



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# **Ultrasonically improved semi-hydrogenation of alkynes to (Z-)alkenes over novel lead-free Pd/Boehmite catalysts**

# Original Citation: Published version: DOI:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.05.019 Terms of use: Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright Availability: **This is the author's manuscript** This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1660710 since 2018-02-26T14:04:54Z

(Article begins on next page)

protection by the applicable law.



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

*This is an author version of the contribution published on: Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera: [Ultrasonically improved semi-hydrogenation of alkynes to (Z-)alkenes over novel lead-free Pd/Boehmite catalysts DOI:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.05.019] ovvero [Zhilin Wu, Giancarlo Cravotto, Emanuela Calcio Gaudino, Agnese Giacomino, Jonathan Medlock, Werner Bonrath., Ultrasonics Sonochemistry 35 (2017) 664–672] The definitive version is available at: La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL: [www.journals.elsevier.com]*

# **Ultrasonically improved semi-hydrogenation of alkynes to (***Z***-)alkenes over novel lead-free Pd/Boehmite catalysts**

Zhilin Wu<sup>a</sup>, Giancarlo Cravotto<sup>a\*</sup>, Emanuela Calcio Gaudino<sup>a</sup>, Agnese Giacomino<sup>a</sup>,

Jonathan Medlock<sup>b</sup>, Werner Bonrath<sup>b</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Scienza e Tecnología del Farmaco, Universty of Turin, Via P. Giuria 9, I-10125 Turin, Italy. **b** DSM Nutritional Products Ltd., Research and Development, P.O. Box 2676, 4002 Basel, Switzerland.

\* Corresponding authors. E-mail: giancarlo.cravotto@unito.it (G. Cravotto).

**Keywords**: semi-hydrogenation, alkynes, ultrasound, catalyst activity, catalyst selectivity.

## **Abstract**

This paper reports the application of sonication in the semi-hydrogenation of alkynes over two novel Pd/Boehmite catalysts. The semi-hydrogenations of phenylacetylene, diphenylacetylene and 2-butyne-1,4-diol have either been investigated in an ultrasonic bath under atmospheric hydrogen pressure, or in an ultrasonic horn reactor under 0.1 - 0.5 MPa hydrogen pressure. Alkyne hydrogenation was suppressed by sonication under atmospheric hydrogen pressure, but promoted by sonication under 0.1 MPa of hydrogen pressure. Sonication increased selectivity towards the semi-hydrogenated products in both cases. Catalyst loading, hydrogen pressure, temperature and the presence of quinolone all impacted on hydrogenation rate, activity and selectivity to semihydrogenated products. Palladium leaching from the catalyst was evaluated in ethanol and hexane under stirring and both sonication and silent conditions.

#### **1. Introduction**

The heterogeneous catalytic semi-hydrogenation of alkynes to give alkenes is a highly desired tool in synthetic organic chemistry. This is especially true in the synthesis of natural products and biologically active compounds such as vitamins, fragrance compounds, such as linalool, and other products [1-3]. Alkenes are intermediates in the hydrogenation of alkynes meaning that highly selective semi-hydrogenations, which make use of specially developed catalysts, have been the focus of significant interest. In addition, the removal of alkynes from alkenes is mandatory if we are to avoid the poisoning of polymerization catalysts, e.g. phenylacetylene is an unwanted feedstock component in polystyrene production plants [4].

The Lindlar catalyst (palladium on [calcium carbonate poisoned with lead acetate and quinoline\) has played a](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0471264180.os046.27/summary)  [unique role in the semi-hydrogenation of al](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0471264180.os046.27/summary)kynes for more than 50 years [5,6]. Quinoline is thought to compete for the active sites on the catalyst. Lead, which is formed as a result of the reduction of adsorbed lead diacetate, changes palladium surface properties [5]. However, environmental concerns and lead's toxicity [7,8], mean that its use should be minimised. The design of lead-free catalysts and process intensification protocols have therefore received increasing amounts of attention [9], and research groups are continuing their extensive search for alternative modifier metals, such as Ga, Zn, [10-14] as well as for various supports, e.g. tungsten film [15], pumice [16], heterocyclic polyamides [17] and polyaniline films/membranes [18]. However, an ideal solution would be one that does not require an extra poison, be it a metal or organic compound.

Mesoporous materials are defined as having pore diameters of between 2 and 50 nm. Catalysis that is based on these materials normally provides benefits in reactions where diffusion limitations play an important role. Substantial work has been carried out on the use of silica [19] and alumina [20] in the semi-hydrogenation of alkynes in an effort to overcome diffusion resistance [21]. The hydrogenation of alkynes on solid Pd surfaces is generally structure sensitive, which is an important characteristic in the understanding of such catalytic processes [15]. Unselective hydrogenation proceeds on hydrogen-saturated *β*-hydride, whereas selective hydrogenation has only been possible after decoupling bulk properties from the surface events [22]. Only gas phase surface hydrogen is able to generate alkenes [23].

Although the highly selective semi-hydrogenation of alkynes using heterogeneous catalysts under mild conditions has recently been investigated [24-26], the production of fine chemicals requires new, cheaper and more environmentally sustainable technologies, which not only utilize heterogeneous catalysis but also take into account reactor engineering aspects and non-conventional energy sources. Classic catalytic hydrogenation is carried out under a hydrogen atmosphere and often at elevated temperatures in pressure resistant reactors that usually display slow heating/cooling rates. This can negatively affect selectivity and generate unwanted side reactions. The beneficial effects of ultrasound are well documented in the literature [2,27].This non-conventional enabling technology furthers process intensification and combines safer protocols, cost reduction and energy

savings [28]. Ultrasound has been applied to the activation of heterogeneous catalytic hydrogenation since the early 1980s [29-31].

Significant enhancements in selectivity, rates and yields as well as milder reaction conditions in both homogeneous and heterogeneous systems are all recognized hallmarks of sonication [32-34], which has also been shown to enhance catalyst robustness, selectivity and activity [35-37]. It also improves organic synthesis and accelerates the hydrogenation of unsaturated hydrocarbons [29].

The chemical and physical effects of ultrasound arise from the phenomenon of cavitation which produces extreme but localized conditions. When a cavitation bubble violently collapses near a solid surface, high-speed jets of liquid are driven into particle surfaces [32]. The high-speed liquid microjets and violent cavity implosion driven shock waves grant ultrasound its significant mechanical effects, such as increasing the surface area of particles, changing surface morphology, composition and particle size as well as accelerating dissolution and/or renewing the surface of a solid reactant or catalyst [38]. Besides triggering free radical reactions, it is also known to produce high localized temperatures and pressures [39]. Catalyst activity is therefore increased by catalyst surface deformation which exposes fresh, highly active surfaces and reduces diffusion length in catalyst pores [38]. The local turbulent flow associated with acoustic streaming also improves mass transfer between the liquid phase and the surface, thus increasing observed reaction rates [40].

However, little is known about the effects of ultrasound in the semi-hydrogenation of alkynes. The excellent selective reduction results provided by sonochemical protocols in previous studies have prompted us to explore the promise of the ultrasound-assisted semi-hydrogenation of alkynes [8,41]. The aim of the present work is to investigate the influence of ultrasound on the activity and selectivity of the Pd/Boehmite-catalyzed hydrogenation of phenylacetylene (PA), diphenylacetylene (DPA) and 2-butyne-1,4-diol (ByD). Pd content, specific surface areas and other catalyst textural properties have been evaluated using ICP, nitrogen adsorption isotherms and TEM studies. This article therefore describes the effects of hydrogenation factors, such as substrate and catalyst proportions, hydrogen pressure, temperature and quinoline content on activity and selectivity. In addition, ultrasound has been shown to cause significant metal leaching when used with the standard Lindlar catalyst (Pd-Pb on calcium carbonate) [42]. We therefore also wanted to investigate whether our lead-free catalysts show higher robustness under sonication and reduced metal leaching.

#### 2. **Experimental**

#### *2.1. Catalyst preparation and characterization*

Boehmite is an [aluminium oxide hydroxide](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aluminium_oxide_hydroxide) ( $\gamma$ -AlO(OH)•nH<sub>2</sub>O, 60% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) mineral and a component of the aluminium ore, [bauxite.](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bauxite) The microscope picture of the support particles and a double measurement of the particle

sizes show that boehmite is mainly composed of non-uniform, spheroid particles with a size range of 0.78-75 µm and a D50 of 6  $\mu$ m.

Pd/Boehmite catalyst preparation is improved by the reduction-deposition method which sees a metal initially reduced in solution, in the presence of a stabilizer, before it is deposited on a heterogeneous support [43]. The  $Pd_{Ly-us}/Boehmite$  catalyst was prepared via the ultrasound-assisted, one-pot dispersion and reduction of  $Pd(OAc)_2$ within a Luviquat<sup>TM</sup> aqueous solution. The Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite catalyst was prepared using ultrasound-assisted dispersion and the MW-assisted reduction of  $Pd(OAc)_2$  in water at 1 MPa  $H_2$ . The details of catalyst preparation methods and characterization are described elsewhere [44].

## *2.2. Catalyst Testing*

## **Hydrogenation under atmospheric hydrogen pressure in an ultrasonic bath**

Alkynes (Alfa Aesar, 98%) were hydrogenated over the prepared Pd-catalysts at room temperature (RT, 25±1 °C) and under atmospheric hydrogen pressure (AHP, H<sup>2</sup> balloon). 5 mg of Pd-catalyst was added to either 50 mL of hexane (Sigma-Aldrich, ≧99%), or ethanol (Fluka, 96%), containing 0.58 mmol of the alkyne. The reactor was evacuated to 0.02 MPa using a vacuum pump and then flushed with nitrogen (Sapio, grade 6.0), before the reaction. The reactor was then evacuated to 0.02 MPa once more and filled with hydrogen (Sapio, grade 4.5) via a connected  $H_2$  balloon. The reaction was performed in an ultrasonic bath (Elma, Transsonic 460, 35 kHz, 85 W) filled with 1.5 L water while liquid temperature was controlled by adding ice. Aliquots (100 µl) of the solution were periodically extracted from the reaction system using an airtight syringe. These were then diluted with 900 µl cyclohexane (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%) and analyzed using GC/MS (Agilent Technologies 6850 Network GC system equipped with a 5973 Network Mass Selective Detector and an HP-5MS capillary column).

The same reaction media were placed in a water bath and the reaction was performed without sonication under stirring at 500 rpm. This was done in order to provide a basis for valid comparison.

#### **Hydrogenation under 0.1-0.5 MPa of hydrogen pressure in an ultrasonic horn reactor**

A 100 mL stainless steel autoclave, which was equipped with double-wall cooling water, a temperature sensor and monitor, was charged with 5 mg of prepared catalyst and 50 mL of either hexane or ethanol containing 0.58 mmol of alkyne. The ultrasonic horn was tightly fixed to the reactor vessel and then the closed system was flushed with hydrogen and pressurized, without stirring. Alkynes were hydrogenated at RT (25±1 °C) and 0.1-0.5 MPa of hydrogen pressure. The ultrasonic horn reactor was homemade (20 kHz, 70 W, Fig. 1) and the reaction temperature was controlled using cooling water. Aliquots (100 µl) of the solution were periodically extracted from the reaction system using airtight syringes, diluted with 900 µl cyclohexane or chloroform and analyzed using GC/MS.



**Fig. 1.** Ultrasonic horn reactor for hydrogenation. magnetic stirrer → sti

In order to provide a valid comparison, the same reaction media were set in the ultrasonic horn reactor (Fig. 1) and the reaction was performed without sonication at given hydrogen pressures and stirred at 500 rpm.

#### *2.3. Leaching test with sonication or stirring*

50 mL of either hexane or ethanol and 200 mg of Pd/Boehmite catalyst were added to the ultrasonic horn reactor. The mixture was either stirred for 35 min with an oval magnetic stirring bar (12.6 g) at 500 rpm or sonicated for 35 min at 20 kHz and 70 W ultrasound under an air atmosphere at 25±1 °C. After treatment, samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 rpm (Hermle Z320, Berthold Hermie GmbH & Co, Germany). After liquid and solid separation, the solid catalysts were naturally dried at room temperature and liquids were completely evaporated.

The Pd-content in solid samples was measured on a ContrAA300 Fl-AAS device  $(244.791 \text{ nm}, C_2H_2/Air)$ . The samples were treated as follows: 30 mg of the substance was treated with HCl  $(32\%, 2 \text{ mL})/HNO<sub>3</sub> (69\%, 3 \text{ mL})$ and placed in an ultrasonic-bath at 60  $\degree$ C for 30 min. The samples were then digested in a TurboWAVE<sup>®</sup> (Microwaves device, MLS GmbH). H2O and Schinkel-Buffer (2 mL) were added and the solution was diluted with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  to a final volume of 100 mL.

After sample liquid evaporation, the residue was dissolved in 2 ml of concentrated  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  in a round flask. After four days of contact, each solution was diluted to 7 ml with high purity water and analysed using ICP-OES ( $\lambda_{\text{Pd}} =$ 340.458 nm).

#### **3. Results and Discussion**

The novel lead-free, palladium-based, boehmite supported catalysts were prepared using ultrasound and microwave irradiation. The prepared Pd/Boehmite catalysts were screened using DPA hydrogenation (Scheme 1) at RT and AHP in the stirring system. The prepared catalysts have the palladium loading of 0.78–0.88 wt%. The textural properties of catalysts and DPA hydrogenation performance were reported in our previous study [44].



Scheme 1

The ultrasonic-assisted catalyst  $(Pd_{Lv\text{-}us}/Boehmite)$  showed much higher initial DPA hydrogenation activity than the Lindlar catalyst, and comparable selectivity to Z-stilbene. It was found to give better catalytic performance than Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite [44], and the hydrogenation performances was also comparable to the NanoSelect catalyst produced by BASF [45]. It is attributed to the synergistic effect between surfactant and ultrasound. Under sonication, the boehmite support for  $Pd_{Lv-us}/Boehmite$  (Fig.2a) was cracked into finer and more uniform particle sizes than  $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmit$ e (Fig.2b).





**Fig. 2.** SEM micrograph of Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite catalyst (a) and Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite catalyst (b)

# *3.1. Comparison of ultrasonic hydrogenation of PA over various catalysts.*

The ultrasound-assisted hydrogenations of PA over  $Pd_{Lv-us}/Boehmite$  and  $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmite$  catalysts have been compared in this study. As listed in Table 1, the ultrasound-assisted hydrogenation activity and rate over  $Pd_{Lv}$ - $_{us}/Boehmite$  are more than 3-times higher than those over  $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmite$ , in both the ultrasonic bath and horn reactor. The very low activity of Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite and low PA conversion make it meaningless to compare selectivity to the target product.

**Table 1** Comparison of PA hydrogenation conversion, rate, activity, selectivity and yield over  $Pd_{L,v-us}/Boehmite$ and  $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmite$  in the ultrasonic reactors (5 mg of catalyst; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol of PA;  $25\pm1$  °C; AHP in ultrasonic bath reactor (within 1.5 L of water at 35 kHz, 85 W), 0.1 MPa of H<sub>2</sub>, 20.2 kHz, 70 W).

Test	Catalyst					$T_R(min)$ $X (\%) S (\%) Y_{max} (\%) A (mmol mg^{-1} Pd min^{-1})$	k (mM min <sup>-1</sup> ) $R^2$	
	$US$ -bath-1 $Pd_{Lv\text{-}us}/Boehmite$	70	94.3	90.1	85.0	0.190	0.151	0.9811
	$US$ -bath-3 $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmit$ e	60		26.6 90.7 24.1		0.057	0.0444	0.9128
	$US$ -horn-1 $Pd_{Lv-us}/Boehmite$	10	98.9	83.0	82.0	1.547	1.207	0.9891
	$US$ -horn-3 $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmite$	-10		39.2 94.2 36.9		0.496	0.4103	0.9524

Note:  $T_{R}$ : reaction time; X: PA conversion rate; S: selectivity to styrene;  $Y_{\text{max}}$ : maximal yield of styrene; A: catalyst hydrogenation activity; *k*: reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.

The ultrasonic pre-treatment of supported catalysts has been reported to be highly beneficial, as it has improved both catalytic activity and selectivity in the hydrogenation of ethyl pyruvate and cinnamyl alcohol [46-48]. Furthermore, the catalyst surface becomes homogeneous and active centre coverage is decreased correspondingly [49,50]. Firstly, the agglomeration can be inhibited and/or boehmite particles broken up by sonication, resulting in smaller Pd particle sizes and more active centres [44]. Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite contains Pd nanoparticles that are 2.4±0.7 nm in average diameter and that the nanoparticles are quite evenly distributed over the catalyst support surface, due to nanoparticle stabilization with Luviquat<sup>TM</sup> and the effect of sonication in the one-pot procedure [44]. By contrast, huge palladium particle clusters are seen in a relatively broad distribution, of  $\sim$ 50-100 nm in Pd<sub>US-</sub> MW/Boehmite, while no particles could be found in other areas. Witte et al. have reported that the metal crystallite size of the formed nanoparticles is 4–8 nm and large agglomerates of Pd(0) particles are formed using HHDMA (hexadecyl(2-hydroxyethyl)dimethylammonium dihydrogenphosphate) which is sufficient for the full reduction of Pd(II) to Pd(0), but not for Pd nanoparticle stabilization [43]. They also considered the effect of metal crystallite size on catalytic properties [45]. There is a decrease in overall activity with increasing size,  $5 > 15 > 25$  nm Pd, because of the lower metal surface area available for hydrogenation. This indicates that smaller Pd sizes are not only more active but also more selective. We achieved similar results using PA hydrogenation over PdLvus/Boehmite and Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite catalysts even under sonication.

Secondly, the effects of sonication and surfactant on pore size and volume can be attributed to strong surfactant molecule adsorption in the catalyst pores and to a restructuring of the boehmite structure as directed by surfactant molecules [51,52]. Boehmite is known to contain mesopores, but not micro- and macropores. This means that there is a lower probability that Pd particles can diffuse into the pores. Thus, the intermediate immediately leaves the vicinity of the active metal after formation and cannot react further [45].

Changing the input amounts of PA or catalyst leads to very different rates and activity at different PA:PdLvus/Boehmite ratios, as shown in Table 2. An increase in  $PA: Pd_{Lv-us}/Boehmite$  ratio caused a linear decrease in PA hydrogenation activity, while the hydrogenation rate dropped exponentially. This means that high substrate concentrations cover the active catalyst centres, leading to a decrease in hydrogenation activity and rate, even under ultrasound. As reported, the apparent reaction rate is proportional to catalyst amount. It is proven that the performance of the catalyst under the experimental conditions is within the kinetic regime and that the reaction is free of artifacts [53]. In addition, the  $PA: Pd_{Lv-us}/Boehmit$ e ratio has almost negligible impact on selectivity to styrene in the ultrasonic horn reactor (Table 2).

**Table 2** PA:PdLv-us/Boehmite ratio effects on PA hydrogenation conversion, rate, activity, selectivity and yield in the ultrasonic horn reactor (50 mL of hexane containing PA;  $25\pm1$  °C; 0.1 MPa of H<sub>2</sub>, 20.2 kHz, 70 W).

Test	<b>PA</b>	Catalyst	S/C	$T_R$	$\mathbf{X}$	S	$Y_{\text{max}}$	A	k	$R^2$
	(mg)	(mg)		(min)	(% )	(% )	(% )	(mmol mg <sup>-1</sup> Pd min <sup>-1</sup> ) (mM min <sup>-1</sup> )		
US-horn-4	59	10	6 4		94.0 85.8 80.7			1.772	2.7642	0.9966
$US$ -horn-1	59	5	12 10		98.9 83.0 82.0			1.547	1.207	0.9891
$US$ -horn-5 120.3		5	24 20			78.7 93.2 73.4		1.160	0.9227	0.9989

Note: S/C: PA: Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite ratio;  $T_R$ : reaction time; X: PA conversion rate; S: selectivity to styrene;  $Y_{\text{max}}$ : maximal yield of styrene; A: hydrogenation activity of catalyst;  $k$ : reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.

# *3.2. Ultrasonic effects on semi-hydrogenation of PA and ByD*

The overall production rate is often limited by interphase mass transfer in these gas-liquid-solid reactions [13]. Ultrasound has been used to overcome this problem and is usually reported to greatly accelerate the catalytic hydrogenation of olefins [29,54], cinnamaldehyde [55,56], 3-buten-2-ol [57,58], while selectivity to target products is either unchanged or increased under mild RT and AHP conditions. This effect has been attributed to sonication's dispersion effect on the catalyst and the effect of cavitation on the hydrogenation process.

The highly active PdLv-us/Boehmite catalyst was selected as a means to explore the ultrasonic effect on PA hydrogenation (Scheme 2) under the following conditions; an ultrasonic bath, an ultrasonic pressure reactor and only stirring being used in both reactors. The effect of sonication on PA hydrogenation was studied in hexane under mild experimental conditions (25 $\pm$ 1 °C, AHP or 0.1 MPa H<sub>2</sub>). PA hydrogenation experiments using the

same catalyst amounts in different ultrasonic reactors gave different activities. The results of the four typical experiments over  $Pd_{Ly-us}/Boehmit$ e catalyst are given in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3 PA concentration as a function of reaction time for hydrogenation over PdLv-us/Boehmite via sonication or stirring. PA hydrogenation conditions: 5 mg of  $Pd_{Lv\text{-}us}/Boehmit$ ; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol PA;  $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C; within ultrasonic bath under AHP (US-bath-1), ultrasonic horn reactor under 0.1 MPa H<sub>2</sub> (US-horn-1), stirring with 500 rpm under AHP (Stir-bath-1), stirring with 500 rpm under 0.1 MPa (Stir-horn-1).



Scheme 2

In Fig. 3, sonication causes a significant decrease in PA hydrogenation rate in the ultrasonic bath at AHP and RT, due to ultrasound mechanical and degassing effects. By contrast, only slight ultrasound effects were observed

with the ultrasonic horn reactor at  $0.1$  MPa  $H_2$  and RT, as compared with stirring, because the ultrasonic degassing phenomenon was weakened under pressure in the closed horn reactor. The slightly faster rate observed using ultrasound in the horn could be due to the ultrasonic cleaning effect on the catalyst surface and slightly enhanced mass transfer.

The zero order reaction rate is apparently independent of reactant concentration. This means that the rate of the reaction is equal to the rate constant (*k*), i.e.:

$$
C_t = -kt + C_0 \tag{1}
$$

where  $C_0$  is the initial alkyne concentration,  $C_t$  is the alkyne concentration at a given time (*t*), and *k* is the reaction rate or rate constant (mM min<sup>-1</sup>).

 $k, R<sup>2</sup>$  values, PA conversion rate, selectivity, yield to styrene and activity are listed in Table 3.

The values shown in Table 3 are consistent with pseudo-zero order kinetics whether the reactions were carried out in the ultrasonic bath or ultrasonic horn reactor. In addition, PA hydrogenation was slowed under AHP in the ultrasonic bath, but slightly accelerated under 0.1 MPa in the ultrasonic horn reactor, according to the hydrogenation activity index and rate constant. In the ultrasonic bath, the PA hydrogenation rate decreased by 38% over  $Pd_{Ly-ys}/Boehmit$ e, as compared to the stirring mode at AHP. However, a 14% increase in PA hydrogenation rate over Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite was obtained at 0.1 MPa of hydrogen pressure in the ultrasonic horn reactor.

**Table 3** Ultrasonic effects on PA hydrogenation conversion, rate, activity, selectivity and yield to styrene in the ultrasonic bath and horn reactor (5 mg of Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol PA; 25 $\pm$ 1 °C; AHP in ultrasonic bath reactor (within 1.5 L of water at 35 kHz, 85 W), 0.1 MPa of  $H_2$  in ultrasonic horn reactor (20.2 kHz, 70 W)).

Test No.	Treatment	Tr	X	<sub>S</sub>	$Y_{\text{max}}$ A		k	$R^2$
		(min)		$(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$		(mmol mg <sup>-1</sup> Pd min <sup>-1</sup> ) (mM min <sup>-1</sup> )		
	US-bath-1 Sonication in ultrasonic bath	70				94.3 90.1 85.0 0.190	0.151	0.9811
	Stir-bath-1 Stirring in ultrasonic bath	50				95.4 85.0 81.0 0.304	0.242	0.9589
	Stir-horn-1 Stirring in ultrasonic horn reactor	15				98.7 76.8 75.8 1.331	1.059	0.9929
	US-horn-1 Sonication in ultrasonic horn reactor 10				98.9 83.0 82.0	1.547	1.207	0.9891

Note:  $T_R$ : reaction time; X: PA conversion rate; S: selectivity to styrene;  $Y_{max}$ : maximal yield of styrene; A: hydrogenation activity of catalyst; *k*: reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.

In order to further investigate the effects of sonication on alkyne hydrogenation, ByD was hydrogenated (Scheme 3), using sonication and stirring, over  $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmite$  under 0.1 MPa  $H_2$  in the ultrasonic horn reactor. The results are listed in Table 4. The hydrogenation activity and rate of ByD over Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite increased by 32 and 40%, respectively, when using sonication over stirring in the ultrasonic horn reactor.



**Table 4** Ultrasonic effects on ByD hydrogenation conversion, rate, activity, selectivity and yield in the ultrasonic horn reactor (5 mg of Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of ethanol containing 0.58 mmol ByD; 25 $\pm$ 1 °C; 0.1 MPa of H<sub>2</sub>, 20.2 kHz, 70 W).

Test No.	Treatment	$T_R(min) X (\%)$		$S(\%)$	$Y_{\text{max}}(\%)$	A		$R^2$
						(mmol mg <sup>-1</sup> Pd min <sup>-1</sup> )	$(mM min^{-1})$	
Stir-horn-2 Stirring		25	93.8	89.4 83.8		0.480	0.431	0.9937
US-horn-2 Sonication		20	100.0	94.6	94.6	0.633	0.602	0.9941

Note: TR: reaction time; X: ByD conversion rate; S: selectivity to Z-2-butene-1,4-diol; Y<sub>max</sub>: maximal yield of Z-2-butene-1,4-diol; A: hydrogenation activity of catalyst; *k*: reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.

Sonication influences not only the hydrogenation rate, but also selectivity to target alkene. Fig. 4 shows the ultrasonic effect on selectivity to styrene in both the ultrasonic bath and horn reactors.

As shown in Fig. 4, sonication obviously improved selectivity to styrene whether under AHP within the ultrasonic bath or under 0.1 MPa of H2. We believe that this is due to the increased desorption rate of the alkene product from the catalyst surface (due to ultrasound), before over-reduction can occur, thus increasing selectivity. Ultrasonic cleaning of the catalyst surface may also have an effect. As a result, 5 and 8% increases in maximal styrene yield were obtained via sonication in the ultrasonic bath and horn reactors respectively, as compared to just stirring.



**Fig. 4** Selectivity to styrene as a function of PA conversion over Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite by sonication or stirring. PA hydrogenation conditions: 5 mg of Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol PA;  $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C; within ultrasonic bath under AHP (US-bath-1), ultrasonic horn reactor under 0.1 MPa of  $H_2$  (US-horn-1), stirring with 500 rpm under AHP (Stir-bath-1), stirring with 500 rpm under 0.1 MPa of  $H_2$  (Stir-horn-1).

As expected, sonication allows the maximal yield of Z-2-butene-1,4-diol to increase by 13% in the hydrogenation of [2-butyne-1,4-diol](http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/aldrich/b103209?lang=it®ion=IT) (Table 4). Surprisingly, the selectivity of [2-butene-1,4-diol](http://webbook.nist.gov/cgi/cbook.cgi?ID=C6117802&Units=SI) was increased to approx. 95% under ByD hydrogenation sonication enhancement, while alkene selectivity of 89% was achieved without sonication in the stirring system.

Furthermore, selectivity toward the target product is higher in the ultrasonic bath than in the ultrasonic horn reactor, due to the degassing effect under atmospheric hydrogen pressure. The influence of hydrogen pressure on selectivity to the target product will be discussed hereafter.

#### *3.3. Pressure effect on PA semi-hydrogenation*

In general, linear reaction rate dependence on hydrogen pressure occurs up to a conversion of 95% [13]. At higher pressure, alkanes formed via over-hydrogenation are easily the major product. At lower pressures, hydrogenation is much slower, but is almost totally selective to alkenes [23]. In this study, the role of pressure on PA hydrogenation has been studied under AHP to 0.5 MPa of H2. Table 5 shows the influence of hydrogen pressure in the ultrasonic horn reactor on the conversion, selectivity, activity and rate of PA hydrogenation over the weaker catalyst Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite.

**Table 5** Conversion, selectivity, activity and PA hydrogenation rate as a function of hydrogen pressure under sonication (5 mg of Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol of PA;  $25\pm1$  °C; AHP in ultrasonic bath reactor (within 1.5 L of water at 35 kHz, 85 W), 0.1-0.5 MPa in ultrasonic horn reactor (20.2 kHz, 70 W)).

Test	Pressure (MPa)					$T_R(min)$ $X (\%)$ $S (\%)$ $Y_{max} (\%)$ A (mmol mg <sup>-1</sup> Pd min <sup>-1</sup> )	k (mM min <sup>-1</sup> ) $R^2$	
US-bath-3 AHP		60	26.6	90.7 24.1		0.057	0.0444	0.9128
$US$ -horn-3 0.1		10		39.2 94.2 36.9		0.496	0.4103	0.9524
$US$ -horn-7 0.3		30	92.7	91.1 84.5		0.425	0.3737	0.989
$US$ -horn-6 $0.5$		20	91.1	90.3	82.3	0.613	0.5393	0.9766

Note: T<sub>R</sub>: reaction time; X: PA conversion rate; S: selectivity to styrene; Y<sub>max</sub>: maximal yield of styrene; A: hydrogenation activity of catalyst; *k*: reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.

In Table 5, both PA hydrogenation activity and rate linearly increase with hydrogen pressure, except at 0.3 MPa. The catalytic PA hydrogenation activity of  $Pd_{US-MW}/Boehmite$  at 0.3 and 0.5 MPa is over 7 and 10 times higher, respectively, than that at atmospheric hydrogen pressure. It also maintains high selectivities to styrene of 91.1 and 90.3%, respectively, at over 90% PA conversion.

#### *3.4. Temperature effects on semi-hydrogenation of PA and ByD*

The hydrogenation of alkynes over Pd-supported catalysts was generally performed at RT or under 40 °C, due to their higher activity [59]. The non-palladium catalysts, such as ternary Cu-Ni-Fe systems, require higher operating temperatures [60]. Hydrogenation activity to alkene increases with temperature; however, the influence of temperature on selectivity was found to be far less decisive than pressure [13].

Table 6 shows the influence of reaction temperature on PA and ByD hydrogenation activity and rate over the weaker Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite catalyst in the ultrasonic horn reactor.

As seen in Table 6, hydrogenation rate and activity synchronously increase as temperature rises from room temperature to 40 °C. PA and ByD hydrogenation rate increased by 112.7% and 27.9%, respectively. Meanwhile, the hydrogenation activity of PA and ByD increased by 111.6% and 35.5 %, respectively. The selectivity of ByD hydrogenation to Z-2-butene-1,4-diol decreased from 94.6 to 87.7% at 100% ByD conversion. PA hydrogenation styrene selectivity was only slightly lower at 40  $^{\circ}$ C than at 25  $^{\circ}$ C, at approximately 95% PA conversion, leading to very similar alkene yields. Reaction temperature can therefore obviously increase alkyne hydrogenation rate and Pd catalyst activity, but slightly influences alkene selectivity.

**Table 6** Temperature effects on the conversion, selectivity, activity and rate of PA and ByD hydrogenation under sonication (5 mg of Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol of PA or ByD; PA hydrogenation at 0.3 MPa and ByD hydrogenation at 0.5 MPa in ultrasonic horn reactor (20.2 kHz, 70 W)).

Temp	$\mathbf{P}$					$Y_{max}$	A	$\boldsymbol{k}$	$R^2$
$({}^{\circ}C)$	(MPa)		(min)		(% )	(% )	(mmol mg <sup>-1</sup> Pd min <sup>-1</sup> )	$(mM min^{-1})$	
	0.3	<b>PA</b>	30	92.7	91.1	84.5	0.425	0.3737	0.989
	0.3	PA	15				0.903	0.7949	0.9824
	0.5	<b>ByD</b>	20				0.633	0.602	0.9941
	0.5	<b>ByD</b>	15				0.858	0.77	0.999
	US-horn- $725$ $US$ -horn- $840$ US-horn- $2.25$ US-horn-9 $40$			Substrate $T_R$		$X(\%)$ S	96.6 88.8 85.7 100.0 94.6 94.6 100.0 87.7 87.7		

Note: Temp: Temperature; P: pressure;  $T_R$ : reaction time; X: PA or ByD conversion rate; S: selectivity to alkene;  $Y_{\text{max}}$ : maximal yield of styrene; A: hydrogenation activity of catalyst; *k*: reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.

#### *3.5. Quinoline effect on semi-hydrogenation of PA and DPA*

The commercial Lindlar catalyst is a commonly used catalyst for the semi-hydrogenation of alkynes. It is calcium carbonate-supported palladium, modified by the addition of lead, while organic bases (e.g., quinoline) are often added to improve selectivity [6]. Higher selectivity to alkene was achieved using electron-donor compounds, such as N-bases (quinoline, pyridine, and ammonia) or via the addition of electron-donor compounds (Pb, Zn) to the Pd nanoparticles [13,45]. In this study, various amounts of quinoline have been added to the hydrogenation of DPA and PA in the ultrasonic horn reactor. DPA and PA hydrogenations were performed under 0.5 MPa at 25°C and 0.3 MPa at 40°C, respectively. Table 7 shows the influence of quinoline on the hydrogenation activity and rate of DPA. Fig. 5 shows the influence of quinoline on selectivity to Z-stilbene during the DPA hydrogenation.

As seen in Table 7, the addition of quinoline causes ultrasonic hydrogenation activity and DPA rate to decrease under 0.5 MPa at room temperature. PA hydrogenation activity was reduced from 0.90 to 0.73 mol g<sup>-1</sup>Pd min<sup>-1</sup>, and the rate decreased from  $0.79$  to  $0.68$  mM min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

**Table 7** Quinoline effects on the conversion, selectivity, activity and rate of PA and DPA hydrogenation in the ultrasonic horn reactor (5 mg of Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol of PA or DPA; PA hydrogenation at 0.3 MPa and 40±1 °C and DPA hydrogenation at 0.5 MPa and 25±1 °C; 20.2 kHz, 70 W).

Test	Substrate Quinoline $(\mu l)$	$T_R(min) \ X (\%) \ S (\%) \ Y_{max} (\%)$				A	k	$R^2$
						(mmol mg <sup>-1</sup> Pd min <sup>-1</sup> ) (mM min <sup>-1</sup> )		
$US$ -horn-8 $PA$	0.0	15	99.9	84.5 84.4		0.90	0.79	0.9824
$US$ -horn-10 PA	2.5	18	99.1	90.5 89.6		0.73	0.68	0.9862
US-horn-11 DPA	0.0	24	88.7	88.3	78.3	0.47	0.41	0.987
$US$ -horn-12 DPA	1.0	35	89.4	91.6 82.0		0.35	0.30	0.997
US-horn-13 DPA	2.5	38	89.7	92.0	82.5	0.30	0.27	0.996

Note:  $T_R$ : reaction time; X: PA or DPA conversion rate; S: selectivity to alkene;  $Y_{max}$ : maximal yield of alkene; A: hydrogenation activity of catalyst; *k*: reaction rate or rate constant;  $R^2$ : correlation coefficient.



Fig. 5 Selectivity to Z-stilbene as a function of DPA conversion over Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite with various additions of quinoline. DPA hydrogenation conditions: 5 mg of Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite; 50 mL of hexane containing 0.58 mmol of DPA; DPA hydrogenation at 0.5 MPa of  $H_2$  and  $25\pm1$  °C; 20.2 kHz, 70 W).

In Fig.5, Z-stilbene selectivity clearly increases when quinoline is added at room temperature, under sonication and at 0.5 MPa of hydrogen pressure, leading to the Z-stilbene yield increasing by 3.3%. Similarly, selectivity to styrene increased from 84.5 to 90.5% at greater than 99% conversion at 40 °C and 0.3 MPa of hydrogen pressure, leading to the styrene yield increasing by 6.1%.

# *3.6. Pd Leaching test and effect of sonication on boehmite particles*

Bulk metal contents in the initial and recovered catalysts were compared in order to study the effect of US treatment on the catalysts. The Pd content in the original Pd/Boehmite catalyst was measured to be 0.88% and the Pd mass in 200 mg sample was calculated to be 1760 µg. As listed in Table 8, the Pd contents in catalysts recovered after stirring or sonication were very similar. This indicates that no metal leached from the catalysts during sonication. As a confirmation of this, the palladium content in the liquid samples was also measured. For the experiments in hexane, only traces of metal were found in solutions. However, some palladium was observed in the experiments performed in ethanol and a significant amount was observed in those that had undergone sonication (Fig 6). One possible reason is the fact that boehmite particles were cracked into finer particles by sonication.



**Table 8** Pd contents in solid and liquid phase for leaching tests (200 mg catalyst each sample in 50 mL hexane or ethanol).



**Fig. 6** Pd/Boehmite suspensions standing in ethanol for 7 days after centrifugation (Test 5 and 6 were agitated for 35 min in ethanol and Test 7 and 8 were sonicated for 35 min in ethanol)

The fact that Pd was lost in alcoholic solvents after ultrasonic treatment is in agreement with a previous study performed with the Lindlar catlayst in methanol [42]. Metal removal from the catalysts during sonication is due to fragmentation that was caused by the implosion of cavitation bubbles near the surface, possibly forming nanoparticles, rather than leaching through the formation of soluble metal compounds. However, leaching can be considerably reduced using the new Pd/Boehmite catalysts by avoiding ultrasonication and almost completely eliminated by changing the solvent to hexane (even using ultrasound). This is a significant improvement upon the traditional Lindlar catalyst.

#### **4. Conclusions**

Novel Pd/Boenmite catalysts have been tested in the ultrasound-assisted semi-hydrogenation of alkynes. The Pd<sub>Lv-us</sub>/Boehmite catalyst exhibited much higher activity than the Pd<sub>US-MW</sub>/Boehmite and Lindlar catalysts in PA hydrogenation. The ultrasonic bath was used under atmospheric pressure and the ultrasonic horn reactor under 0.1-0.5 MPa hydrogen pressure and both were tested for DPA and PA hydrogenation in hexane as well as ByD in ethanol. Under atmospheric pressure, the PA hydrogenation rate and activity over  $Pd_{Lv-us}/Boehmit$ e with sonication was lower than with stirring; however, selectivity to the semi-hydrogenated product was higher with sonication than with stirring. Under 0.1 MPa of hydrogen pressure, the PA hydrogenation rate and activity was higher under sonication than stirring. Meanwhile, selectivity to the semi-hydrogenated product was also higher under sonication than stirring.

In the ultrasonic horn reactor, the catalytic activity of  $Pd_{US-MW}/B$ oehmite catalyst at 0.3 or 0.5 MPa of H<sub>2</sub> is 7 to 10 times higher than that at atmospheric hydrogen pressure, while the high selectivity of 91.1 and 90.3% at over 90% PA conversion was preserved. Under sonication, the hydrogenation rate and activity of ByD synchronously increased by about 27.9-35.5% as temperature rose from 25 to 40 °C at 0.5 MPa. PA hydrogenation rate and activity increased 1-fold with rising temperature from 25 to 40 °C at 0.3 MPa. Selectivity to the ByD semihydrogenated product decreased from 94.6 to 87.7% at 100% ByD conversion, but PA hydrogenation styrene selectivity was almost equal at 25  $\degree$ C or 40  $\degree$ C, at about 95% PA conversion.

The addition of quinoline caused DPA and PA hydrogenation activity and rate to decrease slightly under sonication. Selectivity to DPA and PA semi-hydrogenated products clearly increased upon adding quinoline under sonication.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the EU project MAPSYN (grant agreement No. CP-IP 309376, seventh Framework Program). We also thank Emily Borretto for catalyst preparation and Hull University (Nikolay Cherkasov and Alex O. Ibhadon) for previous catalyst characterization.

# **References**

- [1] Á. Molnár, A. Sárkány, M. Varga,, Hydrogenation of carbon-carbon multiple bonds: chemo-, regio-and stereo-selectivity, J. Mol. Catal. A. Chem. 173 (2001) 185-221.
- [2] W. Bonrath, J. Medlock, J. Schütz, B. Wüstenberg, T. Netscher, Hydro*genation in the vitamins and fine chemicals industry - An overview in h*ydro*genation, InTech; I.* Karame, Ed.; Rijeka, 2012, 69-90.
- [3] A. M. Kluwer, T. S. Koblenz, T. Jonischkeit, K. Woelk, C. J. Elsevier, Kinetic and spectroscopic studies of the [palladium (Ar-bian)]-catalyzed semi-hydrogenation of 4-octyne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 15470- 15480.
- [4] S. Domínguez-Domínguez, A. Berenguer-Murcia, Á. Linares-Solano, D. Cazorla-Amorós, Inorganic materials as supports for palladium nanoparticles: Application in the semi-hydrogenation of phenylacetylene, J. Catal. 257 (2008) 87-95.
- [5] H. Lindlar, Helv. Chim. Acta. 35 (1952) 446-450.
- [6] H. Lindlar, R. Dubuis, Palladium catalyst for partial reduction of acetylenes, Org. Synth. (1966) 89-89.
- [7] L. Protasova, E. Rebrov, K. Choy, S. Pung, V. Engels, M. Cabaj, A. Wheatley, J. Schouten, ZnO based nanowires grown by chemical vapour deposition for selective hydrogenation of acetylene alcohols, Catal. Sci. Technol. 1 (2011) 768-777.
- [8] V. Hessel, G. Cravotto, P. Fitzpatrick, B. S. Patil, J. Lang, W. Bonrath, Chem. Eng. Proc. 71 (2013) 19-30.
- [9] A. Kulkarni, B. Torok, Heterogeneous catalytic hydrogenations as an environmentally benign tool for organic synthesis, Curr. Org. Synth. 8 (2011) 187-207.
- [10] J. Rajaram, A. Narula, H. Chawla, S. Dev, Semihydrogenation of acetylenes: Modified lindlar catalyst, Tetrahedron 39 (1983) 2315-2322.
- [11] J. r. Osswald, K. Kovnir, M. Armbrüster, R. Giedigkeit, R. E. Jentoft, U. Wild, Y. Grin, R. Schlögl, Palladium-gallium intermetallic compounds for the selective hydrogenation of acetylene: Part II: Surface characterization and catalytic performance, J. Catal. 258 (2008) 219-227.
- [12] M. Armbrüster, K. Kovnir, M. Behrens, D. Teschner, Y. Grin, R. Schlögl,, Pd-Ga intermetallic compounds as highly selective semihydrogenation catalysts, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 14745-14747.
- [13] E. V. Rebrov, E. A. Klinger, A. Berenguer-Murcia, E. M. Sulman, J. C. Schouten, Selective hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyne-2-ol in a wall-coated capillary microreactor with a Pd25Zn75/TiO2 catalyst, Org. Process Res. Dev. 13 (2009) 991-998.
- [14] N. Lòpez, C. Vargas-Fuentes, Promoters in the hydrogenation of alkynes in mixtures: insights from density functional theory, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 1379-1391.
- [15] J. G. Ulan, W. F. Maier, D. A. Smith, Rational design of a heterogeneous palladium catalyst for the selective hydrogenation of alkynes, J. Org. Chem. 52 (1987) 3132-3142.
- [16] D. Duca, L. F. Liotta, G. Deganello, Selective hydrogenation of phenylacetylene on pumice-supported palladium catalysts, J. Catal. 154 (1995) 69-79.
- [17] Z. M. Michalska, B. Ostaszewski, J. Zientarska, J. W. Sobczak, Catalytic hydrogenation of alkadienes and alkynes by palladium catalysts supported on heterocyclic polyamides, J. Mol. Catal. A. Chem. 129 (1998) 207-218.
- [18] Y. Gao, C.-A. Chen, H.-M. Gau, J. A. Bailey, E. Akhadov, D. Williams, H.-L. Wang, Facile synthesis of polyaniline-supported Pd nanoparticles and their catalytic properties toward selective hydrogenation of alkynes and cinnamaldehyde, Chem. Mat. 20 (2008) 2839-2844.
- [19] T. Nijhuis, G. Van Koten, J. Moulijn, Optimized palladium catalyst systems for the selective liquid-phase hydrogenation of functionalyzed alkynes, Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 238 (2003) 259-271.
- [20] D. Kennedy, G. Webb, S. Jackson, D. Lennon, Propyne hydrogenation over alumina-supported palladium and platinum catalysts, Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 259 (2004) 109-120.
- [21] G. Alvez-Manoli, T. Pinnavaia, Z. Zhang, D. Lee, K. Marín-Astorga, P. Rodriguez, F. Imbert, P. Reyes, N. Marín-Astorga, Stereo-selective hydrogenation of 3-hexyne over low-loaded palladium catalysts supported on mesostructured materials, Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 387 (2010) 26-34.
- [22] D. Teschner, J. Borsodi, A. Wootsch, Z. Révay, M. Hävecker, A. Knop-Gericke, S. D. Jackson, R. Schlögl, The roles of subsurface carbon and hydrogen in palladium-catalyzed alkyne hydrogenation, Science 320 (2008) 86-89.
- [23] D. Teschner, E. Vass, M. Haevecker, S. Zafeiratos, P. Schnoerch, H. Sauer, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl, M. Chamam, A. Wootsch, Alkyne hydrogenation over Pd catalysts: A new paradigm, J. Catal. 242 (2006) 26-37.
- [24] Y. Takahashi, N. Hashimoto, T. Hara, S. Shimazu, T. Mitsudome, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, Highly efficient Pd/SiO2-dimethyl sulfoxide catalyst system for selective semihydrogenation of alkynes, Chem. Lett. 40 (2011) 405-407.
- [25] T. Mitsudome, Y. Takahashi, S. Ichikawa, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, Metal-ligand core-shell nanocomposite catalysts for the selective semihydrogenation of alkynes, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 1481-1485.
- [26] Y. Lee, Y. Motoyama, K. Tsuji, S. H. Yoon, I. Mochida, H. Nagashima, (Z)-Selective partial hydrogenation of internal alkynes by using palladium nanoparticles supported on nitrogen-doped carbon nanofiber, ChemCatChem 4 (2012) 778-781.
- [27] J. M. Leveque, G. Cravotto, Microwaves, power ultrasound, and ionic liquids. A new synergy in green organic synthesis, Chimia 60 (2006) 313-320.
- [28] G. Cravotto, S. Tagliapietra, M. Caporaso, D. Garella, E. Borretto, A. Di Stilo, Chem. Het. Comp. 49 (2013) 811-826.
- [29] D. H. Shin, B. H. Han, Organic sonochemistry Ultrasonically accelerated hydrogenation of olefins using palladium-hydrazine, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 6 (1985) 247-248.
- [30] A. Barge, S. Tagliapietra, L. Tei, P. Cintas, G. Cravotto, Pd-catalyzed reactions promoted by ultrasound and/or microwave irradiation, Curr. Org. Chem. 12 (2008) 1588-1612.
- [31] W. Bonrath, R. A. P. Schmidt,Ultrasound in S*ynthetic Organic Chemistry in Advances in* Org*anic Synthesis; Atta-ur-Rahma*n, Ed.; Bentham Science Publishers., 2005; Vol. 1, 81-117.
- [32] B. Toukoniitty, J. P. Mikkola, D. Y. Murzin, T. Salmi, Utilization of electromagnetic and acoustic irradiation in enhancing heterogeneous catalytic reactions, Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 279 (2005) 1-22.
- [33] P. Cintas, J. L. Luche, Green chemistry The sonochemical approach, Green Chem. 1 (1999) 115-125.
- [34] Y. G. Adewuyi, Sonochemistry: Environmental science and engineering applications, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 40 (2001) 4681-4715.
- [35] B. Toukoniitty, E. Toukoniitty, P. Maki-Arvela, J. P. Mikkola, T. Salmi, D. Y. Murzin, P. J. Kooyman, Effect of ultrasound in enantioselective hydrogenation of 1-phenyl-1,2-propanedione: comparison of catalyst activation, solvents and supports, Ultrason. Sonochem. 13 (2006) 68-75.
- [36] I. Kun, B. Torok, K. Felfoldi, M. Bartok, Heterogeneous asymmetric reactions: Part 17. Asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-methyl-2-pentenoic acid over cinchona modified Pd/Al2O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 203 (2000) 71-79.
- [37] A. Tai, T. Kikukawa, T. Sugimura, Y. Inoue, T. Osawa, S. Fujii, Highly efficient enantio-differentiating hydrogenation over an ultrasonicated Raney nickel catalyst modified with tartaric acid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Coummun. (1991) 795-796.
- [38] L. H. Thompson, L. K. Doraiswamy, Sonochemistry: Science and engineering, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 38 (1999) 1215-1249.
- [39] L. A. Crum, Comments on the evolving field of sonochemistry by a cavitation physicist, Ultrason. Sonochem. 2 (1995) S147-S152.
- [40] B. Torok, K. Balazsik, K. Felfoldi, M. Bartok, Asymmetric reactions in sonochemistry, Ultrason. Sonochem. 8 (2001) 191-200.
- [41] Z. Wu, E. Borretto, J. Medlock, W. Bonrath, G. Cravotto, Effects of ultrasound and microwaves on selective reduction: Catalyst preparation and reactions, ChemCatChem 6 (2014) 2762-2783.
- [42] B. Tripathi, L. Paniwnyk, N. Cherkasov, A. Ibhadon, T. Lana-Villarreal, R. Gómez, Ultrasound-assisted selective hydrogenation of C-5 acetylene alcohols with Lindlar catalysts, Ultrason. Sonochem. 26 (2015) 445-451.
- [43] P. T. Witte, P. H. Berben, S. Boland, E. H. Boymans, D. Vogt, J. W. Geus, J. G. Donkervoort, BASF NanoSelect-Technology: Innovative supported Pd-and Pt-based catalysts for selective hydrogenation reactions, Top. Catal. 55 (2012) 505-511.
- [44] Z. Wu, N. Cherkasov, G. Cravotto, E. Borretto, A. O. Ibhadon, J. Medlock, W. Bonrath, Ultrasound- and microwave-assisted preparation of lead-free palladium catalysts: effects on the kinetics of diphenylacetylene semihydrogenation, ChemCatChem 6 (2015) 952-959.
- [45] P. T. Witte, S. Boland, F. Kirby, R. v. Maanen, B. F. Bleeker, D. A. M. d. Winter, J. A. Post, J. W. Geus, P. H. Berben., NanoSelect Pd catalysts: What causes the high selectivity of these supported colloidal catalysts in alkyne semi-hydrogenation ?, ChemCatChem 5 (2013) 582 - 587.
- [46] G. Szollosi, B. Torok, G. Szakonyi, I. Kuna, M. Bartok, Ultrasonic irradiation as activity and selectivity improving factor in the hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde over Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 172 (1998) 225-232.
- [47] B. Torok, G. Szollosi, K. Balozsik, K. Felfoldi, I. Kun, M. Bartok, Ultrasonics in heterogeneous metal catalysis: sonochemical chemo-and enantioselective hydrogenations over supported platinum catalysts, Ultrason. Sonochem. 6 (1999) 97-103.
- [48] G. Szollosi, I. Kun, B. Torok, M. Bartok, Ultrasonics in chemoselective heterogeneous metal catalysis Sonochemical hydrogenation of unsaturated carbonyl compounds over platinum catalysts, Ultrason. Sonochem. 7 (2000) 173-176.
- [49] P. Bosch, T. Lopez, M. Asomoza, R. Gomez, M. A. Cauqui, J. M. Rodriguez-Izquierdo, Pt/SiO<sup>2</sup> sonogels: Synthesis and characterization, Langmuir 11 (1995) 4328-4332.
- [50] G. Bai, L. Niu, M. Qiu, F. He, X. Fan, H. Dou, X. Zhang, Liquid-phase selective hydrogenation of benzophenone over ultrasonic-assisted Ni-La-B amorphous alloy catalyst, Catal. Commun. 12 (2010) 212- 216.
- [51] E. Boymans, S. Boland, P. T. Witte, C. Müller, D. Vogt, Chemoselective hydrogenation of functionalized nitroarenes using supported Mo promoted Pt nanoparticles, ChemCatChem 5 (2013) 431-434.
- [52] P. T. Witte, M. t. de Groen, R. M. de Rooij, P. Bakermans, H. G. Donkervoort, P. H. Berben, J. W. Geus, Highly active and selective precious metal catalysts by use of the reduction-deposition method, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 175 (2010) 135-143.
- [53] D. Deng, Y. Yang, Y. Gong, Y. Li, X. Xu, Y. Wang, Palladium nanoparticles supported on mpg-C3N4 as active catalyst for semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene under mild conditions, Green Chem. 15 (2013) 2525-2531.
- [54] P. Boudjouk, B.-H. Han, Palladium-catalyzed and sonically accelerated hydrogenations of olefins using formic acid as a hydrogen transfer agent, J. Catal. 79 (1983) 489-492.
- [55] R. S. Disselkamp, T. R. Hart, A. M. Williams, J. F. White, C. H. F. Peden, Ultrasound-assisted hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde, Ultrason. Sonochem. 12 (2005) 319-324.
- [56] H. Li, C. J. Ma, H. X. Li, Ultrasound-assisted Cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation to cinnamyl alcohol at atmospheric pressure over Ru-B amorphous catalyst, Chin. J. Chem. 24 (2006) 613-619.
- [57] R. S. Disselkamp, K. M. Judd, T. R. Hart, C. H. Peden, G. J. Posakony, L. J. Bond, A comparison between conventional and ultrasound-mediated heterogeneous catalysis: hydrogenation of 3-buten-1-ol aqueous solutions, J. Catal. 221 (2004) 347-353.
- [58] R. S. Disselkamp, Y. H. Chin, C. H. F. Peden, The effect of cavitating ultrasound on the heterogeneous aqueous hydrogenation of 3-buten-2-ol on Pd-black, J. Catal. 227 (2004) 552-555.
- [59] R. Chinchilla, C. Nájera, Chemicals from alkynes with palladium catalysts, Chemical reviews 114 (2013) 1783-1826.
- [60] B. Bridier, J. Pérez-Ramírez, Cooperative effects in Ternary Cu-Ni-Fe catalysts lead to enhanced alkene selectivity in alkyne hydrogenation, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 4321-4327.