

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Pharmacokinetic evaluation of oral itraconazole for antifungal prophylaxis in children

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1650598> since 2021-05-15T07:05:06Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/1440-1681.12822

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Article type : Rapid Communication

TITLE PAGE

Pharmacokinetic evaluation of oral itraconazole for antifungal prophylaxis in children.

Sarah Allegra^a, Giovanna Fatiguso^a, Silvia De Francia^b, Fabio Favata^a, Elisa Pirro^b, Chiara Carcieri^a,
Amedeo De Nicolò^a, Jessica Cusato^a, Giovanni Di Perri^a, Antonio D'Avolio^a.

a. Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacogenetics. Department of Medical Sciences, Unit
of Infectious Diseases, University of Torino, ASL Città di Torino, Amedeo di Savoia Hospital, Corso
Svizzera 164, 10149, Turin, Italy.

b. Department of Biological and Clinical Sciences, University of Turin, S. Luigi Gonzaga Hospital,
10043, Orbassano (TO), Italy.

Short title: ITC TDM in paediatrics.

Corresponding Author: Sarah Allegra (BSc, MSc); Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacology and
Pharmacogenetics*. Department of Medical Sciences, Unit of Infectious Diseases, University of
Torino, ASL Città di Torino, Amedeo di Savoia Hospital, Corso Svizzera 164 - 10149 Turin (ITALY). Tel.
+39.011.4393979, Fax: +39.011.4393882; e-mail: sarah.allegra@gmail.com

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not
been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may
lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as
doi: 10.1111/1440-1681.12822

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

* PHASE I AIFA, UNI EN ISO 9001:2008 and 13485:2012 (CE-IVD) CERTIFIED LABORATORY; Certificate No. IT-64386; ** Certification for: "DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF DETERMINATION METHODS FOR ANTI-INFECTIVE DRUGS. PHARMACOGNETIC ANALYSES."

ABSTRACT

Itraconazole is a first-generation triazole agent with an extended spectrum of activity; it is licensed in adults for superficial and systemic fungal infections; no recommendation has been yet established for use in children patients. Its variable and unpredictable oral bioavailability make it difficult to determine the optimal dosing regimen. Hence, therapeutic drug monitoring, highly available in clinical practice, may improve itraconazole treatment success and safety. The aim of the study was to describe in paediatrics the oral itraconazole pharmacokinetics, used for prophylaxis. Moreover, we evaluated the utility of its therapeutic drug monitoring in this cohort. A fully validated chromatographic method was used to quantify itraconazole concentration in plasma collected from paediatric patients, at the end of dosing interval. Associations between variables were tested using the Pearson test. Mann-Whitney U test has been used to probe the influence of categorical variables on continuous ones. Any predictive power of the considered variables was finally evaluated through univariate and multivariate linear and logistic regression analyses. A high inter-individual variability was shown; ethnicity (beta coefficient, β : -0.161 and interval of confidence at 95%, IC: -395.035;-62.383) and gender (β : 0.123 and IC: 9.590; 349.395) remained in the final linear regression model with *p value* of 0.007 and 0.038, respectively. This study highlights that therapeutic drug monitoring is required to achieved an adequate target itraconazole serum exposure.

KEYWORDS: therapeutic drug monitoring; itraconazole; HPLC; antifungal; invasive fungal infections; paediatrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Invasive fungal infections (IFIs) are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in children. Successful management of these systemic infections requires identification of the causative pathogen, appropriate antifungal selection, and optimisation of its pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties, to maximise its antifungal activity and minimise toxicity and the emergence of resistance (1). Azoles remain the first choice for prevention and treatment of IFIs(2); however, the clinical use of these drugs is characterized by frequent pharmacological disadvantage in terms of pharmacokinetic variability and drug-drug interactions (3).

Itraconazole (ITC, Sporanox®) is a first-generation azole approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of fungal infections; it is well tolerated and effective for superficial and systemic fungal infections; no recommendation has been yet established for use in children patients (4). ITC is lipophilic and it interferes with the synthesis of the cytochrome P450 (CYP)-dependent enzyme lanosterol 14- α -methylase, an ergosterol precursor essential for the fungal cell membranes (5, 6). ITC levels are 2 to 20 times higher in tissues, such as lungs, kidney, bone and muscles, skin, nails, and the female genital tract, than in the serum; nevertheless penetration into the cerebrospinal fluid is limited (7). It is metabolized in liver, yielding over 30 different metabolites. In pediatric patients, ITC absorption rate from oral (OS) administration was found to be greater than capsules and higher drug plasma exposure has been observed in older children (5 to 12 years old) (8-12).

Drug levels and treatment outcome depend on host factors, target organisms and associated interventions and therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) can timely and appropriately guide drug dosage modifications (13). Already published clinical TDM studies have been conducted and they observed that ITC dose modification could result in more appropriate drug levels (14). The aim of our study was to describe, in paediatrics, the ITC OS pharmacokinetics, used for IFIs prophylaxis (OS administration), and to evaluate the utility of ITC TDM in this population.

2. RESULTS

Mean, standard deviation (SD), median and median and interquartile range (IQR), 25th to 75th percentiles, values for age, body mass index (BMI) and ITC plasma concentrations were resumed and compared in table 1; there were no statistically significant differences in terms of baseline characteristics.

The drug dosage has been evaluated with a score from 1 to 18, as showed in Table 2.

Based on published ITC trough levels cut-off for prophylaxis (9-11), we observed that 128 patients (44.1%; median 78.00 ng/mL, IQR: <limit of detection (LOD) - 148.00 ng/mL) showed sub-optimal exposure, whereas 162 subjects (55.9%; median 735.00 ng/mL; IQR: 431.50 - 1203.75 ng/mL) had concentrations higher than the efficacy defined level.

A high interindividual variability was found between ITC C_{trough} concentrations: the median value was 306.50 ng/mL and the IQR range was 91.00 ng/mL and 781.25 ng/mL.

Pearson test showed that there were no statistically significant correlation among ITC C_{trough} and drug dose, BMI or age. Mann-Whitney U did not result in statistically significant influence of sex or ethnicity on ITC exposure.

Univariate linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of ethnicity, gender, age, BMI and drug dose on ITC C_{trough} . Stepwise forward regression analysis was used to identify the minimum set of independent predictive variables of ITC exposure and estimate the contribution of each factor to pharmacokinetic variability; only ethnicity (beta coefficient, β : -0.161 and interval of confidence at 95%, IC: -395.035;-62.383) and gender (β : 0.123 and IC: 9.590; 349.395) remained in the final model with p value of 0.007 and 0.038, respectively (Table 3).

Univariate logistic regression analysis was carry out to evaluate the effect of age, gender, BMI and drug dose on ITC efficacy cut-off value of 250 ng/mL. Stepwise forward regression analysis was used

to identify the minimum set of independent predictive variables of the cut-off effectiveness and estimate the contribution of each factor to pharmacokinetic variability; no factors remained in the final model (table 4).

3. DISCUSSION

ITC pharmacokinetics are highly variable, probably due to its unpredictable oral bioavailability (15, 16): it is non-linear (or saturable) exhibits prolonged clearance and slow accumulation (17). The drug half-life is approximately 24 h and the time to steady state is about 14 days (16). ITC is a weakly basic Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS)(18) class II (low solubility/high permeability) drug with a pH-dependent dissolution (pKa value, 3.7); for this reason it requires an acidic gastric environment for adequate dissolution and absorption. In fact, ITC coadministration with gastric acidity inhibitors, such as antacids and proton pump inhibitors, reduce the extent of drug absorption (19, 20). ITC is a substrate of P-glycoprotein (P-gp), a membrane efflux transporter (21-24).

Our results show that ITC exposure has a high interindividual variability and participants age, BMI, ethnicity, sex or age did not significantly influence ITC pharmacokinetics. Instead, linear regression analysis showed that ethnicity ($p=0.007$) and gender ($p=0.038$), respectively are negative and positive, predictors of trough levels (Table 3). Gender-related differences, such as body size and muscle mass, may result in pharmacokinetic differences; genetic variations among ethnic groups also can alter drug disposition (25).

Ethnicity may influence the disposition of P-gp-substrate drugs due to the of differences in P-gp polymorphism between black and white subjects. Especially, P-gp polymorphisms may influence ITC:

in a study on ITC, administered before fexofenadine, a P-gp substrate, the fexofenadine area under the concentration curve was significantly higher and the drug clearance significantly lower in individuals with TT phenotype than in GC haplotype, indicating P-gp inhibition by ITC in TT subjects (26).

During the past decade many information about inter-gender differences has been published in adult population (25, 27-30). Considering the pharmacokinetic differences, an apparent high female CYP3A4 activity has been reported (31). Conversely, the activity of other CYP isozymes (e. g. CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1) and the glucuronidation activity may be higher in males (28, 29). Thus, different oral bioavailability, caused by different intestinal and hepatic metabolic enzymes activity, may be found. However, no evidence about children are still available.

Moreover, women have lower acid secretion in the stomach (28), and then the ITC absorption might be compromised, due to its incomplete dissolution and unrestricted presystemic intestinal metabolism. Eventually, in a study on 639 cases of invasive candidiasis, non-white race and female gender were more commonly associated with non-albicans species (32).

Evaluating the logistic regression analysis, performed to assess the effect of age, gender, BMI and drug dose on ITC efficacy cut-off for prophylactic use, no factors were retained in the final model (table 4). We chose to consider the steady-state itraconazole trough concentration of 250 ng/mL (9-11) for our analyses, because it is the one used by our clinicians to discriminate prophylaxis outcome. Nevertheless, more recent publication describe a new threshold for prophylaxis of 500 ng/mL (14, 33, 34).

To our knowledge, in literature there are limited data describing the ITC use in children; ITC single intravenous or OS dose of 2.5 mg/kg/day in children aged 7 months to 17 years is well tolerated, but it results in a high variability in drug exposure (35). Moreover, OS dose of 5 mg/kg/day results in lower concentrations in infants compared with children older than 2 years of age (36).

ITC remains a key agent for the management of endemic mycoses worldwide and its broad spectrum of activity and availability (intravenous and OS route of administration) suggest that ITC long-term use is affordable and practical. In addition, the ITC pharmacokinetic variability its numerous potential drug interactions prompt that TDM is clinically necessary in order to achieve safe and effective systemic drug exposures. The results from the present study might be further explained through pharmacogenetic analyses, which could explain posaconazole levels variability, also concerning gender, and why it is ineffective in some patients (37).

Potential limitations to our study include its retrospective nature and a relatively small sample size; moreover it lacks of a standardized protocol for ITC dosing. Hence, further works applied to larger cohorts and which include the ITC active metabolite (hydroxyitraconazole) quantification are required to confirm the reported data.

4. METHODS

2.1 Patients and inclusion criteria

Plasma samples were collected at the Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacogenetics (Department of Medical Sciences, Unit of Infectious Diseases, University of Turin, Amedeo di Savoia Hospital, Turin) and Clinical Pharmacology Service "Franco Ghezzi" (Department of Biological and Clinical Sciences, University of Turin, S. Luigi Gonzaga Hospital) from different Hospitals in Piedmont (Italy). Inclusion criteria were: age below 18 years old, diagnosed IFI and treatment with oral ITC for prophylaxis, with an adherence of 90%. Patients on treatment with potential interacting drugs, allergy or intolerance to ITC, HIV infection, severe malnutrition, liver cirrhosis, chronic renal failure (with estimated creatinine clearance, $eCRCl < 60$ mL/min) were excluded. Two hundred ninety paediatric patients (175 males, 60.3 %), treated with ITC, were enrolled. About half of them (51.7%; N=150) were Caucasians. All the enrolled patients received ITC antifungal prophylaxis and the route of administration was only OS.

The research related to human use has been complied with all the relevant national regulations, institutional policies and in accordance the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration, and has been approved by the authors' institutional local Ethics Committee (study protocol: "PkPG_J02AC Studio retrospettivo per la valutazione e farmacocinetica e farmaco-genetica della terapia antimicotica con farmaci triazolici"). A written informed consent for the study was obtained from each subject, signed by natural/biological father or mother of a child with full parental legal rights. The primary aim of the approved protocol consist in: evaluation of triazoles plasma trough concentration at the steady state condition, and correlation of the obtained data with treatment outcome and toxicity.

For all the patients, following data were available: gender, age, BMI, ethnicity and ITC dose.

2.2 Determinations of ITC plasma concentration

Patient blood samples (collected in lithium-heparin tube, 5 mL) were taken immediately before drug intake (C_{trough}), under steady-state conditions (reached after two weeks of ITC oral solution). Plasma samples were obtained by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. 6,7-dimethyl-2,3-di(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline (QX), used as the internal standard (IS), was purchased from Sigma-Alderich Corporation (Milan, Italy), and ITC was purchased from Sigma-Alderich Corporation (Milan, Italy). Acetonitrile (HPLC grade) and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from VWR (Milan, Italy). Formic acid was from Sigma-Alderich Corporation (Milan, Italy). HPLC-grade water was produced by a Milli-DI system coupled with a Synergy 185 system by Millipore (Milan, Italy).

Two hundred μL of plasma samples were pipetted into a polytetrafluoroethylene tube, and 50 μL of IS working solution was added to each tube. Samples were extracted by protein precipitation using 200 μL of acetonitrile. Each sample was vortexed for at least 15s and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. One hundred μL of supernatant was transferred to a glass vial and diluted with 100 μL of water. Fifty μL of sample was then injected into the HPLC-MS system. All extraction procedures were performed at room temperature. The HPLC-MS system used was a Waters system (Milford,

MA), with a binary pump (model 1525), in-line degasser AF, 717-plus autosampler, and Micromass ZQ mass detector. The LC-MS Empower 2 Pro software program (version year 2005; Waters) was used (38, 39).

Chromatographic separation was performed at 35°C, using a column oven, on a C18 Atlantis T-3 5- μ m (150 mm by 4.6 mm, inside diameter [i.d.]) column (Waters, Milford, MA), protected by a Security Guard with a C18 (4.0 mm by 3.0 mm, i.d.) precolumn (Phenomenex; CA). The mobile phase composed initially of 50:50 water with formic acid (0.05%)/acetonitrile with formic acid (0.05%) was then ramped to 20:80 within 6.5 min. The flow rate was set at 1 mL/min.

Detector settings were as follows: electrospray ionization (ESI⁺); capillary voltage, 3.5 kV; source temperature, 110°C; desolvation temperature, 350°C; nitrogen desolvation flow, 400 L/h; nitrogen cone flow, 50 L/h. The ion *m/z* values monitored were: 353.2 for ITC and 313.4 for QX, cone voltage was 25 V and 50, respectively.

The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was considered the lowest standard on the calibration curve. Therefore, the LLOQ for ITC was 0.031 μ g/ml. The considered LOD was 0.015 μ g/ml. Intra- and interday precision were calculated by determining the relative standard deviation (% RSD) at each QC concentration, as shown in Table 5.

This work was carried out in a PHASE I AIFA, UNI EN ISO 9001:2008 and 13485:2012 (CE-IVD) certified laboratory.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

For descriptive statistics, continuous and non normal variables were summarized as average, SD, IQR 25th to 75th percentiles was calculated to measure the statistical dispersion of the data; categorical variables were described as frequency and percentage. All the variables were tested for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The correspondence of each parameter was evaluated with a normal or non-normal distribution, through the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

The Independent Samples *t* Test was used to compare the means of two independent groups, considering the level of statistical significance (*p* value<0.05). Pearson linear correlation coefficient (*r*) was used to investigate the strength of the association between two quantitative variables considering the level of statistical significance (*p* value<0.05). Mann-Whitney U test was used to probe the influence of categorical variables on continuous ones, considering the level of statistical significance (*p* value<0.05). Any predictive power of the considered variables was finally evaluated through univariate and multivariate linear (for pharmacokinetic parameters) and logistic (considering prophylaxis efficacy cut-off (9-11)) regression analyses. Factors with a *p* value <0.2 in univariate analysis were considered in multivariate analysis (*p* value <0.05).

All the tests were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 for Windows (Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Acknowledgments: We thank CoQuaLab (www.coqualab.it) for its technical support.

Conflict of interest: none.

REFERENCES

1. Stockmann C, Constance JE, Roberts JK, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antifungals in children and their clinical implications. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2014; **53**:429-54.
2. Patterson TF, Thompson GR, 3rd, Denning DW, et al. Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Aspergillosis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **63**:e1-e60.
3. Girmenia C, Iori AP. An update on the safety and interactions of antifungal drugs in stem cell transplant recipients. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2016; **16**:329-39.

4. Almirante B, Rodriguez D. Antifungal agents in neonates: issues and recommendations. *Paediatr Drugs* 2007; **9**:311-21.
5. De Beule K, Van Gestel J. Pharmacology of itraconazole. *Drugs* 2001; **61 Suppl 1**:27-37.
6. Van de Velde VJ, Van Peer AP, Heykants JJ, et al. Effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of a new hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin formulation of itraconazole. *Pharmacotherapy* 1996; **16**:424-8.
7. Marwaha RK, Maheshwari A. Systemic antifungal therapy in pediatric practice. *Indian Pediatr* 1999; **36**:1011-21.
8. Schmitt C, Perel Y, Harousseau JL, et al. Pharmacokinetics of itraconazole oral solution in neutropenic children during long-term prophylaxis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001; **45**:1561-4.
9. Morgenstern GR, Prentice AG, Prentice HG, Ropner JE, Schey SA, Warnock DW. A randomized controlled trial of itraconazole versus fluconazole for the prevention of fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies. U.K. Multicentre Antifungal Prophylaxis Study Group. *Br J Haematol* 1999; **105**:901-11.
10. Prentice AG, Warnock DW, Johnson SA, Phillips MJ, Oliver DA. Multiple dose pharmacokinetics of an oral solution of itraconazole in autologous bone marrow transplant recipients. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1994; **34**:247-52.
11. Prentice AG, Warnock DW, Johnson SA, Taylor PC, Oliver DA. Multiple dose pharmacokinetics of an oral solution of itraconazole in patients receiving chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukaemia. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1995; **36**:657-63.
12. Hennig S, Wainwright CE, Bell SC, Miller H, Friberg LE, Charles BG. Population pharmacokinetics of itraconazole and its active metabolite hydroxy-itraconazole in paediatric cystic fibrosis and bone marrow transplant patients. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2006; **45**:1099-114.
13. Morgan J, Wannemuehler KA, Marr KA, et al. Incidence of invasive aspergillosis following hematopoietic stem cell and solid organ transplantation: interim results of a prospective multicenter surveillance program. *Med Mycol* 2005; **43 Suppl 1**:S49-58.
14. Ashbee HR, Barnes RA, Johnson EM, Richardson MD, Gorton R, Hope WW. Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of antifungal agents: guidelines from the British Society for Medical Mycology. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; **69**:1162-76.
15. Conway SP, Etherington C, Peckham DG, Brownlee KG, Whitehead A, Cunliffe H. Pharmacokinetics and safety of itraconazole in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004; **53**:841-7.
16. Hardin TC, Graybill JR, Fetchick R, Woestenborghs R, Rinaldi MG, Kuhn JG. Pharmacokinetics of itraconazole following oral administration to normal volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1988; **32**:1310-3.
17. Barone JA, Koh JG, Bierman RH, et al. Food interaction and steady-state pharmacokinetics of itraconazole capsules in healthy male volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1993; **37**:778-84.

18. FDA. The Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) Guidance. In. 2016.
19. Jaruratanasirikul S, Sriwiriyan S. Effect of omeprazole on the pharmacokinetics of itraconazole. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1998; **54**:159-61.
20. Lohitnavy M, Lohitnavy O, Thangkeattayanon O, Srichai W. Reduced oral itraconazole bioavailability by antacid suspension. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2005; **30**:201-6.
21. Yasuda K, Lan LB, Sanglard D, Furuya K, Schuetz JD, Schuetz EG. Interaction of cytochrome P450 3A inhibitors with P-glycoprotein. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002; **303**:323-32.
22. Bartra J, Valero AL, del Cuvillo A, et al. Interactions of the H1 antihistamines. *J Invest Allergol Clin Immunol* 2006; **16 Suppl 1**:29-36.
23. Jalava KM, Partanen J, Neuvonen PJ. Itraconazole decreases renal clearance of digoxin. *Ther Drug Monit* 1997; **19**:609-13.
24. Keogh JP, Kunta JR. Development, validation and utility of an in vitro technique for assessment of potential clinical drug-drug interactions involving P-glycoprotein. *Eur J Pharm Sci* 2006; **27**:543-54.
25. Beierle I, Meibohm B, Derendorf H. Gender differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1999; **37**:529-47.
26. Shon JH, Yoon YR, Hong WS, et al. Effect of itraconazole on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of fexofenadine in relation to the MDR1 genetic polymorphism. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2005; **78**:191-201.
27. Puisset F, Chatelut E, Sparreboom A, et al. Dexamethasone as a probe for CYP3A4 metabolism: evidence of gender effect. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2007; **60**:305-8.
28. Meibohm B, Beierle I, Derendorf H. How important are gender differences in pharmacokinetics? *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2002; **41**:329-42.
29. Schwartz JB. The influence of sex on pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2003; **42**:107-21.
30. Cotreau MM, von Moltke LL, Greenblatt DJ. The influence of age and sex on the clearance of cytochrome P450 3A substrates. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2005; **44**:33-60.
31. Wolbold R, Klein K, Burk O, et al. Sex is a major determinant of CYP3A4 expression in human liver. *Hepatology* 2003; **38**:978-88.
32. Andes DR, Safdar N, Baddley JW, et al. The epidemiology and outcomes of invasive Candida infections among organ transplant recipients in the United States: results of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET). *Transpl Infect Dis* 2016; **18**:921-31.
33. Hope WW, Billaud EM, Lestner J, Denning DW. Therapeutic drug monitoring for triazoles. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2008; **21**:580-6.
34. Andes D, Pascual A, Marchetti O. Antifungal therapeutic drug monitoring: established and

emerging indications. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**:24-34.

35. Abdel-Rahman SM, Jacobs RF, Massarella J, et al. Single-dose pharmacokinetics of intravenous itraconazole and hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin in infants, children, and adolescents. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; **51**:2668-73.

36. de Repentigny L, Ratelle J, Leclerc JM, et al. Repeated-dose pharmacokinetics of an oral solution of itraconazole in infants and children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998; **42**:404-8.

37. Baietto L, Corcione S, Pacini G, Perri GD, D'Avolio A, De Rosa FG. A 30-years review on pharmacokinetics of antibiotics: is the right time for pharmacogenetics? *Curr Drug Metab* 2014; **15**:581-98.

38. Baietto L, D'Avolio A, Ventimiglia G, et al. Development, validation, and routine application of a high-performance liquid chromatography method coupled with a single mass detector for quantification of itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole in human plasma. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**:3408-13.

39. Baietto L, D'Avolio A, Marra C, et al. Development and validation of a new method to simultaneously quantify triazoles in plasma spotted on dry sample spot devices and analysed by HPLC-MS. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; **67**:2645-9.

Table 1. Mean, standard deviation, median and interquartile range for age, body mass index and itraconazole plasma concentrations.

Variable	N=290			
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	IQR
Age (years)	8.56	4.36	9.00	5.00-12.00
BMI Kg/m ²	17.34	4.61	16.60	14.58-19.87
ITC C _{trough} ng/mL	579.14	713.23	306.50	91.00-781.25

List of abbreviations: N, number; IQR, interquartile range; ITC, itraconazole; C_{trough}, concentration at the end of dosing interval; BMI, body mass index.

Table 2. Number and percentage of patients for each dose regimens.

		N=290	
ITC dose	Dose score	N	%
1	20 t.d.	7	2.4
2	25 t.d.	4	1.4
3	30 t.d.	10	3.4
4	40 t.d.	27	9.3
5	45 t.d.	10	3.4
6	50 t.d.	40	13.8
7	60 t.d.	22	7.6
8	70 t.d.	15	5.2
9	75 t.d.	5	1.7
10	80 t.d.	20	6.9
11	100 q.d.	6	2.1
12	100+50	4	1.4
13	100 t.d.	67	23.1
14	100+150	3	1.0
15	100+200	151	5.2
16	150 t.d.	4	1.4
17	300 q.d.	5	1.7
18	200 t.d.	26	9.0

List of abbreviation: ITC, itraconazole; N, number; %, percentage; q.d., once daily; t.d., twice daily.

Table 3. Factors, in univariate and multivariate linear regression analysis, able to predict itraconazole plasma concentrations at the end of dosing interval.

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	<i>p</i> value	β (IC 95%)	<i>p</i> value	β (IC 95%)
<i>Ethnicity</i>	0.021	-0.135 (-356.46; -28.99)	0.007	-0.161 (-395.04; -62.38)
<i>Age</i>	0.727	-0.021 (-22.32; 15.59)		
<i>Gender</i>	0.124	0.090 (-36.47; 299.76)	0.038	0.123 (9.60; 349.40)
<i>BMI</i>	0.987	-0.001 (-18.09; 17.81)		
<i>ITC dose</i>	0.681	-0.024 (-21.04; 13.77)		

β : β coefficient; IC95%: interval of confidence at 95%; in bold: values with a statistically significant *p* value; ITC, itraconazole; BMI, body mass index.

Table 4. Factors, in univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, able to predict itraconazole prophylaxis efficacy cut-off (250 ng/mL).

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	<i>p</i> value	β (IC 95%)	<i>p</i> value	β (IC 95%)
<i>Ethnicity</i>	0.851	0.957 (0.60; 1.52)		
<i>Age</i>	0.378	1.024 (0.97; 1.08)		
<i>Gender</i>	0.061	1.581 (0.98; 2.56)	0.061	1.581 (0.98; 2.56)
<i>BMI</i>	0.326	1.026 (0.98; 1.08)		
<i>ITC dose</i>	0.257	1.029 (0.98; 1.08)		

β : β coefficient; IC95%: interval of confidence at 95%; in bold: values with a statistically significant *p* value; ITC, itraconazole; BMI, body mass index.

Table 5. Itraconazole intra- and interday accuracy and precision.

RSD: relative standard deviation.

Nominal value (µg/mL)	Accuracy (% deviation)	Precision (% RSD)	
		Intraday	Interday
0.10	6.23	9.12	6.34
1.50	2.30	4.30	8.70
3.00	0.14	5.72	12.01
5.00	4.60	7.86	12.64