AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Rapid on-site identification of the biocontrol agent of the Asian chestnut gall wasp

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1601009 since 2016-10-12T11:13:52Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1080/09583157.2016.1195335

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

27 April 2024

IrIS AperTO

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Colombari, F.; Villari, C.; Simonato, M.; Cascone, P.; Ferracini, C.; Alma, A.; Guerrieri and A Battisti, E. Guerrieri and A. Battisti. Rapid on-site identification of the biocontrol agent of the Asian chestnut gall wasp. BIOCONTROL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. 26 (9) pp: 1285-1297.
DOI: 10.1080/09583157.2016.1195335

The publisher's version is available at:
http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09583157.2016.1195335

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:
http://hdl.handle.net/

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

iris - AperTO

University of Turin's Institutional Research Information System and Open Access Institutional Repository

Rapid on-site identification of the biocontrol agent of the Asian chestnut gall wasp

Colombari F.[1], Villari C. [1,2], Simonato M. [1], Cascone P. [3], Ferracini C. [4], Alma A. [4], Guerrieri E. [3], Battisti A. [1]

[1] *Department of Agronomy Food Natural resources Animals and Environment (DAFNAE), University of Padova, Agripolis, 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy*; [2] *Department of Plant Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA*; [3] *Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, National Research Council of Italy, 80055 Portici (NA), Italy*; [4] *Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA), University of Torino, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.*

CONTACT Fernanda Colombari ✉ fernanda.colombari@unipd.it

14 # Rapid on-site identification of the biocontrol agent of the Asian
15 chestnut gall wasp

16 **Abstract** In classical biocontrol programs a rapid and correct identification of the introduced
17 antagonist is a key issue during both the release and establishment monitoring phases. It is often
18 difficult to distinguish morphologically cryptic species or immature stages, and, in this case, an
19 accurate diagnosis can be provided by molecular diagnostic methods. Among the conventional
20 and real-time PCR based methods, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is a
21 particularly suitable technique as it allows a rapid amplification of target DNA directly in the
22 field. During the program implemented in Italy against the Asian Chestnut Gall Wasp (ACGW)
23 *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*, we developed a real-time LAMP assay, combined with a simple DNA
24 extraction, for rapid in-field identification of larvae, pupae and adults of the biocontrol agent,
25 the parasitoid *Torymus sinensis*. Validation of the assay comprised adults as well as preimaginal
26 stages of parasitoids obtained from ACGW galls collected from different localities and results
27 confirmed the effectiveness of the LAMP assay to rapidly and specifically identify the target
28 parasitoid in the field. This assay will be a valuable tool for quick on-site checking of the
29 parasitism rate.

30 **Key words:** *Torymus sinensis*, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus,* LAMP (Loop Mediated Isothermal
31 Amplification), ACGW, biocontrol, parasitism rate,

32 ## Introduction

33 The measure of the success of classical biocontrol programs is largely determined by
34 whether and to what extent the target pest's density changes after the establishment of
35 introduced natural enemies (Guit, Wratten, & Barbosa, 2000; Hoddle, 2004; Mahr,
36 Whitaker, & Ridgway, 2008). Identification, preliminary safety testing and release of
37 control agents are undoubtedly matters of great concern (Van Driesche & Hoddle,
38 2000). Nonetheless, once the agent is approved for release, an important issue is
39 quantifying post-release the effectiveness of parasitoids and predators in reducing pest
40 abundance (Stiling & Cornelissen, 2005; Furlong & Zalucki, 2010). To objectively
41 assess the effectiveness of biocontrol in terms of costs and benefits relative to
42 conventional control, standardized measures of success are needed in post-release

43 surveys repeated over time (Sweetman, 1935; Van Driesche & Hoddle, 2000). The
44 evaluation of parasitism rate can be performed following 'rearing' or 'dissection'
45 methods applied to field-collected insects or plant material if host species feed in
46 concealed or semi-concealed situations (i.e. leaf miners, gallmakers, borers). The former
47 method can take a long time and could be delayed by many factors (i.e. diapause,
48 weather conditions), whereas the latter is relatively quick and can guide decisions on
49 whether more or different agents should be released (Day, 1994; Guit et al., 2000).

50 Whichever method is adopted, a correct identification of the parasitoids obtained
51 is the critical step in the evaluation phase of the biocontrol program implemented, as it
52 is a decisive factor when assessing the efficacy and host specificity of control agents
53 and their possible interactions with the pest/native natural enemy complex (Delucchi,
54 Rosen, & Schlinger, 1976; Agustí et al., 2005; Gariepy, Kuhlmann, Gillott, &
55 Erlandson, 2008). Strong support in systematics and taxonomy is essential to correctly
56 identify parasitoids at the species level (Van Driesche & Hoddle, 2000). However, using
57 morphological features to distinguish closely related taxa or members of cryptic species
58 complexes is often difficult, if not impossible, especially for immature stages (Dawah &
59 Rothfritz, 1996; Agustí et al., 2005; Mathé-Hubert, Gatti, Poirié, & Malausa et al.,
60 2013). Several molecular diagnostic methods that have been developed and largely
61 implemented over the last 20 years can assist, complement and even replace
62 morphologically based approaches (Agustí et al., 2005; Gariepy et al., 2008; Jenkins,
63 Chapman, Micallef, & Reynolds, 2012).

64 In particular, the loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is based on
65 specific amplification of target DNA without the need for thermal cycling steps, thus
66 allowing reactions to be performed in a portable heating block (Notomi et al., 2000;
67 Tomlinson, Barker, & Boonham, 2007). The possibility of using LAMP directly in the

field, with short reaction time and no need for a long training period for the staff involved in the survey, gives considerable advantages compared to other conventional or real-time PCR based methods (Jenkins et al., 2012; Tomlinson, Dickinson, & Boonham, 2010; Tomlinson et al., 2013;). As the DNA polymerase in LAMP reactions is not influenced by the co-presence of inhibitors or non-target DNA (Kogovšek et al., 2015; Lenarčič, Morisset, Mehle, & Ravnikar, 2013; Notomi et al., 2000) crude DNA extracts can be used, thus increasing the usefulness and portability of the method in the field (Danks & Boonham, 2007).

The Asian Chestnut Gall Wasp (ACGW) *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), native to China is an invasive species and included in the quarantine list of European Union (EC, 2000). After being accidentally introduced into Japan, Korea, North America, and Nepal (in the forties, fifties, seventies, and nineties, respectively), it arrived in north-western Italy in 2002 and then spread rapidly throughout Italy and several European countries (Slovenia: 2005; France: 2005; Hungary and Switzerland: 2009; Spain, Croatia and the Netherlands: 2010; Czech Republic: 2012; Austria and Germany: 2013; Portugal and Turkey: 2014; United Kingdom: 2015; Belgium: 2016) (EPPO, 2015; EPPO, 2016a, 2016b). ACGW represents a very serious threat to chestnut stands in all the invaded countries as high numbers of galls on leaves and shoots reduces tree vigour and severely affects nut and timber productivity (Kato & Hijii, 1997; Maltoni, Mariotti, & Tani, 2012; Battisti, Benvegnù, Colombari, & Haack, 2014). Management of ACGW infestations, after the unsuccessful attempts to reduce pest densities by other measures, currently relies only on classical biological control methods (Moriya, Inoue, & Mabuchi, 1989). The release of the parasitoid *Torymus sinensis* Kamijo (Hymenoptera: Torymidae) from the native region of the gall wasp has been shown to reduce damage below a tolerable threshold

93 level in Japan (less than 30%; Gyoutoku & Uemura, 1985; Moriya, Shiga, & Adachi,
94 2003) as well as in the USA, where a decline of pest population density has been also
95 reported (Cooper & Rieske, 2007). In Italy, adults of *T. sinensis* were released in the
96 first introduction site of ACGW ~~starting~~ in 2005, and later in all the other invaded areas
97 (Quacchia, Moriya, Bosio, Scapin, & Alma, 2008; MiPAAF, 2010).

98 We developed a real-time LAMP assay combined with a crude DNA extraction
99 for the identification directly in the field of larvae, pupae and adults of *T. sinensis*. The
100 method was developed in order to quickly identify the preimaginal stages of the
101 parasitoid and to evaluate the parasitism rate, so as to aid prompt management decisions
102 regarding possible further releases of the parasitoid. Moreover, it may help the quality
103 assessment of the parasitoid rearing program.

104 **Materials and methods**

105 The real-time LAMP assay was first developed using pure DNA extracted from voucher
106 specimens by a salting out protocol. Then, the assay was validated using crude DNA
107 extracted with a simple procedure from adults emerged from the galls (rearing method)
108 and from preimaginal stages obtained by dissecting collected galls from the field.

109 ***Insect material***

110 For the LAMP protocol development, 13 *T. sinensis*, 29 other chalcid adult parasitoids
111 belonging to the same genus, family, and superfamily (21, 1, and 7 species,
112 respectively; Table 1) as well as two *D. kuriphilus* were used as voucher specimensfor
113 molecular characterization . All the specimens were stored in 70% alcohol after their
114 emergence from chestnut or oak galls (i.e. *T. geranii* Walker emerged from galls of
115 *Biorhiza pallida* Olivier) collected in pure or mixed chestnut stands inVeneto (Crespano

116 del Grappa - TV), Piemonte (Avigliana - TO), and Campania (Serino - AV, Sicignano
117 degli Alburni - SA) regions.

118 Validation of the LAMP protocol was first tested on 30 adults of *T. sinensis* and
119 15 adults of other native chalcid parasitoids belonging to Torymidae (*Megastigmus*
120 *dorsalis* Fabricius and *Glyphomerus stigma* Fabricius), Eurytomidae (*Eurytoma*
121 *pistaciae* Rondani and *E. brunniventris* Ratzeburg) and Eupelmidae (*Eupelmus*
122 *urozonus* Dalman, *E. annulatus* Nees, *E. rostratus* Ruschka). Three adults of *D.*
123 *kuriphilus* were also tested. All these specimens emerged from chestnut galls collected
124 during the summer and winter at the rearing centre of the Veneto Region (Crespano del
125 Grappa); *G. stigma* emerged from galls of *Diplolepis rosae* Linnaeus. In addition, a
126 sample of 10 to 13 adults of *T. sinensis,* obtained from each of 8 Italian and 1 French
127 population were further tested (N=101), including a few individuals from Korea (N=6)
128 and Japan (N=3). All the specimens were first identified using various diagnostic
129 morphological characters (Kamijo, 1982; de Vere Graham & Gijswijt, 1998).

130 The LAMP assay was then tested on larvae and pupae of the populations for
131 which they were available (Table 2). At least 1,000 galls were collected during late
132 winter at 7 Italian release sites in 4 regions (Veneto, Valle d'Aosta, Piemonte, Liguria)
133 and one site in France. After collection, a subsample of 300 galls for each site was
134 stored at 4° C to arrest the development of gall inhabitants at larval or pupal stages.
135 Galls randomly chosen from each subsample were then dissected. Dissections were
136 carried out until between 12 and 16 immature individual parasitoids were obtained and
137 the number dissected recorded.

138 ***DNA extraction***

139 Two types of DNA extractions were performed. For the LAMP assay development, a
140 pure DNA extraction was carried out on 42 adult parasitoids (Table 1) using a salting

out protocol (Patwary, Kenchington, Bird, & Zouros, 1994). Conversely, for the LAMP assay validation, a crude DNA extraction was performed following a simple protocol intended for applications under field settings on: i) the adult specimens reported in the previous section i.e. 30 adults of *T. sinensis* and 18 adults of other chalcid parasitoids; 110 adults of *T. sinensis* from 11 different populations; ii) the immatures dissected from galls (Table 2). Whole insect bodies were individually placed into 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes containing 200 µl of double-distilled water and ground with a plastic sterile pestle to obtain a crude homogenate. Tubes were then shaken by hand without vortexing for few seconds before taking the volume to be tested. Approximate DNA concentrations were determined at 260 nm using the Nano-drop 2000 spectrophotometer (Nano-drop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA).

Design of LAMP primers

Two sets of primers satisfying LAMP requirement criteria were designed (Notomi et al., 2000). A first set of primers, specific for *T. sinensis*, was developed on the sequences of internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) in the nuclear ribosomal region. Primers were designed on the *T. sinensis* sequences retrieved from GenBank (accession numbers AB200273, AB200274, and AB200275), and aligned with homologous sequences of other species of Torymidae and Pteromalidae (i.e., *T. geranii*, GenBank accession number AB200280; *T. flavipes*, GenBank accession numbers HM574233 and HM574237; *Mesopolobus xanthocerus* (Thomson), GenBank accession number HM573972). In preliminary analyses (results not shown), some falsepositives with *T. affinis* were obtained when loop primers only were included in the reactions, although the use of these primers is usually recommended to improve both the specificity and the speed of the reaction (Nagamine, Hase, & Notomi, 2002). These primers wereexcluded from the primer set.

166 To avoid falsenegative results (Tomlinson et al., 2010), and to check quality of
167 both DNA and reagents, a set of primers, hereafter referred to as external amplification
168 control (EAC) primer set (D'Agostino, 2013), was designed on the 28S region of other
169 chalcid wasps using sequences retrieved from Munro et al. (2011). Preliminary tests
170 showed a wider inclusiveness of this primer set. Nonetheless, this was not a matter of
171 concern because the purpose of the design was to ensure reliability of the assay by
172 excluding the presence of inhibitors or suboptimal reaction conditions (i.e. not sufficient
173 DNA template).

174 All the sequences alignments were edited using the ClustalW algorithm in the
175 software MEGA, version 6, (Tamura, Stecher, Peterson, Filipski, & Kumar, 2013).
176 Primers were designed using LAMP Primer Explorer software (version 4; Fujitsu
177 System Solutions Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and synthesized by Invitrogen.

178 ***LAMP development and diagnostic performance***

179 The DNA extracted by the salting out method from the specimens listed in Table 1 was
180 used for the evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of both the primer sets. The
181 reaction mixture for the *T. sinensis* LAMP test contained 15 µl of Isothermal MasterMix
182 1× (OptiGene), 0.2 µM of each external primer, 2 µM of each internal primer, and 1 µl
183 of template DNA. The same conditions were used for the EAC assay, with a further
184 addition of two loop primers, 1 µM each. Total volume for both reactions was 25 µl.
185 LAMP reactions were performed on a Genie II device (OptiGene).

186 Optimal conditions for LAMP reaction were determined by performing runs in a
187 range of temperatures for different time periods. The identity of amplification products
188 was evaluated in terms of annealing temperature determined through the measure of
189 fluorescence during a slow annealing step (0.05°C/s) starting at 98°and ending at 84°C.

190 The sensitivity of both the assays was tested through 10-fold serial dilutions of
191 template DNA in double-distilled water, starting from a higher concentration of 10 ng
192 μl^{-1} to a lower concentration of 100 fg μl^{-1}. Each run contained double-distilled water as
193 negative control (Tomlinson et al., 2013). The specificity of both the *T. sinensis* and the
194 EAC primer sets was evaluated using the specimens reported in Table 1. Both
195 sensitivity and specificity were tested on pure DNA extracted by the salting out method.

196 ***Validation of LAMP with crude DNA***

197 Evaluation of the specificity of the LAMP assay on crude DNA was first performed on
198 the 48 specimens (30 adults of *T. sinensis* and 18 adults of other chalcid parasitoids)
199 emerged at the rearing centre. Reactions were repeated three times for each specimen to
200 confirm the diagnosis. For those specimens that were not amplified by the *T. sinensis*-
201 specific set of primers, a EAC primer set test was performed in order to ensure quality
202 of both DNA and reagents. The assay was then tested on a further 110 adults of *T.*
203 *sinensis* belonging to 11 different populations and 80 larvae and 25 pupae obtained by
204 the dissection method from 8 populations (Table 2).

205 To confirm the results obtained by LAMP assays, 20 specimens (10 immature
206 and 10 adults), randomly selected among the above mentioned 263 samples, were
207 identified by sequencing of the DNA barcode region (Hebert, Cywinska, & Ball, 2003).
208 For each specimen, 100 µl of the crude DNA extract was used for a further DNA
209 extraction using Qiagen DNeasy Plant mini kit (Valencia, CA, USA). The DNA
210 obtained was then eluted in 50 µl of AE buffer and directly used to amplify and
211 sequence the barcode region of the cox1 gene (Hebert et al., 2003), using the universal
212 primers and the amplification condition as reported in Folmer, Black, Hoeh, Lutz, &
213 Vrijenhoek (1994). PCR products were checked through electrophoresis on 1.0%
214 agarose gels stained with SYBR® (Invitrogen) and then purified using exonuclease and

antarctic phosphatase (GE Healthcare). PCR products were then sequenced at BMR Genomics Service (Padova, Italy).

Statistical analyses

Throughout the text, temperature values are expressed in degrees Celsius and time values in minutes and seconds. All average values are reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD), unless otherwise specified.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a Tukey's HSD (Honest Significant Differences) test, was used to compare the mean annealing temperatures of the 110 *T. sinensis* adults from the eleven different populations. An alpha level of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA, version 8 (Statsoft Inc., Tulsa OK, USA).

Results

LAMP primers design

The designed *T. sinensis* primer set contained twoexternal primers (TS_F3 and TS_B3) and two inner primers (TS_FIP and TS_BIP).The EAC primer set was composed of two external (CH_F3 and CH_B3) and two internal primers (CH_FIP and CH_BIP), with the addition of two loop primers (CH_Fl and CH_BL) in order to accelerate the amplification reaction. Primer sequences for both the primer sets are reported in Table 3.

LAMP development and diagnostic performance

Optimal temperature and running time for both the LAMP reactions were an isothermal condition of 67°C maintained for 19 and 23 min. for the *T. sinensis* and the EAC LAMP assays, respectively. The specificity and the sensitivity of both primer sets and the

238 diagnostic performance of the LAMP assays were then tested on the DNA extracted by
239 the salting out method.

240 Specific primers worked exclusively on *T. sinensis* DNA and did not amplify
241 any of the non-target sequences. All the 13 *T. sinensis* DNA samples were correctly
242 identified while EAC primers amplified all the 44 DNA samples analyzed.
243 Amplification was not observed in the negative control reactions. The serial dilutions of
244 DNA tested with both the assays, showed an analytical sensitivity of 10 pg μl^{-1}. The
245 annealing temperatures of the amplification products were 88.82 ± 0.09°C and 87.51 ±
246 0.18°C for *T. sinensis* and EAC LAMP assays, respectively.

247 ***Validation of LAMP with crude DNA***

248 Validation of the method on 48 adult parasitoids showed that 30 *T. sinensis* individuals
249 were positive to the *T. sinensis* assay, whereas all tested insects were positive to the
250 EAC assay. Average positive reaction times of crude DNA were 16:34 ± 00:42 for *T.*
251 *sinensis* assay, and 14:14 ± 1:43 for EAC assay. Annealing temperatures were 88.98 ±
252 0.06°C and 87.40 ± 0.10°C for *T. sinensis* and EAC assays, respectively. There was a
253 slight variation in the starting average DNA concentrations of crude extracts (1.17 ±
254 0.17 ng μl^{-1}), reaction times (16:28 ± 1:47), and mean annealing temperatures (88.84°C
255 ± 0.14°C) among the 11 populations of *T. sinensis*. Interestingly, the mean annealing
256 temperature of *T. sinensis* adults differed significantly among populations ($F_{(10, 99)}$ =
257 2.04, $p < 0.05$), with the Korean specimens showing the highest average value, although
258 the Tukey's test was not significant for any pair of means.

259 Forpreimaginal stages (larvae and pupae) from 8 available populations (Table 2),
260 average DNA concentrations of crude extracts , reaction times, and mean annealing
261 temperatures were: 2.72 ± 1.27 ng μl^{-1}, 16:47 ± 1:40, 88.84°C ± 0.17°C for larvae; 2.05
262 ± 0.07 ng μl^{-1}, 15:21 ± 1:52, 88.76°C ± 0.16°C for pupae. At some sites, it was recorded

263 a low number of larval samples that generated a positive amplification out of the total
264 number of samples tested. DNA barcoding of the 20 samples confirmed the accuracy
265 of the LAMP identification. Positive LAMP results always corresponded to *T. sinensis*,
266 whereas negative results corresponded to species belonging to the genera *Eupelmus*
267 (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae) and *Mesopolobus* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae).

268 **Discussion**

269 The LAMP assay enabled real-time detection of *T. sinensis*, the biocontrol agent of the
270 ACGW, through a simple procedure designed to give rapid on-site results for samples
271 without the need for time-consuming analyses or rearing in the laboratory. The use of a
272 quick technique, together with the simple equipment required and the possibility to
273 discriminate insect material obtained from various life stages, make the method valuable
274 for making decisionswhen evaluating effectiveness of biocontrol programs directly in
275 the field.

276 The whole procedure developed in this study, from sample preparation to the
277 evaluation of amplification products, was completed in less than 30 minutes. The
278 specific LAMP primer set showed high specificity to *T. sinensis*, with no positive
279 reactions when other species were tested. However, we cannot exclude that the primer
280 set designed specifically for *T. sinensis* works for the closely related *T. beneficus*, which
281 cannot be discriminated on the basis of morphological characters (Yara, 2004).
282 Unfortunately, it has not been possible to retrieve and test any specimen of *T. beneficus*
283 to better validate the *T. sinensis* primer set. For this purpose, it would be interesting to
284 look at the possibility to obtain an annealing temperature specific for the DNA region of
285 the target species, as a way to unambiguously identify the samples and, concurrently, to
286 detect possible variants (Ririe, Rasmussen, & Wittwer, 1997). In our case, the Korean
287 population showed the highest mean annealing temperature, although this result needs

to be supported by a higher number of samples. If confirmed, this result may reveal the occurrence of two different strains of *T. sinensis* in Korea and Japan, as the European populations came from Japan, given their introduction history (Murakami, Ohkubo, Moriya, Gyoutoku, Kim, & Kim, 1995; Yara, 2004; Quacchia et al., 2008).

The diagnostic sensitivity level of the assay was high enough to correctly identify the parasitoid in any of the life stages considered, without the need for complex DNA extraction and quantification. Successful outcomes were easily obtained by simply grinding the insects in double distilled water and by directly adding these crude homogenates to pre-prepared strips containing isothermal field stable reagents. Results were positive when specimens both stored in alcohol and freshly collected were used, demonstrating that even DNA of relatively low purity gives positive amplification products (Huang, Hsu, Haymer, Lin, & Wu, 2009). We found that the negative results were successfully amplified by the EAC primer set, minimizing the risk of false negative results.

The samples tested were not sufficient to give reliable estimates of parasitism. However, the proportion of positive larval samples roughly reflects the parasitoid success at the different sites. A higher occurrence of *T. sinensis* was indeed recorded where the parasitoid was released first. Alternatively, the high proportion of positive pupal samples can be explained by the period of gall collection (i.e. late winter). In this period only *T. sinensis* occupies the galls as the other parasitoids generally use other hosts for overwintering (Luo, Huang, & Liao, 1987; Shiga, 2009). A proper identification of *T. sinensis* using a reliable on-site molecular technique is of great importance as the release of the parasitoid is, at present, the only viable long-term management option against *D. kuriphilus* in the invaded areas (Yara, 2006; Gibbs et al., 2011). Although the biocontrol of ACGW is successful in those areas where *T. sinensis*

313 has been introduced (Gyoutoku & Uemura, 1985; Moriya et al., 2003; Cooper &
314 Rieske, 2007; Ferracini et al., 2015a), many recently invaded regions have now to deal
315 with this problem (EPPO, 2014; EPPO, 2015). Consequently, a correct identification of
316 both preimaginal and adult stages of the parasitoid is fundamental during all the phases
317 of the biological control program (Danks, 1988; Gordh & Beardsley, 1999). This is
318 particularly true if we consider that other parasitoid species, such as local oak gall wasp
319 parasitoids, are rapidly recruited as enemies by ACGW once it arrives in a new area and
320 that *T. sinensis* may cause unwanted non-target effects (Aebi et al., 2006; Quacchia et
321 al., 2012; Matošević & Melika, 2013; Panzavolta et al., 2013; Palmeri et al., 2014;
322 Ferracini et al., 2015b).

323 The LAMP assay we developed is suitable for diagnostic as well as research use
324 (Lenarčič et al., 2013) as it can be used both for a rapid quality check of the released
325 stock in new areas and a quick in-field monitoring of the parasitism rate of the
326 biological control agent. Moreover, this method bypasses the need for specialist
327 knowledge or a long period of training for the staff involved (Jenkins et al., 2012)
328 avoiding, in particular, the long procedure in the morphological identification of
329 preimaginal stages.

330 **Acknowledgements**

331 This work relies on the contribution of several persons. G. Narduzzo (Veneto Region's
332 Forest Service), P. Paolucci and P. Dall'Ara (DAFNAE Padova), C. Salvadori
333 (Fondazione Mach Trento), the staff of the rearing area Centro Polifunzionale Onè
334 (Crespano del Grappa), Veneto Region's Phytosanitary and Forest Services provided
335 precious support during field activities. E. Ferrari, E. Gonella, M. A. Saladini (DISAFA
336 Torino) and L. Iodice (IPP Napoli) provided kind help for collection and shipment of
337 insect specimens. Myron Zalucki carefully revised and edited the text. Two anonymous
338 reviewers significantly improved the quality of the manuscript.**Funding**

339 The research was funded by a grant of the Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari
340 e Forestali of the Italian government and by the Regione del Veneto Servizi Fitosanitari.

341 **References**

342 Aebi, A., Schönrogge, K., Melika, G., Alma, A., Bosio, G., Quacchia, A., … Stone, G.
343 (2006). Parasitoid Recruitment to the Globally Invasive Chestnut Gall Wasp
344 *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. In K. Ozaki, J. Yukawa, T. Ohgushi & P. Price (Eds.),
345 *Galling arthropods and their associates: Ecology and Evolution* (pp. 103-121).
346 Tokio: Springer Japan.

347 Agustí, N., Bourguet, D., Spataro, T., Delos, M., Eychenne, N., Folcher, L., & Arditi, R.
348 (2005). Detection, identification and geographical distribution of European corn
349 borer larval parasitoids using molecular markers. *Molecular Ecology, 14*, 3267-
350 3274.

351 Battisti, A., Benvegnù, I., Colombari, F., & Haack, R. A. (2014). Invasion by the
352 chestnut gall wasp in Italy causes significant yield loss in *Castanea sativa* nut
353 production. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology, 16*, 75-79.

354 Cooper, W. R., & Rieske, L. K. (2007). Review of the historic and current status of the
355 Asian chestnut gall wasp in North America. *Journal of the American Chestnut*
356 *Foundation*, *21*(2), 28-34.

357 EC (2000) EC Council Directive 2000/29/EC of 8 May 2000 on protective measures
358 against the introduction into the community of organisms harmful to plants or
359 plant products and against their spread within the community. *Official Journal*
360 *of the European Communities*, *50*, 1-159.

361 D'Agostino, M. (2013). Quality control in the analytical laboratory: analysing food-and
362 waterborne viruses. In N. Cook (Ed.), *Viruses in food and water: risks,*
363 *surveillance and control* (pp. 126-138). New Delhi: Woodhead Publishing.

364 Danks, C., & Boonham, N. (2007). Purification method and kits. Patent
365 WO/2007/104962.
366 Danks, H. V. (1988). Systematics in Support of Entomology. *Annual Review of*
367 *Entomology, 33*, 271-294.
368 Dawah, H. A., & Rothfritz, H. (1996). Generic-level identification of final instar larvae
369 of Eurytomidae and their parasitoids associated with grasses (Poaceae) in N.W.
370 Europe (Hymenoptera: Braconidae, Eulophidae, Eupelmidae, Eurytomidae,
371 Ichneumonidae, Pteromalidae). *Journal of Natural History, 30*, 1517-1526.
372 Day, W. H. (1994). Estimating mortality caused by parasites and diseases of insects:
373 comparisons of the dissection and rearing methods. *Environmental Entomology,*
374 *23*, 543-550.
375 Delucchi, V., Rosen, D., & Schlinger, E. I. (1976). Relationship of systematics to
376 biological control. In C. B. H. S. Messenger (Ed.), *Theory and Practice of*
377 *Biological Control* (pp. 81-91). New York: Academic Press.
378 de Vere Graham, M. W. R., & Gijswijt, M. J. (1998). Revision of the European species
379 of *Torymus* Dalman (s. lat) (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). Leiden: Nationaal
380 Natuurhistorisch Museum.
381 EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization) (2015). First report
382 of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in the United Kingdom. EPPO Reporting Service
383 (Report No. 6). Retrieved January 27, 2016, from https://gd.eppo.int/
384 reporting/article-4773
385 EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization) (2016a). PQR -
386 EPPO database on quarantine pests. Retrieved January 27, 2016, from the EPPO
387 Databases.
388 EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization) (2016b). First

389 report of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Belgium. EPPO Reporting Service (Report
390 No. 2). Retrieved March 24, 2016,
391 Ferracini, C., Gonella, E., Ferrari, E., Saladini, M. A., Picciau, L., Tota, F., Pontini, M.,
392 & Alma, A. (2015a). Novel insight in the life cycle of *Torymus sinensis*,
393 biocontrol agent of the chestnut gall wasp. *BioControl*, *60*, 169-177.
394 Ferracini, C., Ferrari, E., Saladini, M. A., Pontini, M., Corradetti, M., & Alma, A.
395 (2015b). Non-target host risk assessment for the parasitoid *Torymus sinensis*.
396 *BioControl, 60*, 583–594.
397 Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., & Vrijenhoek, R. (1994). DNA primers for
398 amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse
399 metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, *3*, 294-
400 299.
401 Furlong, M. J., & Zalucki, M. P. (2010). Exploiting predators for pest management: the
402 need for sound ecological assessment. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*,
403 *135*, 225-236.
404 Gariepy, T., Kuhlmann, U., Gillott, C., & Erlandson, M. (2008). A large-scale
405 comparison of conventional and molecular methods for the evaluation of host–
406 parasitoid associations in non-target risk-assessment studies. *Journal of Applied*
407 *Ecology*, *45*, 708-715.
408 Gibbs, M., Schönrogge, K., Alma, A., Melika, G., Quacchia, A., Stone, G., & Aebi, A.
409 (2011). *Torymus sinensis*: a viable management option for the biological control
410 of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Europe? *BioControl*, *56*, 527-538.
411 Gordh, G., Beardsley, J. W. (1999). Taxonomy and Biological Control In: T. S.
412 Bellows, T. W. Fisher, L. E. Caltagirone, D. L. Dahlsten, G. Gordh, & C. B.

413 Huffaker (Eds.), *Handbook of Biological Control* (pp. 45-55). San Diego:
414 Academic Press.
415 Guit, G. M., Wratten, S. D., & Barbosa, P. (2000). Success in conservation biological
416 control of arthropods. In: G. Gurr & S. Wratten (Eds.), *Biological control:*
417 *Measures of success* (pp. 105-132). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
418 Gyoutoku, Y., & Uemura, M. (1985). Ecology and biological control of the chestnut
419 gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae). 1.
420 Damage and parasitization in Kumamoto Prefecture. *Proceedings of the*
421 *Association for Plant Protection of Kyushu*, *31*, 213–215.
422 Hebert, P. D., Cywinska, A., & Ball, S. L. (2003). Biological identifications through
423 DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*
424 *Sciences*, *270*, 313-321.
425 Hoddle, M. S. (2004). Restoring balance: using exotic species to control invasive exotic
426 species. *Conservation Biology*, *18*, 38-49.
427 Huang, G. C., Hsu, J. C., Haymer, D., Lin, G. C., & Wu, W. J. (2009). Rapid
428 identification of the Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) by loop-
429 mediated isothermal amplification. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *102*,
430 1239-1246.
431 Jenkins, C., Chapman, T. A., Micallef, J. L., & Reynolds, O. L. (2012). Molecular
432 techniques for the detection and differentiation of host and parasitoid species
433 and the implications for fruit fly management. *Insects*, *3*, 763-788.
434 Kato, K., & Hijii, N. (1997). Effects of gall formation by *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*
435 Yasumatsu (Hym., Cynipidae) on the growth of chestnut trees. *Journal of*
436 *Applied Entomology*, *121*, 9-15.

437 Kamijo, K. (1982). Two new species of *Torymus* (Hymenoptera, Torymidae) reared
438 from *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in China and Korea.
439 *Kontyû*, *50*, 505–510.
440 Kogovšek, P., Hodgetts, J., Hall, J., Prezelj, N., Nikolić, P., Mehle, N., … Boonham, N.
441 (2015). LAMP assay and rapid sample preparation method for on-site detection
442 of flavescence dorée phytoplasma in grapevine. *Plant Pathology*, *64*, 286-296.
443 Lenarčič, R., Morisset, D., Mehle, N., & Ravnikar, M. (2013). Fast real-time detection
444 of potato spindle tuber viroid by RT-LAMP. *Plant Pathology*, *62*, 1147-1156.
445 Luo, Y. Q., Huang, J. F., & Liao, D. X. (1987). Studies on the distribution and biology
446 of *Torymus sinensis* Kamijo. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University*, *9*, 45-57.
447 Mahr, D. L., Whitaker, P., & Ridgway, N. (2008). *Biological control of insects and*
448 *mites: An introduction to beneficial natural enemies and their use in pest*
449 *management*, 116 pp. Madison, WI: Cooperative Extension Publishing,
450 University of Wisconsin, USA.
451 Maltoni, A., Mariotti, B., & Tani, A. (2012). Case study of a new method for the
452 classification and analysis of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu damage to
453 young chestnut sprouts. *iForest - Biogeosciences and Forestry*, *5*, 50-59.
454 Mathé-Hubert, H., Gatti, J-. L., Poirié, M., & Malausa, T. (2013). A PCR-based method
455 for estimating parasitism rates in the olive fly parasitoids *Psyttalia concolor* and
456 *P. lounsburyi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Biological Control*, *67*, 44-50.
457 Matošević, D., & Melika, G. (2013). Recruitment of native parasitoids to a new invasive
458 host: First results of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* parasitoid assemblage in Croatia.
459 *Bulletin of Insectology*, *66*, 231-238.
460 MiPAAF - Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali (2010). Piano del
461 settore castanicolo 2010/2013 - 2. Riferimenti tecnici di attuazione della lotta

462 biologica al *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* del castagno con *Torymus sinensis*.
463 Retrieved January 27, 2016, from https://www.politicheagricole.it/flex/cm/
464 pages/ServeBLOB.php/L/IT/IDPagina/3277
465 Moriya, S., Inoue, K., & Mabuchi, M. (1989). The use of *Torymus sinensis* to control
466 chestnut gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*, in Japan. *FFTC Technical Bulletin*,
467 *118*, 1-12.
468 Moriya, S., Shiga, M., & Adachi, I. (2003). Classical biological control of the chestnut
469 gall wasp in Japan. In: R. G. Van Driesche (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 1st*
470 *international symposium on biological control of arthropods* (pp. 407-415).
471 Washington: USDA Forest Service.
472 Munro, J. B., Heraty, J. M., Burks, R. A., Hawks, D., Mottern, J., Cruaud, A., ... Jansta,
473 P. (2011). A molecular phylogeny of the Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). *PLoS*
474 *ONE, 6*, e27023.
475 Murakami, Y., Ohkubo, N., Moriya, S., Gyoutoku, Y., Kim, H. C., & Kim, K. J. (1995).
476 Parasitoids of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) in South Korea
477 with particular reference to ecologically different types of *Torymus*
478 (*Syntomaspis*) *sinensis* (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). *Applied Entomology and*
479 *Zoology*, *30*, 277-284.
480 Nagamine, K., Hase, T., & Notomi, T. (2002). Accelerated reaction by loop-mediated
481 isothermal amplification using loop primers. *Molecular and cellular probes, 16*,
482 223-229.
483 Notomi, T., Okayama, H., Masubuchi, H., Yonekawa, T., Watanabe, K., Amino, N., &
484 Hase, T. (2000). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic*
485 *Acids Research*, *28*, e63.

486 Palmeri, V., Cascone, P., Campolo, O., Grande, S. B., Laudani, F., Malacrinò, A., &
487 Guerrieri, E. (2014). Hymenoptera wasps associated to the Asian Gall Wasp
488 (AGW) of chestnut *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Calabria (Italy). *Phytoparasitica*,
489 *42*, 699-702.
490 Panzavolta, T., Bernardo, U., Bracalini, M., Cascone, P., Croci, F., Gebiola, M., …
491 Guerrieri, E. (2013). Native parasitoids associated with *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*
492 in Tuscany, Italy. *Bulletin of Insectology*, *66*, 195-201.
493 Patwary, M. U., Kenchington, E. L., Bird, C. J., & Zouros, E. (1994). The use of
494 random amplified polymorphic DNA markers in genetic studies of the sea
495 scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* (Gmelin, 1791). *Journal of Shellfish*
496 *Research*, *13*, 547-553.
497 Quacchia, A., Ferracini, C., Nicholls, J. A., Piazza, E., Saladini, M. A., Tota, F., …
498 Alma, A. (2012). Chalcid parasitoid community associated with the invading
499 pest *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in north-western Italy. *Insect Conservation and*
500 *Diversity*, *6*, 114-123.
501 Quacchia, A., Moriya, S., Bosio, G., Scapin, I., & Alma, A. (2008). Rearing, release and
502 settlement prospect in Italy of *Torymus sinensis*, the biological control agent of
503 the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. *BioControl*, *53*, 829-839.
504 Ririe, K. M., Rasmussen, R. P., & Wittwer, C. T. (1997). Product differentiation by
505 analysis of DNA melting curves during the polymerase chain reaction.
506 *Analytical Biochemistry*, *245*, 154-60.
507 Shiga, M. (2009). Life history of an introduced parasitoid, *Torymus sinensis*, and
508 dynamics of the host-parasitoid system. In: S. Moriya (Ed.), *Proceedings of the*
509 *Japan-Italy Joint International Symposium 'A Global Serious Pest of Chestnut*

510 *Trees: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow'* (pp 21-22). Ibaraki: Asahi Printing
511 Corporation, Japan.
512 Stiling, P., & Cornelissen, T. (2005). What makes a successful biocontrol agent? A
513 meta-analysis of biological control agent performance. *Biological Control*, *34*,
514 236-246.
515 Sweetman, H. L. (1935). Successful examples of biological control of pest insects and
516 plants. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, *26*, 373-377.
517 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., & Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6:
518 molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and*
519 *Evolution*, *30*, 2725-2729.
520 Tomlinson, J. A., Barker, I., & Boonham, N. (2007). Faster, simpler, more-specific
521 methods for improved molecular detection of *Phytophthora ramorum* in the
522 field. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *73*, 4040-4047.
523 Tomlinson, J. A., Dickinson, M. J., & Boonham, N. (2010). Rapid detection of
524 *Phytophthora ramorum* and *P. kernoviae* by two-minute DNA extraction
525 followed by isothermal amplification and amplicon detection by generic lateral
526 flow device. *Phytopathology*, *100*,143-149.
527 Tomlinson, J. A., Ostoja-Starzewska, S., Adams, I. P., Miano, D. W., Abidrabo, P.,
528 Kinyua, Z., … Boonham, N. (2013). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification
529 for rapid detection of the causal agents of cassava brown streak disease. *Journal*
530 *of Virological Methods*, *191*(2), 148-154.
531 Van Driesche, R. G., & Hoddle, M. S. (2000) Classical arthropod biological control:
532 Measuring success, step by step. In: G. Gurr & S. Wratten (Eds.), *Biological*
533 *control: Measures of success* (pp. 39-75). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. .
534 Yara, K. (2004). Relationship between the introduced and indigenous parasitoids

535 *Torymus sinensis* and *T. beneficus* (Hymenoptera: Torymidae) as inferred from
536 mt-DNA (COI) sequences. *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, *39*, 427-433.
537 Yara, K. (2006). Identification of *Torymus sinensis* and *T. beneficus* (Hymenoptera:
538 Torymidae), introduced and indigenous parasitoids of the chestnut gall wasp
539 *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), using the ribosomal ITS2
540 region. *Biological Control*, *36*, 15-21.

541 Table 1. Insect material tested for the development of the LAMP assays with

542 pure DNA extracted by the salting out protocol.

Superfamily	**Family**	**Species**		**Number of tested samples**
Chalcidoidea	Torymidae	*Torymus*	*sinensis*	13
			*affinis**	4
			auratus	2
			*cyaneus**	1
			erucarum	2
			*favardi**	2
			flavipes	2
			*formosus**	1
			geranii	2
			notatus	4
			scutellaris	1
		Megastigmus	*dorsalis*	1
	Eupelmidae	*Eupelmus*	*urozonus*	1
			annulatus	1
	Eurytomidae	*Eurytoma*	*pistaciae*	1
			brunniventris	1
	Ichneumonidae	*Orthopelma*	*mediator*	1
	Pteromalidae	*Mesopolobus*	*tibialis*	1
	Ormyridae	*Ormyrus*	*nitidulus*	1

543

544 * Indicates congeneric species of *T. sinensis* emerged from cynipid galls on oak.

545

546 Table 2. Individuals of *T. sinensis* from different populations tested for the validation of
547 the LAMP method with crude DNA. For each sample the number of samples that
548 generated a positive amplification out of the total number of samples tested is reported.

Country	Region	Site	Larvae	Pupae
France		St. Dalmas de Tende	8/10	2/2
Italy	Valle d'Aosta	Forte di Bard	4/7	5/5
	Piedmont	Avigliana	2/8	3/4
	Liguria	Millesimo	7/11	1/1
	Veneto	Cavaso del Tomba	2/14	-
		San Mauro di Saline	1/12	-
		Pianezze	2/15	-
		Seren del Grappa	2/3	11/13
Total			**80**	**25**

549

550 Table 3. Primers used for the loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays.

LAMP assay target	Primer	Sequence (5' – 3')
Torymus sinensis	TS_F3	CGCAAGATGGATGAGAGAGAG
	TS_B3	GCAAACAGAGAGCTCCGG
	TS_FIP	TCAAAACACTCACGAGGCGCGTCGC-TCGAAACAATGGCG
	TS_BIP	TACGCACACGCACACGCTACTCGAC-GCAAACAACACG
Chalcid wasps	CH_F3	GGTGAACTATGCCTGGTCAG
	CH_B3	TTCGCTTTACCAGATGAGACTC
	CH_FIP	CCGACGATCGATTTGCACGTCAGAC-GAAGTCAGGGGAAACC
	CH_BIP	ACTGGGTATAGGGGCGAAAGACTAA-TCAAGCGAGTGCCAGCTATC
	CH_FL	CGCTACGGACCTCCATCAG
	CH_BL	GAACCATCTAGTAGCTGGTTCC

551