

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Microsite manipulation in lowland oak forest restoration results in indirect effects on acorn predation

### This is the author's manuscript

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1661459> since 2020-04-04T06:54:47Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1016/j.foreco.2018.01.007

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

**This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:**

[Sara Martelletti, Emanuele Lingua, Fabio Meloni, Michele Freppaz, Renzo Motta, Antonio Nosenzo, Raffaella Marzano, Microsite manipulation in lowland oak forest restoration results in indirect effects on acorn predation, Forest Ecology and Management, 411, 2018, pagg.27-34, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.01.007>]

**The publisher's version is available at:**

[<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112717317449>]

**When citing, please refer to the published version.**

**Link to this full text:**

[inserire l'handle completa, preceduta da <http://hdl.handle.net/>]

This full text was downloaded from iris-AperTO: <https://iris.unito.it/>

**Microsite manipulation in lowland oak forest restoration results in indirect effects on acorn predation**

Sara Martelletti<sup>\*1</sup>, Emanuele Lingua<sup>2</sup>, Fabio Meloni<sup>1</sup>, Michele Freppaz<sup>1</sup>, Renzo Motta<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Nosenzo<sup>1</sup>, Raffaella Marzano<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA), University of Torino, largo Paolo Braccini, 2 - 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy*

[sara.martelletti@unito.it](mailto:sara.martelletti@unito.it); [fabio.meloni@unito.it](mailto:fabio.meloni@unito.it); [michele.freppaz@unito.it](mailto:michele.freppaz@unito.it); [renzo.motta@unito.it](mailto:renzo.motta@unito.it); [antonio.nosenzo@unito.it](mailto:antonio.nosenzo@unito.it); [raffaella.marzano@unito.it](mailto:raffaella.marzano@unito.it);

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture, and Forest (TESAF), University of Padova, viale dell'Università, 16 - 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy*

[emanuele.lingua@unipd.it](mailto:emanuele.lingua@unipd.it)

<sup>\*</sup>*Corresponding author. E-mail address: [sara.martelletti@unito.it](mailto:sara.martelletti@unito.it); full postal address: largo Paolo Braccini, 2 – 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy; Phone: +390116708865*

**Abstract**

Taking advantage of facilitation mechanisms to counteract harsh environmental conditions may prove to be a successful strategy in the restoration of degraded man-made ecosystems. Seed sowing is often a viable and less expensive technique to restore forest cover in environments subjected to heavy anthropic disturbance. In this context, nurse plants and shield objects can improve microsite conditions, enhancing the emergence and growth of seedlings. However, their

presence can also create preferred microhabitats for seed predators, and as a result, may alter their movement decisions and foraging behavior. In this study, we investigated whether nurse shrubs and artificial shade structures, with and without previous soil amelioration, could facilitate acorn emergence. We also tested their effects on the predation of acorns by small mammals, within the framework of a larger project aimed at restoring a *Quercus robur* L. forest. The research was conducted in a former roadbuilder's yard located along the Mediterranean Corridor, in Northern Italy. The yard had been in use during the widening of a highway that runs parallel to a stretch of the Corridor. The area was restored in 2014. Mechanical preparation of the soil included two treatments, with and without the addition of compost and zeolite. Acorns were then sown in plots in different microsites, i.e., 1) close to a shrub (*Cytisus scoparius* L., Scotch broom), 2) to the north and 3) south of a shield object (a 30 cm x 30 cm wood particle board), and 4) without any protection element (control). We recorded acorn emergence and predation during the first growing season. At the end of the season, overall acorn emergence was observed to be low, and, surprisingly, was higher in the non-amended soil treatment. The amended soil had a higher temperature and a lower water volumetric content in the summer (JJA). No evidence of direct facilitation on emergence by shield objects or Scotch broom was found, but indirect effects were detected. Acorn predation was generally high (67.45%), but was negatively affected by the presence of *C. scoparius*. The distance of the plot from the rainwater drainage ditch surrounding the study site also influenced winter predation; a larger number of acorns were removed by rodents at longer distances from the drainage ditch. In order to restore degraded

sites to lowland oak forests through direct seeding, it is necessary to deal with complex interactions between direct and indirect facilitation mechanisms, competition and predation. Predation was particularly important because of the high predation pressure on acorns.

**Key words:** *Quercus robur*; acorn; nurse shrub; artificial shield object; facilitation; seed predation.

## 1. Introduction

The ecological restoration of degraded man-made ecosystems usually requires plant establishment and survival in harsh or stressful environments, while limiting restoration costs and further interventions.

Seed sowing is often a viable and less expensive alternative to planting nursery-grown seedlings (Madsen and Löf, 2005), with seeds being easier to transport and manage than bareroot and container seedlings. Direct seeding also allows the plant root system to develop naturally, thereby avoiding the root malformations that are generally associated with containers (Stanturf et al., 2000; Dey et al., 2008). Instead, the use of container stock can reduce the stress linked to nutrient or water limitation, the risk of poor survival, and number of operations necessary for site preparation and management, e.g. litter removal, mechanical scarification, repeated mowing to control competing ground vegetation (Smith et al., 1997; Dey et al., 2008). Moreover, after germination, seedlings can show slower development rates than planted trees (Nyland 2007).

The early stages of seedling establishment are critical for the regeneration of plant populations. Several mortality factors, including predation, competition and abiotic stress can hamper seed regeneration (Nathan and Muller-Landau, 2000; Castro et al., 2004; Schurr et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2005; Riginos et al., 2005; Kipfer et al., 2009). In addition, excess light, high temperatures and summer drought, which are accentuated in degraded areas without forest cover, can severely limit the survival and growth of seedlings (Castro, 2006; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2006). Site conditions and mechanisms that operate at a small scale may in particular limit the emergence and performance of seedlings (Collins and Good, 1987).

Taking advantage of intra- or interspecific facilitation mechanisms and the sheltering effects of abiotic elements in natural environments has proven to produce beneficial effects on the survival, initial growth and fitness of the neighbouring seedlings, particularly in climatically stressing sites (Callaway, 2007; Brooker et al., 2008; Marzano et al., 2013). Increasing evidence has pointed out the potential benefits of the application of this nursing effect to improve the success of restoration projects in degraded environments (Padilla and Pugnaire, 2006; Torroba-Balmori et al., 2015).

Nurse plants improve the emergence, survival and growth of seedlings (Castro et al., 2004; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2004; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2006; Torroba-Balmori et al., 2015) providing a favourable microhabitat in their surroundings. They offer shade, buffering against high radiation and temperatures, and can increase soil moisture and nutrient content (Callaway, 1995; Rey Benayas, 2005; Legras et al., 2010; Leiva et al., 2015).

94 In highly degraded systems, and in particular at the grassland stage, nurse  
95 objects, such as woody debris or artificial shade-structures can emulate the  
96 beneficial effects of nurse plants by reproducing the shaded environments that  
97 can be found under their canopies (Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2005; Rey Benayas et  
98 al., 2005; Badano et al., 2011).

99 A key point that influences the recruitment process success is then the interaction  
100 among environmental factors that affect regeneration and the behavior of seed  
101 dispersal and predation agents (Crawley and Long, 1995; Sunyer et al., 2015).  
102 This interaction can actually alter the probability of seed survival and seedling  
103 establishment, with the spatial pattern of seed removal usually resulting from  
104 predator preferences for certain microhabitats (Clark et al., 1999; Jordano and  
105 Schupp, 2000; Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2008). Thus, even though nurse  
106 plants and shield objects could improve microhabitat conditions and promote  
107 seed germination and growth, they could also influence animal behavior, driving  
108 seed and seedling predator movements. Their presence, which in particular  
109 affects the foraging behavior of animals that usually feed on seeds, could result  
110 in increasing predation.

111 It is well known that wild ungulates and granivorous rodents in Europe can  
112 predate a large number of seeds and browse saplings, thus reducing the success  
113 of direct seeding in restoration projects (Birkedal et al., 2009; Jinks et al., 2012;  
114 van Ginkel et al., 2013). The seed predation rate is strongly affected by habitat  
115 complexity, which in turn can influence the abundance of ungulates and rodents.  
116 For instance, wild ungulates tend to avoid habitats with complex structure that  
117 could hamper their movements, while small mammals prefer to forage in habitats

with shrubs and rocks, where they perceive a minor predation risk (Gómez et al., 2003; Orrock et al., 2004; Fedriani and Manzaneda, 2005; Pérez-Ramos et al., 2008; Leverkus et al., 2013). The presence of coarse woody debris in forests usually intensifies the removal of seeds by rodents, and the presence of shrubs and shelters lengthens the time that the rodent spends handling and choosing viable seeds (Perea et al., 2011; van Ginkel et al., 2013).

In the context of a large lowland oak forest restoration project, we have investigated whether the presence of shelter elements can improve acorn emergence and seedling survival of pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.) or whether it can support the movement decisions and foraging behavior of small rodents, by analyzing the main factors that affect spatio-temporal variations in seed predation.

Since acorn germination in *Quercus* species is conditioned by soil moisture (Pérez-Ramos et al., 2013) and can present delayed emergence (González-Rodríguez et al., 2012), using shrubs (Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2004) and artificial shield objects on planting sites could ameliorate microclimatic conditions, and thus enhance emergence rates (Smit et al., 2008). The large size of oak cotyledons can increase the odds of both regeneration success and seed predation. The latter, which is mainly by small mammals (Gómez et al., 2003; Smit et al., 2008), is usually more intense in the post-dispersal phase and is one of the main factors that endangers oak recruitment. The regeneration performance of oak is related to several variables (Annighöfer et al. 2015). Acorn predation before germination largely determines the success of regeneration



(Harmer, 1994), with competition, pests, water supply, light availability and browsing being other variables leading to recruitment failure (Nilsson et al., 1996). We have hypothesized that improving the physical and chemical conditions of the soil and facilitating seed germination with nurse shrubs and shield objects could influence oak establishment but also the removal of acorns by small mammals. Our main aims were thus to 1) assess the impact of soil amelioration on acorn emergence and predation rate; 2) evaluate the role of enhanced seeding microsites (close to nurse shrubs and shield objects) on the regeneration performance and acorn predation; 3) determine whether the relative position of sowing sites can modify the predation pattern.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### **2.1 Study site.**

The experiment was conducted in a degraded area in northwestern Italy (45°11'38.60" N, 7°50'38.04" E, ca. 190 m a.s.l.). The site is located along the Rail Freight Corridor 6 (Mediterranean Corridor) (European Commission, 2018), linking the southwestern Mediterranean region of Spain and the Hungarian border with Ukraine. The area, which is bordered by a highway, was formerly a roadbuilder's yard. In November 2014, after the road works ended, the area was restored through both seed sowing and seedling planting (2 year old nursery plants), followed by hand sowing with a grassland species mixture. The tree and shrub species used in the experiment were those that usually grow in the lowland oak-hornbeam Mesophytic deciduous forest, i.e., the natural late seral forest

ecosystem of the Po Plain, which is dominated by *Q. robur* and *Carpinus betulus* L.


No trees were present when the experiment was started. The soil texture was sandy loam. The pH ranged from 7.41 to 8.08 and soil organic matter content ranged from 1.5% to 3%. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) was lower than 10 meq/100 g.

The climate is temperate, with an annual mean temperature of 11.4 °C and average annual precipitation of 806.2 mm (ARPA Piemonte-Verolengo meteorological station 10 km from the study site, period 1988-2010). The rainfall is not homogeneously distributed during the year, with spring and autumn being the wettest seasons.

A rainwater drainage ditch surrounds the area. At the beginning of the experiment, the area was fenced off against the introduced eastern cottontail (*Sylvilagus floridanus*) and wild ungulates to avoid browsing damage to seedlings and seed predation.

The experimental design (Fig. 1) included two soil treatments (non-amended and amended). In November 2014, the treatments were applied in rows after a mechanical site preparation. Using a tractor the rows were ripped (to a 70 cm depth) and ploughed (to a 40 cm depth). The distance between rows was approximately 2.5 m. Furrows were then formed along the southern edge of the rows. Half of the rows were just ripped and ploughed (non-amended). Since we wanted to improve the organic matter content up to 3% and raise the CEC to 15 meq/100g, the other rows were ripped, ploughed and amended using 4.2 Mg/ha of compost and 43 Mg/ha of zeolite, with a diameter of 3-8 mm. The compost

had a pH of 8.7, 24% w/w of organic carbon content on a dry matter basis, and a 2.19% total N content. Zeolite was chosen as it is a slow release fertilizer (Allen and Ming, 1995; Campisi et al., 2016). It has a very good cation exchange capacity and retains water and nutrients thanks to its high adsorption ability. In the sampling design, amended rows alternated with non-amended ones (Fig. 1). Rectangular plots (4 m x 5 m) were established, 1.5 m apart from each other, within each row. Six plots per treatment were used for the direct seeding of *Q. robur* acorns, while the remaining 60 plots per treatment were used for seedling planting.



**Fig. 1.** Sampling design. Plots were established in rows after mechanical site preparation. Rows amended with compost and zeolite (fully colored in grey) alternated with the non-amended ones (no color; grey border). Among the amended plots, the black ones are those used for the direct seeding of *Q. robur*

acorns. Among the non-amended plots, those with a black border were used for the direct seeding of *Q. robur* acorns. The rest of the amended and non-amended plots were used for seedling planting (data not reported). A rainwater drainage ditch (black dashed line) surrounds the study site, which is also bordered by a highway.

## **2.2 Acorn facilitation and predation experiment**

In order to assess the role of shield and nurse elements in the oak emergence, and their influence on rodent predation, we performed a sowing experiment, the set-up of which included four different treatments within each of the 12 main-plots that were sown (6 x 2 soil treatments). In each soil treatment, acorns were sown 1) close to a *Cytisus scoparius* L. (Scotch broom) shrub (B); 2) close to the northern (N) and 3) southern side (S) of a shield object; 4) without protection elements in the surrounding area (control) (C).

Scotch broom is a native nitrogen-fixing species, which grows in dense clumps, and has been found to facilitate *Q. robur* recruitment (Muhammed et al., 2015). The nurse shrubs were 2 year old nursery Scotch brooms, with a mean height of 72.5 cm ( $\pm$  10.8) at planting time in November 2014. Any dead Scotch brooms were replaced during the experiment. The artificial shield objects consisted of wood particle boards (30 cm x 30 cm), erected vertically in an east-west direction.

We established 10 sowing sites (replicates) for each treatment. Three acorns were planted in each site, 5 cm apart, one per hole; they were buried vertically at a depth of 3 cm and covered with soil. The acorns were sown at the minimum possible distance from the wooden boards and *C. scoparius* (2-5 cm). This

resulted in a total of 10 replicates x 3 acorns x 4 treatments x 6 plots x 2 soil treatments (= 1440 buried acorns). Before sowing, the seeds were floated in water to exclude any non-viable ones (Gómez et al., 2003). A single batch of acorns was used (Bosco della Mesola, 44°50'20.66" N, 12°15'08.70" E, ca. 0 m a.s.l.). Sowing was performed twice, in winter (December 2014) and spring (March 2015), thus allowing us to test for seasonality in predation. The sowing site position was recorded in order to investigate whether the distance from potential preferential paths (e.g. the drainage ditch and furrows), where rodents would be protected from possible predators, affected their foraging behavior and thus the seed removal rate.

### **2.3 Data Collection**

Seedling emergence was recorded 3 times during the experiment, in May, July and September 2015. It was calculated in a cumulative way.

The meteorological data that might have influenced emergence (air temperature, precipitation) were obtained from the ARPA Piemonte-Verolengo meteorological station (45°10'54.86" N, 8°1'55.46" E; 163 m a.s.l.). The study year (2015) had a particularly hot and dry summer. Mean summer temperature (JJA) was 1.9 °C warmer than the 1989-2014 period (23.1 °C vs. 21.2 °C), and precipitation amounted to 168.4 mm (mean summer precipitation 1991-2014 was 196.8 mm).

The soil temperature and moisture were collected using Lascar EasyLog EL-USB-2 data loggers (n=16) and Spectrum WatchDog 1400 Micro Stations with Spectrum WaterScout SM 100 soil moisture sensors (n=16), respectively. The soil sensors were buried at a depth of 10 cm in each sowing manipulation for the

two soil conditions (amended and non-amended). Data were collected from the beginning of June to the end of August 2015.

The final fate of the acorns was recorded twice: early spring (March), and late summer (September). By means of a hunting camera, rodents were identified as the main acorn predators. Removed and gnawed acorns were considered as predated.

We confirmed the removal of acorns in each survey by digging all the sowing points. During the first survey, acorns were recorded as predated or intact. During the second survey, acorns were recorded as seedlings (epicotyl visible aboveground), ungerminable (empty or unhealthy endosperm/cotyledon), or predated (removed or gnawed seeds) (Birkedal et al., 2010).

## **2.4 Data analysis**

We firstly analyzed the role of soil treatments on acorn emergence and predation rate by means of the  $\chi^2$  test. As expected, soil amelioration had no effect on predation rates, and was thus not considered in subsequent predation analyses ( $\chi^2$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ). We then tested the effect of the different treatments on acorn predation by fitting generalized linear models (GLM) in which the presence or absence of shrubs (B), the location south (S) or north (N) of the board, distance from the surrounding drainage ditch (DIST), and the period (TIME) were included, along with all their possible interactions, as explanatory variables. The DIST parameter was computed in a GIS (ArcMap 10.1) environment: eight 5.5 m wide buffers were created and each plot was assigned to a buffer in relation to its

distance from the ditch. The control plots were included in the analysis with absence values (0) for all treatments (i.e. no shrubs, no boards).

In order to define the influence of different factors on the rate of emergence we ran generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) considering soil amelioration (A), presence of shrubs (B), location south (S) or north (N) of the board as fixed parameters, along with their possible interactions, and plot location defined by distance from the drainage ditch (DIST) as a random factor. GLMM analyses were implemented in the R software environment (2.15.2; R Development Core Team, 2012) using the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2015). The GLM analyses were run using STATGRAPHICS centurion XVII (Statpoint Inc., USA, 2014).

Since the predation and emergence data showed a binary response, a binomial error distribution with a logit-link function was adopted in both analyses. Model simplification was accomplished by computing the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Starting from the full model, the minimal adequate GLMM or GLM was obtained by sequentially removing any non-significant model terms until no further reduction in AIC was observed.

Multi-comparison tests were run using Kyplot 2.0 (KyensLab Inc.) for the parameters that appeared to significantly affect acorn predation or emergence.

We tested the relationship between distance from the drainage ditch (DIST) and predation rate for each plot using a linear regression model. A similar analysis was conducted considering the distance of sowing sites from the furrows on the southern side of the rows.

### **3. Results**

The mean summer temperature in the topsoil (0-10 cm) was 28.0 °C, while the mean summer volumetric water content was 6.1%. A significantly higher daily mean temperature of the soil was observed in the amended sowing sites located close to shrubs (B) and north of the boards (N), compared to the non-amended ones (t-paired test;  $p < 0.01$ ), but no difference was found between treatments for both amended and non-amended sites (Table 1; Tukey test, Pairwise multiple comparisons;  $p > 0.01$ ). Both daily maximum temperature and temperature range showed higher values in amended sites than in non-amended ones (t-paired test;  $p < 0.01$ ), except for control sites. No significant differences were detected for daily maximum temperature between sowing treatments for both amended and non-amended sites. The soil in amended sites on the southern side of the board (S) showed the largest mean daily temperature range (compared to C and B; Tukey test, Pairwise multiple comparison;  $p < 0.01$ ), while the daily range was significantly wider in S non-amended sites than in N ones (Tukey test, Pairwise multiple comparisons;  $p < 0.01$ ). The mean volumetric water content in amended and non-amended sites was significantly different for each sowing treatment (t-paired test;  $p < 0.01$ ). No differences were found in amended sites, while N showed a significantly higher water content in non-amended ones (Table 1; Tukey test, Pairwise multiple comparisons;  $p < 0.01$ ).

**Table 1.** Mean soil temperatures (daily mean, maximum and range) and volumetric water content ( $\pm$  standard deviation) for the summer months (JJA) in amended and non-amended sowing sites. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments according to a Tukey post-hoc test ( $p < 0.01$ ). The



321 treatments are C, control; N, acorns sown north of a shield object (a wood particle  
 322 board); S, acorns sown south of a shield object (a wood particle board); B, acorns  
 323 sown close to Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius* L.).

324

	amended soil				non-amended soil			
JJA	C	N	S	B	C	N	S	B
Soil T mean (°C)	28.26 <sup>a</sup> (±4.34)	27.85 <sup>a</sup> (±4.28)	28.19 <sup>a</sup> (±4.46)	28.16 <sup>a</sup> (±4.34)	27.89 <sup>a</sup> (±4.50)	27.21 <sup>a</sup> (±4.22)	28.30 <sup>a</sup> (±4.79)	27.87 <sup>a</sup> (±4.26)
Soil T max (°C)	33.21 <sup>a</sup> (±5.85)	33.28 <sup>a</sup> (±5.94)	34.29 <sup>a</sup> (±6.45)	33.40 <sup>a</sup> (±6.11)	33.05 <sup>a</sup> (±6.43)	31.87 <sup>a</sup> (±5.79)	33.80 <sup>a</sup> (±6.98)	32.87 <sup>a</sup> (±5.84)
Soil T range (°C)	10.13 <sup>a</sup> (±3.79)	10.87 <sup>ab</sup> (±3.72)	12.20 <sup>b</sup> (±4.40)	10.47 <sup>a</sup> (±3.93)	10.32 <sup>ab</sup> (±4.20)	9.32 <sup>a</sup> (±3.48)	10.99 <sup>b</sup> (±4.72)	10.01 <sup>ab</sup> (±3.60)
Soil Water Content (%)	6.17 <sup>a</sup> (±5.10)	4.37 <sup>a</sup> (±3.86)	4.40 <sup>a</sup> (±3.80)	4.73 <sup>a</sup> (±4.05)	5.12 <sup>a</sup> (±3.84)	11.83 <sup>b</sup> (±8.20)	4.82 <sup>a</sup> (±3.33)	7.00 <sup>a</sup> (±5.99)


325

326

327 In September, acorn emergence was 7.98% (based on the total sown amount).  
 328 No significant difference in emergence rates was observed for the two soil  
 329 treatments ( $\chi^2$  test;  $p>0.05$ ).

330 Predation rate was high for both periods (42.09% in March; 67.45% in  
 331 September). No significant soil treatment effects were observed on the acorn  
 332 predation rate for either period ( $\chi^2$  test;  $p>0.05$ ). A significant difference between  
 333 sowing treatments was instead found in both amended and non-amended sites  
 334 ( $\chi^2$  test;  $p<0.01$ ). In March no significant difference was found in the number of  
 335 predated acorns between treatments. In contrast, predation was significantly

lower in September (Steel-Dwass multiple comparisons test;  $p < 0.05$ ) in B (55.56%) than in C (77.50%) or S (70.05%) (Fig. 2).




**Fig. 2.** Predation rate of *Q. robur* acorns for the two surveys (March and September). Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments according to a Steel-Dwass multiple comparisons test ( $p < 0.05$ ). The treatments are C, control; N, acorns sown north of a shield object (a wood particle board); S, acorns sown south of a shield object (a wood particle board); B, acorns sown close to Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius* L.).

GLM showed that different factors affected predation rate (Table 2). The final model ( $F=6.27$ ;  $p<0.001$ ) showed that only the shrub presence, among the different treatments, significantly affected predation rate, and that distance from the drainage ditch was the most important variable. The period was only important when combined with distance from the drainage ditch and with treatments (B, N, S). This result was confirmed by the linear regression analysis (Fig.3). In March, there was a significant increase in predation rate as distance from the drainage ditch increased ( $R^2 = 0.696$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ). No significant effect was found in September ( $R^2 = 0.017$ ,  $p = 0.689$ ), the predation rate being high and almost constant (always  $>50\%$ ) along the distance gradient (Fig.3).

**Table 2.** Summary of the GLM for acorn predation. B, acorns sown close to Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius* L.); N, acorns sown north of a shield object (a wood particle board); S, acorns sown south of a shield object (a wood particle board); DIST, distance from drainage ditch; TIME period of the survey.

Source	Estimate $\pm$ S.E.	df	F	P
B	0.064 $\pm$ 0.021	1, 69	9.63	<b>0.003</b>
N	0.021 $\pm$ 0.021	1, 69	1.06	0.301
DIST	-0.182 $\pm$ 0.038	5, 69	8.28	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
TIME	-0.051 $\pm$ 0.033	1, 69	2.29	0.135
B x TIME	-0.041 $\pm$ 0.024	1, 69	2.76	0.101
N x TIME	-0.031 $\pm$ 0.024	1, 69	1.58	0.213
S x TIME	-0.042 $\pm$ 0.024	1, 69	2.96	0.090

B x DIST x TIME	-0.032±0.033	5, 69	2.79	<b>0.024</b>
N x DIST x TIME	-0.059±0.033	5, 69	3.69	<b>0.005</b>
S x DIST x TIME	-0.070±0.033	5, 69	3.38	<b>0.009</b>



**Fig. 3.** *Q. robur* predation rate in relation to plot distance from the drainage ditch.  $R^2=0.696$ ,  $p=0.001$  in March (M, solid line).  $R^2=0.017$ ,  $p=0.689$  in September (S, dashed line).

Overall net acorn emergence was computed at the end of the season considering only non-predated acorns. Just 24.3% of non-predated acorns germinated. A higher emergence rate was found in non-amended sites ( $\chi^2$  test;  $p<0.05$ ), but there were no significant differences between sowing treatments ( $\chi^2$  test;  $p>0.05$ ). GLMM confirmed that soil treatment was the only factor that affected emergence (Table 3).

The distance between different sowing points in the plot and furrows had no significant effect on the predation rate in either March or September ( $p>0.05$ ). Since there was a high predation rate and low germination success, which greatly reduced the number of emerged seedlings, the growth rate and survival data were not considered in the subsequent analyses.

**Table 3.** Model parameter estimates derived from the GLMM models for acorn emergence at the end of the growing season (net of predation). B, acorns sown close to Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius* L.); S, acorns sown south of a shield object (a wood particle board); SOIL, acorns sown in amended soil.

Fixed effects	Estimate± S.E.	Z-value	P
(Intercept)	-1.45±0.25	-5.81	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
S	0.47±0.29	1.61	0.107
B	0.39±0.31	1.23	0.218
SOIL	-0.83±0.35	-2.35	<b>0.019</b>
B x SOIL	0.61±0.44	1.39	0.165

#### 4. Discussion

Different biotic and abiotic factors were found to affect the regeneration performance in our manipulation experiment.

Contrary to our expectations, *Q. robur* emergence was low in all treatments. However, the short-term results of the restoration activities were to a great extent influenced by rodent predation.

The summer of the study year (2015) was hotter and dryer than average (see also Orth et al., 2016), and this probably reduced the soil moisture, whose influence on acorn germination in *Quercus* species is well known (Löff and Birkedal, 2009; Pérez-Ramos et al., 2013). A rainfall deficit, coupled with high air temperatures, affected the microclimatic conditions in the top soil, and this led to an extremely low water content and high temperatures, far from optimal values for acorn germination and seedling growth.

The stressful environment determined by the higher temperatures and lower precipitations in the summer months was also attested to by the high mortality rate of planted seedlings, all of which presented symptoms of drought stress and increased transplant shock (data not shown).

Summer drought, the frequency and length of which are predicted to increase in Central Europe due to climate change (Orth et al., 2016; Trnka et al., 2016), can exacerbate the harsh conditions faced by seedlings, particularly in areas undergoing restoration activities. In these areas, its negative effects could potentially be mitigated through microsite amelioration provided by shading elements (Castro et al., 2002; Man and Greenway, 2011). Nurse shrubs and natural or artificial shield objects can affect microsites anisotropically (Lingua et al., 2008; Marzano et al., 2013), thus making the relative location of the seedling crucial. In our manipulation experiment, soil conditions on the two sides of the wooden board differed. Higher maximum temperatures, which lead to an increase

in the daily range, are commonly found on the sun-exposed side, as a result of both a lack of shade and an increase in reflected solar radiation.

Artificial shield objects have proved important in enhancing microsite conditions in harsh environments, protecting plants from wind, snow, and/or excessive heat (Helgerson, 1990; Carlsson and Callaghan, 1991). Being non-living elements, artificial objects can sometimes induce a net positive effect since they provide benefits to seedlings without competing for resources (e.g. water, nutrients), in a similar way to *in-situ* produced deadwood and woody debris (Castro et al., 2011; Marzano et al. 2013).

In our treatments, the amended soil reached the highest daily mean and maximum temperatures, as well as the widest temperature range, since organic matter probably affected solar radiation adsorption and reradiation (Helgerson, 1990). The sites located on the southern side of the boards, which were those that reached the highest diurnal temperatures during summer, had the widest temperature range for both soil treatments. On non-amended sites, the temperature on the northern side of the boards was always lower (even if not significantly different from the southern side). However, it should be underlined that the sensors were buried in the soil at a depth of 10 cm, and this probably led to a buffering of the signal at ground level, where the effect of the nurse objects might be higher.

The soil water content did not differ among the amended sites. Even though zeolite could improve water retention (Xiubin and Zhanbin, 2001; Polat et al., 2004), the amount applied in the area was calculated only considering soil CEC

amelioration and may have been insufficient to affect the soil water holding capacity.

Conversely, in non-amended sites the board significantly enhanced the water content in the soil shaded from the midday sun's rays, thus suggesting that in harsh environments even small artificial objects are able to reduce an excess of irradiance and thus influence soil moisture (Egerton et al., 2000), as well as the suitability of a regeneration site.

Fabaceae shrubs have been found to facilitate tree regeneration by providing enhanced microsite conditions, but this facilitation probably acts more at the seedling survival stage than at the emergence level (Burrows et al., 2014). In addition, newly emerged oak seedlings depend to a great extent on cotyledon reserves, and are thus probably less influenced by the potential nursing effects of neighboring shrubs (Pérez-Ramos et al., 2010).

In our sowing trial, the facilitation provided by the shrubs was not direct in the first year, as a result of microsite enhancement, but was instead indirect, thus protecting the acorns from predation. The presence of shrubs is usually associated with improved microclimate and soil properties (Pugnaire et al., 2004; Smit et al., 2008), as well as the protection of seedlings against herbivory (Smit et al., 2006; Callaway, 2007). On the contrary, the risk of seed predation by rodents is generally first increased by the sheltered conditions created under the shrub (Callaway, 2007), potentially leading to a short-term disruption of the net facilitation effect (Bruno et al., 2003). This complex interaction has still not been exhaustively explored (Chaneton et al., 2010).



The crown architecture of our *C. scoparius* transplants was probably not developed enough to create a fully sheltered environment for rodents; moreover, since rodents detect seeds through odorants, i.e., volatile molecules from the seed surface, it is possible that Scotch broom releases substances that mask the smell of acorns buried in their proximity (Vander Wall, 2003). Scotch broom is known to be an allelopathic shrub that produces a set of different alkaloid compounds (Gresser et al., 1996; Grove et al., 2012). Further analyses should be conducted to investigate whether these shrubs can effectively alter the feeding behavior of rodents, as has already been shown for other plants (Curtis et al., 2002; Fischer et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2016).

Seed predation was high in the area in both winter and summer. The presence of the drainage ditch, furrows, shield objects and shrubs could provide protected sites and safe pathways for rodents, thus hiding them from predators. Seed predation rates are usually significantly higher in more complex habitats (Gómez et al., 2003; Madsen and Löf, 2005). Protected by vegetation, rodents can spend more time in finding and removing acorns (Orrock et al, 2004, Pérez-Ramos et al., 2008; Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2008; van Ginkel et al., 2013). We therefore expected the acorn predation rate to be higher under shrubs or close to wooden boards since these shelter elements can provide safer sites for foraging, potentially increasing rodent activity (Perea et al., 2011). We also expected a higher predation rate close to the furrows and drainage ditch surrounding the area.

Summer acorn predation was instead lower under Scotch broom, thus highlighting that the influence of nurse shrubs on predator feeding habits could

result from the interplay of several factors, not merely related to their cover and the resulting sheltering effect. Finding shrub species that are able to both enhance microsite conditions and limit acorn predation would be of great value for forest restoration activities.

In winter (Dec-Mar), the distance from the drainage ditch played a key role in determining the predation rate, but it was not inversely related as had been hypothesized. In fact, the observed predation rate increased with distance. A possible explanation for this is the presence of the fence along the highway (and the drainage ditch) enclosing the experimental area. Fence poles provided the only perching sites for rodent predators, given that there was no tall vegetation on the site. The predation pressure of raptors on small mammal populations may depend on the availability of perch sites (Wolff et al., 1999; Meunier et al., 2000). Car and street lights could also have contributed to increasing the perception of danger in the bordering area (Perea et al., 2011). The rodents thus perceived a higher predation risk near the edge of the experimental site rather than in its central part, and modified their feeding behavior accordingly (Diaz et al., 2005). This spatial relationship disappeared in late spring and summer, when tall herbaceous vegetation covered the entire area homogeneously, thus making rodent movements safer over the whole site.

Restoring degraded sites to a lowland oak forest through direct seeding requires dealing with the intensive predation pressure that acorns are usually subjected to. With so many animals relying on acorns as a main part of their diet, restoration projects that wish to apply seed sowing must adopt adequate strategies for the direct or indirect protection of acorns (Madsen and Löff, 2005; Leverkus et al.,

2015; Torroba-Balmori et al., 2015). Seed protection should not greatly impinge on the total cost of the restoration effort. Cost-effective restoration in highly disturbed areas (e.g. after road, highway or railway construction) is currently a challenge for ecologists and practitioners (Cuperus et al., 1999; Corbin and Holl, 2012; Löff et al., 2012; Meli et al., 2014; Stark et al., 2015). Restoring degraded areas, particularly where disturbed site conditions might be made worse by a harsh environment and climate conditions, may require more complex approaches, thus making it necessary to understand the various interactions between direct and indirect facilitation mechanisms, competition, predation and herbivory.

## **Acknowledgements**

Funding: This study was supported by S.A.T.A.P. S.p.A. [Project name: “Realizzazione di impianti sperimentali per il recupero di aree degradate”].

The authors would like to thank Walter Re from S.A.T.A.P. S.p.A. for his co-operation in the research and his logistic support; Michele Lonati, Flavio Ruffinatto, Emanuele Sibona, and Davide Viglietti, for their valuable help during the field work and their helpful comments and suggestions.

Authors' contributions: S.M.: conception and design of the research, data collection; data analysis; paper writing; E.L. and R.M.: conception and design of the research, data analysis; paper writing. F.M.: conception and design of the research, data collection; paper revision. M.F., R.M. and A.N.: paper revision.

531

## 532 **References**

533 Allen, E.R, Ming, D.W., 1995. Recent progress in the use of natural zeolites in  
534 agronomy and horticulture. In D.W. Ming and F.A. Mumpton, Ed., Natural  
535 zeolites' 93: Occurrence, properties, use. 477-490. International Committee on  
536 Natural Zeolites, Brockport, New York.

537 Annighöfer, P., Beckschäfer, P., Vor, T., Ammer, C., 2015. Regeneration  
538 patterns of European oak species (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl., *Quercus*  
539 *robur* L.) in dependence of environment and neighborhood. PLoS ONE 10(8):  
540 e0134935. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134935

541 Badano, E.I., Samour-Nieva, O.R., Flores, J., 2011. Emulating nurse plants to  
542 restore oak forests. Ecol. Eng. 37, 1244–1248.  
543 doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2011.02.017

544 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., Walker, S. C., 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-  
545 Effects Models using lme4. J. Stat. Soft. 67. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01

546 Birkedal, M., Löf, M., Olsson, G. E., Bergsten, U., 2010. Effects of granivorous  
547 rodents on direct seeding of oak and beech in relation to site preparation and  
548 sowing date. For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 2382–2389.  
549 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.03.014

550 Birkedal, M., Fischer, A., Karlsson, M., Löf, M., Madsen, P., 2009. Rodent  
551 impact on establishment of direct-seeded *Fagus sylvatica*, *Quercus robur* and

552 *Quercus petraea* on forest land. Scand. J. For. Res. 24, 298–307. doi:  
 553 10.1080/02827580903055125

554 Brooker, R. W., Maestre, F. T., Callaway, R. M., Lortie, C. L., Cavieres, L. A.,  
 555 Kunstler, G., Liancourt, P., Tielbörger, K., Travis, J. M. J., Anthelme, F., Armas,  
 556 C., Coll, L., Corcket, E., Delzon, S., Forey, E., Kikvidze, Z., Olofsson, J.,  
 557 Pugnaire, F., Quiroz, C. L., Saccone, P., Schiffers, K., Seifan, M., Touzard, B.,  
 558 Michalet, R., 2008. Facilitation in plant communities: The past, the present, and  
 559 the future. J. Ecol. 96, 18–34. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01295.x

560 Bruno, J. F., Stachowicz, J. J., Bertness, M. D., 2003. Inclusion of facilitation  
 561 into ecological theory. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 119–125. doi: 10.1016/S0169-  
 562 5347(02)00045-9

563 Burrows, L., Cieraad, E., Head, N., 2014. Scotch broom facilitates indigenous  
 564 tree and shrub germination and establishment in dryland New Zealand. N. Z. J.  
 565 Ecol. 39, 61–70.

566 Callaway, R. M., 2007. Positive Interactions and Interdependence in Plant  
 567 Communities. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

568 Callaway, R. M., 1995. Positive interactions among plants. Bot. Rev. 61, 306–  
 569 349. doi:10.1007/BF02912621

570 Campisi, T., Abbondanzi, F., Faccini, B., Di Giuseppe, D. Malferrari, D., Coltorti,  
 571 M., Laurora, A., Passaglia, E., 2016. Ammonium-charged zeolite effects on  
 572 crop growth and nutrient leaching: greenhouse experiments on maize (*Zea*  
 573 *mays*). Catena 140, 66-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.01.019>

574 Carlsson, B. A., Callaghan, T. V., 1991. Positive plant interactions in tundra  
575 vegetation and the importance of shelter. J. Ecol. 79, 973-983

576 Castro, J., 2006. Short delay in timing of emergence determines establishment  
577 success in *Pinus sylvestris* across microhabitats. Ann. Bot. 98, 1233–1240. doi:  
578 10.1093/aob/mcl208

579 Castro, J., Allen, C. D., Molina-Morales, M., Marañón-Jiménez, S., Sánchez-  
580 Miranda, Á., Zamora, R., 2011. Salvage logging versus the use of burnt wood  
581 as a nurse object to promote post-fire tree seedling establishment. Restor. Ecol.  
582 19, 537–544. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00619.x

583 Castro, J., Zamora, R., Hódar, J.A., Gómez, J.M., 2004. Seedling establishment  
584 of a boreal tree species (*Pinus sylvestris*) at its southernmost distribution limit:  
585 Consequences of being in a marginal Mediterranean habitat. J. Ecol. 92, 266–  
586 277. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-0477.2004.00870.x

587 Castro, J., Zamora, R., Hódar, J. A., 2002. Mechanisms blocking *Pinus*  
588 *sylvestris* colonization of Mediterranean mountain meadows. J. Veg. Sci. 13,  
589 725–731. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02100.x

590 Chaneton, E. J., Mazía, N. C., Kitzberger, T., 2010. Facilitation vs. apparent  
591 competition: insect herbivory alters tree seedling recruitment under nurse  
592 shrubs in a steppe-woodland ecotone. J. Ecol. 98, 488–497. doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
593 2745.2009.01631.x

594 Clark, J.S., Silman, M., Kern, R., Macklin, E., HilleRisLambers, J., 1999. Seed  
 595 dispersal near and far: patterns across temperate and tropical forests. *Ecology*  
 596 80: 1475–1494.

597 Collins, S.L., Good, R.E., 1987. The seedling regeneration niche: habitat  
 598 structure of tree seedlings in an oak-pine forest. *Oikos* 48, 89-98.

599 Corbin, J. D., Holl, K. D., 2012. Applied nucleation as a forest restoration  
 600 strategy. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 265, 37–46. doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2011.10.013

601 Crawley, M. J., Long, C. R., 1995. Alternate bearing, predator satiation and  
 602 seedling recruitment in *Quercus Robur* L. *J. Ecol.* 83, 683-696. doi:  
 603 10.2307/2261636

604 Cuperus, R., Canters, K. J., Udo De Haes, H. A., Friedman, D. S., 1999.  
 605 Guidelines for ecological compensation associated with highways. *Biol.*  
 606 *Conserv.* 90, 41–51. doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00007-5

607 Curtis, P. D., Rowland, E. D., Good, G. L., 2002. Developing a plant-based vole  
 608 repellent: screening of ten candidate species. *Crop Prot.* 21, 299-306

609 Davis, M.A., Curran, C., Tietmeyer, A., Miller, A., 2005. Dynamic tree  
 610 aggregation patterns in a species-poor temperate woodland disturbed by fire. *J.*  
 611 *Veg. Sci.* 16:167–174.

612 Dey, D. C., Jacobs, D., McNabb, K., Miller, G., Baldwin, V., Foster, G., 2008.  
 613 Artificial regeneration of major oak (*Quercus*) species in the eastern United  
 614 States-A review of the literature. *For. Sci.* 54, 77–106.

615 Díaz, M., Torre, I., Peris, A., Tena, L., 2005. Foraging behavior of wood mice as  
616 related to presence and activity of genets. *J. Mammal.* 86, 1178-1185

617 Egerton, J.J.G., Banks, J.C.G., Gibson, A., Cunningham, R.B., Ball M.C., 2000.  
618 Facilitation of seedling establishment: reduction in irradiance enhances winter  
619 growth of *Eucalyptus pauciflora*. *Ecology* 81(5), 1437-1449.

620 Fedriani, J. M., Manzaneda, A. J., 2005. Pre- and postdispersal seed predation  
621 by rodents: Balance of food and safety. *Behav. Ecol.* 16, 1018–1024. doi:  
622 10.1093/beheco/ari082

623 Fischer, D., Imholt, C., Pelz, H. J., Wink, M., Prokop, A., Jacob, J., 2013. The  
624 repelling effect of plants secondary metabolites on water voles, *Arvicola*  
625 *amphibious*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 69, 437-443

626 Gómez, J. M., García, D., Zamora, R., 2003. Impact of vertebrate acorn- and  
627 seedling-predators on a Mediterranean *Quercus pyrenaica* forest. *For. Ecol.*  
628 *Manage.* 180, 125–134. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1127(02)00608-4

629 Gómez-Aparicio, L., Valladares, F., Zamora, R., 2006. Differential light  
630 responses of Mediterranean tree saplings: linking ecophysiology with  
631 regeneration niche in four co-occurring species. *Tree Physiol.* 26, 947–958.  
632 doi:10.1093/treephys/26.7.947

633 Gómez-Aparicio, L., Valladares, F., Zamora, R., Quero, J. L., 2005. Response of  
634 tree seedlings to the abiotic heterogeneity generated by nurse shrubs: an  
635 experimental approach at different scales. *Ecography.* 28, 757-768



636 Gómez-Aparicio, L., Zamora, R., Gómez, J.M., Hódar, J.A., Castro, J., Baraza,  
637 E., 2004. Applying plant positive interactions to reforestation in Mediterranean  
638 mountains: a meta-analysis of the use of shrubs as nurse plants. *Ecol. Appl.* 14,  
639 1128–1138.

640 González-Rodríguez, V., Barrio, I.C., Villar, R., 2012. Within-population  
641 variability influences early seedling establishment in four Mediterranean oaks.  
642 *Acta Oecol.* 41, 82–89.

643 Gresser, G., Witte, L., Dedkov, V.P., Czygan F-C, 1996. A survey of  
644 quinolizidine alkaloids and phenylethylamine tyramine in *Cytisus scoparius*  
645 (Leguminosae) from different origins. *Z. Naturforsch.* 51c, 791-801.

646 Grove, S., Haubensak, K.A., Parker, I.M., 2012. Direct and indirect effects of  
647 allelopathy in the soil legacy of an exotic plant invasion. *Plant Ecology*  
648 213:1869-1882

649 Hansen, S. C., Stolter, C., Imholt, C., Jacob, J., 2016. Plant secondary  
650 metabolites as rodent repellents: a systematic review. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 42, 970-  
651 983. doi: 10.1007/s10886-016-0760-5

652 Harmer, R., 1994. Natural regeneration of broadleaved trees in Britain: II. Seed  
653 production and predation. *Forestry* 67:275–286.

654 Helgersson, O. T., 1990. Heat damage in tree seedlings and its prevention. *New*  
655 *For.* 3, 333–358. doi: 10.1007/BF00030044

656 Jinks, R. L., Parratt, M., Morgan, G., 2012. Preference of granivorous rodents  
 657 for seeds of 12 temperate tree and shrub species used in direct sowing. For.  
 658 Ecol. Manage. 278, 71–79. doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2012.05.013

659 Jordano, P., Schupp, E.W., 2000. Seed disperser effectiveness: the quantity  
 660 component and patterns of seed rain for *Prunus mahaleb*. Ecol. Monogr. 70,  
 661 591–615. doi:10.1890/0012-9615(2000)070[0591:SDETQC]2.0.CO;2

662 Kipfer, T., Egli, S., Ghazoul, J., Moser, B., Wohlgemuth, T., 2009. Susceptibility  
 663 of ectomycorrhizal fungi to soil heating. Fungal Biol. 114, 467–472.

664 Legras, E. C., Vander Wall, S. B., Board, D. I., 2010. The role of germination  
 665 microsite in the establishment of sugar pine and Jeffrey pine seedlings. For.  
 666 Ecol. Manage. 260, 806–813. doi: 10.1016/J.FORECO.2010.05.039

667 Leiva, M. J., Mancilla-Leyton, J. M., Vicente, Á. M., 2015. Differences in the  
 668 facilitative ability of two Mediterranean shrubs on holm-oak seedling recruitment  
 669 in Mediterranean savanna-forest ecosystems. Ecol. Eng. 82, 349–354.  
 670 doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.05.019

671 Leverkus, A. B., Rojo M., Castro, J. 2015. Habitat complexity and individual  
 672 acorn protectors enhance the post-fire restoration of oak forests via seed  
 673 sowing. Ecol. Eng. 83, 276-280. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.06.033

674 Leverkus, A. B., Castro, J., Puerta-Piñero, C., Rey Benayas, J. M., 2013.  
 675 Suitability of the management of habitat complexity, acorn burial depth, and a  
 676 chemical repellent for post-fire reforestation of oaks. Ecol. Eng. 53, 15–22. doi:  
 677 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.01.003

678   Lingua, E., Cherubini, P., Motta, R., Nola, P., 2008. Spatial structure along an  
679   altitudinal gradient in the Italian central Alps suggests competition and  
680   facilitation among coniferous species. *J. Veg. Sci.* 19, 425–436. doi:  
681   10.3170/2008-8-18391

682   Löf, M., Birkedal, M., 2009. Direct seeding of *Quercus robur* L. for reforestation:  
683   The influence of mechanical site preparation and sowing date on early growth of  
684   seedlings. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 258, 704–711.  
685   doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2009.05.008

686   Löf, M., Dey, D. C., Navarro, R. M., Jacobs, D. F., 2012. Mechanical site  
687   preparation for forest restoration. *New For.* 43, 825–848. doi: 10.1007/s11056-  
688   012-9332-x

689   Madsen, P., Löf, M., 2005. Reforestation in southern Scandinavia using direct  
690   seeding of oak (*Quercus robur* L.). *Forestry* 78, 55–64.  
691   doi:10.1093/forestry/cpi005

692   Man, R., Greenway, K. J., 2011. Effects of artificial shade on early performance  
693   of white spruce seedlings planted on clearcuts. *New For.* 41, 221–233. doi:  
694   10.1007/s11056-010-9223-y

695   Marzano, R., Garbarino, M., Marcolin, E., Pividori, M., Lingua, E., 2013.  
696   Deadwood anisotropic facilitation on seedling establishment after a stand-  
697   replacing wildfire in Aosta Valley (NW Italy). *Ecol. Eng.* 51, 117–122.  
698   doi:10.1016/J.ECOLENG.2012.12.030

699 Meli, P., Martínez-Ramos, M., Rey Benayas, J. M., Carabias, J., 2014.  
700 Combining ecological, social and technical criteria to select species for forest  
701 restoration. Appl. Veg. Sci. 17, 744–753. doi:10.1111/avsc.12096

702 Meunier, F. D., Verheyden, C., Jouventin, P., 2000. Use of roadsides by diurnal  
703 raptors in agricultural landscapes. Biol. Conserv. 92, 291–298. doi:  
704 10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00094-4

705 Muhamed, H., Lingua, E., Maalouf, J. P., Michalet, R., 2015. Shrub-oak  
706 seedling spatial associations change in response to the functional composition  
707 of neighbouring shrubs in coastal dune forest communities. Ann. For. Res. 72,  
708 231-241.

709 Nathan, R., Muller-Landau, H. C., 2000. Spatial patterns of seed dispersal, their  
710 determinants and consequences for recruitment. Trends Ecol. Evol. 15, 278–  
711 285. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(00)01874-7

712 Nilsson, U., Gemmel, P., Löf, M., Welander, T., 1996. Germination and early  
713 growth of sown *Quercus robur* L. in relation to soil preparation, sowing depths  
714 and prevention against predation. New Forest 12: 69- 86,  
715 doi:10.1007/BF00029983

716 Nyland, R. D., 2007. Silviculture: Concepts and Applications. Waveland Press,  
717 Long Grove IL, 2ed.

718 Orrock, J. L., Danielson, B. J., Brinkerhoff, R. J., 2004. Rodent foraging is  
719 affected by indirect, but not by direct, cues of predation risk. Behav. Ecol. 15,  
720 433–437. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arh031

721 Orth, R., Zscheischler, J., Seneviratne, S.I., 2016. Record dry summer in 2015  
 722 challenges precipitation projections in Central Europe. Sci.Rep. 6. doi:  
 723 10.1038/srep28334

724 Padilla, F. M., Pugnaire, F. I., 2006. The role of nurse plants in the restoration of  
 725 degraded environments the restoration of degraded environments. Front. Ecol.  
 726 Environ. 4, 196–202. doi: 10.1890/1540-  
 727 9295(2006)004[0196:TRONPI]2.0.CO;2

728 Perea, R., González, R., San Miguel, A., Gil, L., 2011. Moonlight and shelter  
 729 cause differential seed selection and removal by rodents. Anim. Behav. 82,  
 730 717–723. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.07.001

731 Pérez-Ramos, I.M., Rodríguez-Calcerrada, J., Ourcival, J.M., Rambal, S., 2013.  
 732 *Quercus ilex* recruitment in a drier world: a multi-stage demographic approach.  
 733 Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 15, 106–117.

734 Pérez-Ramos, I.M., Gómez-Aparicio, L., Villar, R., García, L.V., Marañón, T.,  
 735 2010. Seedling growth and morphology of three oak species along field  
 736 resource gradients and seed mass variation: a seedling age-dependent  
 737 response. J. Veg. Sci. 21, 419–437.

738 Pérez-Ramos, I. M., Urbietta, I. R., Marañón, T., Zavala, M. A., Kobe, R. K.,  
 739 2008. Seed removal in two coexisting oak species: ecological consequences of  
 740 seed size, plant cover and seed-drop timing. Oikos 117, 1386-1396.  
 741 doi:10.1111/j.2008.0030-1299.16370.x

742 Pérez-Ramos, I. M., Marañón, T., 2008. Factors affecting post-dispersal seed  
 743 predation in two coexisting oak species: Microhabitat, burial and exclusion of  
 744 large herbivores. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 255, 3506–3514.  
 745 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.02.032

746 Polat, E., Karaca, M., Demir, H., Onus, A. N., 2004. Use of natural zeolite  
 747 (clinoptilolite) in agriculture. *J. Fruit Ornam. Plant Res.* 12, 183-189

748 Pugnaire, F.I., Armas, C., Valladares, F., 2004. Soil as a mediator in plant-plant  
 749 interactions in a semi-arid community. *J. Veg. Sci.* 15, 85–92. 10.1111/j.1654-  
 750 1103.2004.tb02240.x

751 Rey Benayas, J. M., 2005. Restoring forests after land abandonment. In: *Forest*  
 752 *Restoration in Landscapes*. Springer, New York, NY. 356–360. doi: 10.1007/0-  
 753 387-29112-1\_51

754 Rey Benayas, J. M., Navarro, J., Espigares, T., Nicolau, J. M., Zavala, M. A.,  
 755 2005. Effects of artificial shading and weed mowing in reforestation of  
 756 Mediterranean abandoned cropland with contrasting *Quercus* species. *For.*  
 757 *Ecol. Manage.* 212, 302–314. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.03.032

758 Riginos, C., Milton, S.J., Wiegand, T., 2005. Context dependent interactions  
 759 between adult shrubs and seedlings in a semi-arid shrublands. *J. Veg. Sci.*  
 760 16:331–340.

761 Schurr, F.M., Bossdorf, O., Milton, S.J., Schumacher, J., 2004. Spatial pattern  
 762 formation in semi-arid shrubland: A priori predicted versus observed pattern  
 763 characteristics. *Plant. Ecol.* 173:271–282.

764 Smit, C., den Ouden, J., Díaz, M., 2008. Facilitation of *Quercus ilex* recruitment  
 765 by shrubs in Mediterranean open woodlands. J. Veg. Sci. 19, 193–200. doi:  
 766 10.3170/2007-8-18352

767 Smit, C., den Ouden, J., Müller-Schärer, H., 2006. Unpalatable plants facilitate  
 768 tree sapling survival in wooded pastures. J. Appl. Ecol. 43, 305–312.

769 Smith, D. M., Larson, B. C., Kelty, M. J., Ashton, M. S., 1997. The Practice of  
 770 Silviculture: Applied Forest Ecology, 9th Edition. John Wiley and Sons, New  
 771 York.

772 Stanturf J. A., Gardiner, E. S., Hamel, P. B., Devall, M. S., Leininger, T. D.,  
 773 Warren, M. E., 2000. Restoring bottomland hardwood ecosystems in the Lower  
 774 Mississippi Alluvial Valley. J. For. 98, 10–16.

775 Stark, H., Nothdurft, A., Block, J., Bauhus, J., 2015. Forest restoration with  
 776 *Betula* ssp. and *Populus* ssp. nurse crops increases productivity and soil  
 777 fertility. For. Ecol. Manage. 339, 57–70. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.12.003

778 Sunyer, P., Boixadera, E., Muñoz, A., Bonal, R., Espelta, J.M., 2015. The  
 779 interplay among acorn abundance and rodent behavior drives the spatial  
 780 pattern of seedling recruitment in mature Mediterranean oak forests. PLoS ONE  
 781 10(6): e0129844. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129844

782 Torroba-Balmori, P., Zaldívar, P., Alday, J. G., Fernández-Santos, B., Martínez-  
 783 Ruiz, C., 2015. Recovering *Quercus* species on reclaimed coal wastes using  
 784 native shrubs as restoration nurse plants. Ecol. Eng. 77, 146–153.  
 785 doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.01.024

786 Trnka, M., Balek, J., Štěpánek, P., Zahradní, P., Možný, M., Eitzinger, J., Žalud,  
787 Z., Formayer, H., Turňa, M., Nejedlík, P., Semerádová, D., Hlavinka, P., Brázdil,  
788 R., 2016. Drought trends over part of Central Europe between 1961 and 2014.  
789 Climate Research 70: 143–160, doi: 10.3354/cr01420

790 van Ginkel, H. A. L., Kuijper, D. P. J., Churski, M., Zub, K., Szafrńska, P., Smit,  
791 C., 2013. Safe for saplings not safe for seeds: *Quercus robur* recruitment in  
792 relation to coarse woody debris in Białowieża Primeval Forest, Poland. For.  
793 Ecol. Manage. 304, 73–79. doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2013.04.037

794 Vander Wall, S., 2003. How rodents smell buried seeds: a model based on the  
795 behavior of pesticides in soil. J. Mammal. 84, 1089–1099.

796 Wolff, J. O., Fox, T., Skillen, R. R., Wang, G., 1999. The effects of supplemental  
797 perch sites on avian predation and demography of vole populations. Can. J.  
798 Zool. 77, 535–541. doi: 10.1139/z99-002

799 Xiubin, H., Zhanbin, H., 2001. Zeolite application for enhancing water infiltration  
800 and retention in loess soil. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 34, 45–52. doi:  
801 10.1016/S0921-3449(01)00094-5

## 802 **Web references**

803 European Commission, 2018

804 [https://ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/infrastructure/mediterranean\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/infrastructure/mediterranean_en)