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Abstract

Caviar consumption is increasing and main projections indicate that the presumable maximum production will be of 3000 t/year. From the productive point of view, the principal difference from the past caviar production is the definitive shift from wild to farmed caviar. Due to the recent advances in sturgeon aquaculture and the expansion of luxury consumers, there remains vast potential to reshape caviar consumption. Luxury market is extremely lucrative, it accounted for €217 - €253 billion in 2016 and this is about 1.5 times of world aquaculture production. However these perspectives are not only positive as this market segment is also affected by the diffusion of imitation (i.e. caviar substitutes, in this case). The proliferation of caviar substitutes can be interpreted as a kind of commercial Müllerian mimicry while the adoption of new marketing strategy defined “Masstige”, that describes a downward luxury extensions directed toward middle class consumers, introduce new perspectives to this sector in its totality. What suggestions can be made by observing luxury consumers attitudes ? This review takes in consideration the relation between sturgeon farming and quality of caviar on the light of modern societal changes. The main challenge for sturgeon aquaculture will be to spread a positive image of farmed caviar, thus introducing the aspects of excellence related to extensive aquaculture in controlled conditions by the adoption of shared rules on caviar quality. The most interesting aspects emerged are related to potential social expansion of caviar consumption, particularly in the middle class of consumers.
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1. Introduction

Caviar is the most expensive aquaculture product and the retail prices in sturgeon caviar can range from 1000 up to 5000 US$/kg. Caviar production is historically originated in Russia and by the early 20th century Russia was a major caviar trading country (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014; Bronzi et al. 2011; Pourkazemi 2006; Pikitch et al. 2005; Williot et al. 2001). Nowadays, in order to contrast the decline of natural populations of sturgeon, caviar production is completely originated by aquaculture (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014), this fact open extremely positive perspectives for sturgeon aquaculture. The annual caviar production is about 262 t and estimates for future range from 500 to 2000 t (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014; Wei et al. 2011). Considering the extraordinary economic interest, traditional producer countries increased the production as the example of Kazakhstan that in 2010 launched a state caviar monopoly (Orange 2010). Currently, the Swiss and French are the biggest caviar consumers followed by Americans, British and Japanese.

In order to promote caviar market, it is important to consider the potential caviar consumers that belong to the category of luxury product consumers and useful suggestions can be taken observing these consumers attitudes. Caviar is a luxury product, but not more as exclusive as was in the past, it can be purchased by modern wealthy consumers and these consumers will be the future target for caviar producers.

Traditional and modern luxury consumers have specific features as high expending power and reasons for acquiring luxury products brand can act as a social marker, especially for middle-class wealthy consumers (Shukla et al. 2016; Godey et al. 2013). Emotional aspects of luxury products as the prestigious appeal and the rarity of products, made the difference
of successful products. These aspects will also affect the success of modern caviar producers.

From the productive point of view, the principal difference from the past caviar production is the definitive shift from wild to farmed caviar. Due to the recent advances in sturgeon aquaculture and the awareness of social changes with an expansion of luxury consumers, there remains vast potential to reshape caviar consumption. The point is: is this sector of aquaculture ready to answer to the modern market demand of caviar? what are the aspects in sturgeon farming that can ameliorate the production of modern caviar? what suggestions can be made by observing luxury consumers attitudes? what can be the perspective of a food luxury sector that is inevitably affected by the emergence of imitations (i.e. caviar substitutes?)

This article encompasses two main aspects of caviar production: supply (i.e. aquaculture) and demand (i.e. consumers). These aspects are rarely considered together in an aquaculture context, but caviar is not a mass production products and customer needs, attitudes and expectancies are of primary importance for the future development of caviar production. Progresses in aquaculture techniques are tangible and quantitative, while consumer attitudes are more related to human psychology and emotional, thus, more elusive and unfamiliar to aquaculture producers, but it is the time to include these aspects in order to have a profitable and realistic perspective of this sector.

This review takes in consideration in the first part the relation between sturgeon farming and quality of caviar, thus considering the current definition of caviar quality. Caviar substitutes are also shortly described in order to give a comprehensive perspective of the complete category. In the second part, the current and potential caviar consumers are considered. Considering the combination of these two main aspects, the present paper aims to consider caviar production and perspectives on the light of modern societal changes.
Caviar and aquaculture: the bright side of the moon

Caviar history follows the trend of typical luxury stereotype, in fact, in the beginning of 1900 it was sold for one penny per pound in the US, successively, passing through an intermediate phase, it reached the current extraordinary price. Its rarity and the need to preserve natural populations of sturgeon pushed to definitive shift to aquaculture (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014). The effects of progress of sturgeon aquaculture combined with increased demand, have caused some main effects, that are typical of any kind of farming industry evolution: delocalization of production (sturgeon farms out of original geographic area), fragmentation of productive process (separation between production and processing) and diversification of products.

The recent advances in sturgeon aquaculture make this production much more exportable than in the past, in fact 41% of sturgeon farms are localized out of traditional area of caviar production and 31 out of 41 countries where the sturgeon farms are localized, are out of traditional consumers area (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014). New countries have progressively entered in this production, with new sturgeon species as China and US and exotic geographical regions, as United Arab Emirates, Uruguay and Vietnam (Fig. 1). From the point of view of volumes, since 2006 the exports of Chinese farmed caviar have been constantly increasing (Wei et al. 2011). It is very well known that China is the first country for aquaculture in the world and represents 75 - 80 % of world production of sturgeon meat (YJC.IR 2013). Currently the estimated Chinese caviar production range between 128 and 144 t (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014, Wei et al. 2011) (Fig. 1) in particular for medium priced product (Godfrey 2013).

1.1 Functional plasticity: the effects of sturgeon farming on caviar composition
In order to promote diversification of products, it is necessary to synthetically clarify the current concept of quality and parameters affecting quality. In the past it was not possible to precisely define the quality of caviar, as the fishermen caught several species of sturgeons from the wild with different age and stage of maturation. Grading caviar methods were developed at the beginning of caviar history in Iran and Russia, were essentially based on size uniformity. Currently, the reference point is considered the definition of Codex Alimentarius (CAC 2013).

At present days, the complete shift to caviar production from aquaculture has introduced some disadvantages as the loss of unicity and rarity related with the wild caviar and these aspects are central in the definition of luxury foods (Hartmann et al. 2016), but there are also advantages as modern aquaculture techniques can introduce plasticity in these products, that means diversification. In aquaculture (and any modern zootechnic activity) a new category of novel products has been recently introduced, so-called “functional foods”, targeted on specific consumer needs. Therefore, the adoption of these consolidate aquaculture techniques in sturgeon farming will presumably improve caviar quality during the long farming cycle (Zhang 2011).

On the light of recent progress in aquaculture, it is clear that caviar from aquaculture can reach high quality as a consequence of control of rearing conditions, particularly for the importance of farm water quality and sturgeon artificial feeds that can influence caviar sensory profile and fatty acid composition (Czesny et al. 2000; Cardinal et al. 2002; Gessner et al. 2002b; Gessner et al. 2008; Lu & Rasco 2013; Zhang 2011). Caviar characteristics can be synthetically clustered into two main categories: “pre-mortem” related with farming; “post-mortem” related with caviar processing and conservation.

1.1.2 “Pre mortem” caviar quality
Caviar off flavor are originated by lipophilic compounds that are stored in the fish eggs during vitellogenesis. Several researches have been carried out on seafood flavor and more than 300 volatiles have been identified in freshly harvested and processed fish (Schrader & Rimando 2003). Aldehydes, geosmine and isoborneol represent the largest group of substances isolated that can confer several kind of off flavors (Caprino et al. 2008). These compounds are known in aquaculture products and they are both originated from the presence of actinomycetes (Streptomyces and Nocardia spp.) and cyanobacteria (Anabaena, Aphanizomenon, Lyngbya, Oscillatoria, Microcystis e Pseudanabaena) naturally occurring in water bodies (Souza et al. 2012; Percival et al. 2008). For these reasons the farming conditions are carefully controlled in the sturgeon farms and several attempts have been made in order to contrast the formations of of-flavours, both chemical treatments (Schrader 2005) or biological (Schrader & Rimando 2003), but these researches demonstrated that the rearing water treatment is not practically suitable and the use of rearing water geosmine and isoborneol naturally-free is the optimal solution, at least in the final part of farming period.

1.1.3 Caviar: proximate and fatty acids composition

It is very well known that fish roe and particularly caviar contains high amounts of proteins (Al-Holy & Rasco 2006) and lipids. The average protein content of caviar is about 30% and fat ranges from 11 to 27 % (wet weight) (Billard et al. 2002; Gessner et al. 2006; Mol & Turan 2008; Wirth et al. 2002), among fatty acids oleic acid is the dominant and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) are particularly abundant (Caprino et al. 2008; Gussoni et al. 2006; Intarasirisawat et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2012). While proteins play a minor role in the definition of caviar quality, lipids, in particular fatty acids, noticeably influence caviar taste, being off flavors mainly originated by lipophilic compounds. During vitellogenesis, fatty acids are mobilized from the lipid reserves
localized in the adipose tissue and are included into the oocytes. Therefore, the fatty acid composition of the oocytes it is influenced by the fish diet (Gessner et al. 2002a; Webb et al. 2001; Wirth et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 2011). Similarly to sturgeon flesh, the majority of researches found a relation between diet and caviar composition (Badiani et al. 1997; Zhang et al. 2011). Caviar fatty acid composition varies among different sturgeons species, farmed or wild, freshwater or marine origin (Czesny et al. 2000; Shin et al. 2010). Farmed caviar from intensive aquaculture significantly differs from that of wild origin, while composition of extensively farmed caviar does not largely differ from wild caviar (Gessner et al. 2002b; Gessner et al. 2002a). Few recent researches indicated that in some cases sturgeon diet did not affect the amount of egg yield and egg texture in white sturgeon (*A. transmontanus*) (Zhang et al. 2011) or fatty acid composition in white sturgeon that was only minimally influenced by dietary fatty acid composition (Caprino et al. 2008). These authors explained this fact considering that the optimal threshold levels of fatty acids of caviar were reached independently from dietary fatty acids. As regard as possible change in fatty acids composition during conservation, Gussoni et al. (2007) investigated *A. transmontanus* caviar thus showing that during caviar storage at 4 °C for a period of 4 months the fat content and fatty acid composition remained unchanged.

Fatty acid profile can be a viable tool in discrimination of different sturgeon populations with respect to caviar source (Czesny et al. 2000; Gessner et al. 2008). Capric acid has been proposed as cheap and easy-to-assess fatty acid useful for discriminating caviar from aquaculture (Wuertz et al. 2009). A patent application was submitted in US in 1998 (Birnstein et al. 1998). Considering the compositional plasticity of caviar, Gessner et al. 2008 proposed an international commercial agreement between feed producers and sturgeon farmers that would ensure the utilization of specific feed compositions that include peculiar fatty acids that will mark farmed sturgeon from wild ones.
1.1.4 Contaminants

Sturgeons reach maturity at 5 – 30 years of age (Billard & Lecointre 2001), consequently caviar is prone to bioaccumulation of toxic substances eventually contained in feeds. Considering the exiguous consumption of caviar, the risks for human health is really low and the diffusion of caviar from aquaculture will probably reduce these risks. Even if the situation of contaminant in caviar is highly variable, in different researches (Gessner et al. 2002b; Kruger & Pudenz 2002; Wang et al. 2008), the amount of contaminants in caviar seems to be primarily influenced by geographical area of production and secondarily, for wild caviar, by trophic level occupied by sturgeon species. Beluga caviar is most exposed to accumulation of chlorinated hydrocarbons (Gessner et al. 2002b). The highest concentration of toxic compounds in caviar was found by Billard et al 2002 that reported concentration of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs) ranging from 10 to 100 times higher than the limit for sturgeon flesh, particularly in Beluga from Romania. Kruger & Pudenz (2002) stated that the quantity of organochlorines, as DDT, in caviar coming for Black and Caspian Sea was largely influenced by trophic level occupied by species. Caviar coming from Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Iran, and Russia was found with high organochlorine and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) contents (Wang et al. 2008), while caviar commercialized in Pakistan resulted in a very low concentration of various toxic elements and heavy metals (Rehana 2008).

1.2 “Post mortem” caviar quality

The techniques utilized for caviar processing have a significant impact on product quality (Gessner et al. 2002a; Rasco et al. 2006), off flavors originated during conservation, total volatile nitrogen, histamine and other biogenic amines are related with microbial
contamination caused principally by *Pseudomonas* and coliforms (Jelodar & Safari 2006). Microbial contamination can take place in processed fish products and caviar (Bagge-Ravn et al. 2003), in particular caviar can be contaminated with various species of opportunistic bacteria, as *Aeromonas* sp., *Proteus* sp. and *Vibrio* sp. (Bledsoe et al. 2003) or coliforms and *Escherichia coli* (Altug & Bayrak 2003). The principal factors favoring the caviar bacterial contamination are pH level, the storage temperature and presence of free amino acids. Bacterial contamination during the processing increases the content of total volatile nitrogen, peroxide value, free fatty acid, ammonia and biogenic amines as methylamine and trimethylamin (Krizek et al. 2011; Lapa-Guimaraes et al. 2011; Safari & Yosefian 2006). Although processing techniques for canned caviar are generally safe from this problem (Altug & Bayrak 2003), one of the most efficient method tested for control the contamination and spoilage of caviar is considered the Hazard Analytical Critical Control Point (HACCP) system (Jelodar & Safari 2006).

### 1.2.1 Additives and conservation methods

Caviar refrigeration at 4 °C is the main conservation method for caviar (Gussoni et al. 2006) while sodium chloride (NaCl) is the only preservative universally accepted by consumers. Caviar is added with salt for preservation, that normally ranges between 3% and 5%. The best quality caviar is only slightly salted and is called “Malossol”. Packaging in anaerobic condition is also used for the control of contamination and spoilage of caviar (Salmani et al. 2009). In other fish roe the addition of preservatives (as sodium benzoate or citric acid) or colorant is permitted (Kirschbaum et al. 2006; Lapa-Guimaraes et al. 2011), in Europe, the only permitted caviar preservatives are boric acid and sodium borate at maximum concentration of 4g/kg, Commission Regulation (EU) 1129/2011. Borax use is prohibited in the U.S (Shin et al. 2010). Currently only few preservatives can be used,
while physical treatments are not suitable for caviar conservation (Tab.1). Natural antioxidants can be eventually used during processing or directly in the fish feed, in order to decrease dietary artificial antioxidants commonly used, as happens in other sectors of aquaculture (O'Sullivan et al. 2005).

1.3 Analytical methods: war between man and machine

Promotion of quality needs quantification, but in several luxury foods as wine or cheese, human taste still plays a central role in the definition of quality. The scientific literature basically shows analytical methods from one side and panel test on the other. Historically, the assessment of quality of caviar was principally based on sensory evaluation by specialized panelists and their job represented a great cost for enterprises, consequently several analytical methods have been developed in these last years (Ludvig et al. 2002; Moradi 2003; Rodushkin et al. 2007). Caviar quality is evaluated by four main methodologies: sensorial, chemical, physical and microbial tests. Eggs size, color and intensity of darkness and bronze color are crucial from the point of view of consumer. Pale caviar and bigger size eggs are worldwide considered better quality, secondary parameters are texture and flavor (Guinard & Mazzucchelli 1996; Wilkinson et al. 2000; Szczesniak 2002; Sorensen et al. 2003). Flavor profile can be defined by panel test, chemical evaluation with chromatography and mass spectrometry or by gas – chromatography and olfactometry (Golovnya 1976; Triqui & Zouine 1999; Jonsdottir et al. 2004; Caprino et al. 2008). Considering the effect of sturgeon feeding, panel test analysis has been also applied on caviar for the evaluation the effects of dietary flavonoids on sturgeon (Wang et al. 2012). Between the methodologies used, the olfactometric approach seems to be more suitable (Caprino et al. 2008). Texture analysis can be carried out with sensorial or instrumental analysis, but texture is heavily influenced by packaging methods. Instrumental
detection of texture has been also studied in several fish products and it is considered more suitable (Hyldig & Nielsen 2001). Previous study demonstrated the efficacy of instrumental detection of texture even comparing result obtained with sensorial analysis (Borderias et al. 1983; Hyldig & Nielsen 2001).

Compared with others food products, the caviar sensory analysis still needs a shared lexicon with specific and univocal descriptors and standardized methods. Some attempts have been carried out by Cardinal et al. (2002) that defined a list of descriptors for caviar sensory analysis in order to discriminate between farmed and wild caviar and Rasco et al. (2006) that listed 16 attributes useful to discriminate between 22 commercial caviars. Successively, a lexicon for standardized sensory evaluation based on 16 sensory attributes for evaluation was proposed (Baker et al. 2014). Sensory analysis was initially used in caviar production for detection of difference between farmed and wild caviar (Cardinal et al. 2002), successively other parameters were investigated as sturgeon feeding, farming techniques, geographical origin, conservation and processing (Schrader & Rimando 2003; Lu & Rasco 2013).

1.4 Caviar substitutes in an evolutionary perspective: the raise of modern market parasitism or mimicry?

There is a proliferation of alternative products of caviar that deliberately or unconsciously refers to appeal of true caviar (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014). From an evolutionary point of view, the relationship between caviar and its substitutes can be interpreted following two main ecological paradigms: parasitism or mimicry, that in turn reflect two sides of this phenomenon: vertical relation between original and substitutes or horizontal relation between substitutes and original (Fig. 4).
If the relation caviar - caviar substitutes can be considered a parasitic relation, the most similar case of parasitism can be considered the cuckoo example that lays eggs in other bird nest (host species), that in the case of caviar is the consumer. Exactly as happens for bird eggs, in this case fish eggs (caviar substitutes) are produced in the marketplace (bird nest) in order to confuse host bird (or consumer). This can be the case of substitutes that try to passively refer to caviar (some products that have only assonant names, for instance Deluga caviar).

Otherwise, if the similitude between caviar and caviar substitutes is emphasized, it is clear that the presence of exclusive products immediately stimulates the surrounding elements to imitate the original one. Observing with more attention the production of caviar and caviar substitutes, it is likely that the diffusion of caviar substitutes has benefitted also caviar, therefore this phenomenon can be compared with Müllerian mimicry, that is a form of mimicry where all the species take benefit from mutual resemblance (Benson 1972). A lexical Müllerian convergence sequence is immediately visible already in the abuse of “caviar” definition (Fig. 5), that induces a confusion in the consumers exactly in the same way as a predator bird can confuse bees with an innocuous insect, but at the same time stimulates general consumption of caviar and its substitutes.

Considering these analogies, the point is: what is the advantage to consider caviar and caviar substitutes market in an evolutionary approach, does it makes really sense? Shortly considering the occurrence of these phenomena in the natural ecosystems, (i.e. cuckoo parasitism and Müllerian convergence), two simple considerations can be made and transposed to the caviar production market: those caviar substitutes that try to passively imitate caviar, similarly to cuckoo are condemned for their evolutionary lifespan to incessantly follow their host, following the Red queen hypothesis, as the consumers will be progressively able to discern original caviar from this substitute. In practical terms, this
indicate that few companies can imitate passively original caviar in the future and from
aquaculture point of view these two categories of company will both survive, but these
substitutes of caviar will not proliferate. In fact, few species of cuckoos are currently
diffused that are extremely specialized on few species of host birds.
Otherwise, if we take in consideration the horizontal imitation process that by the proposed
analogy can be considered the origin of a Müllerian convergence sequence, the number of
mimics is markedly more elevated, thus indicating that those companies that produce caviar
substitutes with distinctive features are likely to be more numerous in the future. This
Müllerian sequence looks more convenient for substitute caviar producing companies and
for true caviar itself. Therefore, this paradigm fits better to the description of current
situation of caviar and it has been already observed in the packaging of other food products
(Sherratt 2008).

1.5 Caviar substitutes: the downshifting of caviar

If the caviar substitutes are considered in the context of luxury market dynamic, some
interesting considerations can be made. From this point of view, caviar substitutes can be
considered as an effect of adoption of marketing strategy of imitation. The presence of
imitations in luxury market is very well known, that has stimulated competition and has
forced the traditional companies to develop new strategies to increase customers loyalty
and fidelity (Anselmsson et al. 2014).

Caviar substitutes and imitations are represented by an highly heterogeneous category of
products which were estimated about 50000 t/year in 2011 (Bronzi & Rosenthal 2014; FAO
2012) (Fig.2 and 3). This impressive production can be interpreted in a negative sense in
terms of competition with true caviar or in positive sense in terms of market demand of low
price caviar for new consumers. This demand may be an opportunity for aquaculture.
Market demand for products made from the roe of salmon, herring, cod, Alaskan pollock, lumpfish, rainbow trout, capelin and other species is increasing (Airado-Rodriguez et al. 2010; Johannesson 2006; Machado et al. 2016). Bronzi & Rosenthal (2014) excellently described these products and their potentialities, thus showing that caviar definition by itself represent an appeal and the abuse of this term can induce confusion in modern consumers by one hand and loss of competitiveness for caviar producers by another hand.

Within caviar substitutes, traditional products, as lumpfish (Cyclopterus lumpus) caviar, encounter a constant market demand (Johannesson 2006), they gained a specific market segment that apparently will not represent a competition with true caviar, while modern substitutes can interfere with caviar production. A modern entry in this category is “caviar from ovulated eggs”, i.e. obtained without animal sacrifice, that sounds more ethically sustainable and improves the acceptance of consumers concerned on sturgeon over exploitation. The main disadvantage is that the ovulated eggs lose some organoleptic characteristics, mainly the typical consistence of traditional caviar. First attempts to get caviar from ovulated eggs were carried out in France, where in 1997 a EU COST project titled “Production of caviar from roe and ovulated oocytes from some farmed sturgeon species” was funded, successively in Romania and Moldova (Billard et al. 2002) and more recently a method have been patented in Germany (Köhler-Günther 2007) based on caviar production from ovulated stripped sturgeon eggs. Roe can be eventually harvested again from these fish during later spawning cycles (AWI 2011). A case of seven harvests from same fish over a period of 15 years has been reported (Bledsoe et al. 2003).

In southern Spain the first organic sturgeon farm in the world has been founded in 2009 (Wrathall 2009), while the first organic caviar farm in North America has been launched in February 2013 (Global Trust 2013). However organic caviar is not obtained by
standardized procedure and this definition is still self-referential and based on local farm definition.

1.6 Labels

In order to protect and promote future caviar trade, the correct caviar labeling is a primary question of international relevance, unfortunately, at the moment there is not yet a clear agreement on this question. International organizations as FAO, Convention on International Trade of Endangered Species (CITES) and International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) primarily emphasized the importance of species indication in caviar labels (Carocci et al. 2004; Wuertz et al. 2009). FAO and Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) documents stated that roe from any fish not belonging to the Acipenseriformes species are considered "substitutes of caviar" (Carocci et al. 2004; CITES 2002).

Despite these suggestions, caviar labels change in different countries and fraudulent mislabeling is a serious problem, with low value caviar substituted for highly prized caviar (Birnstein et al. 1998; Pikitch et al. 2005; Wuertz et al. 2007). Currently only in the U.S. sturgeon caviar can be labeled as “caviar”, roe from other fish species must be identified with a specific term that should include the common name of the fish. China, that is emerging country in caviar production, is strongly oriented toward the adoption of CITES requirements for labeling, in order to open up to international markets (Wei et al. 2011).

Labeling must be supported by analytical methods necessary to detect certified quality. Several methods as DNA-based techniques, scanning calorimetry (DSC), N and C stable isotopes, and reverse transcription PCR have been applied for identification of caviar authenticity species and source (wild vs farmed) (Arvanitoyannis et al. 2005; Debus et al. 2002; Rehbein et al. 2008). The indication of geographical origin and modern labeling are
already adopted in other fish roe. In Sweden a quality scheme called Protected Designation of Origin (PDO), based on EU rules, has been recently proposed for a vendance (Coregonus albula) roe (Bonow & Rytkönen 2013), in Mauritania an artisanal fish product obtained from mullet roe, the “Imraguen Women's Mullet Botargo”, has obtained an international label, sponsored by an Italian Association that protect local products, “Slow Food”,


same foundation sponsored mullet roe product in Italy, “Bottarga”


2. Caviar and consumers: the dark side of the moon?

Historically, caviar was a food product exclusively consumed by aristocracy. In fact in the United Kingdom there is a special link between royal family and caviar from Middle Age. Today the market is changed, much more consumers can afford caviar as other luxury products. There is an extended scientific literature that investigated the luxury product consumers market (Anselmsson et al. 2014; Chandon et al. 2016; Hartmann et al. 2016) and the consideration that caviar belong to this category (i.e. luxury products) may add interesting aspects to the discussion on caviar itself.

First of all, it should be remembered that luxury market is extremely lucrative. The global luxury market includes several modern brands and products, it has constantly grown from €77 billion in 1995 to €217 - €253 billion in 2016 and it is traditionally not affected by economic crisis (Parguel et al. 2016; Hartmann et al. 2016). This amount is about 1,5 times of last estimate of entire world aquaculture production that is of €147,6 billion (FAO 2016).

Nowadays, the customer demand of luxury products is increasing due to a combination of
demographic and cultural shifts, in combination with an increase of incomes that increased the general availability to spend more money on premium products.

Looking a little bit inside this market segment, it results clear that there is a lot of internal competition and it is a really challenging market as new products original or imitations, constantly are introduced in this sector. New perspectives for caviar market are not exclusively positive and although this market segment is promising, it is also intrinsically unstable. Technical advantages in luxury products quickly become ordinary as new competitors standardize innovations. Nearly 80% of all cars contain standard features that were considered luxury features only a few years ago (Silverstein & Fiske 2003). Luxury food market is also of cyclic nature “In short, our eating habits today largely are the result of, and reflect, the luxury food of the past” (Hartmann et al. 2016). For 25 centuries, luxury has been the privilege of few persons, starting with modern society, luxury spread to other social groups. Luxury goods entered in a new era, providing a kind of democratic right to happiness and the inaccessible become accessible to almost everyone, and the average European citizens buys two luxury items every year (Chandon et al. 2016; Godey et al. 2013). Luxury consumers represent a small portion of our society, but they are the core target of caviar production. If we take in consideration the most successful brand of luxury products, some new suggestion can be adopted for the promotion of caviar consumption in the future. Caviar is a symbol and new consumers are probably more attracted by its prestige and rarity than by its flavor. In fact Gault et al. (2008) demonstrated that caviar consumers show an irrational attraction for more rare caviar has happens in several luxury product originated by rare animals.

New luxury consumers are emerging and Silverstein & Fiske (2003) in an Harvard Business Review article entitled “Luxury for Masses”, defined “Masstige” term indicating “luxury but attainable” products. These products occupy a position between mass and class.
Masstige describes downward luxury extensions and this marketing strategy has been used by several industries producing premium level products, with success. It is considered as an innovative strategy to position a prestige company without changing the appeal (Truong et al. 2009). Masstige has grown in that segment of consumers that switch some of their purchases from normal products to something more exclusive (Silverstein & Fiske 2003). Caviar can be perfectly included in the category of old-luxury brand extension products.

Successful producers of food luxury products may be useful examples for modern caviar entrepreneurs. Considering wine for instance, it is clear that France spent centuries to make one of the most prestigious wine industry in the world and U.S. in few decades adopted the French model. Today American wine producers contend in quality with the best French wines. One of the most successful premium wine producers in California said “I realized there was a hole in the market I could drive a truck through, really good wines that the average person could afford.” (Silverstein & Fiske 2003).

Caviar producers can take great advantage looking to this marketing strategies. Many new-luxury brands move down-market to make their products more accessible and competitive (Kapferer & Lauren 2016; Silverstein & Fiske 2003), this could the case of future caviar production. A kind of mentality shift is requested to modern caviar producers that will include caviar production in the modern society, it is clear that it is not more only a question of aquaculture, but also a question of positioning caviar in the modern society. Even if it appears a promising perspective, it is not exempt of risks, principally the brand dilution. This can happens when purchases from middle class consumers becomes frequent. The more affordable a product is, less prestigious is its perception (Parguel et al. 2016).

While middle class consumers may be gained adopting downshifting market strategies, higher class consumers may be gained thus transferring best aquaculture practices (principally related to rearing water conditions and artificial feeds) into a sturgeon
aquaculture, in order to contrast the erroneous belief that reared caviar has lost the characteristics of rarity and authenticity of wild caviar. Authenticity is an important aspect of traditional luxury foods (Arvanitoyannis et al 2005; Hartmann et al 2016) and it represents the relation between a food product and its distance from industrial product and mass production. Considering that the concept of luxury products is strongly related with local traditions, it is likely that high class consumers will mainly increase in the area of traditional caviar consumption, specifically in Russia.

Conclusion and perspectives

The perspectives of caviar consumption are based on two main pillars: one is the caviar supply, i.e. sturgeon aquaculture, another is the caviar demand. The future of caviar will essentially regard geographical and social expansion of consumption, the most interesting aspects emerged from this paper are related to potential social expansion of caviar consumption. Similarly to other luxury products, it is clear that caviar is not more an exclusive product as in the past and sturgeon aquaculture will play the major role in the future diffusion of caviar.

Scientific literature clearly shows that caviar quality is affected by sturgeon farming practices in several ways, by sturgeon artificial feeds, by conditions of rearing not only inside the farm but also in the processing phases and farmed caviar can be better respect wild one, as the controlled farming conditions can guarantee consumers health, organoleptic characteristics and conservation of endangered wild populations of sturgeons. Moreover, a shared international definition of caviar and clear caviar labeling is an urgent need in order to promote effective quality in the future. Considering the questions posed in the beginning of the article, it can be stated that the sturgeon aquaculture is ready to answer
to modern consumers demand, thus keeping in mind the rapid changes of modern society and the concept of luxury products.

In the 80s the world caviar production reached 3000 t/year and main projections indicate that it is the presumable maximum production for the future, however these estimates don’t take into account the social changes of our society. Looking at luxury market trend, it is likely that caviar consumption will go over these previsions, considering the expansion of middle class consumers and diversification of caviar productions, promoted in turn by modern aquaculture techniques and food processing techniques. Making a comparison with other food luxury products, as French wines, their market evolution showed that from original area, new geographical areas have gained success as California or South Africa or Australia. This expansion (similarly to other successful products) has been characterized by a combination of geographical vocation, sharing of technical advances and increased local market demand. A well-established aquaculture industry (as the case of China and Vietnam) combined with favorable geographical conditions, favors the creation of new sturgeon farms out of the traditional regions. China is the country where the highest number of caviar consumers and producers are expected. Moreover, new opportunities will emerge looking the successful market strategies in particular for the middle class of consumers. Many luxury brands have engaged in “masstige” positioning strategies, if applied to caviar productions, these strategies can promote a tremendous success for future of caviar.

The challenge for sturgeon aquaculture will be to spread a positive image of caviar from aquaculture that has substituted wild caviar, thus introducing the aspects of excellence related to extensive aquaculture in controlled conditions by the adoption of shared rules on caviar quality. Scientific literature showed that sturgeon extensive farming doesn’t negatively affect quality of caviar and can even ameliorate the safety of caviar, thus
reducing the risks of contamination with toxic compounds naturally spread in polluted regions. Moreover, looking at the experience of other sectors of aquaculture, it is clear that modern consumers are concerned about sustainability of products and these aspects will improve the future acceptance and diffusion of caviar, considering that this product is traditionally linked with negative image of over exploiting wild stocks of sturgeon. The attention for environmental impact of fish farms and fish welfare confers an extra value to those products that respect natural exploitation of resource and sustainability of production.

The impressive amount and proliferation of caviar substitutes represents the potentiality to gain large segment of low class consumers or an opportunity to increase their consumption, as happened in several aquaculture products that have become common food products following success of aquaculture. Considering that this proliferation can be considered a social Müllerian convergence sequence, other research efforts can be addressed to understand what is the maximum number of caviar imitators (i.e. substitutes) that can be expected in the market, or in more formal terms, where is the limit of this sequence. In this context, it is likely that Game Theory (Meyerson 1991) could be a really useful approach to investigate the relation between consumers, caviar companies and substitute caviar companies, as this relation can be probably explained by a non-cooperative game with relevant implications for future sturgeon farming.

Finally, being an exclusive and evocative luxury product, the future expansion will be influenced by emotional forces that are rather evanescent and intangible. These aspects are only indirectly related to aquaculture and are largely influenced by media, for this reason, appropriate marketing plans will be necessarily aimed at communicating these new products to the consumers. Research is needed on the overlapping area between caviar production and consumer needs, consumer choices analysis and purchase attitudes for caviar. Future aquaculture should not finish on the store threshold, as the rest of the path,
from the store to the consumer home, has a particular relevance in these sectors where human psychology plays a crucial role. The knowledge of social attitudes and behavior of caviar consumers may probably drive successful caviar producing companies as strongly as the diffusion of modern aquaculture techniques.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1  Main caviar producer and importer countries in 2011 (Bronzi and Rosenthal, 2014; FAO 2012, modified. Geographical elaboration obtained with R, version 3.3.2, Copyright © 2016 – The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, library(rworldmap))

Fig. 2  Main caviar substitutes producer countries in 2011 (FAO 2011)

Fig. 3  Production of caviar substitutes in main producers countries, between 2001 and 2011 (FAO 2011, modified)

Figure 4. The relation between caviar and caviar substitutes is a parasitism or a Müllerian mimicry?

Fig. 5  Canine caviar: an example of social Müllerian mimicry
Table 1. Caviar preservatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Borax</td>
<td><em>A. persicus</em></td>
<td>Salmani et al. 2009;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylparaben</td>
<td><em>A. persicus</em></td>
<td>Reza &amp; Zabihollah 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaCl plus 0,3% boric acid</td>
<td><em>A. persicus</em></td>
<td>Salmani et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaCl plus 0,4 borax</td>
<td><em>A. persicus</em></td>
<td>Salmani et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaCl plus 0.15% methyl paraben</td>
<td><em>A. persicus</em></td>
<td>Wang et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids extracts from the fungus <em>Phellinus igniarius</em></td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>Al-Holy et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio frequency and microwave heating</td>
<td><em>A. transmontanus</em></td>
<td>Al-Holy et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High pressure</td>
<td><em>A. baeri</em></td>
<td>Fiorett et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bledsoe et al. 2003; Shin et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurization in airtight or vacuum packaged containers (50 – 70 °C)</td>
<td><em>A. transmontanus</em></td>
<td>Al-Holy et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl between 3.2% to 11.8%</td>
<td><em>H. huso, A. gueldenstaedti, A. stellatus, A. baeri, Polyodonspathula</em></td>
<td>Gessner et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borates (4g/kg)</td>
<td>French caviar</td>
<td>Rizzi 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.r. not reported
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