristics of
281 organic soil amendments that suppress soilborne plant diseases. Soil Biol Biochem 42: 136-144.

282 Bonanomi G, Antignani V, Pane C, Scala F. (2007) Suppression of soilborne fungal diseases with
283 organic amendments. J Plant Pathol 89: 311-324.

284 Cohen MF, Yamasaki H, Mazzola M. (2005) *Brassica napus* seed meal soil amendment modifies
285 microbial community structure, nitric oxide production and incidence of *Rhizoctonia* root rot. Soil
286 Biol Biochem 37:1215-1227.

287 Danon M, Yona C, Yitzhak H. (2010) Ascomycete communities associated with suppression of
288 *Sclerotium rolfsii* in compost. Fungal ecol 3: 20–30.

289 Deadman M, Al Hasani H, Al Sadi A. (2006) Solarization and biofumigation reduce *Pythium*
290 *aphanidermatum* induced damping-off and enhance vegetative growth of greenhouse cucumber in
291 oman. J Plant Pathol 88: 335-337.

292 Gamliel A (2012) Combining soil solarization with pesticides. In: Soil Solarization: Theory
293 and Practice. Gamliel A Katan J. (eds), St Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp 99 – 108.

294 Gamliel A, Kapulnik Y. (2012) Combining soil solarization with beneficial microbial agents. In:
295 Gamliel A, Katan J. (eds) Soil Solarization: Theory and Practice. St Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp
296 121- 128.

297 Gamliel A, Stapleton JJ. (1997) Improvement of soil solarization with volatile compounds generated
298 from organic amendments. Phytoparasitica S 25: 31 - 38.

299 Gamliel A, Austerweil M, Kritzman G. (2000) Soil amendments: a non chemical approach to the
300 management of soilborne pest. Crop Prot 19:847-853.

301 Gamliel A, Katan J. (2012) Soil and substrate disinfestation in protected structures. In: Gullino ML,
302 Katan J, Garibaldi A. (eds). *Fusarium Wilts of Greenhouse Vegetable and Ornamental Crops*. St.
303 Paul, M.N, USA, APS Press, pp 121-131.

304 Gamliel A, Stapleton JJ. (2012) Combining soil solarization with organic amendments for the
305 control of soilborne pests. In: Gamliel A., Katan J. (eds). *Soil Solarization: Theory and*
306 *Practice*. St Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp 109 - 120.

307 Garibaldi A, Gilardi G, Gullino ML. (2006) Evidence for an expanded host range of *Fusarium*
308 *oxysporum* f. sp. *raphani*. *Phytoparasitica* 34: 115-121.

309 Garibaldi A, Gullino ML. (1991) Soil solarization in southern European countries, with emphasis
310 on soilborne disease control of protected crops. In: *Soil Solarization*. Katan J, De Vay J E. (eds)
311 Boca Raton, Florida, USA, CRC Press, pp 227-235.

312 Gould W, Hagedorn DC, Bardinelli TR, Zablutowicz RM. (1985) New selective media for
313 enumeration and recovery of fluorescent pseudomonads from various habitats. *Appl Environ*
314 *Microbiol* 49: 28-32.

315 Granados MR, Bonachela S, Hernandez J, Lòpez JC, Magàn JJ, Baeza EJ, Gàzquez JC, Pèrez-Parra
316 JJ. (2010) Soil temperature in a Mediterranean greenhouse with different solarization strategies.
317 *Acta Hort* 927: 747-753.

318 Grasso S. (1975) Un avvizzimento del basilico da *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Infor Fitopatol* 25(5):5-7.

319 Gullino ML, Camponogara A, Gasparrini G, Rizzo V, Clini C, Garibaldi A. (2003) Replacing
320 methyl bromide for soil disinfestation: the Italian experience and implications for other countries.
321 *Plant Dis* 87:1012-1021.

322 Gullino ML, Garibaldi A. (2012) Soil solarization under green house conditions. In: Gamliel A.,
323 Katan J. (eds) *Soil Solarization: Theory and Practice*. St Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp 187-191.

324 Gullino ML, Katan J, Garibaldi A. (2012) *Fusarium* wilt of sweet basil. In: Gullino ML, Katan J,
325 Garibaldi A.(eds.). *Fusarium Wilts of Greenhouse Vegetable and Ornamental Crops*. St. Paul, M.N,
326 USA, APS Press, pp 185-190.

327 Gullino ML, Katan J, Garibaldi A. (eds.). *Fusarium Wilts of Greenhouse Vegetable and Ornamental*
328 *Crops*. St. Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp 61-66.

329 Gullino ML, Minuto A, Garibaldi A. (1998) Improved method of bench solarization for the control
330 of soilborne diseases in basil. *Crop Pro* 17:497-501.

331 Hadar Y, Papadopoulos KK. (2012) Suppressive composts: microbial ecology links between abiotic
332 environments and healthy plants. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 50: 133.153.

333 Hoitink HAJ, Boehm MJ. (1999) Biocontrol within the context of soil microbial communities: a
334 soil dependent phenomenon. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 37: 427-466.

335 Katan J, De Vay J E. (1991) *Soil Solarization*, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, CRC Press,

336 Katan J, Gamliel A. (2012) Soil solarization for the management of soilborne pests: the challenges,
337 historical perspective, and principles. In: *Soil Solarization: Theory and Practice*. Gamliel A,
338 Katan J. (eds), St Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp 45- 52.

339 Katan J, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A. (2012) Strategies and tactics, in *Fusarium wilt management*. In:
340 Katan J, Shtenberg D, Gamliel A. (2012) The integrated management concept in the context of
341 soilborne pathogens and soil disinfestation. In: Gamliel A, Katan J. (eds). *Soil Solarization: Theory*
342 *and Practice*. St Paul, MN, USA, APS Press, pp 91-97.

343 Katan J. (2000) Soil and substrate disinfestation as influenced by new technologies and constraints.
344 *Acta Hort* 532: 29-35.

345 Katan J. (2010) Cultural approaches for disease management: present status and future prospects. *J*
346 *Plant Pathol* 92: S4.7-S4.9.

347 Klein E, Katan J, Austerwei M, Gamliel A. (2007) Controlled laboratory system to study soil
348 solarization and organic amendment effects on plant pathogens. *Phytopathology* 97:1476-1483.

349 Klein E, Katan J, Gamliel A. (2011a) Combining residues of herb crops with soil heating for control
350 of soilborne pathogens in a controlled laboratory system. *Crop Prot* 30: 368-374.

351 Klein E, Katan J, Gamliel A. (2011b) Soil suppressiveness to *Fusarium* disease following organic
352 amendments and solarization. *Plant Dis* 95:1116-1123.

353 Larkin RP, Griffin TS. (2007) Control of soilborne potato diseases using Brassica green manures.
354 Crop Prot 26:1067-1077.

355 Lodha S. (1995) Soil solarization, summer irrigation and amendments for the control of *Fusarium*
356 *oxysporum* f.sp. *cumini* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* in arid soil. Crop Prot 14: 215-219.

357 Lu P, Ricauda Aimonino D, Gilardi G, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A. (2010) Efficacy of different steam
358 distribution systems against five soilborne pathogens under controlled laboratory conditions.
359 Phytoparasitica 38: 175-189.

360 Mazzola M, Brown J, Izzo AD, Cohen MF. (2007) Mechanism of action and efficacy of seed meal-
361 induced pathogen suppression differ in a Brassicaceae species and time-dependent manner.
362 Phytopathology 97: 454–460.

363 Minuto A, Gilardi G, Pomè A, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML. (2000) Chemical and physical alternatives
364 to methyl bromide for soil disinfestation. Results against soilborne diseases of protected vegetable
365 crops. J Plant Pathol 82: 179 – 186.

366 Minuto A, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML. (2006) Control of soilborne pathogens of tomato
367 using a commercial formulation of *Streptomyces griseoviridis* and solarization. Crop Prot 25:468–
368 475.

369 Motisi N, Montfort F, Faloya V, Lucas P, Dorè T. (2009) Growing *Brassica juncea* as cover crop,
370 then incorporating its residues provide complementary control of *Rhizoctonia* root rot of sugar beet.
371 Field Crop Res 113: 238-245.

372 Noble R, Coventry E. (2005) Suppression of soil-borne plant diseases with compost: a review.
373 Biocontrol Sci Technol 15: 3-20.

374 Pane C, Spaccini R, Piccolo A, Scala F, Bonanomi G. (2011) Compost amendments enhance peat
375 suppressiveness to *Pythium ultimum*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotinia minor*. Biol Control 56:
376 115-124.

377 Stapleton JJ. (1984) Thermal components of soil solarization as related to changes in soil and root
378 microflora increased plant growth response. *Phytopathology* 74: 255-259.

379 Stapleton JJ. (2000) Soil solarization in various agricultural production systems. *Crop Prot* 19: 837-
380 841.

381 Tamietti G, Garibaldi A. (1987) Effectiveness of soil solarization against *Rhizoctonia solani* in
382 northern Italy. In: Proc CEC/IOBC Group Meeting on Integrated Pest Management in Protected
383 Vegetable Crops, 27-29 May 1987. Cabrils, Spain, pp 193 – 197.

384 Termorshuizen AJ, Jeger MJ. (2008) Strategies of soilborne plant pathogenic fungi in relation to
385 disease suppression. *Fung Ecol* 1:108-114.

386 Tjamos EC, Antoniou PP, Tjamos SE. (2000) Implementation of soil solarization in Greece:
387 conclusions and suggestions. *Crop Prot* 19: 843-846.

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403 Table 1. Main information on the trials carried out.

Cropping cycle	Timing (days after the artificial inoculation)	Operation carried out	Rocket		Basil	
			1 st trial	2 nd trial	1 st trial	2 nd trial
1°	T0	Artificial inoculation	5 March 2012	25 June 2012	29 March 2012	31 July 2012
	T0	Organic amendments application	5 March 2012	25 June 2012	3 March 2012	31 July 2012
	T0	Thermal treatment with simulation of soil solarization	5 March 2012	25 June 2012	3 March 2012	31 July 2012
	T7	Post solarization treatment	12 March 2012	2 July 2012	6 April 2012	7 August 2012
	T14	End of thermal treatment with simulation of soil solarization for 14 days	19 March 2012	8 July 2012	12 April 2012	14 August 2012
	T14	Post solarization treatment	19 March 2012	8 July 2012	12 April 2012	14 August 2012
	T15	Transplanting or sowing (cycle I)	20 March 2012	9 July 2012	13 April 2012	14 August 2012
	T44	End of the cycle I -Disease and biomass evaluation	18 April 2012	29 August 2012	22 May 2012	27 September 2012
2°	T64	Transplanting or sowing (cycle II)	7 May 2012	19 September 2012	25 May 2012	3 October 2012
	T106	End of the cycle II -Disease and biomass evaluation	18 June 2012	7 November 2012	16 July 2012	23 November 2012

404

405

406 Table 2. Effect of organic amendments alone, and combined with simulated optimal and sub-optimal thermal treatments, on disease severity caused
 407 by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* (ATCC16600RB) on rocket (Cycles I and 2, Trial 1).

Thermal treatment (days)	Soil amendment g L ⁻¹	Application of soil amendments ^a	Disease incidence 0-100								Fresh biomass weight g							
			Sub-optimal ^b thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment				Sub-optimal thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment			
			1 st cycle	2 nd cycle	1 st cycle	2 nd cycle	1 st cycle	2 nd cycle	1 st cycle	2 nd cycle	1 st cycle	2 nd cycle	1 st cycle	2 nd cycle	1 st cycle	2 nd cycle		
Inoculated control	-	-	55.0	d ^c	57.5	c	55.0	d	57.5	b	12.4	f	2.7	i	12.4	h	2.7	g
7	-	-	0.0	a	6.0	a	1.0	a	4.0	a	26.3	e	9.7	g-i	27.4	g	7.2	e-g
14	-	-	0.0	a	8.5	a	1.0	a	13.5	a	25.7	e	12.0	f-i	30.0	g	9.0	e-g
-	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	45.0	c	76.0	c	45.0	c	76.0	b	24.2	ef	5.5	hi	24.2	gh	5.5	fg
-	Compost 4		33.5	b	69.0	c	33.5	b	69.0	b	56.8	cd	4.7	hi	56.8	f	4.7	fg
-	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	26.5	b	56.0	bc	26.5	b	56.0	b	85.0	a	12.3	e-i	85.0	abc	12.3	d-g
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	0.0	a	10.5	a	0.0	a	8.0	a	54.3	cd	25.5	b-e	71.6	de	17.8	c-e
7	Compost 4		0.0	a	21.5	a	0.0	a	13.5	a	49.3	d	19.4	c-g	72.8	b-e	13.5	d-f
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	0.0	a	23.0	a	0.0	a	7.0	a	55.9	cd	28.7	b-d	85.6	a-c	30.6	ab
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	0.0	a	11.5	a	0.0	a	6.0	a	47.1	d	24.8	b-f	68.0	d-f	20.6	b-d
14	Compost 4		0.0	a	16.5	a	0.0	a	4.5	a	46.6	d	17.4	d-h	80.5	a-d	14.8	c-f
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	0.0	a	28.5	ab	0.0	a	14.0	a	56.8	cd	31.5	a-c	85.8	ab	33.5	a
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T7	0.0	a	18.5	a	0.0	a	4.0	a	51.2	d	21.2	c-g	61.2	ef	14.1	d-f
7	Compost 4	T7	0.0	a	14.0	a	0.0	a	14.0	a	56.7	cd	16.9	d-h	72.4	c-e	10.2	d-g
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+ 4	T7	0.0	a	10.5	a	0.0	a	11.0	a	69.5	b	36.6	ab	89.7	a	25.2	a-c
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T14	0.0	a	17.0	a	0.0	a	10.5	a	56.8	cd	26.7	b-d	62.0	ef	16.2	c-e
14	Compost 4	T14	0.0	a	17.5	a	0.0	a	10.5	a	56.3	cd	27.0	b-d	77.4	a-d	11.1	d-g
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T14	0.0	a	4.0	a	0.0	a	2.5	a	64.8	ab	42.4	a	89.8	a	29.8	ab
Not treated not inoculated control	-	-	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	26.6	e	10.6	ghi	26.6	g	10.6	d-g

408 ^aT0 immediately before starting thermal treatment at soil mulching; T14 immediately after thermal treatment at soil unmulching.

409 ^b Maximum temperature at 10 cm soil depth in sub-optimal (50°C for 6 h, 45°C for 8 h and 40°C for 10) and optimal (55°C for 6 h, 50°C for 8 h and 47°C for 10 h) conditions.

410 ^c Means of the same column, followed by the same letter, do not significantly differ following Tukey's test (P<0.05).

411 Table 3. Effect of organic amendments alone, and combined with simulated optimal and sub-optimal thermal treatments, on disease severity caused by *F.*
 412 *oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* (ATCC16600RB) on rocket (Cycles I and II, Trial 2).

Thermal treatment (days)	Soil amendments (g L ⁻¹)	Application of soil amendments ^a	Disease incidence 0-100								Fresh biomass weight g							
			Sub-optimal ^b thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment				Sub-optimal thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment			
			1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle	
Inoculated and not treated control	-	-	97.5	g ^c	91.0	d	97.5	b	91.0	c	1.9	h	2.3	f	1.9	e	2.3	e
7	-	-	38.1	d-f	60.5	b-d	13.1	a	4.0	a	32.9	f-h	4.5	f	56.4	c-e	6.5	e
14	-	-	26.9	a-e	20.0	ab	8.8	a	6.0	ab	36.1	f-h	8.0	ef	61.5	b-e	11.8	e
-	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	85.0	g	85.5	cd	85.0	b	85.5	c	20.6	gh	6.2	f	20.6	de	6.2	e
-	Compost 4		86.3	g	89.0	d	86.3	b	89.0	c	23.8	gh	4.8	f	23.8	de	4.8	e
-	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	65.0	fg	86.0	cd	65.0	b	86.0	c	61.0	e-g	8.2	ef	61.0	b-e	8.2	e
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	41.3	ef	17.5	ab	23.1	a	9.5	ab	68.3	d-g	16.7	d-f	83.7	b-d	13.5	e
7	Compost 4		14.4	a-e	48.0	a-d	12.5	a	32.0	ab	98.4	c-e	11.4	ef	93.6	a-c	7.4	e
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	0.0	a	29.5	ab	14.4	a	14.0	ab	128.1	bc	31.1	c-f	115.0	a-c	15.4	e
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	23.1	a-e	28.5	ab	17.5	a	15.5	ab	53.8	e-g	24.3	d-f	63.1	b-e	28.9	c-e
14	Compost 4		11.9	a-e	28.0	ab	11.9	a	43.5	b	86.6	c-e	10.6	ef	97.5	a-c	8.8	e
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	33.1	b-f	16.0	ab	18.8	a	18.0	ab	78.8	c-f	46.6	b-d	77.3	b-d	27.3	c-e
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T7	9.4	a-e	10.5	ab	22.5	a	4.0	a	153.5	ab	40.5	b-e	124.9	ab	48.5	b-d
7	Compost 4	T7	0.6	ab	37.0	a-c	7.5	a	30.0	ab	116.0	b-d	20.4	d-f	115.8	a-c	10.4	e
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+ 4	T7	5.6	a-d	10.5	ab	8.8	a	8.0	ab	181.5	a	96.7	a	158.5	a	53.9	bc
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T14	36.3	c-f	4.0	a	28.1	a	17.0	ab	53.1	e-g	57.2	bc	113.4	a-c	61.7	ab
14	Compost 4	T14	5.0	a-c	17.0	ab	3.1	a	19.0	ab	96.1	c-e	16.6	d-f	108.5	a-c	30.4	c-e
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T14	15.6	a-e	20.5	ab	20.6	a	12.0	ab	125.7	bc	65.3	ab	111.9	a-c	86.6	a
Not treated not inoculated control	-	-	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	116.8	b-d	19.7	d-f	116.8	a-c	19.7	de

413 ^aT0 immediately before starting thermal treatment at soil mulching; T14 immediately after thermal treatment at soil unmulching.

414 ^b Maximum temperature at 10 cm soil depth in sub-optimal (48°C for 6 h, 43°C for 8 h and 38°C for 10 h) and optimal (52°C for 6 h, 48°C for 8 h and 45°C for 10 h) conditions.

415 ^c Means of the same column, followed by the same letter, do not significantly differ following Tukey's test (P<0.05).

416 Table 4. Effect of organic amendments alone, and combined with simulated optimal and sub-optimal thermal treatments, on disease incidence
 417 caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* (FOB009 RB) on basil (Cycles I and II, Trial 1).

Thermal treatment (days)	Soil amendments (g L ⁻¹)	Application of soil amendments ^a	Disease incidence 0-100								Fresh biomass weight g							
			Sub-optimal ^b thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment				Sub-optimal thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment			
			1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle	
Inoculated and not treated control	-	-	80.4	d ^c	40.9	cd	80.4	d	40.9	c	9.2	g	9.8	g	9.2	h	9.8	f
7	-	-	1.1	a	3.2	ab	0.0	a	1.6	a	28.9	c-f	21.0	e-g	34.0	d-f	17.2	ef
14	-	-	0.5	a	7.4	ab	2.4	a	4.4	a	27.2	d-g	26.0	d-g	36.5	d-f	14.5	ef
-	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	50.9	b	44.2	d	50.9	b	44.2	c	15.2	fg	29.4	c-g	15.2	gh	29.4	c-f
-	Compost 4	T0	70.6	c	41.0	cd	70.6	c	41.0	c	19.1	fg	24.5	d-g	19.1	f-h	24.5	d-f
-	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	43.6	b	32.4	b-d	43.6	b	32.4	bc	19.2	fg	33.1	b-f	19.2	f-h	33.1	b-e
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	0.2	a	17.0	a-d	0.8	a	2.8	a	31.7	b-f	44.2	a-d	41.0	c-e	54.1	a
7	Compost 4	T0	0.6	a	10.8	a-c	0.3	a	7.1	ab	45.1	a-c	33.3	b-f	50.5	b-d	29.0	c-f
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	0.7	a	9.6	a-c	0.3	a	5.0	a	55.2	a	55.7	a	48.9	cd	60.7	a
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	2.0	a	6.1	ab	0.2	a	2.6	a	29.1	c-f	48.8	a-c	44.9	c-e	50.4	ab
14	Compost 4	T0	1.2	a	13.5	a-d	0.0	a	5.9	a	42.8	a-e	32.8	b-f	71.6	a	26.6	c-f
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	0.2	a	6.0	ab	0.5	a	14.2	ab	52.1	a	40.6	a-e	56.1	a-c	57.7	a
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T7	0.3	a	3.8	ab	0.0	a	1.3	a	27.8	c-f	47.9	a-c	30.3	e-g	44.9	a-c
7	Compost 4	T7	0.6	a	9.6	a-c	0.2	a	6.8	ab	44.0	a-d	30.9	b-g	49.8	b-d	27.5	c-f
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T7	0.8	a	9.2	a-c	0.0	a	3.8	a	47.7	ab	59.9	a	57.2	a-c	56.4	a
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T14	3.2	a	12.1	a-d	0.3	a	4.2	a	25.3	e-g	52.2	ab	43.7	c-e	44.0	a-d
14	Compost 4	T14	1.8	a	14.0	a-d	0.2	a	9.5	ab	51.0	a	32.3	b-f	67.2	ab	23.8	ef
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T14	0.4	a	8.5	ab	0.3	a	12.4	ab	50.9	a	60.0	a	56.7	a-c	56.0	a
Not treated not inoculated control	-	-	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	28.1	c-f	18.1	fg	28.1	e-g	18.1	ef

418 ^aT0 immediately before starting thermal treatment at soil mulching; T14 immediately after thermal treatment at soil unmulching.

419 ^b Maximum temperature at 10 cm soil depth in sub-optimal (50°C for 6 h, 45°C for 8 h and 40°C for 10) and optimal (55°C for 6 h, 50°C for 8 h and 47°C for 10 h) conditions.

420 ^c Means of the same column, followed by the same letter, do not significantly differ following Tukey's test (P<0.05).

421

422

423

Table 5. Effect of organic amendments alone, and combined with simulated optimal and sub-optimal thermal treatments, on disease severity caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici* (FOB009RB) on basil (Cycles I and II, Trial 2).

Thermal treatment (days)	Soil amendments (g L ⁻¹)	Application of soil amendments ^a	Disease incidence 0-100								Fresh biomass weight g							
			Sub-optimal ^b thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment				Sub-optimal thermal treatment				Optimal thermal treatment			
			1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle		1 st cycle		2 nd cycle	
Inoculated and not treated control	-	-	52.9	cd ^c	65.4	c	52.9	cd	65.4	c	10.5	f	14.9	h	10.5	d	14.9	h
7	-	-	4.7	a	12.3	ab	6.3	a	10.4	a	25.7	d-f	20.4	gh	44.9	a-c	26.2	gh
14	-	-	5.6	a	4.2	ab	7.0	a	0.9	a	26.5	c-f	27.4	f-h	41.0	a-d	40.3	f-h
-	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	40.4	c	55.0	c	40.4	c	55.0	c	30.0	b-f	48.7	c-h	30.0	b-d	48.7	e-h
-	Compost 4		60.5	d	54.0	c	60.5	d	54.0	c	15.5	f	29.5	e-h	15.5	cd	29.5	gh
-	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	22.1	b	27.8	b	22.1	b	27.8	b	38.5	a-f	55.0	b-h	38.5	a-d	55.0	c-h
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	7.8	a	15.9	ab	1.5	a	2.2	a	21.3	ef	47.3	d-h	41.3	a-c	99.2	a-c
7	Compost 4		1.1	a	7.8	ab	2.6	a	0.4	a	53.7	a-d	43.7	d-h	53.9	ab	70.3	b-g
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	6.3	a	21.0	ab	4.1	a	3.4	a	57.2	ab	61.5	a-g	60.4	ab	116.8	a
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T0	6.6	a	5.4	ab	2.2	a	0.6	a	33.3	a-f	69.6	a-f	33.2	a-d	86.1	a-f
14	Compost 4		0.3	a	1.5	a	3.1	a	1.2	a	61.2	a	46.2	d-h	62.8	a	50.5	d-h
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T0	4.6	a	0.3	a	5.4	a	2.1	a	24.5	ef	75.4	a-d	41.3	a-c	90.2	a-e
7	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T7	5.0	a	12.7	ab	2.9	a	1.1	a	37.2	a-f	92.6	ab	53.8	ab	102.9	ab
7	Compost 4	T7	2.0	a	17.4	ab	6.4	a	9.8	a	54.4	a-d	53.1	b-h	38.6	a-d	60.5	b-h
7	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T7	6.1	a	19.8	ab	2.2	a	2.3	a	54.3	a-d	72.5	a-e	53.2	ab	120.9	a
14	<i>B. carinata</i> 2.5	T14	7.5	a	3.6	ab	4.5	a	0.5	a	15.8	f	92.5	a-c	34.6	a-d	119.2	a
14	Compost 4	T14	1.9	a	0.9	a	5.1	a	0.8	a	55.5	a-c	77.7	a-d	43.2	a-c	95.8	a-d
14	<i>B. carinata</i> + compost 2.5+4	T14	0.4	a	3.3	ab	2.5	a	0.0	a	49.8	a-e	101.7	a	42.3	a-c	104.6	ab
Not treated not inoculated control	-	-	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	0.0	a	34.4	a-f	34.0	d-h	34.4	a-d	34.0	gh

424

^aT0 immediately before starting thermal treatment at soil mulching; T14 immediately after thermal treatment at soil unmulching.

425

^b Maximum temperature at 10 cm soil depth in sub-optimal (48°C for 6 h, 43°C for 8 h and 38°C for 10 h) and optimal (52°C for 6 h, 48°C for 8 h and 45°C for 10 h) conditions.

426

^c Means of the same column, followed by the same letter, do not significantly differ following Tukey's test (P<0.05).

427