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Abstract

A tailored distribution of ion induced defects in p-type silicon allows subsequent electrochemical anodization to be modified in various ways. Here we describe how low levels of lattice amorphization induced by ion irradiation influence anodization. First, it superposes a chemical etching effect which is observable at high fluences as a reduced height of a micromachined component. Second, at lower fluences it greatly enhances electrochemical anodization by allowing a hole diffusion current to flow to the exposed surface. We present an anodization model which explains all observed all effects produced by a range of ion types from helium to cesium over a wide range of fluences and irradiation geometries.
1. Introduction

Ion irradiation of semiconductors causes damage in which lattice atoms are displaced from their initial locations\textsuperscript{1-4}. We previously showed how low fluence irradiation of p-type silicon with highly-focused light ions, such as 30 keV helium, induces a “current funneling” effect\textsuperscript{5,6} during subsequent electrochemical anodization\textsuperscript{7,8}. Such ion energies are close to the Bragg peak of nuclear energy loss \textsuperscript{9}, so the maximum defect density occurs at, or very close to the irradiated surface. A small proportion of defects act as hole traps\textsuperscript{1-3} which reduce the carrier density \textsuperscript{10}, so for focused 30 keV helium ion irradiation the minimum effective p-type dopant density lies close to the surface. During electrochemical anodization the diffusion component of the hole current is focused or “funneled” towards the surface along the gradient of reduced dopant density, resulting in a greatly enhanced local anodization current density\textsuperscript{5}, formation of highly porous silicon and enhanced photoluminescence\textsuperscript{6}.

Simulations of the hole current flow during anodization predicted that high fluence irradiation fully depletes the hole density within the irradiated volume to zero\textsuperscript{5}; no hole current flows through such a high resistivity volume during anodization, so it remains as crystalline silicon. For high ion energies of hundreds of keV, well-above the Bragg peak, the maximum defect density is a few micrometers beneath the wafer surface, above this lies a zone where the defect density is fairly uniform. Exclusion of hole current flow from the end-of-range peak of high defect density was demonstrated\textsuperscript{11} and the resultant buried silicon wires used to fabricate a variety of 3D micro- and nano-scale structures with applications in a variety of fields\textsuperscript{12-15}. However, for low ion energies, such as 30 keV helium, experimental results do not agree with simulations; instead a dip is observed at the center of high fluence irradiated lines. Clearly an additional factor contributes to anodization at high fluences which is not accounted for in simulations which assume that the irradiated volume remains fully crystalline. We show here that chemical etching of the localized, amorphized region induced by ion irradiation results in enhanced electrochemical anodization by enabling a hole current to flow which would otherwise be prevented from passing through a depleted region. We combine effects due to chemical etching and electrochemical anodization into a model which describes all phenomena observed for 30 keV helium ion irradiation over a wide range of fluences and irradiation geometries. We demonstrate that this model can be used to predict and explain all anodization effects produced by low energy heavy ion irradiation such as with 15 keV cesium ions which have a range of only 30 nm.

Fig. 1 plots the defect density produced by 30 keV helium ions in silicon, calculated using SRIM (Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter)\textsuperscript{9}. The ion range is about 450 nm and for broad beam irradiation (where the surface area is larger than the beam spreading at the end-of-range) in (a) gives a peak defect density at ~250 nm beneath the surface. The defect density does not increase towards the surface, so there is no mechanism to induce a significant diffusion current towards it. For broad beam irradiation there is no lateral gradient of defect density, except at the edges of the irradiated region, so
only here one observes a significant diffusion current to flow, as observed in Ref. 6. In comparison, Fig. 1(b),(c) show the defect distribution produced by the same ions focused to a point, achieved with a helium ion microscope 16 which can focus 20 to 40 keV helium ions to a spot of < 1 nm. Under these conditions there now exists a strong gradient of increasing defect density towards the surface so one expects a strong diffusion current funneled to the surface during electrochemical anodization, producing a dip owing to a faster etching rate. Comparison of the anodization behaviour of silicon irradiated in focused and broad beam geometries thus provides an insight into the significance of diffusion current funneling, since it is expected in the former irradiation geometry but absent in the latter. The same study provides evidence of the importance of chemical etching superposed on the electrochemical anodization behavior in explaining effects which cannot be explained using a model in which the lattice remains fully crystalline.

A p-type silicon wafer resistivity of 0.4 Ω.cm wafer was chosen for this study, the same as that used in our previous observation of current funneling 5,6. This wafer resistivity produces the full range of anodization effects due to ion irradiation over a range of fluences which can be achieved in periods of minutes, rather than hours required for lower resistivity material, or over too short a difference in fluence to be easily controlled, as for higher resistivity wafers.

Fig. 2(a),(b) show the effects produced by broad beam irradiation over a 1×1 μm² area. Fig. 2(a) plots the resultant vertical height across the anodized region for different areal fluences. All samples were electrochemically anodized at a current density of 60 mA/cm² in 24% HF. The anodization period was 3 seconds, producing an etch depth of the unirradiated background of ~150 nm, significantly less than the ion range. Fig. 2(b) plots the height at the centre of the irradiated region versus fluence compared with the unirradiated background. At low fluences the irradiated region has a shallow dip, consistent with a slightly increased current flow to the irradiated surface due to weak current funneling. With increasing fluence the irradiated volume is progressively depleted of holes resulting in a reduced anodization rate compared to the unirradiated background, so forming a raised bump. The shallow dip surrounding the bump is consistent with the hole anodization current deflected around the irradiated volume since it cannot pass through it 11. Above a fluence of ~10¹⁶ ions/cm² the irradiated volume is fully depleted and if the raised bump was influenced solely by anodization of fully crystalline silicon it’s height would remain constant for higher fluences. However, the bump height decreases with fluence, suggesting an additional etching mechanism at work in this regime.

We compare this behaviour with that for same ions focused to a point. Fig. 3 shows AFM (atomic force microscope) images of the resultant features after anodization and Fig. 2(c),(d) show the corresponding line scans of the vertical height profile and the variation in height of the central irradiated region versus point fluence (i.e. number of ions per point). Now a deep dip is observed at low point fluences, the maximum depth is more than 100 nm, compared to ~10 nm for broad beam irradiation.
This is because of the very large lateral and depth gradient of the defect density, Fig. 1(b),(c), which induces a strong current funneling effect. At higher point fluences, Fig. 3(c) to (e), the dip becomes shallower and a concentric, protruding ring is observed at the surface around the irradiated point. These portions of the irradiated volume are partially depleted and so transport a smaller hole current to the surface. The following question is important: the region inside this ring contains a higher defect density so is more depleted, so why is a dip rather than a bump still observed? For the highest point fluence, Fig. 3(f), a bump extending fully across the irradiated region is indeed observed, though still with small dip of ~30 nm in the middle. Now the central region behaves as though it is fully depleted, remaining unetched apart from the small central dip. Similar behaviour was observed for line irradiations over a range of fluence, not shown here.

We interpret the difference between the anodization behaviour at low damage levels between broad and focused beam irradiation as evidence that diffusion current funneling is an important mechanism where a strong gradient of defect density exists. Fig. 4 shows COMSOL simulations for the separate hole drift and diffusion components of the anodization current flowing through and around an irradiated line, and also the total current, based on the defect distribution in Fig. 1(b),(c). See Ref. 5 for more details of the simulation model which is based on charge transport in irradiated silicon which remains fully crystalline, though changes in mobility due to irradiation induced defects are accounted for. At low line fluences ($\psi_l = 10^7$/cm) the drift current is repelled by the potential barrier at the irradiated region, while a large diffusion current flows towards the minimum dopant concentration at the surface. At high fluences the holes can no longer cross the large potential barrier to reach the surface by diffusion, and the absence of any current flow predicts an unetched volume remaining after anodization, as in the raised bump in Fig. 3(f). However, these simulations do not explain the behaviour observed in Fig. 3(c) to (e) where there is a partially depleted outer ring which protrudes above the surface, surrounding a more depleted inner zone which remains deeply etched. Neither do they explain the dip remaining at all point and line irradiations at high fluences where no anodization current flows or for the small, but significant decrease in bump height at high fluence in Fig. 2(a) for broad beam irradiation.

This lack of agreement suggests that a model of electrochemical anodization due to ion induced changes in the local carrier distribution in a crystalline lattice is incomplete. We consider that the additional effect which needs to be incorporated is a low level of amorphization of the silicon lattice along the ion trajectory which induces a chemical etching effect superposed on the electrochemical anodization. Such chemical etching of ion irradiated silicon and other semiconductors has been studied in Refs. 17-20. In Ref. 17 silicon was irradiated with high fluences of 30 keV helium ions, which converted the surface to an amorphous form which was soluble in a 49% HF solution on soaking for 30 minutes. The lowest helium fluence at which etching was observed was $\sim 10^{14}$/cm$^2$, equivalent to a vacancy density of $\sim 10^{20}$/cm$^3$ from SRIM 9 at the surface. Fig. 5(a) plots the relevant data from Ref. 17. In our
study a layer thickness of ~150 nm is electrochemical anodized so the period is very short, in a solution of 24% HF, though the sample may be immersed in the HF solution for a few minutes prior to anodization. For such a short immersion period in a weaker HF solution one expects thinner layers of a few tens of nanometres to be chemical etched away at high fluences. This is consistent with our observation of chemically etched dips up to 30 to 40 nm for the conditions used for anodization.

In a model incorporating all important effects of ion irradiation on the electrochemical anodization of silicon, it is necessary to include the effects of current funnelling at low fluences and the depletion region at high fluences, lateral and depth variations of the defect density, and effects of chemical etching. We first consider 30 keV helium ions, which have a long range of hundreds of nanometers, well beyond what is removed by chemical etching or anodization. Fig. 5(b), (c) respectively show models for the various components of the anodization behavior versus fluence for broad beam and focused beam irradiation. The aim is to encompass the full range over which irradiation influences the observed etching behavior, so a direct comparison of the horizontal axes for areal or point fluence is not necessary.

For broad beam irradiation, at low fluences current funnelling induces only a small enhanced anodization rate close to the surface before ion induced depletion of the full irradiated volume reduces and then fully stops the hole current flow at higher fluences. Chemical etching plays no significant role at low fluences; even if a few extra tens of nanometres of silicon are etched away it does not result in modification of the anodization behaviour since no enhancement of the diffusion current flowing occurs because the defect peak lies beyond the etch depth. At high fluences chemical etching causes a reduction of the raised feature height since the ion induced defect density is significant – a fluence of $10^{16}$/cm$^2$ is two orders magnitude above the amorphization threshold at which etching was first observed. All aspects of this model are consistent with the behaviour shown in Fig. 2(b).

For focused beam irradiation there is a strong effect of diffusion current funnelling which produces a deep dip, becoming more pronounced with fluence. Chemical etching similarly results in the removal of material, having the strongest effect on the highest defect density close to the surface. Without this effect, the diffusion current would reduce with fluence as the irradiated zone closest to the surface becomes depleted, as for broad beam irradiation. Now, however, this effect is delayed at the central region since the most amorphized zone at the surface is chemically etched away, allowing a large diffusion current flow to persist from the less damaged material below. In the COMSOL simulations in Fig. 4 the etch front is indicated by the white dashed line; with increasing etch depth, the chemically-induced dip allows an enhanced diffusion current to flow which would otherwise be absent owing to the above depletion zone. Above a certain fluence, chemical etching cannot induce a further funnelling effect so the central region exhibits depletion behaviour, hence the raised bump in Fig. 3(f). A similar funnelling effect is not observed in the outer zone where a surface ring occurs in Fig. 3, as
there is a much weaker funnelling effect away from the point of irradiation. At high fluences the height of the central part of the bump is reduced by chemical etching owing to the high localized defect density, hence the dip at the highest fluences for point irradiations in Fig. 3(f) and also for line irradiations.

A suitable test for this model is to predict and explain the anodization behaviour due to irradiation in other regimes of ion range and mass. We have studied whether similar changes in the electrochemical anodization behaviour of the irradiated volume can be induced with heavy ions such as cesium. This is commonly used to sputter material surfaces during sample preparation and thinning using high fluences since the material removal rate is low, equivalent to removing \( \sim 1 \) monolayer per \( 10^{15} \) ions/cm\(^2\). We have irradiated with fluences of \( 10^{11} \) to \( 10^{16} \) ions/cm\(^2\), which we consider to be so low that little direct sputtering occurs. Heavy ions tend to produce amorphized zones along their trajectory and a wider mixture of defect types than light ions, so it is an interesting question as to whether they modify the anodization process in a similar manner to light ions. Fig. 1(d) shows the calculated defect density produced by 15 keV cesium ions; the defect profile peaks close to the surface and decreases to zero at a depth of about 30 nm. Only an order-of-magnitude estimate of the defect density is needed so we take no account of self-annealing, sputtering-induced changes to the profile, non-linear changes in the defect density versus fluence, etc.

After cesium ion irradiation, AFM images of the irradiated surface exhibited a small increase in surface roughness of a few nanometers for this fluence range, Fig. 2(e), but little material removed from the surface. To study whether the irradiated layer was sufficiently amorphized to be removed by chemical etching, the samples were dipped in 2% HF for 8 minutes and 24% HF for 2 minutes. After a second round of AFM imaging the samples were electrochemically anodized for 100 ms, producing a porous silicon layer a few tens of nanometers thick. After porous silicon removal a third set of AFM images were recorded. Fig. 2(f) plots the measured depth of the irradiated regions versus fluence, after chemical etching and then subsequent anodization. The chemically etched depth increases with fluence, as expected, with a minimum observable step of 5 nm produced for a fluence of \( 4 \times 10^{13} \) ions/cm\(^2\). Note that the etched depth is comparable to the ion range for fluences of \( 10^{16} \) ions/cm\(^2\), indicating that the full irradiated volume is removed. Little change is observed at high fluences after subsequent anodization since virgin material is exposed beneath the irradiated volume. However, strong changes are observed at low fluences after anodization; the minimum fluence which results in the formation of trench is \( \sim 4 \times 10^{11} \) ions/cm\(^2\), two orders of magnitude lower than for chemical etching.

Fig. 5(d) applies our anodization model to 15 keV cesium ion irradiation. At low fluences, for broad beam irradiation with long-range 30 keV helium, a large diffusion current was not expected, as discussed above. Now though, under irradiation with short-range ions, chemical etching may remove the top few nanometres containing the depleted portion of the irradiated volume, allowing an enhanced diffusion current to flow towards the lower hole density at the exposed surface. Thus, for such short
range ions, chemical etching superposed on anodization results in surface etching at very low fluences, well below those where chemical etching alone produces a noticeable effect. For higher fluences, the short ion range means that the irradiated depth is now thin enough to be completely removed by chemical etching. Thus no bump is produced by depletion effects at high fluences, only an increasing etch depth due to irradiation, until the irradiated depth is exceeded, at which point no further change in step eight at the irradiated regions is produced.

A comprehensive model of electrochemical anodization of p-type silicon under the influence of ion irradiation has been developed which includes modification to the host lattice’s electronic properties and also lattice amorphization induced at high fluences which contributes a chemical etching effect. From an electrochemical perspective, amorphous silicon wafers are considered to behave under anodization in a manner similar to high resistivity crystalline wafers\textsuperscript{24,25}. In contrast, here we have shown that ion induced amorphization introduces a more complex spectrum of effects, as the amorphized layer is thin and not uniform in depth. We have further demonstrated that the anodization behaviour produced by heavy ion irradiation such as 15 keV cesium can be understood within the same comprehensive model. This work opens the way to a more widespread use of ion irradiation and electrochemical anodization across a range of ion types and energy regimes.
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Figure Captions

**Fig. 1.** SRIM calculation of defect density distribution in silicon: (a) 2D depth plot for 30 keV helium ions; (b) 3D plot for 30 keV helium ions; (c) Lateral distribution for 30 keV helium ions; (d) 2D depth plot for 15 keV cesium ions.

**Fig. 2.** 30 keV helium ion irradiation with a range of (a),(b) uniform fluences over a 1×1 μm² area, and (c),(d) point fluences with the beam focused to 1 nm, after anodization and removal of the porous silicon. In (a) the areal fluences ions/cm² are: 7.5×10¹³, 1.3×10¹⁴, 3×10¹⁴, 1.3×10¹⁵, 3×10¹⁵, 1.2×10¹⁶, 5×10¹⁶. (b) and (d) show the height at the center of the irradiated region relative to the unirradiated background, extracted from the line scans in (a) and (c) respectively. (e) Surface roughness of regions irradiated with 15 keV cesium ions versus fluence. (f) Step height after chemical, and then electrochemical etching of cesium irradiated regions versus fluence. Data from AFM images.

**Fig. 3.** AFM images of point irradiation with 30 keV helium ions, for a range of point fluences, after anodization and removal of the porous silicon. The point spacing is a constant of 1 μm, the etch period is 3 seconds and the etch depth of the unirradiated background is 150 nm. The fluences (ions/point) are: (a) 2.5×10³, (b) 1×10⁴, (c) 4×10⁴, (d) 8×10⁴, (e) 1.6×10⁵, (f) 3.2×10⁵. See Fig. 2(c) for line scan profiles extracted from these images.

**Fig. 4.** COMSOL simulation results of anodization current distribution in Si with line irradiation with different line fluences (ψₐ, number of ions per cm of line length) of 30keV helium ions: (a) Drift current; (b) Diffusion current; (c) Total current. The irradiated point is on the top surface of the blue square. In (b), the combined effect of anodization and chemical etching is indicated by the dashed white line. The arrow at ψₐ = 2×10⁹/cm indicates where chemical etching of the amorphized surface allows a diffusion current to flow which would otherwise be absent.

**Fig. 5.** (a) Chemically etched step height for increasing fluence of 30 keV helium ions, from Ref. [17]. The rest of the figure shows a model of the different components which contribute to the anodization behaviour for (b) broad and (c) focused beam of 30 keV helium ions, and (d) broad beam of cesium ions. The key to the different colour lines for each figure is the same, shown in (c).
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