IRIS AperTO

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Measurement of the absolute branching fraction of D+ → K0 e+νe via K0 → π 0 π 0

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1635625 since 2017-05-18T18:05:08Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1088/1674-1137/40/11/113001

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

17 April 2024

IRIS AperTO

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Ablikim, M.; Achasov, M.N.; Ai, X.C.; Albayrak, O.; Albrecht, M.; Ambrose, D.J.; Amoroso, A.; An, F.F.; An, Q.; Bai, J.Z.; Baldini Ferroli, R.; Ban, Y.; Bennett, D.W.; Bennett, J.V.; Bertani, M.; Bettoni, D.; Bian, J.M.; Bianchi, F.; Boger, E.; Boyko, I.; Briere, R.A.; Cai, H.; Cai, X.; Cakir, O.; Calcaterra, A.; Cao, G.F.; Cetin, S.A.; Chang, J.F.; Chelkov, G.; Chen, G.; Chen, H.S.; Chen, H.Y.; Chen, J.C.; Chen, M.L.; Chen, S.; Chen, S.J.; Chen, X.; Chen, X.R.; Chen, Y.B.; Cheng, H.P.; Chu, X.K.; Cibinetto, G.; Dai, H.L.; Dai, J.P.; Dbeyssi, A.; Dedovich, D.; Deng, Z.Y.; Denig, A.; Denysenko, I.; Destefanis, M.; De Mori, F.; Ding, Y.; Dong, C.; Dong, J.; Dong, L.Y.; Dong, M.Y.; Dou, Z.L.; Du, S.X.; Duan, P.F.; Fan, J.Z.; Fang, J.; Fang, S.S.; Fang, X.; Fang, Y.; Farinelli, R.; Fava, L.; Fedorov, O.; Feldbauer, F.; Felici, G.; Feng, C.Q.; Fioravanti, E.; Fritsch, M.; Fu, C.D.; Gao, Q.; Gao, X.L.; Gao, X.Y.; Gao, Y.; Gao, Z.; Garzia, I.; Goetzen, K.; Gong, L.; Gong, W.X.; Gradl, W.; Greco, M.; Gu, M.H.; Gu, Y.T.; Guan, Y.H.; Guo, A.Q.; Guo, L.B.; Guo, R.P.; Guo, Y.; Guo, Y.P.; Haddadi, Z.; Hafner, A.; Han, S.; Hao, X.Q.; Harris, F.A.; He, K.L.; Held, T.; Heng, Y.K.; Hou, Z.L.; Hu, C.; Hu, H.M.; Hu, J.F.; Hu, T.; Hu, Y.; Huang, G.S.; Huang, J.S.; Huang, X.T.; Huang, X.Z.; Huang, Y.; Huang, Z.L.; Hussain, T.; Ji, Q.; Ji, Q.P.; Ji, X.B.; Ji, X.L.; Jiang, L.W.; Jiang, X.S.; Jiang, X.Y.; Jiao, J.B.; Jiao, Z.; Jin, D.P.; Jin, S.; Johansson, T.; Julin, A.; Kalantar-Nayestanaki, N.; Kang, X.L.; Kang, X.S.; Kavatsyuk, M.; Ke, B.C.; Kiese, P.; Kliemt, R.; Kloss, B.; Kolcu, O.B.; Kopf, B.; Kornicer, M.; Kupsc, A.; Kühn, W.; Lange, J.S.; Lara, M.; Larin, P.; Leng, C.; Li, C.; Li, Cheng; Li, D.M.; Li, F.; Li, F.Y.; Li, G.; Li, H.B.; Li, H.J.; Li, J.C.; Li, Jin; Li, K.; Li, K.; Li, Lei; Li, P.R.; Li, Q.Y.; Li, T.; Li, W.D.; Li, W.G.; Li, X.L.; Li, X.N.; Li, X.Q.; Li, Y.B.; Li, Z.B.; Liang, H.; Liang, Y.F.; Liang, Y.T.; Liao, G.R.; Lin, D.X.; Liu, B.; Liu, B.J.; Liu, C.X.; Liu, D.; Liu, F.H.; Liu, Fang; Liu, Feng; Liu, H.B.; Liu, H.H.; Liu, H.H.; Liu, H.M.; Liu, J.; Liu, J.B.; Liu, J.P.; Liu, J.Y.; Liu, K.; Liu, K.Y.; Liu, L.D.; Liu, P.L.; Liu, Q.; Liu, S.B.; Liu, X.; Liu, Y.B.; Liu, Z.A.; Liu, Zhiqing; Loehner,

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

iris - AperTO

University of Turin's Institutional Research Information System and Open Access Institutional Repository

H.; Lou, X.C.; Lü, H.J.; Lü, J.G.; Lu, Y.; Lu, Y.P.; Luo, C.L.; Luo, M.X.; Luo, T.; Luo, X.L.; Lü, X.R.; Ma, F.C.; Ma, H.L.; Ma, L.L.; Ma, M.M.; Ma, Q.M.; Ma, T.; Ma, X.N.; Ma, X.Y.; Ma, Y.M.; Maas, F.E.; Maggiora, M.; Mao, Y.J.; Mao, Z.P.; Marcello, S.; Messchendorp, J.G.; Min, J.; Min, T.J.; Mitchell, R.E.; Mo, X.H.; Mo, Y.J.; Morales, C. Morales; Muchnoi, N. Yu.; Muramatsu, H.; Nefedov, Y.; Nerling, F.; Nikolaev, I.B.; Ning, Z.; Nisar, S.; Niu, S.L.; Niu, X.Y.; Olsen, S.L.; Ouyang, Q.; Pacetti, S.; Pan, Y.; Patteri, P.; Pelizaeus, M.; Peng, H.P.; Peters, K.; Pettersson, J.; Ping, J.L.; Ping, R.G.; Poling, R.; Prasad, V.; Qi, H.R.; Qi, M.; Qian, S.; Qiao, C.F.; Qin, L.Q.; Qin, N.; Qin, X.S.; Qin, Z.H.; Qiu, J.F.; Rashid, K.H.; Redmer, C.F.; Ripka, M.; Rong, G.; Rosner, Ch.; Ruan, X.D.; Sarantsev, A.; Savrié, M.; Schoenning, K.; Schumann, S.; Shan, W.; Shao, M.; Shen, C.P.; Shen, P.X.; Shen, X.Y.; Sheng, H.Y.; Shi, M.; Song, W.M.; Song, X.Y.; Sosio, S.; Spataro, S.; Sun, G.X.; Sun, J.F.; Sun, S.S.; Sun, X.H.; Sun, Y.J.; Sun, Y.Z.; Sun, Z.J.; Sun, Z.T.; Tang, C.J.; Tang, X.; Tapan, I.; Thorndike, E.H.; Tiemens, M.; Ullrich, M.; Uman, I.; Varner, G.S.; Wang, B.; Wang, B.L.; Wang, D.; Wang, D.Y.; Wang, K.; Wang, L.L.; Wang, L.S.; Wang, M.; Wang, P.; Wang, P.L.; Wang, W.; Wang, W.P.; Wang, X.F.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.D.; Wang, Y.F.; Wang, Y.Q.; Wang, Z.; Wang, Z.G.; Wang, Z.H.; Wang, Z.Y.; Wang, Z.Y.; Weber, T.; Wei, D.H.; Weidenkaff, P.; Wen, S.P.; Wiedner, U.; Wolke, M.; Wu, L.H.; Wu, L.J.; Wu, Z.; Xia, L.; Xia, L.G.; Xia, Y.; Xiao, D.; Xiao, H.; Xiao, Z.J.; Xie, Y.G.; Xiu, Q.L.; Xu, G.F.; Xu, J.J.; Xu, L.; Xu, Q.J.; Xu, Q.N.; Xu, X.P.; Yan, L.; Yan, W.B.; Yan, W.C.; Yan, Y.H.; Yang, H.J.; Yang, H.X.; Yang, L.; Yang, Y.X.; Ye, M.; Ye, M.H.; Yin, J.H.; Yu, B.X.; Yu, C.X.; Yu, J.S.; Yuan, C.Z.; Yuan, W.L.; Yuan, Y.; Yuncu, A.; Zafar, A.A.; Zallo, A.; Zeng, Y.; Zeng, Z.; Zhang, B.X.; Zhang, B.Y.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, C.C.; Zhang, D.H.; Zhang, H.H.; Zhang, H.Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, J.J.; Zhang, J.L.; Zhang, J.Q.; Zhang, J.W.; Zhang, J.Y.; Zhang, J.Z.; Zhang, K.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, S.Q.; Zhang, X.Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Y.H.; Zhang, Y.N.; Zhang, Y.T.; Zhang, Yu; Zhang, Z.H.; Zhang, Z.P.; Zhang, Z.Y.; Zhao, G.; Zhao, J.W.; Zhao, J.Y.; Zhao, J.Z.; Zhao, Lei; Zhao, Ling; Zhao, M.G.; Zhao, Q.; Zhao, Q.W.; Zhao, S.J.; Zhao, T.C.; Zhao, Y.B.; Zhao, Z.G.; Zhemchugov, A.; Zheng, B.; Zheng, J.P.; Zheng, W.J.; Zheng, Y.H.; Zhong, B.; Zhou, L.; Zhou, X.; Zhou, X.K.; Zhou, X.R.; Zhou, X.Y.; Zhu, K.; Zhu, K.J.; Zhu, S.; Zhu, S.H.; Zhu, X.L.; Zhu, Y.C.; Zhu, Y.S.; Zhu, Z.A.; Zhuang, J.; Zotti, L.; Zou, B.S.; Zou, J.H.. Measurement of the absolute branching fraction of D+ → K0 e+νe via K0 → π 0 π 0. CHINESE PHYSICS C. 40 (11) pp: 113001-1-113001-9.
DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/40/11/113001

The publisher's version is available at:
http://stacks.iop.org/1674-1137/40/i=11/a=113001?key=crossref.ba05aec553b95206f8c371c64c5a9821

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:
http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1635625

arXiv:1605.00208v1 [hep-ex] 1 May 2016

Measurement of the absolute branching fraction of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ via $\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$

M. Ablikim[1], M. N. Achasov[9,e], X. C. Ai[1], O. Albayrak[5], M. Albrecht[4], D. J. Ambrose[44], A. Amoroso[49A,49C], F. F. An[1], Q. An[46,a], J. Z. Bai[1], R. Baldini Ferroli[20A], Y. Ban[31], D. W. Bennett[19], J. V. Bennett[5], M. Bertani[20A], D. Bettoni[21A], J. M. Bian[43], F. Bianchi[49A,49C], E. Boger[23,c], I. Boyko[23], R. A. Briere[5], H. Cai[51], X. Cai[1,a], O. Cakir[40A], A. Calcaterra[20A], G. F. Cao[1], S. A. Cetin[40B], J. F. Chang[1,a], G. Chelkov[23,c,d], G. Chen[1], H. S. Chen[1], H. Y. Chen[2], J. C. Chen[1], M. L. Chen[1,a], S. Chen[41], S. J. Chen[29], X. Chen[1,a], X. R. Chen[26], Y. B. Chen[1,a], H. P. Cheng[17], X. K. Chu[31], G. Cibinetto[21A], H. L. Dai[1,a], J. P. Dai[34], A. Dbeyssi[14], D. Dedovich[23], Z. Y. Deng[1], A. Denig[22], I. Denysenko[23], M. Destefanis[49A,49C], F. De Mori[49A,49C], Y. Ding[27], C. Dong[30], J. Dong[1,a], L. Y. Dong[1], M. Y. Dong[1,a], Z. L. Dou[29], S. X. Du[53], P. F. Duan[1], J. Z. Fan[39], J. Fang[1,a], S. S. Fang[1], X. Fang[46,a], Y. Fang[1], R. Farinelli[21A,21B], L. Fava[49B,49C], O. Fedorov[23], F. Feldbauer[22], G. Felici[20A], C. Q. Feng[46,a], E. Fioravanti[21A], M. Fritsch[14,22], C. D. Fu[1], Q. Gao[1], X. L. Gao[46,a], X. Y. Gao[2], Y. Gao[39], Z. Gao[46,a], I. Garzia[21A], K. Goetzen[10], L. Gong[30], W. X. Gong[1,a], W. Gradl[22], M. Greco[49A,49C], M. H. Gu[1,a], Y. T. Gu[12], Y. H. Guan[1], A. Q. Guo[1], L. B. Guo[28], R. P. Guo[1], Y. Guo[1], Y. P. Guo[22], Z. Haddadi[25], A. Hafner[22], S. Han[51], X. Q. Hao[15], F. A. Harris[42], K. L. He[1], T. Held[4], Y. K. Heng[1,a], Z. L. Hou[1], C. Hu[28], H. M. Hu[1], J. F. Hu[49A,49C], T. Hu[1,a], Y. Hu[1], G. S. Huang[46,a], J. S. Huang[15], X. T. Huang[33], X. Z. Huang[29], Y. Huang[29], Z. L. Huang[27], T. Hussain[48], Q. Ji[1], Q. P. Ji[30], X. B. Ji[1], X. L. Ji[1,a], L. W. Jiang[51], X. S. Jiang[1,a], X. Y. Jiang[30], J. B. Jiao[33], Z. Jiao[17], D. P. Jin[1,a], S. Jin[1], T. Johansson[50], A. Julin[43], N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki[25], X. L. Kang[1], X. S. Kang[30], M. Kavatsyuk[25], B. C. Ke[5], P. Kiese[22], R. Kliemt[14], B. Kloss[22], O. B. Kolcu[40B,h], B. Kopf[4], M. Kornicer[42], A. Kupsc[50], W. Kühn[24], J. S. Lange[24], M. Lara[19], P. Larin[14], C. Leng[49C], C. Li[50], Cheng Li[46,a], D. M. Li[53], F. Li[1,a], F. Y. Li[31], G. Li[1], H. B. Li[1], H. J. Li[1], J. C. Li[1], Jin Li[32], K. Li[33], K. Li[13], Lei Li[3], P. R. Li[41], Q. Y. Li[33], T. Li[33], W. D. Li[1], W. G. Li[1], X. L. Li[33], X. N. Li[1,a], X. Q. Li[30], Y. B. Li[2], Z. B. Li[38], H. Liang[46,a], Y. F. Liang[36], Y. T. Liang[24], G. R. Liao[11], D. X. Lin[14], B. Liu[34], B. J. Liu[1], C. X. Liu[1], D. Liu[46,a], F. H. Liu[35], Fang Liu[1], Feng Liu[6], H. B. Liu[12], H. H. Liu[16], H. H. Liu[1], H. M. Liu[1], J. Liu[1], J. B. Liu[46,a], J. P. Liu[51], J. Y. Liu[1], K. Liu[39], K. Y. Liu[27], L. D. Liu[31], P. L. Liu[1,a], Q. Liu[41], S. B. Liu[46,a], X. Liu[26], Y. B. Liu[30], Z. A. Liu[1,a], Zhiqing Liu[22], H. Loehner[25], X. C. Lou[1,a,g], H. J. Lu[17], J. G. Lu[1,a], Y. Lu[1], Y. P. Lu[1,a], C. L. Luo[28], M. X. Luo[52], T. Luo[42], X. L. Luo[1,a], X. R. Lyu[41], F. C. Ma[27], H. L. Ma[1], L. L. Ma[33], M. M. Ma[1], Q. M. Ma[1], T. Ma[1], X. N. Ma[30], X. Y. Ma[1,a], Y. M. Ma[33], F. E. Maas[14], M. Maggiora[49A,49C], Y. J. Mao[31], Z. P. Mao[1], S. Marcello[49A,49C], J. G. Messchendorp[25], J. Min[1,a], T. J. Min[1], R. E. Mitchell[19], X. H. Mo[1,a], Y. J. Mo[6], C. Morales Morales[14], N. Yu. Muchnoi[9,e], H. Muramatsu[43], Y. Nefedov[23], F. Nerling[14], I. B. Nikolaev[9,e], Z. Ning[1,a], S. Nisar[8], S. L. Niu[1,a], X. Y. Niu[1], S. L. Olsen[32], Q. Ouyang[1,a], S. Pacetti[20B], Y. Pan[46,a], P. Patteri[20A], M. Pelizaeus[4], H. P. Peng[46,a], K. Peters[10,i], J. Pettersson[50], J. L. Ping[28], R. G. Ping[1], R. Poling[43], V. Prasad[1], H. R. Qi[2], M. Qi[29], S. Qian[1,a], C. F. Qiao[41], L. Q. Qin[33], N. Qin[51], X. S. Qin[1], Z. H. Qin[1,a], J. F. Qiu[1], K. H. Rashid[48], C. F. Redmer[22], M. Ripka[22], G. Rong[1], Ch. Rosner[14], X. D. Ruan[12], A. Sarantsev[23,f], M. Savrié[21B], K. Schoenning[50], S. Schumann[22], W. Shan[31], M. Shao[46,a], C. P. Shen[2], P. X. Shen[30], X. Y. Shen[1], H. Y. Sheng[1], M. Shi[1], W. M. Song[1], X. Y. Song[1], S. Sosio[49A,49C], S. Spataro[49A,49C], G. X. Sun[1], J. F. Sun[15], S. S. Sun[1], X. H. Sun[1], Y. J. Sun[46,a], Y. Z. Sun[1], Z. J. Sun[1,a], Z. T. Sun[19], C. J. Tang[36], X. Tang[1], I. Tapan[40C], E. H. Thorndike[44], M. Tiemens[25], M. Ullrich[24], I. Uman[40D], G. S. Varner[42], B. Wang[30], B. L. Wang[41], D. Wang[31], D. Y. Wang[31], K. Wang[1,a], L. L. Wang[1], L. S. Wang[1], M. Wang[33], P. Wang[1], P. L. Wang[1], W. Wang[1,a], W. P. Wang[46,a], X. F. Wang[39], Y. Wang[37], Y. D. Wang[14], Y. F. Wang[1,a], Y. Q. Wang[22], Z. Wang[1,a], Z. G. Wang[1,a], Z. H. Wang[46,a], Z. Y. Wang[1], Z. Y. Wang[1], T. Weber[22], D. H. Wei[11], P. Weidenkaff[22], S. P. Wen[1], U. Wiedner[4], M. Wolke[50], L. H. Wu[1], L. J. Wu[1], Z. Wu[1,a], L. Xia[46,a], L. G. Xia[39], Y. Xia[18], D. Xiao[1], H. Xiao[47], Z. J. Xiao[28], Y. G. Xie[1,a], Q. L. Xiu[1,a], G. F. Xu[1], J. J. Xu[1], L. Xu[1], Q. J. Xu[13], Q. N. Xu[41], X. P. Xu[37], L. Yan[49A,49C], W. B. Yan[46,a], W. C. Yan[46,a], Y. H. Yan[18], H. J. Yang[34], H. X. Yang[1], L. Yang[51], Y. X. Yang[11], M. Ye[1,a], M. H. Ye[7], J. H. Yin[1], B. X. Yu[1,a], C. X. Yu[30], J. S. Yu[26], C. Z. Yuan[1], W. L. Yuan[29], Y. Yuan[1], A. Yuncu[40B,b], A. A. Zafar[48], A. Zallo[20A], Y. Zeng[18], Z. Zeng[46,a], B. X. Zhang[1], B. Y. Zhang[1,a], C. Zhang[29], C. C. Zhang[1], D. H. Zhang[1], H. H. Zhang[38], H. Y. Zhang[1,a], J. Zhang[1], J. J. Zhang[1], J. L. Zhang[1], J. Q. Zhang[1], J. W. Zhang[1,a], J. Y. Zhang[1], J. Z. Zhang[1], K. Zhang[1], L. Zhang[1], S. Q. Zhang[30], X. Y. Zhang[33], Y. Zhang[1], Y. H. Zhang[1,a], Y. N. Zhang[41], Y. T. Zhang[46,a], Yu Zhang[41], Z. H. Zhang[6], Z. P. Zhang[46], Z. Y. Zhang[51], G. Zhao[1], J. W. Zhao[1,a], J. Y. Zhao[1], J. Z. Zhao[1,a], Lei Zhao[46,a], Ling Zhao[1], M. G. Zhao[30], Q. Zhao[1], Q. W. Zhao[1], S. J. Zhao[53], T. C. Zhao[1], Y. B. Zhao[1,a], Z. G. Zhao[46,a], A. Zhemchugov[23,c], B. Zheng[47], J. P. Zheng[1,a], W. J. Zheng[33], Y. H. Zheng[41], B. Zhong[28], L. Zhou[1,a], X. Zhou[51], X. K. Zhou[46,a], X. R. Zhou[46,a], X. Y. Zhou[1], K. Zhu[1], K. J. Zhu[1,a], S. Zhu[1], S. H. Zhu[45], X. L. Zhu[39], Y. C. Zhu[46,a], Y. S. Zhu[1], Z. A. Zhu[1], J. Zhuang[1,a], L. Zotti[49A,49C], B. S. Zou[1], J. H. Zou[1]

(BESIII Collaboration)

[1] *Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China*
[2] *Beihang University, Beijing 100191, People's Republic of China*
[3] *Beijing Institute of Petrochemical Technology, Beijing 102617, People's Republic of China*
[4] *Bochum Ruhr-University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*
[5] *Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA*
[6] *Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China*
[7] *China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China*
[8] *COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Lahore, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, 54000 Lahore, Pakistan*
[9] *G.I. Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS (BINP), Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*
[10] *GSI Helmholtzcentre for Heavy Ion Research GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*
[11] *Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China*
[12] *Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, People's Republic of China*
[13] *Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China*
[14] *Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*
[15] *Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, People's Republic of China*
[16] *Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, People's Republic of China*
[17] *Huangshan College, Huangshan 245000, People's Republic of China*
[18] *Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China*
[19] *Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA*
[20] *(A)INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044, Frascati, Italy; (B)INFN and University of Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy*
[21] *(A)INFN Sezione di Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy; (B)University of Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy*
[22] *Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*
[23] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia*
[24] *Justus-Liebig-Universitaet Giessen, II. Physikalisches Institut, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, D-35392 Giessen, Germany*
[25] *KVI-CART, University of Groningen, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands*
[26] *Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China*
[27] *Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China*
[28] *Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, People's Republic of China*
[29] *Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China*
[30] *Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China*
[31] *Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China*
[32] *Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-747 Korea*
[33] *Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China*
[34] *Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China*
[35] *Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, People's Republic of China*
[36] *Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China*
[37] *Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China*
[38] *Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China*
[39] *Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China*
[40] *(A)Ankara University, 06100 Tandogan, Ankara, Turkey; (B)Istanbul Bilgi University, 34060 Eyup, Istanbul, Turkey; (C)Uludag University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey; (D)Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey*
[41] *University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China*
[42] *University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA*
[43] *University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA*
[44] *University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA*
[45] *University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan 114051, People's Republic of China*
[46] *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China*
[47] *University of South China, Hengyang 421001, People's Republic of China*
[48] *University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan*
[49] *(A)University of Turin, I-10125, Turin, Italy; (B)University of Eastern*

Piedmont, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy; (C)INFN, I-10125, Turin, Italy
[50] *Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden*
[51] *Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China*
[52] *Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China*
[53] *Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China*

[a] *Also at State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, Beijing 100049, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China*
[b] *Also at Bogazici University, 34342 Istanbul, Turkey*
[c] *Also at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia*
[d] *Also at the Functional Electronics Laboratory, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, 634050, Russia*
[e] *Also at the Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*
[f] *Also at the NRC "Kurchatov Institute, PNPI, 188300, Gatchina, Russia*
[g] *Also at University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75083, USA*
[h] *Also at Istanbul Arel University, 34295 Istanbul, Turkey*
[i] *Also at Goethe University Frankfurt, 60323 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

By analyzing 2.93 fb^{-1} data collected at the center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV with the BESIII detector, we measure the absolute branching fraction of the semileptonic decay $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ to be $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (8.59 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21)\%$ using $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. Our result is consistent with previous measurements within uncertainties.

PACS numbers: 13.20.Fc, 14.40.Lb

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of semileptonic decays of D mesons can shed light on the strong and weak effects in charmed meson decays. The absolute branching fraction $\mathcal{B}$ of the semileptonic decay $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ can be used to extract the form factor $f_+^K(0)$ of the hadronic weak current or the quark mixing matrix element $|V_{cs}|$ [1], which are important to calibrate the lattice quantum chromodynamics calculation on $f_+^K(0)$ and to test the unitarity of the quark mixing matrix. In addition, the measured $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ can also be used to test isospin symmetry in the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays [2–4]. Therefore, improving the measurement precision of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ will be helpful to better understand the D decay mechanisms.

Measurements of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ via $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ have been performed by the MARKIII, BES, CLEO and BESIII Collaborations [2–5]. Recently, a measurement of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0_L e^+ \nu_e)$ has been carried out by the BESIII Collaboration [6]. However, no measurement of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ using $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$ has been reported so far. As a first step, we present in this paper a measurement of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ using $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$, based on an analysis of 2.93 fb^{-1} of e^+e^- collision data [7] accumulated at the center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV with the BESIII detector [8]. Since there is currently no room to improve our measurement of $f_+^K(0)|V_{cs}|$ [9], we only aim to measure the $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ in this work.

II. BESIII DETECTOR AND MONTE CARLO

The BESIII detector is a cylindrical detector with solid-angle 93% of 4π that operates at the BEPCII collider. It consists of several main components. A 43-layer main drift chamber (MDC) surrounding the beam pipe performs precise determinations of charged particle trajectories and provides ionization energy loss (dE/dx) measurements that are used for charged particle identification (PID). An array of time-of-flight counters (TOF) is located radially outside the MDC and provides additional charged particle identification information. A CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) surrounds the TOF and is used to measure the energies of photons and electrons. A solenoidal superconducting magnet located outside the EMC provides a 1 T magnetic field in the central tracking region of the detector. The iron flux return of the magnet is instrumented with about 1272 m^2 of resistive plate muon counters (MUC) arranged in nine layers in the barrel and eight layers in the endcaps that are used to identify muons with momentum greater than 0.5 GeV/c. More details about the BESIII detector are described in Ref. [8].

A GEANT4-based [10] Monte Carlo (MC) simulation software, which includes the geometric description and a simulation of the response of the detector, is used to determine the detection efficiency and to estimate the potential backgrounds. An inclusive MC sample, which includes generic $\psi(3770)$ decays, initial state radiation (ISR) production of $\psi(3686)$ and J/ψ, QED ($e^+e^- \to e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \tau^+\tau^-$) and $q\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d, s$) continuum processes, is produced at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV. The MC events of $\psi(3770)$ decays are produced by a combination

of the MC generators KKMC [11] and PHOTOS [12], in which the effects of ISR [13] and Final State Radiation (FSR) are considered. The known decay modes of charmonium states are generated using EvtGen [14] with the branching fractions taken from the Particle Data Group (PDG) [15], and the remaining events are generated using LundCharm [16]. The $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ signal is modeled by the modified pole model [17].

III. MEASUREMENT

A. Single tag D^- mesons

With a mass of 3.773 GeV just above the open charm threshold, the $\psi(3770)$ resonance decays predominately into $D^0\bar{D}^0$ or D^+D^- meson pairs. In each event, if a D^- meson can be fully reconstructed via its decay into hadrons (in the following called the single tag (ST) D^-), there must be a recoiling D^+ meson. Using a double tag technique which was first employed by the MARKIII Collaboration [2], we can measure the absolute branching fraction of the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ decay. Throughout the paper, charge conjugation is implied.

The ST D^- mesons are reconstructed using six hadronic decay modes: $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$, $K^0_S\pi^-$, $K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^0_S\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and $K^+K^-\pi^-$. The daughter particles K^0_S and π^0 are reconstructed via $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$, respectively.

All charged tracks are required to be reconstructed within the good MDC acceptance $|\cos\theta| < 0.93$, where θ is the polar angle of the track with respect to the beam direction. All tracks except those from K^0_S decays are required to originate from the interaction region defined as $V_{xy} < 1.0$ cm and $|V_z| < 10.0$ cm. Here, V_{xy} and $|V_z|$ are the distances of closest approach to the Interaction Point (IP) of the reconstructed track in the plane transverse to and along the beam direction, respectively. For PID of charged particles, we combine the dE/dx and TOF information to calculate Confidence Levels for the pion and kaon hypotheses (CL_π and CL_K). A charged track is taken as kaon (pion) if it has $CL_K > CL_\pi$ ($CL_\pi > CL_K$).

The charged tracks from K^0_S decays are required to satisfy $|V_z| < 20.0$ cm. The two oppositely charged tracks, which are assumed as $\pi^+\pi^-$ without PID, are constrained to originate from common vertex. A $\pi^+\pi^-$ combination is considered as a K^0_S candidate if its invariant mass lies in the mass window $|M_{\pi^+\pi^-} - M_{K^0_S}| < 12$ MeV/c^2, where $M_{K^0_S}$ is the nominal K^0_S mass [18]. The $\pi^+\pi^-$ combinations with $L/\sigma_L > 2$ are retained, where σ_L is the uncertainty of the K^0_S reconstructed decay length L.

Photon candidates are selected by using the EMC information. The shower time is required to be within 700 ns of the event start time. The shower energy is required to be greater than 25 (50) MeV in the barrel (endcap) region. The opening angle between the candidate shower and the closest charged track is required to be greater than 10°. A $\gamma\gamma$ combination is considered as a π^0 candidate if its invariant mass falls in $(0.115, 0.150)$ GeV/c^2. To obtain better mass resolution for the D^- candidates, the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass is constrained to the π^0 nominal mass [18] via a kinematic fit.

To suppress combinatorial backgrounds, we define the variable $\Delta E = E_{mKn\pi} - E_{\rm beam}$, which is the difference between the measured energy of the $mKn\pi$ ($m = 1,\ 2$, $n = 1,\ 2,\ 3$) combination ($E_{mKn\pi}$) and the beam energy ($E_{\rm beam}$). For each ST mode, if there is more than one $mKn\pi$ combination satisfying the above selection criteria, only the one with the minimum $|\Delta E|$ is kept. The ΔE is required to be within $(-25, +25)$ MeV for the $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$, $K^0_S\pi^-$, $K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and $K^+K^-\pi^-$ decay modes, and be within $(-55, +40)$ MeV for the $K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$ and $K^0_S\pi^-\pi^0$ combinations.

To measure the yield of ST D^- mesons, we fit the spectra of the beam energy constrained masses $M_{\rm BC} = \sqrt{E^2_{\rm beam} - |\vec{p}_{mKn\pi}|^2}$) of the accepted $mKn\pi$ combinations, as shown in Fig. 1. Here, $\vec{p}_{mKn\pi}$ is the measured momentum of the $mKn\pi$ combination. In the fits, the D^- signal is modeled by the MC simulated $M_{\rm BC}$ distribution convoluted with a double Gaussian function, and the combinatorial background is described by an ARGUS function [19]. The candidates in the ST D^- signal region defined as $(1.863, 1.877)$ GeV/c^2 are kept for further analysis. Single-tag reconstruction efficiencies $\epsilon_{\rm ST}$ are estimated by analyzing the inclusive MC sample. The ST yields $N_{\rm ST}$ and the ST efficiencies are summarized in Table I. The total ST yield is $N^{\rm tot}_{\rm ST} = 1522474 \pm 2215$.



FIG. 1. Fits to the $M_{\rm BC}$ spectra of the (a) $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$, (b) $K^0_S\pi^-$, (c) $K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$, (d) $K^0_S\pi^-\pi^0$, (e) $K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and (f) $K^+K^-\pi^-$ combinations. The dots with error bars are data, the blue solid curves are the fit results, the red dashed curves are the fitted backgrounds and the pair of red arrows in each sub-figure denote the ST D^- signal region.

TABLE I. Summary of the ST yields ($N^i_{\rm ST}$), the ST and DT efficiencies ($\epsilon^i_{\rm ST}$ and $\epsilon^i_{\rm DT}$), and the reconstruction efficiencies of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+\nu_e$ ($\epsilon^i_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e}$). The efficiencies do not include the branching fractions for $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ (used in the reconstruction of ST D^- mesons), $\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$. The uncertainties are statistical only. The index i represents the ith ST mode.

ST mode i	$N^i_{\rm ST}$	$\epsilon^i_{\rm ST}$ (%)	$\epsilon^i_{\rm DT}$ (%)	$\epsilon^i_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e}$ (%)
$D^- \to K^+\pi^-\pi^-$	782669± 990	50.61±0.06	13.39±0.07	26.45±0.14
$D^- \to K^0_S\pi^-$	91345± 320	50.41±0.17	13.81±0.22	27.40±0.44
$D^- \to K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$	251008±1135	26.74±0.09	6.23±0.06	23.29±0.25
$D^- \to K^0_S\pi^-\pi^0$	215364±1238	27.29±0.07	6.88±0.07	25.21±0.28
$D^- \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	113054± 889	28.31±0.12	6.74±0.10	23.79±0.37
$D^- \to K^+K^-\pi^-$	69034± 460	40.83±0.24	10.54±0.20	25.81±0.50

B. Double tag events

In the system recoiling against the ST D^- mesons, the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+\nu_e$ candidates, called the double tag (DT) events, are selected via $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$. It is required that there be at least four good photons and only one good charged track that have not been used in the ST selection. The good charged track, photons and π^0 mesons are selected using the same criteria as those used in the ST selection. If there are multiple $\pi^0\pi^0$ combinations satisfying these selection criteria, only the combination with the minimum value of $\chi^2_1(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma) + \chi^2_2(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ is retained, where the χ^2_1 and χ^2_2 are the chi-squares of the mass constrained fits on $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$. A $\pi^0\pi^0$ combination is considered as a $\bar{K}^0$ candidate if its invariant mass falls in $(0.45, 0.51)$ GeV/c^2. For electron PID, we combine the dE/dx, TOF and EMC information to calculate Confidence Levels for the electron, pion and kaon hypotheses (CL_e, CL_π and CL_K), respectively. The electron candidate is required to have $CL_e > 0.001$ and $CL_e/(CL_e + CL_\pi + CL_K) > 0.8$, and to have a charge opposite to the ST D^- meson. To partially recover the effects of FSR and bremsstrahlung, the four-momenta of photon(s) within 5° of the initial electron direction are added to the electron four-momentum. To suppress the backgrounds associated with fake photon(s), we require that the maximum energy ($E^{\rm extra\ \gamma}_{\rm max}$) of any of the extra photons, which have not been used in the DT selection, be less than 300 MeV.

In order to obtain the information of the missing neutrino, we define the kinematic quantity

$$U_{\rm miss} \equiv E_{\rm miss} - |\vec{p}_{\rm miss}|, \quad (1)$$

where $E_{\rm miss}$ and $|\vec{p}_{\rm miss}|$ are the total energy and momentum of the missing particle in the event, respectively. $E_{\rm miss}$ is calculated by

$$E_{\rm miss} = E_{\rm beam} - E_{\bar{K}^0} - E_{e^+}, \quad (2)$$

where $E_{\bar{K}^0}$ and E_{e^+} are the energies carried by $\bar{K}^0$ and e^+, respectively. $|\vec{p}_{\rm miss}|$ is calculated by

$$|\vec{p}_{\rm miss}| = |\vec{p}_{D^+} - \vec{p}_{\bar{K}^0} - \vec{p}_{e^+}|, \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{p}_{D^+}$, $\vec{p}_{\bar{K}^0}$ and $\vec{p}_{e^+}$ are the momenta of D^+, $\bar{K}^0$ and e^+, respectively. To obtain better $U_{\rm miss}$ resolution, $\vec{p}_{D^+}$ is constrained by

$$\vec{p}_{D^+} = -\hat{p}_{D^-_{\rm ST}}\sqrt{E^2_{\rm beam} - m^2_{D^+}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{p}_{D^-_{\rm ST}}$ is the momentum direction of the ST D^- meson and m_{D^+} is the D^+ nominal mass [18].

To determine the number of DT events, we apply a fit to the $U_{\rm miss}$ distribution of the accepted DT candidates, as shown in Fig. 2. In the fit, the DT signal and the combinatorial background are modeled by the MC simulated $U_{\rm miss}$ shapes, respectively. From the fit, we obtain the DT yield in data as

$$N_{\rm DT} = 5013 \pm 78. \quad (5)$$



FIG. 2. Fit to the $U_{\rm miss}$ distribution of the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+\nu_e$ candidates. The dots with error bars are data, the blue solid curve is the fit result, the black dotted and the red dashed curves are the fitted signal and background.

C. Branching fraction

The efficiency of reconstructing the DT events, called the DT efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm DT}$, is determined by analyzing the signal MC events. Dividing $\epsilon_{\rm DT}$ by $\epsilon_{\rm ST}$, we obtain the reconstruction efficiency for $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+\nu_e$ in each ST mode, $\epsilon_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e}$, as summarized in Table I. Weighting

them by the ST yields observed in data, we obtain the averaged reconstruction efficiency of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e} = (25.58 \pm 0.11)\%, \quad (6)$$

which does not include the branching fractions of $\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$.

The branching fraction of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ is determined by

$$\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = \frac{N_{\rm DT}}{N^{\rm tot}_{\rm ST}\bar{\epsilon}_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e}\mathcal{B}(\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0)\mathcal{B}^2(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)}, \quad (7)$$

where $N_{\rm DT}$ is the DT yield, $N^{\rm tot}_{\rm ST}$ is the total ST yield, $\bar{\epsilon}_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e}$ is the averaged reconstruction efficiency of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $\mathcal{B}(\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ are the branching fractions of $\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ [18], respectively. Here, we assume that K^0_S constitutes half the decays of the neutral kaons.

Inserting the numbers of $N_{\rm DT}$, $N^{\rm tot}_{\rm ST}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{D^+\to\bar{K}^0e^+\nu_e}$, $\mathcal{B}(\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ in Eq. (7), we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (8.59 \pm 0.14)\%,$$

where the uncertainty is statistical only.

D. Systematic uncertainty

In the measurement of the branching fraction, the systematic uncertainty arises from the uncertainties in the fits to the $M_{\rm BC}$ spectra of the ST candidates, the ΔE, $M_{\rm BC}$ and $\bar{K}^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ mass requirements, the π^0 reconstruction, the $e^\pm$ tracking, the $e^\pm$ PID, the $E^{\rm extra\ \gamma}_{\rm max}$ requirement, the $U_{\rm miss}$ fit, the $\chi^2_1 + \chi^2_2$ selection method, the MC statistics and the quoted branching fractions.

The uncertainty in the fits to the $M_{\rm BC}$ spectra of the ST candidates is estimated to be 0.5% by observing the relative change of the ST yields of data and MC when varying the fit range, the combinatorial background shape or the endpoint of the ARGUS function. To estimate the uncertainties in the ΔE, $M_{\rm BC}$ and $\bar{K}^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ mass requirements, we examine the change in branching fractions when enlarging the ΔE selection window by 5 or 10 MeV; varying the $M_{\rm BC}$ selection window by ± 1 MeV and using alternative $\bar{K}^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ mass windows $(0.460, 0.505)$, $(0.470, 0.500)$, $(0.480, 0.500)$ GeV/c^2, respectively. The maximum changes in the branching fractions, 0.3%, 0.2%, and 0.9%, are assigned as the systematic uncertainties. The π^0 reconstruction efficiency is examined by analyzing the DT hadronic decays $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ and $K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ versus $\bar{D}^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ and $K^0_S(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$. The difference of the π^0 reconstruction efficiencies between data and MC is found to be $(-1.0 \pm 1.0)\%$ per π^0. The systematic uncertainty in π^0 reconstruction is taken to be 1.0% for each π^0 after correcting the MC efficiency of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ to data. The uncertainty in the tracking or PID for $e^\pm$ is estimated by analyzing $e^+e^- \to \gamma e^+ e^-$ events. It is assigned to be 0.5%, which is the re-weighted difference of the $e^\pm$ tracking (or PID) efficiencies between data and MC. The uncertainty in the $E^{\rm extra\ \gamma}_{\rm max}$ requirement is estimated to be 0.1% by analyzing the DT hadronic $D\bar{D}$ decays. The uncertainty in the $U_{\rm miss}$ fit is assigned to be 0.5%, which is obtained by comparing with the nominal value of the branching fraction measured with an alternative signal shape obtained with different requirements on the MC-truth matched signal shape, an alternative background shape after changing the relative ratios of the dominant backgrounds (doubling each of the simulated backgrounds for $D^0\bar{D}^0$, D^+D^- and $q\bar{q}$ continuum processes), and alternative fit range (± 50 MeV). The difference of 0.3% in the $\pi^0\pi^0$ acceptance efficiencies between data and MC, which is estimated by the DT hadronic decays $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ versus $\bar{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$, is assigned as a systematic uncertainty due to the $\chi^2_1 + \chi^2_2$ selection method. In this analysis, the $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S(\pi^0\pi^0)$ meson from the signal side is formed with photon candidates reconstructed under the assumption that they originate at the IP. We examine the DT efficiencies of the signal MC events in which the lifetimes of K^0_S meson from the signal side are set to the nominal value and 0, respectively. The difference of these two DT efficiencies, which is less than 0.2%, is taken as the systematic uncertainty of the $K^0_S(\pi^0\pi^0)$ reconstruction. The uncertainties in the MC statistics and the $\mathcal{B}(\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0)$ are 0.5% and 0.2% [18], respectively. In our previous work, the uncertainty in the signal MC generator is estimated to be 0.1%, which is obtained by comparing the DT efficiencies before and after re-weighting the $q^2(=(p_D - p_K)^2)$ distribution of the signal MC events of $D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e$ to the distribution found in data [9], where the p_D and p_K are the four-momenta of the D and K mesons. The systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table II. Adding all uncertainties in quadrature, we obtain the total systematic uncertainty to be 2.5%.

E. Validation

The analysis procedure is examined by an input and output check using an inclusive MC sample equivalent to a luminosity of 3.26 fb^{-1}. Using the same selection criteria as those used in data analysis, we obtain the ST yield, the DT yield and the weighted reconstruction efficiency of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ to be 1683631 ± 1768, 5802 ± 85 and $(26.07 \pm 0.11)\%$, where no efficiency correction has been performed. Based on these numbers, we determine the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (8.82 \pm 0.13)\%$, where the uncertainty is statistical only. The measured branching fraction is in excellent agreement with the input value of 8.83%.

To validate the reliability of the MC simulation, we examine the $\cos\theta$ and momentum distributions of $\bar{K}^0$ and e^+ of the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ candidates, as shown in Fig. 3. We can see that the consistency between simulation and

TABLE II. Relative systematic uncertainties (in %) in the measurement of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$.

Source	Uncertainty
$M_{\rm BC}$ fit	0.5
ΔE requirement	0.3
$M_{\rm BC} \in (1.863, 1.877)$ GeV/c^2	0.2
$M_{\pi^0\pi^0} \in (0.45, 0.51)$ GeV/c^2	0.9
π^0 reconstruction	2.0
Tracking for $e^\pm$	0.5
PID for $e^\pm$	0.5
$E_{\rm max}^{\rm extra\ \gamma} < 0.3$ GeV	0.1
$U_{\rm miss}$ fit	0.5
$\chi^2_1 + \chi^2_2$ selection method	0.3
$K^0_S(\pi^0\pi^0)$ reconstruction	0.2
MC statistics	0.5
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0)$	0.2
MC generator	0.1
Total	2.5

data is very good.



FIG. 3. Comparisons of the $\cos\theta$ and momentum distributions of (a), (b) $\bar{K}^0$ and (c), (d) e^+ of the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ candidates. The dots with error bars are data, the red histograms are the inclusive MC events, and the light black hatched histograms are the MC simulated backgrounds. These events satisfy a tight requirement of $-0.06 < U_{\rm miss} < +0.06$ GeV.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Based on the analysis of 2.93 fb^{-1} data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV with the BESIII detector, we measure the absolute branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (8.59 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21)\%$, using $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$. Figure 4 presents a comparison of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ measured in this work with the results obtained by other experiments. Our result is well consistent with the other measurements within uncertainties and has a precision comparable to the PDG value [18]. Our measurement will be helpful to improve the precision of the world average value of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$.



FIG. 4. Comparison of the $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ measured in this work with those measured by other experiments, where the slash band is the world averaged branching fraction with uncertainty. For the BESIII measurement using $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_L$, we take $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 2\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to K^0_L e^+ \nu_e)$.

Combining the PDG values for $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e)$, $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ [18], and the lifetimes of D^0 and D^+ mesons (τ_{D^0} and τ_{D^+}) [18] with the value of $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ measured in this work, we determine

$$\frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e)}{\bar{\Gamma}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e) \times \tau_{D^+}}{\bar{\mathcal{B}}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) \times \tau_{D^0}} = 0.969 \pm 0.025, \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{B}}(D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ is the the averaged branching fraction based on the PDG value and the one measured in this work. This gives a more stringent test on isospin symmetry in the $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^0 \to K^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The BESIII collaboration thanks the staff of BEPCII and the IHEP computing center for their strong support. This work is supported in part by National Key Basic Research Program of China under Contract Nos. 2009CB825204 and 2015CB856700; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts Nos. 10935007, 11125525, 11235011, 11305180, 11322544, 11335008, 11425524, 11475123; the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Large-Scale Scientific Facility Program; the CAS Center for Excellence in Parti-

cle Physics (CCEPP); the Collaborative Innovation Center for Particles and Interactions (CICPI); Joint Large-Scale Scientific Facility Funds of the NSFC and CAS under Contracts Nos. 11179007, U1232201, U1332201, U1532101; CAS under Contracts Nos. KJCX2-YW-N29, KJCX2-YW-N45; 100 Talents Program of CAS; National 1000 Talents Program of China; INPAC and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; German Research Foundation DFG under Contract No. Collaborative Research Center CRC-1044; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy; Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen (KNAW) under Contract No. 530-4CDP03; Ministry of Development of Turkey under Contract No. DPT2006K-120470; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts Nos. 11405046, U1332103; Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Contract No. 14-07-91152; The Swedish Resarch Council; U. S. Department of Energy under Contracts Nos. DE-FG02-04ER41291, DE-FG02-05ER41374, de-sc0012069, DESC0010118; U.S. National Science Foundation; University of Groningen (RuG) and the Helmholtzzentrum fuer Schwerionenforschung GmbH (GSI), Darmstadt; WCU Program of National Research Foundation of Korea under Contract No. R32-2008-000-10155-0.

[1] Y. Fang, G. Rong, H. L. Ma and J. Y. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 10 (2015).
[2] Z. Bai *et al.* (MARKIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1011 (1991).
[3] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **608**, 24 (2005).
[4] D. Besson *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **80**, 032005 (2009); J. Y. Ge *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **79**, 052010 (2009).
[5] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), "Study of dynamics of $D^+ \to \bar{K}^0(\pi^+\pi^-)e^+\nu_e$ and $D^+ \to \pi^0 e^+\nu_e$ decays", publication in preparation.
[6] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **92**, 112008 (2015).
[7] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C **37**, 123001 (2013); Phys. Lett. B **753**, 629 (2016).
[8] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **614**, 345 (2010).
[9] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **92**, 072012 (2015).
[10] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **506**, 250 (2003).
[11] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward and Z. Was, Comp. Phys. Commu. **130**, 260 (2000); Phys. Rev. D **63**, 113009 (2001).
[12] E. Barberio, Z. Was, Comput. Phys. Commun. 79, 291 (1994).
[13] E. A. Kureav and V. S. Fadin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **41**, 466 (1985), Yad. Fiz. **41**, 733 (1985).
[14] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **462**, 152 (2001); R. G. Ping, Chin. Phys. C **32**, 599 (2008).
[15] K. Nakamura *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G **37**, 075021 (2010) and 2011 partial update for the 2012 edition.
[16] J. C. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 034003 (2000).
[17] D. Becirevic and A. B. Kaidalov, Phys. Lett. B **478**, 417 (2000).
[18] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
[19] H. Albrecht *et al.* (ARGUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **241**, 278 (1990).