

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Climate change fosters the decline of epiphytic *Lobaria* species in Italy

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1641204> since 2021-11-14T20:39:37Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.08.003

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Climate change fosters the decline of epiphytic *Lobaria* species at the southern border of their European range

Juri Nascimbene^{1*}, Gabriele Casazza², Renato Benesperi³, Immacolata Catalano⁴, Daniela Cataldo⁵, Maria Grillo⁵, Deborah Isocrone⁶, Enrica Matteucci⁶, Silvia Ongaro², Giovanna Potenza⁷, Domenico Puntillo⁸, Sonia Ravera⁹, Luciana Zedda¹⁰, Paolo Giordani¹¹

¹Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Padova, Italy
²DISTAV, Università di Genova, Italy
³Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Firenze, Italy
⁴Dipartimento ARBOPAVE, Università di Napoli Federico II, Italy
⁵Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Ecologiche ed Ambientali, Università di Catania, Italy
⁶Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Università di Torino, Italy
⁷Dipartimento di Biologia, Difesa e Biotecnologie Agro-Forestali Università della Basilicata, Italy
⁸Museo di Scienze Naturali della Calabria ed Orto Botanico, Università della Calabria, Italy
⁹DiBT, Università del Molise, Italy
¹⁰BIO-Diverse, Bonn, Germany
¹¹DIFAR, Università di Genova, Italy

*Corresponding author: E-mail: juri.nascimbene@unipd.it

Running title: Impact of climate change on forest epiphytes

Abstract

Similarly to other Mediterranean regions, Italy is expected to experience dramatic climatic changes in the coming decades. Do to their poikilohydric nature, lichens are among the most sensitive organisms to climate change and species requiring temperate-humid conditions may rapidly decline in Italy, such in the case of the epiphytic *Lobaria* species that here reach the southern border of their distributional range and are bounded to humid forests. Our study, based on ecological niche modelling of occurrence data of the three *Lobaria* species occurring in Italy, revealed that in the next decades climate change will impact their distribution range across Italy, predicting a steep gradient of increasing range loss across time slices. *Lobaria* species are therefore facing a high extinction risk associated with fragmentation and reduction of their range. The current patterns indicate that only *L. pulmonaria* still has a continuous distribution across Italy, with potential contact between Apennine and Alpine populations. This situation is consistent with the wider climatic niche of this species, still offering a major opportunity for its successful long-term conservation. Results (a) claim for the inclusion of the three *Lobaria* species in European conservation policies, such as the Habitat Directive, and (b) warn against an over-estimation of the indicator power of single flagship species to establish conservation priorities for lichens, indicating that even lichens with peculiar and similar climatic envelopes may fail to co-occur within a given forest stand. A multiple indicator approach could provide more useful tools for a community-based conservation strategy for epiphytes.

Keywords: climatic niche, epiphytic lichens, global change, habitat suitability, niche modelling, range loss

1. Introduction

Climate is among the main drivers of species distribution, determining latitudinal and altitudinal patterns of species diversity at global level (Hawkins et al., 2003; O'Brien 1993, 2006; Vetaas, 2006). There is increasing evidence indicating that climatic conditions are globally changing, posing one of the greatest threats to biodiversity (Bellard et al., 2014). For this reason, in recent decades the effect of global change is among the main topics in conservation science.

Do to their poikilohydric nature, lichens are among the most sensitive organisms to climate change (Nascimbene & Marini, 2015; Giordani et al. 2014). In particular, changing climatic conditions are expected to impact forest epiphytic lichens (Bassler et al., 2015; Nascimbene & Marini, 2015), contributing to the local extinction of several species and causing changes to community composition (e.g. Aragón et al., 2012). In a poikilohydric organism the water content tends to reach equilibrium with that of the surrounding environment (Proctor & Tuba 2002). Lichen physiology is closely coupled to ambient temperature and moisture, which influence thallus water saturation and desiccation (Green et al., 2008; Gauslaa et al., 2012; Merinero et al., 2014). Both water and temperature directly control relevant eco-physiological processes influencing growth rates and species distribution (Insarov & Schroeter, 2002; Nascimbene & Marini, 2015). Increasing ambient temperature may impact lichens due to increased respiratory carbon losses (Schroeter et al., 2000). Moreover, high temperature influences thallus rewetting and water content, inducing frequent and severe desiccation events that hinders the photosynthetic activity (Insarov & Schroeter, 2002). These warming-related effects could be therefore exacerbated by poor precipitations (Hawkins et al., 2003). Similarly to other Mediterranean regions, peninsular Italy is expected to experience dramatic climatic changes in the coming decades, with increasing frequency and decreasing intensity of warm and cold days, along with an increase in the frequency and intensity of drought (IPCC, 2013, 2014). This climatic pattern could seriously impact the biota of the Mediterranean basin that is among the most important hotspots of biodiversity at the global level (Médail & Quézel, 1999).

In this framework, many lichens that require temperate-humid conditions may rapidly decline in Mediterranean regions, such in the case of the epiphytic *Lobaria* species that are among the most threatened lichens in Europe (Nascimbene et al., 2013a; Otalora et al., 2015). In Italy, three *Lobaria* s. lat. species (Stenroos et al., 2003; Högnabba et al., 2009) reach the southern border of their distributional range (Yoshimura, 1971; Widmer et al., 2012; Zalewska & Bohdan, 2012) and are mainly bounded to humid, undisturbed, forests that buffer sub-optimal macroclimatic conditions (Merinero et al. 2014; Nascimbene et al., 2013a). The Italian populations are critical for the long-term conservation of *Lobaria* species in Europe since this region hosts the most important post glacial refugia and the main centres of genetic differentiation (e.g. for *L. pulmonaria*; Widmer, et al., 2012). Under these circumstances, Italy has a strong responsibility at the European level for the conservation of the species.

Our study is based on ecological niche modelling of the three Italian epiphytic *Lobaria* species. In particular, we focused on (a) the analysis of the climatic niches of the species under current conditions. This would allow to investigate the climatic envelopes of the three species, assessing the degree of climatic overlapping among them, and the relationship between climatic niche features and the geographic distribution patterns of (co-) occurrence of the species; (b) the prediction of their future distribution patterns under climate change scenarios. This would allow to estimate possible range losses and gains and spatial-temporal patterns of population dynamics under the impulse of climate change. This combined approach would provide a tool for supporting the development of proactive strategies to prevent and mitigate climate change impacts (Pereira et al., 2010; Parmesan et al., 2011), enhancing effective local conservation for these epiphytic species. The study is therefore intended to address two main questions: 1) do the climatic niches of the three species differ under current climatic conditions, and does this determine different spatial patterns of habitat suitability? 2) how projected climate change will impact the current habitat suitability of the three *Lobaria* species?

2. Methods

2.1 The study species and occurrence data

Lobaria pulmonaria (L.) Hoffm. is a mainly temperate, holarctic tripartite species with sexual and vegetative dispersal strategies (Scheidegger, 1995), functional differentiation (reproductive and meristematic) of thallus lobes (Scheidegger et al., 1998; Giordani & Brunialti, 2002), large thallus size and thickness that enhances the lichen's water holding capacity (Merinero et al. 2014; Table 1).

Lobaria amplissima (Scop.) Forssell is a mainly European species of mild-temperate climates. It has internal cephalodia and a shrubby cyanobacterial photomorph attached to the foliose green algal photomorph

(Stenroos et al., 2003). Both photomorphs may develop independently. The rosette-forming thalli of *L. amplissima* are more substrate-adherent than those of *L. pulmonaria*. *L. amplissima* reproduces only by ascospores (sexual reproduction).

Lobarina scrobiculata (Scop.) Nyl. mainly occurs in temperate regions of Europe and North America with high amounts of annual rainfall. Its thallus is smaller than that of the other two species and it has cyanobacterial photobionts exclusively (Merinero et al. 2014). *L. scrobiculata* mainly reproduces by vegetative propagules, while sexual reproduction rarely occurs.

Species occurrence data were obtained from a national database including all available records of the three selected species in Italy. We removed identical occurrence records using ENMTools (Warren et al., 2010; see also Warren & Seifert, 2011).

2.2 Climatic variables

Nineteen bioclimatic variables were downloaded from the WorldClim database website (<http://www.worldclim.org>) at a 30-s (i.e., about 1×1 km) spatial resolution (Hijmans et al., 2005) for the extent of Italy. Climatic variables related to temperature and precipitation was assumed to be important for limiting the distribution of lichen species. To reduce the multicollinearity between predictors and to minimize model overfitting, we performed a pairwise Pearson correlation between bioclimatic predictors and we retained predictors that showed a high relative contribution to the Ecological Niche Models (ENMs) and were not highly correlated to each other ($r \leq |0.70|$; see recommendation of Elith et al., 2006). Six variables were retained for the analyses: BIO2—Mean Diurnal Range, BIO4—Temperature Seasonality, BIO8—Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter, BIO9—Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter, BIO 13—Precipitation of Wettest Month and BIO15—Precipitation Seasonality.

2.3 Scenarios of climate change

Two greenhouse gas emission scenarios (GESs: A2 and B1) were selected to assess plausible future conditions based on a range of human activities over the next few decades. Scenario A2 describes a heterogeneous world with regionally oriented economic development. This scenario projects rapid population growth that will reach 15 billion by the year 2100; per capita, economic growth and technological change are slower than in the other previously developed scenarios. Scenario B1 describes a convergent world with the global population peaking mid-century and declining thereafter, but with rapid change in the world's economic structure toward a service and information economy, with reductions in the intensity of material consumption and the introduction of clean and resource-efficient technologies. The emphasis in this scenario is on finding global solutions that allow economic development that are socially and environmentally sustainable, including improved equity, but without additional climate initiatives. We used climatic projections from two internationally recognized general circulation models (GCMs): HADCM3 and ECHAM5. To explore future changes in the potential range of the three species analysed here, we extracted the climate predictors for the years 2020, 2050 and 2080.

2.4 Ecological niches of the species

Niche divergence between species could be the result of an effective niche differentiation in the E-space that translates into the occupation of different habitats in the G-space. Niche divergence could also reflect differences in the relationships between the climatic variables across different regions of geographical space (Broennimann et al., 2012; Theodoridis et al., 2013). Values of environmental variables were extracted for all the occurrences of each species and inter-species divergence was examined. For this, we used a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test to assess differences among species along each climatic variable independently. Kernel density plots were used to visualize the distribution of each variable.

Species occurrence data and the six bioclimatic variables were used for the construction of ENMs for each species with Maxent 3.3.3e (Phillips et al., 2006). We used 70% of the occurrence records for each species to calibrate the model and 30% to test it, a common practice in ecological studies (Phillips et al., 2006). All other parameters were set to a default value. The performance of the models was assessed using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC; Hanley & McNeil, 1982).

Niche breadth in G-space was estimated by applying Levins inverse concentration metric (1968) as implemented in ENMTools to the resulting sets of suitability scores; these were standardized so that the minimum possible niche breadth within this space was 0 (indicating that only one grid cell in the geographical space has a nonzero suitability) and the maximum niche breadth was 1 (where all grid cells are equally suitable). Selection of an appropriate background area is critical to the analysis of niche similarity

(Warren et al., 2010), and consequently we created different background areas to account for the effects of different backgrounds on the modelling process. We followed two different approaches. The first approach uses the output of the ENM of each species' dataset to create a baseline threshold that maximizes the sum of sensitivity and specificity of the test data (Liu et al., 2005), and these in combination (common background).

The second approach uses a 10-, 20- and 30-km buffer zone around the occurrence points of each species. All analyses were performed in R statistical software (R Development Core Team, 2005) using the set of functions provided in Broennimann et al. (2012).

3. Results

3.1 Species occurrence and co-occurrence in Italy

We collected 548, 270 and 156 occurrence records for *L. pulmonaria*, *L. amplissima* and *Lobarina scrobiculata*, respectively, from 660 1×1 km cells in Italy (Table 2). In most cases (572 cells) only one of the three species was reported, whereas a partial overlap of two species was observed in 71 cells. The co-occurrence of the three species was only observed in 17 cells, corresponding to a very low percentage with respect to the overall distribution of the species in Italy. *L. amplissima* co-occurred with one and/or both other species in 48% of its geographic range, in contrast with what observed for *L. pulmonaria*, which co-occurred with the other taxa in only 15% of the cells. Data for *L. scrobiculata* are intermediate between the other species, co-occurring with them in 39% of its range.

3.2 Niche differentiation under current conditions

The climatic requirements of the three species were quite similar (Fig. 1). The Schoener's D values were always >0.7, corresponding to a high degree of niche overlap (Rödder & Engler 2011). Nonetheless, measures of three out of the six climatic variables (BIO4, BIO8 and BIO15) differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) for *L. pulmonaria* vs. *L. amplissima*, while measures for only one bioclimatic variable (BIO13) of *L. scrobiculata* differed significantly from those of the other two species.

Models inferred from occurrence records predicted the distribution of the three species. Cross-validated AUC values for all models were high (>0.9) and low test omission rates indicated an excellent model performance. For all three species, core areas with the highest predicted occurrences were represented by the Northern Apennine and by montane areas of Southern Italy (Fig. 2). *L. pulmonaria* showed a considerably wider potential distribution across Italy compared with the other two species. Its occurrence was predicted in the lowest montane areas of the Alps, along the Apennines and in the mountains of Sicily and Sardinia. The predicted distribution of *L. amplissima* and *L. scrobiculata* indicated severe fragmentation of their distribution in central Italy and the Alps. However, the climatic suitability value of these species seemed to be higher than that of *L. pulmonaria* in montane areas of Sardinia and Sicily.

Tests for niche similarity in the geographical space supported high niche similarity (> 0.75), and generally statistically significant overlaps were observed in all pairwise comparisons between the three species (Table 3). As far as the niche breadth was concerned, *L. amplissima* showed the lowest niche breadth (0.23), whereas the niche breadths of *L. pulmonaria* and *L. scrobiculata* were quite similar (0.34 and 0.35, respectively).

3.3 Predicted effects of climate change

Both A2 and B1 scenarios predicted a similar drastic decrease of the range of the three species from current conditions to 2080 (Fig. 2), with slight differences depending on the scenario involved. Therefore, climate change is expected to impact the distributional range of the three species (Fig. 3) that showed strong temporal trends for all descriptors. The average percentage of range loss (RL) for *L. amplissima* was 44.9%, 58.1% and 79.0% for 2020, 2050 and 2080, respectively (Fig. 3a). The trend for *L. scrobiculata* was quite similar with a predicted RL = 74.0% in 2080 when compared with current conditions. The estimated average RL for *L. pulmonaria* at the three time slices was even higher, increasing from 48.5% to 85.6% from 2020 to 2080. On the contrary, the three species are expected to gain a moderate percentage of areas with suitable climate in 2020, with the range gain (RG) ranging from 12.8% for *L. amplissima* to 19.3% for *L. scrobiculata* (Fig. 3b). The RG for all species tended to decrease in 2080 with *L. amplissima* gaining the smallest area (5.3% compared to current conditions). As a consequence, the range change (RC) is expected to be strongly negative for all species in 2020 and beyond (Fig. 3c), with the RC being the largest (-24.1%) for *L. scrobiculata* and the least (-34.7%) for *L. pulmonaria*. In 2050, the three species are expected to have a negative RC involving as much as half of their current predicted range, with the highest RC for *L. pulmonaria* (-55.6%). In 2080, the three species would have lost almost 3/4 of their current predicted range

on average, but relevant differences would occur with the smallest RC (−78.7) for *L. pulmonaria* and the largest (−65.7%) for *L. scrobiculata*. All three species showed a predicted range turnover (RT) >50% for 2020 (Fig. 2d), with the average expected to exceed 80% in 2080, and the greatest RT (86.7%) for *L. pulmonaria*.

When focusing on the sites where the species currently occur, a future reduction of the climatic suitability for all the species was estimated (Fig. 4). For both scenarios of global change (A2 and B1), the mean predicted occurrence of the species at those sites will be always <0.5 after 2020. The A2 scenario predicted a drastic decrease, independent of the species, in 2080 with the probability of occurrence being ca. 0.1 for all species. The B1 scenario produced similar results, even though the reductions from 2050 to 2080 would be less pronounced when compared with those predicted basing on the A2 scenario; by 2080, the climatic suitability would be slightly higher for all three species.

4. Discussion

Our study revealed that, despite they rarely co-occur, the three epiphytic *Lobaria* species have similar climatic envelopes and that predicted climate changes in the Mediterranean basin are fostering their decline at the southern border of their European range. However, a wider climatic niche, which is likely related to specific functional traits, may have allowed *L. pulmonaria* to reach and maintain a more widespread and less fragmented distribution across Italy as compared with the other two species. This would give more chances for long-term conservation if protection policies are rapidly adopted. Details on these general findings are discussed in the following sections arranged according to the two main questions addressed in this study.

4.1 Do the climatic niches of the three species differ under current climatic conditions, and does this determine different spatial patterns of habitat suitability?

Despite belonging to the same epiphytic community composed of species related to sub-oceanic conditions (Barkman, 1958), the three *Lobaria* species rarely co-occurred at the same sites in Italy. To explore this phenomenon, we tested whether the climatic niches of these species differ under current climatic conditions and if the dissimilarity could explain divergent spatial patterns of their habitat suitability. The species showed large overlaps for most of the considered climatic variables, except for some variables for which differences between species were observed both for the amplitude of the range and for optimal values along given climatic gradients. For example, *L. amplissima* and *L. pulmonaria* significantly differed in three analysed climatic variables, the former preferring conditions with a stronger oceanic influence. However, our results did not support the hypothesis that differences in the climatic niche of the three species could have determined distinct distributional patterns in Italy. In fact, similarity test indicated that the observed niche overlap is not due to habitat similarity in the regions occupied by the species, but more probably to a similar habitat selection (Warren, al., 2008; Broennimann, al., 2012). This outcome is in accordance with a possible competitive exclusion mechanism (Armstrong & McGehee 1980) which may have determined the current divergent distribution of the three species in Italy. Among them, *Lobaria pulmonaria* seems to have a higher competitiveness, as indicated by its wider distribution pattern across Italy, with potential contact between Apennine and Alpine populations. This situation is consistent with the wide climatic niche of this species that is likely fostered by peculiar functional traits. *L. pulmonaria* has both green-algal and cyanobacterial photobionts, as well as sexual and vegetative dispersal strategies (Scheidegger, 1995), functional differentiation (reproductive and meristematic) of thallus lobes (Scheidegger et al., 1998; Giordani & Brunialti, 2002), and large thallus size and thickness that enhance its water holding capacity (Merinero et al., 2014). These traits might have supported a higher ecological adaptability of *L. pulmonaria* compared to *L. amplissima* and *L. scrobiculata* that is reflected by a more widespread distribution across Italy.

4.2 How projected climate change will impact the current habitat suitability of the three *Lobaria* species?

All the indicators considered in this study (range loss, gain, change, and turnover) suggest that in the next decades climate change will significantly impact the distribution range of the three *Lobaria* species across Italy. Models under climate change scenarios predict a steep gradient of increasing range loss and range turnover across time slices for these species, indicating that they are rapidly facing a high extinction risk associated with an additional fragmentation and reduction of their range of predicted occurrence. Concurrently, range gain will decrease to nearly zero, causing a highly negative change in their range. This pattern is corroborated by the dramatic loss of predicted occurrences in the sites in which the species were actually recorded.

The forecasted impact of climate change is even more severe for *L. pulmonaria* than for the other two species, predicting that by 2080 its climatic suitability in the geographical space will be reduced down to 15% of the current size. Under the effects of climate change, the decline of *L. pulmonaria* seems to accelerate in the near future and this could override the species buffering capability related to its ecological adaptability.

This situation suggests that the current distribution pattern of *L. pulmonaria* in Italy may mask an extinction debt (Tilman et al., 1994) that will be rapidly paid in the coming decades. Conversely, the less pronounced pattern of decrease of the other two *Lobaria* species and their more fragmented and restricted distribution may indicate that they have already paid a large part of their extinction debt. This contrasting situation could be associated with the higher sensitivity to climate change of *L. amplissima* and *L. scrobiculata* as corroborated by our results indicating a higher sensitivity of these species to specific climatic factors such as temperature and precipitation seasonality.

5. Conclusions

Our findings stake a claim for a rapid inclusion of the three *Lobaria* species in conservation policies designed to protect threatened organisms, such in the case of the Habitat Directive (European Commission, 1992). The patterns of current distribution and predicted temporal dynamics of these species across Italy suggest that they are susceptible to a steep decline driven by climate change that would drastically reduce their ability to survive at the southern border of their European range that, at least for *L. pulmonaria*, corresponds to the main centre of genetic differentiation (Widmer et al., 2012).

We are aware that predictions on how species will respond to climate change are based on coarse-grained climate surfaces or idealized scenarios of uniform warming (Ashcroft et al., 2009) that may lead to incorrectly estimate the risk of extinction because they neglect to consider spatially heterogeneous warming at the landscape scale, or fail to identify refugia where species can persist despite unfavourable regional climatic conditions. However, climate change may interact with local factors exacerbating the impact of stand level forest management (Nascimbene et al., 2013b). This may result in even steeper declining patterns than those predicted in our study and would urgently deserve the adoption of the precautionary principle invoked by the Habitat Directive (European Commission, 1992).

For *L. amplissima* and *L. scrobiculata*, the situation might already be destined to move toward extinction in their Italian range that is caused by extensive range loss and habitat fragmentation, which are usually connected with the loss of genetic variability (Widmer et al., 2012). This precarious situation emphasizes the vulnerability of these species to local stochastic changes related to both natural and anthropogenic impacts. *L. pulmonaria* may experience a predicted decline in the coming decades that is even more abrupt and severe. However, its current relative commonness across Italy still provides a major opportunity for its successful long-term conservation, given that local protection measures would be soon adopted. In this perspective, the strict protection of well-established populations and the improvement of local habitat quality and connectivity by near-to-nature silviculture should be adopted, at least in Natura 2000 sites that are prioritized for nature conservation (European Commission, 1992).

It has been demonstrated that, at the local scale, stands with large populations of *L. pulmonaria* are core areas for many lichens of conservation concern, suggesting that their protection could also enhance the conservation of these species (e.g. Nascimbene et al., 2010). However, our results warn against an over-estimation of the indicator power of a single flagship species, indicating that even lichens with peculiar and similar climatic envelopes may fail to co-occur within a given forest stand, hampering effective large scale conservation. This definitely suggests that conservation policies targeting single species may be inadequate for lichens, while a multiple indicator and multiple scale approach (e.g. Giordani, 2012) could provide more useful tools for a community-based conservation strategy for epiphytes.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of the "Lobaria project" promoted by the Working Group for lichen ecology of the Italian Lichen Society.

References

- Aragón, G., Martínez, I., García, A., 2012. Loss of epiphytic diversity along a latitudinal gradient in southern Europe. *Science of the Total Environment* 426, 188-195.
- Armstrong, R.A., McGehee, R. 1980. Competitive exclusion. *American Naturalist* 115, 151-170.
- Ashcroft, M.B., Chisholm, L.A., French, K.O., 2009. Climate change at the landscape scale: predicting fine-grained spatial heterogeneity in warming and potential refugia for vegetation. *Global Change Biology* 15(3), 656-667.
- Barkman, J.J., 1958. *Phytosociology and Ecology of Cryptogamic Epiphytes*, Assen.
- Bässler, C., Cadotte, M.W., Beudert B., Heibl C., Blaschke M., Bradtka J.H., Langbehn T., Werth S., Müller J., 2015. Contrasting patterns of lichen functional diversity and species richness across an elevation gradient. *Ecography* 38, 001-0010.
- Bellard, C., Leclerc, C., Courchamp, F., 2014. Impact of sea level rise on the 10 insular biodiversity hotspots. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 23, 203-212.
- Broennimann, O., Fitzpatrick, M.C., Pearman, P.B., Petitpierre, B., Pellissier, L., Yoccoz, N.G., Thuiller, W., Fortin, M.J., Randin, C., Zimmermann, N.E., Graham, C.H., Guisan, A., 2012. Measuring ecological niche overlap from occurrence and spatial environmental data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 21, 508-512.
- European Commission, 1992. Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. *Off J L* 206, 7-50.
- Elith, J., Graham, C.H., Anderson, R.P., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., Hijmans, R.J., Huetteman, F., Leathwick, J.R., Lehmann, A., Li, J., Lohmann, L.G., Loiselle, B.A., Manion, G., Moritz, C., Nakamura, M., Nakazawa, Y., Overton, J.M., Peterson, A.T., Phillips, S.J., Richardson, K., Scachetti-Pereira, R., Schapire, R.E., Soberón, J., Williams, S., Wisz, M.S., Zimmerman, N.E., 2006. Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography* 29, 129-151.
- Gauslaa, Y., Coxson, D.S., Solhaug, K.A., 2012. The paradox of higher light tolerance during desiccation in rare old forest cyanolichens than in more widespread co-occurring chloro- and cephalolichens. *New Phytologist* 195 (4), 812-822.
- Giordani, P., 2012. Assessing the effects of forest management on epiphytic lichens in coppiced forests using different indicators. *Plant Biosystems* 146, 628-637.
- Giordani, P., Brunialti, G., 2002. Anatomical and histochemical differentiation in lobes of the lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria*. *Mycological Progress* 1(2), 131-136.
- Giordani, P., Incerti, G., Rizzi, G., Rellini, I., Nimis, P.L., Modenesi, P., 2014. Functional traits of cryptogams in Mediterranean ecosystems are driven by water, light and substrate interactions. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 25, 778-792.
- Green, T.G.A., Nash III, T.H., Lange, O.L., 2008. Physiological ecology of carbon dioxide exchange. *Lichen biology* (ed. by T.H. Nash III), pp. 152-81. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hanley, J.A., McNeil, B.J., 1982. The meaning and use of the area under areceiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology* 143, 29-36.
- Hawkins, B.A., Field, R., Cornell, H.V., Currie, D.J., Guégan, J.-F., Kaufman, D.M., Kerr, J.T., Mittelbach, G.G., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E.M., Porter, E.E., Turner, J.R.G., 2003. Energy, water, and broad-scale geographic patterns of species richness. *Ecology* 84, 3105-3117.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* 25, 1965-1978.
- Högnabba, F., Stenroos, S., Thell, A., 2009. Phylogenetic relationships and evolution of photobiont associations in the Lobariaceae (Peltigerales, Lecanoromycetes, Ascomycota). *Bibliotheca Lichenologica* 100, 157-187.
- Insarov, G., Schroeter, B., 2002. Lichen monitoring and climate change. *Monitoring with lichens, Monitoring lichens* (ed. by P.L. Nimis, C. Scheidegger, P. Wolseley), pp. 183-201. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- IPCC, 2013. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (ed. by T.F. Stocker, D. Qin., G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, P.M. Midgley). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA
- IPCC, 2014. *Summary for policymakers. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (ed. by C.B. Field, V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J.

376 Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma,
377 E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, L.L. White). Cambridge University Press,
378 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

379 Levins, R., 1968. Evolution in changing environments. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 120 pp.

380 Liu, C., Berry, P.M., Dawson, T.P., Pearson, R.G., 2005. Selecting thresholds of occurrence in the prediction
381 of species distributions. *Ecography* 28, 385-393.

382 Médail, F., Quézel, P., 1999. Biodiversity hotspots in the Mediterranean Basin: Setting global conservation
383 priorities. *Conservation Biology* 13, 1510-1513.

384 Merinero, S., Hilmo O., Gauslaa, Y., 2014. Size is a main driver for hydration traits in cyano and
385 cephalolichens of boreal rainforest canopies. *Fungal Ecology* 7, 59-66.

386 Nascimbene, J., Brunialti G., Ravera S., Frati L, Caniglia G., 2010. Testing *Lobaria pulmonaria* (L.) Hoffm.
387 as an indicator of lichen conservation importance of Italian forests. *Ecological Indicators* 10, 353-360.

388 Nascimbene, J., Benesperi, R., Brunialti, G., Catalano, I., Dalle Vedove, M., Grillo, M., Isocrono, D.,
389 Matteucci, E., Potenza, G., Puntillo, D., Puntillo, M., Ravera, S., Rizzi, G., Giordani, P., 2013a.
390 Patterns and drivers of β -diversity and similarity of *Lobaria pulmonaria* communities in Italian
391 forests. *Journal of Ecology* 101, 493-505.

392 Nascimbene, J., Thor, G., Nimis, P.L., 2013b. Effects of forest management on epiphytic lichens in
393 temperate deciduous forests of Europe - a review. *Forest Ecology and Management* 298, 27-38.

394 Nascimbene J., Marini, L., 2015. Epiphytic lichen diversity along elevational gradients: biological traits
395 reveal a complex response to water and energy. *Journal of Biogeography* 42, 1222-1232.

396 O'Brien, E.M., 1993. Climatic gradients in woody plant species richness: towards an explanation based on
397 an analysis of Southern Africa's woody flora. *Journal of Biogeography* 20, 181-198.

398 O'Brien, E.M., 2006. Biological relativity to water-energy dynamics. *Journal of Biogeography* 33, 1868-
399 1888.

400 Otalora, M., Belinchion, R., Prieto M., Aragón, G., Izquiereo P., Martínez, I., 2015. The threatened epiphytic
401 lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria* in the Iberian Peninsula: Genetic diversity and structure across a
402 latitudinal gradient. *Fungal Biology* 119, 802-811.

403 Parmesan, C., Duarte, C.M., Poloczanska, E., Richardson, A.J., Singer, M.C., 2011. Overstretching
404 attribution. *Nature Climate Change* 1, 2-4.

405 Pereira, H.M., Leadley, P.W., Proenca, V., Alkemade, R., Scharlemann, J.P.W., Fernandez-Manjarres, J.F.
406 et al. 2010. Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st century. *Science* 330, 1496-1501.

407 Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modelling of species geographic
408 distributions. *Ecological Modelling* 190, 231-259.

409 Proctor, M.C.F., Tuba Z., 2002. Poikilohydry and homoiohydry: antithesis or spectrum of possibilities? *New*
410 *Phytologist* 156: 327-349.

411 R Development Core Team. 2005. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
412 for Statistical Computing. Vienna. <<http://www.R-project.org>>.

413 Rödder, D., Engler, J.O., 2011. Quantitative metrics of overlaps in Grinnellian niches: advances and possible
414 drawbacks. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 20, 915-927.

415 Scheidegger, C., 1995. Early development of transplanted isidioid soredia of *Lobaria pulmonaria* in an
416 endangered population. *Lichenologist* 27(5), 361-374.

417 Scheidegger, C., Frey, B., Walser, J.C., 1998. Reintroduction and augmentation of populations of the
418 endangered *Lobaria pulmonaria*: methods and concepts. *Lobarion lichens as indicators of the*
419 *primeval forest of the Eastern Carpathians* (ed. by S.Kondratyuk, B.Coppins), pp. 33-52. Darwin
420 International Workshop, 25-30 May 1998, Kostrino, Ukraine.

421 Schroeter, B., Kappen, L., Schulz, F., Sancho, L.G., 2000. Seasonal variation in the carbon balance of
422 lichens in the maritime Antarctic: long-term measurements of photosynthetic activity in
423 *Usnea aurantiaco-atra*. *Antarctic ecosystems: models for wider ecological understanding* (ed. by W.
424 Davison, C. Howard-Williams, P. Broady), pp. 258-262. Caxton Press, Christchurch.

425 Stenroos, S., Stocker-Wörgötter, E., Yoshimura, I., Myllys, L., Thell, A., Hyvönen, J., 2003. Culture
426 experiments and DNA sequence data confirm the identity of *Lobaria* photomorphs. *Canadian Journal*
427 *of Botany* 81(3), 232-247.

428 Theodoridis, S., Randin, C., Broennimann, O., Patsiou, T., Conti, E., 2013. Divergent and narrower climatic
429 niches characterize polyploid species of European primroses in *Primula* sect. *aleuritica*. *Journal of*
430 *Biogeography* 40 (7), 1278-1289.

431 Tilman, D., May, R.M., Lehman, C.L., Nowak, M.A., 1994. Habitat destruction and the extinction debt.
 432 Nature 371, 65-66.

433 Vetaas, O.R., 2006. Biological relativity to water-energy dynamics: a potential unifying theory? Journal of
 434 Biogeography 33, 1866-1867.

435 Yoshimura, I., 1971. The genus *Lobaria* of Eastern Asia. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 34,
 436 231-338.

437 Warren, D.L., Glor, R.E., Turelli, M., 2008. Environmental niche equivalency versus conservatism:
 438 quantitative approaches to niche evolution. Evolution 62, 2868-2883.

439 Warren, D.L., Glor, R.E., Turelli, M., 2010. ENMTools: a toolbox for comparative studies of environmental
 440 niche models. Ecology 91, 607-611.

441 Warren, D.L., Seifert, S.N., 2011. Ecological niche modeling in Maxent: the importance of model
 442 complexity and the performance of model selection criteria. Ecological Applications 21, 335-342.

443 Widmer, I., Dal Grande, F., Excoffier, L., Holderegger, R., Keller, C., Mikryukov, V.S., Scheidegger, C.,
 444 2012. European phylogeography of the epiphytic lichen fungus *Lobaria pulmonaria* and its green algal
 445 symbiont. Molecular Ecology 21(23), 5827-5844.

446 Zalewska A., Bohdan A., 2012. New records of *Lobaria amplissima* (Lobariaceae, Ascomycota. in Poland.
 447 Acta Mycologica 47(1), 109-119.

448

449 **Table 1.** Functional traits of the three *Lobaria* s. lat. species occurring in Italy.
 450

	<i>Lobaria pulmonaria</i>	<i>Lobarina scrobiculata</i>	<i>Lobaria amplissima</i>
Reproductive strategy	Gamic and agamic (by isidia and/or soredia)	Agamic by soredia; gamic (rare)	Gamic
Photobiont type	Chlorococcoid algae (main); Cyanobacteria (in internal cephalodes)	Cyanobacteria	Chlorococcoid algae (main); rarely Cyanobacteria, (in external cephalodes)
Growth form	Foliose (leaf-like lobes)	foliose (concave and rounded lobes)	foliose (rounded lobes)

451
 452
 453

454 **Table 2.** Occurrence and co-occurrence of the three selected *Lobaria* s. lat. species from a 30 arcsec cell grid
 455 in Italy.
 456

Species occurrence in a grid cell	No. cells	Species Pair /Species	No. cells
3 species	17	<i>L. pulmonaria</i> + <i>L. scrobiculata</i> + <i>L. amplissima</i>	17 (3.5% - 10.8% - 13.8%)
2 species	71	<i>L. pulmonaria</i> + <i>L. scrobiculata</i>	29 (6.0% - 18.3%)
		<i>L. pulmonaria</i> + <i>L. amplissima</i>	27 (5.6% - 22.0%)
		<i>L. scrobiculata</i> + <i>L. amplissima</i>	15 (9.5% - 12.2%)
1 species	572	<i>L. pulmonaria</i>	411 (84.9%)
		<i>L. scrobiculata</i>	97 (61.4%)
		<i>L. amplissima</i>	64 (52.0%)


457
 458
 459

460 **Table 3.**Results of niche similarity tests in geographical space among species at two resolutions.
461 Backgrounds are defined by each taxon' ecological niche model set to a baseline threshold that maximizes
462 the sum of sensitivity and specificity of the test data (ENM), by the combination of ENM of each taxon
463 (ENM CB), and by applying 10-km buffer zones around the occurrence points of each species. Significant
464 results are indicated by 'less' for significant decreasing niche overlap or 'more' for significant similarity
465 between the two species. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$.
466


	Schoener's D	Common background	10 km buffer
<i>L. pulmonaria</i> - <i>L. amplissima</i>	0.757	more**,more**	ns; more**
<i>L. pulmonaria</i> - <i>L. scrobiculata</i>	0.833	more**,more**	more**,more**
<i>L. amplissima</i> - <i>L. scrobiculata</i>	0.750	more**,more**	more**,ns

467 ** $P \leq 0.01$; * $P \leq 0.05$; ns, $P \geq 0.05$.
468
469

470 **Figure 1**-Kernel density plots of the six climatic variables for the three species of *Lobaria* s. lat. in Italy .
 471 Differentiation among species and the results of Kruskal- Wallis tests are indicated in each plot. A lack of
 472 significant difference (at the P = 0.05 level) is indicated by an equal sign and same letter, while significant
 473 differences are indicated by either higher or lower signs and different letters. Pulm = *Lobaria pulmonaria*;
 474 ampl = *Lobaria amplissima*; scro = *Lobarina scrobiculata*.




476 **Figure 2-** Predicted habitat suitability in Italy for the three epiphytic *Lobaria* species, according to the
477 Maxent models under current climatic conditions: A) *Lobaria pulmonaria*; B) *Lobarina scrobiculata*; C)
478 *Lobaria amplissima*.
479



480
481
482


483 **Figure 3** - Projected impacts of climate change on the distribution of the three species of *Lobaria* s. lat. in
 484 Italy as predicted using two climate change scenarios (A2 and B1), for three time periods (2020, 2050 and
 485 2080). (A) Percentage of range loss (RL); (B) percentage of range change (C) ; (C) percentage of range gain
 486 (RG) and (D) percentage of range turnover (T). The black line represents the median, black circle represents
 487 the mean, edge box correspond s to the first and third quartiles (the 25th and 75th percentiles), whiskers are
 488 1.5 * IQR (where IQR is the inter-quartile range).
 489



490

491

492 **Figure 4** -Predicted occurrence of the three *Lobaria* s. lat. species in the 30 arcsec grid cells where the *taxa*
 493 have been actually observed in the current conditions. Predictions were carried out using two climate change
 494 scenarios (A2 and B1), for three time periods (2020, 2050 and 2080).The black line represents the median,
 495 black circle represents the mean, edge box correspond s to the first and third quartiles (the 25th and 75th
 496 percentiles), whiskers are 1.5 * IQR (where IQR is the inter-quartile range).
 497



498
 499