This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Masala, Alessio; Vitillo, Jenny; Mondino, Giorgia; Grande, Carlos A.; Blom, Richard; Manzoli, Maela; Marshall, Marc; Bordiga, Silvia. CO2 Capture in Dry and Wet Conditions in UTSA-16 Metal–Organic Framework. ACS APPLIED MATERIALS & INTERFACES. 9 pp: 455-463. DOI: 10.1021/acsmi.6b13216

The publisher's version is available at:
http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/acsmi.6b13216

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:
http://hdl.handle.net/
CO₂ Capture in Dry and Wet Conditions in UTSA-16 Metal-Organic Framework


⁶ᵃDepartment of Chemistry, NIS and INSTM reference Centres, University of Torino, Via G. Quarello 15/A, 10135 and Via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy.
⁶ᵇSINTEF Materials and Chemistry, P.O. Box 124 Blindern, N0314 Oslo, Norway.
⁶ᶜDepartment of Drug Science and Technology, NIS and INSTM reference Centres, University of Torino, Via Giuria 9, 10125 Torino, Italy
⁶ᵈSchool of Chemistry, Monash University, 3800 Victoria, Australia.
† These authors contributed equally to this paper.

Keywords: UTSA-16; metal-organic frameworks; dry carbon-dioxide capture; wet carbon-dioxide capture MOF-74; post combustion; temperature swing adsorption.
Abstract

Water is the strongest competitor to CO\textsubscript{2} in the adsorption on microporous materials, affecting their performances as CO\textsubscript{2} scrubbers in processes such as post-combustion carbon capture. The metal-organic framework (MOF) UTSA-16 is considered a promising material for its capacity to efficiently capture CO\textsubscript{2} in large quantities, thanks to the presence of open metal sites (OMSs). It is here shown that UTSA-16 is also able to fully desorb water already at room temperature. This property is unique from all the other materials with OMSs reported so far. UTSA-16 retains indeed the 70\% of its CO\textsubscript{2} separation capacity after admittance of water in a test flow, created to simulate the emissions from a real post-combustion carbon-capture process. This important aspect not yet observed for any other amine-free material, associated to a high material stability – tested for 160 cycles – and a small temperature swing necessary for regeneration, places UTSA-16 in the restrict number of systems with a real technological future for CO\textsubscript{2} separation.

Introduction

In recent years, Carbon Capture Utilization and Sequestration (CCUS) has emerged as an efficient solution\textsuperscript{1} to reduce anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} emissions in the atmosphere.\textsuperscript{1-5} A novel class of microporous materials with high CO\textsubscript{2} capacity – metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)\textsuperscript{6-10} represents a promising alternative to inefficient aqueous alkanolamines, nowadays considered the state of art for CO\textsubscript{2} scrubbers in CCUS processes.\textsuperscript{4, 11-14} When designed for post-combustion CO\textsubscript{2} capture, CCUS technology can be easily retrofitted to critical industrial installations such as coal-fueled power plants,\textsuperscript{11} which are responsible for 28\% of global CO\textsubscript{2} anthropogenic emissions.\textsuperscript{15} In the flue gases of this type of plant, CO\textsubscript{2} is mixed in an excess of N\textsubscript{2} (coal-fueled plant exhaust: N\textsubscript{2} 73-77\%; CO\textsubscript{2} 15-16\%; H\textsubscript{2}O 5-7\%;\textsuperscript{6, 11} O\textsubscript{2} 3-4\%; natural gas-fueled plant exhaust: N\textsubscript{2} 63-72\%; CO\textsubscript{2} 8-10\%; H\textsubscript{2}O 18-20\%; O\textsubscript{2} 2-3\%)).\textsuperscript{16-17} Besides an efficient screening towards N\textsubscript{2}, CO\textsubcript{2} adsorbents – such as microporous materials – must work in the presence of water in order to conceive CCUS for industrial applications. Water represents an important fraction of coal and natural gas-fueled plants flue gas mixture, resulting in a strong competitor to CO\textsubscript{2} due to its high interaction with any material characterized by polarizing centres. As water removal before a CO\textsubscript{2} separation unit would make very unlikely an industrial application of the process,\textsuperscript{18} its presence must be seriously taken into account. In MOFs – in addition to the problems related to competitive adsorption on the most reactive sites \textsuperscript{1, 19-20} the presence of water might cause the hydrolysis of the ligand-metal bonds, causing irreversible damage to the crystal structure.\textsuperscript{1, 21} This would limit the implementation of MOFs in real CCUS applications. Furthermore, the regeneration (temperature swing) of an adsorber that worked in the presence of moisture,
generally requires temperatures higher than 373 K, implying an additional energy demand with respect to an adsorber that worked in dry flow. UTSA-16 is a MOF with K⁺ counter ions as active sites (K₂Co₃(cit)₂, see Figure 1a). This material shows both high volumetric (160 cm³ cm⁻³) and gravimetric CO₂ capacities (4.2 mol kg⁻¹ at 1 bar and 298 K; 0.9 mol kg⁻¹ at 0.15 bar and 333 K) at 1 bar and 298 K, due to the high concentration of potassium cations and its high density, coupled with a low specific heat capacity (1.0-1.4 J K⁻¹ g⁻¹ between 333 and 423 K) and a medium isosteric heat of CO₂ adsorption. UTSA-16 demonstrated the ability to reversibly adsorb water already at room temperature, like no other MOF with open metal sites (OMSs).

Within this study, a series of single-component (CO₂, H₂O, N₂) sorption isotherms were collected in order to evaluate the technological relevance of UTSA-16 for CCUS in terms of volumetric CO₂ capacity at meaningful pressures, as well as the working capacity and the isosteric heat of adsorption for CO₂, N₂ and H₂O. An evaluation of CO₂/N₂ IAST selectivity has been also addressed. After UTSA-16 saturation with water at 313 K, the material was regenerated with and without temperature swing and the CO₂ capacities were compared. The affinity of UTSA-16 for CO₂ and N₂ was also evaluated by pulse and breakthrough measurements along with their reciprocal diffusion rate constants. The performances of UTSA-16 were then tested by a dynamic experiments aimed to assess the CO₂ separation power of UTSA-16 in a wet gas mixture simulating a post-combustion flue gas (9.83% CO₂, 88.46% N₂, 1.71% H₂O). The results were compared with a dry CO₂/N₂ flow (10% CO₂, 90% N₂) to quantify the effect of the co-presence of water. The dynamic measurements were repeated over 160 adsorption/desorption cycles to test UTSA-16 stability upon prolonged use.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of the sample**

UTSA-16 was synthesized as described in the literature. Further details are shown in Section S1 of the Supporting Information. If not otherwise specified, UTSA-16 was activated under high vacuum for two hours at room temperature and then heated up to 363 K overnight. Final vacuum was below 5 x 10⁻⁴ mbar. For the experiments presented in this work, UTSA-16 pellets were prepared by softly pressing the powder at 1 ton and then sieved into macro-particles of 0.2–0.5 mm. The integrity of the UTSA-16 structure was tested by means of XRD, HR-TEM and surface area measurements, as reported in Section S6 of the Supporting Information.
Volumetric CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorption measurements and isosteric heat of adsorption

CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorption isotherms were measured using a volumetric instrument (Micromeritics ASAP 2020) on pellet UTSA-16 at 298, 333 and 363 K. An ethylene glycol bath (Julabo EH-25) maintained the isothermal conditions. The CO$_2$ and N$_2$ isosteric heats of adsorption were obtained from these isotherms by following the procedure described in Section S2.1 of the Supporting Information. The N$_2$ isosteric heat of adsorption in Mg$_2$(dobdc) (Figure S4 of the Supporting Information) was obtained by applying the procedure to the isotherms of Figure S2 of the Supporting Information. Further details are given in Section S2.1 of the Supporting Information.

CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity factors were evaluated from the CO$_2$ and N$_2$ single component isotherms by the Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST) using the pyIAST software (Section S2.2 of the Supporting Information) or – in a more approximate way – by considering coincident the adsorbed quantities for each gas in the mixture with those of the corresponding pure gas.\textsuperscript{11} Eqn. (1) defines the selectivity for two competitor gases:

\[ S = \frac{q_i/q_j}{p_i/p_j} \]  

(1)

where $q_{i,j}$ represents the quantity of gas $i,j$ adsorbed in mol kg$^{-1}$ and $p_{i,j}$ the partial pressure of the gas $i,j$.

TSA working capacities were calculated from the 313 K adsorption isotherm (Figure S5a of the Supporting Information) and from the desorption isotherm at 393 K of Figure S5b. The values are reported in Table S6 of the Supporting Information. PSA working capacities were calculated for UTSA-16 isotherms at 313 K (Figure S5a of the Supporting Information) and at 333 K (Figure S5b, blue line). For what concerns the PSA working capacity of Mg$_2$(dobdc) at 313 K and 333 K, we used the isotherm published by Mason et al.\textsuperscript{9} and the one of Figure S2b (black line), respectively. We listed the values in Table S7 of the Supporting Information. More details are listed in Section S2.3 of the Supporting Information.

Gas diffusion study from pulse and breakthrough measurements

Diffusion measurements were performed on pellet UTSA-16 for CO$_2$ and N$_2$ at 298, 333, 363 and 393 K. While pulse chromatography was used to measure diffusion of N$_2$, diffusion parameters of CO$_2$ were determined by diluted breakthrough experiments. The experimental conditions of both
experiments are listed in Table S8 of the Supporting Information. The flow-rate for diluted CO$_2$ breakthrough curves (0.5 % CO$_2$ in He) was fixed at 20 ml/min both for the feed gas and for the helium used for desorption. UTSA-16 was pretreated at 393 K under a flow of helium overnight. Mathematical model used to simulate pulses and breakthrough curves is presented in Section S3.1 of the Supporting Information while further experimental details are listed in Section S3.2 of the Supporting Information. The calculations for the dimensionless Henry’s law constants of CO$_2$ and N$_2$ are listed in Section S3.2.1 of the Supporting Information.

**H$_2$O gravimetric adsorption and isosteric heat of water adsorption**

H$_2$O gravimetric adsorption isotherms were measured on UTSA-16 by means of a Hiden Analytical IGA model IGA-002, at different temperatures (328 and 342 K) to a pressure of 40 mbar. The Henry constants (K$_H$) [mol kg$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$] relative to water adsorption were evaluated from the virial fittings of isotherms (see Table S12 in Section S4 of the Supporting Information). The fitted curves of Figure S10 of the Supporting Information were used to evaluate the water isosteric heats of adsorption by following the same procedure described in Section S2.1 of the Supporting Information for CO$_2$ and N$_2$ through a fitting of the isotherms with a virial–type equation (see Section S4 of the Supporting Information).

**Thermogravimetric analysis at room temperature**

The kinetics of water desorption from UTSA-16, reported in Figure 2c were obtained at RT by means of a TA-instruments SDT Q600, under N$_2$ flux (100 ml min$^{-1}$) leaving 0.010 g of UTSA-16 placed in an uncovered alumina pan in isothermal conditions for 3 days.

**Competitive adsorption of water vapour with CO$_2$**

The sequence of alternate CO$_2$ (100 mbar) and H$_2$O (40 mbar) gravimetric single-point adsorption isotherms reported in Figure 2d was measured for 0.02 g of UTSA-16. An intelligent gravimetric analyzer (IGA-002, supplied by Hiden Analytical Ltd, UK) was used, equipped with a fast heating furnace for the temperature control in the 333-673 K range and an ultrahigh vacuum system (10$^{-6}$ mbar). For isothermal measurements at 313 K an isothermal water bath was used. Before each measurement, the sample was degassed for 15 h at 363 K. IGA-002 gravimetric analyser was also used to measure the CO$_2$ gravimetric isotherms on a wider CO$_2$ pressure range (0-5 bar) and temperatures (298-393 K, see Figure 4). Before each CO$_2$ isotherm, a water isotherm was collected at the same temperature. The sample was then reactivated at 363 K before that the following CO$_2$
isotherm was collected. A detailed description of the experiment is given in Section S5 of the Supporting Information. Figure S12 of the Supporting Information reports the water isotherms at 298, 333, 363 and 393 K measured for this experiment. The CO₂ isotherms were fitted with a cubic spline functions: the fitting curves are reported as continuous lines in Figure 4.

**Multicycle stability test in dry and wet conditions**

The stability upon cycling was tested by packing 0.471 g of pellet UTSA-16 in a column (5.074 cm length, 0.457 cm diameter) placed in a GC oven connected to an on-line Thermo-mass spectrometer. The aim of this analysis was to evaluate the performances of UTSA-16 as CO₂ adsorbent in presence of a wet gas mixture (9.83% CO₂, 88.46% N₂, 1.71% H₂O) simulating a post-combustion emission exhaust screened by means of temperature swing adsorption (TSA). The procedure followed: i) pure N₂ was injected in the column at 313 K; ii) UTSA-16 was heated up to 393 K in 16 min and kept there for 15 min; iii) the material was then cooled down to 313 K in 16 min; iv) the feed gas was switched to the gas mixture which was put in contact with UTSA-16 for 7 minutes. Steps (i) to (iii) constitute the desorption process while step (iv) describes the adsorption process. This procedure was repeated 160 times (Scheme S1 in Section S6 of the Supporting Information). At the end of the cycling test, the exhaust material was extracted from the column and characterized by using a multi-technique approach as described in Section S6.1, S6.2, S6.3 and S6.4 of the Supporting Information. The same adsorption/desorption procedure described above was followed to study the uptake of CO₂ in dry conditions (10 % CO₂/90% N₂ feed gas composition in step (iv)). For these experiments a NETZSCH STA 449 F1 thermo-gravimeter (TG) connected to a QSM 403 C mass spectrometer was used (see Section S6 of the Supporting Information).

**Results and discussion**

**CO₂ and N₂ single component isotherms**

Post-combustion technology requires an adsorber to selectively capture CO₂ in the co-presence of an excess of N₂ (> 60 vol.%). The CO₂ and N₂ single components isotherms for UTSA-16 evaluated at 298, 333 and 363 K at 1 bar are reported in Figure 1b.
Figure 1  
(a) Channel of [K₂Co₃(cit)₂·cit·C₆H₄O₇] UTSA-16 microporous structure, as viewed along the [1 0 0] direction. Guest species hosted in the MOF pores were omitted for clarity. The atoms were reported according to the colour code: hydrogen = white, carbon = grey, oxygen = red, tetrahedral cobalt = light blue, octahedral cobalt = violet, potassium = green. (b) CO₂ (●) and N₂ (■) adsorption isotherms at 298 (black line), 333 (blue line) and 363 K (grey line) measured up to 1 bar. Symbols represent experimental data while continuous lines represent the fitted curves, through single or dual-site Langmuir fits (Table S1 and S2 of the Supporting Information). (c) Experimental CO₂ breakthrough adsorption/desorption curves (0.5 % CO₂ in He) on UTSA-16 at 298 (black), 333 (blue), 363 (grey) and 393 K (magenta). X-axis is reported in logarithmic scale. Model data for CO₂ breakthrough experiment are reported in Figure S7 of the Supporting Information. (d) Experimental (symbols) and model (continuous line) data for N₂ pulse chromatography on UTSA-16 at 333 K. The three curves show the signal dependence of the flow: 10 (∆), 20 (□) and 30 ml min⁻¹ (○).

When considering a CO₂/N₂ mixture of 10/90, the uptake of CO₂ on UTSA-16 is one order of magnitude higher than the N₂ capacity for all the temperature considered. The actual loadings at a typical post-combustion temperature (333 K) are 0.6 mol kg⁻¹ at 0.10 bar of CO₂ and only 0.09 mol kg⁻¹ at 0.90 bar of N₂.  

The isosteric heat of adsorption (q_{st}) for CO₂ and N₂, are obtained by applying the procedure described in Section S2.1 of the Supporting Information to the isotherm curves of Figure 1b. The heat
involved in the adsorption of CO\textsubscript{2} on UTSA-16 (37 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} on average) is consistent with what found in previous works\textsuperscript{26-28} and reflects a medium strength physisorption, an important point in order to limit the energy requirements, and hence the cost, of the regeneration process.\textsuperscript{11} The interaction energy between CO\textsubscript{2} and UTSA-16 was ca. 24 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} higher than those observed for N\textsubscript{2} (Figure S3 and Table S3 of the Supporting Information), so that the average interaction energy for CO\textsubscript{2} (37 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}) was more than twice that for N\textsubscript{2} (13 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}). Finally, we note that liquefaction heat values for N\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{33} is about 6 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}, showing that there was some, albeit weak, interaction between UTSA-16 surface and N\textsubscript{2}. It is interesting to note that the isosteric heat of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption for UTSA-16 approaches the CO\textsubscript{2} q\textsubscript{st} values found for other important MOFs\textsuperscript{34-38} such as Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) (Table S3 of the Supporting Information).\textsuperscript{39-41}

Apart from its adsorption capacities and enthalpies, an adsorbent must be evaluated towards the diffusion of most relevant gas-mixture components. The reciprocal diffusion time constant (D\textsubscript{c} r\textsubscript{c}\textsuperscript{-2}),\textsuperscript{42} for CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} were then evaluated by means of diluted breakthrough and pulse chromatography experiments by considering the 298-393 K temperature range, relevant for post-combustion CO\textsubscript{2} capture (see Section S3 of the Supporting Information). Along the reciprocal diffusion time constants (D\textsubscript{c} r\textsubscript{c}\textsuperscript{-2}), the dependence over temperature of the Henry’s law constants (K\textsubscript{H}) for N\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} was monitored. K\textsubscript{H} of each adsorbent–adsorbate pair is strictly linked to the adsorption free energy. Figure 1c shows the diluted breakthrough curves for CO\textsubscript{2} (0.5 % CO\textsubscript{2} in He; see Section S3 of the Supporting Information for experimental details) on UTSA-16 at four different temperatures (298, 333, 363 and 393 K). At the same temperatures used for CO\textsubscript{2}, chromatographic experiments were done in order to test the response to pulses of pure N\textsubscript{2} on UTSA-16. In this case, three different flow were considered at each temperature. Figure 1d shows the comparison between simulated and experimental pulses of N\textsubscript{2} at 333 K.

The reciprocal diffusion time constants are reported in Table S11 of the Supporting Information. Interestingly a value of 0.015 s\textsuperscript{-1} was obtained at 333 K for CO\textsubscript{2} indicating a fast diffusion of CO\textsubscript{2} in the UTSA-16 crystals: for comparison, this value is about two orders of magnitude higher than the value of 0.00044 s\textsuperscript{-1} at 323 K reported for the reference material 13X.\textsuperscript{42} The D\textsubscript{c} r\textsubscript{c}\textsuperscript{-2} values obtained for N\textsubscript{2} are two orders of magnitude higher than those obtained for CO\textsubscript{2} because of the lower affinity of UTSA-16 for N\textsubscript{2}. Accordingly, the comparison between the dimensionless Henry constants K\textsubscript{H} confirms the strong affinity of UTSA-16 towards CO\textsubscript{2} with respect to N\textsubscript{2}; as indicated in Figure S9 of the Supporting Information, the K\textsubscript{H} relative to CO\textsubscript{2} was more than one order of magnitude higher than for N\textsubscript{2}. The N\textsubscript{2} K\textsubscript{H} values are almost halved going from 298 to 393 K, whereas they decreases of an order of magnitude for CO\textsubscript{2} in the same temperature range.
From the isotherms of Figure S5a and Figure S5b of the Supporting Information, the working capacity of UTSA-16 were evaluated for TSA and PSA processes. This figure of merit is defined as the amount of CO\textsubscript{2} captured for a complete adsorption/desorption cycle.\textsuperscript{9,32} For a TSA process, the CO\textsubscript{2} working capacity is reported in Table S6. The conditions at which the TSA working capacities were calculated are in line with the one of a post-combustion process, i.e. adsorption at CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressure of 0.15 bar and 313 K and desorption at 1 bar and 393 K.

The TSA working capacity in UTSA-16 were compared to benchmark materials such as Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc), MOF-177 and NaX zeolite. The data shown in Table S6 illustrates how the UTSA-16 working capacity (0.62 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1}) is similar to the one of NaX zeolite (0.89 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1}) and it is definitely better than MOF-177\textsuperscript{9}. For what concerns Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc), this MOF has a working capacity 67% higher than the one of UTSA-16. The supremacy of Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) with respect to UTSA-16 in a TSA process, derives from the higher interaction energy towards CO\textsubscript{2} (47 vs. 37 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{39} and to its higher Langmuir surface area (2060 vs. 904 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}).\textsuperscript{27,43} However, both Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc)\textsuperscript{34} and NaX\textsuperscript{44-46} are highly hydrophilic materials. The UTSA-16 working capacity was evaluated also for a PSA process, as explained in the Section S2.3 of the Supporting Information. A direct comparison was done with Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) at 313 and 333 K. In this case, as demonstrated by the values of Table S7 of the Supporting Information, UTSA-16 possesses a PSA working capacity only slightly lower than for Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) MOF (-4% at 313 K and -29% at 333 K). The small difference in PSA working capacity between these two MOFs can be related to their different isotherm shapes at the studied temperatures. In fact, while Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) has a higher total uptake than UTSA-16 (as shown in Table S7), the latter has a shallower isotherm at the initial step with respect to the former.\textsuperscript{11}

**H\textsubscript{2}O adsorption in UTSA-16**

Water adsorption on UTSA-16 was measured at 328 K and it is reported in Figure 2a. This isotherm reassembles the typical trend of microporous materials.\textsuperscript{47} In the experiment, a maximum relative water vapor pressure of 0.25 could be reached (due to instrumental limitations) which corresponds to an absolute pressure of 39 mbar. At this relative water vapor pressure, UTSA-16 was able to uptake 11 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1}, that is slightly lower than what reported for Cu\textsubscript{3}(btc)\textsubscript{2} in similar conditions (ca. 14 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1}).\textsuperscript{34} Looking at the desorption branch (empty symbols in Figure 2a), we note the absence of any hysteresis loop: this indicates on one hand the structure stability of UTSA-16 upon contact with water and, more importantly, the complete removal of water from the material. The ability to efficiently desorb water vapor at a temperature of 328 K was not observed for any other MOFs having OMSs such as Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc)\textsuperscript{24} or Cu\textsubscript{3}(btc)\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{24,34,48}
The isosteric heat of water on UTSA-16 was extrapolated from the isotherms at 328 and 342 K (Figure S10, Supporting Information) and is plotted as a function of coverage in Figure 2b. In the range of water coverage from 0.08 to 6 mol kg\(^{-1}\), \(q_{st}\) increases with increasing coverage, which occurs when the adsorbate-adsorbate (water-water) interaction is greater than the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction.\(^{49-51}\) When finally a complete monolayer is formed over the adsorbent surface, a maximum in the \(q_{st}\) curve is obtained. For UTSA-16, the maximum \(q_{st}\) for water molecules was 62 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). This value is lower than what found for water on Cu\(_3\)(btc)\(_2\) (69.4 kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^{52}\) and on a CCUS benchmark MOF such as Mg-MOF-74 (80-73 kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^{53-54}\) explaining the lower activation temperature necessary for UTSA-16.\(^{21}\) The lower hydrophilicity of UTSA-16 with respect to Cu\(_3\)(btc)\(_2\) is testified also by the corresponding Henry’s law constants (\(K_H\)) relative to the interaction of water with the cations: for UTSA-16, \(K_H\) was only 8.0 x 10\(^{-5}\) mol kg\(^{-1}\) Pa\(^{-1}\) at 328 K, much lower than \(K_H\) for of Cu\(_3\)(btc)\(_2\) (2.0 x 10\(^{-2}\) mol kg\(^{-1}\) Pa\(^{-1}\) at 323 K).\(^{34,55}\)

Complete water removal from UTSA-16 upon degassing was reported also at RT.\(^{27}\) In Figure 2c, it can be appreciated the kinetics of water removal from UTSA-16 under N\(_2\) flow at RT. This propriety is unique among MOFs possessing OMSs,\(^{24,34,48,56}\) at which water strongly bound lowering the adsorbent selectivity towards CO\(_2\)\(^{57}\) and vanishing the beneficial effects of these active sites (unless a chemical reaction between the support and CO\(_2\) takes place).\(^{19}\) This fact would allow to regenerate the material at the same temperature adopted during the adsorption (\(\geq 313\) K), minimizing the temperature swing between adsorption and desorption. For the implementation of these adsorbents in real CCUS applications, the advantages are evident. As a proof of concept, 40 mbar of H\(_2\)O was dosed at 313 K on fully activated UTSA-16 in a microbalance. Two activation temperatures were tested: the material was degassed at 363 K and at 313 K until the pristine sample weight was recovered. At 313 K, the sample was fully regenerated upon degassing in less than 2 h (Figure S11, Supporting Information). After equilibration at 313 K, 100 mbar of CO\(_2\) were dosed on UTSA-16.

Figure 2d shows the coincidence between the CO\(_2\) uptakes measured after the activation at 363 K (violet line) and 313 K (light blue line); this indicates how the CO\(_2\) uptake in UTSA-16 does not depend on the treatment temperature. Nevertheless, it is evident from the comparison of Figure 2c and Figure S11 that the time necessary to complete the activation is strongly dependent on temperature. In particular, at room temperature, about 24 h were necessary to remove 88% of the adsorbed water from the material, whereas 2 h were sufficient to complete the process at 313 K. The activation time is further reduced to 30 minutes at 363 K and to less than 10 minutes at 393 K. The regeneration time in UTSA-16 obtained at 393 K is comparable with the one of amine-MOF mmen-Mg\(_2\)(dobpdc),\(^{19}\) which is regenerated in 5 minutes, at 423 K. This result is significant, being alkil-
amine-MOF considered benchmark adsorbents for the capture of CO$_2$ in the presence of water. These temperatures (363 and 393 K) were chosen to speed up the regeneration step in the cycling measurements reported in the following section.

Figure 2  
(a) H$_2$O adsorption isotherm on UTSA-16 at 328 K. Adsorption (★) and desorption (☆) branches are reported. The experimental data were acquired only up to 25% of water saturation, due to instrumental limitations. The black line is the result of the fitting through a virial-type function of the adsorption data (see Section S5 of the Supporting Information). (b) Dependence of the water isosteric heat adsorption to increasing coverage (red line). These points were obtained by applying the procedure of Section S2.1 of the Supporting Information to the water isotherms recorded at 328 and 342 K (Figure S10 of the Supporting Information). (c) Thermogravimetric analysis of UTSA-16 at 298 K under N$_2$ flow (dark cyan line). (d) Kinetics of CO$_2$ adsorption cycling on UTSA-16 at 313 K for UTSA-16 activated overnight at 363 K (violet lines) or at 313 K for 2 h after contact with 40 mbar of H$_2$O (light blue line). A gas pressure of 100 mbar of CO$_2$ was dosed on the material and, once reached the equilibrium, the system was degassed in dynamic vacuum to verify the reversibility of the process (p < 1 x 10$^{-3}$ mbar). Up and down arrows mark the starting of the gas dosage and degassing, respectively.
IAST selectivity calculations

The Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST) of Myers and Prausnitz\textsuperscript{31} has shown to be a reliable method to predict the selectivity of competitor gases that do not strongly interact between each other.\textsuperscript{35} In this work, IAST was used to estimate the multi-component isotherms of a CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} mixture, and consequentially the CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selectivity factors.

Figure 3 IAST CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selectivity factors for a CO\textsubscript{2}: N\textsubscript{2} binary gas mixture (♦) at 1 bar and 298 (black line), 333 (light blue line) and 363 K (grey line) calculated from experimental single-component isotherms reported in Figure 1b for increasing CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressure.

Figure 3 reports the IAST selectivity factors of CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} gas mixture plotted against increasing CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressure. Focusing the attention on the black curve estimated at 298 K, the UTSA-16 IAST $S$ factor relative to 15% CO\textsubscript{2}: 85% N\textsubscript{2} is slightly lower than the one obtained at 296 K for the same material, as reported by Xiang et al. (187 vs. 314)\textsuperscript{26} where the IAST $S$ factors were obtained from simulated single-component isotherms. From the same work of Xiang, it is interesting the matching in $S$ factors between Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) and UTSA-16 (180 vs. 187). This similarity can be attributed to the CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} uptakes of UTSA-16 and Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) at 0.15 and 0.85 bar, respectively. In fact, at those partial pressures, the higher N\textsubscript{2} uptake in Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) with respect to UTSA-16 (1.11 vs. 0.16 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1} at 0.85 bar, Figure 1b and Figure S2a of the Supporting Information), compensate the higher CO\textsubscript{2} uptake in the former with respect to the latter (6.41 vs. 2.53 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1} at 0.85 bar, and Figure 1b and Figure S2b of the Supporting Information).

At 333 K (blue line), the UTSA-16 IAST $S$ factor at 15% CO\textsubscript{2} : 85% N\textsubscript{2} decreases as expected, attesting to a value of 80. The use of IAST for the prediction of CO\textsubscript{2} co-adsorption in the presence of water has been avoided in this work. In fact, as demonstrated for adsorbents with similar UTSA-16
properties, a model based on ideal behaviour – such as IAST – cannot properly reproduce the adsorption of CO$_2$ in the presence of high water vapour concentrations.$^{58}$

Another way to calculate the selectivity is by approximating the adsorbed quantity of each component of the gas mixture by directly extrapolating it from the single components isotherms. Although approximated, this is a method often used in literature as an easy tool for the preliminary screening between different adsorbents. The CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivities of UTSA-16 obtained by this method were reported in Table S5 of the Supporting Information in order to allow the comparison with some of the best adsorbents for CO$_2$ capture such as Mg$_2$(dobdc)$^9$ and Cu$_3$(btc)$_2^{34,36}$ (Table S5 and Figure S1 and S2 of the Supporting Information): since the comparison at 333 K is particularly significant for post-combustion processes we point out that, although the maximum CO$_2$ volumetric capacity of UTSA-16 is lower than that of Mg$_2$(dobdc) at 1 bar (4.5 vs. 6.1 mmol cm$^{-3}$, at 333 K), the UTSA-16 CO$_2$/N$_2$ is comparable to the one of Mg$_2$(dobdc)$^{11}$ and significantly higher than for Cu$_3$(btc)$_2$.$^{11}$

**Stability experiments upon cycling in dry and wet conditions**

UTSA-16 stability after repeated contact with water vapour was tested at different adsorption temperatures (T in the 298-393 K range) by measuring the CO$_2$ isotherm in the over-atmospheric pressure range (0-5 bar). After exposure to water at the T temperature (see Figure S12 of the Supporting Information) the MOF was regenerated at 363 K in vacuum and the CO$_2$ isotherm was measured. The procedure was repeated at least twice for each temperature (Table S13, Supporting Information). Figure 4 illustrates the full set of gravimetric isotherms of CO$_2$ collected at increasing temperatures, following the sequence reported in Section S5 of the Supporting Information.
Gravimetric isotherms on UTSA-16 (\(> 5 \) bar) at 298 (●), 333 (▲), 363 (★) and 393 K (■) measured for CO\(_2\) before (black line, full symbols) and after (light blue line, empty symbols) contacting the MOF with 40 mbar of water vapour. These isotherms were collected on the same specimen following the sequence: adsCO\(_2\)-degas-adsH\(_2\)O-degas-adsCO\(_2\). The CO\(_2\) gravimetric isotherm recorded at 298 K after the overall 11 cycles is reported with diamond scatters. Symbols refers to experimental data, continuous lines to the corresponding cubic spline fitting.

The large set of gravimetric isotherms (values listed in Table S13 of the Supporting Information) showed similar behaviour if compared to the volumetric isotherms and illustrates nicely that UTSA-16 is able to uptake the same amount of CO\(_2\) after contact with water vapour at temperatures as high as 393 K (empty symbols, light blue lines). Only the isotherm at 298 K, collected at the end of the entire measurement session, showed a very modest loss (5\%) in the CO\(_2\) capture at 5 bar. The uptakes at 0.15 bar (the reference pressure of CO\(_2\) in post combustion emissions) were instead identical from the 1\(^{st}\) to the 12\(^{th}\) gravimetric isotherm. A very similar experiment reported for Cu\(_3\)(btc)\(_2\) showed that, already a 298 K and 30% of RH, this MOF undergoes to a continuous loss in CO\(_2\) uptake.\(^{59}\)

UTSA-16 was tested for vacuum swing adsorption (VSA) by Khurana et al.\(^{60}\) and Rajagopalan et al.,\(^{61}\) without considering the effect of water. In our case, cycling experiments (up to 160 cycles) were performed in order to test UTSA-16 stability in a TSA process, in which the presence of water is contemplated. This target was achieved by exposing UTSA-16 to a wet CO\(_2\)/N\(_2\) flow at 1 bar and
313 K, simulating the exhaust gas flow coming from a NG-fired power plant: 9.83% CO₂, 88.46% N₂, 1.71% H₂O (see Table S14 and Figure S13 of the Supporting Information). A temperature of 393 K was chosen for a faster desorption of CO₂ in view of the 160 cycles planned for this experiment. By following the composition of the effluent gas over time and comparing the CO₂ breakthrough curves obtained from different cycles it was possible to verify whether the material was able to capture CO₂ in the presence of water vapour and to maintain its structure integrity. A similar experiment was also performed considering a dry 10% CO₂ / 90% N₂ flow, in order to quantify the drop in the CO₂ working capacity due to the presence of water. The results relative to the dry flow are reported in Section S6 of the Supporting Information.

Results obtained for the 1st and the 160th cycle of the wet experiment are shown in Figure 5, and a scheme of the experimental setup (Scheme S1 of the Supporting Information) is reported in Section S6 of the Supporting Information. From Figure 5 it is clear how UTSA-16 maintains its CO₂ capacity in the presence of water vapor, even after 160 cycles. A fortiori, similar results were obtained for the dry flow as shown in Figure S14 of the Supporting Information.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** UTSA-16 stability upon cycling as in a TSA process. Mass signals of CO₂ (●, black), N₂ (■) and of water (★, light blue) for the 1st (left) and the 160th cycle (right) of a breakthrough experiment considering a flow of 9.83% CO₂, 88.46% N₂, 1.71% H₂O at 313 K. For a faster moisture removal from the material, the temperature used was 393 K.

A multi-technique approach was used to test the UTSA-16 structural stability after cycling. The structure of UTSA-16 keeps its crystallinity still after cycling as demonstrated by the negligible
changes in XRD patterns (see Figure S15 of the Supporting Information), and the very modest loss in surface area (13%, Table S15 of the Supporting Information) can be compared to the native powder. These results were confirmed by HR-TEM (Figure S16 and S17 of the Supporting Information) that further demonstrated that the cycling processes did not damage the sample.

The CO\textsubscript{2} working capacities for UTSA-16 in wet conditions (0.94 ± 0.04 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1}) are only slightly lower (−31 % in CO\textsubscript{2} uptake) than the working capacity of 1.30 ± 0.02 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1} observed using dry gas feed (Figure S14 of the Supporting Information). Remy et al. performed a similar experiment for Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobdc) highlighting a loss in CO\textsubscript{2} capture of 64 % when passing from dry to wet condition.\textsuperscript{56} This result is unique among materials in which the capture of CO\textsubscript{2} is not regulated by a chemical reaction. Indeed, for MOFs functionalized with amines (e.g. mmen-Mg\textsubscript{2}(dobpdc) and IRMOF-74-III-CH\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2}),\textsuperscript{19,62} the uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} does not change after water admittance in the gas flow. Nevertheless, the significantly lower isosteric heat of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption of UTSA-16 with respect to amine-MOFs (37 vs. 71 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}),\textsuperscript{63} would lead to a significant reduction in the regeneration costs and in the heat management problems.

Conclusions

Our findings highlighted several important aspects related to UTSA-16 as CO\textsubscript{2} adsorbent. In particular, the presence of water does not affect significantly the CO\textsubscript{2} working capacity of this material when operating in post combustion conditions, even after prolonged cycling, as demonstrated experimentally. These properties come from the relatively mild affinity of UTSA-16 to water and to the high density of open metal sites, a favorable factor for the interaction with CO\textsubscript{2}. Such a high stability in the CO\textsubscript{2} separation properties was previously reported only for amine-functionalized MOFs. However, this class of MOFs possesses a high CO\textsubscript{2} interaction energy, which leads to a high cost of the adsorbent regeneration and to problems connected with heat management. The lower CO\textsubscript{2} interaction energy of UTSA-16 would allow to overcome the above-mentioned drawbacks typical of amine-functionalized MOFs, making UTSA-16 a competitive material for CO\textsubscript{2} separation not only at academic but also at technological level.
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**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

UTSA-16 metal-organic framework showed applicability-level selectivity towards CO₂ in real post-combustion flue gas conditions.