

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Driving factors of soil microbial ecology in alpine, mid-latitude patterned grounds (NW Italian Alps)

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1603097> since 2019-12-19T17:49:56Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s00374-016-1147-z

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Driving factors of soil microbial ecology in alpine, mid-latitude patterned**
 2 **grounds (NW Italian Alps)**

3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8 **Mania I., D'Amico M., Freppaz M., Gorra R.**

9 **DISAFA, University of Turin, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO) Italy**

10
 11 Corresponding author:

12 roberta.gorra@unito.it

13 Tel: +39 011 6708840

14 Fax: +39 011 6708549

15 **Abstract:**

16 Patterned ground (PG) is one of the most evident expressions of cryogenic processes affecting
 17 periglacial soils, where macroscopic, repeated variations in soil morphology seem to be
 18 associated with small-scale edaphic and vegetation gradients, potentially influencing also
 19 microbial communities. While for high latitude environments only few studies on PG
 20 microbiology are available, the alpine context, where PG features are rarer, is almost
 21 unexplored under this point of view. We followed a double approach, based on Denaturing
 22 Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE) and quantitative PCR (qPCR), in order to investigate
 23 microbial community composition and ~~to evaluate the~~ abundance of phylogenetic markers and
 24 functional genes (bacterial and archaeal *amoA*) within single PG features and among different
 25 sites from four areas in the Western Italian Alps, characterized by different lithotypes. Bacterial,
 26 archaeal and fungal community composition was quite homogeneous within single features,
 27 with more differences among samples collected from different lithologies. The abundance of
 28 phylogenetic and functional markers was uniform at different sites, except for the highest
 29 altitude one showing the lowest bacterial, archaeal and ammonia-oxidizing archaea abundance.
 30 Nevertheless, at a small-scale level, a concentric distribution of microbial markers was
 31 described within single features, paralleling soil chemical properties trends. These first results
 32 support the hypothesis that microbial ecology in alpine, periglacial ecosystems is driven by a
 33 complex series of environmental factors, such as lithology, altitude and cryogenic activity,
 34 acting simultaneously on community shaping both in terms of diversity and abundance.

35 **Keywords:**

36 Periglacial alpine soils; Cryoturbation; Lithology; Community structure; Microbial abundance
 37
 38 **Introduction**
 39 Patterned ground (PG) derives from cryogenic processes and represents one of the most
 40 spectacular expression in periglacial landscapes. Cyclic soil freezing and thawing,
 41 accompanied by ice lens formation, leads to severe ground modifications, resulting in surface
 42 geometric patterns including circles, polygons, networks or stripes. The presence of textural
 43 sorting, with stony areas alternated to soil and fine debris characterizes *sorted* patterned
 44 ground, while in *nonsorted* patterned ground single features are defined by differences in
 45 ground relief or vegetation cover. In both cases, two domains are often recognizable: central
 46 parts of finer material or bare ground, more strongly affected by cryogenic processes; and
 47 peripheral areas, richer in stones and/or vegetation (Ballantyne et al. 2013; Walker et al.
 48 2008). These macroscopic, repeated variations in ground morphology produce also small-
 49 scale gradients in physical and chemical soil properties, changing between centres and rims
 50 (Barrett et al. 2004; D'Amico et al. 2015; Michaelson et al. 2012; Wagner et al. 2005). In this
 51 sense, single patterned ground features can be seen as small, ubiquitous model unities useful
 52 to investigate the effect of cryoturbation processes on soil evolution, plant colonization, and
 53 organic C accumulation and storage in geographically, climatically and topographically
 54 diverse environments. In fact, patterned ground formation is widespread in high-latitude
 55 environments, such as polar or sub-polar regions, but occurs also in alpine areas, in presence
 56 of permafrost or seasonal ground freezing conditions and favourable topographic conditions
 57 (Ballantyne et al. 2013).

58 Until now, several works recognized the presence of a strong interaction between soil
 59 processes and vegetation in patterned ground formation and functioning (D'Amico et al. 2015;
 60 Michaelson et al. 2012; Walker et al. 2008), but the effects on soil microbial properties are
 61 poorly known. Microorganisms are able to survive, grow and be metabolically active in very
 62 harsh conditions, such as subzero temperatures, presence of ice and freeze-thaw cycles
 63 (Margesin and Miteva 2011; Steven et al. 2006). Moreover, they play key roles in weathering
 64 processes, pedogenesis, biogeochemical cycling and plant colonization of permafrost soils
 65 and recently deglaciated areas, like glacier forefields (Bajerski and Wagner 2013; Jansson and
 66 Taş 2014; Nemerugut et al. 2006). Considering the impact of microbial communities on soil

1 ecosystem properties, it is necessary to increase our comprehension of the role of microbial
2 communities in a complex and dynamic pedo-environment like patterned ground.
3 To date, only a limited number of studies considered patterned grounds from a
4 microbial point of view, all referring to Arctic or Antarctic areas. In particular, several studies
5 focused on polygonal soils, due to their large diffusion in tundra ecosystems and to their
6 potential role in global methane production linked to the waterlogged, anoxic conditions
7 affecting these soils. Differences in terms of community composition were described along
8 depth gradients (Frank-Fahle et al. 2014; Wagner et al. 2005), comparing central and marginal
9 areas of single features (Wagner et al. 2005), and considering different polygonal soils
10 (Frank-Fahle et al. 2014; Lawley et al. 2004). Another line of investigation concerned
11 patterned grounds along the North American Arctic Transect. Timling et al. (2014) and
12 González et al. (2014) compared microbial communities in terms of biomass and fungal
13 community composition in patterned ground features (PGF) and adjacent vegetated soils
14 (AVS) along a topographic and climatic gradient, detecting significant differences between
15 PGF and AVS both in terms of microbial biomass and diversity, coherently with differential
16 distribution of plant cover and soil properties already described (Walker et al. 2008, 2011).
17 On a broader scale, they noticed that the hierarchy of environmental factors potentially
18 involved in community shaping changes within the bioclimatic gradient. For instance, in more
19 extreme environments, such as the higher latitude polar deserts, they found that disturbances
20 linked to cryoturbation have smaller impacts on microbial biomass and community
21 composition than at lower latitudes, resulting in limited differences between PGF and AVS.
22 Conversely, topographic position, analyzed by González et al. (2014) by comparing dry, wet
23 and mesic zones, becomes a more important driver in warmer subzones.

24 While patterned ground landscapes are extensively distributed in Arctic and subarctic
25 regions, in mid-latitude mountain ranges their diffusion is limited to few areas characterized
26 by flat surfaces, rapid snow removal by wind and high water availability (Bockheim and
27 Munroe 2014). For this reason, the identification of sites suitable for the study of the
28 combined action of climatic conditions and cryoturbation disturbances on soil microbial
29 communities is rather complex. On the other hand, the presence of the same phenomenon
30 replicated in sites geographically close to each other gives the opportunity to investigate the
31 influence of other environmental drivers potentially involved in communities and ecosystem
32 modelling, like altitude, parent material lithology and soil properties. The parent material

1 lithology, in particular, has a strong impact not only on the morphology of patterned ground
2 features, due to the different resistance to ice-driven weathering, but also on soil chemical
3 properties and plant colonization (Michaelson et al. 2008). This suggests that also the
4 composition and distribution of microbial communities might be influenced by these
5 properties, as already reported for other cold ecosystems (Boyd et al. 2007; Larouche et al.
6 2012; Nyssönen et al. 2014; Reith et al. 2015).
7 With this study, we performed a preliminary investigation –the first, on our best
8 knowledge– on microbial communities inhabiting patterned ground features in an alpine
9 context, in terms of composition, overall diversity and abundance. We chose four active
10 patterned ground sites in the North-Western Italian Alps, developed on different lithotypes
11 creating large gradients in chemical soil properties such as available nutrients and heavy metal
12 contents. Our hypothesis was that, as for chemical soil properties and plant distribution,
13 cryoturbation should have an impact also on microbial population, both in terms of biomass
14 distribution and community composition. The intensity of this influence should be modulated
15 by site-specific edaphic properties linked to parent material lithology. This work had thus
16 three main objectives: 1) to give a first insight in the microbial ecology of a fascinating and
17 previously almost unexplored ecosystem; 2) to describe and compare microbial diversity and
18 distribution both at a small-scale, within single PG features, and among different sites; 3) to
19 define soil properties potentially involved in shaping microbial communities composition.

20 **Materials and methods**

21 **Sample collection**

22 For this study, four active patterned ground areas, located in the Western Italian Alps and
23 dominated by stripes, sorted and nonsorted circles, were chosen. All areas were located in
24 protected areas (Mont Avic Natural Park and Gran Paradiso National Park).
25 The different sites were characterized by different parent material (Table 1):
26 caleschists (CS site), serpentinite and metamorphic gabbros respectively in SP and GB sites,
27 and frost shattered gneiss at GN site. In CS and GB, the parent materials were enriched in
28 small quantities of serpentinite derived from upslope areas.

29 For each site, one typical PG feature was examined in order to minimize the sampling
30 impact on these ecosystems. Five surface samples (0-10 cm) were collected equally spaced
31 along a north-south transect drawn across the circle/strip. Hence, we obtained two external

1 samples, taken from the stony/vegetated rims (N and S), one central sample (C) and two
2 intermediate samples (NC and SC), as shown in Fig. 1. A total of 20 samples was obtained.
3
4 Sampling took place in late September 2012. In that period, nighttime air temperatures
5 were expected to drop below freezing point, enhancing freeze-thaw cycling; below the
6 sampled depth, in fact, the soils were completely frozen. All the samples were collected in the
7 early afternoon, stored at 4 °C in the field and during the transport and at -20 °C in the
8 laboratory prior to further analysis.
9
10 Climatic conditions of the study areas and morphological, mineralogical and textural
11 characteristics of PG soils, as well as vegetation type and distribution, are described in detail
12 in D'Amico et al. 2015. Soil chemical properties and percentage of vegetation cover,
13 measured at each sampling point and reported in the same study, are summarized in Table 2.
14
15 **Soil DNA extraction**
16 Total soil DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of soil samples using the FastDNA™ SPIN Kit for
17 Soil and the FastPrep® Instruments (MP Biomedicals) in accordance with the manufacturer's
18 instructions. Quantity, quality and integrity of extracted DNA were evaluated using a
19 NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and agarose gel
20 electrophoresis.
21
22 **PCR-DGGE**
23 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products for denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
24 (DGGE) were obtained by amplifying total bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes and fungal
25 26S rRNA genes. While bacterial and fungal genes were amplified directly from the extracted
26 DNA, a nested approach was followed for Archaea. Primer pairs were 357F-GC and 518R-
27 (Muyzer et al. 1993) for bacteria (Muyzer et al. 1993), NL1 and LS2 (O'Donnell 1993;
28 Cocolin et al. 2000) for fungi (O'Donnell 1993; Cocolin et al. 2000), A2F and 1492R
29 (Reysenbaech et al. 1995; Lane 1994) and Saf-GC and PARCH519R (Nicol et al. 2003;
30 Øvreås et al. 1997) for the first and second step of archaeal PCR respectively (Reysenbaech et
31 al. 1995; Lane 1991; O'Donnell 1993; Cocolin et al. 2000). Primer sequences and reaction
32 conditions are reported in Online Resource 2.
33
34 All PCR reactions were carried out in a DNAEngine® Peltier Thermal Cycler (Bio-
35 Rad Laboratories) in a 25 µl reaction volume containing 1 x reaction buffer (Bioline), 3 mM

1 MgCl₂, 0.02 bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.4 µM of each primer,
2 1.25 U of BIOTAQ™ DNA polymerase (Bioline) and 2 µl of soil DNA diluted 1:10 in sterile
3 DNase-treated water (Sigma). Second steps of nested PCR were performed without BSA,
4 using 1 µl of the first step product as template.
5
6 DGGE was carried out as previously described by Webster et al. (2006) using a
7 DCode™ Universal Mutation Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories), with a gradient from
8 30 to 60%. Electrophoresis was run at 200 V for 5 h (with an initial 10 min at 80 V) at 60°C
9 in 1 x TAE buffer. Gels were stained for 30 min with SYBR® Gold nucleic acid gel stain
10 (Invitrogen) and visualized under UV with an UVPro Platinum Gel Documentation System
11 (UVItec).
12
13 Reproducibility of DGGE profiles was tested by comparing PCR products obtained by
14 using DNA extracted in triplicate from the same sample as template. Considering that good
15 reproducibility was achieved, DGGE gels were organized in order to compare single samples
16 within PG features and among different sites (Online Resource 1). DGGE bands recurrent at
17 site level, or shared among different sites were excised, incubated one night at -20°C, washed
18 and crushed in 10-20 µl of molecular-grade water. Supernatant (1 µl) was used as template
19 and PCR was performed as above except for the elimination of BSA and the employment of
20 modified linker-PCR archaeal and bacterial primers described in O'Sullivan (2008). PCR
21 products were sequenced and searched for sequence similarities in the National Center for
22 Biotechnology Information database using nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
23 (BLASTn) analysis (Altschul 1990).
24
25 Obtained 16S bacterial rRNA gene sequences were submitted to the [European
26 Nucleotide Archive EMBL database \(http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena\) under accession numbers:-
27 Submission eede-was-Hx2000054952; LT613607-LT613635.](#)
28
29 **Quantitative PCR**
30 The abundance of different phylogenetic markers and functional genes was estimated by real-
31 time quantitative PCR (qPCR).
32
33 For standard curves construction, the reference genes were amplified from genomic
34 DNA extracted from pure cultures of standard organisms: *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris*
35 for bacterial 16S, *Methanococcoides methylans* for archaeal 16S, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
36 for eukaryotic 26S and *Nitrosomonas europaea* for bacterial *amoA*. PCR products were than

1 purified with the PCRextract Mini Kit (5 Prime), in accordance with the manufacturer's
2 instructions, quantified by NanoDrop and used to prepare serial dilutions in molecular-grade
3 water.
4
5 Primer pairs used for standard preparation were 27F and 1492R (Lane 1991) for
6 bacteria (Lane 1991), S-D-Arch-0025-a-S-17 and 1517R (Vetriani et al. 1999) for archaea
7 (Vetriani et al. 1999), NL1 and LS2 (O'Donnell 1993; Coccolin et al. 2000) for fungi
8 (O'Donnell 1993; Coccolin et al. 2000), and amoA-1F and amoA-2R (McTavish et al. 1993)
9 for bacterial *amoA* genes (McTavish et al. 1993). Primer sequences and references for PCR
10 conditions are reported in Online Resource 3, while master mix composition was as described
11 above (excluding BSA).
12
13 Only for archaeal *amoA* gene, PCR products obtained by amplifying total DNA
14 extracted from PG sample 2N with primer pair Arch-amoAF and Arch-amoAR (Francis et al.
15 2005) were pooled, purified, quantified by NanoDrop, serially diluted and used for standard
16 curve construction.
17
18 qPCR reactions were performed using a Chromo4™ Real Time PCR Detection
19 System (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and data were analysed with the MJ Opticon Monitor
20 software (version 3.1). Primer pairs were the same as for standard preparation, except for
21 Bacteria and Archaea. The first were substituted by the pair 519F and 907R (Lane 1991;
22 Muyzer et al. 1995), the second by the pair S-D-Arch-0025-a-S-17 and S-D-Arch-0344-a-S-
23 20 (Vetriani et al. 1999). The PCR mixture contained 0.3 µM of each primer, 10 µl of
24 SsoAdvanced™ SYBR® Green Supermix and 2 µl of soil DNA diluted 1:10 - 1:100, in a total
25 volume of 20 µl. Optimal DNA dilution was chosen in order to minimize inhibition problems
26 linked to low A260/A230 ratio of extracted DNA. All the samples and the standards were
27 analysed in triplicate on PCR strip tubes (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with the following thermal
28 cycling conditions: 95° for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, annealing for 30
29 sec and 72°C for 1 min. Different annealing temperatures are reported in Online Resource 3.
30 PCR specificity was verified by melting curves analysis. Standard curves R² value was always
31 higher than 0.996, and all the reactions showed efficiencies higher than 70%.

32 Statistical analysis

33 Statistical analysis was performed using R 3.0.1 software (R Foundation for Statistical
34 Software, Institute for Statistics and Mathematics, Vienna, Austria).

1 Significant differences in gene abundance among different lithologies were checked
2 by Brown-Forsythe one-way ANOVA combined with post hoc Games-Howell test and
3 displayed as boxplots, using the userfriendlyjsyence package.
4
5 Microbial communities were grouped using Cluster Analysis (CA), average linkage
6 agglomeration criteria, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity algorithm. The best dissimilarity algorithm
7 (Bray-Curtis) was selected according to the function rank index in the Vegan package
8 (Oksanen et al. 2013), which correlates many dissimilarity algorithms with a given gradient
9 (in this case, soil-environmental properties). As the clusters were usually very well separated,
10 their statistical significance was not checked.
11
12 Gradients in microbial community composition within the different patterned ground
13 sites were observed using unconstrained ordination methods (NMDS, Kruskal, 1964, distance
14 Bray-Curtis). The analysis was carried out with metaMDS within R vegan, using a Wisconsin
15 double standardization and a maximum number of 100 runs to reach the best solution (two
16 axis). To visualize relationships between microbial community composition and
17 environmental parameters, the resulting NMDS biplot was interpreted using a post-hoc
18 correlation with significant soil and environmental parameters (function envfit).
19
20 Pearson's linear correlation coefficients were calculated for assessing significant
21 relations between microbial abundance and environmental parameters.

22 Results

23 Community structure (PCR-DGGE)

24 Bacterial DGGE profiles showed a quite homogeneous community composition within single
25 PG features, with more differences among the four sites (Online Resource 1). A pool of
26 ubiquitous, brightly stained bands was clearly recognizable beside several site-specific, often
27 weaker bands. Sequence analysis of excised bands highlighted the presence of at least 7
28 different phyla (*Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Ferruginicomicrobia*, *Acidobacteria*,
29 *Bacteroidetes*, *Cyanobacteria*, and *Chloroflexi*), with all the ubiquitous phylotypes belonging
30 to *Acidobacteria* or *Alphaproteobacteria* (Table 3). Only two of the detected bacterial
31 phylotypes detected were closely related to described bacterial species (>97% sequence
32 similarity), while the majority was related to uncultured bacteria previously found in soils or
33 periglacial ecosystems. Cluster analysis confirmed the presence of distinct bacterial
34 community composition at all the sites (Fig. 2).

1 Archaeal DGGE profiles appeared more heterogeneous compared to Bacteria at a
2 small-scale level, both in terms of bands number and intensity, and few dominant bands
3 recurred in samples collected from different sites (Online Resource 1). Archaeal community
4 [structure](#) [composition](#) in PG sites separated in different groups, as indicated by cluster
5 analysis. Only at SP site a separation between centre and rims was detected (Fig. 2). All the
6 sequences obtained from excised bands belonged to *Thaumarchaeota*, and showed 93-96% of
7 sequence similarity with *Candidatus Nitrososphaera gargensis* or *Candidatus Nitrososphaera*
8 *evergladensis*. Nearly all the phylotypes were closely related to uncultured Archaea
9 previously detected in high-altitude soils (as reported in Table 4) but also with DNA
10 sequences retrieved from temperate agricultural and forest soils.
11 Our PCR-DGGE approach was able to detect only low fungal diversity. As for
12 Bacteria, the main differences among profiles seemed to be linked to the site, rather than the
13 position within PG features. Cluster analysis supported this interpretation, even if for GB and
14 GN samples a clear separation has not been found. Sample 3N was excluded from the analysis
15 due to the impossibility to obtain a clear DGGE profile (Fig. 2). Only few sequences were
16 obtained from fungal excised bands, including bands recurrent at site level, or shared among
17 different sites, all corresponding to *Ascomycota* or *Basidiomycota* (Table 5); interestingly,
18 four of them were strictly related (97-98% similarity) to uncultured *Ascomycota* detected in
19 PGs from North American Arctic by Timling et al. (2014).

20 **Microbial abundance**

21 Microbial abundances were assessed by quantifying bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes
22 and fungal 26S genes with a qPCR-based method. Abundance of ammonia oxidizers was
23 estimated by quantifying bacterial and archaeal ammonia monooxygenase subunit A (*amoA*)
24 genes. Also total DNA concentration was considered, as a proxy for biotic presence.

25 Comparing samples from different lithologies, significant differences ($P < 0.05$) were
26 only found for DNA, bacterial and archaeal markers and for archaeal *amoA* genes (Fig. 3). In
27 general, lower abundances were reported for GN samples (average of 9.10, 6.31 and 6.67 Log
28 copies per g of dry soil for Bacteria, Archaea and AOA respectively), while CS, SP and GB
29 showed similar values (average of 9.92-10.12, 6.69-7.57 and 7.21-7.87 Log copies per g of
30 dry soil for Bacteria, Archaea and AOA respectively). Fungal marker abundance ranged from
31 an average of 8.57 to 9.27 Log copies per g of dry soil, without significant differences among

32 sites. Neither for bacterial *amoA* genes abundance, ranging from an average of 4.34 to 5.59
33 Log copies per g of dry soil, significant differences were detected. Comparing only functional
34 genes, a predominance of archaeal over bacterial *amoA* genes, with AOA/AOB Log copies
35 ratio ranging from 1.4 to 1.9, was detected in all the four sites.
36 At a small-scale level, a slightly concentric variation was reported for all the
37 phylogenetic markers: the abundance of bacterial and archaeal genes decreasing from the rims
38 toward the centre of single features in CS, GB and GN, and showing an opposite trend in SP;
39 fungal markers reaching the highest values in intermediate positions (Fig. 4). Also DNA
40 concentration followed a similar trend, decreasing from the rims to the centre in CS, GB and
41 GN and from the centre to the rims in SP. No clear repeated patterns were described observing
42 the distribution of AOA and AOB markers within single PG features. The only recognizable
43 trends were a concentric decreasing of AOB in GB and AOA in GN or the north-to-south
44 decreasing of AOB in GN and AOA in SP.

45 **Discussion**

46 We performed a preliminary survey, in order to explore the composition and abundance of mi-
47 crobial communities inhabiting patterned ground features developed on lithologically distinct
48 sites in a mid-latitude alpine environment. A molecular approach combining PCR-DGGE
49 (community structure analysis) and qPCR (quantitative analysis) was applied, targeting bacte-
50 rial, archaeal, and fungal phylogenetic markers. Moreover, in order to focus on microbial
51 driven processes affecting these ecosystems, the functional gene ammonia-monooxygenase
52 was included in the quantitative analysis. Both bacteria (AOB) and archaea (AOA) ammonia-
53 oxidisers drive the first and rate-limiting step of nitrification. Their use as process indicators
54 provides important information due both to their function and to differential response to envi-
55 ronmental factors influenced by their diverse ecological niches (Prosser and Nicol 2012).

56 DNA-targeting techniques do not discriminate the active from the total population and
57 [the presence of highly resilient extracellular DNA and DNA deriving from dead cells may](#)
58 [lead to a biased view of the ecosystem ecology \(Pietramellara et al. 2009\). Moreover, PCR-](#)
59 [DGGE approach allows to perform a comparison among samples at “low resolution”,](#)
60 compared to metagenomic sequencing. However, [the objective of this work was to investigate](#)
61 [the long term influence of cryoturbation and edaphic properties on soil microbial community](#)
62 [shaping. In this sense, the data obtained provided exhaustive information about the overall](#)

1 microbial complexity, the more represented groups and evidenced the ecosystem properties
2 possibly involved in shaping and influencing the community.
3
4 Overall, the composition of bacterial communities found on these patterned ground
5 features results quite similar to those described [more in more](#) detail on alpine soils (Nemergut
6 et al. 2005), or in other periglacial landscapes like polygonal soils (Frank-Fahle et al. 2014),
7 ice wedges (Wilhelm et al. 2012), or glacier forefields (Bajerski and Wagner 2013), in
8 accordance with Delmont et al. (2014) that showed how similar habitats may lead to the
9 development of communities with similar composition.
10 The presence of phylotypes belonging to at least seven different phyla indicates quite
11 complex bacterial communities. Among primary producers, phototrophic Cyanobacteria and
12 Alphaproteobacteria families including chemolithotrophic and chemoorganotrophic organisms
13 (Bradyrhizobiaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Hyphomicrobiaceae) were detected. Moreover, in all
14 the sites several Acidobacteria-related phylotypes were found. The ability to grow at low
15 nutrient conditions and tolerate variations in soil humidity often characterize Acidobacteria
16 (Ward et al. 2009), giving a potential explanation for their ubiquity in the examined patterned
17 ground ecosystems. Finally, the presence of at least one representative of Bacteroidetes in all
18 the sites suggests that these communities can host also a group of degraders of complex
19 substrates (Nemergut et al. 2005).
20 Archaea showed lower differentiation, with all the investigated phylotypes belonging
21 to Thaumarchaeota division. Considering that Thaumarchaeota includes all known archaeal
22 ammonia oxidizers, this result is also consistent with the high abundance of *amoA* gene
23 copies, which exceed their bacterial analogues in all the samples. Similar situations are quite
24 common in different ecosystems, particularly in acidic soils (Prosser and Nicol 2012; Qin et
25 al. 2013; Tian et al 2014; Xu et al. 2012), and has been reported by Frank-Fahle et al. (2014)
26 for polygonal tundra. Nevertheless, the same study pointed out a clear predominance of
27 methanogens, not detected in this study. Previous studies highlighted the importance of
28 waterlogging, common phenomenon affecting polygonal soils, in driving permafrost
29 microbial community [composition structure](#) (Ollivier et al. 2014). However, the study sites
30 present quite different characteristics, in terms of water content, 12-28% (unpublished data),
31 from those reported for high latitude patterned ground ecosystems. Therefore, the
32 predominance of aerobic phylotypes over anaerobic is not surprising and could indicate a
33 relevant role of Thaumarchaeota in influencing [N₂ fixation](#) availability in mid-latitude PGs.

1 In terms of archaeal sequences, the presence of identical sequences (100% similarity)
2 in our samples and in both cold or rock-associated ecosystems and temperate agricultural and
3 forest soils might suggest the cosmopolitan nature of at least a part of the community.
4 In general, analysis of bacterial, archaeal and fungal phylogenetic markers revealed a
5 quite homogeneous community composition within single PGFs, without a clear separation
6 between samples collected from the vegetated rims and the central, nearly bare soil portion.
7 This is also coherent with the results that Timling et al. (2014) obtained for fungal
8 phylogenetic markers investigating patterned grounds in the northernmost bioclimatic
9 subzone of the North American Arctic Transect.
10 Differences in community composition were related more consistently to the sampling
11 site than to the position across the PGFs. Also the NMDS analysis (Fig. 5), performed on the
12 average band distribution of all the phylogenetic markers, supported this separation among
13 sites, pointing out the main parameters (data from D'Amico et al. 2015) involved in site
14 differentiation and, potentially, in shaping the composition of microbial community (Table 6).
15 For instance, the GN site was located at the highest altitude, and was characterized by highest
16 P content and exchangeable Ca/Mg ratio. Conversely, high levels of exchangeable Mg and Ni
17 fitted with the SP community; CS sites were mainly characterized by high levels of Ca, while
18 GB communities were correlated with intermediate levels of most soil parameters. This seems
19 to suggest that in this mid-latitude, alpine context parent material lithology can be a strong
20 driver for microbial community differentiation in terms of community composition,
21 overcoming the effect of strong, small-scale gradients in edaphic properties produced by
22 cryoturbation and patterned ground development. The importance of parent material lithology
23 on composition of microbial communities has been described in a variety of different
24 ecosystems, like soils (Reith et al. 2015), continental crystalline crust (Nyyssönen et al. 2014),
25 pristine aquifers (Boyd et al. 2007) and arctic streams (Larouche et al. 2012). D'Amico et al.
26 (2015), analysing the same study sites, reported a similar vegetation diversity pattern, with a
27 lack of differentiation between rims and centres, and a strong separation of plant communities
28 developed on different matrices. Therefore, parent material lithology and the associated soil
29 chemical properties, plant colonization and microbial community composition seem to be
30 strictly linked.
31 Quantitative analysis, performed by qPCR, presents a different picture. In fact, mi-
32 crobrial abundance resulted quite homogeneous among different sites. The only one showing

1 significantly lower abundances (in terms of Bacteria, Archaea, AOA markers and DNA) was
2 GN site. This is probably linked to the lower temperatures, associated to highest altitude,
3 which affects also total vegetation cover (D'Amico et al. 2015).

4 Conversely, some interesting patterns appear by comparing samples within single
5 features. In particular, concentric trends reported for bacterial, archaeal and fungal markers, as
6 well as for total DNA concentration, on sites CS, GB and GN are coherent not only with veg-
7 etation cover, but also with the small-scale variation of chemical properties, organic C and nu-
8 trient content (D'Amico et al. 2015). For instance, TOC, N and exchangeable bases decreased
9 from the rims to the centres, in parallel with microbial abundances, while pH, possibly also
10 affecting microbial activity, showed an opposite trend. This distribution has already been re-
11 ported for Arctic patterned grounds (González et al. 2014; Timling et al. 2014), where higher
12 levels of microbial biomass were found in vegetated rims if compared with patterned ground
13 features. However, one of the four sites did not follow this scheme. In fact, archaeal, bacterial
14 and total DNA abundances showed a different trend in SP site, increasing -or remaining
15 nearly constant, for bacteria- from the rims toward the centre of the sorted stripes, despite an
16 opposite trend of plant cover and contents of organic C and nutrients. SP site strongly differs
17 from the others for the high exchangeable Ni concentration, which increases from the centre
18 toward the rims. Therefore, in this case, it is possible that Ni toxicity becomes the prevalent
19 driving factor in microbial distribution across the features, overwhelming the effect of other
20 chemical properties. An inverse correlation between microbial biomass and respiration and Ni
21 content has been observed in subalpine forest soils in the same area by D'Amico et al. (2009).

22 Finally, exploring more in detail the relationships existing between microbial abun-
23 dances and soil chemical parameters (Table 7), Bacteria showed the highest number of signifi-
24 cant correlations with different chemical properties (vegetation cover and contents of Ca, Mg,
25 K, TN and TOC and C/N). On the other hand, Archaea abundances only correlated with the
26 soil C/N, but correlated to all the microbial markers except for bacterial *amoA*. Similar situa-
27 tions have been previously reported for alpine forest soils (Sites and Margesin 2016) and for
28 tundra soils (Blaud et al. 2015), with bacterial abundance following chemical soil properties
29 trend, and archaeal abundance independent from them. However, in those cases, all the micro-
30 bial markers resulted positively correlated to each other, suggesting an indirect action of envi-
31 ronmental parameters on the whole microbial population. In our case we can hypothesize that,

1 despite the presence of a bacterial population apparently more sensitive to variations in sub-
2 strate composition, Archaea seems to represent the link among the different microbial do-
3 mains, and so the real keystone of the ecosystem. Moreover, considering that Thaumarchaeota
4 seem to represent an important portion of archaeal community in this ecosystem, the low level
5 of correlation with any environmental parameter but C/N, reported for both archaeal and AOA
6 markers, could be linked to the wide ecophysiological potential of this group, including not
7 only autotrophy but also mixotrophy and heterotrophy lifestyles (Pester et al. 2011, Prosser
8 and Nicol 2012). Concerning fungal abundances, the only correlation with soil properties was
9 found with C/N. Nevertheless, the presence of a unique intra-feature distribution in gene-
10 abundance repeated in all the sites suggests the presence of other factors, not considered here
11 but suitable for further investigations, driving fungal distribution, such as organic matter com-
12 position and quality.

14 Conclusions

15 With this work, we obtained information about the overall complexity of the community and
16 the more represented microbial groups, giving a preliminary insight in a previously
17 unexplored ecosystem like alpine PG. Our results seem to indicate that Archaea and, in
18 particular, Thaumarchaeota seem to play a key role in ecosystem coordination and
19 functioning, suggesting this domain as a target for further, more detailed investigations.

20 In terms of ecological drivers, if micro-topographic heterogeneity produced by
21 cryogenic processes seems to influence microbial distribution within PG features in terms of
22 abundance, it has no clear effects on community composition. Conversely, lithology might
23 strongly influence community composition but has not evident effect on overall microbial
24 abundance, which is probably more linked to other variables, like altitude and temperature
25 conditions. Only in the serpentine sampling site it is possible to hypothesize an indirect
26 influence of lithology on small-scale microbial abundance distribution: in fact, the presence of
27 a gradient in heavy metals, produced by cryogenic processes, affects microbial distribution
28 determining opposite trends with respect to all the other parent materials.
29 In conclusion, our results offer a picture quite in accordance with previous studies focused on
30 Arctic PGFs, adding lithology to the complex hierarchy of controls modulating the effect of
31 cryoturbation on soil microbial communities.

1 Further studies are needed in order to assess how the investigated drivers impact on com-
 2 munity diversity and its potential metabolic activity. Moreover, an RNA-based analysis would
 3 allow to compare not only spatial, but also seasonal or daily community variations, giving
 4 more insights on the real ecosystem functioning in relation to temperatures variation and ex-
 5 position to freeze-thaw activity.

1 **References**
 2
 3
 4 Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic Local Alignment Search
 5 Tool. *J Mol Biol* 215:403–410
 6
 7
 8 Bajarski F, Wagner D (2013) Bacterial succession in Antarctic soils of two glacier forefields
 9 on Larsemann Hills, East Antarctica. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 85:128–142. doi:
 10 10.1111/1574-6941.12105
 11
 12 Ballantyne CK (2013) Patterned ground. In: Elias SA, Mock CJ (Eds) *The Encyclopedia of*
 13 *Quaternary Science*, 2nd edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp 452–463
 14
 15 Barrett JE, Virginia RA, Wall DH, Pearsons AN, Powers LE, Burkins MB (2004) Variation in
 16 biogeochemistry and soil biodiversity across spatial scales in a polar desert ecosystem.
 17 *Ecology* 85(11):3105–3118
 18
 19
 20
 21 Blaud A, Phoenix GK, Osborn AM (2015) Variation in bacterial, archaeal and fungal commu-
 22 nity structure and abundance in High Arctic tundra soil. *Polar Biol* 38:1009–1024. doi:
 23 10.1007/s00300-015-1661-8
 24
 25
 26 Bockheim JG, Munroe JS (2014) Organic carbon pools and genesis of alpine soils with per-
 27 malfrost: a review. *Arct Antarct Alp Res* 46:987–1006
 28
 29
 30
 31 Boyd ES, Cummings DE, Geesey GG (2007) Mineralogy influences structure and diversity of
 32 bacterial communities associated with geological substrata in a pristine aquifer. *Microb*
 33 *Ecol* 54:170–182. doi: 10.1007/s00248-006-9187-9
 34
 35
 36
 37 Cocolin L, Bisson LF, Mills DA (2000) Direct profiling of the yeast dynamics in wine fer-
 38 mentations. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 189:81–87. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(00)00257-3
 39
 40
 41
 42 D’Amico M (2009) Soil ecology and pedogenesis on ophiolitic materials in the western Alps
 43 (Mont Avic Natural Park, North-western Italy): soil properties and their relationships
 44 with substrate, vegetation and biological activity. Dissertation, Università degli Studi di
 45 Milano Bicocca
 46
 47
 48
 49 D’Amico M, Gorra R, Freppaz M (2015) Small-scale variability of soil properties and soil-
 50 vegetation relationships in patterned ground on different lithologies (NW Italian Alps).
 51 *Catena* 135:47–58. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2015.07.005
 52
 53
 54
 55 Delmont TO, Francioli D, Jaquesson S, Laoudi S, Mathieu A, Nesme J, Ceccherini MT, Nan-
 56 nipteri P, Simonet P, Vogel TM (2014) Microbial community development and unseen
 57 diversity recovery in inoculated sterile soil. *Biol Fertil Soils* 50:1069–1076. doi:
 58 10.1007/s00374-014-0925-8
 59
 60
 61
 62 Francis CA, Roberts KJ, Beman JM, Santoro AE, Oakley BB (2005) Ubiquity and diversity of
 63 ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. *Proc Natl*
 64 *Acad Sci USA* 102:14683–14688. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506625102
 65

1 Frank-Fahle BA, Yergeau É, Greer CW, Lantuit H, Wagner D (2014) Microbial functional po-
2 tential and community composition in permafrost-affected soils of the NW Canadian
3 Arctic. *PLoS ONE* 9(1): e84761. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0084761

4
5
6 González G, Rivera-Figueroa FJ, Gould WA, Cantrell SA, Pérez-Jiménez JR (2014) Microor-
7 ganisms in small patterned ground features and adjacent vegetated soils along topo-
8 graphic and climatic gradients in the High Arctic, Canada. *Open J Soil Sci* 4:47–55

9
10
11 Jansson JK, Tag N (2014) The microbial ecology of permafrost. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12:414–
12 425. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3262

13
14
15 Lane DJ (1991) 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M (Eds) *Nucleic*
16 *acid techniques in bacterial systematics*. Wiley, New York, pp 115–175

17
18
19 Larouche JR, Bowden WB, Giordano R, Flinn MB, Crump BC (2012) Microbial biogeogra-
20 phy of arctic streams: exploring influences of lithology and habitat. *Front Microbiol* 3:1–
21 9. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00309

22
23
24 Lawley B, Ripley S, Bridge P, Convey P (2004) Molecular analysis of geographic patterns of
25 eukaryotic diversity in Antarctic soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70:5963–5972. doi:
26 10.1128/AEM.70.10.5963

27
28
29 Margesin R, Miteva V (2011) Diversity and ecology of psychrophilic microorganisms. *Res*
30 *Microbiol* 162:346–361. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2010.12.004

31
32
33 McTavish H, Fuchs JA, Hooper AB (1993) Sequence of the gene coding for ammonia
34 monooxygenase in *Nitrosomonas europaea*. *J Bacteriol* 175:2436–2444

35
36
37 Michaelson GJ, Ping CL, Epstein H, Kimble JM, Walker DA (2008) Soils and frost boil eco-
38 systems across the North American Arctic Transect. *J Geophys Res* 113:G03S11. doi:
39 10.1029/2007JG000672

40
41
42 Michaelson GJ, Ping CL, Walker DA (2012) Soils associated with biotic activity on frost boils
43 in Arctic Alaska. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 76:2265–2277. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2012.0064

44
45
46 Muyzer G, Waal EC, Uitterlinden AG (1993) Profiling of complex microbial populations by
47 denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified
48 genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 59:695–700

49
50
51 Muyzer G, Teske A, Wirsén CO, Jannasch HW (1995) Phylogenetic relationships of Thiomicro-
52 spirita species and their identification in deep-sea hydrothermal vent samples by dena-
53 turing gradient gel electrophoresis of 16S rDNA fragments. *Arch Microbiol* 164:165–172

54
55
56 Nemergut DR, Anderson SP, Cleveland CC, Martin AP, Miller AE, Seimon A, Schmidt SK
57 (2006) Microbial community succession in an unvegetated, recently deglaciated soil. *Mi-
58 crob Ecol* 53:110–122. doi: 10.1007/s00248-006-9144-7

1
2
3 Nemergut DR, Costello EK, Meyer AF, Pescador MY (2005) Structure and function of alpine
4 and arctic soil microbial communities. *Res Microbiol* 156:775–784. doi:
5 10.1016/j.resmic.2005.03.004

6
7
8 Nicol GV, Glover LA, Prosser JI (2003) The impact of grassland management on archaeal
9 community structure in upland pasture rhizosphere soil. *Environ Microbiol* 5(3):152–162

10
11
12 Nyssönen M, Hultman J, Ahonen L, Kukkonen I, Paulin L, Laine P, Itävaara M, Auvinen P
13 (2014) Taxonomically and functionally diverse microbial communities in deep crystal-
14 line rocks of the Fennoscandian shield. *ISME J* 8:126–138. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.125

15
16
17 O'Donnell K (1993) *Fusarium and its near relatives*. In: Reynolds DR, Taylor JW (Eds) *The*
18 *fungi holomorph: mitotic, meiotic and pleomorphic speciation in fungal systematics*.
19 CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 225–233

20
21
22 Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson
23 GK, Solymos MP, Stevens HH, Wagner H (2013) *Vegan: community ecology package*. R
24 package version 2.0-8. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>.

25
26
27 Ollivier J, Yang S, Dörfer C, Weizl G, Kühn P, Scholten T, Wagner D, Schloter M (2014) Bac-
28 terial community structure in soils of the Tibetan Plateau affected by discontinuous per-
29 mafrost or seasonal freezing. *Biol Fertil Soils* 50:555–559. doi: 10.1007/s00374-013-
30 0869-4

31
32
33 O'Sullivan LA, Webster G, Fry JC, Parkes RJ, Weightman AJ (2008) Modified linker-PCR
34 primers facilitate complete sequencing of DGGE DNA fragments. *J Microbiol Methods*
35 75:579–581. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2008.08.006

36
37
38 Øvreås L, Forney L, Daee FL, Torsvik V (1997) Distribution of bacterioplankton in meromictic
39 lake Sælenvannet, as determined by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of PCR-
40 amplified gene fragments coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 63:3367–3373.
41 doi: 0099-2240/97/\$04.0010

42
43
44 Pester M, Schleper C, Wagner M (2011) The Thaumarchaeota: an emerging view of their phy-
45 logeny and ecophysiology. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 14:300–306. doi:
46 10.1016/j.mib.2011.04.007

47
48
49 Pietramellara G, Ascher J, Borgogni F, Ceccherini MT, Guerri G, Nannipieri P (2009)
50 *Extracellular DNA in soil and sediment: fate and ecological relevance*. *Biol Fertil Soils*
51 45:219–235. doi: 10.1007/s00374-008-0345-8

52
53
54 Prosser JI, Nicol GW (2012) Archaeal and bacterial ammonia-oxidisers in soil: the quest for
55 niche specialisation and differentiation. *Trends Microbiol* 20:523–531. doi:
56 10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001

57
58
59 Qin H, Yuan H, Zhang H, Zhu Y, Yin C, Tan Z, Wu J, Wei W (2013) Ammonia-oxidizing ar-
60 chaea are more important than ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in nitrification and NO₃-N

1 loss in acidic soil of sloped land. *Biol Fertil Soils* 49:767–776. doi: 10.1007/s00374-012-0767-1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Ward NL, Challacombe JF, Janssen PH et al (2009) Three genomes from the phylum *Acidobacteria* provide insight into the lifestyles of these microorganisms in soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:2046–2056. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02294-08

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Webber G, Parkes RJ, Cragg BA, Newberry CJ, Weightman AJ, Fry JC (2006) Prokaryotic community composition and biogeochemical processes in deep subseafloor sediments from the Peru Margin. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 58:65–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00147.x

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Wilhelm RC, Radtke KJ, Mykytezuk NCS, Greer CW, Whyte LG (2012) Life at the wedge: the activity and diversity of arctic ice wedge microbial communities. *Astrobiology* 12:347–360. doi: 10.1089/ast.2011.0730

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Xu YG, Yu WT, Ma Q, Zhou H (2012) Responses of bacterial and archaeal ammonia oxidizers of an acidic luvisols soil to different nitrogen fertilization rates after 9 years. *Biol Fertil Soils* 48:827–837. doi: 10.1007/s00374-012-0677-2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Reith F, Zammit CM, Pohrib R, Gregg AL, Wakelin SA (2015) Geogenic factors as drivers of microbial community diversity in soils overlying polymetallic deposits. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81:7822–7832. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01856-15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Reyensbach AL, Pace NR (1995) Thermophiles. In: Robb FR, Place AR (eds) *Archaea: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor. pp 101–107

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Siles JA, Margesin R (2016) Abundance and diversity of bacterial, archaeal, and fungal communities along an altitudinal gradient in alpine forest soils: what are the driving factors? *Microb Ecol*. doi: 10.1007/s00248-016-0748-2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Steven B, Léveillé R, Pollard WH, Whyte LG (2006) Microbial ecology and biodiversity in permafrost. *Extremophiles* 10:259–267. doi: 10.1007/s00792-006-0506-3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Tian XF, Hu HW, Ding Q, Song MH, Xu XL, Zheng Y, Guo LD (2014) Influence of nitrogen fertilization on soil ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundance, microbial biomass, and enzyme activities in an alpine meadow. *Biol Fertil Soils* 50:703–713. doi: 10.1007/s00374-013-0889-0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Timling I, Walker DA, Nussbaum C, Lennon NJ, Taylor DL (2014) Rich and cold: diversity, distribution and drivers of fungal communities in patterned-ground ecosystems of the North American Arctic. *Mol Ecol* 23:3258–3272. doi: 10.1111/mec.12743

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Vetriani C, Jannasch HW, MacGregor BJ, Stahl DA, Reysenbach AL (1999) Population structure and phylogenetic characterization of marine benthic archaea in deep-sea sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 65:4375–4384

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Wagner D, Lipski A, Embacher A, Gattinger A (2005) Methane fluxes in permafrost habitats of the Lena Delta: effects of microbial community structure and organic matter quality. *Environ Microbiol* 7(10):1582–1592. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00849.x

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

1 Walker DA, Epstein HE, Romanovsky VE, Ping CL, Michaelson GJ, Daanen RP, Shur Y, Peterson RA, Krantz WB, Reynolds MK, Gould WA, Gonzalez G, Nicolsky DJ, Vonlanthen CM, Kade AN, Kuss P, Kelley AM, Munger CA, Tarnocai CT, Matveyeva NV, Daniëls FJA (2008) Arctic patterned-ground ecosystems: a synthesis of field studies and models along a North American Arctic Transect. *J Geophys Res* 113:G03S01. doi: 10.1029/2007JG000504

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65


1 Walker DA, Kuss P, Epstein HE, Kade AN, Vonlanthen CM, Reynolds MK, Daniëls FJA (2011) Vegetation of zonal patterned-ground ecosystems along the North America Arctic bioclimate gradient. *Appl Veg Sci* 14:440–463. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-109X.2011.01149.x

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Figure captions**

- 2 **Fig. 1** Sampling scheme on PG features. A north-south transect was drawn across the circles
- 3 (or the stripe, at site SP) and five surface samples (0-10 cm), equally spaced, were collected:
- 4 one central (C), two external (N and S), taken from the stony/vegetated rims and two
- 5 intermediate (NC and SC)
- 6 **Fig. 2** Cluster analysis of DGGE profiles obtained for bacterial, archaeal and fungal PG
- 7 communities (site 1=CS; 2=SP; 3=GB; 4=GN), based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity algorithm
- 8 **Fig. 3** DNA concentration and abundance of bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes, fungal
- 9 26S rRNA genes, archaeal and bacterial *amoA* genes in the four sites. Different letters indi-
- 10 cate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) among sites according to Games Howell *post hoc* test
- 11 **Fig. 4** Distribution of different biological markers within single PG features in the four sites:
- 12 DNA concentration, bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes, fungal 26S rRNA genes, ar-
- 13 chaeal and bacterial *amoA* genes
- 14 **Fig. 5** NMDS ordination of the four sites (1=CS; 2=SP; 3=GB; 4=GN), based on DGGE pro-
- 15 files (for each sampling point information obtained from archaeal, bacterial and fungal pro-
- 16 files were combined). Vectors show the direction and strength of environmental variables

Figure 1



[Click here to download Figure Fig1.tif](#) 




Figure 3





Figure 2

Figure 5

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49



Click here to download Figure Fig4.tif

Figure 4

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49




Table 1

1 Table 1 Localization and environmental properties of the study sites

Site localization	Coordinates	Elevation (m a.s.l.)	Parent material	PG type	Dimensions (m)
1 - (CS) Feneire de Champoreher (Champoreher, AO)	45°35'57.41" 07°30'18.90"	2705	Calcschists (serpentinite in traces)	Nonsorted circles, hummocks	0.8/1.5
2 - (SP) Colle di Raye Chevrete (Champdepraz, AO)	45°40'04.70" 07°32'31.32"	2710	Serpentinite	Sorted stripes	0.8/1.5-3.8
3 - (GB) Lac des Heures (Champdepraz, AO)	45°29'36.14" 07°32'53.77"	2780	Gabbro (serpentinite in traces)	Sorted elongated circles	1.2/2.5
4 - (GN) Piata Lazin (Ronco Canavese, TO)	45°29'21.74" 07°26'21.30"	3054	Gneiss	Sorted circles	0.8/2

2

Table 2

1 Table 2 Main chemical soil properties and plant cover distribution in the sampling sites (data from D'Amico et al. 2015)

Site	Sample	pH	TOC (%)	C/N	Exchangable Ca cmol kg ⁻¹	Exchangable Mg cmol kg ⁻¹	Exchangable Ni mg kg ⁻¹	Available P mg kg ⁻¹	Vascular plant cover (%)
CS	IN	5.2	2.65	14.7	6.32	2.05	11.77	8.09	98
	INC	5.7	2.05	14.6	3.27	1.25	5.86	2.26	30
	IC	6	1.12	18.7	1.15	0.31	6.94	1.35	5
ISC	IS	5.3	3.01	14.3	3.76	1.23	3.50	2.66	20
	IS	5.5	3.12	14.2	6.57	1.18	1.94	7.18	100
SP	2N	5.7	1.59	13.3	1.30	1.64	24.74	2.41	50
	2NC	6	1.26	14.0	0.91	1.09	20.34	1.38	10
2C	2C	6.1	1.21	13.4	0.88	0.99	16.36	1.25	5
	2SC	5.9	3.33	15.1	1.31	1.97	20.12	2.26	20
2S	2S	5.4	11.78	13.4	5.02	4.57	30.24	10.77	50
	3N	5.4	4.21	16.8	2.92	1.52	0.03	12.02	40
3NC	3NC	5.6	0.95	13.6	0.97	1.44	0.18	2.04	5
	3C	6.4	0.42	10.5	1.02	1.05	1.57	0.68	1
3SC	3SC	5.3	2.43	12.2	1.15	0.53	0.00	6.08	10
	3S	5.2	6.16	15.0	2.58	1.99	1.34	12.71	30
4NC	4NC	5.4	0.52	8.7	0.22	0.00	0.00	14.3	5
	4C	5.6	0.30	7.5	0.20	0.11	0.00	16.46	1
4SC	4SC	5.4	0.42	8.4	0.26	0.08	0.00	26.43	0
	4S	5.3	0.27	6.8	2.06	0.06	0.00	9.77	5

Table 4

1.7
1.8
1.9
2.0
2.1
2.2
2.3
2.4
2.5
2.6
2.7
2.8
2.9
3.0
3.1
3.2
3.3
3.4
3.5
3.6
3.7
3.8
3.9
4.0
4.1
4.2
4.3
4.4
4.5
4.6
4.7
4.8
4.9
5.0
5.1
5.2
5.3
5.4
5.5
5.6
5.7
5.8
5.9
6.0
6.1
6.2
6.3
6.4
6.5

Table 3 Closest 16S rRNA gene sequence matches to excised bacterial DGGE bands using the NCBI BLASTN search tool

DGGE band	Nearest match by BLASTN search (accession number)	Isolation environment of nearest sequence match	Sequence similarity (%)	Phylogenetic affiliation	Closest described species by BLASTN search (accession number)	Sequence similarity (%)	Presence in different PGs
							CS SP GB GN
B3	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> aggregate	forest soil	95	<i>Acidobacteria</i>	<i>Alphaproteobacteria aggregate</i>	95	x x x x
B1	<i>Norank Acidobacteria</i> (NR_074324)	-	100	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Norank Acidobacteria</i> (NR_074324)	100	x x x x
B17	Uncultured bacterium clone KA13 (JQ973360)	forest soil	96	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Microvirga guangxiensis</i> (NR_045456)	95	x x x x
B5	Uncultured bacterium clone gel band 1, 2 clone 01 (JQ981052)	soil	99	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Phaeosporium thuyum</i> (NR_023836)	94	x x x x
B2	Uncultured bacterium clone B5-80 (KJ494065)	permafrost	99	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Phaeosporium thuyum</i> (NR_023836)	97	x x x x
B7	Uncultured SOIL BACTERIUM clone G03AAZ03P1 (JQ919779)	soil	96	<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	<i>Psychrobacter borealis</i> (NR_064381)	88	x x
B16	Chloroflexi bacterium Ellin727 (AY1673403)	soil	86	<i>Chloroflexi</i>	<i>Chloroflexi bacterium Ellin727</i> (AY1673403)	82	x
B4	<i>Acidobacterium ramosus</i> (AB127830)	Himalaya	90	<i>Acidobacteria</i>	<i>Acidobacterium ramosus</i> (AB127830)	90	x
B15a	Uncultured bacterium clone 5-5423 (AB127830)	eutrophic lake	97	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Acidobacterium ramosus</i> (AB127830)	95	x x
B24	Uncultured bacterium clone H127 (KJ332722)	soil	97	<i>Betaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Norank Acidobacteria</i> (NR_074736)	93	x
B15	<i>Chroococcoid cyanobacterium</i> PEG6 (HE809546)	lake water	86	<i>Cyanobacteria</i>	<i>Cyanobacterium graziellae</i> (NR_102447)	85	x
B8, B9	<i>Pseudomonas anarctica</i> (NR_025586)	McMurdo Valley, Antarctica	100	<i>Gamma-proteobacteria</i>	<i>Pseudomonas anarctica</i> (NR_025586)	100	x
B12, B13	Uncultured bacterium clone Himalaya	periglacial soil	100	<i>Ferromicrobia</i>	<i>Psychrobacter cryohalobus</i> (NR_025586)	86	x
B14	Uncultured bacterium clone H12869339975 (JQ1187)	forest soil	95	<i>Ferromicrobia</i>	<i>Psychrobacter cryohalobus</i> (NR_025586)	90	x

Table 4

1.7
1.8
1.9
2.0
2.1
2.2
2.3
2.4
2.5
2.6
2.7
2.8
2.9
3.0
3.1
3.2
3.3
3.4
3.5
3.6
3.7
3.8
3.9
4.0
4.1
4.2
4.3
4.4
4.5
4.6
4.7
4.8
4.9
5.0
5.1
5.2
5.3
5.4
5.5
5.6
5.7
5.8
5.9
6.0
6.1
6.2
6.3
6.4
6.5

Table 4 Closest 16S rRNA gene sequence matches to excised archaeal DGGE bands using the NCBI BLASTN search tool

DGGE band	Nearest match by BLASTN search (accession number)	Isolation environment of nearest sequence match	Sequence similarity (%)	Phylogenetic affiliation	Closest described species by BLASTN search (accession number)	Sequence similarity (%)	Presence in different PGs
							CS SP GB GN
T	Uncultured archaeal clone DT-17-10 (KJ534140)	permafrost sediments (Olinia mountain)	98	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera gurgensis</i> Cap. 2 (NR_102916.1)	94	x x x x
A	Uncultured archaeal clone K1444503	Western Himalayas	100	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera neogildulensis</i> SR1 (CF087174)	94	x x x x
B	Uncultured archaeal clone ASA17 (GJ228213)	glacial cryosolite (Sargolshand, Antarctica)	100	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera gurgensis</i> Cap. 2 (NR_102916.1)	96	x x x x
C	Uncultured clone DT-HQ-21-17 (KJ066470)	permafrost soil (Ongulshi, Tibetan Plateau)	100	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera gurgensis</i> Cap. 2 (NR_102916.1)	95	x x x x
D	Uncultured archaeal clone 140-21-11 (KJ066484)	permafrost soil (Ongulshi, Tibetan Plateau)	100	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera gurgensis</i> Cap. 2 (NR_102916.1)	95	x x x x
E	Uncultured archaeal clone ARC48-50 (CQ24886)	soil (Mount Mila, Tibetan Plateau)	97	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera gurgensis</i> Cap. 2 (NR_102916.1)	93	x x x x
68	Uncultured archaeal clone ARC48-227 (CQ227580)	soil (Mount Mila, Tibetan Plateau)	96	<i>Thaumarchaeota</i>	<i>Candidatus Nitrososphaera gurgensis</i> Cap. 2 (NR_102916.1)	94	x

Table 6

1 **Table 6** Correlation values and significance between the soil chemical properties and the NMDS factors shown in Fig.5

	NMDS1	NMDS2	r ²	P-Value
pH	0.83	-0.56	0.21	0.118
Ca	0.02	-1.00	0.25	0.089
Mg	0.84	-0.54	0.40	0.008
K	1.00	0.04	0.03	0.756
Ni	0.56	-0.83	0.52	0.008
Ca/Mg	-0.89	0.45	0.22	0.003
N	0.88	-0.48	0.16	0.222
C	0.87	-0.50	0.19	0.140
C/N	0.59	-0.81	0.61	0.001
P	-0.68	0.73	0.54	0.001
Altitude	-0.65	0.76	0.91	0.001

Table 5

1 **Table 5** Closest 26S rRNA gene sequence matches to exsited fungal DGGE bands using the NCBI BLASTN search tool

DGGE band	Nearest match by BLASTN search (accession number)	Isolation environment of nearest sequence match	Sequence similarity (%)	Phylogenetic affiliation	Closest described species by BLASTN search (accession number)	Sequence similarity (%)	Presence in different PCs			
							CS	SP	GB	GN
F1	Uncultured fungus clone 126, NA10 PF3, C19 (KC966197)	Polter of Gomela (North American Acetic roots)	98	Ascomycota	<i>Geotrichum</i> sp (AB752287)	97	x	x	x	x
F2	<i>Phytophthora</i> sp (JX244063)	<i>Populus deltoides</i> roots	95	Ascomycota	<i>Phytophthora</i> sp (JX244063)	95	x	x	x	x
F3, F4, F5	Uncultured fungus clone 112, NA3 PF1, KC966079	Polter of Gomela (North American Acetic roots)	97	Ascomycota	<i>Geotrichum</i> sp (AB752287)	97	x	x	x	x
F6	<i>Ceratium</i> of <i>variosus</i> (F8887648)	alpine soil (east apex of Soler Alpino)	97	Basidiomycota	<i>Ceratium</i> of <i>variosus</i> (F8887648)	97	x	x		
F7	<i>Clavaria</i> of <i>crinita</i> (U242822)	Guiana Massif	89	Basidiomycota	<i>Clavaria</i> of <i>crinita</i> (U242822)	89			x	x
F1	Uncultured fungus clone 126, NA10 PF3, C19 (KC966197)	Polter of Gomela (North American Acetic roots)	98	Ascomycota	<i>Geotrichum</i> sp (AB752287)	97	x	x	x	x
F2	<i>Phytophthora</i> sp (JX244063)	<i>Populus deltoides</i> roots	95	Ascomycota	<i>Phytophthora</i> sp (JX244063)	95	x	x	x	x

Table 7 Correlation analysis among chemical soil properties and DNA content or microbial abundances estimated by qPCR (reported Pearson's coefficient and significance level of the correlation)


Variables	Baect	Arch	Fun	AOB	AOA	DNA
Plant coverage %	0.698**	0.666**	0.321	0.094	0.281	0.802**
pH	-0.167	-0.129	-0.396	-0.089	0.047	-0.187
Cu	0.588**	0.717**	0.368	-0.164	0.257	0.710**
Mg	0.478*	0.534*	0.362	0.060	0.275	0.594**
K	0.606**	0.349	0.343	0.224	-0.108	0.414
Ni	0.412	0.352	0.009	0.163	0.123	0.430
Ca/Mg	-0.089	0.075	0.071	-0.144	-0.230	0.024
P	-0.162	-0.347	-0.620	0.326	-0.439	-0.264
N	0.789**	0.643**	0.526*	0.186	0.216	0.695**
C	0.785**	0.654**	0.492*	0.205	0.229	0.712**
C/N	0.510*	0.815**	0.526*	-0.033	0.287	0.747**
Baect		0.626**	0.284	0.185	0.329	0.672**
Arch			0.556*	-0.323	0.480*	0.916**
Fun				-0.195	0.197	0.454*
AOB					-0.450*	-0.125
AOA						0.495*

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Online Resource 1

Comparison among sites and sampling points

DGGE profiles of bacterial 16S rRNA genes (a), archaeal 16S rRNA genes (b) and fungal 26S rRNA genes (c) in the four sites



61 (Biology and Fertility of Soils)
 62 **Driving factors of soil microbial ecology in alpine, mid-latitude**
 63 **patterned grounds (NW Italian Alps)**
 64 Maima I., D'Amico M., Freppaz M., Gorra R.
 65 DISAFA, University of Turin, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO) Italy

Corresponding author:
roberta.gorra@unito.it
 Tel: +39 011 6708840
 Fax: +39 011 6708549

Online Resource 3**Primer sets and amplification details used for qPCR analysis**

	Primer pair	Sequence (5'-3')	Target gene	Fragment length	Reference	Amplification details	Application
27	27F	AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG	<i>Bacteria</i> 16S rRNA	c. 1500	Lane 1991		qPCR standard
28	1492R	GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T					
29		S-D-Arch-0025-a-S-17	<i>Archaea</i> 16S rRNA	c. 1500	Veitmann et al. 1999		qPCR standard
30		CTG GTT GAT CCT GCC AG					
31	1517R	GCC ATA TCA ATA AGC GGA GGA AAA G	Fungal 26S rRNA	c. 250	O'Donnell 1993	95 °C 5 min; 44 cycles: 95 °C 30 s, 55 °C 30 s, 72 °C 1 min	qPCR standard, qPCR
32	NLI	ATT CCC AAA CAA CTC GAC TC			Coccolin et al. 2000		
33	LS2	GGG GTT TCT ACT GGT GGT	Bacterial <i>amoA</i> gene	491	Mc Tavish et al. 1993	94 °C 3 min; 40 cycles: 95 °C 30 s, 55 °C 30 s, 72 °C 45 s	qPCR standard, qPCR
34	amoA-1F	CCC CTC KGS AAA GCC TTC TTC					
35	amoA-2R	STA ATG GTC TGG CTT A GA CG	Archaeal <i>amoA</i> gene	655	Francis et al. 2005	95 °C 30s; 40 cycles: 95 °C 30 s, 55 °C 30 s, 72 °C 1 min	qPCR standard, qPCR
36	Arch-amoAR	GCG GCC ATC CAT CTG TAT GT					
37		CCA GCA GCC GCG GTA AT AC	<i>Bacteria</i> 16S rRNA	c. 390	Lane 1991	95 °C 30 s; 40 cycles: 95 °C 30 s, 50 °C 30 s, 72 °C 1 min	qPCR
38	519F	CCG TCA ATT GMT TTR AGT TT			Mlyzev et al. 1995		
39	907R	CTG GTT GAT CCT GCC AG	<i>Archaea</i> 16S rRNA	c. 320	Veitmann et al. 1999	95 °C 30 s; 40 cycles: 95 °C 30 s, 48 °C 30 s, 72 °C 1 min	qPCR
40	S-D-Arch-0025-a-S-17	TCG CGC CTG CCG CCC GT					
41	S-D-Arch-0344-a-S-20						
42							
43							
44							
45							
46							
47							
48							
49							
50							
51							
52							
53							
54							
55							
56							
57							
58							
59							
60							
61							
62							
63							
64							
65							

Biological and Fertility of soils
Driving factors of soil microbial ecology in alpine, mid-latitude patterned grounds (NW Italian Alps)
 Maria L. D'Amico M., Freppaz M., Gorra R.

DISMA, University of Turin, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO) Italy

Corresponding author:
maria.l.damico@unito.it
 Tel.: +39 011 6708840
 Fax: +39 011 6708549