

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Robot ensembles for grafting herbaceous crops

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1646010> since 2017-07-31T21:43:26Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.02.012

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in BIOSYSTEMS ENGINEERING, 146, 2016, 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.02.012.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.02.012

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1537511016000234>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1646010>

Robot ensembles for grafting herbaceous crops

Lorenzo Comba^a, Paolo Gay^{a,b,*}, Davide Ricauda Aimonino^a

^a DI.S.A.F.A. – Università degli Studi di Torino, 2 Largo P.Braccini, 10095 Grugliasco (TO)
– Italy

^b CNR-IEIIT, 24 Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 10129 Torino – Italy

*e-mail of corresponding author: paolo.gay@unito.it

ABSTRACT

Legislation and regulation is increasingly limiting the use of pesticides or chemical fumigants to counteract soil-borne pathogens. Therefore the use of disease resistant grafted plants is increasing. However, the grafting of herbaceous crops is a labour-intensive technique, with consequent costs. These aspects have encouraged the development of automated machines able to increase productivity and rooting success rate while reducing costs. This paper presents an innovative solution for automatic grafting of vegetable crops, suitable for small to medium sized farms. The concept is to use a group of cooperative robots, adaptable in number, to meet workload specifications. The machine consists of one or more grafting units, able to cut and join scions and rootstocks, and a supplying and sorting system based on an ensemble of single-axis, identical and independent robotic modules, displacing on a unique rail, which coordinates the movement and the selection of scions, rootstocks and grafted plants. Overall productivity is given by the number of grafting units, the number of robotic modules implemented in the supply system, and the efficiency of the control and task allocation strategy. Together with the description of the innovative aspects of the machine mechanics, designed to face intrinsic variability in vegetable objects, the objective of this paper was to present the ensemble synthesis of the control policies, based on heuristic scheduling priorities, to allocate and coordinate the team of robots to a set of spatially distributed tasks.

Keywords: Robotics, Vegetable grafting, Automation

37 Nomenclature

WS	Working Station
WS-A	Seedling loading area
WS-B	Vision and classification system
WS-C	Scions feeding zone to the grafting unit
WS-D	Zone devoted to feeding the grafting unit with rootstocks and to releasing the grafted shoots
WS-E	Unloading area for grafted plants
G	Sliders guide
g_i	i – th equal length guide segment
N_G	Number of equal length segments g_i , constituting the guide G
l_S	One slot width
p_i	i – th independent slider
P	Set of independent sliders
N_P	Number of independent sliders
s_i	i – th slot housed on a slider
S	Set of slots housed on sliders p_i , with $i = 1, \dots, N_P$
N_S	Number of slots housed on each slider p_i
N_H	Number of slots housed on all the sliders $p_i \in P$
t_k	k – th time instant
$\ \cdot\ _\infty$	ℓ_∞ norm
$\ \cdot\ _1$	ℓ_1 norm
$M_{p_i}(t_k)$	Set of N_S adjacent guide segments occupied by the slider p_i at time t_k
$V(t_k)$	Vector state of the system at time t_k
$v_i(t_k)$	Discrete position of slot s_i on the rail at time t_k
$\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1})$	j – th new sliders configuration obtained with one-segment long movements of the set of sliders S , at time t_{k+1}
$\mathbb{V}(t_{k+1})$	Set of all possible movement combinations \tilde{V}_j , at time t_{k+1}
$\mathbb{V}_F(t_{k+1})$	Set of all feasible movement combinations
V^*	Chosen new sliders configuration
$H(V(t), I(t))$	Cost function of the heuristic search
h_i	i – th heuristic, with $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, constituting H
$I(t)$	Binary vector collecting the <i>immediate requests</i> of the system
T_m	m – th task that the supply system can perform (<i>immediate request</i>)
\mathbb{T}	Set of all the <i>immediate requests</i>
N_T	Cardinality of \mathbb{T}
$r_i(t_k)$	State of i – th <i>immediate request</i> at time t_k
q_{T_m}	Position on the guide of the T_m task (one of the WSs)
Q	Set of all the WSs positions
$w(s_i, T_m)$	Weighing function of the heuristic h_1 and h_2
S_{T_m}	Set of slots suitable for the task T_m
W	Queue of vector state V^*
n_r	Number of pixels rows of the image acquired by vision system
n_c	Number of pixels columns of the image acquired by vision system
(x_0, y_0)	Coordinates of the stem bottom end
(x_c, y_c)	Coordinates of the midpoints of the stem in correspondence to the cotyledons node

α	Average tilt angle of the stem
----------	--------------------------------

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40

41 Grafting of vegetable seedlings is a horticultural practice that was developed in East Asia in
 42 the early 19th century, in order to counteract huge crop losses due to infection of soil borne
 43 diseases in intensive cultivation. The grafting process consists in attaching scions of the crop
 44 to be reared onto more vigorous rootstocks, which absorb soil nutrients making them
 45 available for scion's growth. Since the adoption of this technique in Europe, which began in
 46 the 1990s, the number of grafted seedlings used in commercial vegetable production is
 47 constantly increasing, thanks to the many derived benefits. Indeed, the adoption of robust
 48 rootstocks enhances seedlings tolerance to abiotic stress, like thermal, humidity and water
 49 stress in harsh environments (Schwarz et al. 2010), as well as the resistance to soil-borne
 50 diseases and nematodes (Louws et al. 2010). The improved adaptive capabilities to
 51 unfavourable soil and environmental conditions increase crop yield, from both quantitative
 52 and qualitative points of view, reducing at the same time the amount of needed chemical
 53 treatments during the growth cycle (Edelstein et.al. 1999). This last aspect complies with
 54 recent European Community directives, which are increasingly limiting the use of pesticides
 55 or chemical fumigants, such as methyl bromide, to counteract soil-borne pathogens. It also is
 56 in accordance with current policies for developing organic, more sustainable practices, and
 57 environmentally friendly agriculture.

58 The adoption of disease resistant grafted plants (e.g. tomatoes or peppers) is a promising
 59 technique although it is labour consuming and involves tedious repetitive actions, such as the
 60 selection of compatible shoots, cutting and applying the best graft. These aspects have
 61 encouraged the development of automated machines, in order to increase the productivity and
 62 the rooting success rate while reducing costs, allowing grafting to be more economically
 63 sustainable.

64 The first semi-autonomous prototypes for grafting were developed in the 1990s (Honami,
 65 Taira, Murase, Nishiura, & Yasukuri, 1992; Kubota, McClure, Kokalis-Burelle, Bausher, &
 66 Roskopf, 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Oda, 1995) and were only able to perform a limited number
 67 of the operations required to obtain a complete grafted seedling and they usually required the
 68 supervision of at least three expert workers to feed the machine and to check the quality of
 69 the products produced (e.g. Helper Robotech, 2015; Iseki, 2015; Kang, Han, Noh, & Choi,
 70 2005). The selection of scion and rootstock couples, which need to be compatible in terms of

71 their diameters, is typically performed by trained operators but, in this paper, an artificial
72 vision-based sorting system is proposed, in order to automatise all the processing phases.

73 Recently, a new generation of fully automated grafting robots has been developed in Europe.
74 These machines reach higher performances, producing even more than one thousand
75 However, these machines are rather complex, are designed for large-scale production and
76 require heavy investment from farmers. These factors make them unsuitable for typical
77 Mediterranean nurseries. For these reasons, in this context, this operation is still carried out
78 manually in almost all cases.

79

80 To tackle this lack of technology, a national research project in Italy (PRIN, 2013) was
81 financed to conceive and develop an innovative machine specifically designed for small and
82 medium Mediterranean farms (Belforte et al., 2006). The design objectives of the machine
83 were simplicity, reliability, ease of maintenance and cleaning, and cost-effectiveness, relying
84 on automation and control for the fulfilment of performance specifications.

85 This paper presents the innovative aspects of the mechanics and of the discrete-events
86 controls of the machine, designed to handle the intrinsic variability of vegetal objects,
87 maintaining the lowest possible level of complexity. The proposed solution is based on an
88 ensemble of cooperative robots, constituted by single-axis independent sliders moving on a
89 passive rail, which supply and sort plants to the grafting units, which are constituted by two
90 independent pneumatic manipulators, a couple of blade cutters and a clip feeder system. The
91 number of independent sliders can be varied and can be determined (by simulation) for
92 optimal operation under a given expected workload.

93 The challenge is to obtain high performance from the ensemble by synthesising the control
94 policies to allocate and coordinate the team of robots to a collection of spatially distributed
95 tasks.

96 This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the design solutions adopted for the
97 different robotic components of the machine (supplying, sorting and grafting subsystems) and
98 the overall layout. Optimisation and control algorithms are presented in section 3, while the
99 artificial vision system and algorithms for seedlings classification are reported in section 4.
100 Simulated and experimental results are presented in section 5 and discussed in section 6.
101 Some animations reporting the results of the machine processes simulations are also available
102 on the journal website as additional material. Finally, conclusions and future developments
103 are discussed in section 7.

104

105

106 2 Design

107

108 2.1. General description

109 The fragmentation of the Mediterranean area horticulture market prevents the diffusion of the
110 already existing grafting machine, suitable for the medium-large nurseries of the northern
111 Europe. The following design objectives and specifications were detected and necessary to
112 develop an automatic grafting machine suitable for small and medium-size nurseries:

- 113 • simplicity: the machine should operate in greenhouses, using standard trays and
114 facilities;
- 115 • reliability, robustness and ease of use, maintenance, and cleaning: insensitive to dirt,
116 soil and water, the operators should have access to every part of the machine without
117 requiring disassembly, with the possibility of quickly replacing damaged components;
- 118 • productivity and costs: scalable and compatible with small and medium size nurseries,
119 it should work without involving operators for supervision and general supplying;
- 120 • modular structure: a machine layout able to adapt to the required workload of different-
121 sized nurseries.

122 Developed on the base of these specification, the prototype (Fig. 1) can be described in three
123 main parts: (1) a supply system, (2) a vision-based sorting system and (3) one or more
124 grafting units.

125 Unlike other machines (see e.g. Iseki, 2015; Kobayashi, Suzuki & Sasaya, 1999 and Chiu,
126 Chen & Chang, 2010) which typically use two independent supply routes or sub-systems
127 (one for scions and one for rootstocks) and another for the grafted seedling, this solution, is
128 characterised by a single supply system which handles at the same time scions, rootstocks
129 and the complete seedlings. The developed system is based on a number of independent
130 single-axis robotic modules, hereafter also referred to as *sliders*, which move on a single rail.
131 Each slider is equipped with a stand with several holding cavities (*slots*), allowing to
132 simultaneously host several shoots during the slider deployment along the guide (Fig. 2).
133 During the machine operations, each slot can indiscriminately host a scion, a rootstock or a
134 grafted plant. Since the effectiveness of the grafting operation is enhanced by processing
135 seedlings with isometric diameters, a vision system was developed in order to classify
136 incoming shoots in two (or more) stem diameter classes. The supply systems must therefore
137 be able to provide two fitting stems belonging to the same class to the grafting units. Each
138 grafting unit consisted of two independent pneumatic manipulators that pick the seedlings
139 from sliders, cut and join scions and rootstocks together, and release the grafted plants onto a

140 slider with one free slot (Belforte & Eula, 2012). The guide areas devoted to
 141 loading/unloading shoots to/from sliders have been denominate working station (WS).

142

143 In detail, the following WS were designed (Fig. 1):

- 144 - seedling loading area (WS-A),
- 145 - vision and classification system (WS-B),
- 146 - scions feeding zone to the first grafting unit (WS-C₁),
- 147 - zone devoted to feeding the first grafting unit with rootstocks and to releasing the
- 148 grafted shoots (WS-D₁),
- 149 - scions feeding zone to the N -th grafting unit (WS-C _{N}),
- 150 - zone devoted to feeding the N -th grafting unit with rootstocks and to releasing the
- 151 grafted shoots (WS-D _{N}),
- 152 - the unloading area for grafted plants (WS-E).

153 The prototype is fed by two seedlings trays, one for scion and one for rootstock. The loading
 154 procedure is performed by a handling system devoted to pick seedlings by needle-pliers from
 155 the trays and place them onto a slider at the receiving position on the rail (WS-A). The choice
 156 between requesting a scion or a rootstock, to be picked from the incoming trays, is performed
 157 with the objective of maintaining the ratio of rootstocks hosted on the sliders over the 20%
 158 compared to the total amount of hosted seedlings.

159 In the overall process, seedlings, once loaded on the sliders, are moved to WS-B to be
 160 classified by the vision system and then, if a couple of seedlings (one for scion and one for
 161 rootstock) are detected and if the grafting unit is ready, the supply system will deliver them to
 162 WS-C and WS-D respectively. Once a slider has been unloaded of a shoot, it is free to move
 163 again and, when both scion and rootstock are loaded, the grafting unit starts the processing
 164 cycle. After the grafting procedure, the grafting unit obtains the grafted shoot available in
 165 WS-D and then a slider delivers it to the last station WS-E for the unloading procedure. In
 166 WS-E, the solution adopted for unloading grafted shoots is the same as the one adopted in
 167 WS-A, where pick & place operations can performed using the clips nowadays used in high-
 168 performance transplanting machines (e.g. Hu et al., 2014; Urbinati, 2015).

169 In the case where it becomes impossible to find a class match between roots and scions
 170 already carried, the supply system also act as a buffer. This property is achieved by
 171 predisposing each slider to host several seedlings. In this way, by adequately coordinating the
 172 sliders, it is possible to reach size matching between scions and rootstock, even if the
 173 respective incoming shoots present different diameters. In the (very) unlikely case that all the
 174 slots are hosting shoots classified as incompatible, a procedure is provided to bring back a

175 shoot and to free up a slot that can be used in the loading area, thereby avoiding a machine
176 stall condition.

177 The single rail solution, designed to pursue the structure's simplicity, forces sliders not to
178 change their relative order so that, in the undesired case that the first slider is required in the
179 last station WS-E, all the other sliders must be moved passing it. The essential objective of
180 the control policy is to assign tasks to the sliders avoiding (or at least strongly limiting) this
181 unwanted, time-consuming eventuality.

182

183 **2.2 The supply and sorting system**

184 A structure of bars sustains a linear steel guideway on which several sliders shift horizontally.
185 The driving force to the sliders is provided by an on-board stepper motor coupled with a
186 single fixed belt, shared by all the sliders. Each driver is equipped with an encoder which
187 controls slider position and an external proximity sensor which performs additional motion
188 safety verifications. At the lower edge of a steel bracket, a polystyrene stand is positioned to
189 hold seedlings. Determination of the optimal number of slots on each independent slider, and
190 their total quantity was the object of the design optimisation process described in sections 4
191 and 6. Details of the design and implementation of the single slider can be seen in Fig. 2.

192 Slider displacement along the guide is coordinated by a central unit, according to the request
193 of the grafting unit and of the other machine subsystems, which manages the interaction with
194 the handling system for incoming trays, the vision system, one or more grafting units and the
195 outflow handling system for grafted seedlings. Target positions of each slider are assigned to
196 the stepper motor drivers by an RS-485 serial bus, also used to read out the instantaneous
197 position provided by the on-board encoders. An ArduinoMega 2560 (Smart Project srl,
198 Scarmagno, Italy) microcontroller was adopted to manage the digital inputs and outputs of
199 the handshaking signals with the grafting units, as with the two handling systems. The vision
200 system is directly linked to the control software by using the USB communication standard,
201 as shown in Fig. 3.

202

203 **3 Supply system: control and task assignment algorithms**

204 The overall performance of the machine depends on how efficiently and timely the sliders
205 carry the seedlings through the working stations A-E. The upper performance boundary is
206 obtained when the grafting units operate continuously, without any delay in supplying. This
207 is pursued if the supply system is able to manage seedlings diameter variability (finding
208 proper scion-rootstock couplings) while managing logistics. In fact, at any instant a WS can
209 signal an *immediate request* (Psaraftis, 1980) to be served by a slider for loading/unloading or

210 classification, which forces a timely rescheduling of the current slider movements. The online
 211 arrival of requests by the WS, with the need to redirect moving sliders to new destinations
 212 nearby and the consequent request for real-time knowledge of sliders position, transforms the
 213 original problem of static routing of the sliders to a dynamic problem, which is somewhat
 214 similar to the dynamic vehicle routing problem (Pillac et al., 2013) or the taxi-dispatcher
 215 problem (Zion et al., 2014). Dynamic routing problems require making decisions very
 216 quickly, imposing a balance between reactivity and quality of the decision. This task can
 217 be performed periodically by solving a static problem corresponding to the current state of
 218 the machine, either at fixed time intervals or whenever a new WS immediate request arises. A
 219 FIFO queue has been used to process possible concurrent received requests. During
 220 rescheduling, a slider sent to a given destination may be re-routed to another destination on
 221 the base of the new priority set of incoming request.

222 More generally, the problem of control and optimal coordination of multi-robot systems,
 223 composed by a group of identical robots, operating on shared or neighbouring workspaces
 224 with real-time requests, has been considered in different contexts (Durrant-Whyte, Roy &
 225 Abbeel, 2012). Comba, Belforte & Gay (2013) and Bozma & Kalaliloglu (2012) presented
 226 novel approaches for pick-and-place tasks performed by a team of identical robots operating
 227 on a conveyor band. In these cases, the goal was to plan and assign tasks to each robot to pick
 228 (and place in a secondary package) as many of the products moving in the workspace, as
 229 soon as they are identified and classified by an artificial vision system (Bozma & Yalcin,
 230 2002). Zion et al. (2014) proposed a method for planning the harvesting order and the task
 231 assignment for a multi-arm robotic melon harvester. Here, a number of Cartesian
 232 manipulators were mounted in parallel on a rectangular frame that traverses laterally across
 233 the crop bed, even if, as in this case, they do not share the same workspace. The objective
 234 was to develop a method for planning the assignment of melons to be harvested by each of a
 235 number of arms, in a collaborative way, to maximise the amount of collected fruit.

236
 237

238 **3.1 Problem formulation and optimisation**

239 The supply system can be modelled as a discrete-event system, where the state vector is
 240 constituted by the position of the slots on the rail. Firstly, considering the guide G virtually
 241 discretised in $N_G \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ equal length segments $g_i \in G$, $i = 1, \dots, N_G$, each one corresponding
 242 to one slot width l_S . A set of independent sliders $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_{N_P}\}$, $N_P \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, are mounted on
 243 the rail. Since the same quantity $N_S \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ of slots is housed on each slider $p_i \in P$, the holding

244 capacity of the supply system turns out to be provided by the set of slots $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{N_H}\}$,
 245 with $N_H = N_P \cdot N_S$. At any time instant t_k a slider $p_i \in P$ occupies a set of N_S adjacent
 246 segments $M_{p_i}(t_k) = \{g_j, \dots, g_{j+N_S-1}\} \subset G$, with $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_G - N_S + 1\}$. With this
 247 assumption, the vector state of the system can be formally expressed as:

$$V(t_k) = [v_1(t_k), \dots, v_{N_H}(t_k)]^T, \quad (1)$$

248 where $v_i(t_k) \in G$ is the (discrete) position of the slot s_i on the rail.

249 The method proposed here to determine the best assignment of sliders displacement on the
 250 rail is based on a greedy-type optimisation algorithm, which pursues the optimal solution of
 251 the problem through a sequence of steps. In this case, the planning of slider movement is
 252 divided in one-segment l_S long movements, making the choice that looks best at that
 253 moment.

254 More in detail, at each step (time t_{k+1}), all possible movement combinations $\mathbb{V}(t_{k+1})$ are
 255 generated and then the most performing one is discerned among all these feasible solutions
 256 $\mathbb{V}_F \subset \mathbb{V}$ of the problem. Starting from an initial configuration $V(t_k)$ of the sliders on the rail,
 257 the adopted strategy consists in computing a set

$$\mathbb{V}(t_{k+1}) = \left\{ \tilde{V}_j : \|\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}) - V(t_k)\|_\infty \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, n \right\} \quad (2)$$

258
 259 of all possible candidate new configurations

$$\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}) = [v_{j,1}(t_{k+1}), \dots, v_{j,N_H}(t_{k+1})]^T \quad (3)$$

260 obtained with one-segment long movements of the set of sliders S .

261 This set of solutions is thinned out by considering only feasible configurations, verifying the
 262 fulfilment of all physical constraints of the system, e.g. the impossibility of sliders to be
 263 located in the same place or to swap each other on the rail. The chosen sliders displacement
 264 $V^* \in \mathbb{V}_F$ is determined using a heuristic search by minimizing a cost function $H(V(t), I(t))$,
 265 which evaluates the goodness of the configuration $V(t)$ of each slider on the basis of the
 266 particular state of the *immediate requests* (e.g. move a slider with a free slot to WS-A to
 267 receive a new seedling from the incoming tray, etc.), collected in the binary vector

$$I(t) = [r_1(t), \dots, r_{N_T}(t)]^T \quad (4)$$

268 with $N_T = \text{card}(\mathbb{T})$ and \mathbb{T} the set of all the tasks that the supply system can perform.

269 Henceforth, for simplicity, a supply system serving a sole grafting unit is considered, but the
 270 discussion can be extended to the case of multiple grafting units by adapting the set of tasks
 271 \mathbb{T} that can be requested accordingly.

272 The computation of $H(V(t), I(t))$ mainly depends on the distances between the sliders and
 273 their temporary target positions, the five working stations A-E (positioned along the guide in
 274 $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_5\}$, $q_i \in G$), but also on the priority assigned to the several tasks. In detail, the
 275 cost function is composed by the linear combination of three chosen heuristics h_1, h_2 , and h_3 ,
 276 which depend on the particular set of temporary requested tasks $I(t)$. Weighing the terms of
 277 $H(V(t), I(t))$ it is possible to take into account the priorities assigned to the various tasks \mathbb{T}
 278 which, in the case of a single grafting unit, follows this heuristically determined priority list
 279 (in descending order of priority):

- 280 - displacement of a slider with a free slot in WS-D to receive a grafted seedling if the
 281 grafting module has completed a cycle;
- 282 - provide a scion to WS-C or a rootstock to WS-D if the grafting module is idle and it
 283 is also waiting for seedlings;
- 284 - if the grafting module is working, get a slider close to WS-D in order to make it
 285 ready to receive a grafted seedling at the end of the grafting procedure;
- 286 - move the slider with a grafted shoot to WS-E for unloading procedure;
- 287 - if a couple of compatible scions and rootstocks are present on the sliders, start to
 288 move them near to WS-C and WS-D respectively in order to prearrange the next
 289 grafting cycle loading phase;
- 290 - move the sliders hosting unclassified scions and/or rootstocks to WS-B in order to
 291 assign it/them to a stem diameter class;
- 292 - in case of all the slots saturation with incompatible shoots (buffering capacity
 293 saturation), move a slider to WS-A to free up a slot with the unloading procedure;
- 294 - push a slider with a free slot to WS-A to receive a new seedling from the incoming
 295 tray (scion or rootstock).

296 Each task $T_m \in \mathbb{T}$ is associated with a WS, positioned in $q_{T_m} \in Q$, but, obviously, several
 297 different tasks can take place in the same WS.

298 A proper weighing function $w(s_i, T_m)$ has also been defined to privilege some sliders s_i for a
 299 specific task T_m . For example, this is the case of WS-A that should preferably be served by
 300 the first sliders (see on the left in Fig.2) while, with the same approach, the last WSs by
 301 sliders p_i with greater index i . Indeed, if the grafted shoot to be picked up in WS-D and
 302 delivered in WS-E was being performed by the slider p_i , the movements of all the subsequent
 303 sliders p_j , $j > i$, would be limited during this operation. Similarly, in WS-A the first sliders
 304 are favoured to receive a scion, while the last ones a rootstock, in order to obtain sliders that

305 host seedlings correctly prearranged for the following feeding phase of the grafting module in
 306 WS-C and WS-D.

307 These considerations are formalised in the following three heuristics:

$$h_1(\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}), T_m) = \sum_{i \in S_{T_m}} |v_{j,i}(t_{k+1}) - q_{T_m}| \cdot w(s_i, T_m) \quad (5)$$

308 which accounts for the sum of the distances of all the slots S_{T_m} , suitable for the task T_m , from
 309 the working station placed in $q_{T_m} \in Q$;

310

$$h_2(\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}), T_m) = \min_{i \in S_T} (|v_{j,i}(t_{k+1}) - q_{T_m}| \cdot w(s_i, T_m)) \quad (6)$$

311 which identify the closest (suitable) slot for the task T_m , and then

$$h_3(\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}), V(t_k)) = \frac{\|\tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}) - V(t_k)\|_1}{N_H}. \quad (7)$$

312 expressed to privilege the slider configuration $\tilde{V}_j \in \mathbb{V}_F$ which requires fewer sliders
 313 movements.

314 Path planning of the sliders is periodically repeated whenever the sliders have almost
 315 completed a segment-long movement and/or (asynchronously) whenever the state $I(t)$ of the
 316 system is updated, as in the case of a WS new request. In order to assure the required fluency
 317 to the sliders movements, the control unit must send the new target position to the slider
 318 drivers with sufficient time advance, by allowing the blending procedure between the old and
 319 the new velocity trajectories before the current target has been reached. To speed up this task,
 320 a short queue of vector state $W = \{V^*(t_{k+1}), V^*(t_{k+2})\}$ was introduced with the aim of
 321 planning the slider's movements two steps (of length l_s) ahead compared with its current
 322 position $V(t_k)$. Each target state vector $V^*(t_j)$ is determined by the optimisation procedure
 323 on the base, as starting system status, of the previous configuration $V^*(t_{j-1})$. As described in
 324 the flowchart in Fig. 4, the control unit, in the case of the 1-step movement almost completed
 325 at time t_k , sends the motion targets collected in $V^*(t_{k+1})$ to the motor drivers and then
 326 updates the queue W by removing the employed vector state $V^*(t_{k+1})$ and inserting
 327 $V^*(t_{k+3})$. In the eventuality of new requests $I(t)$, the entire queue W should be rescheduled.

328

329 **4 Vision-based sorting system**

330 For successful grafting, seedlings need to be classified in terms of their stem diameter.
 331 However, there are also other morphological parameters, in particular the position of
 332 cotyledons node and the stems inclination that should be assessed to ensure proper operation

333 of the machine as a whole. The grafting unit, in fact, takes seedlings in fixed positions in
334 respect to the slider slots by using its auto centring grippers which have a maximum opening
335 of 10 mm. Seedlings too tilted may not to be gripped and scions with cotyledons which are
336 too low would not be correctly processed. Less critical is the forward or backward seedling
337 inclination, since a small centring device leads the stems into a proper position during
338 gripping.

339

340 **4.1. Computer vision system**

341 The implemented image acquisition system consisted of a HP webcam HD 2300 digital
342 camera (Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P., Houston, Texas, U.S.), with
343 1280×720 pixels resolution, coupled with a 120 x 120 x 30 mm backlighting panel (equipped
344 with a 12×6 matrix of cold white LEDs), positioned at a distance of 80 mm and 40 mm from
345 the centre of the sliders slots, respectively (Fig.5). Backlighting produces a greater contrast
346 between the seedling and the background than other lighting systems, with a consequent
347 greater accuracy in stem diameter measurement (Ashraf et al., 2011). Furthermore, seedlings
348 sorting is carried out only on the basis of their morphological features, hence no colour
349 information, which would be lost with backlighting, is needed. The whole system was
350 installed along the guide and covered, allowing sliders movement, with a plastic panel to
351 shield external light. Acquired images were stored in TIFF format and directly processed as a
352 task of the implemented control system.

353

354 **4.2. Image processing**

355

356 The developed algorithm consists of three main steps: (1) image pre-processing and
357 segmentation, (2) cotyledons node detection, and (3) morphological parameters assessment
358 (cotyledons node height, stem tilt angle and diameter).

359 In the first step, the acquired image was converted to grey scale format and cropped to
360 eliminate the stems of the seedlings placed in the neighbouring slots, adopting a cropping
361 window whose width corresponds to that of a single slot (about 35 mm). A fixed threshold
362 was then applied to the segmentation; as steady lighting conditions allow excluding an
363 automatic thresholding. The result is a binary image in which the value '0' is assigned to the
364 background (white pixels) whereas the seedling elements (black pixels) have value '1' (Fig.
365 6.a). This image can be treated as a matrix, with n_r rows and n_c columns, with a direct
366 correspondence between pixels and matrix elements.

367 The identification of the lowest part of the stem is the first phase of cotyledons node
 368 detection. Considering the binary image, most pixels close the bottom edge have value '1'
 369 (black pixels), because they represent the slider border and/or a portion of the transplanting
 370 plug (Fig. 6.a). The initial part of the stem was found by counting the number of black pixels
 371 along the rows from the bottom edge of the image. The stem began in correspondence with
 372 row y_0 where the number of black pixels fell in a range of 30-50 pixels. This row was the
 373 starting point for stem identification, which was carried out by the iterative process described
 374 in Fig. 7. At each iteration, the left and right edges of the stem, on the i -th row, are detected
 375 from the stem midpoint of the row $i - 1$ obtained at the previous step. The column indexes (x
 376 coordinates) of the stem edges and midpoint are then progressively stored in an array ($n_c \times$
 377 3). In Fig. 6.b, the result obtained at the end of the iterative process is shown. As can be
 378 observed, the algorithm identifies the stem profile, whereas cotyledons and most leaves were
 379 not detected. Only a portion of the true leaves was still visible in the top-side of the figure,
 380 but the region around the cotyledons node was well defined. The position of the cotyledons
 381 node was determined by going along the midline of the stem from bottom up. The stem
 382 midline is practically continuous up to the cotyledons node where, owing to stem
 383 lengthening, an evident discontinuity can be observed (Fig 6.b).

384 After the localisation of the cotyledons node, the required morphological features were
 385 assessed as follows. The average tilt angle α of the stem, with respect to the vertical axis, was
 386 calculated as

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{x_c - x_0}{y_c - y_0} \quad (8)$$

387 where (x_c, y_c) and (x_0, y_0) are the coordinates of the midpoints of the stem in
 388 correspondence to the cotyledons node and the stem bottom end, respectively (Fig. 6.c).

389 The height of the cotyledons node with respect to the plug is given by the difference of the
 390 vertical coordinates $(y_c - y_0)$ of the same points, while a procedure similar to that proposed
 391 in Ashraf et al. (2011) was adopted to determine the diameter of the stem, considering five
 392 equidistant points below the cotyledons node (Fig. 6.c).

393 The algorithm was tested both by preliminary trials and during the experimentation of the
 394 whole system. All seedlings were correctly sorted in terms of stem diameter and tilt angle;
 395 only in few cases (less than 4%) the position of the cotyledons node was erroneously
 396 detected. In particular, this error was due to the partial overlapping of cotyledons of a
 397 neighbouring seedling with the stem of the analysed one.

398
 399

400 **5 Optimal system design**

401

402 The supply system solution with a single passive rail requires to properly tune a set of supply
403 system and control strategy parameters in order to maximise the overall machine
404 performance, in terms of grafting and success rates, respecting movement constraints of the
405 modules ensemble which are unable to swap each other along the rail. Moreover, the choose
406 of the proper number N_P of sliders and the optimal quantity N_S of slots on each slider is
407 crucial to enhance process productivity without compromising mechanical simplicity,
408 limiting the overall rail length and the final machine cost.

409 The design of the supply and sorting system was achieved with the aid of a simulation
410 framework, which allows for the performance evaluation of a broad spectrum of supply
411 system configurations during long working sessions. Also, the effectiveness of different
412 control strategies was investigated with the simulation tool, helping with the development of
413 an algorithm that properly manages sliders movements.

414 Each component of the grafting machine was modelled separately, timing the working cycles
415 and operations. The mean time required by the grafting unit to join a scion and a rootstock
416 was experimentally determined timing 100 grafting cycles, which took an average time of 8.1
417 s to complete. The scions and rootstocks loading procedure to the grafting module (in WS-C
418 and WS-D), constituted by the grip arm elongation, the nipper closing to hold the seedling
419 stem and the elevation to extract the plug from the slot, was performed in 0.8 s. The same
420 time was required to release a complete grafted plant into an empty slot in WS-D, at the end
421 of the grafting procedure. Concerning the loading and unloading systems, 3.7 s was the time
422 taken for a pick & place cycle for picking up a seedling from the incoming trays and then
423 placing it onto a supply system slider (in WS-A) and finally delivering a grafted plant to the
424 outgoing tray (from WS-E).

425 A first prototype with $N_P = 3$ and $N_S = 1$ was developed to investigate the dynamic
426 behaviour of the mechanical components adopted in building the supply system. According
427 to the discrete-event approach, the average travelling time taken by a slider to cover one l_S
428 length segment (referred also as *step*) is required to simulate the sliders translation along the
429 guide. Since a segment long move could be part of a longer slider total movement to reach
430 the target position, a step could be a complete movement or the first, middle or last part of the
431 total movement. For this task, four different cases were considered:

- 432 1. slider starts the step with a null starting velocity and stops after it has covered the l_S
433 length segment (acceleration and deceleration);

- 434 2. slider enters the guide segment with a starting velocity and stops at the end of the
435 step (deceleration);
- 436 3. slider shifts with constant velocity, keeps moving after the step (constant velocity);
- 437 4. slider starts the step with a null starting velocity and keeps moving after the l_S length
438 segment (acceleration);

439 To determine the travelling times in these four cases, a set of experimental trials has been
440 conducted using the pilot prototype. Each trial consisted in randomly moving the sliders
441 along the guide for a 20-min long session, during which more than 10000 timings were
442 collected. This test was repeated with several maximum velocity settings, in order to also
443 investigate the upper limit performance of the overall mechanical system, in terms of servo
444 response and measured sliders velocity. The effect of the communication delay between the
445 control unit and each motor driver was also decisive to this limit. In Fig. 8, it can be seen how
446 the best velocity, during slider movement, was obtained with the maximum switching
447 frequency of the stepper motor set to 1250 Hz, where sliders cover one l_S length segment in
448 an average time of 0.061 s, which corresponds to a linear velocity of 0.41 ms^{-1} . Dynamic
449 performances are conditioned to total allowed cost of the system. In this case, as will be
450 discussed in the next section, a reduced cost solution was prioritised, obtaining these
451 reference features.

452 In this work, design optimisation was conducted for the case of a supply system serving a
453 single grafting unit. Simulations have been performed with all the possible configurations
454 generated by the combination of different numbers of sliders N_P (1 to 6) and slots N_S (1 to 7).
455 The shoots on the incoming trays, both for scions and rootstock, were randomly generated
456 using different stem diameters, and the same trays were processed by all the simulated
457 machine configurations. All the other parameters (e.g. positions of WS A to E on the guide,
458 control strategy, heuristic weight and parameters, etc.) were also fixed in all the simulations,
459 ensuring that the results were comparable. Every supply system configuration has been tested
460 with 10 repetitions of 60-min-long simulations, in order to obtain more reliable results, since
461 the simulated process was affected by the distribution of the stem diameters of the incoming
462 seedlings. In particular, the simulations were carried out classifying stem diameters,
463 generated with a uniform distribution probability, in two size classes (A and B).

464 The developed modelling framework, including both the supply system model and the control
465 algorithms, was implemented in Matlab[®] (The MathWorks[™], Natick, Massachusetts, U.S.)
466 and simulations were carried out on a 3.2 GHz Quad-Core Intel Xeon server with 8 GB of
467 RAM memory (1066 MHz DDR3 ECC).

468

469 6 Results

470

471 Machine performance was evaluated by defining the *grafting rate*, expressed as the number
 472 of grafted shoots processed per minute. While maximising the grafting rate, the machine
 473 design should also minimise the “*unmatching*” rate, which is the number of shoots that must
 474 be returned to the incoming trays (or discarded) in the eventuality that all the sliders slots are
 475 hosting scions and rootstocks with incompatible stem sizes. An illustrative example of this
 476 procedure can be seen in the animation M1.avi, available as additional material in the online
 477 version of the paper, at time 26’:05” and 26’:15” [min:s]. The notation adopted in the
 478 animation is explained in Fig. 9 where an annotated frame from the movie is shown. Values
 479 reported in Table 1 represent the average grafting rate of a set of 10 simulations performed
 480 with the same machine configuration and different incoming trays of seedlings. The best
 481 grafting rate was obtained with a supply system with an ensemble of $N_p = 5$ sliders, with
 482 $N_s = 4$ holding slots each. In this configuration, the grafting machine processes, on average,
 483 5.08 shoots min^{-1} and, considering a complete grafting time of 9.7 s (including loading and
 484 unloading times in WS-C and WS-D), the supply system assures a workload of 82.12% to the
 485 grafting unit. Important role has been played by the skill of the planning system to anticipate
 486 the request of WSSs, making sliders available, in the proper position, in advance. Examples of
 487 this feature can be seen in the movie M2.avi at times 23’:35”, 23’:45” and 23’:55”. The
 488 presence of a performance maximum, resulting from the *grafting rate* deterioration in the
 489 case of supply systems with a (too) high a number of sliders and slots, can be pinpointed to
 490 the negative interaction phenomenon occurring between sliders that, with their increasing
 491 quantity and dimensions, interferes with each other during movements. This aspect can also
 492 be confirmed by observing the influence of the buffer size during the working sessions: in
 493 Table 1, it can be seen how better performance was obtained with a total hosting capacity N_H
 494 of the supply system ranging from 16 to 20 slots. In these configurations, the buffer turns out
 495 to be properly dimensioned since the average quantity of seedlings simultaneously hosted on
 496 the sliders was near to 50% of all available slots N_H , as reported in Table 2. Considering a
 497 constructive slot width l_s equal to 25 mm, the amount of space taken up by all five sliders
 498 was 500 mm, which is about 19% of the overall guide length, a dimension comparable with
 499 the distance between two working stations. When this threshold is exceeded, the probability
 500 of undesired interaction between sliders serving two different tasks can negatively affect the
 501 overall performance of the machine. An example of this phenomenon can be observed in the
 502 animation M3.avi at times 26’:07” or 26’:18”. A buffer with a reduced capacity can be used

503 more intensively, but usage greater than 60% can lead to the possible occurrence of undesired
504 lack of stem matching between all the seedlings carried on the supply system (Table 3), a
505 phenomenon that must be avoided.

506 A final prototype of supply system was implemented according to the optimal configuration
507 found by serving a single grafting unit (Fig. 10). The geometrical dimensions of the parts of
508 the machine lead to a 2.6-m-long guideway, with the position of the working stations, from A
509 to E, positioned at $Q = \{0.5, 0.8, 1.3, 1.7, 2.1\}$ m from the beginning of the rectilinear guide.
510 The first rail portion of 0.5 m was dimensioned to allow hosting all the robotic sliders if
511 needed. Since with $N_S = 4$ each slider width was 100 mm, the last slot s_{20} could also be
512 positioned in WS-A. Similarly, at the other end of the rail, a guide section of 0.5 m was been
513 provided after the last working station, allowing slot s_1 to reach WS-E and bringing the total
514 rail length to 2.6 m.

515 To validate the results obtained by the simulator, the final prototype processed the same set
516 of (virtual) seedlings trays used during the simulations. In particular, 10 working-sessions
517 (one hour-long each) were carried out. Performances, together their standard deviations, are
518 reported in Fig.11. As can be seen, the experimental results were very close to those obtained
519 by simulation, validating this tool for design purposes.

520 Concerning the vision-based sorting, the proposed system showed a high reliability in
521 seedling classification both during preliminary tests and after its integration with the grafting
522 machine. In spite of the complexity of the scenario, due to the presence of more seedlings on
523 the same slider at short distances (less than 20 mm), the algorithm was not very sensitive to
524 the presence of parts of the neighbouring plants within the camera field of view, as well as to
525 the irregular arrangement of leaves or cotyledons. Excluding the case in which leaves were
526 completely overlapped to the stem, the proposed method for cotyledons node detection
527 appears to be more robust than the one adopted by Ashraf et al. (2011). The identification of
528 the stem considering its midline was, in fact, less affected by the presence of disturbance
529 elements with respect to counting the number of pixel with value '1' within a horizontal axis.
530 The achieved performance in terms of image processing time, about one second for each
531 image (acquisition and processing), was compatible with the timing of the whole process. On
532 the whole the developed sorting system is cost-effective, simple and reliable.

533

534 **7 Conclusions**

535

536 A new and innovative concept of prototype for vegetable grafting is presented in this paper.
537 The goal was to simplify mechanics by using an ensemble of rather simple robotic modules,

538 ensuring performances by means of an efficient path planning control algorithm based on
539 greedy-type optimisation. The adopted solution is modular and robust and can be adapted to
540 different system productivity targets. Indeed, the number of grafting units have to be suitably
541 chosen to meet the productivity level of the nursery, consequently adapting the length of the
542 single guide supply system. In the case of multiple grafting units, the heuristic, and task,
543 priorities could be redefined and tuned with an approach similar to the one proposed in the
544 paper, hence the case of grafting single unit was discussed. This operation, however, does not
545 imply expensive hardware intervention. The developed simulation framework can be
546 profitably adopted designing the supply system also in the case of different machine
547 configuration, e.g. in the case of multiple grafting unit, aiding the appropriate settlement of
548 construction parameters.

549 The design of many parameters, as e.g. the number of robotic modules and the size of
550 buffers, was obtained by optimisation, with the aid of a discrete-events simulator. These
551 parameters, as others discussed in the paper concerning the structure and the complexity of
552 the system, were determined by using extensive simulations to obtain target performances.
553 The machine handled natural variability of the shoots diameters classifying them by an
554 artificial vision system and then coupling them in the supplying phase.

555 This paper demonstrates how, under some circumstances, mechanical complexity can be
556 reduced without compromising performances by adopting advanced control algorithms.
557 Future developments will concern the improvement of the speed of the sliders, adopting more
558 performing driving motors, being aware that higher velocity leads to faster movements, but
559 also reduces the duration of the time available to elaborate planning strategies. Shorter
560 computing time can be achieved using a real-time embedded system and directly
561 implementing heavy computational part of the control algorithm, such as the image
562 processing code, in a field programmable gate array (FGPA) shield.

563

564 **Acknowledgements**

565

566 This work was partially supported by the grants of the project PRIN 2009 (prot.
567 2009FXN7HW_002), MIUR, Italy. The Authors would like to thank their Colleagues Prof.
568 G. Belforte, T. Raparelli, G. Eula and S. Sirolli, who collaborated to this project developing
569 the grafting unit (not presented in this paper).

570

571 **References**

572

573 Ashraf, M.A., Kondo, N., & Shiigi, T. (2011). Use of Machine Vision to Sort Tomato
574 Seedlings for Grafting Robot. *Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food*, 4(4), 119-
575 125

576

577 Belforte, G., Deboli, R., Gay, P., Piccarolo, P., Ricauda Aimonino, D. (2006). Robot Design
578 and Testing for Greenhouse Applications, *Biosystems Engineering*, 95(3), 309-321. doi:
579 dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2006.07.004.

580

581 Belforte, G., Eula, G. (2012). Macchina automatica per l'innesto erbaceo. *Oleodinamica*
582 *Pneumatica*, 12, 43–47.(in italian)

583

584 Bozma, I.H., Kalahoglu, M.E. (2012). Multirobot coordination in pick-and-place tasks on a
585 moving conveyor. *Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 28, 530-538,
586 doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2011.12.001

587

588 Bozma, I.H., Yalcin, H. (2002). Visual processing and classification of items on a moving
589 conveyor: a selective perception approach. *Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing*,
590 2, 125-133

591

592 Chiu, Y. C., Chen, S., Chang, Y. C. (2010). Development of a Circular Grafting Robotic
593 System for Watermelon Seedlings. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 26(6), 1077-1084.
594 doi:10.13031/2013.35904

595

596 Comba, L., Belforte, G., Gay, P. (2013). Plant layout and pick-and-place strategies for
597 improving performances in secondary packaging plants of food products. *Packaging*
598 *Technology and Science*, 26(6), 339-354, 2013. doi:10.1002/pts.1984

599

600 Durrant-Whyte H., Roy N., Abbeel P. (2012). Distributed Robot Ensemble Control for
601 Deployment to Multiple Sites. *Robotics:Science and Systems VII*, MIT Press, 201-208

602

603 Edelstein, M., Cohen, R., Burger, Y., Shriber, S., Pivonia, S., Shtienberg, D., (1999).
604 Integrated management of sudden wilt of melons, caused by *Monosporascus cannonballus*,
605 using grafting and reduced rate of methyl bromide. *Plant Disease*, 83, 1142–1145

606

607 Helper Robotech. (2015). Helper Robotech. <http://helpersys.co.kr/home/index.html>.

608

609 Honami, N., Taira, T., Murase, H., Nishiura, Y., & Yasukuri, Y. (1992). Robotization in the
610 production of grafted seedlings. *Acta Horticulture (ISHS)*, 319, 579–584.

611

612 Hu, J., Yan, X., Ma, J., Qi, C., Francis, K., Mao, H. (2014). Dimensional synthesis and
613 kinematics simulation of a high-speed plug seedling transplanting robot. *Computers and*
614 *Electronics in Agriculture*, 107, 64-72. doi:dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.06.004.

615

616 Iseki. (2015). Iseki. <http://iseki.co.jp/english/>.

617

618 Iso Groep. (2015). Iso Groep. <http://www.iso-group.nl>.

619

620 Kang, C.-H., Han, G.-S., Noh, T.-H., & Choi, H.-G. (2005). Splice Grafting Robot for Fruit
621 and Vegetable Plants. Retrieved from <http://patentscope.wipo.int>

- 622
623 Kobayashi, K., Suzuki, M., Sasaya S., (1999). Grafting robot. *Journal of Robotics and*
624 *Mechatronics*, 11(3), 213-219.
625
- 626 Kubota, C., McClure, M. A., Kokalis-Burelle, N., Bausher, M. G., & Roskopf, E. N. (2008).
627 Vegetable grafting: History, use, and current technology status in North America.
628 *HortScience*, 43(6), 1664–1669.
629
- 630 Lee, J.-M., Kubota, C., Tsao, S. J., Bie, Z., Echevarria, P. H., Morra, L., & Oda, M. (2010).
631 Current status of vegetable grafting: Diffusion, grafting techniques, automation. *Scientia*
632 *Horticulturae*, 127(2), 93–105. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2010.08.003
633
- 634 Louws F.J., Rivard, C.L., Kubota, C. (2010). Grafting fruiting vegetables to manage
635 soilborne pathogens, foliar pathogens, arthropods and weeds. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 127(2),
636 127–146. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.09.023
637
- 638 Oda, M. (1995). New grafting methods for fruit bearing vegetables in Japan. *Jap Agric.*
639 *Res.Quart.*, 29 (3), 187-194
640
- 641 Pillanc, V., Gendreau, M., Guéret, C., Medaglia, A.L. (2013). A review of dynamic vehicle
642 routing problems. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 225, 1-11.
643 doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2012.08.015
644
- 645 PRIN, 2013. Automation in horticulture, Italian National Research Program (project code:
646 2009FXN7HW)
647
- 648 Psaraftis, H. (1980). A dynamic-programming solution to the single vehicle many-to-many
649 immediate request dial-a-ride problem. *Transportation Science*, 14(2), 130-154
650
- 651 Schwarz, D., Roupheal, Y., Colla, G., Venema, J.H. (2010). Grafting as a tool to improve
652 tolerance of vegetables to abiotic stresses: Thermal stress, water stress and organic pollutants.
653 *Scientia Horticulturae*, 127, 162-171. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2010.09.016
654
655
- 656 Urbinati. (2015). Urbinati. <http://en.urbinati.com/>. Retrieved from <http://en.urbinati.com/>
657
- 658 Zion, B., Mann, M., Levin, D., Shilo, A., Rubinstein, D., Shmulevich, I. (2014). Harvest-
659 order planning for a multiarm robotic harvester. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*,
660 103, 75-81. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2014.02.008
661
662
663