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Abstract

Backgrounds: The terms frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) indicate a large set of neurodegenerative diseases, heterogeneous in their genetic, pathologic and clinical aspects.

Objectives: This review will focus on the most recent contribution of neuroimaging tools on the diagnosis, characterization and pathogenesis of FTLD.

Data sources: PubMed, Scopus, Ovid.

Study eligibility criteria: recent papers published in English in the last 3 years.

Results: We found 91 papers of interest and reviewed their contents, finding in particular 4 major topics: the contribution of neuroimaging on the differential diagnosis; patients’ functional characterization; new neuroimaging tools under development and presymptomatic genetic forms.

Conclusions: Neuroimaging techniques have shown to be useful supporting tools in diagnosis, even if not always determinant to reach a conclusive decision, and quite important to identify phenocopies. At the moment there is not a neuroimaging biomarker that could track the progressive course of dementias and the effect of therapies, but it is possible that in the future Diffusion Tensor Imaging and molecular imaging could fill this void. Monitoring in vivo the evolution of the pathology for at least 5 years is essential, which would only be possible in a large multicentric study, while asymptomatic forms would require even longer observation periods.
**Introduction**

The terms frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) indicate a large set of neurodegenerative diseases, very heterogeneous in their genetic, pathologic and clinical aspects that selectively targets the frontal and temporal brain lobes, which become progressively hypoperfused and atrophic. In the last 3 years the scientific community has shown an exponentially increasing interest in describing and characterizing FTLD. It is however still unclear whether the different genetic, clinical and pathological manifestation of FTLD are different expressions of a single underlying condition or if they are different pathologies that damage the same groups of cerebral areas or functional networks.

While FTLD is rarer than AD, it is the second cause of presenile dementia (< 65 years) and therefore of great interest, considering the social and economic costs of the loss of functionality. In 60% of FTLD patients the onset is between 45 and 64 years, in 10% before 45 years and in 30% after 65th year of life. Overall, FTLD is the third most common cause of dementia after AD and Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and constitutes 5-10% of all dementias confirmed by histopathological examination. The incidence of FTLD is estimated to be 3 to 4 per 100000 person-year, its prevalence in the 45-64 years population is 15-22 per 100000 in the USA, 15 per 100000 in the UK and 22 per 100000 in Italy. The progression of the disease is on average 7-11 years, and the diagnosis usually is formulated 3-4 years after the onset. The average survival from the onset (9-11 years) is extremely variable between different forms, with some patients that can live more than 20 years. The main causes of death are cardiopulmonary collapse and cachexia.

The main clinical variants of FTLD are the behavioral (bvFTD, behavior variant FTD) and the linguistic ones (Primary Progressive Aphasia, PPA). PPA can be categorized in 3 subtypes: the non-fluent/agrammatic variant, also called progressive non-fluent aphasia (nPPA or PNFA), semantic dementia or semantic variant (SD or svPPA), logophenic variant or logophenic progressive
aphasia (lvPPA o LPA). Table I report the main clinical characteristics of the different variants of FTLD (lvPPA was not reported as generally is associated with AD).

**Table I. Clinical features of FTLD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Cognition</th>
<th>Functional ability</th>
<th>Motor symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bvFTD</td>
<td>Disinhibition; apathy; loss of empathy; stereotyped behaviours; hyperorality</td>
<td>Executive deficits; impaired social cognition; lack of insight</td>
<td>Activities of daily living impaired by executive dysfunction, impaired persistence and impulse control</td>
<td>Signs of PSPS, CBS or MND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nfPPA</td>
<td>Relative intact until late</td>
<td>Non fluent language production, some anomia</td>
<td>Activities of daily living impaired by deficits of verbal communication</td>
<td>Signs of PSPS, CBS or MND; apraxia of speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>svPPA</td>
<td>Loss of empathy; mental rigidity; ritualistic behavior; dietary change</td>
<td>Impaired linguistic comprehension, some anomia</td>
<td>Activities of daily living impaired by semantic deficits and problems with planning</td>
<td>Intact until late</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from 7, bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia, nfPPA = non fluent variant primary progressive aphasia, svPPA = sementic variant primary progressive aphasia, PSPS = progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome, CBS = corticobasal syndrome, MND = motor neurone disease

From a neuropathological point of view, FTLD can be classified by the accumulated protein (tau, TDP-43, FUS, other) and divided into subtypes by considering the characteristics of the protein agglomerates 8. In particular, proteins described in the previous sections are involved in 90-95% of cases: FTLD-tau accounts for 40% of cases, FTLD-TDP accounts for 40-50% of cases and the FTLD-FUS accounts for 5-10% of the remaining cases.

In about 40% of cases there is familiarity for FTLD, but only in 10-30% of the cases it is possible to identify an autosomal dominant transmission of the illness. To the present day several genes whose mutation is linked to FTLD have been identified, and the main three are: i) chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9ORF72), ii) microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) and iii) progranulin (GRN). Taken together, these three mutations explain about 80% of autosomal dominant familial cases 9.
Diagnosis of FTLD is often complicated, and generally the diagnostic criteria for FTLD are articulated on three levels (see 10 for bvFTD validated consensus diagnostic criteria and 11 for some useful indications about PPA): for a possible diagnosis there must be behavioral and/or neuropsychological changes, for a probable diagnosis there is the additional requirement of cortical alteration demonstrated by neuroimaging (Computed Tomography, CT; Magnetic Resonance Imaging, MRI; Positron Emission Tomography, PET; Single Photon Emission Computerized Tomography, SPECT). To reach the certainty of the diagnosis, there must be either neuropathological or genetic findings suggestive of FTLD.

Even when strictly abiding by the diagnostic criteria, clinical diagnosis of possible FTLD contain up to 10-20% of undiagnosed AD cases, as AD can have atypical onsets 12. Different variant of FTLD can coexist in their clinical progression and comorbidity with motor symptoms and neurodegenerative disease as Motor Neuron Disease (MND), in particular Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), or atypical parkinsonisms 13 as Corticobasal Syndrome (CBS), or Progressive Supranuclear Palsy Syndrome (PSPS).

Considering all these diagnostic challenges, every serious tentative of diagnosis should be supported by a neuroimaging demonstration of frontal or temporal involvement.

Another reason to consider the clinical description not sufficient to identify FTLD is the existence of phenocopies 14: that are patients (generally males) with symptoms that closely mimic bvFTD, but with a positive prognosis and relatively stable clinical pictures. The majority of studies, focused on discriminating different clinical subgroups or groups with different dementias (FTLD, DLB and AD) with neuroimaging, achieved good or excellent results 15. A focal brain involvement of the frontal and temporal lobes, typically hypoperfusion or atrophy, but also other kind of alterations (e.g. hypometabolism, white matter damage, etc…), is an important supportive element to a correct diagnosis.

Currently there is not a clear understanding of the etiopathogenesis of this disease, nor medications or treatments able to cure, but some drugs that could act on pathogenic mechanisms are under
investigation. While waiting for more effective therapeutic tools, the main goal of the scientific community is to better understand the pathology and to obtain useful markers for early diagnosis. This review of the literature was systematic, but qualitative, and focused on the last 3 years publications about FTLD and clinical neuroimaging, a topic chosen for its relevance and newsworthy.

**Methods**

We followed the PRISMA guidelines recommendations for the search, the selection and the reporting of the relevant papers. We chose to use the following scientific references resources: Scopus, Ovid, PubMed and MEDLINE. We searched for articles published from January 2012 up to December 2014 (time limits), using combinations of the following keywords MeSH: Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration; Diagnostic imaging; Neuroimaging; Image Interpretation, Computer-Assisted; Tomography, Emission-Computed; Tomography; Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Searches were limited to articles published in English. Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration was always in the search queries in combination with logic AND with at least one other keyword. All the queries were pooled together, removing the duplicates. The resulting references were screened to keep only the papers concerning clinical neuroimaging. Further references were retrieved manually by reviewing the bibliographies of the relevant publications.

**Results**

We found 528 papers through database searching and 12 more by looking at the references of the final selection. The number of records was 243 after removing duplicate entries and 146 records were excluded after looking as the titles and/or abstracts were not focused on clinical neuroimaging and FTLD (Fig. 1).
The selected literature was classified in 4 groups:

1) The contribution of neuroimaging on the differential diagnosis of FTLD;

2) FTLD patients characterization with neuroimaging;

3) New neuroimaging tools under development for FTLD study;

4) The importance of the study of FTLD presymptomatic genetic forms.

Ninety-seven full-text articles were assessed for eligibility and 6 were discarded as not pertinent or not fitting in the 4 topics classification (Fig. 1).

Table II reports the level of evidence of the all 91 selected articles, according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine classification (March 2009, http://www.cebm.net/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009).
**Table II. Level of evidence of articles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOE</th>
<th>Reference number(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>8,10,28,30,74,79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3,4,11,12,19,20,24,25,26,29,31,33,34,38,40,41,44,49,51,55,71,80,84,86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>1,6,15,16,56,59,61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>17,18,21,22,23,27,45,46,47,50,52,53,54,58,62,65,66,67,70,72,73,76,81,82,87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32,35,36,37,39,42,43,48,57,60,63,68,69,78,83,88,89,90,91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,5,7,9,13,14,64,75,77,85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOE = Level of Evidence from Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine (March 2009)


The reviewed studies were classified as 2a (7%), 2b (26%), 3a (8%), 3b (27%), 4 (21%) and 5 (11%).

**Discussion**

**Differential diagnosis**

The atrophy pattern in FTLD is usually more anterior and asymmetric than in AD. Table III reports the areas more interested by the different clinical, genetic and pathological forms. While these patterns can be common, it is important to keep in mind that the variability within a single category (clinical, genetic or pathological) can be quite significant, as shown by a study that analyzed 66 subjects clinically diagnosed with bvFTD found 4 different atrophy patterns: frontal (21 subjects), temporal (6 subjects), fronto-temporal (12 subjects) and fronto-temporo-parietal one (27 subjects).

It should constantly be remembered that there can be partial overlap between pathologies and MRI alone could not be enough to make a diagnosis. For instance, a paper analyzing cortical thickness of 36 AD and 24 FTLD, did not find any significant differences between the groups. Furthermore, studying the shape and the volume of hippocampus or temporal areas is extremely predictive of a neurodegenerative disease, but is not enough, when considered on its own, to discriminate between
AD and FTLD\(^{19,20}\). While a thorough study of hippocampus and his sections can discriminate between different clinical forms, global indexes that can summarize the degree of anterior and posterior damage and of asymmetry can be more useful in clinical practice\(^{21}\).

### Table III. Atrophy patterns in clinical, genetic, pathological variants of FTLD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PFC</th>
<th>PMC</th>
<th>TL med</th>
<th>TL lat</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>OL</th>
<th>STR</th>
<th>CRB</th>
<th>LR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bvFTD</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nfPPA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>svPPA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPT</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRN</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf72</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tau</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PiD</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDP A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDP B</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDP C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from\(^{16}\), bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia, nfPPA = non fluent variant primary progressive aphasia, svPPA = semantic variant primary progressive aphasia, PiD = Pick’s disease, PSP = progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD = corticobasal degeneration. Atrophy graded as: relatively spared (−), mildly involved (+), moderately impaired (++), severely impaired (+++). PFC = prefrontal cortex; PMC = premotor cortex; TL = temporal lobe, med = medial, lat = lateral; PL = parietal lobe; OL = occipital lobe; STR = striatum; CRB = cerebellum. LR y = atrophy associated with left/right asymmetry.
The existence of typical atrophy patterns brought to the creation of automatic classifiers, able to discriminate with good or excellent accuracy (> 80%) between two diagnostic categories (AD from controls, AD from FTLD and so on). The main shortcoming of these systems is their lack of applicability in a real clinical setting, as often they do not communicate to the medical operator the criteria used to determine the classification 22.

The criteria should also not be too abstract, and the classifiers should not be limited to a clear-cut binary choice, but be able to decide between multiple plausible diagnostic hypotheses. A recent attempt was made to create a real three classes classifier 23 able to discriminate AD, FTLD and healthy controls.

A good index to discriminate 24,25 bvFTD from AD is given by the MRI volumes of the caudate and gyrus rectus at the clinical onset of the diseases. Following the longitudinal evolution (1-2 years), many brain areas decrease in volume in dementia patients more than in healthy subjects, but only the volume of orbitofrontal cortex can discriminate between AD and FTLD, as the decrease is greater in FTLD. Even if White Matter (WM) volume reduction is greater in FTLD than in AD, this effect is not significant and cannot be used to distinguish them. While FTLD has a greater Grey Matter (GM) atrophy rate per year (3.6% FTLD, 2.5% AD, 1% healthy controls), just as with WM volume reduction this values is not robust enough to be used in diagnosis, and the volumes at the onset of the disease seem to be the more useful discriminant index.

A simple qualitative scale 26 measuring atrophy (0-4, 0 = no atrophy, 4 = severe atrophy) showed a good potential in the differentiation between different pathological forms (accuracy 75-84%) and between controls, ALS and FTLD patients (accuracy 81-95%). The areas to be analyzed with this rating scale are the motor cortex, cingulate cortex, orbitofrontal cortex and anterior temporal cortex. Higher atrophy values characterize all FTLD forms, with more marked orbitofrontal atrophy (but less marked in motor areas) in bvFTD, and with the opposite pattern in FTD-ALS. In ALS, only the atrophy of the orbitofrontal cortex is evident in ALS, and to a lesser extent than in bvFTD. In general, atrophy is more widespread in bvFTD than in ALS when considering non motor areas 27.
SPECT and PET usefulness in differential diagnosis of dementia is ascertained \(^{28}\), and their ability to discriminate between different disorders can be greater than MRI, as shown by a study that examined 160 patients diagnosed with FTLD, AD or vascular dementia \(^{29}\). A frontal hypometabolism or hypoperfusion could help in distinguish between FTLD and AD. Recent reviews \(^{28,30}\), that compared 342 AD and 112 FTLD patients calculated that PET has 98-99% sensitivity and 65-85% specificity, while for SPECT the values are 72-80% (sensitivity) and 78-81% (specificity), computed on 480 AD and 158 FTLD patients. When extending the patients groups to include DLB, PET ratings drop to 71% for sensitivity and 65% for specificity \(^{28}\).

There are not many experiments comparing PET and SPECT on the same patients: even if PET seems to be a slightly better technique (better spatial resolution), the answer is not conclusive and SPECT has the advantage of being the less expensive of the two exams. It has been shown that the agreement rate between the exams at a 18 months follow-up could be as good as 90\% \(^{31}\). In order to maximize the diagnostic ability, it is advisable \(^{32}\) to acquire simultaneously PET/SPECT images with high resolution anatomical images (CT or MRI), and use semi quantitative or quantitative analysis to compare the patients scan with control groups matched for age and sex. In Italy and other countries, the current laws do not allow the use of ionizing radiation on healthy subjects, and therefore often a center cannot acquire in house normative control data. It is however possible to use data gathered in different foreigner centers, losing about 10% of accuracy. This loss can be partially recovered using a spatial normalization procedure that can compensate for the signal difference between different scanners \(^{33}\).

The quantitative analysis should be also carried out with groups of patients diagnosed with different disorders, as it is possible to find atypical forms of non-FTLD dementia that can imitate perfusion patterns typical of FTLD, as it is shown in a study \(^{34}\) that found in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of 9 out of 43 patients diagnosed with FTLD a protein pattern suggestive of AD. Also in AD there was a frontal hypoperfusion pattern when compared to healthy subjects, but when compared only with FTLD patients the area of hypoperfusion was more posterior \(^{34}\). Even if in current practice the
request for a SPECT/PET scan is not accompanied by clinical or cognitive data, including this information in the statistical analysis or in the criteria to choose the control group can improve the precision of quantitative analysis. In fact, a SPECT study carried on 23 FTLD patients (13 with episodic memory deficits) found that the metabolic alterations correlated with the clinical severity of the disease, and the temporal lobe was more severely damaged in the group with memory deficits.

Cerebral WM is very anisotropic (that is, it has properties that depend on the direction along which they are measured, as for example wood, which is easier to split along its grain than against it), because axon are gathered in compact bundles, which form tracts with precise spatial orientation. By indirectly measuring the water diffusion in cerebral tissues it is possible to obtain estimates of WM anisotropy and reconstruct images of the principal tracts. Different techniques allow to reconstruct WM bundles starting from diffusion weighted images, and FA (fractional anisotropy) and MD (mean diffusivity) are among the most common index, calculated using the DTI model (Diffusion Tensor Imaging). When the bundles are altered by microstructural damages, dysfunction of axonal organization or by fiber loss caused by Wallerian degeneration, their FA decreases and their MD increases. Dementia patients and healthy controls can be clearly discerned using DTI metrics; furthermore, different dementias have different characteristic patterns: in FTLD damaged areas are more anterior and in AD more posterior. The anterior cingulate showed a decreased FA in bvFTD patients, which correlated with executive deficits, while the posterior cingulate showed a decreased FA in AD patients, correlating with visual working memory deficits.

A study considering 74 FTLD and 19 AD, classified by CSF protein pattern, comparing the diagnostic potential of studying WM microstructure and GM volumes, found that adding WM data increases both the sensibility and specificity of the exam from 81% to 89%. Another study showed that the analysis of the only cingulum WM bundle can be at least as indicative that studying GM volumes.
A particularly interesting neuroimaging metric is the Loss in Connectivity (LoCo), a measure that integrates the information from GM atrophy pattern and microstructural WM alteration in a single informative index. LoCo calculates the percentage of altered WM bundles starting from cortical regions involved in FTLD and/or AD (orbitofrontal and subcortical for FTLD, temporo-parietal for AD).

Studying WM integrity provides an excellent characterization of FTLD subtypes, especially the different forms of PPA, as in this illness linguistic deficit correlate with alterations of specific WM tracts.

WM damage patterns generally partially border on GM ones, even if in FTLD it is more widespread, with a bilateral frontal involvement in bvFTD, asymmetric fronto-parieto-temporal in nfPPA, fronto-temporal in svPPA, fronto-parietal in lvPPA. In FTD-ALS there is a pattern of WM and GM damage that lies roughly halfway between bvFTD, in which the damage to fronto-temporal cortex areas and WM bundles is greater, and ALS with a greater impairment of motor areas and bundles.

In bvFTD patients the corpus callosum shows widespread damage, while in svPPA the damage is limited to a small portion of the genu. Damage in both svPPA and lvPPA is lateralized to the left hemisphere, but can be told apart for the different magnitude of damage in the dorsal and ventral systems, as in svPPA the damage is particularly evident in the uncinate fasciculus (that joins frontal and temporal areas), while in lvPPA the damage is more localized to the posterior part of corona radiata. The damage of aslant tract (a bundle that joins Broca’s area with cingulate cortex and supplementary premotor areas) is typical of nfPPA.

While linguistic production deficits correlate with alterations of frontal WM bundles (e.g. aslant tract), integrity of perisilvian areas and of their connectivity is crucial for syntactic processes. Semantic deficits and comprehension impairment are correlated with alterations of the uncinate fasciculus. Also the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF that joins temporo-parietal and frontal areas) and inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF that joins occipital and temporal areas) show
differences in PPA: FA is decreased in the SLF in nfPPA, in the ILF in svPPA, while in lvPPA it is more similar to normal controls.\(^5\)

Even if the patterns described in this section are reliable when performing statistical analysis at group level, superposition of different patterns are widespread and partially overlapping in different subtypes of FTLD \(^52-54\). However, a study\(^5\) enrolling 9 svPPA, 9 nfPPA and 9 AD found that at least in some cases DTI metrics can be accurate enough to support a diagnosis at single patient level.

**Patients neuroimaging characterization**

Functional characterization of FTLD allowed examining neural correlates of the main deficits observed in clinical practice: impaired emotion judgment, socially inadequate behavior, apathy, impaired mnestic functions and a general deficit in social skills.

Three different theories about the neural correlates of emotional processing have been investigated from the point of view of FTLD research\(^56\): the right hemispherical specialization theory, the limbic system theory and the multimodal theory, which hypothesizes a network of brain areas in which some hub are specific for the elaboration of a specific emotion (e.g.: orbitofrontal cortex for anger, amygdala for fear, etc…). Recognition of faces that express emotions is usually damaged in bvFTD and svPPA, but are much more spared in nfPPA\(^56\). These deficits could be explained by temporal atrophy and especially atrophy of the fusiform gyrus, which is specialized in the elaboration and recognition of human faces, but the results reach statistical significance even when controlling for the decrease in the perceptual skills of patients. This finding is compatible with both hemispheric and limbic theories, as these areas are damaged in bvFTD and svPPA but relatively spared in nfPPA, but the differences in recognizing single emotions only partially agree with the multimodal model. It must however be recalled that a distribute network damaged in an important node could have similar deficits, regardless of the specific task of the damaged location.
A recent fMRI study that enrolled 20 FTLD, partially agreeing with limbic and multimodal theories, found hypoactivation of the limbic system, fusiform gyrus, occipital cortex, prefrontal ventral cortex and insula in patients when they were watching faces showing disgust.

In another study the authors identified areas that in healthy subjects are synchronized with different areas of the amygdala, an important area in emotion processing. They found that ventral amygdala is functionally connected with a network specialized in perception (including the fusiform gyrus and orbitofrontal cortex), medial amygdala is functionally connected with a network that includes the nucleus accumbens and is specialized in elaborating reward and gratifying stimuli, and the dorsal amygdala is connected with a network specialized in elaborating painful and unexpected stimuli (the so called Saliency Network, SLN, that includes the medial cingulate cortex and anterior insulae). Measuring the volume of the three networks in 20 FTLD patients, the authors found that they correlate specifically with different deficits: the pleasure network correlated with socioemotional withdrawal and inappropriate behavior, the SLN correlated with an inappropriate level of trust and the perceptual network with difficulties in recognizing and attending to social clues.

The link between orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortex dysfunctions and inadequate behavioral inhibition is highlighted by two PET and two Voxel Based Morphometry (VBM) studies. It must however be considered that using different neuropsychological tests or behavioral measures can change significantly the results: therefore, the need to develop more reliable and simple tests, useful in differential diagnosis and that correlate robustly with neurobiological data. The Hayling Sentence Completion Test (which asks to complete a set of sentences with nonsense conclusions, suppressing the automatic answer, as in “The captain wanted to stay with the sinking… banana”) was found to be adequate, as it correlates with orbitofrontal cortex atrophy and can distinguish FTLD and AD in clinical practice.

Other interesting studies found correlations between basal ganglia and frontotemporal cortex volumes and apathy, mind reading and overstatement of personal skills. The impairment in
self-monitoring correlates with the volume of the aforementioned areas only when considering overstatement in the judgments of self and not understatement. Atrophy of specific subsystems correlates with specific monitoring functions: self-monitoring of emotional control correlates with orbitofrontal atrophy, and empathic worries with the atrophy of anterior and polar temporal areas. The social context network model (SCNM) suggests that the integration of the frontal (which is responsible for context analysis and predicting the future) and temporal cortex (semantic storage of context), mediated by the insula (comparing the external environment with internal models) could be crucial in identifying others’ states of mind and emotions. A study recruiting 12 bvFTD and 10 nfPPA agrees with SCNM, as in nfPPA these skills were more severely damaged and atrophy of fronto-temporal-insular cortex was more evident.

Mnestic abilities are impaired in FTLD patients, and even if the characteristics of the damage and atrophy patterns are usually different from AD, in some cases there is significant overlap between clinical symptoms of the two pathologies. For instance, in working memory tasks the performance can be equally impaired in AD and FTLD, as this function has its neural correlates in a fronto-parietal executive network which can be dysfunctional both when the damage is localized to a frontal node (as in FTLD) and when it is localized to a parietal one (AD), even if must be noted that the qualitative aspects of the deficits in AD and FTLD are quite different. The differences have been the object of a study that enrolled 22 bvFTD, 32 AD and 35 healthy controls and tried to correlate GM volumes with the scores obtained in specific neuropsychological tests. The scores in word list learning, immediate and delayed recall and recognition with or without interference correlated with temporal areas in both AD and bvFTD, while frontal functions as verbal fluency, verbal working memory and spatial working memory correlated with volumes of dorsolateral frontal cortex; other frontal functions as behavioral inhibition, risk assessment and recognition of emotions correlated with ventral medial frontal cortex. While memory and ‘lateral’ functions deficits are present both in AD and FTLD (with a non-significant trend indicating greater impairment in AD), ‘medial’ deficits are typical of bvFTD, as striatal dysfunction in associative
learning. Even if the impairment of memory is of a similar magnitude in the two groups, it has been associated to different patterns of atrophy and possibly to two different mechanisms.

A recurring theme in the study of dementias is the analysis of a group of brain areas functionally integrated in fronto-striatal networks. This line of study tied to characterize the functional correlates of social, cognitive and emotive deficits.

Recently it has been observed that some cerebral alterations can be identified also by studying the resting connectivity (or synchrony), as measured in Resting State imaging. In particular, the connectivity in the frontal executive networks is reduced, even when controlling for the atrophy found in FTLD. The SLN, important in the detection of highly salient and unexpected external stimuli (Figure 2) showed a reduction in connectivity, as previously stated by other studies, while the medial prefrontal cortex was hyperconnected, especially in bvFTD patients. The authors proposed a frontolimbical disconnection accompanied by a maladaptive (it correlated with index such as disinhibition and apathy) frontal hyperconnection, possibly due to the lack of the input from the limbic system. This finding was in agreement with a recent paper that tried to estimate the number of von Economo neurons in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and found a more significant decrease in FTLD patients than in healthy controls and AD patients. The difference between AD and FTLD patients is only significant in the early stages of the pathology, and there is no difference when considering the pathological subtypes (it must be noted that the groups were very small). This could mean that VEN are attacked by a common final pathway in all proteinopathies and selectively hit in the early stages or, alternatively, that counting VEN is more difficult in the late stages and the higher vulnerability of frontal areas compared to the posterior ones can explain the relative difference in the loss of VEN, as these neurons are present in greater numbers in the cingulate cortex and anterior insulae, both nodes of the SLN system (Figure 1).

Graph theory, a mathematical technique used to study the structure of sets of connected objects (i.e., neural areas that compose a network) can help in the study of dementias by identifying the areas involved in a great number of connections (hub) and the type of the connection itself (long or short
range). Using this approach it was shown \cite{73} that the structure of Resting State connectivity is damaged in bvFTD patients, in which only hubs located in the posterior areas were spared. Furthermore, disruption of the frontal network correlates with dysexecutive deficits.

The Default Mode Network (DMN, Figure 1) is composed by areas that are generally more activated during rest, symmetrically to the Executive Control Network (ECN, Figure 1), which is most active when we turn our attention externally in an active task. The DMN areas are also activated when we project ourselves, for example, imagining, simulating a future situation, trying to put ourselves in the perspective of others \cite{74}. The DMN undergoes to opposite alterations in AD and bvFTD: the posterior nodes are progressively unconnected in AD and hyperconnected in bvFTD \cite{74}.
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**Figure 2.** Intrinsic Networks of brain Resting State showed the main nodes in orthogonal projections (first row) and schematic flatted representation (second row). SLN = Salience Network, DMN = Default Mode Network. ECN = Executive Control Network. Adapted from \cite{75}.

The deficits observed during the Resting State, sometimes quite specific, are particularly useful, as they can be measured even in non-cooperating patients and in patients with behavioural alterations, and might be seen as fingerprints of different forms of dementia \cite{75}. Furthermore, it was noted \cite{76} that
the main neurodegenerative patterns of FTLD and AD are uncannily similar to the networks identified with graph analysis in healthy control subjects.

It has been suggested that if neurodegeneration selectively damages the intrinsic connectivity network found in healthy subjects, then it is possible that the proteins responsible for the neural damages do actually “travel” along these networks during the evolution of the disease. It is therefore of the utmost importance to track the spreading of proteinopathies inside the networks (Figure 1) in order to understand and identify the most probable mechanism of transmission: prions-like, lack of tropism, cell signalling, axonal transport, selective vulnerability of some cells or connections.

This ‘deep phenotyping’ of the evolution of dementias from the network graph analysis perspective has been called the study of molecular nexopathies (from Latin nectere, tie). The study of the characteristic of the spreading of the disease is particularly important: from the initial damage of a node, the illness spreads and impairs other nodes using long connections or short ones? Does the spreading have a gradient (for instance between the two hemispheres)? Is there a global change of the whole network or a gradual transmission from the entry point? The answer to these questions could shed light on the nature of the mechanisms of diseases transmission. For example, a large database of healthy subjects Resting State were analyzed using the graph-analysis of the intrinsic connections searching for pattern of connectivity with the greatest capacity to recapitulate the pattern of neurodegeneration of AD, bvFTD, nfPPA, svPPA, CBS. The processes of disease spreading could be mediated by one (or more) of the following alternative mechanisms linked to different kind of connectivity profiles:

1) Lack of neurotrophism = vulnerability of the less connected nodes;

2) Neurotransmitter-mediated spreading = vulnerability of ‘epicenter’ nodes, characterized by short-distance links with all other nodes;

3) Specific vulnerability of the hubs, due to the elevated connection activity;

4) Vulnerability of neural subpopulations, not linked to specific topological correlates.
The results of the study lend support to the second hypothesis.

**New neuroimaging tools**

ASL is a relatively recent MRI technique that in the last years has evolved enough to be considered for use in clinical practice. Its working principle is simple: it inverts the signal of the water inside the arteries and labels it, thereby creating an “endogenous marker”. Labeled blood perfuses the brain and the signal generated by brain tissues added to the signal of the blood flowing is acquired. A second scan acquires an image of the brain without labeling the blood, and the two images are subtracted to each other to obtain an estimate of blood perfusion. In a consensus paper Alsop and collaborators suggested the optimal parameters (pseudo-continuous labelling, background suppression, 3D segmented reading and quantitative analysis) to use ASL in clinical practice, in order to keep homogeneity and comparability between studies. Using ASL it is possible to obtain perfusion weighted images, like when using Nuclear Medicine techniques, without the use of ionizing radiations. Comparing ASL and perfusional SPECT on 68 patients with suspected AD, a paper found that the two techniques reach a similar accuracy, even if ASL is more prone to errors due to the greater influence of hemodynamic factors (accounting for 70% of misdiagnosis). Furthermore, in ASL the patterns of MRI hypoperfusion, cerebral atrophy and WM alterations reach a good level of agreement, as shown by a multimodal study using ASL, DTI and VBM on 20 AD, 20 FTLD and 21 control subjects. Other studies showed that ASL can distinguish reliably between FTLD, DLB and AD and that its results can be used as useful functional correlates in research.

The set of possible new radiopharmaceuticals is quite large, and it is likely that the next years will see the discovery of new tracers with useful applications in the diagnosis of dementia. The development of new in vivo measures, directly linked to neuropathological correlates is of particular interest. To this day, two radiopharmaceuticals that can label β-amyloid plaques have been developed: Pittsburgh compound B (11C-PiB) and Florbetapir(18F), or 18F-AV-45. While
plaques are typically associated with AD, combining β-amyloid imaging with PET-FDG can increase the potential of differential diagnosis. In 154 patients, mostly affected by AD, FTLD and DLB, labeling amyloid plaques was found to be useful in reconsidering some uncertain diagnosis. FDG alone is usually enough to reach a diagnosis, but as atypical cases are more likely to be misdiagnosed, an exam using PiB as tracer can be useful. Furthermore, being positive for both PiB and FDG can predict the progression of patients to dementia, and the diagnosis formulated on the grounds of the results of this exams are not changed in 96% of cases at 2 years follow-up.

Between other radiopharmaceuticals in development, the ones that can be used to perform neuroimaging of inflammation are of particular interest. These molecules map the translocator protein 18 kDa (TSPO): 18F-PBR111, 11C- 18F- PBR06, 11C- 18F- PBR28 and 18F-FEPPA, and allow the clinician to have a map of microglia activation, linked with the inflammatory response both in time and space.

Other molecules [18F]-T807, [18F]-T808, [18F]-THK5105 and [18F]-THK523 can label the accumulations of tau protein and are therefore of particular interest as are other radiopharmaceuticals currently in development, that should be able to mark selectively the enzymes involved in the biological pathways that create the anomalous protein accumulations.

Another research branch is focused on the development of new radiopharmaceuticals able to label the receptors of neurotransmitters, selectively involved in different dementia types. An example of this approach is the use of molecules like 123I-FP-CIT, 123I-β-CIT, previously only used in Parkinson-like diseases. This could be useful as dopaminergic neurons of basal ganglia are compromised in different ways in AD, FTLD and DLB: in the majority of DLB cases there are alterations, as in about 50% of FTLD cases, while in AD they are almost always absent. FP-CIT can therefore be used to exclude DLB, even if this evidence is not always strong enough to discriminate between FTLD and AD. False positives and negatives can be due to drug intake or vascular problems in suspected dementias.
Presymptomatic patients

One of the most interesting aspects in the study of patients carrying a mutation linked to FTLD is the possibility of studying in vivo the evolution of subjects before the pathology becomes clinically relevant and thus to identify which biomarkers can better predict the onset of the disease and monitor the response to experimental pharmacological treatments \(^{88}\). Studies on presymptomatic patients are still in a very early stage, but some authors found what could be an important result: similarly to presymptomatic familiar AD patients, also in FTLD functional alterations in perfusion \(^{89}\) or Resting State \(^{90}\), similar to the ones found in symptomatic patients, could be present in asymptomatic patients many years before the onset of the illness. However, it must be noted that no one still found truly significant alterations in GM or WM, and that the sample sizes were too small to draw conclusions at the current stage.

It should also be noted that a study \(^{91}\) found in asymptomatic subjects carriers of the MAPT mutation alterations in the values of MI and NAA. The values found in asymptomatic subjects, years before the disease onset, were roughly halfway between the ones of healthy subjects and of patients with diagnosed dementia.

During the last years new imaging techniques in the diagnosis of dementias have been investigated by a number of papers, thus opening new diagnostic approaches to these illnesses. In particular, the techniques more often investigated are the study of microstructural and axonal integrity of WM (DWI) and functional correlates (fMRI, Resting State) of functions damaged by FTLD. Furthermore, recent advances in a MRI technique able to obtain perfusional weighted images, called Arterial Spin Labelling (ASL), brought it to the point of being comparable with perfusional SPECT. ASL advantage over the latter is the absence of ionizing radiations and of their noxious effects; therefore, it is a significant improvement for patients, especially when they are being monitored for years to follow the evolution of a degenerative disease.
Conclusions

While the current approach to the study of dementias is mostly focused on mapping and correlating atrophy of cerebral areas and cognitive functions, the results are often as elaborate as they are unreliable because of the variability in forms and manifestations of dementia and the relatively high variance in the atrophy patterns. A better approach would be to focus on the possible treatments for the different syndromes, and link with a rational and well-thought master plan the different aspects: genetics, clinical observations and etiopathology.

Neuroimaging techniques have shown to be useful supporting tools in diagnosis, even if not always determinant to reach a conclusive decision, and quite important to identify phenocopies. Future neuroimaging studies should strive to include at least CSF data, and possibly also genetic and pathological information, in order to avoid grouping patients with different (misdiagnosed) pathologies.

At the moment there is not a neuroimaging biomarker that could track the progressive course of dementias and the effect of therapies, but it is possible that in the future DTI and molecular imaging could fill this void. Monitoring in vivo the evolution of the pathology is essential, but to the best of our knowledge no study embarked in this huge effort (ideally, ASL, volumetry, Resting State and DTI every 3 months for at least 5 years on a large and well-defined group of patients, plus neuropsychological testing, clinical observation and CSF analysis), which would only be possible in a large multicentric study, while asymptomatic forms would require even longer observation periods.
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