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Abstract

Background: The study aimed to subtype patients with schizophrenia on the basis of social cognition (SC), and to identify cut-offs that best discriminate among subtypes in 809 outpatients recruited in the context of the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses (NIRP).

Methods: A two-step cluster analysis of The Awareness of Social Inference Test (TASIT), the Facial Emotion Identification Test (FEIT) and Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) scores was performed. Classification and regression tree (CRT) analysis was used to identify the cut-offs of variables that best discriminated among clusters.

Results: We identified three clusters, characterized by unimpaired (42%), impaired (50.4%), and very impaired (7.5%) SC. Three Theory of Mind (TOM) domains were more important for the cluster definition as compared to emotion perception and emotional intelligence. Patients more able to understand simple sarcasm (≥14 for TASIT-SS) were very likely to belong to the unimpaired SC cluster. Compared to patients in the impaired SC cluster, those in the very impaired SC cluster performed significantly worse in lie scenes (TASIT-Li<10), but not in simple sarcasm. Moreover, functioning, neurocognition, disorganization and SC had a linear relationship across the three clusters, while positive symptoms were significantly lower in patients with unimpaired SC as compared to patients with impaired and very impaired SC. On the other hand, negative symptoms were highest in patients with impaired levels of SC.

Conclusions: If replicated, the identification of such subtypes in clinical practice may help in tailoring rehabilitation efforts to the person's strengths to gain more benefit to the person.
Introduction

Social cognition (SC) refers broadly to the domains of cognitive functions that are employed in socially relevant situations (Harvey and Penn, 2010). These include emotion processing, social perception, theory of mind/mental state attribution, and attributional style/bias, as well as more complex and developing concepts such as social metacognition (Pinkham et al., 2015).

Schizophrenia patients demonstrate significant deficits across multiple dimensions of SC (Savla et al., 2013). Growing evidence indicates that SC impairment is large in effect size, appears to be present in the prodrome (or high risk samples), in first-degree relatives of patients with schizophrenia, during early course, and during periods of symptom remission (Bora et al., 2013; de Acha’val et al., 2010; Kohler et al., 2010; Fett et al., 2011; Green et al., 2011), suggesting that SC deficits in schizophrenia are likely core features of the illness and not simply a result of medication side-effects or clinical episodes (Ventura et al., 2013).

These disturbances have been found to be largely independent of positive symptoms but may be more strongly related to disorganized and negative symptoms (Fett and Maat 2013; Ventura et al., 2013). Though neurocognitive (NC) and SC tasks share some cognitive processes and therefore are often correlated, NC and SC have been observed to exist as distinct constructs (Sergi et al., 2007; van Hooren et al., 2008; Mehta et al., 2013).

Numerous studies corroborated that both SC and NC are related to everyday functioning (Fett et al., 2011). SC impairments in schizophrenia have recently been explored as potential mediators of the relationship between NC and functioning (Brekke et al., 2005; Horton and Silverstein, 2008; Schmidt et al., 2011; Galderisi et al., 2014), and as a more proximal target for psychosocial rehabilitation. Recent findings from a meta-analysis indicate that SC has a stronger relationship with functional outcome than NC (Fett et al., 2011).

It appears that considerable heterogeneity exists in the area of SC. Thus, it is possible that subtypes with different levels and patterns of SC performance may exist, but little research has been conducted to identify these subtypes (Nelson et al., 2007; Bell et al., 2013). One method that may be useful in identifying subtypes is cluster analysis. Cluster analysis provides an opportunity to group individuals using a data-driven approach rather than pre-determined grouping criteria (e.g. diagnosis). Such approaches permit individuals to be classified based not on single variables or factors but on patterns or profiles of traits, creating the potential for more homogeneous groupings than single domains or predefined categories. Cluster-analytic studies on NC in patients with schizophrenia have identified different clusters with differing levels of NC dysfunction, i.e., one neuropsychologically normal cluster, one severely and broadly impaired cluster, one to three intermediate profiles of mixed NC deficits (Barton et al., 2009). Few analytic studies on SC have been conducted in schizophrenia (Nelson et al., 2007; Bell et al., 2013). The first one used cluster analysis to determine if subtypes with different levels and patterns of performance are present across a variety of social and general perception tasks in one hundred inpatients with schizophrenia (Nelson et al., 2007). Two homogenous
subtypes were identified, that showed performance deficits across measures of emotion, face, and general perception compared with normative data, supporting the presence of a generalized deficit model as regards social and general perception tasks in schizophrenia. Cluster 1 included the most severely impaired patients, who showed scores roughly three SD below that of normal controls on visual perception. Moreover, they showed higher levels of thought disorder than cluster 2; cluster 2 showed mild to moderate levels of impairment with scores about one SD below normal.

The second study, carried out in a sample of 77 outpatients with schizophrenia (Bell et al., 2013), identified three clusters: one with high negative symptoms (HN), one with low negative symptom and higher SC (HSC), and one with low negative symptoms and poorer SC (LSC). The HSC cluster had a significantly higher proportion of patients ever being married compared with the other two clusters, and the LSC cluster had a higher proportion of married than the HN clusters. The LSC cluster contained more patients with more than two arrests. Moreover, the HSC cluster had an earlier reported age of onset and significantly more hospitalizations. GAF scores were significantly better for the HSC cluster compared with the other 2 groups. Lifetime substance abuse also differed significantly among clusters, with the LSC cluster having the highest proportion and the HN group the lowest.

The goals of this study are to analyze the pattern of SC variables in schizophrenia using cluster analysis, to examine the relationship of real-life functioning, demographic characteristics, and psychopathology with cluster membership, and to identify cut-offs that best discriminate among clusters.

Several limitations of previous studies were addressed in the present investigation. We investigated a large, and well-characterized sample of patients with schizophrenia recruited in the context of a multicenter study of the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses (NIRP). A full assessment of different aspects of SC was carried out, including emotional intelligence, emotion recognition and theory of mind (TOM). The MATRICS (Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia) Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) was chosen for NC assessment, as it is regarded as the "state of the art" neuropsychological battery for research purposes in schizophrenia (Kern et al., 2008; Nuechterlein et al., 2008, 2013a, 2013 b).

Measurement of everyday functioning was accomplished through two general approaches: ratings of real-life functioning using the Specific Levels of Functioning Scale (SLOF) (Schneider and Struening, 1983; Mucci et al, 2014), and objective measures of functioning, including the achievement of specific milestones (stable relationship, employment, and residential status) (Harvey et al., 2012, 2013). The SLOF was endorsed by the panel of experts involved in the Validation of Everyday Real-Life Outcomes (VALERO) initiative as a suitable measure of real-life functioning (Harvey et al., 2011; Leifker et al., 2011).

Materials and Methods

Participants
Eight-hundred and nine study participants were recruited from patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia according to DSM-IV, living in the community and consecutively seen at the outpatient units of 26 Italian university psychiatric clinics and/or mental health departments (Galderisi et al. 2014). Inclusion and exclusion criteria have been described in a previous paper (Galderisi et al., 2014). The control group included 780 healthy subjects matched with patients by gender, age range and geographical area of origin and recruited through flyers from the community at the same sites as the patient sample. Inclusion criteria were the absence of a current or lifetime Axis-I or II diagnosis and exclusion criteria were a) a history of head trauma with loss of consciousness; b) a history of moderate to severe mental retardation or of neurological diseases; c) a history of alcohol and/or substance abuse in the last six months; d) current pregnancy or lactation; e) inability to provide an informed consent.

All participants signed a written informed consent to participate after receiving a detailed explanation of the study procedures and goals.

Measures

Psychopathology

The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) was used to rate symptom severity. Scores for the dimensions “disorganization” and “positive symptoms” were calculated based on the consensus 5-factor solution proposed by Wallwork et al. (2012).

Negative symptoms were assessed using the Brief Negative Symptom Scale (BNSS). The Italian version of the scale was validated as part of the NIRP project (Mucci et al., 2015). In line with previous research (Kirkpatrick et al. 2011; Strauss et al., 2012) domains evaluated by the scale loaded on two factors: “avolition” (A), consisting of anhedonia, asociality and avolition, and “poor emotional expression” (DE), including blunted affect and alogia.

Social cognition

The assessment of SC included a test contained in the MCCB: the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) (Mayer et al., 2002), managing emotion section, which examines the regulation of emotions in oneself and in one’s relationships with others by presenting vignettes of various situations, along with ways to cope with the emotions depicted in these vignettes. It was integrated by the Facial Emotion Identification Test (FEIT) (Kerr et al., 1993), which examines emotion perception, and The Awareness of Social Inference Test (TASIT) (McDonald et al., 2006), which is a TOM test consisting of 7 scales (positive emotions, negative emotions, sincere, simple sarcasm, paradoxical sarcasm, sarcasm enriched, lie), organized into three sections: Emotion recognition; Social Inference (minimal); Social Inference (enriched).

The manual of the TASIT was translated into Italian by a psychiatrist of the Department of Psychiatry of the University of Naples SUN who gained experience in the use of the English version of the instrument during his
stage at the Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences at University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), as part of his PhD Course. The videotaped vignettes of the TASIT were dubbed in Italian at the Fono Roma Studios (www.fonoroma.com), a prestigious society in the field of film industry. As to the FEIT, the adaptation of the Italian version required the translations of the six emotions reported on the screen above the stimuli.

**Neurocognition**

The MCCB includes tests for the assessment of six distinct cognitive domains: processing speed, attention/vigilance, working memory, verbal learning, visual learning, reasoning and problem solving.

**Real-life functioning**

The SLOF includes the following domains: physical efficiency, skills in self-care, interpersonal relationships, social acceptability, community activities, and working skills. The Italian version of the scale has recently been validated (Mucci et al., 2014) in the context of the NIRP.

**Definition of milestones**

Functional milestones were defined in line with Harvey et al. (2012) and included social outcomes such as ever being married, living with spouse/partner, currently or previously engaged, which we categorized as current or former relationship vs. none. Vocational outcome was categorized as employed vs. not employed and residential outcome was defined as living without supervision.

**Statistical analysis**

A two-step cluster analysis of the seven TASIT scale scores and the FEIT and MSCEIT scores was performed to identify patient subgroups with different SC profiles. The two-step algorithm has several desirable features that differentiate it from the traditional hierarchical cluster analysis or k-means cluster analysis: first its ability to analyze large datasets efficiently, second the selection of the number of clusters based on a goodness of fit index, third its ability to use categorical and continuous variables. The two-step algorithm has several desirable features that differentiate it from the traditional hierarchical cluster analysis or k-means cluster analysis: first its ability to analyze large datasets efficiently, second the selection of the number of clusters based on a goodness of fit index, third its ability to use categorical and continuous variables. Given the large sample size, we chose to use this technique rather than hierarchical cluster analysis. In two-step cluster analysis, cases are first assigned to ‘preclusters’ and then preclusters are used for a second-step hierarchical analysis. Variables were transformed to z-scores using the Italian normative sample data. The normative sample was recruited from each geographic macro-area (Northern, Central and Southern Italy) and was stratified by age, gender, and education in order to have a demographic composition similar to that described in the last published census by the Italian National Census Bureau. Two-Step Cluster Analysis procedure uses a likelihood
distance measure which assumes that variables in the cluster model are independent. Further, each continuous variable is assumed to have a normal (Gaussian) distribution. However, this procedure is fairly robust to violations of both the independence and the distributional assumptions (Norušis, 2005). In addition, the Euclidean distance can be used, which is suitable when variables are continuous.

In the first step, the procedure builds a Cluster Features (CF) Tree. The tree begins by placing the first case at the root of the tree in a leaf node that contains variable information about that case. Each successive case is then added to an existing node or forms a new node, based upon its similarity to existing nodes and using the distance measure as the similarity criterion. In the second step, the leaf nodes of the CF tree are then grouped using an agglomerative clustering algorithm. The agglomerative clustering can be used to produce a range of solutions. To determine which number of clusters was "best", each of these cluster solutions was compared using Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion (BIC) as the clustering criterion.

Functioning, psychopathology, NC scale scores and demographic characteristics were compared among clusters using ANOVA, followed by Tamhane post-hoc tests, to allow for heterogeneity of variance, and categorical variables were compared among clusters using χ² test. Bonferroni correction was applied to the significance level to adjust for multiple comparisons. The correlation between milestones was examined using φ correlation coefficient and the correlation between milestones and clusters was analyzed using Cramer's V. Classification and regression tree (CRT) analysis was used to identify the cut-offs of variables that best discriminated among clusters. Analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 20 and Stata, version 13.

Results
Of the 921 patients participating in the study, 809 completed all SC assessments. Completers were compared with non-completers using t-test and χ²-test. No difference was found between the two groups on the 29 variables examined except for SLOF interpersonal relationships, that was about 2 points lower among those who did not complete the SC assessment (20.6 vs. 22.5, t-test=-2.99, p<0.01). Therefore, completers can be considered representative of the overall sample.

Clinical characteristics of completers are provided in Table 1. Concerning milestone achievement, being ever married/engaged was significantly associated with living independently (φ=0.249, p<0.001) and with being employed (φ=0.102, p=0.005). Although correlations were weak in absolute value, according to Cohen's definition (Cohen, 1988), they were in the expected direction. Specifically, being ever married was more strongly associated with living independently than with being employed, as expected.

Similarly, being employed and living independently were related to each other (φ=0.126, p<0.001). Achievement of 1,2 and 3 milestones was found in 38.1%, 26.3%, 9.4% of patients; 26.3% did not achieve any milestone.
Cluster selection
The cluster analysis of the 9 SC variables based on the likelihood distance yielded 3 clusters including 340 (42%), 408 (50%), 61 (8%) patients. The three-cluster solution, depicted in Fig. 1 in a biplot graph, proved to be the best in terms of goodness of fit, yielding a lower value (BIC=7283) as compared to the 2- and 1-clusters solutions (BIC=7654 and BIC=8707). An identical solution was found when the Euclidean distance was used. The three clusters were labeled as unimpaired, impaired, and very impaired SC. TASIT LI (lie), SS (simple sarcasm), SA (sarcasm enriched) were the most important variables contributing to the cluster definition. The cluster profile on the 9 standardized variables is depicted in Fig.2, with respect to the reference line of 0 in the control group. This graph shows that mean TASIT and FEIT scales provide a better discrimination among clusters than MSCEIT scores, that tend to be similar in the intermediate and low SC cluster. Moreover, patients in the unimpaired SC cluster exhibited SC impairment in all dimensions investigated, except for TASIT-SI scores, that did not differ significantly between patients and the normative sample.

Correlates of cluster membership
We found that deficits in SC were associated with older age and lower education, but not with age at onset of psychosis or with gender, alcohol or substance abuse and suicide attempts (Table 2). Comparison of SLOF functioning scale scores among the 3 clusters using ANOVA revealed that functioning declined significantly from the unimpaired to the impaired and very impaired SC cluster, suggesting a linear correlation between SC and functioning (Table 2). Work and community activities domains were the functioning domains more strongly associated with SC. Moreover, we found that SC had a stronger and positive association with vocational (ever employed, V=0.142, p<0.001) than with social milestones (V=0.090, p=0.042) and was unrelated with living independently (V=0.061, p=0.230). The PANSS disorganization score increased significantly from the unimpaired to the impaired and very impaired SC cluster. The PANSS positive symptoms domain score was significantly lower in unimpaired SC cluster as compared to impaired and very impaired ones, with no differences between them, whereas both BNSS A and DE scores were significantly higher in patients with impaired SC compared to patients in unimpaired SC cluster, with no differences with very impaired SC cluster (Table 3). Comparison of NC scales scores among clusters showed that NC and SC impairment were strongly related (higher NC impairment, poorer SC) in all the dimensions investigated, except for visuospatial memory (BVMT-R) scores, that did not differ significantly between patients with very impaired and impaired SC (Table 3).

Classification and regression tree analysis
Although the clusters were defined using 9 scales, the scales were highly correlated with each other; therefore we tested the hypothesis that a smaller number of variables would be sufficient to characterize the clusters. To identify the minimum set of variables and the cut-offs for defining the three clusters, we used CRT. This analysis revealed that two TASIT variables (SS and LI) were sufficient to discriminate among the three clusters. Patients with a cut-off scores ≥14 for TASIT-SS were more likely to have unimpaired SC, those with a TASIT-SS <14 and a TASIT-LI≥10 were more likely to have impaired SC and those with a TASIT-SS<14 and a TASIT-LI<10 were more likely to have very impaired SC (Fig. 3).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study carried out to subtype patients with schizophrenia on the basis of SC. This study shows several key results relevant to clinical practice. Firstly, using cross-sectional data, our analysis identified three distinct clusters, characterized by unimpaired (42%), impaired (50.4%), and very impaired (7.5%) SC. Three TOM domains were more important for the cluster definition as compared to emotion perception and emotional intelligence. Moreover, we found specific cut-offs based on levels of impairment on SC measures that can be used in clinical practice.

Second, the three clusters were associated with distinct patterns of real-life functioning, socio-demographic, clinical, and NC variables.

SC clusters

In our study two main findings emerged regarding the SC cluster membership. First, although the three clusters showed SC performance deficits across all measures when compared to healthy controls, except for TASIT-SI,TOM (TASIT LI, SS, SA) was more important for the cluster definition as compared to emotion perception and emotional intelligence. TOM involves the ability to ascertain the mental states of others, and accordingly is likely to affect functioning behaviors to a great extent (Biederman et al, 2012; Couture et al., 2006; Couture et al., 2011).

Second, using the CRT analysis we identified two TASIT scales (SS and LI) and their cut-off values that may provide a useful guidance to clinicians about the patients' degree of impairment in SC. Patients more able to understand simple sarcasm (≥14 for TASIT-SS) are very likely to belong to the unimpaired SC cluster. Compared with patients in the impaired SC cluster, those in the very impaired SC cluster performed significantly worse in lie scenes (TASIT-LI<10), but not in simple sarcasm. Thus, our findings suggest that patients in the unimpaired cluster differ from patients in the impaired and in the very impaired ones in a higher ability to grasp sarcasm, while patients in the impaired cluster differ from those in the very impaired cluster as regards their higher ability to understand lies. This result was not surprising given the findings of studies
showing that comprehension of lies/deceit is acquired before sarcasm/irony and is based on a less complex inferential chain, reflecting first order mental representation, whereas comprehension of sarcasm requires refined emotional skills such as empathic appreciation of the listener's emotional state (Biederman et al., 2012; Mancuso et al., 2011), reflecting second order mental representation and hierarchically higher-level SC ability. This distinction between lies and sarcasm may have been enhanced by the way that the TASIT evaluates detection of lies as it provides all of the information about deceit in the scene and does not require much inference.

**SC and functioning**

Functioning was highest in the unimpaired cluster and deteriorated from the unimpaired to the impaired and very impaired cluster, suggesting a linear correlation between SC and functioning. Work and activities domains were the functioning domains more strongly associated with SC. Our result was confirmed by the findings that patients with high levels of SC were more likely to achieve vocational and social milestones compared with those with lower levels of SC. Moreover, our findings are in line with those of previous meta-analyses, showing that the correlation between SC and real-life functioning ranged from small to large, mainly depending on the examined aspect of SC, with largest effects observed for TOM (Couture et al., 2006, 2011; Fett et al., 2011). The studies reviewed by the Fett meta-analyses evaluated four domains of outcome: community functioning (reported in 33 studies), social behavior in the milieu (reported in 9 studies), social problem solving (reported in 7 studies), and social skills (reported in 9 studies). As regards SC domains, 5 studies investigated TOM (reported in 5 studies), 14 investigated emotion perception and processing (EP), and 8 studies social perception and knowledge (SP). However, the various SC-outcome associations differed in strength. The largest mean correlation was found for the relation between TOM and community functioning, followed by the association between EP and social behavior and the correlation between SP and social skills. No meta-analyses could be performed on social-problem solving and any SC domain due to lack of data. Moreover, it should be noted that in the present study we measured different SC constructs (i.e., emotional intelligence, emotional recognition and TOM) and everyday functioning (ratings of real-life functioning and the achievement of specific milestones) with state of the art assessments. The findings of a previous study (Galderisi et al., 2014) confirm that SC accounts for a unique proportion of functioning variance, independent of NC. Moreover, SC appears to act as a mediator between nonsocial basic NC cognition and community functioning (Schmidt et al., 2011; Galderisi et al., 2014). Lastly, it has been suggested that TOM difficulties play a major role in work functioning as they are important in forming and maintaining social relationships, in achieving social support and personal resources leading to interpersonal difficulties at work. These disturbances may lead to social misperceptions that influence how an individual
reacts to others, which in turn may lead to maladaptive social patterns and/or social withdrawal, which both may influence real-life vocational outcome more than NC abilities (Couture et al., 2006; Fett et al., 2011).

**SC and NC**

A gradient of deterioration across SC clusters was also found for the NC functions, except for visual learning, that was highest in the unimpaired cluster, and did not differ between the impaired and very impaired SC clusters. The relationship between SC and NC functioning is somewhat unclear. A crucial issue that the field is currently facing is about how closely SC processes overlap with NC (Mehta et al., 2013). Despite the fact that basic NC abilities may underlie rapid interpretation of complex social stimuli to inform the moment-to-moment generation, refinement and selection of models for thoughts and emotions of others, which underlie diverse SC abilities (Mehta et al., 2014b), there is some evidence suggesting that a certain level of NC function may be necessary though not sufficient for good SC (Fanning et al., 2012; Hoe et al., 2012). Indeed, conceptually SC involves the interface of socio-emotional and cognitive processing, whereas NC is considered to be affect-neutral (Adolphs, 2009). A recent meta-analysis has shown small to medium range non-specific correlations among different dimensions of these two constructs (Ventura et al., 2013).

Notably, we found that patients in the unimpaired SC cluster performed better in visuospatial memory as compared with patients in the impaired and very impaired SC clusters. Visual working memory tasks involve keeping a visuospatial stimulus, while working on a related or unrelated task. Thus it is a cognitive process which is the foundation of a variety of high level functions like thinking, language and planned behaviors. Accordingly, these findings indicate that individuals in the unimpaired SC cluster could have lower difficulty with higher-level control of visual memory processes, such as use of strategies to enhance encoding and retrieval of novel visual information. Indeed, a visual memory deficit may have several potential negative effects on a range of instrumental activities of daily living from the most common, such as watching TV or reading a book, to the most complex, including social interactions (visual recognition of social signals), recognition of territorial boundaries (interpersonal space) (Cummings and Mega, 2003), autonomy in daily living, and treatment compliance (Prouteau et al., 2005).

Early visual processing was found to have a significant association with emotion recognition and social perception (Kee et al., 1998; Sergi et al., 2006) in schizophrenia. Some authors reported that social perception mediated the relationship between visual perception and functioning (Sergi et al., 2006; Rassovsky et al., 2011). Other studies found that an early visual process (contour integration) is related to the higher-level SC construct of TOM (Schenkel et al., 2005; Uhlhaas et al., 2006). This supports the theoretical connection between perceptual processes and SC based on a cascade model, in which poor perceptual information contributes to inaccurate higher-level information (Javitt, 2009).
SC and psychopathology

SC deficits have been previously suggested to be a crucial component in the development of schizophrenia symptoms (Brekke et al., 2005; Couture et al., 2006; Sergi et al., 2007; Addington et al., 2010). A meta-analysis of 154 studies (Ventura et al., 2013) has shown that fluctuations in SC impairments seem to be poorly related to symptoms of reality distortion, but have fairly strong relationships with disorganization and negative symptoms.

Research on the relationship between positive symptoms and SC is complicated by the fact that many studies combine reality distortion and disorganization in their definition of positive symptoms, obscuring which positive symptom is most relevant to which SC process and by the sensitivity of the TOM measurement used.

As regards negative symptoms, several articles have highlighted their role in understanding various SC domains (Mazza et al., 2007; Sergi et al. 2007; Green et al. 2008, 2010). One possibility is that negative symptoms that involve reduced emotional experience (i.e., anhedonia) or expression (i.e., affective flattening) might be more associated with the development or maintenance of SC deficits (Sergi et al., 2007), apparently because of difficulties representing the mental states of others as well as themselves. Negative symptoms are also associated with lower levels of complexity of social representations, poor social adjustment and impaired capacity for emotional investment (Biederman et al., 2012). The current finding that negative symptoms were highest in patients with impaired SC, with no difference between unimpaired SC and very impaired SC cluster may be partly explained by the small size of this latter group and its the large variability.

As for disorganization, the correlation with SC in our study is in line with the literature (Hardy-Bayle` et al., 2003; Abdé-Amil et al., 2009). Symptoms of disorganization have been associated with failure to take into account the intentions of others, as well as impairments in causal attributions, accuracy of character ascriptions, and integration of social episodes. Moreover, patients with disorganized schizophrenia have difficulty in using contextual information to select an appropriate response in a way that is appropriate to the situation. On the other hand, it has been suggested that a difficulty in understanding other people’s mental states, i.e., a TOM deficit, could induce signs such as poor, incoherent, or inappropriate speech, i.e. disorganized symptoms. Of all the situations encountered in everyday life, communication with others requires the greatest ability to adapt to the context and to attribute mental states: a conversation, consisting as it does of verbal and nonverbal exchanges with other people, is by definition a shifting and uncertain context, and the data associated with it must be constantly inferred and updated on the basis of peripheral information (Leslie, 1987). Taken together, our results provide support for the notion that positive symptoms and disorganization represent separate dimensions with differential links to SC in schizophrenia.

Strengths and limitations
The study had some limitations that should be highlighted. First, because of the cross-sectional design, we were not able to determine the natural stability of these SC subtypes over the course of illness. Second, to be eligible for this study patients had to be outpatients meeting criteria for psychiatric stability, consequently they were not representative of patients in acute phases or in other clinical settings. Third, it should be noted that cluster analysis is exploratory in nature and the results are highly dependent on the method used to aggregate individuals by similarity measures. Still, the clusters proved to be robust and to be associated with specific sociodemographic, psychopathology and functioning profiles.

Despite these limitations, there are some strengths: the large sample size, the use of state-of-the-art instruments to assess real-life functioning, NC, psychopathological, SC variables, and the naturalistic design without selection bias related to randomized controlled designs. Indeed, since data from randomized controlled trials provide efficacy data in a relatively homogenous population under artificial circumstances, it is reassuring to find that these results are confirmed in usual practice real-life settings. Indeed, as underlined by Aldenderfer and colleagues (1984), if a cluster solution is repeatedly discovered across different samples from the same general population, it is reasonable to conclude that it has some kind of general utility.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we identified three distinct clusters of SC performance in patients with schizophrenia. Moreover, we identified a parsimonious subset of TOM (TASIT SS and TASIT LI) and their specific cut-off scores that can be used in clinical practice to discriminate patients with different levels of SC impairment.
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