Temperature dependence of magnetic and magnetotransport properties in BiFeO3 thin films by pulsed laser deposition

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1567718 since 2016-06-17T15:24:29Z

Published version:
DOI:10.1557/opl.2014.147

Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)
This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:


“Temperature dependence of magnetic and magnetotransport properties in BiFeO3 thin films by pulsed laser deposition”


The publisher's version is available at:
http://dx.doi.org 10.1557/opl.2014.147

When citing, please refer to the published version.
Temperature dependence of magnetic and magnetotransport properties in BiFeO$_3$ thin films by pulsed laser deposition

P. Tiberto$^1$, G. Barrera$^{1,2}$, F. Celegato$^1$, M. Coïsson$^1$, P. Rizzi$^2$, F. Vinai$^1$, A.C. Garcia Castro$^3$, L. Salamanca-Riba$^4$, R.D. Vispute$^4$, F.J. Espinoza Beltran$^3$, J. Muñoz Sandaña$^3$

$^1$INRIM, Electromagnetism Division
strada delle Cacce 91, 10135 Torino (TO), Italy
$^2$Università degli Studi di Torino, Dipartimento di Chimica
via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino (TO), Italy
$^3$Unidad Queretaro, Centro de Investigacion y Estudios Avanzados del IPN
Queretaro, Mexico
$^4$Materials Science and Engineering Department, University of Maryland
Baltimore, Maryland, USA

ABSTRACT

Multiferroic BFO/SRO/Si trilayers have been prepared by pulsed laser deposition in the form of thin films. As a function of the BFO layer thickness, magnetic and magnetotransport properties have been investigated at room temperature and down to 5 K. At low BFO layer thickness, a residual $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase, which interacts interfacially with the SRO and BFO layers, is responsible for moderately hard magnetic properties of the film. On increasing BFO layer thickness, more homogeneous deposits are obtained with uniform magnetic and magnetoresistive properties.

INTRODUCTION

Multiferroic materials have been extensively studied in recent years because of their unique feature of combining multiple ferroic properties at the same time [1,2,3,4]. As an example BiFeO$_3$ (BFO) is a perovskite structure that is characterised by both ferroelectric and ferromagnetic properties at room temperature [5,6,7]. The possibility to control the orientation of the magnetisation in BFO systems using an electric field instead of a magnetic one makes them particularly interesting both for fundamental studies and for applications, especially in nanosstructures, spintronics, and random access memories [8,9,10].

In this work, BFO thin films have been grown epitaxially on SRO underlayers by means of a pulsed laser deposition technique. Magnetic and magnetoresistance measurements have been used to investigate the role played by the BFO layer thickness on the magnetic properties of the bilayers. Inhomogeneities in the deposition at low BFO thickness and interfacial effects have been demonstrated to be significant in the room temperature and low temperature magnetisation reversal processes.

EXPERIMENT

SrRuO$_3$ (SRO) layers have been deposited on Si(100) substrates by means of a multi-target pulsed laser deposition (PLD) setup, exploiting a KrF laser with a wavelength of 248 nm, an impulse of 1 J/cm$^2$ with a duration of 20 ns and a repetition rate of 10 Hz. During the
deposition, the base pressure of the vacuum chamber was of the order of $1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ mbar and the $\text{O}_2$ pressure was of the order of 1 mbar. The substrate was heated at 650 °C. Subsequently, on top of the SRO layer, a BiFeO$_3$ (BFO) layer has been deposited with the same technique, starting from Bi$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ targets. The thickness of the two layers for the studied samples is reported in Table I. The preparation conditions are the same for both SRO and BFO layers. After the deposition, the $\text{O}_2$ flow is interrupted and the chamber returns to its base pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SrRuO$_3$ thickness (nm)</th>
<th>BiFeO$_3$ thickness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BFO5</td>
<td>145 ± 3</td>
<td>84 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFO6</td>
<td>128 ± 5</td>
<td>144 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFO4</td>
<td>137 ± 4</td>
<td>428 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. SRO and BFO layers thickness for the three studied samples.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) has been measured in the grazing incidence configuration using the Cu-K$\alpha$ radiation. The spectrum of sample BFO4 is shown in Figure 1. SRO and BFO peaks are identified.

![XRD spectrum of sample BFO4](image)

Figure 1. XRD spectrum of sample BFO4. Red labels: SrRuO$_3$ peaks. Blue labels: BiFeO$_3$ peaks.

SEM images have been acquired using secondary electrons and backscattered electrons in top-view or cross-section configuration. TEM structural characterisation has been performed with a Jeol 200 kV microscope in bright field and high resolution mode. Magnetoresistance measurements have been performed with the 4-contacts technique in a superconducting magnet with an applied field up to 70 kOe and at temperatures from 5 to 300 K. The contacts were made on the top surface of the BFO layer. The field was parallel to the sample plane and to the measurement current, whose value was fixed at 100 µA. Magnetic measurements have been performed with a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM), under a maximum field of 17 kOe, operating at room temperature and at low temperature (10 – 300 K) with a LHe cryostat. Magnetic force microscopy (MFM) has been performed at room temperature in intermittent-contact / lift mode using CoCr-coated tips at a distance of 90 nm from the sample surface.
DISCUSSION

Top-view secondary electrons SEM images of samples BFO5 and BFO4 are reported in Figure 2 (a) and (c) respectively. A matrix composed of small BFO grains is visible in all samples [12], whereas specimen BFO5 is also characterised by larger and flat platelets having a size between approximately 0.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) and \( \approx 1.5 \mu \text{m} \). In cross section (panels (b) and (d)), the SRO and BFO layers are clearly visible. The thickness values reported in table I have been determined from the available cross section images.

Figure 2. SEM images of selected BFO samples. (a) Top view of BFO5 sample, using secondary electrons. (b) Cross section view of BFO5 sample. (c) Top view of BFO4 sample, using secondary electrons. (d) Cross section view of BFO4 sample.

In order to identify crystalline phases constituting sample BFO5, and their orientation, a TEM lamella has been cut using a Focussed Ion Beam (FIB) process and detached from the thin film. Subsequently the lamella has been observed in a scanning electron microscope using backscattered electrons (Fig. 3a) and in a TEM (Fig. 3b). From SEM cross section analysis, the presence of a layer about 140 nm thick of SRO was observed, constituted by columnar crystals about 60 nm diameter, on which two phases with different contrast were observed (Fig. 3a). The darker one was identified as \( \gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) from lattice fringes present in High Resolution TEM analysis, although the presence of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \), as documented in some literature [13], cannot be excluded; it appears, from top view SEM observations (Fig. 1a), to be faceted with plate-like shape, about 50 nm thick and between 0.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 1.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) large. The brighter phase was determined to be BFO from EDS analysis and relative colour intensity analysis of the SEM images in backscattered electrons. In Fig 3 (a), it is evident that the contrast of the BFO phase changes when crystals are in contact with \( \gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) (red circles in Fig 3a). This could be related to local changes in concentration of the elements during the deposition process. An excess of iron
can locally lead to an increase of the concentration of this element during the formation of BFO phase. Therefore, a compositional gradient can be expected depending on the area in which BFO crystals nucleate during deposition. TEM bright field images (Fig. 3b) show that BFO crystals about 80 nm thick grow epitaxially with respect to the SRO underlayer. Conversely, γ-Fe₂O₃ platelets do not grow epitaxially. Both γ-Fe₂O₃ and BFO are uniformly distributed on the sample surface.

Figure 3. (a) Backscattered electrons SEM image of a lamella cut from sample BFO5. SRO, BFO and γ-Fe₂O₃ are indicated. (b) TEM bright field image of the area shown in panel (a). Pt was added to the sample during the FIB production of the lamella.

The same morphology has been observed by means of atomic force microscopy, as shown in Fig. 4. The corresponding MFM images show that the BFO phase has no magnetic contrast, as expected being BFO antiferromagnetic at room temperature. The granular structure visible in the MFM image of sample BFO4 is due to direct interaction with the sample surface, as evidenced by the strong correlation with the morphology, and does not have a magnetic origin. Conversely, the γ-Fe₂O₃ platelets that are present in sample BFO5 are characterised by a well defined magnetic contrast, that can be associated to moderately hard magnetic properties.
These results are confirmed by the room temperature hysteresis loops measured with the VSM, that are reported in Fig. 5. The loops are normalised to the saturation. Samples BFO4 and BFO6 display a very weak magnetic moment (reflected in the more noisy signal), that can be attributed to uncompensated moments of the BFO sublattices due to canted spins arising from the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [3,4] or the presence of a parasitic Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase [11]. Conversely, sample BFO5 has a much stronger magnetic moment, that is the sum of a similar contribution coming from the BFO layer and of the magnetisation of the $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ platelets. These are responsible for the hard magnetic phase of the BFO5 loop, as shown in Fig. 5 (right panel). Additionally, a contribution of Fe diffusion in the BFO or SRO layers at the interfaces with the $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ platelets, giving rise to the loop shape observed in Fig. 5b, cannot be ruled out [15].
As the temperature is lowered, a new contribution to the magnetic signal appears below the transition temperature of the SRO layer, that becomes ferromagnetic below \( \approx 160 \text{ K} \) [16]. This is particularly clear in the FC/ZFC curves reported in Fig. 6 for samples BFO5 and BFO6. Independent of the presence or not of the \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) platelets, in fact, these M vs. T curves display a transition that can be attributed to the SRO layer approaching the temperature at which its crystalline cell reversibly changes configuration and its magnetic properties are suddenly modified. Moreover, below \( \approx 160 \text{ K} \) the magnetisation of SRO has been reported to be enhanced by interface interactions with the capping BFO layer [12]. The transition is evident in the FC curve, where the magnetic moment of the SRO layer reduces to zero at a temperature of \( \approx 175 \text{ K} \). The remaining magnetic signal is due to the BFO layer whose residual ferromagnetism is responsible for the hysteresis loops of Figure 6. In sample BFO5, the \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) platelets are responsible for an even larger magnetic moment because of their ferromagnetic nature up to at least room temperature. In the ZFC curves the SRO transition is marked by a non monotonous behaviour of the M vs. T curve possibly due to an initial orientation of the magnetisation of both sublattices along the direction of the applied field that gives rise to the magnetisation increase, followed by the drop to zero when the transition temperature is approached. In sample BFO5 a small peak pointing downward may be associated to an exchange coupling interaction between the \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) platelets or the BFO layer and the weak magnetic moment of the SRO very close to its transition temperature [14].

![Figure 6. Field cooled and Zero field cooled measurements at 1 kOe of samples BFO6 (left panel) and BFO5 (right panel).](image)

However, the lowering of the temperature affects the magnetic properties of the \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) grains as well, as seen in the hysteresis loops reported in Fig. 7 for the BFO5 sample. At 150 K, therefore below the transition temperature of SRO, the loop begins changing shape, acquiring a progressively more pronounced hysteresis. The appearance of anomalous magnetic properties of BFO at these temperatures can not be ruled out, and could contribute to the overall loop shape [17]. Below 100 K the anisotropy field is larger than the maximum applied field, therefore the measured curves are minor loops, that are shifted in the second quadrant because the applied field is too weak. Even in this case, however, a two-phase behaviour can be observed, with the \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) grains being responsible for most of the measured magnetic moment, and being affected by the interaction with the SRO layer underneath, that induces in them a much harder magnetic response.
To further investigate the complex magnetic behaviour of the studied samples, magneto-resistance measurements have been performed with the 4-contacts technique on all samples. The contacts are made with silver paint on top of the BFO layer. BFO is an insulator, but it is often subject to high leakage currents [18,19,20]. Indeed, an electrical current can be injected through the BFO layer in the conductive SRO [21], giving rise to a non metallic behaviour of the junction, as expected (Fig. 8). Once the current is injected in the SRO layer, a weak magneto-resistance signal can be observed in all samples at low temperature, that quickly disappears being overcome by the noise. As an example, the MR curve of sample BFO4 at 5 K is reported in Fig. 9 (right panel). Conversely, sample BFO5 is characterised by a richer MR behaviour, where the hysteretic shape of the MR curves is progressively reduced until a parabolic shape is reached above the transition temperature of SRO (Fig. 9 left panel). Since the $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ grains are directly deposited on top of the SRO layer, the conduction electrons can diffuse in the Fe-rich phase and provide an additional contribution to the magneto-resistance of the sample. BFO/$\gamma$-Fe2O3 heterostructures have been shown to display enhanced ferroic properties [22], therefore confirming the improvement of the physical characteristics of these systems that are promising for perspective applications.
CONCLUSIONS

The magnetic and magneto-transport properties of Si/SRO/BFO thin films have been investigated as a function of the BFO layer thickness. At high BFO thickness the system is characterised by an almost antiferromagnetic BFO layer and no significant magnetic and magneto-resistance properties are observed at room temperature or low temperature. Conversely, when the BFO thickness is progressively reduced, weak magnetic properties appear that can be attributed to canted spins. Further reduction of the BFO thickness determines the appearance of a non uniform structure, characterised by a BFO matrix in which $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ platelets are dispersed. The interaction with BFO and SRO at their interface enhances the coercivity of the platelets, that turn out to display a moderately hard magnetic behaviour, that is severely reinforced below the temperature at which the SRO underlayer becomes ferromagnetic. Magneto-resistance measurements, exploiting the metallic conductivity of SRO and the high leakage currents of BFO, support the magnetic measurements indicating a magnetic behaviour of the $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ platelets that is affected by the interaction with the surrounding phases.
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