Ultrasound in the study and monitoring of osteoarthritis
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Summary

This review addresses the use of ultrasound (US) as an imaging technique for the evaluation and monitoring of the osteoarthritic joint. US complements both the clinical examination and radiological imaging by allowing the rheumatologist to recognize not only the bony profile but also to visualize the soft tissues. Systematic US scanning following established guidelines can demonstrate even minimal abnormalities of articular cartilage, bony cortex and synovial tissue. US is also extremely sensitive in the detection of soft tissue changes in the involved joints including the proliferation of the synovium and changes in the amount of fluid present within the joint. Monitoring the amount of fluid in the hip and knee joint with osteoarthritis may be a potentially useful finding in the selection of patients for clinical investigation and for assessing their response to therapeutic interventions.
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Introduction

The use of high frequency transducers with greater resolution of superficial musculoskeletal structures has promoted an increasing use of ultrasound (US) in musculoskeletal system assessment. US has also been used in recent years as a technique to evaluate, diagnose and monitor patients with distinct rheumatic diseases.

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most frequent cause of rheumatic complaints and a relevant public health problem. OA is characterized by changes in bone, cartilage and the soft tissues. In the cartilage, focal degeneration with progressive thinning occurs in the involved joints. Articular cartilage lacks its own vascular supply and is deficient of innervation. Therefore pain possibly arises from other periarticular and/or intra-articular structures such as the joint capsule, synovium, periosteum, bone, tendons, ligaments or menisci. Non-destructive synovial proliferation, joint effusions and popliteal cysts are common findings in OA. Synovitis has been confirmed in knee OA especially in patients with early disease.

Plain radiography is the imaging modality most frequently used for assessing joint involvement. However, the articular cartilage cannot be shown by plain radiographs. In addition, this technique lacks the ability to visualize synovial recesses, menisci and other tissues involved in OA.

OA is one of the rheumatic disorders in which advances in high resolution US have greatly enhanced our ability to observe the detailed changes in the pathological joint potentially providing insight into the causes of pain, the role of inflammation and the progression of the disease process.

The many advantages of US have been well described and are not limited to the fact that it is a non-invasive cost effective technique. It provides unique information that bridges the gap between the clinical and the radiologic evaluation. US can be performed in the examination room minimizing the discomfort and inconvenience to the patient. This facilitates repeated evaluation of all the peripheral joints. The real time imaging capability of US not only allows dynamic assessment of joints but also provides a dimensional aspect not achieved with static radiologic techniques. In addition, US is able to show minute soft tissue changes including those involving the articular cartilage. The changes of the articular cartilage are not limited to the articular surface as in routine arthroscopy. Synovial recesses, tendons, ligaments, bursae and the peripheral aspect of the menisci can be evaluated by US. Along with early detection, subtle progression can be visualized providing for excellent monitoring of the OA pathology. Since the early 1980s, when the first studies on US and OA were published, technological advances have continuously improved both the hardware and the software of US imaging with the development of broadband multi-frequency probes, matrix probes, volumetric probes, probes that can be used inside the joint during arthroscopy, and more recently fusion imaging.
Basic science research, along with clinical investigation, in OA and US will continue to explore the correlation of US images with histomorphometry\textsuperscript{13}, standardize measurements of the hyaline cartilage, analyze the acoustic properties of human cartilage\textsuperscript{14}, correlate the levels of pain with the US findings, further delineate US from other imaging techniques, and increase our understanding of crystalline-associated joint disease\textsuperscript{15}.

**Technique**

The US ability to assess OA pathology has been mainly investigated at hand, hip and knee joints\textsuperscript{16–19}. US equipment requirements and scanning technique differ greatly according to the anatomic site and the tissue examined\textsuperscript{20}. General rules on US assessment of OA pathology include: choosing the highest frequency that allows the visualization of the target area (i.e., higher than 13 MHz for the optimal imaging of the hyaline cartilage of the metacarpal head, lower than 10 MHz for hip joint assessment), adopting a multiplanar scanning technique to document US findings indicative of OA on at least two perpendicular planes of scanning and performing dynamic examination during flexion–extension movements. Scanning protocol for a tailored US assessment of OA pathology should include the evaluation of the articular cartilage involvement, the identification and measurement of the osteophytes and the detection of joint inflammation. Position of the joint under US examination is an important aspect affecting the US visualization of the hyaline cartilage.

Optimal visualization of a significant portion of the articular cartilage in OA in the small joints of the hand is achieved by longitudinal and transverse scanning of the dorsal aspects with the joint in full flexion. The volar aspect of the finger joints is scanned in a neutral position. Hip joint scanning is conventionally limited to the anterior surface of the joint with the leg extended and slightly rotated externally. In the knee, the weight bearing surfaces of the femoral condyle are scanned in the suprapatellar region with the knee fully flexed.

**The healthy joint**

An adequate knowledge of the normal qualitative and quantitative US features of the healthy joint is required to avoid misinterpretations while scanning a patient with OA. Ultrasonographic features of a normal joint include the uniformity of the bone profile, homogeneous echogenicity of the periarticular soft tissues and the potential presence of minimal amounts of fluid located in the joint recesses or bursae depending on the joint.

Hyaline cartilage can be visualized directly by US at different peripheral joints, including the knee, elbow, wrist, shoulder, tibiotalar and metacarpophalangeal joints. It appears as a well-defined anechoic or homogeneously hypoechoic band between the chondrosynovial and osteochondral margins. The lack of echoes of the cartilage layer and the sharpness of the margins are its principal features in healthy subjects (Fig. 1). Various studies have shown that knee articular cartilage thickness can be measured by US with a good intraobserver and interobserver reliability\textsuperscript{21–24}.

The normal profile of the bones is typically regular and the presence of a meniscal fibrocartilage in between them usually appears as a homogeneously echogenic triangle-shaped structure.

**Fig. 1.** Longitudinal view of the normal curvilinear cartilage of the femoral condyle of the knee demonstrating homogeneous anechoic appearance.
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Scanning for fluid should be performed both by dynamic examination and in the standard static position. Since minimal amounts of fluid can be detected also in a small percentage of asymptomatic healthy subjects, comparison with the contralateral side is useful to reduce misinterpretations in daily clinical practice. The measurement of the maximum diameter of the anterior recess of the knee joint and in the bursae in a healthy joint has been described\textsuperscript{25}.

The preliminary definition of synovial fluid and synovial hypertrophy proposed by the outcome measures in rheumatoid arthritis trials (OMERACT) US special interest group in 2004 for patients with rheumatoid arthritis can be applied also for the detection of joint inflammation in patients with OA (Fig. 2)\textsuperscript{26}. Intra-articular Doppler signal is noted only in the usual vascular structures of the joint.

**The osteoarthritic joint**

US allows the detection of a wide spectrum of pathologic findings indicative of OA, involving articular cartilage, bony cortex and synovial tissue.

The US appearance of the cartilage in OA is initially characterized by a loss of the sharp contour and variations in the echogenicity of the cartilage matrix. In the later stages, an asymmetric narrowing of the cartilaginous layer occurs. In 2002, a study investigated the relationship between the acoustic properties of matrix degeneration and proteoglycan loss of cartilage\textsuperscript{27}, the early structural changes by arthroscopic ultrasound, and has measured the diseased cartilage thickness for the purpose of standardization. In a recent study, cadaver knee joints were examined to investigate

**Fig. 2.** Longitudinal view of the anterior recess of the knee (\(+\), caliper markers delineating synovitis).
both discriminant and criterion validity of US in the measurement of femoral cartilage thickness. Multiple sonographers obtained good reproducibility and high levels of agreement were found between US and histology in the assessment of normal to moderately damaged cartilage.28 The early bone changes in the OA joint are detected as hyperechoic signal in the area of the attachment of the joint capsule to the bony cartilaginous margin that correspond with the eventual appearance of osteophytes visualized on the conventional radiography (Fig. 3). In advanced disease the bony profile of the osteophytes is evident. In 2005, a study has described the ability of US in the detection of bone erosions in the central aspect of the joint in erosive hand OA29.

A semiquantitative scoring system has been proposed in the assessment of the US findings of hip, knee and hand OA30–32. Recently, a study group from European league against rheumatism (EULAR) has published a study demonstrating that US is more sensitive than conventional radiography in the detection of osteophytes and joint space narrowing in patients with hand OA31.

Patients with OA commonly have a small to moderate amount of synovitis and effusion. Depending on the study, between 47% and 100% of patients were noted to have synovitis and/or effusion of the symptomatic knee33,34. US is more sensitive than clinical examination in detecting synovitis and correlates well with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and arthroscopic findings. Synovitis or joint effusion detected by US also correlates well with pain in knee OA35,36.

Both color Doppler and power Doppler US techniques detect synovial flow, which is a sign of increased synovial vascularity.37 Increased Doppler signal correlates with increased synovial vascularity seen on histologic examination in patients with OA17,18.

Monitoring of therapy
In clinical trials outcomes measurements in OA include structural measures, functional status and the level of pain the patient experiences. Serological markers are not available for use in OA. The need to identify and precisely measure a population in which OA progresses more rapidly is lacking.38 US has proved to be an effective and safe imaging technique for guiding intra-articular injections, showing the proper needle positioning inside the joint cavity. This is especially important when hyaluronic acid is injected39–41.

All US findings indicative of OA pathology can be monitored. So far, very few studies have investigated this potential of US. Contrast-enhanced (CE) US has been used as a monitoring tool in a clinical trial showing good agreement with CE MRI in assessing inflammatory changes in knee OA42.

US enables us to image both the structural change and the inflammatory activity of the OA. US is one of the best techniques to detect minimal synovitis in a joint. For this reason, US has the potential to study the role of inflammation and identify the patients with a higher risk of progression.

Chondroitin sulfate has been demonstrated to reduce the swelling in patient with mild to moderate OA43. A preliminary report suggests a response in reducing synovitis detected by US in knee OA patients treated with chondroitin sulfate44. This preliminary report indicates a role for US that may have wider application in the future.

Limitations
The main limitation is the inability of the US beam to penetrate bony cortex. Thus, US visualization of the articular cartilage is restricted by the acoustic windows whose width is determined by the anatomy of the joint under examination. There are also limitations that wait to be overcome including: the lack of a standardized method for measuring cartilage thinning and joint space narrowing, the lack of a validated scoring system for the US findings indicative of OA, and the lack of a solid body of evidence on US reliability in the assessment of OA pathology.

In addition, US has been viewed as one of the most operator-dependent imaging techniques. This is partly due to the intrinsic real time nature of US image acquisition. The recorded US images largely display the subjective selection of findings observed by the individual performing the examination. This has limited the development of both multicentre and longitudinal US studies. A strict standardization of scanning technique and diagnostic criteria are necessary to perform reliable US assessment.

Conclusion
US is valuable in the early detection of OA and is helpful in defining the type and extent of bone and cartilage damage. US is an excellent tool for the detection of synovitis. US has the potential to further elucidate the role of soft tissues, including but not limited to synovium, in the generation and progression of OA. US also has potential in monitoring OA progression. The US evaluation of the OA disease process represents a dynamic area of rheumatologic investigation that will provide much needed insight into this important aspect of rheumatic disease.
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