



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Changes in soil mineral N content and abundances of bacterial communities involved in N reactions under laboratory conditions as predictors of soil N availability to maize under field conditions

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1604508

since 2016-10-18T16:11:00Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s00374-016-1095-7

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)





This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Fiorentino, Nunzio; Ventorino, Valeria; Bertora, Chiara; Pepe, Olimpia; Giancarlo, Moschetti; Grignani, Carlo; Fagnano, Massimo. Changes in soil mineral N content and abundances of bacterial communities involved in N reactions under laboratory conditions as predictors of soil N availability to maize under field conditions. BIOLOGY AND FERTILITY OF SOILS. 52 (4) pp: 523-537. DOI: 10.1007/s00374-016-1095-7

The publisher's version is available at: http://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00374-016-1095-7

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

1	Changes in soil mineral N content and abundances of bacterial communities involved in N reactions under
2	laboratory conditions as predictors of soil N availability to maize under field conditions
3	
4	Nunzio Fiorentino ¹ *, Valeria Ventorino ² , Chiara Bertora ³ , Olimpia Pepe ² , Moschetti Giancarlo ⁴ , Carlo Grignani ³ , Massimo
5	Fagnano ¹
6	
7	¹ Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via Università,
8	100, 8055 Portici, Italy
9	² Department of Agriculture, Division of Microbiology, University of Naples Federico II, via Università, 100, 8055 Portici,
10	Italy,
11	³ Department of Agriculture and Forest sciences, University of Turin, Largo Paolo Braccini, 2, 10095 Grugliasco, Italy
12	⁴ Department of Agricultural and Forest Science, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Bldg 4, 90128 Palermo, Italy
13	
14	*Corresponding author.
15	Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via Università,
16	100, 8055 Portici, Italy
17	Phone: +39 0812539129

- Fax: +39 0812539155
- 19 email: <u>nunzio.fiorentino@unina.it</u>

20 Abstract

21 Proper management of soil fertility requires specific tools for predicting N availability for crops as a consequence of different 22 fertilization strategies. More information is required, especially for organic fertilizers, depending on their mineralization rate, 23 composition and processing (i.e., fresh or composted manure), as well the influence of soil characteristics on their effect. 24 Laboratory soil incubations were used as a proxy for understanding plant-soil N dynamics under field conditions. Chemical 25 and microbiological measurements as contents of mineral N, potentially mineralizable N and the abundance of key genes 26 regulating the overall N-cycle were used as predictors of mineral N availability to maize in two contrasting pedoclimatic 27 conditions. Our results showed that there was a good correlation between chemical and microbiological measurements from 28 laboratory soil incubation experiments and soil-plant N dynamics of maize cropping systems. Mineralization patterns from 29 soil incubation proved to be useful for optimizing fertilization management of maize under field conditions as long as 30 incubation time is normalized over maize growth cycle, according to the simplified model of Growth Degree Days. Average 31 cumulative soil mineral N values calculated over a short incubation period (42 days) showed a significant correlation 32 (R²=0.72, p<0.05) with maize N uptake. The shape and kinetic parameters of net N mineralization from medium term (112 33 days) soil incubation provided consistent information on the interaction between fertilizers and native fertility. The abundance 34 of N fixation, nitrification, and denitrification genes (nifH, amoA, nirK and nirS) was sensitive to soil characteristics and N 35 fertilization. This work provides a suitable starting point for developing a crop-based approach for using incubation data to 36 optimize maize fertilization. However, more studies with different maize cultivars and pedoclimatic conditions are needed to 37 generalize this approach.

38

39 Keywords: N mineralization; curve fitting; Growth Degree Days; nifH; amoA; nirK

40 Introduction

41 The decline in soil organic matter (SOM) content is a major threat to soil fertility in European semi-arid regions due to their 42 specific climatic conditions (Diacono and Montemurro 2010). Therefore, proper fertility management must be utilized to 43 build up SOM so that the soil can cope with the high mineralization rate of these environments. Among these strategies, 44 fertilization with composted manures provides a good option in areas without manure from livestock farms with positive 45 effects on soil chemical and physical properties as the increase in OM sequestration (Gabrielle et al. 2005; Fagnano et al. 46 2011; Alluvione et al. 2013), aggregate stability (Diacono and Montemurro 2010; Chen et al. 2014; Meng et al. 2014) and 47 hydraulic conductivity (Chen et al., 2014), which are primarily due to the hydrophobic protection of stabilized SOM (Spaccini 48 et al. 2002; Piccolo et al. 2004; Lynch et al. 2006). Moreover, compost fertilization can increase soil biological activity and 49 microbiological diversity (Ventorino et al. 2013), as well as suppress soil-borne diseases (Ventorino et al. 2016). Although 50 compost maturity level (Annabi et al. 2007) and its chemical composition (Miller et al. 2010) are recognized as key factors in 51 improving soil physico-chemical characteristics, climatic variables and soil properties may explain the high variability in 52 mineral N availability of compost amended soils (Nedvěd et al. 2008). The highest mineralization rates of compost occur in 53 high pH soils (Huang and Cheng 2009). Soil texture is another key factor controlling N mineralization of composted manures 54 (Saenger et al. 2014), as for example NH₄⁺ is retained by ammonium fixing clays (Nyamangara et al. 1999) and this decreases 55 nitrification.

56 Knowledge of the fate of N from organic fertilizers is required for optimizing N rates to obtain valuable crop 57 performances and minimize the impacts of N surplus on the environment (Zebarth et al. 2009). Soil incubation has been used 58 to study N patterns in manure-amended soils (Cordovil et al. 2005; Flavel and Murphy 2006), fitting mineral N data to 59 functional models to estimate pools of mineralizable organic N (De Neve and Hofman 2002, Griffin et al. 2005). These studies 60 have obtained useful insights into mineral N availability from fertilizers. Riberio et al. (2010) reported a positive correlation between estimated N mineralization and lettuce N uptake. Abbasi et al. (2012) found that maize biomass and N uptake were 61 62 correlated. Nevertheless, all of these results are from pot experiments, and caution is required when extrapolating the results 63 of these pot experiments to field conditions.

The N cycle includes key processes such as N-fixation, nitrification and denitrification (Ventorino et al. 2010; Weng et al. 2013), and microorganisms involved in these processes can be detected by molecular techniques through the quantification of genes encoding enzymes such as nitrogenase reductase (*nifH*), ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*) and nitrite reductase (*nirS* and *nirK*). Among available molecular methods, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (q-PCR) is

- 68 the most sensitive and most suitable approach for determining abundance of functional genes from soil-derived DNA and
- 69 RNA (Sharma et al. 2007).
- 70 In this work, we used data from a three-year field study (Alluvione et al. 2013) and a medium term (112 days) soil incubation
- 71 period to find the relationships between field and laboratory experiments in studying N availability in fertilized soils. Chemical
- 72 and bacterial properties, such as contents of mineral N, potentially mineralizable N and the abundance of the aforementioned
- 73 key genes in soil, were determined for predicting mineral N availability for crops in the field.
- 74 Our work aimed to answer the following questions: (i) are results from field and laboratory experiments related?; (ii) is it
- 75 possible to identify a simple index from laboratory incubations that can predict crop performance and optimize fertilization
- strategy?; (iii) can the evaluation of the abundance of key genes of N-cycle be used for predicting N available for plant
- 77 nutrition?

78 Material and methods

79

- 80 Experimental set-up
- 81

82 Field trials were carried out over three consecutive years (2006-2008) under two contrasting pedoclimatic conditions to assess 83 the effects of different types of fertility management on maize crop yield and soil C and N dynamics. A detailed description 84 of the experimental set-up, sampling techniques, chemical analyses and data elaboration is reported by Alluvione et al. (2013). 85 Briefly, sites were located in the Po River Valley near Torino (TO site - 44°53' N, 7°41'E, Piedmont Region, Northern Italy) 86 and in the Sele River Plain near Napoli (NA site - 40°37' N, 14°55' E, Campania Region, Southern Italy). In this work, we 87 report yield and soil mineral N content of the two sites concerning the following treatments: compost (COM) and urea (UREA) 88 fertilization added to soil at the same N rate (130 kg N ha⁻¹) compared to an unfertilized control (0N). Compost was made 89 with a park, garden, and separately collected urban waste mixture and, according to Alluvione et al (2013), had the following 90 composition: 610 g kg⁻¹ (f.m.) dry matter; 21 g kg⁻¹ (d.m.) ash; 256 g kg⁻¹ (d.m.) organic C; 391 soluble C / total C ratio; 12.2 g kg⁻¹ (d.m.) total N. Compost was not phytotoxic at the 150 Mg ha⁻¹ rate used for the plant growth assay proposed by 91 92 Chukwujindu et al. (2006). Treatments were conducted in quadruplicate following a completely randomized design at the TO 93 site, while a randomized complete block design was used at the NA site.

94 The soil incubation experiment aimed to investigate mineral N and bacterial properties of the two soils and to study
95 the relationship of these two measurements under controlled laboratory conditions.

Soils were collected (0-30 cm layer) at both field sites at the end of the 2^{nd} year of the field experiment (autumn 96 97 2007) in an area near the experimental plots so as to have soils with the same properties as those used for the field experiment 98 but not affected by treatments. Soils were air-dried and sieved at 5 mm; the main soil characteristics are shown in Table 1. 99 Sieving size (<5 mm) was selected to minimize soil disturbance and preserve micro-aggregates. The following three factors 100 were arranged over a completely randomized design with three replicates: (i) 2 soils from Napoli (NA) and Torino (TO) 101 experimental fields; (ii) 3 types of fertilization: compost (COM), urea (UREA), and an unfertilized control (0N); and (iii) 8 102 sampling times. Totally, 144 experimental units (2 soils x 3 fertilizations x 8 samplings x 3 replicates) were set up, each 103 consisting of 400 g of dry soil in a 543 cm³ pot. Urea was added to soil as a liquid solution, while compost was grinded with 104 a hammer-mill before the application and individual soil-fertilizer mixtures were prepared for each pot. The quantities of urea 105 $(0.08 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ soil})$ and compost (4.6 g kg⁻¹ soil) to be added to each experimental unit were calculated taking as reference the 106 N field rate (130 kg N ha⁻¹) and the 0-30 cm layer with an average bulk density of 1.2 Mg m⁻³ (measured after sieving and

107	soil consolidation with watering). A pre-incubation period of 5 days at 28°C and at field capacity was conducted to stabilize
108	soil microbial activity. Afterwards, a 112-day incubation was conducted in the dark at 28°C, controlling soil moisture to
109	simulate the fluctuations of ordinary maize irrigation. According to FAO 56 paper (Allen et al. 1998), irrigation events were
110	simulated at a threshold value of 45% of Water Holding Capacity in order to raise soil moisture to its field capacity.
111	Soil hydraulic parameters were determined in the laboratory by Richard's chambers (Richards 1944). Air relative
112	humidity was kept between 60 and 70% to simulate the conditions of our field sites during the maize growth cycle.
113	
114	Plant sampling and analyses
115	
116	In the field experiment, total biomass was determined by hand-harvesting maize plants at the dent stage from an area of 15
117	m ² per plot. Dry matter was determined after oven-drying samples at 70°C until a constant weight was reached. The N content
118	of plant tissues was measured using a CHN elemental analyser (NA 1500 N analyser from Carlo Erba Instruments, Thermo
119	Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Maize N uptake was calculated by multiplying N concentration in the entire plant by total
120	dry matter yield.
121	
122	Soil sampling and analyses
123	
124	In the field experiment, soil samples were collected from three soil layers (0-15, 15-30, 30-60 cm) before sowing (April), at
125	flowering (June), and after harvest (October) of each cropping cycle. In the laboratory incubation experiment, three destructive
126	soil samples per each soil x fertilization combination were randomly collected at 0, 7, 14, 28, 42, 63, 84 and 112 days for
127	chemical analysis. Concentrations of NH4+-N and NO3N were measured according to the Hach® method and the extracts
128	were analysed by spectrophotometry (Hach DR 2000, Hach Company, Loveland, CO). This method was used in both field
129	and incubation experiments with the exception of soil samples from the TO field experiment. In this case, mineral N was
130	extracted by 1 M KCl and analysed with a continuous flow analyser (Evolution II, Alliance Analytical Inc., Menlo Park, CA).
131	
132	Quantification of bacterial functional genes
133	
134	
	Soil samples were collected from pots after 42 and 112 days of incubation as reported above. Total DNA was extracted by

136 Bacterial functional genes were quantified with qPCR. Amplification of nifH, amoA, nirK and nirS genes were 137 conducted in a Chrom4 System Thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Milano, Italy) using the primers listed in Table 2. The PCR mixture 138 (25 µL total volume) included 50 ng of target DNA, 1× iQ SYBR Green supermix, (Bio-Rad) and 0.2 µM of each primer. All 139 qPCR reactions included an initial denaturing step at 95°C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of amplification as specified in 140 Table 2. Standard curves were generated to calculate the abundance of evaluated genes. Each target gene was amplified with 141 primers from different type strains: nifH (nifH-F, 5'-AAAGGYGGWATCGGYAARTCCACCAC-3'; nifH-R, 5'-142 TTGTTSGCSGCRTACATSGCCATCAT-3') and nirK (nirK-876, 5'-ATYGGCGGVAYGGCGA-3'; nirK-5R, 5'-143 GCCTCGATCAGRTTRTGG-3') from Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021; amoA (amoA-1F, 5'-GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT-3'; 144 amoA-2R, 5'-CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC-3') from Nitrosomonas europea; and nirS (nirS-cd3aF, 5'-145 AACGYSAAGGARACSGG-3'; nirS-R3cd, 5'-GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTSAYGAA-3') from Pseudomonas stutzeri 146 DSM5190. Amplicons were purified from agarose gels using a QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen S.p.A, Milano, Italy) and 147 cloned using TOPO-TA cloning kit dual promoter (Invitrogen, Milano, Italy) in Escherichia coli competent cells according 148 to the manufacturer's protocol. Plasmid DNA containing a single copy of the target gene was purified with QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QiagenS.p.A) and quantified using the QuantiFluorTM (Promega, Milano, Italy). The copy number per microliter 149 150 of each cloned gene was calculated using the following formula (Chen et al. 2015): $(A \times B)/(C \times D)$ where A is the concentration of the DNA template (ng μ l⁻¹), B is the Avogadro number (6.023×10²³ copies mol⁻¹), C is the average molecular weight of a 151 152 DNA base pair (6.6×10^{11} ng mol⁻¹), and D is the DNA size (bp). Ten-fold serial dilutions, ranging from 10^1 to 10^8 gene copies 153 μ l⁻¹, of each plasmid DNA sample were used to generate a standard curve for each target gene (*nifH*, *amoA*, *nirK*, and *nirS*).

- 154
- 155 Data elaboration and statistical analyses
- 156

We conducted Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene tests to verify the assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity of the data. For field data, we used a general linear model of analysis of variance (ANOVA). Maize N uptake, soil NH₄⁺-N, and NO₃⁻-N contents from field experiments were analysed using fertilization (0N, COM and UREA) as the main factor, while year was treated as a repeated measure. We verified the variance-covariance structure of our dataset by performing Mauchly's sphericity test. Field data were analysed separately per site to preserve homogeneity of variance. Soil mineral N content was transformed through natural logarithm (of values added to 100 to eliminate 0 values) to preserve normality of the data before conducting the ANOVA. For laboratory incubation, we analyzed NH_4^+-N , NO_3^--N and total mineral N content data using a one-way ANOVA, which included three factors (soil x fertilization x sampling time). Next, a one-way ANOVA with one factor was used, which considered each soil x fertilization x sampling combination as a single treatment to compare soil mineral N values recorded at each sampling date. As for field data, soil mineral N content was natural logarithm transformed (of values added to 100 to eliminate 0 values) to preserve normality of the data before conducting the ANOVA.

169 For microbiological data, we used a one-way ANOVA with three factors (soil x fertilization x sampling time).

170 All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 13.0 statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Cary, NC, USA);

171 statistical comparisons were made at the $\alpha = 0.05$ probability level and mean values were separated using the Sidak *post-hoc* 172 test.

According to Abbasi and Khizar (2012) and Ribeiro et al. (2010), cumulative soil mineral N values from soil incubations can be used to predict plant uptake. We tested this hypothesis by plotting cumulative soil mineral N against average maize uptake for three years of field experimentation. To plot these data, we converted soil mineral N values in kg N ha⁻¹ by using a reference layer of 0-40 cm and a bulk density of 1.2 Mg m⁻³.

Furthermore, an iterative curve fitting procedure (SigmaPlot 12.1, Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA) was performed on cumulative net mineral N (n-SMN), which is the difference between each soil mineral N value and the value recorded at day 0 for each treatment, with the aim of estimating the parameters of the following mineralization functions:

180

181 1) Single pool negative exponential function (Eq.1):

182

183 $n - SMN(t) = N \times [1 - exp^{(-k \times t)}]$ (Equation 1)

where n-SMN (t) is the amount of N mineralized at time t, N is potentially mineralizable N and k is the decompositioncoefficient.

186

187 2) Two-pool negative exponential function (Eq.2):

188

189 $n - SMN(t) = N_{fast} \times [1 - exp^{(-k_{fast} \times t)}] + N_{slow} \times [1 - exp^{(-k_{slow} \times t)}]$ (Equation 2)

where n-SMN (t) production is split into two N pools differing in their decomposability. The N_{fast} pool represents N in easily decomposable organic materials (i.e., fresh residues), while N_{slow} pool includes more recalcitrant sources with a slow rate of decomposition. The k _{fast} and k _{slow} are the corresponding decomposition coefficients of the two pools.

193

194 3) Sigmoid, 3-parameter function (Eq.3) :

195

196
$$n - SMN(t) = \frac{N_s}{1 + exp^{-\frac{(t-t_0)}{k_s}}}$$
 (Equation 3)

197 where N_s represents potentially mineralizable N, K_s is the inflection point, t_0 is the time needed to raise SMN values to $N_s/2$, 198 and K_s is the mineralization coefficient.

199 In the Results section, we reported the best performing functions according to the regression coefficient (R^2) values 200 and to the standard error of estimate (SEE). No constraints were applied to parameters estimated by the fitting procedure, and 201 the best performing functions were identified among those with realistic parameters for potentially mineralizable N (not higher 202 than soil total N) and the mineralization coefficient (between 10^{-3} and 1 day^{-1}).

- 203 Results
- 204

205 Field experiment

206

207 Nitrogen uptake of maize in the two sites is reported in Table 3. Unfertilized plots had higher N availability in TO soil, with 208 an average uptake that was two times greater than in NA soil (average value of 105.8 kg N ha⁻¹ vs 181.5 kg ha⁻¹ for NA and 209 TO site, respectively). Nitrogen uptake declined at both sites over the years, but in NA soil, this decrease was more marked. 210 In fact, taking values from the first year as a reference at NA, there was a dramatic decline from the second year (-40%), while 211 a comparable decrease (-43%) was observed in TO soil only in the third year as a consequence of hailstorm damage. Nitrogen 212 uptake at the TO site was significantly affected by COM and UREA fertilization in 2007 and 2008, with an average increase 213 of +34% for fertilized plots with respect to 0N. In contrast, compost fertilization dramatically reduced N uptake at the NA 214 site (-59% on the average), while UREA produced a 74% increase on average. Nitrogen uptake values recorded with UREA 215 were comparable between the two sites, even though values significantly declined from the first to the third year at the NA 216 site (-54% decrease), whereas TO soil was able to sustain N nutrition of maize with an N uptake always above 200 kg N ha 217 ¹. The addition of compost did not affect N availability in TO soil with the exception of year 2008 (-29% compared to UREA 218 fertilized soil) while it strongly reduced N uptake in NA soil (from -83% to -60% compared to the UREA fertilized soil). Soil 219 mineral N content recorded in the 0-60 cm layer was almost three times higher in NA than in TO soil both at the pre-seeding 220 (Fig. 1a) and the harvest (Fig. 1b) stage. At the pre-seeding stage, differences among the treatments only occurred in NA soil, 221 with lower values recorded for 0N and COM (on average -13% with respect to UREA), while TO soil showed the same pattern 222 at harvest. In this stage no differences were recorded for mineral N in NA soil even though the UREA effect was significant 223 for NH₄⁺-N values (p=0.027) with COM values -49% lower than those of UREA. Nitrate-N content followed essentially the 224 same pattern of soil mineral N, while NH4+-N content was almost constant in both soils and ten times higher in NA (59 kg N 225 ha⁻¹, average value) than in TO (6 kg N ha⁻¹) soil. The NH₄⁺-N:NO₃⁻N ratio was significantly higher in NA (approximately 226 0.45) than in TO (0.18) soil (data not shown).

227

228 Incubation experiment

229 Soil mineral N patterns and curve fitting

230 A significant soil x fertilization interaction was detected (p<0.01) by a one-way three factor ANOVA (soil x fertilization x 231 sampling time), as shown in Figure 2a. As already found for maize N uptake (see Table 3), the 0N treatment had the largest 232 difference between the two soils with soil mineral N values significantly higher in TO soil (+56%). Compost fertilization did 233 not affect soil mineral N content in NA soil compared to soil of the 0N treatment, while a significant reduction (-18% 234 compared to 0N) was observed for TO soil, even though values were still higher than those of the same treatment in NA soil. 235 The UREA treatment increased mineral N content of both soils, but its effect was stronger for NA soil (+82%) than for TO 236 (+20%) soil respectively. The NH₄⁺-N: NO₃⁻N ratio of the 0N treatment (Fig. 2b) was comparable to that found in the field 237 experiment (0.35 and 0.18, in NA and TO soil, respectively). The UREA treatment significantly reduced this ratio in both 238 soils (0.16 on average). Compost reduced this ratio in both soils, but the differences were only significant in the TO soil. Net 239 N mineralization patterns of NA and TO soils are reported in Figures 3 and 4, showing that normalized soil mineral N (n-240 SMN) patterns for each soil x fertilizer combination together with the best fitting function (see Materials and methods section). 241 For NA control soil (Fig. 3a), n-SMN values did not show variation until day 42, at which point, n-SMN values significantly 242 increased and reached a plateau at 84 days (40 mg kg⁻¹). Compost fertilization (Fig. 3b) reduced the length of the initial 0 mg 243 kg^{-1} constant phase by 21 days, then there was a double step increase, the first at 28 days (from 3 to 13 mg kg^{-1}) and the second 244 between 42-112 days (from 17 to 50 mg kg⁻¹). Both 0N and COM treatments are well described by a sigmoid function ($R^2 =$ 245 0.98), although compost fertilization increased the potentially mineralizable N from 39 to 51 mg kg⁻¹, reducing the initial 246 steady state. In the UREA treatment, n-SMN rapidly increased (Fig. 3c) during the first 2 weeks (until 30 mg kg⁻¹), then a 247 new increase was recorded between 42-63 days, reaching a maximum value of 62 mg kg⁻¹. This treatment modified the shape 248 of the curve to a double exponential rise (\mathbb{R}^2 of 0.98 vs 0.88-0.87 of the other models), with a recalcitrant N pool of 82 mg kg⁻ 249 ¹, a mineralization rate of 0.01 mg kg⁻¹ N day⁻¹ and an easily mineralizable N pool of 12 mg kg⁻¹ (k=0.22 day⁻¹), likely 250 representing urea N. In the TO control soil (Fig. 4a), n-SMN values increased by approximately 20 mg kg⁻¹ during the first 251 week and then increased again from day 28 to the end of the experiment, reaching a maximum value of 57 mg kg⁻¹. The 252 addition of compost (Fig. 4b) changed this pattern with a double step increase between 7 -14 days (from 2 to 22 mg kg⁻¹), and 253 between 42-63 days (from 20 to 38 mg kg⁻¹), getting a final cumulative value of 43 mg kg⁻¹. The UREA fertilization (Fig. 4c) rapidly increased n-SMN values, producing 62 mg kg⁻¹ of mineral N in the first 63 days. 254

Mineral N of TO soil was perfectly fitted with a single pool exponential function. Fertilization was able to modify the two parameters of the function coherently with the quality of the N input. Compost fertilization did not produce any significant variation in the kinetic parameter (k=0.019 and 0.021 day⁻¹ for 0N and COM, respectively) while reduced the mineralizable N pool (from 65 to 47 mg kg⁻¹). The UREA treatment did not change the mineralizable pool (almost 66 mg kg⁻¹) and slightly increased the mineralization rate
(from 0.019 to 0.022 day⁻¹).

261

262 Mineral N incubation data as a proxy for field N uptake

263 We tested the correlation between mineral N content of lab-incubated soils and the average N uptake (3 years) of maize from 264 our field experiment. As shown in Figure 5a, cumulative soil mineral N values at day 112 explained only 30% of the variability 265 of the data. Because of these findings, we propose a new crop-based approach for using laboratory incubation data, starting 266 from the hypothesis that incubation time must be normalized over the cycle of the target crop to be studied. Therefore, we 267 converted the incubation time in maize thermal time, that is, the sum of heat units measured in Growth Degree Days (GDD) 268 (Pampana et al. 2009). The most critical phase for maize N requirements is generally that between emergence and silking. 269 For the maturity class used in our experiment (FAO 500), this phase corresponds to 785.5 GDD and is equal to almost 42 270 days of incubation at a daily constant temperature of 28°C. Therefore, we plotted the cumulative soil mineral N value at 42 271 days (Fig. 5b) against the average N uptake (3 years) of our field experiment and obtained a regression coefficient of 272 approximately 0.582 and a significance close to 0.06 (d.f=4). Moreover, the performance of the regression increased 273 significantly when average soil mineral N of the first 42 days was used and it accounted for 72% of maize N uptake (p<0.05) 274 variability recorded in the field (Fig. 5c).

275

276 Functional gene analysis

A significant difference in the abundance of N-fixers was observed in the studied soils, with values of *nifH* gene abundance significantly higher in NA than in TO soil (p < 0.05) (Fig. 6 a). Moreover, a tendency to decrease after 112 days was recoded for both soils, even though significant variation was only detected for 0N and COM treatments in the NA soil (p<0.05). Compost only significantly increased the abundance of N-fixers in the NA soil at 42 days (from 7.20 x 10⁴ to 2.28 x 10⁵ copies g^{-1} soil, for COM and 0N, respectively). In contrast, inorganic fertilization significantly reduced *nifH* gene abundance at both sampling times in NA and TO soils, having the lowest values of dinitrogenase reductase copy numbers, ranging from 1.10 x 10^3 to 5.31 x 10^3 (Fig. 6a).

The effect of soil and fertilization on ammonia-oxidizers showed an inverse pattern with respect to N-fixers (Fig. 6b). At 42 days, a lower (but not significant) abundance of the *amoA* gene was recorded in NA soil treated with 0N and COM and a significant increase in gene copy number (1 to 2 orders of magnitude) in both UREA-treated soils (with the exception of NA soil at day 42). This abundance was significantly higher in TO soil than in NA soil at both sampling dates with aconstant difference of half an order of magnitude.

289 The abundance of the *nirK* gene was influenced by soil type and fertilization (Fig. 6c). At 28 days, NA soil showed 290 significantly higher values than TO soil in 0N (2.38 x 10^5 vs 1.32 x 10^4) and COM (8.79 x 10^4 vs 1.32 x 10^4) treatments. 291 Fertilization reduced the abundance of the *nirK* gene in NA (approximately -37% lower than 0N). By contrast, in TO soil, 292 organic fertilization did not affect the abundance of denitrifiers $(1.32 \times 10^4 \text{ copies g}^{-1} \text{ soil, on the average})$, while urea 293 fertilization significantly increased *nirK* gene abundance to a value of 9.98×10^4 copies g⁻¹ soil (Fig. 6c). After 112 days, an 294 increase in nirK gene abundance was recorded especially in TO soil treated with 0N or COM, reaching values approximately 295 100-fold higher than those recorded at 42 days. In contrast, the abundance of the nirS gene was not affected by fertilization, 296 soil type and incubation period because no significant differences among pots were recorded (Fig. 6d). The denitrifier 297 community of both soils was dominated by nirK, whose abundance was at least 100-fold higher than that of nirS 298 (approximately 2×10^2 copies g⁻¹ soil) (Fig. 6 d).

299

300 Discussion

301

302 Matching Field results with incubation data

303

The two soils differed in native fertility, as shown by crop performance in unfertilized plots (Alluvione et al. 2013). Crop N uptake was significantly higher at the TO site, where it was slightly affected by fertilization, with an average value +85% higher than that recorded in the NA site. In contrast, crop N uptake was sensitive to fertilization at the NA site.

Low pre-seeding soil mineral N values were recorded at the TO site and corresponded to high N uptake and *vice versa* at the NA site; however, no correlation was detected between these two variables. These findings contradict those of Sylvester-Bradley et al. (2001), who showed that soil mineral N content predicted crop performance and accounted for 78% of the variation in wheat N uptake. Probably this discrepancy depends on the different environmental conditions between the preseding and the cropping season. Soil mineral N content of TO site during the pre-seeding season was low due to winter leaching and soil coarse texture (Alluvione et al. 2013). On the contrary, soil mineral N values of the NA soil during the same period were higher probably due to the higher clay content that limits fall-winter N leaching (Gaines and Gaines 1994).

The sigmoid soil mineral N pattern recorded with the incubation of unfertilized NA soil suggests that net N mineralization requires 42 days to increase even under optimal conditions. Simard and N'Dayegamiye (1993) recorded the same pattern in the first 40 weeks of soil incubations most likely because the initial N mineralization potential was more affected by clay content (inverse proportionality) than by total C and N content (Zebarth et al. 2009). At the TO site, soil mineral N values recorded at harvest in 0N plots were lower compared to pre-seeding values, suggesting that high crop N uptake reduced N availability and that TO soil was able to reintegrate this N pool over the years. Moreover, despite the low soil mineral N values recorded during the pre-seeding season, N uptake was always higher in TO soil than in NA soil, suggesting that N mineralization was able to sustain crop requirements (even without fertilization).

322 In addition to increasing maize N uptake, UREA treatment significantly increased pre-seeding soil mineral N in the 323 NA soil, suggesting that easily available N inputs are mandatory for balancing the low N mineralization rate of this soil. This 324 finding is confirmed by incubation data where the UREA doubled the average soil mineral N of 0N treatment (from 30 to 60 325 mg kg⁻¹) and modified the mineralization curve shape from sigmoidal to exponential plot, increasing the potentially 326 mineralizable N and limiting the initial delay phase. The UREA treatment did not increase N uptake in the TO soil but lead 327 to a residual soil mineral N value that was higher than that of the control. This suggests that even a low N fertilization rate, 328 compared to recommended N dose of 250 kg N ha⁻¹ in these environments, is not needed to satisfy crop requirements. The 329 low sensitivity of TO soil to UREA fertilization is also demonstrated by the mineralization curve shape not differing from 330 that of unfertilized soil. In the first year, COM fertilization dramatically reduced maize N uptake in the NA soil, probably 331 because the first addition of compost rapidly reduced N availability, pushing soil fertility to its lowest limit. Average soil 332 mineral N at the pre-seeding stage, which did not differ from that of control plots, was significantly lower than that of UREA-333 treated soils. This pattern has also been identified by other authors in low fertility soils (Eriksen et al. 1999; Hargreaves et al. 334 2008) and confirms data on N immobilization after fertilization with composted manures, even in soils with a C:N ratio of 9 335 (Nyamangara et al. 1999).

336 Thus, this finding indicates that there was an over-exploitation of soil N stock during the cropping season and a low 337 ability of NA soil to restore soil mineral N in the absence of easily available N inputs. Alluvione et al. (2013) hypothesized 338 that the low N availability of NA soil might be due to clay-mediated protection of soil organic N limiting N mineralization 339 rate. Nevertheless, this model does not completely match with incubation data: average soil mineral N values recorded from 340 the COM treatment did not show any reduction with respect to control soil, and mineralization pattern was positively affected 341 by the fertilization with a reduction in the initial steady phase. This difference was probably due to recurrent soil inversion by 342 tillage that increases soil compaction and anoxic sites, resulting in a severe limitation of SOM and compost mineralization 343 (Holland 2004). The controlled conditions of laboratory incubation, mainly less soil compaction, allowed for better soil

aerobic conditions necessary for compost degradation than field conditions, suggesting that in NA soil, organic fertilization 344 345 can have a positive effect if soil compaction is limited (i.e., adopting minimum tillage or sod seeding).

346 At the TO site, compost fertilization did not limit N uptake with the exception of the 2008. This difference was due 347 to the hailstorm damage that was only compensated by the treatment with UREA (Alluvione et al. 2013). Most likely, the 348 yield would have been the same among the treatments in the absence of this climatic event. Average soil mineral N values 349 recorded at maize harvest were lower in COM than in UREA soil, and a similar pattern was observed during incubation: the 350 average difference in soil mineral N was 20% and the decline of potentially mineralizable N from 65 to 47 mg kg⁻¹. The 351 reduction in soil mineral N may be due to the reduced contribution of compost to maize nutrition (Amlinger et al. 2003) under 352 field conditions and N immobilization by soil microflora that occurred during incubation (Nyamangara et al. 1999). In any 353 case, maize performance was not limited due to the high SOM mineralization rate in the TO soil.

354

355 Soil laboratory incubations for predicting maize yield in the field

356

357 Soil mineral N production of NA soil (for both 0N and COM treatments) was close to zero during the first 42 days of 358 incubation, corresponding to a maize thermal time of 785 GDD that covers the period from emergence to silking. This virtually 359 means that during stem elongation, the mineral N content of soil was not able to sustain crop growth also considering that 360 under field conditions, moisture and temperature could be not optimal for mineralization processes. The N mineralization 361 pattern during the incubation of NA soil explains why crop growth was lower than expected by considering soil mineral N of 362 the field experiment and suggests excluding the sole organic fertilization as a N source for maize under field conditions. In 363 contrast, TO soil showed a higher attitude to produce soil mineral N, with a fast increase during the first weeks that perfectly 364 fitted with a one pool negative exponential function. The unfertilized soil showed a cumulative soil mineral N of 365 approximately 56 mg kg⁻¹ in 756 GDD, corresponding to approximately 268 kg N ha⁻¹ in the 0-40 layer (B.D. of 1.2 Mg ha⁻¹ 366 ¹). This indicates that TO soil is able to feed maize regardless of the type of fertilization management and explains why unfertilized soil allowed a N uptake value close to 200 kg N ha⁻¹ in the first two years of experiment. The significant correlation 367 368 (p = 0.06) between cumulative soil mineral N at 42 days and maize uptake suggested that short-term incubation can be used to predict N uptake if the incubation time is synchronized with crop growth according to the concept of thermal units. 369 370 Averaging values during the 42 days period increased the performance of the correlation (p<0.05) most likely because mean 371 soil mineral N values can be considered as an integrated index of the different mineralization patterns occurring during the 372 incubation period. Cumulative soil mineral N at 112 days was a poor predictor of maize N uptake, meaning that medium and

373 long-term incubation is not a wise option when the aim is to estimate N availability in crops under field conditions using soil
374 mineral N data. Nevertheless, this approach is still the best choice for iterative fitting procedures aimed at finding the best
375 functional model to describe N mineralization in different soils.

376

377 Matching microbiological indices with soil chemical data from the field and laboratory experiment

378

The use of PCR to quantify the abundance of the functional and bacterial genes *nifH*, *amoA*, *nirK* and *nirS* encoding enzymes involved in the N-fixation, nitrification and denitrification, respectively, is a sensitive method for evaluating the effect of different soil types and fertilizers on the abundance of the relative bacterial communities. These measurements provide indications on the effects of soil environmental factors, such as nutrients, oxygen status, pH, pollutants, agro-chemicals, moisture, texture and temperature, on the abundance of these bacterial communities (Sharma et al. 2007). Our results confirm that the abundance of these bacterial communities depends on soil proprieties (Delmont et al. 2014) and fertilization type.

385 N-fixing bacteria provide an important source of N to natural ecosystems (Hayden et al. 2010). Different physical 386 soil properties, such as texture, aggregate size and clay content, can influence N fixation in arable soils (Roper and Smith 387 1991; Poly et al. 2001). The higher abundance of diazotrophic bacteria in NA than TO soil highlights a positive correlation 388 between clay texture and the abundance of the *nifH* gene, confirming what reported by Pereira et al. (2013). Furthermore, 389 diazotrophic community composition has been shown to respond to changes in the type of the added N (Orr et al. 2011). 390 Organic fertilizers can stimulate N fixation and bacterial abundance (Keeling et al. 1998; Kondo and Yasuda 2003), while 391 available N can stimulate or inhibit both (Poly et al. 2001; Tan et al. 2003). Compost fertilization positively affected the 392 abundance of N fixers in NA soil at 42 days of incubation; however, the effect decreased over the incubation period, probably 393 as result of the available SOM added to the soil (Pepe et al. 2013).

In contrast, high N content in response to nitrate and/or ammonium added to soil may inhibit dinitrogenase reductase and thus N fixation (Lindsay et al. 2010). The higher *nifH* abundance in NA soil than in TO soil, characterised by the lower mineral N content, confirms this hypothesis. Additional confirmatory evidence comes from the fact that the lowest abundance of diazotrophics was in the UREA treated soils. However, Rodriguez-Blanco et al. (2015) reported that the abundance and composition of diazotrophic bacterial populations can be influenced by N-fertilization as well as by plant cultivar.

Ammonia-oxidising bacteria are considered to conduct the rate-limiting reaction of nitrification (Kowalchuk and Stephen 2001). Soil and fertilization types exerted a different effect on nitrifying populations. Abundance of ammoniaoxidising bacteria was the lowest at day 42 in NA soil, suggesting a lower nitrification potential that matches well with mineral 402 N values of the same sampling date for the 0N treatment. Inorganic N fertilization significantly increased the abundance of 403 the amoA gene both in NA soil and in TO soil, thus confirming the findings by Chinnadurai et al. (2014). This finding is 404 consistent with the results of Kastl et al. (2015), who found that the abundance of *amoA* increased using inorganic fertilizer 405 and that the *amoA* abundance was positively correlated with increases in fertilization rates. The higher ammonia-oxidising 406 abundance in mineral N-fertilized than in compost-fertilized soils probably depended on the higher NH₄⁺-N content of the 407 former soil (Chu et al. 2008). Higher amoA gene abundance and soil mineral N accumulated in the UREA than in the organic 408 manure-treated soil (Chu et al. 2007). The effect of inorganic N fertilization was significantly higher in TO soil than in NA 409 soil. The effect of inorganic N fertilization also demonstrated its propensity to produce mineral N, while the high rate of NA 410 soil nitrification was confirmed, even when a readily available source of N was applied to the soil.

411 Quantification of the abundance of denitrifiers may give insights on the denitrification activity and the potential N₂O 412 fluxes (Henry et al. 2004). Real-time PCR assays, based on the amplification of nirK and nirS genes, provided a robust 413 estimation of changes in the abundance of denitrifiers (Yin et al. 2014). Denitrifier communities of both soils were dominated 414 by *nirK*, which suggests that in these agricultural soils, nitrification is mostly influenced by nitrite reductase encoded by this 415 gene. This fact may be used to predict NO emissions; indeed, the abundance of the *nirK* gene was positively correlated with 416 NO emission, while the abundance of the *nirS* gene was correlated with N_2O emission (Szukics et al. 2009; Morales et al. 417 2010). Moreover, according to Yin et al. (2014), inorganic and organic fertilization have no influence on the abundance of 418 the *nirS*-denitrifier population. In contrast, the interaction between soil and fertilization types affected the abundance of *nirK*-419 denitrifiers. Probably, NA soil had a higher content of anoxic micro-aggregates than the TO soil due to the clay texture. This 420 higher content of anoxic micro-aggregates may have favoured the activity of denitrifying bacteria. Organic C availability has 421 been shown to increase denitrification rates, especially in anoxic environments of aggregate structures that protect and 422 stabilize organic matter (Kong et al. 2010). In contrast, in TO soil, nirK gene abundance was probably related to N availability, 423 which increased with UREA inputs or when soil mineral N reached a plateau.

The initial delay phase of NA soil was probably due to the reduced tendency of this soil to produce nitric N and to the increased tendency of this soil to denitrify due to the presence of anoxic sites.

426 Conclusions

427

428 Our experiments showed that there was a good correlation between chemical and microbiological measurements from lab-429 soil incubation experiments and soil-plant N dynamics of maize cropping systems. Mineralization patterns proved to be useful 430 in optimizing the fertilization management of maize under field conditions as long as incubation time is normalized over the 431 maize growth cycle according to the simplified model of Growth Degree Days. According to this approach, the average 432 cumulative soil mineral N values, calculated over a short incubation period (42 days) covering the most sensitive phase of 433 crop N requirement, may be a good index of N availability to crops. Moreover, the shape and kinetic parameters of net N 434 mineralization from medium term (112 days) soil incubation provided consistent information on the interaction between 435 fertilizers and native fertility. The abundance of key genes of N cycle provides information about the soil attitude in making 436 N available for plant nutrition as affected by soil properties (i.e. abundance of ammonium fixing clays) and N availability 437 (mineral vs organic fertilization). Our work provides a prime starting point for the development of a crop-based approach for 438 using incubation data to manage the fertility of maize. Future research should aim to validate lab-derived N availability indices 439 with different maize cultivars (according to the FAO classification) and in different pedoclimatic conditions to extend our 440 approach to a wide range of maize-based cropping systems.

441

442 Aknowledgements

443

This publication is the result of MESCOSAGR project co-ordinated by Prof. A. Piccolo, and funded by the Italian Ministry
of Education, University and Research (MIUR), under the Special Integrative Funding for Research (FISR) initiative
(Strategic programme "Sustainable Development and Climatic Changes"). In alphabetical order, we thank Roberta
Buonomo, Adriana Impagliazzo, Roberto Maiello, Lucia Ottaiano and Rosa Russo for their technical assistance in
managing soil incubations.

450 References

- 452 Abbasi MK, Khizar A (2012) Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen transformations in a loam soil amended with organic-
- 453 inorganic N sources and their effect on growth and N-uptake in maize. Ecol Eng 39:123–132
- 454 Allen RG, Pereira LS, Raes D, Smith M (1998) Crop evapotranspiration Guidelines for computing crop water
- 455 requirements FAO Irrigation and Drainage paper 56. FAO, Rome, Italy
- 456 Alluvione F, Fiorentino N, Bertora C, Zavattaro L, Fagnano M, Chiaranda FQ, Grignani C (2013) Short-term crop and soil
- 457 response to C-friendly strategies in two contrasting environments. Eur J Agron 45:114–123
- 458 Amlinger F, Götz B, Dreher P, Geszti J, Weissteiner C (2003) Nitrogen in biowaste and yard waste compost: dynamics of
- 459 mobilisation and availability–a review. Eur J Soil Biol 39:107–116
- 460 Annabi M, Houot S, Francou F, Poitrenaud M, Le Bissonnais Y (2007) Soil aggregate stability improvement with urban
- 461 composts of different maturities. Soil Sci Soc Am J 71:413–423
- 462 Braker GA, Fesefeldt A, Witzel KP (1998) Development of PCR primer systems for amplification of nitrite reductase
- 463 genes (nirK and nirS) to detect denitrifying bacteria in environmental samples. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:3769–3775
- 464 Chen YJ, Day SD, Wick AF, McGuire KJ (2014) Influence of urban land development and subsequent soil rehabilitation on
- 465 soil aggregates carbon and hydraulic conductivity. Sci Total Environ 494:329–336
- 466 Chen H, Mothapo NV, Shi W (2015) Soil moisture and pH control relative contributions of fungi and bacteria to N₂O
- 467 production. Microbial Ecol 69:180–191
- 468 Chinnadurai C, Gopalaswamy G, Balachandar D (2014) Long term effects of nutrient management regimes on abundance
- 469 of bacterial genes and soil biochemical processes for fertility sustainability in a semi-arid tropical Alfisol. Geoderma
 470 232:563–572
- 471 Chukwujindu MAI, Egun AC, Emuh FN, Isirimah NO (2006) Compost maturity evaluation and its significance to
 472 agriculture. Pak J Biol Sci 9:2933–2944
- 473 Chu H, Fujii T, Morimoto S, Lin X, Yagi K, Hu J, Zhang J (2007) Community structure of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria
- 474 under long-term application of mineral fertilizer and organic manure in a sandy loam soil. Appl Environ Microb 73:485–
 475 491
- 476 Chu H, Fujii T, Morimoto S, Lin X, Yagi K (2008) Population size and specific nitrification potential of soil ammonia-
- 477 oxidizing bacteria under long-term fertilizer management. Soil Biol Biochem 40:1960–1963

- 478 Cordovil CMdS, Coutinho J, Goss M, Cabral F (2005) Potentially mineralizable nitrogen from organic materials applied to
 479 a sandy soil: Fitting the one-pool exponential model. Soil Use Manage 21:65–72
- 480 De Neve S, Hofman G (2002) Quantifying soil water effects on nitrogen mineralization from soil organic matter and from
- 481 fresh crop residues. Biol Fertil Soils 35:379–386
- 482 Delmont TO, Francioli D, Jacquesson S, Laoudi S, Nesme MJ, Ceccherini MT, Nannipieri P, Simonet P, Vogel TM (2014)
- 483 Microbial community development and unseen diversity recovery in inoculated sterile soil. Biol Fertil Soils 50:1069-1076
- 484 Diacono M, Montemurro F (2010) Long-term effects of organic amendments on soil fertility. A review. Agron Sustain Dev
 485 30:401–422
- 486 Eriksen GN, Coale FJ, Bollero GA (1999) Soil nitrogen dynamics and maize production in municipal solid waste amended
 487 soil. Agron J 91:1009–1016
- 488 Fagnano M, Adamo P, Zampella MV, Fiorentino N (2011) Environmental and agronomic impact of fertilization with
- 489 composted organic fraction from municipal solid waste. Agric Ecosyst Environ 141:100–107
- Flavel TC, Murphy DV (2006) Carbon and nitrogen mineralization rates after application of organic amendments to soil. J
 Environ Qual 35:183–193
- 492 Gabrielle B, Da-Silveira J, Houot S, Michelin J (2005) Field-scale modelling of carbon and nitrogen dynamics in soils
- amended with urban waste composts. Agric Ecosyst Environ 110:289–299
- 494 Gaines TP, Gaines ST (1994) Soil texture effect on nitrate leaching in soil percolates. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal
 495 25:2561–2570
- 496 Griffin TS, He Z, Honeycutt CW (2005) Manure composition affects net transformation of nitrogen from dairy manures
 497 Plant Soil 273:29–38
- Hargreaves JC, Adl MS, Warman PR (2008) A review of the use of composted municipal solid waste in agriculture. Agric
 Ecosyst Environ 123:1–14
- 500 Hayden HL, Drake J, Imhof M, Oxley APA, Norng S, Mele PM (2010) The abundance of nitrogen cycle genes amoA and
- nifH depends on land-uses and soil types in South-Eastern Australia. Soil Biol Biochem 42:1774–1783
- 502 Henry S, Baudoin E, López-Gutiérrez JC, Martin-Laurent F, Brauman A, Philippot L (2004) Quantification of denitrifying
- 503 bacteria in soils by *nirK* gene targeted real-time PCR. J Microbial Meth 59:327–335
- Holland JM (2004) The environmental consequences of adopting conservation tillage in Europe: reviewing the evidence.
- 505 Agric Ecosyst Environ 103:1–25

- Huang CC, Chen ZS (2009) Carbon and nitrogen mineralization of sewage sludge compost in soils with a different initial
 pH. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 55:715–724
- 508 Kandeler E, Deiglmayr K, Tscherko D, Bru D, Philippot L (2006) Abundance of narG nirS nirK and nosZ genes of
- 509 denitrifying bacteria during primary successions of a glacier foreland. Appl Environ Microb 72:5957–5962
- 510 Kastl E-M, Schloter-Hai B, Buegger F, Schloter M (2015) Impact of fertilization on the abundance of nitrifiers and
- denitrifiers at the root-soil interface of plants with different uptake strategies for nitrogen. Biol Fertil Soils 51:57-64
- 512 Keeling AA, Cook JA, Wilcox A (1998) Effects of carbohydrate application on diazotroph populations and nitrogen
- availability in grass swards established in garden waste compost. Bioresource Technol 66:89–97
- 514 Kondo M, Yasuda M (2003) Effects of temperature water regime light and soil properties on ¹⁵N₂ fixation associated with
- decomposition of organic matter in paddy soils. Jpn Agric Res Q 37:113–119
- 516 Kong AYY, Hristova K, Scow KM, Six J (2010) Impacts of different N management regimes on nitrifier and denitrifier
- 517 communities and N cycling in soil microenvironments. Soil Biol Biochem 42:1523–1533
- 518 Kowalchuk GA, Stphen JR (2001) Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: a model for molecular microbial ecology. Ann Rev
- 519 Microbiol 55:485–529
- 520 Lindsay EA, Colloff MJ, Gibb NL, Wakelin SA (2010) The abundance of microbial functional genes in grassy woodlands is
- 521 influenced more by soil nutrient enrichment than by recent weed invasion or livestock exclusion. Appl Environ Microb
- **522** 76:5547–5555
- 523 Lynch DH, Voroney RP, Warman PR (2006) Use of 13 C and 15 N natural abundance techniques to characterize carbon
- and nitrogen dynamics in composting and in compost-amended soils. Soil Biol Biochem 38:103–114
- 525 Meng Q, Sun Y, Zhao J, Lianren Z, Xianfa M, Meng Z, Wei G, Guangcheng W (2014) Distribution of carbon and nitrogen
- 526 in water-stable aggregates and soil stability under long-term manure application in solonetzic soils of the Songnen plain
- 527 northeast China. J Soils Sediments 14:1041–1049
- 528 Miller JJ, Beasley BW, Drury CF, Zebarth BJ (2010) Available nitrogen and phosphorus in soil amended with fresh or
- 529 composted cattle manure containing straw or wood- chip bedding. Can J Soil Sci 90:341–354
- 530 Morales SE, Cosart T, Holben WE (2010) Bacterial gene abundances as indicators of greenhouse gas emission in soils.
- 531 ISME J 4:799–808
- 532 Nedvěd V, Balík J, Černý J, Kulhánek M, Balíková M (2008) The changes of soil nitrogen and carbon contents in a long-
- term field experiment under different systems of nitrogen fertilization. Plant Soil Environ 54:463–470

- Nyamangara J, Piha MI, Kirchmann H (1999) Interactions of aerobically decomposed cattle manure and nitrogen fertilizer
 applied to soil. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 54:183–188
- 536 Orr CH, James A, Leifert C, Cooper JM, Cumming SP (2011) Diversity and activity of free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria
- and total bacteria in organic and conventionally managed soils. Appl Environ Microb 77:911–919
- 538 Pampana S, Ercoli L, Masoni A, Arduini I (2009) Remobilization of dry matter and nitrogen in Maize as affected by hybrid
- 539 maturity class. Ital J Agron 2:39–46
- 540 Pepe O, Ventorino V, Blaiotta G (2013) Dynamic of functional microbial groups during mesophilic composting of agro-
- 541 industrial wastes and free-living (N₂)-fixing bacteria application. Waste Manage 33:1616–1625
- 542 Pereira E, Silva MC, Schloter-Hai B, Schloter M, van Elsas JD, Salles JF (2013) Temporal dynamics of abundance and
- 543 composition of nitrogen-fixing communities across agricultural soils. PloS One 8 e74500. doi:
- 544 10.1371/journal.pone.0074500
- 545 Piccolo A, Spaccini R, Nieder R, Richter J (2004) Sequestration of a biologically labile organic carbon in soils by humified
 546 organic matter. Clim Chang 67:329–343
- 547 Poly F, Monrozier LJ, Bally R (2001) Improvement in the RFLP procedure for studying the diversity of *nifH* genes in
- 548 communities of nitrogen fixers in soil. Res Microbiol 152:95–103
- 549 Ribeiro HM, Fangueiro D, Alves F, Ventura R, Coelho D, Vasconcelos E, Cunha-Queda C, Coutinho J, Cabral F (2010)
- Nitrogen mineralization from an organically managed soil and nitrogen accumulation in lettuce. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci
 173:260–267
- 552 Richards LA (1941) A pressure-membrane extraction apparatus for soil solution. Soil Sci 51:377–386
- 553 Rodríguez-Blanco A, Sicardi M, Frioni L (2015) Plant genotype and nitrogen fertilization effects on abundance and
- diversity of diazotrophic bacteria associated with maize (Zea mays L.). Biol Fertil Soils 51:391-402
- Rösch C, Mergel A, Bothe H (2002) Biodiversity of denitrifying and dinitrogen-fixing bacteria in acid forest soil. Appl
 Environ Microbiol 68:3818–3829
- 557 Rotthauwe JH, Witzel KP, Liesack W (1997) The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene *amoA* as a functional marker:
- 558 molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:4704–4712
- 559 Roper M, Smith N (1991) Straw decomposition and nitrogenase activity (C₂H₂ reduction) by free-living microorganisms
- from soil: Effects of pH and clay content. Soil Biol Biochem 23:275–283
- 561 Saenger A, Geisseler D, Ludwig B (2014) C and N dynamics of a range of biogas slurries as a function of application rate
- and soil texture: a laboratory experiment. Arch Agron Soil Sci 60:1779-1794

- Sharma S, Radl V, Hai B, Kloos K, Fuka MM, Engel M, Schauss K, Schloter M (2007) Quantification of functional genes
 from procaryotes in soil by PCR. J Microbiol Meth 68:445–452
- 565 Simard RR, N'Dayegamiye A (1993) Nitrogen-mineralization potential of meadow soils. Can J Soil Sci 73:27–38
- 566 Spaccini R, Piccolo A, Conte P, Haberhauer G, Gerzabek MH (2002) Increased soil organic carbon sequestration through
- 567 hydrophobic protection by humic substances. Soil Biol Biochem 34:1839–1851
- 568 Sylvester-Bradley R, Stokes DT, Scott RK (2001) Dynamics of nitrogen capture without fertiliser: the baseline for
- fertilising winter wheat in the UK. J Agr Sci 136:15–33
- 570 Szukics U, Hackl E, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Sessitsch A (2009) Contrasting response of two forest soils to nitrogen
- 571 input: rapidly altered NO and N₂O emissions and *nirK* abundance. Biol Fert Soils 45:855–863
- 572 Tan Z, Hurek T, Reinhold-Hurek B (2003) Effect of N-fertilization plant genotype and environmental conditions on nifH
- 573 gene pools in roots of rice. Environ Microbiol 5:1009–1015
- 574 Ventorino V, De Marco A, Pepe O, Virzo A, Piccolo A, Moschetti G (2010) Response of soil microbial communities to
- iron-porphyrin catalytic amendments. J Biotechnol 150:S293–S294
- 576 Ventorino V, Parillo R, Testa A, Pepe O (2013) Chestnut biomass biodegradation for sustainable agriculture. Bioresources
 577 8:4647–4658
- 578 Ventorino V, Parillo R, Testa A, Viscardi S, Espresso F, Pepe O (2016) Chestnut green waste composting for sustainable
- 579 forest management: Microbiota dynamics and impact on plant disease control. J Environ Manage 166:168-177
- 580 Weng B, Xie X, Yang J, Liu J, Lu H, Yan C (2013) Research on the nitrogen cycle in rhizosphere of Kandelia obovata
- under ammonium and nitrate addition. Marin Pollut Bull 76:227–240
- 582 Yin C, Fan F, Song A, Li Z, Yu W, Liang Y (2014) Different denitrification potential of aquic brown soil in Northeast
- 583 China under inorganic and organic fertilization accompanied by distinct changes of nirS- and nirK-denitrifying bacterial
- 584 community. Eur J Soil Biol 65:47–56
- 585 Zebarth BJ, Drury CF, Tremblay N, Cambouris AN (2009) Opportunities for improved fertilizer nitrogen management in
- production of arable crops in eastern Canada: A review. Can J Soil Sci 89:113–132

587 Figure captions

- Fig. 1 Fertilization effect on soil mineral N (SMN) contents of NA and TO soils (0-60 cm depth layer) at pre-seeding (a)
 and harvest stage (b). Fertilization treatments: 0N, unfertilized control; COM, compost; UREA, urea. Bars with the same
 letter are not different at p<0.05; n.s. = not significant. Letters are referred to the sum of ammonium-N (grey bars) and
 nitrate-N (black bars) within the same soil
- Fig. 2 Fertilization effect on soil mineral N (a) and NH₄⁺-N:NO₃⁻-N ratio (b) in incubated soils. Fertilization treatments: 0N,
 unfertilized control; COM, compost fertilization; UREA, urea fertilization. Bars with the same letter are not different at
 p<0.05. In figure 2a letters are referred to the sum of ammonium-N (grey bars) and nitrate-N (black bars)
- Fig. 3 Net soil mineral N (n-SMN) patterns in NA soil with different fertilizations: a) 0N, unfertilized control; b) COM,
 compost; c) UREA, urea. Different letters indicate different measured values within each graph with p<0.05
- 598599 Fig. 4 Net soil mineral N (n-SMN)integrated
- patterns in TO soil with different fertilizations: a) 0N, unfertilized control; b) COM, compost; c) UREA, urea. Different
 letters indicate different measured values within each graph with p<0.05
- 602603 Fig. 5 Average maize N uptake at Napoli (NA) and Torino (TO) sites as a linear function of (a) Cumulative soil mineral N
- 604 (SMN) after 112 days of incubation (not significant), (b) Cumulative SMN after 42 days of incubation (p=0.06), (c)
 605 Average SMN of the first 42 days of incubation (p<0.05). Fertilization treatment: 0N, unfertilized control; COM, compost;
 606 UREA, urea
- 607
- 608 Fig. 6 Soil by fertilization effect on quantification of functional genes involved in N cycling after 42 and 112 days of the
- 609 incubation experiment. Fertilization treatments: 0N, unfertilized control; COM, compost; UREA, urea. (a) *nifH* gene
- abundance, nitrogen fixation; (b) *amoA* gene abundance, nitrification; (c) and (d) *nirK* and *nirS* gene abundance,
- 611 denitrification. Bars with different letters are statistically significantly different (P < 0.05); n.s. = not significant

Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via

Università, 100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155

Table 1 Main soil physical and chemical properties in the 0-30 cm layer (plowed layer) at Napoli (NA) and Torino

(TO) sites (modified from Alluvione et al. 2013)

		Soil textur	e		Chemical properties							
Site	Sand	Silt	Clay	pH ^a	Ac.CaCO3 ^a	OC	TN	Exct. K ^b	Olsen P			
		%			g kg ⁻¹	g kg ⁻¹	g kg-1	cmol kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹			
NA	46.5	22.3	31.2	7.4	-	7.2	0.9	1.11	31.5			
ТО	36	56.5	7.5	8.1	24	10.2	1	0.15	16.0			

^a pH at 1:2.5 soil:water ratio ^b Active CaCO₃ ^c Exractable P

Table 2 Primers and qPCR conditions for the real-time PCR quantifications of *nifH*, *amoA*, *nirK* and *nirS* genes on soil

Target gene(s)	Primer	Nucleotide sequences ^a (5'-3')	Reference(s)	qPCR conditions
Nitrogenase	nifH-F	AAAGGYGGWATCGGYAARTCCACCAC	Rösch et al. (2002)	95°C for 45 s, 55°C for
reductase (nifH)	nifH-R	TTGTTSGCSGCRTACATSGCCATCAT	Rösch et al. (2002)	45 s, 72°C for 45 s
Monooxygenase	amoA-1F	GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT	Rotthauwe et al. (1997)	95°C for 60 s, 55°C for
(amoA)	amoA-2R	CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC	Rotthauwe et al. (1997)	60 s, 72°C for 60 s
Nitrite reductase	nirK-876	ATYGGCGGVAYGGCGA	Henry et al. (2004)	95°C for 15 s, 53°C for
(nirK)	nirK-5R	GCCTCGATCAGRTTRTGG	Braker et al. (1998)	30 s, 72°C for 30 s
Nitrite reductase	nirS-cd3aF	AACGYSAAGGARACSGG	Kandeler et al. (2006)	95°C for 45 s, 59°C for
(nirS)	nirS-R3cd	GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTSAYGAA	Kandeler et al. (2006)	45 s, 72°C for 45 s

samples collected at day 42 and 112 of laboratory incubation

^a $\overline{Y = C \text{ or } T; R = A \text{ or } G; W = A \text{ or } T; K = G \text{ or } T; S = C \text{ or } G; V = A, C, \text{ or } G.$

Table 3 Maize N uptake: year by fertilization effect at Naples (NA) and Turin (TO) sites. Fertilization treatments: 0N,unfertilized control; COM, compost; UREA, urea (modified from Alluvione et al., 2013)

			NA	4		ТО				
		2006	2007	2008	Average	2006	2007	2008	Average	
	0N	178.0	57.5	81.8	105.8	253.5	177.2	113.9	181.5	
IN UPLAKE	COM	42.7	40.6	46.7	43.3	246.9	220.0	140.6	202.5	
(kg N na ⁺)	UREA	253.3	186.0	115.6	185.0	287.1	222.4	197.9	235.8	
	Average	158.0	94.7	81.4	111.3	262.5	206.5	150.8	206.6	
		P-level	Ls	sd		P-level	Lse	ł		
	Treatment	0.000	-			0.016	-			
Effects	Year	0.001	-			0.000	-			
	Interaction	0.000	23	23.1		0.047	28.5			

Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo

Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via Università,

100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155



Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via

Università, 100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155

Table 1 Main soil physical and chemical properties in the 0-30 cm layer (plowed layer) at Napoli (NA) and Torino

(TO) sites (modified from Alluvione et al. 2013)

		Soil textur	e		Chemical properties							
Site	Sand	Silt	Clay	pH ^a	Ac.CaCO3 ^a	OC	TN	Exct. K ^b	Olsen P			
		%			g kg ⁻¹	g kg ⁻¹	g kg-1	cmol kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹			
NA	46.5	22.3	31.2	7.4	-	7.2	0.9	1.11	31.5			
ТО	36	56.5	7.5	8.1	24	10.2	1	0.15	16.0			

^a pH at 1:2.5 soil:water ratio ^b Active CaCO₃ ^c Exractable P

Table 2 Primers and qPCR conditions for the real-time PCR quantifications of *nifH*, *amoA*, *nirK* and *nirS* genes on soil

Target gene(s)	Primer	Nucleotide sequences ^a (5'-3')	Reference(s)	qPCR conditions
Nitrogenase	nifH-F	AAAGGYGGWATCGGYAARTCCACCAC	Rösch et al. (2002)	95°C for 45 s, 55°C for
reductase (nifH)	nifH-R	TTGTTSGCSGCRTACATSGCCATCAT	Rösch et al. (2002)	45 s, 72°C for 45 s
Monooxygenase	amoA-1F	GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT	Rotthauwe et al. (1997)	95°C for 60 s, 55°C for
(amoA)	amoA-2R	CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC	Rotthauwe et al. (1997)	60 s, 72°C for 60 s
Nitrite reductase	nirK-876	ATYGGCGGVAYGGCGA	Henry et al. (2004)	95°C for 15 s, 53°C for
(nirK)	nirK-5R	GCCTCGATCAGRTTRTGG	Braker et al. (1998)	30 s, 72°C for 30 s
Nitrite reductase	nirS-cd3aF	AACGYSAAGGARACSGG	Kandeler et al. (2006)	95°C for 45 s, 59°C for
(nirS)	nirS-R3cd	GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTSAYGAA	Kandeler et al. (2006)	45 s, 72°C for 45 s

samples collected at day 42 and 112 of laboratory incubation

^a $\overline{Y = C \text{ or } T; R = A \text{ or } G; W = A \text{ or } T; K = G \text{ or } T; S = C \text{ or } G; V = A, C, \text{ or } G.$

Table 3 Maize N uptake: year by fertilization effect at Naples (NA) and Turin (TO) sites. Fertilization treatments: 0N,unfertilized control; COM, compost; UREA, urea (modified from Alluvione et al., 2013)

			NA	4		ТО				
		2006	2007	2008	Average	2006	2007	2008	Average	
	0N	178.0	57.5	81.8	105.8	253.5	177.2	113.9	181.5	
IN UPLAKE	COM	42.7	40.6	46.7	43.3	246.9	220.0	140.6	202.5	
(kg N na ⁺)	UREA	253.3	186.0	115.6	185.0	287.1	222.4	197.9	235.8	
	Average	158.0	94.7	81.4	111.3	262.5	206.5	150.8	206.6	
		P-level	Ls	sd		P-level	Lse	ł		
	Treatment	0.000	-			0.016	-			
Effects	Year	0.001	-			0.000	-			
	Interaction	0.000	23	23.1		0.047	28.5			

Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via

Università, 100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155

Table 1 Main soil physical and chemical properties in the 0-30 cm layer (plowed layer) at Napoli (NA) and Torino

(TO) sites (modified from Alluvione et al. 2013)

		Soil textur	e		Chemical properties							
Site	Sand	Silt	Clay	pH ^a	Ac.CaCO3 ^a	OC	TN	Exct. K ^b	Olsen P			
		%			g kg ⁻¹	g kg ⁻¹	g kg-1	cmol kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹			
NA	46.5	22.3	31.2	7.4	-	7.2	0.9	1.11	31.5			
ТО	36	56.5	7.5	8.1	24	10.2	1	0.15	16.0			

^a pH at 1:2.5 soil:water ratio ^b Active CaCO₃ ^c Exractable P

Table 2 Primers and qPCR conditions for the real-time PCR quantifications of *nifH*, *amoA*, *nirK* and *nirS* genes on soil

Target gene(s)	Primer	Nucleotide sequences ^a (5'-3')	Reference(s)	qPCR conditions
Nitrogenase	nifH-F	AAAGGYGGWATCGGYAARTCCACCAC	Rösch et al. (2002)	95°C for 45 s, 55°C for
reductase (nifH)	nifH-R	TTGTTSGCSGCRTACATSGCCATCAT	Rösch et al. (2002)	45 s, 72°C for 45 s
Monooxygenase	amoA-1F	GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT	Rotthauwe et al. (1997)	95°C for 60 s, 55°C for
(amoA)	amoA-2R	CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC	Rotthauwe et al. (1997)	60 s, 72°C for 60 s
Nitrite reductase	nirK-876	ATYGGCGGVAYGGCGA	Henry et al. (2004)	95°C for 15 s, 53°C for
(nirK)	nirK-5R	GCCTCGATCAGRTTRTGG	Braker et al. (1998)	30 s, 72°C for 30 s
Nitrite reductase	nirS-cd3aF	AACGYSAAGGARACSGG	Kandeler et al. (2006)	95°C for 45 s, 59°C for
(nirS)	nirS-R3cd	GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTSAYGAA	Kandeler et al. (2006)	45 s, 72°C for 45 s

samples collected at day 42 and 112 of laboratory incubation

^a $\overline{Y = C \text{ or } T; R = A \text{ or } G; W = A \text{ or } T; K = G \text{ or } T; S = C \text{ or } G; V = A, C, \text{ or } G.$

Table 3 Maize N uptake: year by fertilization effect at Naples (NA) and Turin (TO) sites. Fertilization treatments: 0N,unfertilized control; COM, compost; UREA, urea (modified from Alluvione et al., 2013)

			NA	4		ТО				
		2006	2007	2008	Average	2006	2007	2008	Average	
	0N	178.0	57.5	81.8	105.8	253.5	177.2	113.9	181.5	
IN UPLAKE	COM	42.7	40.6	46.7	43.3	246.9	220.0	140.6	202.5	
(kg N na ⁺)	UREA	253.3	186.0	115.6	185.0	287.1	222.4	197.9	235.8	
	Average	158.0	94.7	81.4	111.3	262.5	206.5	150.8	206.6	
		P-level	Ls	sd		P-level	Lse	ł		
	Treatment	0.000	-			0.016	-			
Effects	Year	0.001	-			0.000	-			
	Interaction	0.000	23	23.1		0.047	28.5			

Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo

Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via Università,

100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155



Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via Università,

100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155



Journal:

Biology and Fertility of Soils

Authors

Nunzio Fiorentino, Valeria Ventorino, Chiara Bertora, Olimpia Pepe, Moschetti Giancarlo, Carlo Grignani, Massimo

Fagnano

Corresponding author

Nunzio Fiorentino

Department of Agriculture, Division of Plant Biology and Crop Science, University of Naples Federico II, via Università,

100, 8055 Portici, Italy

Phone: +39 0812539129

Fax: +39 0812539155

