International Consensus for ultrasound lesions in gout: results of Delphi process and web-reliability exercise
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Abstract

Objective. To produce consensus-based definitions of the US elementary lesions in gout and to test their reliability in a web-based exercise.

Methods. The process consisted of two steps. In the first step a written Delphi questionnaire was developed from a systematic literature review and expert international consensus. This collated information resulted in four statements defining US elementary lesions: double contour (DC), tophus, aggregates and erosion. The Delphi questionnaire was sent to 35 rheumatology experts in US, asking them to rate their level of agreement or disagreement with each statement. The second step tested the reliability by a web-exercise. US images of both normal and gouty elementary lesions were collected by the participants. A facilitator then constructed an electronic database of 110 images. The database was sent to the participants, who evaluated the presence/absence of US elementary lesions. A group of 20 images was displayed twice to evaluate intra-reader reliability.

Results. A total of 32 participants responded to the questionnaires. Good agreement (>80%) was obtained for US definitions on DC, tophus, aggregates and erosion in the Delphi exercise after three rounds. The reliability on images showed inter-reader $\kappa$ values for DC, tophus, aggregates, erosion findings of 0.98, 0.71, 0.54 and 0.85, respectively. The mean intra-reader $\kappa$ values were also acceptable: 0.93, 0.78, 0.65 and 0.78, respectively.

Conclusion. This, the first consensus-based US definition of elementary lesions in gout, demonstrated good reliability overall. It constitutes an essential step in developing a core outcome measurement that permits a higher degree of homogeneity and comparability between multicentre studies.
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Introduction

Gout is an inflammatory disease induced by the precipitation of MSU crystals in a variety of tissues, both inside and around joints, where they can lead to acute or chronic arthritis [1]. Its poor control can lead to renal failure, cardiovascular disease, increased morbidity–mortality and poorer quality of life [2, 3].

Recent data suggest that gout affects up to 1–2.5% of adults; however, its prevalence increases with age to rates of up to 7% in men aged over 65 and 3% in women aged over 85 [4, 5]. The treat-to-target approach is to lower serum uric acid levels, allowing MSU crystals to dissolve, leading to both the elimination of acute gouty attacks of inflammation and the reduction of tophi formation [4]. However, despite new guidelines and recommendations for diagnosis and management, and evolving new therapeutic options, suboptimal management of the disease is still reported [4, 6–9]. Early detection and accurate diagnosis of gout play a crucial role in improving the outcome of the disease, which is still a challenge in clinical daily practice due to the great heterogeneity of the disease and the non-specificity of symptoms in its early phases.

Imaging may play an important role, especially US, due to its utility in both clinical practice and research activity [10–24]. It offers relevant properties such as direct visualization of crystal deposits in the tissues, high sensitivity in identifying early anatomical changes, and the ability to determine therapy efficacy [21, 23–26]. Additionally it is patient friendly, safe and non-invasive, free of ionizing radiation, less expensive, allows multiple-target assessment in real time and can be performed at the bedside.

To date, several studies supporting its accuracy, and construct and criterion validity as well reliability in gout have been published [15, 16, 21, 23, 24]. Interesting data have also emerged from studies speculating about its possible role in the very early diagnosis of the disease, because US findings indicative of gout have also been demonstrated in patients with asymptomatic hyperuricemia [27–30].

Despite the growing body of evidence supporting the utility of US in gout, standardized definitions of the elementary morphostructural changes and their reliability have not been thoroughly established. Several authors have developed and published definitions for US gout lesions, but the use of these definitions has been limited to local clinical settings. In order to improve the use of US in the evaluation of patients with gout and strengthen its capability as an outcome instrument, an OMERACT US Gout Task Force was formed.

The first aim of this study was to produce consensus-based definitions of the US elementary lesions in gout using a Delphi process among an international panel of experts. The second aim was to test the inter- and intra-reader reliability of the US definitions of each elementary lesion in gout using a web-based exercise.

Methods

Study design

The OMERACT US Gout Task Force was formed and held their first international meeting at the ACR congress in 2012. A systematic review of the literature was presented, and relevant questions regarding the definition of gout elementary lesions were discussed in order to plan the Delphi exercise. A validation process was started and the first two steps were defined for carrying out a Delphi exercise on the US definitions of elementary lesions in gout and for testing these definitions in an inter- and intra-reader reliability web exercise. The institutional ethics committee (Comitato Etico della Azienda Sanitaria Unica Regionale di Ancona) approved the study, and informed consent was obtained from patients scanned for the inter- and intra-reader exercise.

First step: Delphi consensus on definition of gout elementary US lesions

Thirty-five rheumatologists (experienced in both US and gout) from 15 countries of Europe, America and Australasia (Australia, Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, Norway, Turkey, Spain, UK and USA) were identified. The 35 experts were then emailed invitations to participate in the Delphi consensus-building exercise.

A written Delphi questionnaire was constructed on the basis of data collected from both the literature on US in gout and discussion among OMERACT US Gout Task Force members. The criteria presented for committee scrutiny were assembled from PubMed and Medline literature searches as well as from highly cited manuscripts on US in gout [10–26]. Abstracts presented at the 2012 ACR and EULAR scientific meetings were also included. The previous definitions of US elementary lesions described in the literature were used as a basis to formulate the Delphi statements presented to the panel.

The first online survey that was sent to the participating committee members consisted of 23 statements/items, including definitions for: double contour (DC), synovitis, tophus (intra-articular and intra-tendinous) and erosions (supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology Online). The names of the panelists were kept confidential and all responses were re-identified prior to releasing them to the group. This allowed each member to answer questions without being influenced by the opinions of the other panelists. The panel was asked to rate each item
achieving a score
analysis was performed using PROC GLIMMIX, applying
Additionally, the 95% CIs were calculated. Statistical
cumulative agreement (percentage) for each statement of
lesions were as follows: knee (hyaline cartilage of the femoral
condyles; patellar tendon, including both proximal and
distal insertion; femoral bone profile), ankle (Achilles
tendon) and foot (first MTP joint for hyaline cartilage,
bone profile, periacicular tissue). These anatomical
areas were selected because of their accessibility by US
and their frequent involvement in patients with gout.

After a collection period of 1 month, the images were
sent by e-mail to a facilitator, who constructed an
electronic database of 110 images based on five findings
(1, DC; 2, tophus; 3, aggregates; 4, erosion; 5, no gouty
findings). It was re-sent to the participants, asking them to
read each image and determine the presence/absence of
the lesion by applying the definitions agreed in the first
step of the validation process. A randomly selected
group of 20 images was displayed twice in order to
assess intra-reader reliability.

Statistical analysis
In the Delphi process, the agreement was assessed
using a 1–5 Likert scale, which was graded as follows:
1, strongly disagree; 2, disagree; 3, neither agree nor
disagree; 4, agree; 5, strongly agree. Group agreement
with the issue under consideration was defined as total
cumulative agreement >80%. Only when sentences
achieved a score >80%, did we consider that the group
had reached a consensus and that the category was
defined as appropriate. Only the statements satisfying
these requirements were used for defining the definitive
elementary lesions.

Inter- and intra-reader reliability between the partici-
pants were estimated (by unweighted Cohen’s k-statistic)
in terms of dichotomous assessment (i.e. presence or
absence) and interpreted as follows: values of: 0.0–0.20
represent slight; 0.21–0.40 fair; 0.41–0.60 moderate;
0.61–0.80 substantial and >0.80 almost perfect reliability
[32]. Additionally, the 95% CIs were calculated. Statistical
analysis was performed using PROC GLIMMIX, applying
SAS software (v. 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Delphi exercise
Thirty-two of 35 participants responded to the first Delphi
questionnaire (91% response rate). All 32 participants also
responded to the second and third rounds of the Delphi
questionnaire (100% response rate).

As previously mentioned, the first round of the Delphi
exercise included 23 statements regarding US elementary
lesions: DC, synovitis, tophi (intra-articular and intra-
tendinous) and erosions. Of the 23 statements with the
highest agreement, 11 were re-worded according to the
comments suggested in the first interaction and pre-
sented in the second round to the participants. The
panel agreed not to include synovitis among the elemen-
tary lesions of gout. Moreover, they suggested the term
aggregates rather than intra-tendinous tophus. Thus,
the elementary lesions included in the second Delphi ex-
ercise were: DC, aggregates independent of location (e.g.
extra-articular/intra-articular/intra-tendinous), tophus in-
dependent of location (e.g. extra-articular/intra-articular/
 intra-tendinous) and erosions. Table 1 shows the total cu-
mulative agreement (percentage) for each statement of
the second round. After this, consensus for aggregates
and erosions (90% and 87%, respectively) was reached,
but no consensus was found for DC or tophus. A third and
final survey interaction was presented to the panel partici-
pants. It included a rewording of the definitions that did
not reach the 80% of consensus in the second round (DC
and tophus). Finally, a definitive consensus on four US
elementary lesions was reached after the third round,
with agreement for DC, aggregates, tophus and erosions
(91%, 90%, 81%, and 87%, respectively). The final defini-
tions were as follows:

DC: abnormal hyperechoic band over the superficial
margin of the articular hyaline cartilage, independent of
the angle of insonation and which may be either irregular
or regular, continuous or intermittent and can be distin-
guished from the cartilage interface sign.

Tophus [independent of location (e.g. extra-articular/
intra-articular/intra-tendinous)]: a circumscribed, inhomog-
enous, hyperechoic and/or hypoechoic aggregation
(which may or may not generate posterior acoustic
shadow), which may be surrounded by a small anechoic
rim.

Aggregates [independent of location (intra-articular/
intra-tendinous)]: heterogeneous hyperechoic foci that
maintain their high degree of reflectivity, even when the
gain setting is minimized or the insonation angle is cha-
ged and which occasionally may generate posterior
acoustic shadow.

Erosion: an intra- and/or extra-articular discontinuity of
the bone surface (visible in two perpendicular planes).

Reliability of reading images
Of the 32 participants, 27 (84%) collected a total of 110
US images of the definitive elementary lesions including
the anatomical areas previously described. Twenty of
those 110 images were repeated twice for the assessment
of intra-reader reliability. Ten additional normal US images were included in the electronic system. The US equipment used for image collections differed from institution to institution and comprised of the following: General Electric Logiq 9 and E9, Esaote XVG, 60, 70 and Twice, Siemens Antares, Phillips HDI 5000.

The observed agreement and the kappa coefficient concerning inter and intra-reader reliability are listed in Table 2. Both $\kappa$-values and 95% CI showed moderate to almost perfect reliability between the investigators for all the US elementary lesions. The lowest $\kappa$ values were obtained for aggregates (0.54), whereas the best rate was for DC (0.98). Similar results were obtained for the intra-reader reliability, with the kappa coefficients ranging from 0.65 to 0.93 (Table 2).

**Discussion**

A standardized US approach for patients with gout has not yet been proposed, and there is still no international consensus on the definitions of elementary lesions. This was also underlined in a recent systematic literature review, which showed non-uniformity in the definitions being applied, and raised the necessity for a standardized definition of US findings in gout [30]. Although important advances in the care of gout have been achieved in recent years, its management is far from optimal in either primary care or rheumatology practice [6-9]; therefore, the quality of assessment provided to gout patients needs to improve.

US plays a key role in the management of these patients as some of the elementary lesions are demonstrated to be highly specific for the diagnosis [10, 14, 21-25]. Its role was also highlighted in the EULAR evidence-based recommendations for gout as a potential tool for diagnosis and monitoring of gout [6, 7].

Taking into account the growing number of rheumatologists who are incorporating US into their research and clinical assessment of gout, the development of a standardized approach is imperative. Therefore, the OMERACT US Gout Task Force conducted a Delphi consensus process and web-based reliability exercise in order to obtain expert agreement on those elementary lesions that should be included in the US definition of gout and subsequently tested agreement on these definitions on US images.

Three rounds of Delphi exercise were necessary to reach an agreement on the definitive four US gout elementary lesions highlighted in the literature review: DC, aggregates, tophus and erosions. No agreement was obtained for the inclusion of synovitis (including power Doppler), which has been suggested by other authors in previous papers. The main reasons for the disagreement may include (i) the low specificity of these findings for gout [33] since they are frequent in other inflammatory or degenerative chronic arthropathies and (ii) the availability of standardized definitions for this lesion, which were taken as the reference for this exercise [34, 35]. However, we aware that specific definitions for synovitis and tenosynovitis for gout are needed, so this aspect will be part of a
**Table 1** Total cumulative agreement (percentage) for each statement of the second and third rounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements round 2</th>
<th>Cumulative agreement, %</th>
<th>Category appropriated</th>
<th>Statements round 3</th>
<th>Cumulative agreement, %</th>
<th>Category appropriated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Double contour (DC)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal hyperechoic band over the anechoic superficial margin of the articular hyaline cartilage, which can range from focal to homogeneous thickening, and whose reflectivity is independent of the angle of insonation.</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Abnormal hyperechoic band over the superficial margin of the articular hyaline cartilage, independent of the angle of insonation, and which may be either irregular or regular, continuous or intermittent and can be distinguished from the cartilage interface sign.</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal hyperechogenicity of the superficial margin of the articular hyaline cartilage, which is of irregular thickness, independent of angle of insonation and can be either continuous or intermittent (focal).</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal hyperechoic band over the anechoic superficial margin of the articular hyaline cartilage, which can range from focal to homogeneous thickening and whose reflectivity is independent of the angle of insonation and which can be distinguished from the cartilage interface sign.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soft tissue aggregates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous substance composed by hyperechoic and/or hypoechoic material (which may generate snowstorm appearance when probe pressure is applied) that may generate posterior acoustic shadow.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous substance containing hyperechoic foci (bright stippled foci) &gt;1 mm, without acoustic shadowing and independent of gain.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous hyperechoic foci that maintain their high degree of reflectivity, even when the gain setting is minimized or the insonation angle is changed and which occasionally may generate posterior acoustic shadow.</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tophus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhomogeneous hyperechoic/hypoechoic material (which may or may not generate posterior acoustic shadows) surrounded by a small anechoic rim.</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>A circumscribed, inhomogeneous, hyperechoic and/or hypoechoic aggregation (which may or may not generate a posterior acoustic shadow), which may be surrounded by a small anechoic rim.</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
forthcoming international meeting of the OMERACT US Gout Task Force meeting and exercises on scoring and sensitivity to change.

After the Delphi exercise, the web exercise tested inter- and intra-reader reliability, showing good $\kappa$ values in all US definitions. The range of $\kappa$ values for inter-observer reliability was moderate to almost perfect (0.98, 0.71, 0.54, 0.85). Similar results were also detected for intra-reader reliability. The US lesion with the highest level of agreement among the participants was DC, whereas the lowest level of agreement was found for aggregates, which is in line with previous studies that tested the reliability of US gout findings [22, 36]. One reason for the overall good reliability for elementary lesions in gout could be related to the type of image used in the web exercise, which demonstrated typical and clear US findings. On the other hand, there are possible explanations for the relatively low level of agreement on aggregates. The panel of US images used for the exercise included aggregates with a range of levels of difficulty of detection (as in daily practice); while large MSU aggregates could be found easily, small crystal aggregates could be more difficult to identify. Another reason could be related to the use of only static images for the web exercise, rather than video-dynamic clips, which may limit the global visualization of the area of interest and the optimization of the US images. Future exercises testing the US definitions on patients are needed to establish whether this will hold for clinical scanning, taking image acquisition into account. In particular, the utility of a separate definition of aggregates will be analysed on the basis of the results of the reliability exercise on gouty patients (real-life scanning). If aggregates are confirmed to have the lowest reliability, the possibility of merging the two definitions (tophus and aggregates) will be considered. Another crucial issue to solve is the use of the terminology tophus because it is a histological concept as opposed to an imaging description. Although several imaging studies of US, MRI and CT [10–15, 17, 19, 21–23, 25, 37, 38] have commonly adopted this term, we believe that further work is needed in order to improve this lack of consensus in the wider international community. Thus, the OMERACT US Gout Task Force is planning studies involving close collaboration with histologists in order to delineate a common understanding of the terminology.

The present consensus for US lesions in gout requires additional considerations. First, it represents the first step of the OMERACT validation process of US definitions in gout and is based on an international panel of US gout experts with broad daily clinical and sonographic practice. Second, the available evidence was appraised and summarized following a rigorous approach and was combined with the experience of several rheumatologists. Third, the OMERACT gout US Task Force discussed largely the details relating to the Delphi process and the way in which propositions were developed. The Delphi approach has several advantages: reduction in individual bias afforded by anonymity, equal weighting afforded to all members, good external validity and ready identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Statements round 2</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Statements round 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhomogeneous hyperechoic/hypoechoic material which may generate posterior acoustic shadows</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity of the bone surface (visible in two perpendicular planes) that may be associated with aggregates, accompanied by a cortical extra-articular defect and may be associated with hyperechoic cloudy material within the erosion.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity of the bone surface (visible in two perpendicular planes) that may be associated with aggregates.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhomogeneously hyperechoic/hypoechoic material which may generate posterior acoustic shadows</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity of the bone surface (visible in two perpendicular planes) that may be associated with aggregates, accompanied by a cortical extra-articular defect and may be associated with hyperechoic cloudy material within the erosion.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity of the bone surface (visible in two perpendicular planes) that may be associated with aggregates.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of areas where more research data are required [39]. Fourth, the US definitions were corroborated by a reliability exercise, which strengthens the reproducibility of the agreed definitions. Finally, the high level of agreement on the final US gout definitions and the multinational participation increases their utility and will hopefully facilitate their international dissemination and implementation.

We are aware that some limitations are present in this study. These are mainly related to the practicalities common to these types of exercise, such as: whether the aim should be the comprehensive coverage of all options or rather a selective highlight of only key issues; how best to edit and amalgamate statements submitted in the first round; subsequent modifications to wording or content of propositions to consider. An additional point to consider is related to the fact that in order to improve clarity, the Task Force undertook minor modifications to the wording of some propositions at the end of the process (after they had been researched, voted on and fully discussed in a meeting held in Berlin), but no change was made to the key content of the propositions.

The next step in the validation process is to test the definitions during scanning of gout patients and subsequently their diagnostic value in patients with gout and undiagnosed gout in multicentre studies. Another limitation is represented by the fact that only US images were used to test the reliability. This type of modality, generally, produces more reliable results with respect to US scanning of patients. However, the OMERACT US Gout Task Force is currently conducting an exercise on patients in order to obtain more accurate and reliable results for US elementary lesions.

In conclusion, the results of this study provide preliminary consensus-based definitions of US gout lesions and the first step in ensuring a higher degree of homogeneity and comparability of results between studies and in daily practice. Ongoing investigations testing their validity and the responsiveness may strengthen these preliminary definitions.
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**Table 2** Inter- and intra-reader reliability results for the four US elementary lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US lesion</th>
<th>Inter-reader reliability: unweighted, k-values (95% CI)</th>
<th>Intra-reader reliability: unweighted, k-values (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double contour</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.821, 1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tophus</td>
<td>0.71 (0.60, 0.79)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.375, 1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates</td>
<td>0.54 (0.39, 0.36)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.312, 1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>0.85 (0.78, 0.90)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.375, 1.19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval.
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