AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

An ontology for quantified self: Capturing the concepts behind the numbers

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1619445> since 2016-12-08T00:26:37Z

Publisher:

Association for Computing Machinery, Inc

Published version:

DOI:10.1145/2968219.2968329

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

An Ontology for QS: Capturing the Concepts behind the Numbers

Federica Cena

University of Torino

C.so Svizzera, 185 Torino, Italy
cena@di.unito.it

Silvia Likavec

University of Torino

C.so Svizzera, 185 Torino, Italy
likavec@di.unito.it

Amon Rapp

University of Torino

C.so Svizzera, 185 Torino, Italy
amon.rapp@gmail.com

Alessandro Marcengo

Telecom Italia

Via Reiss Romoli, 274 Torino, Italy
alessandro.marcengo@telecomitalia.it

Abstract

This paper tackles an important issue of how to use semantic web technologies for Quantified Self (QS). Ontologies offer a great opportunity for data integration and reasoning over data in a QS environment.

Author Keywords

Quantified Self; Personal Informatics; Ontologies.

ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Miscellaneous.

Introduction

Data collected by current QS applications are commonly heterogeneous w.r.t. syntax and semantics. As a result, the different information collected by such tools can be hardly integrated and they often remain enclosed in autonomous silos. This may narrow the vision on the user's "self", undermining her capabilities of finding useful insights, such as correlations and co-variations among different aspects of her life [10, 11]. Ontologies [6] can address this issue, allowing for data integration and reasoning over data. In this paper we propose to use an OWL ontology to represent different aspects that may characterize a QS scenario. A preliminary work in this direction has been described in [1].

Paste the appropriate copyright/license statement here. ACM now supports three different publication options:

- ACM copyright: ACM holds the copyright on the work. This is the historical approach.
- License: The author(s) retain copyright, but ACM receives an exclusive publication license.
- Open Access: The author(s) wish to pay for the work to be open access. The additional fee must be paid to ACM.

This text field is large enough to hold the appropriate release statement assuming it is single-spaced in Verdana 7 point font. Please do not change the size of this text box.

Each submission will be assigned a unique DOI string to be included here.

A Quantified Self Ontology

According to [5], an ontology can be seen as a “formal, explicit specification of a shared conceptualization”. With explicit specifications of domain objects and their properties, as well as the relationships between them, ontologies serve as powerful formalisms for knowledge representation. For these reasons, ontologies are often used for semantic data integration and for resolving semantic conflicts, as in [4]. Also, the associated rigorous mechanisms allow for different forms of reasoning (for example, to deduce implicit classes [3]). Nowadays, there have been several attempts to create ontologies for ambient intelligence and ubiquitous environment [2, 12, 8, 13], in order to describe the context where Internet of Things technologies operate. However, they have not been designed from a user’s point of view and they have not the explicit aim of representing the QS world. Our attempt is to fill this gap. Requirements for the development of the ontology were captured by PI experts and ontology developers. The main classes of our QS ontology aim at modelling time, space and the user. Here we present, as an example, the classes related to space and the classes connected with the user’s emotional states. *Class Place* models all the different places the user is present at. It has two subclasses: Indoor and Outdoor and the properties *has_place_name*, *has_latitude*, *has_longitude*, *has_geofeature* (which distinguishes if the place is at the sea, in the mountain or in the city) and *has_location* (which illustrates the kind of place we are dealing with, such as cinema, restaurant etc.). Regarding the user’s emotional state, we introduced the *class Emotion* used to model user’s emotions according to Plutchik’s emotional wheel [9]. It has two subclasses: *BasicEmotion* and *ComplexEmotion*. There

are 8 *BasicEmotion*’s which all have 3 degrees of intensity. *ComplexEmotion*’s are composed of *BasicEmotions*.

An ontology such as the one proposed in this paper can find many applications in QS context. First of all, such an ontology can be used to solve the possible data value and schema conflicts occurring among the data gathered from PI tools. Data value conflicts happen at the level of instances, whereas schema conflicts happen among classes of the ontology. For example, regarding schema conflicts, two different names could be used for the same concept (e.g. “biking” or “cycling”), or the same information could be modelled in different ways (e.g. “date of birth” and “age”). These conflicts may be solved by mapping the tracked data to the corresponding classes in our ontology. Next, a QS ontology can be used to make inferences. In particular, generalization in conjunction with Data Mining techniques can help discover correlations among data. For example, data mining techniques might provide a correlation between headache and running or biking activities. These two activities are both outdoor activities, hence there might exist a correlation between outdoor activities and headache in this particular user (outdoor activities are generalization of running and biking).

Another application of ontologies of this kind is the recommendation process enabled by QS data, where accuracy and diversity of recommendation can be increased. Knowing the behavior of a certain user, we can always propose similar or somehow related activities to the ones commonly practiced by the user. For example, if we know that the user often goes running, but according to our data, running is correlated with bad sleep, we might suggest some similar activities (in the same category) such as hiking or walking.

References

1. Federica Cena, Silvia Likavec, Amon Rapp, Martina Deplano, Alessandro Marcengo. 2014. Ontologies for Quantified Self: a Semantic Approach. In Hypertext Workshops 2014.
2. Harry Chen, Filip Perich, Tim Finin, Anupam Joshi. 2004. SOUPA: Standard Ontology for Ubiquitous and Pervasive Applications International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Systems: Networking and Services, 2004, pp. 258-267. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MOBIQ.2004.1331732>
3. Thomas Eiter, Giovambattista Ianni, Axel Polleres, Roman Schindlauer, Hans Tompits. 2006. Reasoning with rules and ontologies. Reasoning Web 2006, 93-127, 2006 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11837787_4
4. Cheng Hian Goh, Stéphane Bressan, Stuart Madnick, Michael Siegel. 1999. Context Interchange New Features and Formalisms for the Intelligent Integration of Information ACM Transaction on Information Systems, 17(3):270-290, 1999 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/314516.314520>
5. Thomas R. Gruber. 1993. A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. Knowledge Acquisition Journal 5 (2), pp. 199-220. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/knac.1993.1008>
6. Nicola Guarino. 1998. Formal ontology and information systems. In Proceedings of the 1st Int. Conf. on Formal Ontology in Information Systems, FOIS'98, IOS Press, pp 3-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/505168.505199>
7. Alessandro Marcengo, Amon Rapp. 2014. Visualization of Human Behavior Data: The Quantified Self. In Innovative Approaches of Data Visualization and Visual Analytics, IGI Global, Hershey, PA, 236-265, 2013 <http://doi.acm.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-4309-3.ch012>
8. Davy Preuveneers, Jan Van den Bergh, et al. 2004. Towards an Extensible Context Ontology for Ambient Intelligence, In Proceedings of the Ambient Intelligence: Second European Symposium, EUSAI 2004, pp. 148-159, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-30473-9_15
9. Robert Plutchik. 2011. The Nature of Emotions. Emotions and Psychopathology. pp. 1-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-1987-1_1
10. Amon Rapp and Federica Cena. 2014. Self-monitoring and Technology: Challenges and Open Issues in Personal Informatics. *Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Design for All and Accessibility Practice*, LNCS Volume 8516, 613-622 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07509-9_58
11. Amon Rapp, Federica Cena. Personal Informatics for everyday life: How Users without Prior Self-Tracking Experience Engage with Personal Data. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 94, 1-17. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2016.05.006
12. Thanos G. Stavropoulos, Dimitris Vrakas, Danai Vlachava, and Nick Bassiliades. 2012. BOnSAI: a smart building ontology for ambient intelligence. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Web Intelligence, Mining and Semantics (WIMS '12). ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 30, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2254129.2254166> Anna Cavender, Shari Trewin, Vicki Hanson. 2014. Accessible Writing Guide. Retrieved August 22, 2014 from <http://www.sigaccess.org/welcome-to-sigaccess/resources/accessible-writing-guide/>
13. Xiao Hang Wang, Da Qing Zhang, Tao Gu, Hung Keng Pung. 2004. Ontology Based Context Modeling and Reasoning using OWL. In Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE Ann. Conf. on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PERCOMW '04). IEEE Computer Society. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/PERCOMW.2004.1276898>