

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Sonic hedgehog patterning during cerebellar development

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1571712> since 2016-06-24T10:16:06Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s00018-015-2065-1

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences

Sonic Hedgehog patterning during cerebellar development.

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:					
Full Title:	Sonic Hedgehog patterning during cerebellar development.				
Article Type:	Unsolicited review				
Corresponding Author:	Ketty Leto University of Turin Turin, ITALY				
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:					
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Turin				
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:					
First Author:	Annarita De Luca				
First Author Secondary Information:					
Order of Authors:	Annarita De Luca Valentina Cerrato Elisa Fucà Elena Parmigiani Annalisa Buffo Ketty Leto				
Order of Authors Secondary Information:					
Funding Information:	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>University of Turin</td> <td>Dr Ketty Leto</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Research Fund for the Promotion of Basic Research (RBFR10A01S)</td> <td>Dr Ketty Leto</td> </tr> </table>	University of Turin	Dr Ketty Leto	Research Fund for the Promotion of Basic Research (RBFR10A01S)	Dr Ketty Leto
University of Turin	Dr Ketty Leto				
Research Fund for the Promotion of Basic Research (RBFR10A01S)	Dr Ketty Leto				
Abstract:	<p>Robust evidence in literature indicates that the morphogenic factor Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) actively orchestrates several aspects of cerebellar development and maturation. During embryogenesis Shh signalling is active in the ventricular germinal zone (VZ) and represents an essential signal for proliferation of VZ-derived progenitors. Later, Purkinje cell (PC)-secreted Shh sustains the amplification of neurogenic niches active during postnatal development: the external granular layer (EGL) and the prospective white matter (PWM) where excitatory granule cells and inhibitory interneurons, respectively, are produced. In addition, Shh signalling acts on Bergmann glia differentiation and during development sustains cerebellar foliation. Here we review the most relevant functions of Shh during cerebellar ontogenesis, underlying the role of this ligand in the development of different cerebellar phenotypes.</p>				
Suggested Reviewers:	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>karl schilling karl.schilling@uni-bonn.de</td> </tr> <tr> <td>chin chiang chin.chiang@vanderbilt.edu</td> </tr> <tr> <td>isabelle dusart isabelle.dusart@snv.jussieu.fr</td> </tr> </table>	karl schilling karl.schilling@uni-bonn.de	chin chiang chin.chiang@vanderbilt.edu	isabelle dusart isabelle.dusart@snv.jussieu.fr	
karl schilling karl.schilling@uni-bonn.de					
chin chiang chin.chiang@vanderbilt.edu					
isabelle dusart isabelle.dusart@snv.jussieu.fr					
Opposed Reviewers:					

Sonic Hedgehog patterning during cerebellar development

Annarita De Luca^{1,2}, Valentina Cerrato^{1,2}, Elisa Fucà^{1,2}, Elena Parmigiani^{1,2}, Annalisa Buffo^{1,2} & Ketty Leto^{1,2*}

¹Department of Neuroscience Rita Levi-Montalcini, University of Turin, I-10126 Turin, Italy,

²Neuroscience Institute Cavalieri Ottolenghi, I-10043 Orbassano, Turin, Italy,

* Corresponding author: Ketty Leto, Department of Neuroscience Rita Levi-Montalcini, University of Turin, Neuroscience Institute Cavalieri Ottolenghi, Regione Gonzole 10, I-10043 Orbassano, Turin, Italy. E-mail: ketty.letto@unito.it.

Keywords: Shh, mitogen, differentiation, cerebellum.

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abstract

Robust evidence in literature indicates that the morphogenic factor Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) actively orchestrates several aspects of cerebellar development and maturation. During embryogenesis Shh signalling is active in the ventricular germinal zone (VZ) and represents an essential signal for proliferation of VZ-derived progenitors. Later, Purkinje cell (PC)-secreted Shh sustains the amplification of neurogenic niches active during postnatal development: the external granular layer (EGL) and the prospective white matter (PWM) where excitatory granule cells and inhibitory interneurons, respectively, are produced. In addition, Shh signalling acts on Bergmann glia differentiation and during development sustains cerebellar foliation. Here we review the most relevant functions of Shh during cerebellar ontogenesis, underlying the role of this ligand in the development of different cerebellar phenotypes.

1.Introduction: the Hedgehog Pathway

Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) signalling is implicated in the regulation of key events during mammalian developmental processes [1]. The first gene of the Hedgehog family (Hh) was cloned in *Drosophila* in the early 1990s [2], and its role in controlling the proper segmental identity during fruit fly embryonic development has been identified [3, 4]. Shortly after, different Hh genes in Vertebrates were described [5, 6] and explained as a result of genome duplication. They are classified as Desert Hedgehog (Dhh), Indian Hedgehog (Ihh) and Shh [7]. In mammals, Shh is expressed starting from early embryogenesis and is one of the molecules responsible for the regulation of central nervous system (CNS) patterning [8]. Successively, during organogenesis, Shh is broadly detected in many tissues where it plays key roles as morphogen, mitogen and guidance molecule. Given its prominent role during development, alteration of its physiological functions are implicated in many human pathologies [9-11].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
The spatial and temporal Shh expression pattern results from the combined action of multiple enhancer elements present in its extensive regulatory domain, controlling *Shh* transcription in different tissues [12-16]. However, only recently specific transcription factors have been discovered to directly control Shh enhancer activity. After transcription, Shh becomes an active ligand through evolutionarily conserved multistep processes [17-22] (Fig. 1A₁). Initially, it is synthesized as a 45-kDa precursor and then it is auto-proteolytically cleaved by its own C-terminal domain into two secreted peptides: a 19-kDa amino terminus (Shh-N) with a signalling domain and a 26-kDa carboxy terminus (Shh-C), devoid of any signal transduction activity [23, 24] (Fig. 1A₁). During auto-proteolysis, a cholesterol moiety is added to the C-terminus of Shh-N to anchor it to the plasma membrane, resulting in concentration in the lipid membrane rafts that facilitates interaction of low levels of Shh-N protein with its receptor [25-27, 18, 23]. Moreover, the cholesterol modification is also essential for a second lipophilic addition, a palmitoyl insertion at N-terminus of Shh-N [27, 18, 23] (Fig. 1A₁). The presence of this second lipid incorporation is indispensable for Shh-N to multimerize and sequester the lipid anchor within the multimer, resulting in the detachment from the plasma membrane (Fig. 1A₁). This soluble form of Shh-N is now able to diffuse far from its site of synthesis, resulting in a broad and long-distance effect [28-31]. The secretion of soluble multimer Shh-N can also be controlled by Dispatched1 transmembrane protein (Disp1), containing a sterol sensing domain (SSD) able to displace Shh-N oligomers from lipid rafts [32, 33] (Fig. 1A₁).

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
Before reaching the surface of responding cells, Shh-N moves between many cells probably under the regulation of multiple molecules and mechanisms, like megalin [34] and glypicans [35] (Fig. 1A₂). Megalin is an endocytic receptor belonging to the low density lipoprotein receptor family, and mediates cell endocytosis of N-Shh, targeting it for lysosomal degradation or transcytosis [34]. The affinity of N-Shh for megalin is augmented when the ligand is sequestered near the cell surface by glypicans, which are heparan sulfate proteoglycans [36] (Fig. 1A₂). Lysosomal degradation is implicated in the extracellular regulation of N-Shh concentration, whereas megalin-mediated transcytosis may facilitate long range signaling of N-Shh during early development, across neural tube epithelial cells [34, 37].

53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
In vertebrates, the receptor and co-receptors of N-Shh are concentrated in the primary cilium, a microtubule-based membrane protrusion [38]. Emerging evidence suggests that the cell surface machinery responsive to Shh comprise is a complex interaction network [39]. Indeed, the binding of Shh-N to its specific receptor Patched 1 (Ptch1), a 12-pass transmembrane protein, is facilitated by other transmembrane proteins, like Cdo, Boc and Growth Arrest Specific 1 (Gas1; Fig. 1B₁₋₃; [40,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
41]). These co-receptors regulate Shh signalling by binding to Shh in synergic interaction with Ptch1. They are down-regulated in response to Shh signalling in a negative feedback [42-45]. This feedback simultaneously up-regulates Ptch1 and other two additional cell surface Shh-binding proteins, hedgehog interacting protein 1 (Hhip1; [46]), a membrane-anchored glycoprotein, and patched 2 (Ptch2), a structural homolog of Ptch1 that arose from a gene duplication event [47]. Ptch2 and Hhip1 binding to Shh-N ligand compete with active ligand-receptor interactions to alter the balance between bound and unbound Ptch1, resulting in cell autonomous modulation of cell signaling activity. Furthermore, the resulting transcription of *Ptch1* gene in response to Shh signalling activation leads to an auto-inhibition process where produced Shh-N is no longer sufficient to block all the available Ptch1 [40].

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
In absence of ligand, Ptch1 catalytically inhibits the activity of the Shh signal transducer Smoothed (Smo), a seven-transmembrane-span receptor like protein [48], modulating the concentration of its small agonist or antagonist molecules, such as Oxysterols [49], vitamin D3 derivatives [50, 48, 51-53], cyclopamine and jervine [51] (Fig. 1B₁). Ptch1, which is structurally related to transporter and pump protein, may send endogenous sterols away from Smo, inhibiting its action [54]. After Shh interaction with Ptch1, sterols can bind to Smo and its inhibition is released [49] (Fig. 1B₂). Thus, the seven-transmembrane receptor shuttles from an endocytic vesicle to the cilium, while the hedgehog patched complex is internalized and degraded by lysosomes [55] (Fig. 1B₂). To activate Smo a Shh-induced Smo conformational switch is required. In particular, in mice Shh-N can regulate the accessibility of Smo to CK1 α and GRK2 kinases, which bind and phosphorylate the Smo C-tail in a dose-dependent manner, shifting the closed conformation of Smo in an open shape [56] (Fig. 1B₃). Phosphorylated Smo activates intracellular signals regulating several protein kinases, which activate a class of transcription factors known as glioblastoma (Gli) proteins, homologs of cubitus interruptus (Ci) proteins in *Drosophila* [57]. The regulation of Gli proteins activities is similar to Ci, although in mammals three Gli proteins have been discovered (Gli1-3; [58, 59]). In the absence of Shh stimulation, the nuclear localization of Gli2 and Gli3 proteins is inhibited by the binding in the cytoplasm of the Suppressor of Fused (Sufu), which is the major negative regulator of Shh signaling in mammals (Fig. 1B₁; [60-63]). In addition, Kif7 is also present in this complex acting as a scaffolding protein for PKA, GSK3 and CK1 kinases, responsible of Gli phosphorylation (Fig. 1B₁). After phosphorylation, GLI2 is rapidly degraded, whereas Gli3 is cleaved and the partial Gli3 acts as a repressor, blocking transcription of downstream targets [64-66, 29, 67]. On the other hand, Gli1 transcription has been demonstrated to increase in response of Shh, and its level is a widely used biomarker for activated Shh signaling. Therefore, it is implied that Gli1 is not one of the initial transducer of the Shh signal [19]. In the

1 presence of Hedgehog signaling, Smo induces the inhibition of Gli phosphorylation by dissociating
2 the Sufu-Gli-kinase complex with consequent stabilization and nuclear accumulation of Gli family
3 members (Fig. 1B₃; [67, 68]). In the nucleus of responding cells all Gli transcriptional factors,
4 possessing highly similar zinc finger DNA binding domains, can bind the same DNA motif.
5 However, Gli1 and Gli2 mainly function as transcriptional activators, while Gli3 is a transcriptional
6 repressor (Fig. 1B_{1,3}; [69, 70]), suggesting that they might act on different target genes.
7
8
9

10 11 **2. Distinct functions of Shh during cerebellar development**

12 The secreted signaling molecule Shh plays critical roles in pattern formation of the vertebrate CNS.
13 During neurulation, Shh is produced by the ventral midline mesoderm as well as by the ventral
14 neural tube, and its activity is required for the determination of ventral characteristics along the
15 anterior-posterior neuraxis [71]. At successive stages of development Shh signalling sustains the
16 proper formation of several CNS regions, including the cerebellum. Here, Shh critically influences
17 the initial phases of territorial determination and regulates the following steps of cerebellar
18 progenitor maturation in primary and secondary germinal zones.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **2.1 Cerebellar territory and germinal zones**

28 The cerebellum arises from a specialized area at the midbrain/hindbrain boundary [72-74], where, at
29 embryonic day 8.5 (E8.5), the interaction between homeobox genes *Otx2* and *Gbx2* defines the
30 Isthmic Organizer region (IO; [75, 76]). The IO orchestrates the development of cerebellar and
31 mesencephalic structures through the morphogenic activity of secreted factors, *Fgf8* and *Wnt1* [77-
32 79]. In the midbrain and cerebellum, Shh expressed in the ventral midline regulates dorsoventral
33 patterning and expression of the midbrain-hindbrain organizer *Fgf8* during early embryogenesis
34 (from E8.5 to E12; [14, 80]). It has been shown that retrovirus-mediated misexpression of Shh in
35 the early chick neural tube disrupts midbrain-hindbrain boundary formation, causing the fusion of
36 the two lateral cerebella primordia [71].
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 After territorial specification, cerebellar histogenesis starts at E9 in the mouse. At this age the
46 cerebellar anlage is formed by two separated and symmetric bulges that during the following days
47 grow and fuse together, giving rise to the unitary cerebellar plate, comprising the vermis and the
48 two hemispheres [81]. Such developmental phase is also characterized by the formation of two
49 germinative compartments just above the opening of the fourth ventricle: the rhombic lip (RL),
50 located at the outer aspect of the cerebellar plate, adjacent to the roof-plate, and the ventricular zone
51 (VZ), placed in the inner side, covering the fourth ventricle. These germinative districts are defined
52 by the region-specific expression of two basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors: the pancreas
53 transcription factor 1-a (*Ptf1-a*), expressed in the VZ [82], and the mouse homolog of *Drosophila*
54 atonal (*Atoh-1*), present in the RL [83]. This spatially-restricted expression pattern defines the
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 neurochemical compartmentalization of cerebellar precursors, as all GABAergic neurons (Purkinje
2 cells, PCs, nucleo-olivary projection neurons of deep cerebellar nuclei, DCN, and all inhibitory
3 interneurons - basket, stellate, Golgi and Lugaro cells) originate from Ptf1-a⁺ precursors [82, 84,
4 85], while glutamatergic lineages (large projection neurons of DCN, unipolar brush cells, UBCs,
5 and granule cells) derive from Atoh-1⁺ progenitors [85-91]. Recent experiments have shown that
6 these transcription factors specify cerebellar progenitors within the two spatially segregated
7 neuropithelial domains so to assure the appropriate production of GABAergic and glutamatergic
8 neurons [85]. In particular, by means of knock-in mouse lines and by *in utero* electroporation Ptf1-a
9 and Atoh-1 were ectopically expressed in the RL and in the VZ, respectively. Results were clear-
10 cut, showing that ectopically Ptf1-a-expressing RL cells produced GABAergic phenotypes, whereas
11 ectopically Atoh-1⁺ VZ progenitors differentiate into glutamatergic populations [85]. The two
12 primary germinative epithelia disappear at birth. Dividing VZ precursors emigrate into the
13 cerebellar prospective white matter (PWM), whereas those of the RL move along the pial cerebellar
14 surface, where they form the external granular layer (EGL). Postnatal neurogenesis is active in
15 secondary PWM and EGL epithelia up to the third postnatal week to generate appropriate numbers
16 of GABAergic and glutamatergic interneurons, respectively [81, 92].

17
18 The temporal schedule of generation of cerebellar phenotypes is also finely defined. Birthdating
19 studies showed that projection neurons are produced first, at the onset of cerebellar neurogenesis,
20 while both inhibitory and excitatory interneurons are generated later, during late embryonic and
21 first postnatal life [93, 81, 94].

22
23 It is well established that Shh actively regulates the amplification of cerebellar progenitors in both
24 embryonic and postnatal germinal zones. This morphogen, secreted by Purkinje cells from E17.5
25 [95-97] and by choroid plexi at earlier time points [98], controls the production of appropriate
26 numbers of excitatory and inhibitory interneurons (Fig. 2). In addition, it modulates the correct
27 generation and development of glial progenitors and it exerts specific functions in different phases
28 of granule cell development, in both normal and pathological conditions, such as medulloblastoma.
29 Finally, Shh actively orchestrates the major dynamics of cerebellar foliation, sustaining normal
30 processes of cerebellar growth and maturation.

31 **3.1 Shh and granule cells**

32 Granule neurons (GNs) represent the most abundant cell type in the brain (about 10⁸ granule cells in
33 the adult cerebellum; [93, 99]). These cells derive from Atoh-1⁺ progenitors migrating from the RL
34 to the EGL. The entire process of granule cell production lasts from E12.5 to P14 and it is
35 fundamental for the acquisition of regular cerebellar size and foliation [81]. Indeed it has been
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

observed that reduction in GN number leads to the formation of smaller cerebella [100-102] and abnormal foliation, such as the persistence of just the five cerebellar cardinal lobules at P14 in rats [103, 104]. In addition, abnormal proliferation of granule cell precursors (GCPs) is at the basis of pathological conditions such as medulloblastoma, the most common form of children malignant brain tumour (for review see [105, 106]).

Numerous studies have analysed the mechanisms underlying the initial phases of GCP proliferation and migration from the EGL mitogenic niche [97, 107, 108]. In particular, it has been demonstrated that these steps are actively controlled by mitogenic factors secreted by PCs. Indeed, the relative number of granule cells is reduced in animal models characterized by a primary PC degeneration [109-111], whereas if the loss of PCs occurs later in postnatal period (as in the *pcd* mutant mouse) the granule cell layer appears near normal [112, 111]. Shh produced by PCs is the most efficacious mitogen acting on granule cell development. Treatment of GCPs with Shh prevents the differentiation and induces a long-lasting proliferative response, while an inhibition of Shh signal dramatically reduces the mitotic activity of these precursors [97, 95, 113-115]. The pathway activated by this molecule involves the upregulation of the target genes Patched, Gli1 and Gli2, which are dynamically expressed during development by proliferating precursors [113, 116, 104]. For example, the activation of Gli2-mediated pathway is important to ensure the correct extension of GCPs in the EGL and the consequent normal patterning of cortical folia [104]. Other important mediators of Shh-induced proliferation are N-myc, cyclin D1 and cyclin D2, that directly promote the entry of precursors into cell-cycle and DNA replication [116-118]. Overexpression of these molecules is sufficient to boost GCP proliferation, but the amplitude of the response is strictly dependent on the particular molecule involved [116].

Shh protein is present in PC dendrites and axons during embryonic and postnatal development, and persists in the adult, suggesting multiple roles played in the developing and mature circuitry [97]. However, the decreased expression of Gli1 in the innermost part of the EGL indicates that the response to the Shh signal is progressively switched off in granule neurons [107, 104]. Cells in the deepest part of EGL, as well as in the ML and in the internal granular layer (IGL), do not express cyclin-D1, indicating their status of non-proliferating cells [119-121]. Thus, at the time when they are ready to leave the proliferative niche, GCPs undergo some cell-autonomous changes that are critical to exit the cell cycle. In addition, these cells start the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, such as p27. This molecule arrests their proliferation and induces the differentiation programme both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [122, 123]. However, in the p27 knockout mice GCPs are still able to leave the cell-cycle and differentiate into mature granule cells, indicating that p27 is not the unique factor responsible for this mechanism [108]. It has been suggested that extracellular matrix

(ECM) glycoproteins, such as laminin and vitronectin, can modulate GPC responses to Shh [124]. GCPs actively proliferate in the presence of Shh when cultured on laminin, which is present *in vivo* in the outer EGL, but not on vitronectin, which is normally contacted by granule cells in the deepest EGL and IGL [96, 124]. Therefore, the same molecular elements may regulate both proliferation and differentiation signalling of GCPs, depending on the pattern of ECM molecules and receptors expressed in different parts of the EGL.

3.2 Shh and GABAergic interneurons

GABAergic interneurons comprise multiple subsets of morphologically and neurochemically distinct phenotypes integrated at different levels of the cerebellar cortex and DCN. These cells are produced from late embryonic life to the second postnatal week; the peak is around P5 and the production of 75% of all inhibitory interneurons occurs prior to P7 [125]. Maricich and Herrup [126] identified the progenitors of inhibitory interneurons as a population of Pax-2⁺ cells, which appear in the VZ around E12 and later emigrate into the cerebellar parenchyma. Inhibitory interneuron precursors continue to proliferate during their migration in the PWM [127-129, 125] and they generate interneuron phenotypes according to an inside-out progression. DCN interneurons are the first to be born during embryonic and early postnatal life, followed by granular layer (GL) interneurons (Golgi and Lugaro cells) and, finally, by ML ones (basket and stellate cells; [126, 128, 125, 130]. Interestingly, transplantation experiments have demonstrated that all these different interneuron subsets derive from a single population of Pax-2⁺ immature interneurons that acquire mature phenotypic traits under the influence of local instructive cues provided by the PWM microenvironment [128, 129].

The cellular composition of the PWM is complex, including cells with neural stem cell (NSC)-like properties [131, 132], dividing progenitors and astrocytes, interneurons and oligodendrocytes at different maturation stages [127, 133, 134, 129, 135, 136, 121].

It has been shown that proliferative intermediate progenitors of GABAergic interneurons in the PWM are Ptf1-a⁺ cells that start the expression of Pax-2 during their last S phase [126, 129, 136].

Recent findings suggest possible lineage relationships between Ptf1a⁺ intermediate progenitors of GABAergic interneurons and Tnc^{low} CD15⁺ astrocyte precursors [136]. Both populations derive from a population of Tnc^{low} and CD133⁺ neural stem-cell-like primary progenitors of the PWM, whose production is critically maintained by PC-delivered Shh [136]. Indeed, blockade of Shh signalling in Tnc-expressing cells disrupts the PWM niche, decreasing the numbers of intermediate progenitors of both interneurons and astrocytes, causing also a dramatic reduction of their mature phenotypes [136]. Notably, such specific effect of Shh is independent of the classical role of this

1 mitogen in regulating GCP proliferation [97], as direct perturbation of GCP expansion does not
2 alter GABAergic progenitor production [136].

3 Another proof of a direct effect of Shh on inhibitory interneuron production has been also provided
4 by recent *in vitro* data: the exogenous administration of the recombinant amino-terminal active
5 fragment of Shh (Shh-N) on cerebellar slices obtained by PWM regions of P2 mice showed an
6 enhanced amplification of Pax-2⁺ cells [137]. This effect was significant both at 1 and 2 days *in*
7 *vitro*, whereas it did not occur in presence of the Shh-antagonist cyclopamine [137]. In addition,
8 Shh mitogenic effect on newborn Pax-2⁺ cells disappears at late postnatal stages as P7, when the
9 bulk of GABAergic interneurons have been already produced [125, 138]. Gene expression analysis
10 of sorted GFP⁺ cells from Pax-2-GFP transgenic mice revealed that Shh pathway is active in
11 immature Pax-2⁺ interneurons, which express both the Shh receptor Ptch1 and the Shh target gene
12 Gli1 [137]. Similar results were confirmed by *in situ* hybridization: Gli1 and Ptch1 mRNAs were
13 detected in P2 cerebella in the EGL, PCL and PWM, in line with former studies [113, 126, 96, 136].
14 Moreover, double Gli1⁺/Pax-2GFP⁺ and Ptch1⁺/Pax-2GFP⁺ interneurons were found, confirming
15 the presence of an active Shh signals in Pax-2⁺ cells [137], although its role during successive
16 interneuron maturation remain to be clarified.
17

18 At earlier developmental stage (E16) the Gli1 mRNA was already present in the cerebellum, though
19 less expressed compared with postnatal ages. Both Gli1⁺ cells and double positive Gli1/Pax-2GFP
20 cells were present in the VZ/SVZ region, or scattered in the cerebellar parenchyma, showing that
21 during embryonic development Shh pathway is already active in Pax-2⁺ cells located in germinal
22 and migratory sites [137]. Exogenous administration of Shh-N on embryonic cerebellar explants
23 increased the fraction of proliferative cells becoming Pax-2⁺ interneurons but only at the end of the
24 culture period, suggesting that different dynamics might regulate the production of GABAergic
25 interneurons at embryonic and postnatal developmental stages [137]. This possibility is also
26 supported by previous *in vivo* data showing that Shh signalling is active in the cerebellar VZ and
27 essential to regulate the proliferation of radial glia and, consequently, the expansion of GABAergic
28 interneurons [98]. In this case, however, endogenous PCs are not the source of Shh ligand, which is
29 secreted by the choroid plexi and transventricularly delivered by the embryonic cerebrospinal fluid
30 [98].
31

32 Overall these recent studies highlight the fundamental role of Shh in regulating interneuron
33 numbers by maintaining the embryonic and postnatal niches in which these cells are produced.
34

35 **3.3 Shh and cerebellar glia**

36 Cerebellar astrocytes and oligodendrocytes comprise morphologically distinct cell types located at
37 different sites in the cerebellar cortex and white matter [139-141].
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Several evidence indicated that astrocytes (comprising Bergman Glia-BG- cells and parenchymal astrocytes) derive from the VZ [139, 142-144, 130], whereas oligodendrocyte precursors (OPCs) seem to mainly originate from exogenous sources and, at later embryonic stages, populate the cerebellar parenchyma and continue to amplify [145, 146, 134].

Some studies investigated the role of the Shh signaling pathway on both astrocytes [147-149] and oligodendrocytes [150-156] in developing and mature brain and spinal cord. In the cerebellum Shh has been described to play a direct role on both BG cells and oligodendrocytes, regulating their differentiation and proliferation, respectively. Moreover, this ligand critically maintains the VZ and PWM niches, controlling the proliferation of, respectively, radial glia cells and intermediate progenitors of parenchymal astrocytes ([98, 136]; see previous paragraph). Beside that, it is not clear whether Shh could exert some roles on mature parenchymal astrocytes.

Before Shh was shown to directly affect the BG population, Traifford et al. [157] and Wallace [113] had already observed high expression levels of Ptch and Gli1 in small cells in the PCL, presumably in BGs, suggesting that Shh signaling pathway was active in these cells. Confirmation of a direct action of Shh came shortly later, when Dahmane and Ruiz-I-Altaba [95] first demonstrated the role of this ligand in BG differentiation, but, intriguingly, not in BG progenitor proliferation [95]. These results were in accordance with previous data revealing the key role of PCs in the control of BG maturation [158, 79]. Yet, BG persist in both Gli2 mutant embryos [96] and Gli2-En1 conditional knock-out mutants, in which Gli2 deletion is restricted to cerebellar precursors [104], thus demonstrating that Shh signaling through Gli2 is dispensable for BG specification. However, the latter mutant model showed an abnormal glial morphology, characterized by the disorganization and deformity of the glial fibers. This phenotype was explained as a secondary effect of the abnormal PC morphology [104]. Similarly, Lewis et al. [97], through transgenic mice models specifically developed to prevent Shh production by PCs at different ages, observed alterations in BG only after P5, while BG morphology was normal at previous developmental stages. Also in this case the results were interpreted as a secondary effect of PC disorganization and absence of granules' parallel fibers and not as a direct consequence of Shh absence on BG differentiation. In contrast, Mecklenburg et al. [159] proposed a direct role of Shh in the regulation of BG maturation, suggesting that the altered glial morphology observed in conditional Shh mutants [97] was not subordinate to defective PCs. Indeed, Gdf10, a member of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β)-superfamily strongly expressed in cerebellar BG cells from E15 (i.e. from the moment they are specified) and, thus, potentially correlated to BG specification from radial glia, was shown to be intensely reduced in conditional Shh cerebellar mutants. The rapid down-regulation of this

1 glial-specific gene in absence of Shh could suggest a direct role of this ligand in BG specification,
2 despite the exact mechanisms still need to be clarified.

3 Shh was also shown to affect the proliferation of cerebellar oligodendrocytes, the glial component
4 responsible for the myelin synthesis. Bouslama-Oueghlani et al. [160] used cerebellar organotypic
5 cultures to investigate the influence of PCs (i.e. the only type of cerebellar neuron to be myelinated)
6 on the timing of oligodendrocyte differentiation. In particular, using cerebellar slices cultures in
7 which the number of PCs was significantly different, authors found that soluble factors produced by
8 PCs were able to affect OPC population. Among these factors Shh was shown to be downregulated
9 during PC postnatal maturation, whereas vitronectin resulted upregulated. Importantly, Shh and
10 vitronectin administrated to postnatal organotypic slices had opposite effects on OPCs, stimulating
11 their proliferation and differentiation, respectively [160]. These effects were reverted by Shh and
12 vitronectin- antagonists. On the whole, these results highlight that both neuronal and glial
13 development are highly synchronized in the cerebellum [160], as well as in other CNS regions
14 [161-163].
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **3.4 Shh orchestrates normal cerebellar foliation**

27 A prominent feature of cerebellar morphology is its folded appearance, whereby fissures separate
28 its anterior-posterior extent by lobules [164]. By E18.5 four principal fissures are evident in
29 midsagittal sections of the mouse vermis, leading to distinguish five cardinal lobes (anterobasal,
30 anterodorsal, central, posterior and inferior lobes; [164]). Afterwards, additional (non principal)
31 fissures divide the cardinal lobes into lobules, reaching the total of ten lobules identifiable in the
32 adult murine cerebellum [164].
33
34
35
36
37

38 The mitogenic action of Shh on GPCs is the driving force for the initial formation of fissures [164],
39 thereby affecting also cerebellar foliation process, which is the process leading to the
40 morphologically unique brain structure of folia separated by fissures [96, 104]. In particular, it has
41 been shown that Shh signaling spatially and temporally correlates with fissure formation and that
42 Gli2 is the principal activator of Shh-induced GCP proliferation [96]. Indeed, Gli2-null mutants
43 showed decreased foliation at birth and reduced numbers of GCPs, whereas Shh overexpression in
44 wild-type cerebella led to normal cerebellar foliation but also to an increased cerebellar size, as
45 consequence of prolonged GCP proliferation [96]. Other experiments clarified that the level of Shh
46 signaling regulates the extent and complexity of cerebellar foliation, but not its typical pattern
47 [104]. Namely, in the absence of Gli2 foliation proceeds but the process of lobulation is delayed
48 and prematurely arrested; further reduction in foliation occurs in double Gli2 and Gli1 null mutant
49 mice, whereas when the entire Shh signaling is removed foliation is totally inhibited because of a
50 rapid exhaustion of GCPs after E17.5 [104]. Collectively, these findings suggest that Shh is not
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

necessary to initiate foliation and to determine the position of the fissures, but is more likely to be a regulator of the extent and complexity of foliation. This interpretation implies that at embryonic stages in the absence of Shh some GPCs are able to start mitosis, allowing the first indentations to form, and that at later stages before and after birth Shh becomes necessary to sustain the expansion of the EGL [97].

4. Shh and cerebellar pathology: evidence from medulloblastoma

Medulloblastoma (MB) is the most frequent form of primitive, neuroectodermal tumor during childhood, with estimated lethality of 30% and high clinical heterogeneity. It is widely accepted that MB originates from GPCs and four different subtypes of MBs have been identified and classified according to their transcriptional profiles: I) WNT MBs; II) Shh MBs; III) Group C, frequently associated with TGF1 beta pathway abnormalities; IV) Group D, often related to tandem duplication of SNCAIP [165-169].

Shh-MBs represent one third of the total number of MBs [170, 171], both in childhood and adult age, even though it has been demonstrated that infant and adult MBs exhibit different transcriptional and genetic profiles. Northcott et al. [167] identified a number of homeobox family members as the mostly up-regulated genes in adult forms of Shh-MBs; infant MBs, instead, revealed high expression of transcriptional regulators functioning in brain development, such as ZIC2 and ZIC5. These dissimilarities lead to large variability in clinical and prognostic aspects of the disease through different ages: this is the reason why targeting of signalling molecules is a fundamental step to set up therapeutic approaches.

It has been demonstrated that Shh-MBs derive from aberrations in varied components of Shh pathway, such as Ptch1 [114, 172], Sufu [173, 174], Gli transcription factors [175] and Smo [176]. Studies on mice lacking Ptch function demonstrated that abnormal activation of Shh pathway through repression of its inhibitors leads to the formation of MBs [177, 178]. Similar mutations have been also described in patients with nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome – also known as Gorin syndrome - often associated with the formation of MB in childhood [179]. According to Kim et al. [180], Shh pathway alteration via Ptch in heterozygous mice induces a subset of GPCs to maintain their proliferative activity with consequent deregulation of developmental gene expression, rather than globally increase GPCs proliferation during postnatal development or interrupt programmed cell death. However, the complexity of the Shh pathway does not allow attributing MBs formation to abnormalities of just one element of the network, as demonstrated by the fact that only a percentage of Ptch mutant mice develop MB. Taylor et al. [173], in fact, identified Sufu as another tumor-suppressor gene in a subset of desmoplastic medulloblastomas whose mutation predisposes to MB by modulating the Shh signaling: they created a model in which

1 Sufu cannot bind Gli transcription factors and allocate them from the nucleus, with consequent
2 activation of SHH target genes. More recently, it has been also demonstrated that nevoid basal cell
3 carcinoma syndrome, traditionally associated with Ptch mutations, could be caused by heterozygous
4 loss-of-function germline mutations in Sufu. These studies contributed to the redefinition of the risk
5 of MB in Gorlin syndrome on the basis of the related gene: in Ptch-related forms, the risk of MB
6 has been reduced from 5% to 2% with a probability approximately 20X higher in Sufu-related
7 forms [181, 182].

8
9
10
11
12 Other studies focused on the role of Gli1 expression in MB through the identification of the subset
13 of Gli1 transforming target genes specifically expressed in MBs [183], or through the
14 characterization of SHH signaling pathway members Gli-3 expression in relation with prognosis,
15 suggesting that Gli1 or Gli2 expression in pediatric medulloblastoma might confer a worse outcome
16 [184]. However, recently, new intriguing findings partly modified this classical view of Shh-
17 dependent proliferation of GCPs. Li et al. [185] disclosed a new population of progenitors cells in
18 the EGL identified by the expression of the neural stem cells (NSC) marker Nestin. Surprisingly,
19 Nestin⁺ cells do not express Math1 and are not responsive to Shh *in vivo*, even if they express the
20 signaling-associated machinery. Nevertheless and though they account for only 3-5% of EGL cells,
21 they displayed enhanced tumorigenic potential and chromosomal aberrations following loss of the
22 Shh receptor Ptch1 compared to Math1⁺ GCPs [185], raising important questions about the cellular
23 origin of medulloblastoma [186].
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **5. Concluding Remarks**

36 The role of Shh in the development of the CNS has been extensively investigated, leading to an in-
37 depth knowledge of extrinsic and intrinsic molecular machineries included in its signalling
38 pathway. Moreover, beyond its role in ventral patterning, hedgehog signalling is now known to
39 have multiple roles throughout development, favouring the processes of fate specification,
40 oligodendrogenesis, stem cell maintenance and axon path finding. In the cerebellum, Shh pathway
41 has been principally studied for many years in the contest of GCP proliferation. However (as
42 described above), Shh ligand exerts additional roles on distinct cell populations, during the entire
43 period of cerebellar development. In particular, Shh crucially sustains the expansion of neuronal
44 and glial precursors within embryonic and postnatal niches, by means of different mechanisms,
45 involving both cerebellar and extracerebellar strategies (Fig. 2). Moreover, Shh also induces BG
46 maturation and oligodendrocyte amplification. As shown above, Shh signalling is a complex
47 network involving different players that might modulate Shh function at different level, depending
48 on the cell type. Intriguingly, the sole source of Shh during the late embryonic and postnatal
49 development is PCs. These latter cells strategically orchestrate postnatal cerebellar morphogenesis
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 through the modulated secretion of Shh and vitronectin. Despite the great amount of results in these
2 areas, a deeper knowledge of the processes regulating the timing and balance of Shh/vitronectin
3 production by Purkinje cells will certainly reveal more surprises about cerebellar developmental
4 mechanisms.
5
6
7
8

9 **Acknowledgments**

10 We dedicate this work in memory of Prof. F. Rossi for his continuous support and encouragement.

11 This work was supported by University of Turin and Research Fund for the Promotion of Basic
12 Research Grant RBFR10A01S (K.L.). We thank Daniela Carulli for critical reading of this
13 manuscript and helpful suggestions.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **References**

- 21 1. Simpson F, Kerr MC, Wicking C (2009) Trafficking, development and hedgehog. *Mech*
22 *Dev* 126(5-6):279-288.
- 23 2. Tashiro S, Michiue T, Higashijima S, Zenno S, Ishimaru S, Takahashi F, Orihara M, Kojima
24 T, Saigo K (1993) Structure and expression of hedgehog, a *Drosophila* segment-polarity
25 gene required for cell-cell communication. *Gene* 124(2):183-189.
- 26 3. Nüsslein-Volhard C, Wieschaus E (1980) Mutations affecting segment number and polarity
27 in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 287(5785):795-801.
- 28 4. Martinez-Arias A, Lawrence, PA (1985) Parasegments and compartments in the *Drosophila*
29 embryo. *Nature*. 313(6004):639-642.
- 30 5. Wada H, Makabe K (2006) Genome duplications of early vertebrates as a possible chronicle
31 of the evolutionary history of the neural crest. *Int J Biol Sci* 2(3):133-141.
- 32 6. Chang DT, Lopez A, von Kessler DP, Chiang C, Simandl BK, Zhao R, Seldin MF, Fallon
33 JF, Beachy PA (1994) Products, genetic linkage and limb patterning activity of a murine
34 hedgehog gene. *Development* 120(11):3339-3353.
- 35 7. Echelard Y, Epstein DJ, St-Jacques B, Shen L, Mohler J, McMahon JA, McMahon AP
36 (1993) Sonic hedgehog, a member of a family of putative signaling molecules, is implicated
37 in the regulation of CNS polarity. *Cell* 75(7):1417-1430.
- 38 8. Machold R, Fishell G (2002) Hedgehog patterns midbrain architecture. *Trends Neurosci*
39 25(1):10-11.
- 40 9. Bale AE (2002) Hedgehog signaling and human disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet*
41 3:47-65.
- 42 10. Teglund, S, Toftgard R (2010) Hedgehog beyond medulloblastoma and basal cell
43 carcinoma. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1805(2):181-208.
- 44 11. Varjosalo M, Taipale J (2008) Hedgehog: functions and mechanisms. *Genes Dev*
45 22(18):2454-2472.
- 46 12. Jeong Y, El-Jaick K, Roessler E, Muenke M, Epstein DJ (2006) A functional screen for
47 sonic hedgehog regulatory elements across a 1 Mb interval identifies long-range ventral
48 forebrain enhancers. *Development* 133(4):761-772.
- 49 13. Sagai T, Amano T, Tamura M, Mizushima Y, Sumiyama K, Shiroishi T (2009) A cluster of
50 three long-range enhancers directs regional Shh expression in the epithelial linings.
51 *Development* 136(10):1665-1674.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

14. Epstein DJ, McMahon AP, Joyner AL (1999) Regionalization of Sonic hedgehog transcription along the anteroposterior axis of the mouse central nervous system is regulated by Hnf3-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Development* 126(2):281-292.
15. Tsukiji N, Amano T, Shiroishi T (2014) A novel regulatory element for Shh expression in the lung and gut of mouse embryos. *Mech Dev* 131:127-136.
16. Lettice LA, Heaney SJ, Purdie LA, Li L, de Beer P, Oostra BA, Goode D, Elgar G, Hill RE, de Graaff E (2003) A long-range Shh enhancer regulates expression in the developing limb and fin and is associated with preaxial polydactyly. *Hum Mol Genet* 12(14):1725-1735.
17. Amanai K, Jiang J (2001) Distinct roles of Central missing and Dispatched in sending the Hedgehog signal. *Development* 128(24):5119-5127.
18. Chamoun Z, Mann RK, Nellen D, von Kessler DP, Bellotto M, Beachy PA, Basler K (2001) Skinny hedgehog, an acyltransferase required for palmitoylation and activity of the hedgehog signal. *Science* 293(5537):2080-2084.
19. Ingham PW, McMahon AP (2001) Hedgehog signaling in animal development: paradigms and principles. *Genes Dev* 15(23):3059-3087.
20. Caspary T, Garcia-Garcia MJ, Huangfu D, Eggenschwiler JT, Wyler MR, Rakeman AS, Alcorn HL, Anderson KV (2002) Mouse Dispatched homolog1 is required for long-range, but not juxtacrine, Hh signaling. *Curr Biol* 12(18):1628-1632.
21. Dai P, Shinagawa T, Nomura T, Harada J, Kaul SC, Wadhwa R, Khan MM, Akimaru H, Sasaki H, Colmenares C (2002) Ski is involved in transcriptional regulation by the repressor and full-length forms of Gli3. *Genes Dev* 16(22):2843-2848.
22. Ma Y, Erkner A, Gong P, Yao S, Taipale J, Basler K, Beachy PA (2002) Hedgehog-mediated patterning of the mammalian embryo requires transporter-like function of dispatched. *Cell* 111(1):63-75.
23. Porter JA, Young KE, Beachy PA (1996) Cholesterol modification of hedgehog signaling proteins in animal development. *Science* 274(5285):255-259.
24. Cohen MMJr (2004) The hedgehog signaling network. *Am J Med Genet A*. 123A(1):5-28. Erratum in: *Am J Med Genet* (2004) 124A(4):439-440.
25. Lee JJ, Ekker SC, von Kessler DP, Porter JA, Sun BI, Beachy PA (1994) Autoproteolysis in hedgehog protein biogenesis. *Science* 266(5190):1528-1537.
26. Porter JA, von Kessler DP, Ekker SC, Young KE, Lee JJ, Moses K, Beachy PA (1995) The product of hedgehog autoproteolytic cleavage active in local and long-range signalling. *Nature* 374(6520):363-366.
27. Pepinsky RB, Zeng C, Wen D, Rayhorn P, Baker DP, Williams KP, Bixler SA, Ambrose CM, Garber EA, Miatkowski K, et al. (1998) Identification of a palmitic acid-modified form of human Sonic hedgehog. *J Biol Chem* 273(22):14037-14045.
28. Lewis PM, Dunn MP, McMahon JA, Logan M, Martin JF, St-Jacques B, McMahon AP (2001) Cholesterol modification of sonic hedgehog is required for long-range signaling activity and effective modulation of signaling by Ptc1. *Cell* 105(5):599-612.
29. Wang Y, McMahon AP, Allen BL (2007) Shifting paradigms in Hedgehog signaling. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 19(2):159-165.
30. Zeng X, Goetz JA, Suber LM, Scott WJ Jr, Schreiner CM, Robbins DJ (2001) A freely diffusible form of Sonic hedgehog mediates long-range signalling. *Nature* 411(6838):716-720.
31. Chen MH, Li YJ, Kawakami T, Xu SM, Chuang PT (2004) Palmitoylation is required for the production of a soluble multimeric Hedgehog protein complex and long-range signaling in vertebrates. *Genes Dev* 18(6):641-659.

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
 - 61
 - 62
 - 63
 - 64
 - 65
32. Tian H, Tenzen T, McMahon AP (2004) Dose dependency of *Disp1* and genetic interaction between *Disp1* and other hedgehog signaling components in the mouse. *Development* 131(16):4021-33.
33. Burke R, Nellen D, Bellotto M, Hafen E, Senti KA, Dickson BJ, Basler K (1999) Dispatched, a novel sterol-sensing domain protein dedicated to the release of cholesterol-modified hedgehog from signaling cells. *Cell* 99(7):803-815.
34. McCarthy RA, Barth JL, Chintalapudi MR, Knaak C, Argraves WS (2002) Megalin functions as an endocytic sonic hedgehog receptor. *J Biol Chem* 277(28):25660-25667.
35. Fico A, Maina F, Dono R (2011) Fine-tuning of cell signaling by glypicans. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 68(6):923-929.
36. Gritli-Linde A, Lewis P, McMahon AP, Linde A (2001) The whereabouts of a morphogen: direct evidence for short- and graded long-range activity of hedgehog signaling peptides. *Dev Biol* 236(2):364-386.
37. Litingtung Y, Chiang C (2000) Control of *Shh* activity and signaling in the neural tube. *Dev Dyn* 219(2):143-154.
38. Goetz SC, Anderson KV (2010) The primary cilium: a signalling centre during vertebrate development. *Nat Rev Genet* 11(5):331-344.
39. Izzi L, Lévesque M, Morin S, Laniel D, Wilkes BC, Mille F, Krauss RS, McMahon AP, Allen BL, Charron F (2011) *Boc* and *Gas1* each form distinct *Shh* receptor complexes with *Ptch1* and are required for *Shh*-mediated cell proliferation. *Dev Cell* 20(6):788-801.
40. Marigo V, Davey RA, Zuo Y, Cunningham JM, Tabin CJ (1996) Biochemical evidence that patched is the Hedgehog receptor. *Nature* 384(6605):176-179.
41. Kang JS, Zhang W, Krauss RS (2007) Hedgehog signaling: cookinh with *Gas1*. *Sci STKE* 2007(403):pe50.
42. Hooper JE, Scott MP (2005) Communicating with Hedgehogs. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 6(4):306-317.
43. Tenzen T, Allen BL, Cole F, Kang JS, Krauss RS, McMahon AP (2006) The cell surface membrane proteins *Cdo* and *Boc* are components and targets of the Hedgehog signaling pathway and feedback network in mice. *Dev Cell* 10(5):647-656.
44. Zhang W, Kang JS, Cole F, Yi MJ, Krauss RS (2006) *Cdo* functions at multiple points in the Sonic Hedgehog pathway, and *Cdo*-deficient mice accurately model human holoprosencephaly. *Dev Cell* 10(5):657-665.
45. Lum L, Yao S, Mozer B, Rovescalli A, Von Kessler D, Nirenberg M, Beachy PA (2003) Identification of Hedgehog pathway components by RNAi in *Drosophila* cultured cells. *Science* 299(5615):2039-2045.
46. Chuang PT, McMahon AP (1999) Vertebrate Hedgehog signalling modulated by induction of a Hedgehog-binding protein. *Nature* 397(6720):617-621.
47. Motoyama J, Takabatake T, Takeshima K, Hui C (1998) *Ptch2*, a second mouse Patched gene is co-expressed with Sonic hedgehog. *Nat Genet* 18(2):104-106.
48. Taipale J, Cooper MK, Maiti T, Beachy PA (2002) Patched acts catalytically to suppress the activity of Smoothed. *Nature* 418(6900):892-897.
49. Corcoran RB, Scott MP (2006) Oxysterols stimulate Sonic hedgehog signal transduction and proliferation of medulloblastoma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103(22):8408-8413.
50. Bijlsma MF, Spek CA, Zivkovic D, van de Water S, Rezaee F, Peppelenbosch MP (2006). Repression of smoothed by patched-dependent (pro-)vitamin D3 secretion. *PLoS Biol* 4(8):e232.
51. Chen JK, Taipale J, Cooper MK, Beachy PA (2002a) Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling by direct binding of cyclopamine to Smoothed. *Genes Dev* 16(21):2743-2748.

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
 - 61
 - 62
 - 63
 - 64
 - 65
52. Chen JK, Taipale J, Young KE, Maiti T, Beachy PA (2002b) Small molecule modulation of Smoothened activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99(22):14071-14076.
53. Frank-Kamenetsky M, Zhang XM, Bottega S, Guicherit O, Wichterle H, Dudek H, Bumcrot D, Wang FY, Jones S, Shulok J, et al. (2002) Small-molecule modulators of Hedgehog signaling: identification and characterization of Smoothened agonists and antagonists. *J Biol* 1(2):10.
54. Cooper MK, Wassif CA, Krakowiak PA, Taipale J, Gong R, Kelley RI, Porter FD, Beachy PA (2003) A defective response to Hedgehog signaling in disorders of cholesterol biosynthesis. *Nat Genet* 33(4):508-513.
55. Cohen MM Jr (2010) Hedgehog signaling update. *Am J Med Genet A* 152A(8):1875-1914.
56. Chen Y, Sasai N, Ma G, Yue T, Jia J, Briscoe J, Jiang J (2011) Sonic Hedgehog dependent phosphorylation by CK1 α and GRK2 is required for ciliary accumulation and activation of smoothened. *PLoS Biol* 9(6):e1001083.
57. Ingham PW, Nakano Y, Seger C (2011) Mechanisms and functions of Hedgehog signalling across the metazoa. *Nature Rev Genet* 12:393-406.
58. Jacob J, Briscoe J (2003) Gli proteins and the control of spinal-cord patterning. *EMBO Rep* 4(8):761-765.
59. Ruiz i Altaba A, Mas C, Stecca B (2007) The Gli code: an information nexus regulating cell fate, stemness and cancer. *Trends Cell Biol* 17(9):438-447.
60. Merchant M, Vajdos FF, Ultsch M, Maun HR, Wendt U, Cannon J, Desmarais W, Lazarus RA, de Vos AM, de Sauvage FJ (2004) Suppressor of fused regulates Gli activity through a dual binding mechanism. *Mol Cell Biol* 24(19):8627-8641.
61. Svärd J, Heby-Henricson K, Persson-Lek M, Rozell B, Lauth M, Bergström A, Ericson J, Toftgård R, Teglund S (2006) Genetic elimination of Suppressor of fused reveals an essential repressor function in the mammalian Hedgehog signaling pathway. *Dev Cell* 10(2):187-197.
62. Chen MH, Gao N, Kawakami T, Chuang PT (2005) Mice deficient in the fused homolog do not exhibit phenotypes indicative of perturbed hedgehog signaling during embryonic development. *Mol Cell Biol* 25(16):7042-7053.
63. Merchant M, Evangelista M, Luoh SM, Frantz GD, Chalasani S, Carano RA, van Hoy M, Raminéz J, Ogasawara AK, McFarland LM, et al. (2005) Loss of the serine/threonine kinase fused results in postnatal growth defects and lethality due to progressive hydrocephalus. *Mol Cell Biol* 25(16):7054-7068.
64. Wang B, Fallon JF, Beachy PA (2000) Hedgehog-regulated processing of Gli3 produces an anterior/posterior repressor gradient in the developing vertebrate limb. *Cell* 100(4):423-434.
65. Pan Y, Bai CB, Joyner AL, Wang B (2006) Sonic hedgehog signaling regulates Gli2 transcriptional activity by suppressing its processing and degradation. *Mol Cell Biol* 26(9):3365-3377.
66. Zhang Q, Zhang L, Wang B, Ou CY, Chien CT, Jiang J (2006) A hedgehog-induced BTB protein modulates hedgehog signaling by degrading Ci/Gli transcription factor. *Dev Cell* 10(6):719-729.
67. Pan Y, Wang B (2007) A novel protein-processing domain in Gli2 and Gli3 differentially blocks complete protein degradation by the proteasome. *J Biol Chem* 282(15):10846-10852.
68. Tukachinsky H, Lopez LV, Salic A (2010) A mechanism for vertebrate Hedgehog signaling: recruitment to cilia and dissociation of SuFu-Gli protein complexes. *J Cell Biol* 191(2):415-428.
69. Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (1990) The GLI gene encodes a nuclear protein which binds specific sequences in the human genome. *Mol Cell Biol* 10(2):634-642.

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
 - 61
 - 62
 - 63
 - 64
 - 65
70. Hallikas O, Palin K, Sinjushina N, Rautiainen R, Partanen J, Ukkonen E, Taipale J (2006) Genome-wide prediction of mammalian enhancers based on analysis of transcription-factor binding affinity. *Cell* 124(1):47-59.
71. Zhang XM, Lin E, Yang XJ (2000) Sonic hedgehog-mediated ventralization disrupts formation of the midbrain-hindbrain junction in the chick embryo. *Dev Neurosci* 22(3):207-216.
72. Hallonet ME, Teillet MA, Le Douarin NM (1990) A new approach to the development of the cerebellum provided by the quail-chick marker system. *Development* 108:19-31.
73. Hallonet ME, Le Douarin NM (1993) Tracing neuroepithelial cells of the mesencephalic and metencephalic alar plates during cerebellar ontogeny in quail-chick chimaeras. *Eur J Neurosci* 5(9):1145-1155.
74. Hallonet ME, Alvarado-Mallart RM (1997) The chick/quail chimeric system: a model for early cerebellar development. *Perspect Dev Neurobiol* 5(1):17-31.
75. Broccoli V, Boncinelli E, Wurst W (1999) The caudal limit of Otx2 expression positions the isthmus organizer. *Nature* 401(6749):164-168.
76. Li JY, Lao Z, Joyner AL (2005) New regulatory interactions and cellular responses in the isthmus organizer region revealed by altering Gbx2 expression. *Development* 132(8):1971-1981.
77. Martinez S, Wassef M, Alvarado-Mallart RM (1991) Induction of a mesencephalic phenotype in the 2-day-old chick prosencephalon is preceded by the early expression of the homeobox gene engrailed. *Neuron* 6:971-981.
78. Martinez S, Crossley PH, Cobos I, Rubenstein JL, Martin GR (1999) FGF8 induces formation of an ectopic isthmus organizer and isthmocerebellar development via a repressive effect on Otx2 expression. *Development* 126(6):1189-1200.
79. Sotelo C (2004) Cellular and genetic regulation of the development of the cerebellar system. *Prog Neurobiol* 72:295-339.
80. Fuccillo M, Joyner AL, Fishell G (2006) Morphogen to mitogen: the multiple roles of hedgehog signalling in vertebrate neural development. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 7(10):772-783.
81. Altman J, Bayer SA (1997) *Development of the Cerebellar System: In Relation to its Evolution, Structure, and Functions*. New York: CRC Press.
82. Hoshino M, Nakamura S, Mori K, Kawauchi T, Terao M, Nishimura YV, Fukuda A, Fuse T, Matsuo N, Sone M, et al. (2005) Ptf1a, a bHLH transcriptional gene, defines GABAergic neuronal fates in cerebellum. *Neuron* 47:201-213.
83. Akazawa C, Ishibashi M, Shimizu C, Nakanishi S, Kageyama R (1995) A mammalian helix-loop-helix factor structurally related to the product of *Drosophila* proneural gene *atonal* is a positive transcriptional regulator expressed in the developing nervous system. *J Biol Chem* 270:8730-8738.
84. Seto Y, Nakatani T, Masuyama N, Taya S, Kumai M, Minaki Y, Hamaguchi A, Inoue YU, Inoue T, Miyashita S, et al. (2014) Temporal identity transition from Purkinje cell progenitors to GABAergic interneuron progenitors in the cerebellum. *Nat Commun* 5:3337.
85. Yamada M, Seto Y, Taya S, Owa T, Inoue YU, Inoue T, Kawaguchi Y, Nabeshima Y, Hoshino M (2014) Specification of spatial identities of cerebellar neuronal progenitors by Ptf1a and Atoh1 for proper production of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons. *J Neurosci* 34:4786-4800.
86. Alder J, Cho NK, Hatten ME (1996) Embryonic precursor cells from the rhombic lip are specified to a cerebellar granule neuron identity. *Neuron* 17:389-399.
87. Wingate RJT (2001) The rhombic lip and early cerebellar development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 11: 82-88.

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
 - 61
 - 62
 - 63
 - 64
 - 65
88. Machold R, Fishell G (2005) Math1 is expressed in temporally discrete pools of cerebellar rhombic-lip neural progenitors. *Neuron* 48:17-24.
89. Wang VY, Rose MF, Zoghbi H (2005) Math1 expression redefines the rhombic lip derivatives and reveals novel lineages within the brainstem and cerebellum. *Neuron* 48:31-43.
90. Fink AJ, Englund C, Daza RA, Pham D, Lau C, Nivison M, Kowalczyk T, Hevner RF (2006) Development of the deep cerebellar nuclei: transcription factors and cell migration from the rhombic lip. *J Neurosci* 26:3066-3076.
91. Englund C, Kowalczyk T, Daza RA, Dagan A, Lau C, Rose MF, Hevner RF (2006) Unipolar brush cells of the cerebellum are produced in the rhombic lip and migrate through developing white matter. *J Neurosci* 26:9184-9195.
92. Carletti B, Rossi F (2008) Neurogenesis in the cerebellum. *The Neuroscientist* 14:91-100.
93. Miale IL, Sidman RL (1961) An autoradiographic analysis of histogenesis in the mouse cerebellum. *Exp Neurol* 4:277-296.
94. Sekerková, G., Ilijic, E. and Mugnaini, E. (2004b). Time of origin of unipolar brush cells in the rat cerebellum as observed by prenatal bromodeoxyuridine labeling. *Neuroscience*. 127:845-858.
95. Dahmane N, Ruiz i Altaba A (1999) Sonic hedgehog regulates the growth and patterning of the cerebellum. *Development* 126:3089-3100.
96. Corrales JD, Rocco GL, Blaess S, Guo Q, Joyner AL (2004) Spatial pattern of sonic hedgehog signaling through Gli genes during cerebellum development. *Development* 131:5581-5590.
97. Lewis PM, Gritli-Linde A, Smeyne R, Kottmann A, McMahon AP (2004) Sonic hedgehog signaling is required for expansion of granule neuron precursors and patterning of the mouse cerebellum. *Dev Biol* 270:393-410.
98. Huang X, Liu J, Ketova T, Fleming JT, Grover VK, Cooper MK, Litingtung Y, Chiang C (2010) Transventricular delivery of Sonic hedgehog is essential to cerebellar ventricular zone development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:8422-8427.
99. Fujita S, Simada M, Nakanuna T (1966) 3H-thymidine autoradiographic studies on the cell proliferation and differentiation in the external and internal granular layers of the mouse cerebellum. *J Comp Neurol* 128:191-209.
100. Sidman RL, Lane PW, Dickie MM (1962) Staggerer, a new mutation in the mouse affecting the cerebellum. *Science* 137(3530):610-612.
101. Rakic P, Sidman RL (1973) Organization of cerebellar cortex secondary to deficit of granule cells in weaver mutant mice. *J Comp Neurol* 152(2):133-161.
102. Herrup K, Mullen RJ (1979) Staggerer chimeras: intrinsic nature of Purkinje cell defects and implications for normal cerebellar development. *Brain Res* 178(2-3):443-457.
103. Doughty ML, Delhaye-Bouchaud N, Mariani J (1998) Quantitative analysis of cerebellar lobulation in normal and agranular rats. *J. Comp. Neurol* 399:306-320.
104. Corrales JD, Blaess S, Mahoney EM, Joyner AL (2006) The level of sonic hedgehog signaling regulates the complexity of cerebellar foliation. *Development* 133:1811-1821.
105. Behesti H, Marino S (2009) Cerebellar granule cells: insights into proliferation, differentiation, and role in medulloblastoma pathogenesis. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 41(3):435-445.
106. Roussel MF, Hatten ME (2011) Cerebellum development and medulloblastoma. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 94:235-282.
107. Choi Y, Borghesani PR, Chan JA, Segal RA (2005) Migration from a mitogenic niche promotes cell-cycle exit. *J Neurosci* 25(45):10437-10445.

108. Ishizaki Y (2006) Control of proliferation and differentiation of neural precursor cells: focusing on the developing cerebellum. *J Pharmacol Sci* 101(3):183-188.
109. Sonmez E, Herrup K (1984) Role of staggerer gene in determining cell number in cerebellar cortex. II. Granule cell death and persistence of the external granule cell layer in young mouse chimeras. *Brain Res* 314(2):271-283.
110. Vogel MW, Sunter K, Herrup K (1989) Numerical matching between granule and Purkinje cells in lurcher chimeric mice: a hypothesis for the thropic rescue of granule cells from target-related cell death. *J Neurosci* 9(10):3454-3462.
111. Smeyne RJ, Chu T, Lewin A, Bian F, S-Crisman S, Kunsch C, Lira SA, Oberdick J, (1995) Local control of granule cell generation by cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci* 6:230-251.
112. Mullen RJ, Eicher EM, Sidman RL (1976) Purkinje cell degeneration, a new neurological mutation in the mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 73(1):208-212.
113. Wallace VA (1999) Purkinje-cell-derived Sonic hedgehog regulates granule neuron precursor cell proliferation in the developing mouse cerebellum. *Curr Biol* 9:445-448.
114. Wechsler-Reya RJ, Scott MP (1999) Control of neuronal precursor proliferation in the cerebellum by Sonic Hedgehog. *Neuron* 22:103-114.
115. Nicot A, Lelièvre V, Tam J, Waschek JA, DiCicco-Bloom E (2002) Pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide and sonic hedgehog interact to control cerebellar granule precursor cell proliferation. *J Neurosci* 22(21):9244-9254.
116. Oliver TG, Grasdeder LL, Carroll AL, Kaiser C, Gillingham CL, Lin SM, Wickramasinghe R, Scott MP, Wechsler-Reya RJ (2003) Transcriptional profiling of the Sonic hedgehog response: a critical role for N-myc in proliferation of neuronal precursors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100(12):7331-7336.
117. Knoepfler PS, Cheng PF, Eisenman RN (2002) N-myc is essential during neurogenesis for the rapid expansion of progenitor cell populations and the inhibition of neuronal differentiation. *Genes Dev* 16(20):2699-2712.
118. Kenney AM, Cole MD, Rowitch DH (2003) Nmyc upregulation by sonic hedgehog signaling promotes proliferation in developing cerebellar granule neuron precursors. *Development* 130:15-28.
119. Shambaugh GE 3rd, Lee RJ, Watanabe G, Erfurth F, Karnezis AN, Koch AE, Haines GK 3rd, Halloran M, Brody BA, Pestell RG (1996) Reduced cyclin D1 expression in the cerebella of nutritionally deprived rats correlates with developmental delay and decreased cellular DNA synthesis. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 55(9):1009-1020.
120. Watanabe G, Pena P, Shambaugh GE 3rd, Haines GK 3rd, Pestell RG (1998) Regulation of cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor proteins during neonatal cerebella development. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* 108(1-2):77-87.
121. Parmigiani E, Leto K, Rolando C, Figueres-Onãte M, López-Mascaraque L, Buffo A, Rossi F (2015) Heterogeneity and Bipotency of Astroglial-Like Cerebellar Progenitors along the Interneuron and Glial Lineages. *J Neurosci* 35(19):7388-7402.
122. Durand B, Fero ML, Roberts JM, Raff MC (1998) p27Kip1 alters the response of cells to mitogen and is part of a cell-intrinsic timer that arrests the cell cycle and initiates differentiation. *Curr Biol* 8(8):431-440.
123. Miyazawa K, Himi T, Garcia V, Yamagishi H, Sato S, Ishizaki Y (2000) A role for p27/Kip1 in the control of cerebellar granule cell precursor proliferation. *J Neurosci* 20(15):5756-5763.
124. Pons S, Trejo JL, Martinez-Morales JR, Marti E (2001) Vitronectin regulates Sonic hedgehog activity during cerebellum development through CREB phosphorylation. *Development* 128(9):1481-1492.

125. Weisheit G, Gliem M, Endl E, Pfeffer PL, Busslinger M, Schilling K (2006) Postnatal development of the murine cerebellar cortex: formation and early dispersal of basket, stellate and Golgi neurons. *Eur J Neurosci* 24:466-478.
126. Maricich SM, Herrup K (1999) Pax-2 expression defines a subset of GABAergic interneurons and their precursors in the developing murine cerebellum. *J Neurobiol* 41:281-294.
127. Zhang L, Goldman JE (1996) Generation of cerebellar interneurons from dividing progenitors in white matter. *Neuron* 16(1):47-54.
128. Leto K, Carletti B, Williams IM, Magrassi L, Rossi F (2006) Different types of cerebellar GABAergic interneurons originate from a common pool of multipotent progenitor cells. *J Neurosci* 26:11682-11694.
129. Leto K, Bartolini A, Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Magrassi L, Schilling K, Rossi F (2009) Laminar fate and phenotype specification of cerebellar GABAergic interneurons. *J Neurosci* 29:7079-7091.
130. Sudarov A, Turnbull RK, Kim EJ, Lebel-Potter M, Guillemot F, Joyner AL (2011) *Ascl1* genetics reveals insights into cerebellum local circuit assembly. *J Neurosci* 31:11055-11069.
131. Klein C, Butt SJ, Machold RP, Johnson JE, Fishell G (2005) Cerebellum- and forebrain-derived stem cells possess intrinsic regional character. *Development* 132:4497-4508.
132. Lee A, Kessler JD, Read TA, Kaiser C, Corbeil D, Huttner WB, Johnson JE, Wechsler-Reya RJ (2005) Isolation of neural stem cells from the postnatal cerebellum. *Nat Neurosci* 6:723-729.
133. Milosevic A, Goldman JE (2004) Potential of progenitors from postnatal cerebellar neuroepithelium and white matter: lineage specified vs. multipotent fate. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 26(2):342-353.
134. Grimaldi P, Parras C, Guillemot F, Rossi F, Wassef M (2009) Origins and control of the differentiation of inhibitory interneurons and glia in the cerebellum. *Dev. Biol* 328:422-433.
135. Silbereis J, Cheng E, Ganat YM, Ment LR, Vaccarino FM (2009) Precursors with glial fibrillary acidic protein promoter activity transiently generate GABA interneurons in the postnatal cerebellum. *Stem Cells* 27:1152-1163.
136. Fleming JT, He W, Hao C, Ketova T, Pan FC, Wright CV, Litingtung Y, Chiang C (2013) The Purkinje neuron acts as a central regulator of spatially and functionally distinct cerebellar precursors. *Dev Cell* 27:278-292.
137. De Luca A, Parmigiani E, Tosatto G, Martire S, Hoshino M, Buffo A, Leto K, Rossi F (2015) Exogenous sonic hedgehog modulates the pool of GABAergic interneurons during cerebellar development. *Cerebellum*. 14:72-85.
138. Schilling K, Oberdick J, Rossi F, Baader SL (2008) Besides Purkinje cells and granule neurons: an appraisal of the cell biology of the interneurons of the cerebellar cortex. *Histochem Cell Biol* 130:601-615.
139. Ramón y Cajal S (1911) *Histologie du système nerveux de l'homme et des vertébrés*. Paris: Maloine.
140. Palay S, Chan-Palay V (1974) *Cerebellar Cortex*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
141. Buffo A, Rossi F (2013) Origin, lineage and function of cerebellar glia. *Prog Neurobiol* 109:42-63.
142. Yuasa S (1996) Bergmann glial development in the mouse cerebellum as revealed by tenascin expression. *Anat Embryol* 194:223-234.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
143. Yamada K, Watanabe M (2002) Cytodifferentiation of Bergmann glia and its relationship with Purkinje cells. *Anat Sci Int* 77:94–108.
 144. Mori T, Tanaka K, Buffo A, Wurst W, Kuehn R, Goetz M (2006) Inducible gene deletion in astroglia and radial glia—a valuable tool for functional and lineage analysis. *Glia*. 54:21–34.
 145. Sotelo C, Alvarado-Mallart RM (1991) The reconstruction of cerebellar circuits. *Trends Neurosci* 14(8):350-355.
 146. Rossi F, Borsello T, Strata P (1992) Embryonic Purkinje Cells Grafted on the Surface of the Cerebellar Cortex Integrate in the Adult Unlesioned Cerebellum. *Eur J Neurosci* 4(6):589-593.
 147. Wallace VA, Raff MC (1999) A role for Sonic hedgehog in axon-to-astrocyte signalling in the rodent optic nerve. *Development* 126(13):2901-2909.
 148. Sehgal R, Sheibani N, Rhodes SJ, Belecky Adams TL (2009) BMP7 and SHH regulate Pax2 in mouse retinal astrocytes by relieving TLX repression. *Dev Biol* 332(2):429-443.
 149. Garcia AD, Petrova R, Eng L, Joyner AL (2010) Sonic hedgehog regulates discrete populations of astrocytes in the adult mouse forebrain. *J Neurosci* 30(41):13597-13608.
 150. Poncelet C, Soula C, Trousse F, Kan P, Hirsinger E, Pourquie O, Duprat AM, Cochard A (1996) Induction of oligodendrocyte progenitors in the trunk neural tube by ventralizing signals: effects of notochord and floor plate grafts, and of sonic hedgehog. *Mech Dev* 60(1):13-32.
 151. Lu QR, Yuk D, Alberta JA, Zhu Z, Pawlitzky I, Chan J, McMahon AP, Stiles CD, Rowitch DH (2000) Sonic hedgehog-regulated oligodendrocyte lineage genes encoding bHLH proteins in the mammalian central nervous system. *Neuron* 25:317-329.
 152. Lu Q, Sun T, Zhu Z, Ma N, Garcia M, Stiles CD, Rowitch DH (2002) Common developmental requirement for Olig function indicates a motor neuron/oligodendrocyte connection. *Cell* 109:75–86.
 153. Alberta JA, Park SK, Mora J, Yuk D, Pawlitzky I, Iannarelli P, Vartanian T, Stiles CD, Rowitch DH (2001) Sonic hedgehog is required during an early phase of oligodendrocyte development in mammalian brain. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 18(4):434-441.
 154. Danesin C, Agius E, Escalles N, Ai X, Emerson C, Cochard P, Soula C (2006) Ventral neural progenitors switch toward an oligodendroglial fate in response to increased Sonic hedgehog (Shh) activity: involvement of Sulfatase 1 in modulating Shh signaling in the ventral spinal cord. *J Neurosci* 26(19):5037-5048.
 155. Merchán P, Bribián A, Sánchez-Camacho C, Lezameta M, Bovolenta P, de Castro F (2007) Sonic hedgehog promotes the migration and proliferation of optic nerve oligodendrocyte precursors. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 36(3):355-368.
 156. Ortega MC, Cases O, Merchán P, Kozyraki R, Clemente D, de Castro F (2012) Megalin mediates the influence of sonic hedgehog on oligodendrocyte precursor cell migration and proliferation during development. *Glia* 60(6):851-866.
 157. Traiffort E, Charytoniuk DA, Faure H, Ruat M (1998) Regional distribution of Sonic Hedgehog, patched, and smoothed mRNA in the adult rat brain. *J Neurochem* 70(3):1327-30.
 158. Fisher M, Trimmer P, Ruthel G (1993) Bergmann glia require continuous association with Purkinje cells for normal phenotype expression. *Glia* 8(3):172-182.
 159. Mecklenburg N, Martinez-Lopez JE, Moreno-Bravo JA, Perez-Balaguer A, Puelles E, Martinez S (2014) Growth and differentiation factor 10 (Gdf10) is involved in Bergmann glial cell development under Shh regulation. *Glia* 62(10):1713-1723.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
160. Bouslama-Oueghlani L, Wehrlé R, Doulazmi M, Chen XR, Jaudon F, Lemaigre-Dubreuil Y, Rivals I, Sotelo C, Dusart I (2012) Purkinje cell maturation participates in the control of oligodendrocyte differentiation: role of Sonic hedgehog and vitronectin. *PLoS One* 7(11):e49015.
 161. Barres, B. A. and Raff, M. C. (1993). Proliferation of oligodendrocyte precursor cells depends on electrical activity in axons. *Nature*. 361(6409):258-260.
 162. Trapp BD, Nishiyama A, Cheng D, Macklin W (1997) Differentiation and death of premyelinating oligodendrocytes in developing rodent brain. *J Cell Biol* 137(2):459-468.
 163. Burne JF, Staple JK, Raff MC (1996) Glial cells are increased proportionally in transgenic optic nerves with increased numbers of axons. *J Neurosci* 16(6):2064-2073.
 164. Sudarov A, Joyner AL (2007) Cerebellum morphogenesis: the foliation pattern is orchestrated by multi-cellular anchoring centers. *Neural Dev* 3:26.
 165. Kool M, Koster J, Bunt J, Hasselt NE, Lakeman A, van Sluis P, Troost D, Meeteren NS, Caron HN, Cloos J, et al. (2008) Integrated genomics identifies five medulloblastoma subtypes with distinct genetic profiles, pathway signatures and clinicopathological features. *PLoS One* 3:e3088.
 166. Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Witt H, Hielscher T, Eberhart CG, Mack S, Bouffet E, Clifford SC, Hawkins CE, French P, et al. (2011) Medulloblastoma comprises four distinct molecular variants. *J Clin Oncol* 29:1408–1414.
 167. Northcott PA, Hielscher T, Dubuc A, Mack S, Shih D, Remke M, Al-Halabi H, Albrecht S, Jabado N, Eberhart CG, et al. (2011) Pediatric and adult sonic hedgehog medulloblastomas are clinically and molecularly distinct. *Acta Neuropath* 122:231–240.
 168. Northcott PA, Shih DJ, Peacock J, Garzia L, Morrissy AS, Zichner T, Stütz AM, Korshunov A, Reimand J, Schumacher SE, et al. (2012) Subgroup-specific structural variation across 1,000 medulloblastoma genomes. *Nature* 488:49-56.
 169. Aref D, Moffatt CJ, Agnihotri S, Ramaswamy V, Dubuc AM, Northcott PA, Taylor MD, Perry A, Olson JM, Eberhart CG, et al. (2012) Canonical TGF-beta pathway activity is a predictor of SHH-driven medulloblastoma survival and delineates putative precursors in cerebellar development. *Brain Pathol* 23(2):178-191.
 170. Oliver TG, Read TA, Kessler JD, Mehmeti A, Wells JF, Huynh TT, Lin SM, Wechsler-Reya RJ (2005) Loss of patched and disruption of granule cell development in a pre-neoplastic stage of medulloblastoma. *Development* 132:2425–2439.
 171. Crawford JR, MacDonald TJ, Packer RJ (2007) Medulloblastoma in childhood: new biological advances. *Lancet Neurol* 6:1073–1085.
 172. Raffel C (2004) Medulloblastoma: molecular genetics and animal models. *Neoplasia* 6:310–322.
 173. Taylor MD, Liu L, Raffel C, Hui CC, Mainprize TG, Zhang X, Agatep R, Chiapa S, Gao L, Lowrance A, et al. (2002) Mutations in SUFU predispose to medulloblastoma. *Nat Genet*. 31:306–310.
 174. Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Remke M, Cho YJ, Clifford SC, Eberhart CG, Parsons DW, Rutkowski S, Gajjar A, et al. (2012) Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus. *Acta Neuropathol* 123:465-72.
 175. Eberhart CG (2003) Medulloblastoma in Mice Lacking p53 and PARP. *All Roads Lead To Gli*. *Am J Pathol* 162(1):7-10.
 176. Hatton BA, Villavicencio EH, Tsuchiya KD, Pritchard JI, Ditzler S, Pullar B, Hansen S, Knoblaugh SE, Lee D, Eberhart CG, et al. (2008) The Smo/Smo model: hedgehog-induced medulloblastoma with 90% incidence and leptomeningeal spread. *Cancer Res* 68(6):1768–1776.

- 1
2
3 177. Goodrich, L. V., Milenkovic, L., Higgins, K. M. and Scott, M. P. (1997). Altered
4 neural cell fates and medulloblastoma in mouse patched mutants. *Science*. 277:1109–1113.
5 178. Goodrich LV, Scott MP (1998) Hedgehog and patched in neural development and
6 disease. *Neuron* 21:1243–1257.
7 179. Gorlin RJ (1995) Nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. *Dermatol Clin* 13:113–125.
8 180. Kim J, Nelson AL, Algon SA, Graves O, Sturla LM, Goumnerova LC, Rowitch DH,
9 Segal RA, Pomeroy SL (2003) Medulloblastoma tumorigenesis diverges from cerebellar
10 granule cell differentiation in patched heterozygous mice. *Dev Biol* 263:50–66.
11 181. Brugières L, Remenieras A, Pierron G, Varlet P, Forget S, Byrde V, Bombléd J,
12 Puget S, Caron O, Dufour C, et al. (2012) High frequency of germline SUFU mutations in
13 children with desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma younger than 3 years of age. *J Clin*
14 *Oncol* 30:2087-2093.
15 182. Smith MJ, Beetz C, Williams SG, Bhaskar SS, O’Sullivan J, Anderson B, Daly SB,
16 Urquhart JE, Bholah Z, Oudit D, et al. (2014) Germline Mutations in SUFU Cause Gorlin
17 Syndrome– Associated Childhood Medulloblastoma and Redefine the Risk Associated With
18 PTCH1 Mutations. *J Clin Oncol* 32(36):4155-4161.
19 183. Yoon JW, Gilbertson R, Iannaccone S, Iannaccone P, Walterhouse D (2008)
20 Defining a role for Sonic hedgehog pathway activation in desmoplastic medulloblastoma by
21 identifying GLI1 target genes. *Int J Cancer* 124:109–119.
22 184. Buczkowicz P, Ma J, Hawkins C (2011) GLI2 is a potential therapeutic target in
23 pediatric medulloblastoma, *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 70(6):430-437.
24 185. Li P, Du F, Yuelling LW, Lin T, Muradimova RE, Tricarico R, Wang J, Enikolopov
25 G, Bellacosa A, Whechsler-Reya RJ et al. (2013) A population of Nestin-expressing
26 progenitors in the cerebellum exhibits increased tumorigenicity. *Nat Neurosci*.
27 16(12):1737-1744.
28 186. Park M, Park HJ, Eom HS, Kwon YJ, Park JA, Lim YJ, Yoon JH, Kong SY, Ghim
29 TT, Lee HW, et al. (2013) Safety and effects of prophylactic defibrotide for sinusoidal
30 obstruction syndrome in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Ann Transplant* 18:36-42.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Figure legends.**


43 **Figure 1. Shh signalling pathway in Vertebrates.** (A₁) Shh protein is synthesized as 45 kDa
44 precursor protein (**Shh**) that is palmitoylated at the N-terminus and concomitantly auto-catalytically
45 cleaved. Successively, a cholesterol-modification occurs to obtain a 19 kDa dually lipidated N-
46 terminal signaling protein (**SHH-N**). Shh is then trafficked to the cell surface and released from
47 cells as a multimer (**M-Shh-N**) in a process mediated by Dispatched1 (**Disp1**). (A₂) Interactions
48 with both megalin and glypicans regulate the long range Shh signalling. Binding to the
49 transmembrane protein megalin promotes Shh internalization, resulting in either degradation or
50 subsequent exocytosis. The Shh affinity for megalin is increased by glypicans. (B₁) Primary cilia are
51 key organelles where Shh signalling takes place. On their membranes both receptors (ptch1) and co-
52 receptors (Cdo/Boc) are exposed. These structures also contain regulatory microtubule-associated
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 complexes composed by Suppressor of Fused (Sufu), Kif7, PKA, GSK3, CK1 and Gli proteins. In
2 the absence of Shh, Ptch1 prevents membrane localization and activation of Smo, retaining it on
3 intracellular vesicles. In this context, Gli proteins are held in the microtubule-associated complex,
4 that induces Gli protein phosphorylation (**red P**) to obtain a transcriptional repressor form (**Gli**
5 **repressor**). (**B₂**) When Shh interacts with the Ptch1 and Cdo/Boc, Smo is shuttled from an
6 endocytic vesicle to the cilium whereas hedgehog patched complex is internalized and degraded by
7 lysosomes. (**B₃**) The de-repression of Smo induces the dissociation of Sufu-Gli-kinases complex
8 promoting formation of the Gli activator form (**Gli activator**), that after nuclear translocation
9 activates transcription of downstream targets.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17
18 **Figure 2. Shh functions during cerebellar development.**

19
20 (A) During the embryonic development, Shh is first secreted by choroid plexi (ChP) and is essential
21 to radial glial cell proliferation and expansion of Ptf1a⁺ progenitors of GABAergic neurons.
22 Purkinje cells start the secretion of this morphogen by E17.5, modulating the correct differentiation
23 of glial progenitors into mature BG. (B) Postnatally, Shh acts as a mitogen on both granule and
24 oligodendrocyte precursor cells (GCPs and OPCs), in the EGL and PWM respectively. In the
25 PWM, Shh also exerts a proliferative function on the neural stem-cell-like progenitors
26 (Tnc⁺CD133⁺) that generate both intermediate astrocyte precursors (Tnc⁺CD15⁺) and GABAergic
27 transient amplifying cells (Ptf1a⁺). PWM, prospective white matter; IGL, internal granular layer;
28 PCL, Purkinje cell layer; EGL, external granular layer
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1
Click here to download Figure: Figure 1.tif



This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Sonic hedgehog patterning during cerebellar development.

De Luca A, Cerrato V, Fucà E, Parmigiani E, Buffo A, Leto K.

Cell Mol Life Sci. 2016 Jan;73(2):291-303. doi: 10.1007/s00018-015-2065-1.

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00018-015-2065-1>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00018-015-2065-1>

This full text was downloaded from iris-AperTO: <https://iris.unito.it/>