Microbiological contamination in three large-scale pig slaughterhouses in Northern Italy
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Abstract

The aim of this survey was to obtain data on microbiological contamination of pig carcasses and environments in three large-scale Italian slaughterhouses (identified as A-B-C) located in Northern Italy. Each slaughterhouse was visited six times. Five carcasses and three different sites of the slaughterhouse (before and during slaughter) were sampled on each sampling day. A single pooled caecal sample was taken on each sampling day. A total of 90 carcasses, 108 environmental samples and 18 caecal samples were collected. Samples from pig carcasses and slaughterhouse environment were analyzed for total viable count (TVC), Enterobacteriaceae count (EBC) and Salmonella. The caecal contents were examined for Salmonella. Carcasses from slaughterhouse A presented the greatest TVC and EBC mean log value, whereas environmental samples collected during slaughter activities from slaughterhouse C showed the greatest TVC and EBC mean log value. As far as the environmental samples collected before slaughter activities are concerned, an average up to 6 log_{10} colony forming unit (CFU)/cm² TVC in two slaughter plants (A and C) and 5 log_{10} CFU/cm² TVC in one slaughter plant (B) was detected. Salmonella was recovered in both slaughterhouses (A and B). Four different Salmonella serotypes were detected in the positive samples (11). Within serotype S. Rissen and S. Typhimurium monophasic-variant isolates, two pulsed-field gel electrophoresis patterns were identified. The findings in this survey suggest that carcass contamination is influenced by the slaughterhouse plant and this could be a result of differences in line speed. The results of environmental sampling have not shown an association with the slaughterhouse plant.

Introduction

Pork is the most frequently consumed meat in the European Union (EU) and its consumption has increased in Mediterranean countries (Valero et al., 2014). Italian pig breeders are specialized in the heavy pig: they must weigh around 150 kg and greater than 9 months of age, which represents 90% of the Italian pig population. Heavy pig farming is mainly located in Northern Italy. In addition, this area includes a territory with the largest production of production denomination of origin Parma ham. Since 2005, the European Union (EU) has established strict microbiological criteria for pig carcasses (Reg. n. 2073/2005; European Commission, 2005). The Regulation specifies process hygiene criteria for total viable count (TVC), Enterobacteriaceae count (EBC) and Salmonella for the post-evisceration and pre-chilling stages, which provide useful data for the validation and verification of HACCP procedures and other hygiene control measures employed in the pork industry. In order to reduce the Salmonella prevalence on pig carcasses, the interpretative criteria on hygiene during slaughter were changed in accordance with the Regulation (EU) n.217/2014 (European Commission, 2014). In particular, the number of samples (the c value) where the presence of salmonella is detected, was reduced. Hygiene standards may vary between slaughterhouses in relation to slaughtering practices and slaughterhouse equipment (Wilhelm et al., 2011). It is well known that Salmonella is one of the main biological hazards in pork products (EFSA, 2012). Salmonella can enter the supply chain in many stages of the process, e.g. in the primary stages by feed, people or rodents, during transportation by infected trucks or in the slaughterhouse by cross-contamination of infected animals. Pigs generally carry Salmonella asymptptomatically (Duggan et al., 2010). The slaughtering stage is considered to have the greatest impact on the number of contaminated carcasses as shown by several authors. Salmonella prevalence in slaughter pigs has been investigated in a number of studies. In the EU, 10.3% of the slaughter pigs were found to carry Salmonella in the lymph nodes and 8.3% of the carcasses were contaminated with Salmonella (EFSA, 2008a, 2008b, 2009). In pigs, the most common serotypes are S. Typhimurium and S. Derby (Gomes-Neves et al., 2012). Currently, there is a paucity of information about the microbiological contamination of carcasses and environment in large scale Italian pig slaughterhouses with high processing speed (up to 450 pigs/hour). With regard to this, the aim of this survey was to obtain data on microbiological contamination of pig carcasses and slaughterhouse environment in three large-scale Italian slaughterhouses (identified as A-B-C) located in Northern Italy.

Materials and Methods

Study design and sample collection

The investigated area includes a territory with the largest production of PDO Parma ham, i.e. the so-called Food Valley (extending in Piedmont, Lombardy, Emilia-Romagna and Veneto regions), where more than 90% of slaughterhouses slaughter pigs for Parma ham production. In 2014, 7,963,134 heavy pigs for PDO Parma ham production were slaughtered in Italy. About 93% of these pigs were slaughtered in 18 large-scale pig slaughterhouses located in Northern Italy (http://www.prosciuttodiparma.com/pdf/en_UK/Specifications.pdf). In this survey, three pig slaughterhouses (identified as A-B-C) with a capacity of 550,000 heavy pigs per year were randomly selected. The three slaughterhouses were comparable from the point of view of the slaughter practices. The number of pigs slaughtered per hour was 350 in two slaughterhouses (B and C) and 450 in the slaughterhouse A. Each slaughterhouse was visited six times. Five carcasses and three environmental sites (before and during slaughter) were sampled on each sampling day. Additionally, a single pooled sample (caecal samples from pigs in a single batch) was taken on each sampling day. A total of 90 carcasses,
108 environmental samples and 18 caecal samples were collected.

**Carcasses**

A total of 30 pig carcasses (5 carcasses on each sampling day) were sampled at each slaughterhouse. The carcasses were randomly selected, taking at least a 10 animals interval between one another. All the slaughtered pigs were certified to be processed for typical PDO ham production. They were identified by date, farm code and area of origin, and followed along the slaughtering line for sampling after dressing but before chilling. Samples were obtained by pre-hydrated sponges (International PBI S.P.A., Milan, Italy) with Buffered Peptone Water (BPW; Oxoid, Milan, Italy) (10 mL) from four different sites (lx100 cm²): hind limb, abdomen lateral (belly), mid-dorsal region (mid-back) and jowl. All samples were sent to the laboratory in cooled containers within the same day for their analysis.

**Caecal contents**

A total of six pooled caecal samples (225 g) were taken at each slaughterhouse after evisceration. On each sampling day, caecal samples were collected aseptically from different pigs of the same batch whose carcasses were sampled. Finally, all samples were individually packed, registered and kept at a temperature +4°C during storage and transportation to the laboratory.

**Environmental samples**

A total of 36 environmental samples (18 before slaughter activities and 18 during slaughter activities) were collected at each slaughterhouse. Three different points of the slaughter line (floor after bleeding, gut container and runoff pit) were taken at each slaughterhouse. Three different points of the slaughtering activities (floor after bleeding, gut container and runoff pit) were collected at each slaughterhouse. The carcasses were randomly selected, taking at least a 10 animals interval between one another. All the slaughtered pigs were certified to be processed for typical PDO ham production. They were identified by date, farm code and area of origin, and followed along the slaughtering line for sampling after dressing but before chilling. Samples were obtained by pre-hydrated sponges (International PBI S.P.A., Milan, Italy) with Buffered Peptone Water (BPW; Oxoid, Milan, Italy) (10 mL) from four different sites (lx100 cm²): hind limb, abdomen lateral (belly), mid-dorsal region (mid-back) and jowl. All samples were sent to the laboratory in cooled containers within the same day for their analysis.

**Results**

Summarized results from samples collected at the different pig slaughterhouses are shown in Table 1. The greatest TVC and EBC mean log values were found in samples from runoff pit and gut container collected during slaughter activities in slaughterhouse C. A TVC average up to 6 log_{10} CFU/cm² was detected in two slaughter plants (A and C), whereas a TVC average up to 5 log_{10} CFU/cm² was found in samples collected before slaughter activities from runoff pit and gut container in the slaughter plant B. In addition, an EBC average up to 4.6 log_{10} CFU/cm² was detected in samples collected from gut container before slaughter activities in the slaughterhouse A. The samples collected from runoff pit in the slaughterhouse C showed a high TVC and EBC mean log value both before and during slaughter activities. The logarithmic data for TVC and EBC counts from carcass samples are presented in Table 2. Overall, mean log TVC ranged from 4.1 to 6.3 log_{10} CFU/cm², whereas the EBC average ranged from 1.9 to 2.6 log_{10} CFU/cm². The Student t test showed statistically significant (P<0.05) differences in the bacterial counts between the carcasses tested in slaughterhouse A and those tested in the other two plants. Analysis of variance and the Sheffé post hoc tests showed that the carcasses produced by slaughterhouse A belong to a group that is completely separated from those of group B and C. Salmonella was recovered in two of the three slaughterhouses (A and B) during slaughter activities (Table 3). In details, Salmonella was recovered in samples collected from floor after the blee-

### Table 1. Total viable count and *Enterobacteriaceae*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling place</th>
<th>Sampling time</th>
<th>Slaughterhouse A</th>
<th>Slaughterhouse B</th>
<th>Slaughterhouse C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TVC EBC</td>
<td>TVC EBC</td>
<td>TVC EBC</td>
<td>TVC EBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor after the bleeding stage</td>
<td>During slaughter activities</td>
<td>6.57±0.25</td>
<td>4.06±0.48</td>
<td>5.22±0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before slaughter activities</td>
<td>4.45±0.23</td>
<td>1.30±0.91</td>
<td>4.42±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff pit</td>
<td>During slaughter activities</td>
<td>7.16±1.11</td>
<td>3.94±0.51</td>
<td>4.91±0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before slaughter activities</td>
<td>4.73±0.41</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.17±1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gut container</td>
<td>During slaughter activities</td>
<td>5.70±0.66</td>
<td>3.75±1.09</td>
<td>4.09±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before slaughter activities</td>
<td>6.0±0.26</td>
<td>4.67±0.51</td>
<td>3.07±0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TVC, total viable count; EBC, *Enterobacteriaceae*; n.d., not detected (below detection limit (<1 colony forming unit/cm²)). No statistically significant differences were found between sampling times for each point in each slaughterhouse. No statistically significant differences were found between slaughterhouses.
Discussion

The aim of this survey was to obtain data on microbiological contamination of pig carcasses and slaughterhouse environment in three large-scale Italian slaughterhouses located in the Food Valley, where pigs are slaughtered for the production of Parma ham. Overall, the greatest TVC and EBC mean log values were detected both in environmental and carcass samples in the slaughterhouse A. The maintenance of good hygiene practices (GHP) and the effectiveness of the cleaning and disinfection plan depend on the qualification of the personnel and the structural features of the equipment. The results of environmental sampling did not show an association with the slaughterhouse plants. The microbiological analyses on the slaughterhouse environments showed that routine cleaning procedures are not able to remove microbiological contamination effectively: up to 6 log_{10} CFU/cm² TVC (slaughterhouses A and C) and 4.6 log_{10} CFU/cm² EBC (slaughterhouse A) were detected in samples collected before the slaughter activities. In the slaughterhouse C, samples collected from run off pit showed a high TVC and EBC mean log values both before and during slaughter activities. Moreover, the hygienic conditions of animals have an important influence on the environmental hygiene. In the slaughterhouse A, the greatest contamination was detected in samples isolated from the floor after bleeding process, where animals fell on a horizontal platform after stunning. The results obtained in this survey could not be compared with published data: surveys differ in terms of sampling methods, sampling sites and evaluation modes (Hutchison et al., 2005; Zweifel et al., 2005, 2008; O'Brien et al., 2007). In the present investigation, the mean TVC and the mean EBC ranged from 4.1 to 6.3 log_{10} CFU/cm² and from 1.9 to 2.6 log_{10} CFU/cm² in the examined carcasses, respectively. In a recent study, Wheatley et al. (2014) examined the effects of eight processing stages during slaughtering line on contamination levels (by performing TVC and EBC). In total, 95 carcasses from an Irish pork slaughter plant were sampled by swabbing 100 cm² of surface at three sites (belly, ham and jowl). In details, TVC ranged approximately from 1.7 to 6.3 log_{10} CFU/cm² during sampling. In particular, following the final inspection and before chilling the TVC level was approximately 3.0 log_{10} CFU/cm². However, after the final inspection and chilling the EBC level was relatively higher (2.0-2.8 log_{10} CFU/cm²) compared with previous stages. In our survey, the greatest TVC (6.3 log_{10} CFU/cm²) and EBC (2.6 log_{10} CFU/cm²) mean log value on carcasses was found in slaughterhouse A, where an average up to 6 log_{10} CFU/cm² TVC and 4.6 log_{10} CFU/cm² EBC was found in samples collected before slaughter activities. The Student t test showed statistically significant (P<0.05) differences between the carcasses tested in slaughterhouse A and those tested in the other two slaughter plants (B-C). The Sheffé post hoc test, in fact, showed that the carcasses produced by slaughterhouse A lay in a group which is completely separated from those of group B and C. Based on this results, carcass contamination seems to be influenced by the slaughterhouse plant and this may be a result of differences in line speed. However, it may also reflect other differences, such as animal selection, the good slaughter practices employed, the competence of the slaughter staff etc. A variation in Salmonella contamination of the environment and the carcasses among the different pig slaughter plants (A-B-C) was observed. These results may depend on the inhomogeneous application of GHP by the operators. An investigation performed in five abattoirs reported that Salmonella was isolated from 13 of 41 environmental samples (31.7%) at the end of the sampling day and before cleaning (Piras et al., 2011). According to Piras et al. (2011), the sampling results depend on the slaughterhouse, the sampling day and the origin and number of infected pigs delivered during the sample period. Salmonella was recovered in two (A and B) of the three slaughterhouses. In particular, Salmonella was recovered during two different sampling visits in the slaughterhouse A from floor after the bleeding stage and gut container, and during three different sampling visits in the slaughterhouse B from floor after the bleeding stage, run off pit an gut container. Additionally, Salmonella was isolated from environmental and carcass samples during the same sampling day in the slaughterhouse B. No Salmonella was isolated in the carcass

Table 2. Total viable count and Enterobacteriaceae on pig carcasses at slaughterhouses A, B, C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slaughterhouses</th>
<th>Number of carcasses analysed</th>
<th>TVC (log_{10} CFU/cm²)</th>
<th>EBC (log_{10} CFU/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.3±0.201</td>
<td>2.6±0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.1±0.212</td>
<td>2.0±0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.6±0.724</td>
<td>1.9±0.953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TVC, total viable count; EBC, Enterobacteriaceae; CFU, colony forming unit. Data are expressed as mean log_{10} CFU±standard deviation. *Different superscript letters denote statistically significant differences (Student’s t test; P<0.05) in count (TVC and EBC) on carcasses from the three slaughter plants.

Table 3. Prevalence of Salmonella from pig slaughterhouses A, B, C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Slaughterhouse A</th>
<th>Slaughterhouse B</th>
<th>Slaughterhouse C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carcass sponge</td>
<td>0/30 (0)</td>
<td>4/30 (13.3%)</td>
<td>0/30 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecal content</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental samples</td>
<td>2/36 (5.5%)</td>
<td>5/36 (13.8%)</td>
<td>0/36 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Salmonella positive samples were collected during slaughter activities.
Furthermore, this study showed that the same countries (EFSA, 2008a, 2008b, 2009) frequently isolated from pig and pork in many. Rissen, in the positive samples (different strains) were detected in the positive samples (S. Rissen, S. Derby, S. Typhimurium and the S. Typhimurium mono-phasic-variant). These serotypes are the most frequently isolated from pig and pork in many countries (EFSA, 2008a, 2008b, 2009). Furthermore, this study showed that the same Salmonella serotypes could be identified in samples collected from both the carcasses and the environment in the slaughterhouse B. As for the PFGE analysis, a diversity of strains has been identified since two different PFGE profiles were isolated from slaughterhouse A and five from slaughterhouse B. The diversity among the PFGE profiles may be linked to the high slaughter capacity (approximately 350 pigs/hour in the slaughterhouses B and C and 450 pigs/hour in the slaughterhouse A). However, it was not possible to isolate the same pulsetype within the same slaughterhouse in different sampling days. In the slaughterhouse B, S. Rissen isolates recovered from carcasses and from floor after bleeding showed the same PFGE profile. This finding could suggest that carcass contamination may be occurred through slaughterhouse environment. After stunning, in fact, pigs fell on a horizontal conveyor belt where they were bled whilst the animals were prone on the platform (floor after bleeding stage). A regular cleaning and disinfecting during slaughter process of the floor after bleeding stage could avoid, or at least decrease, cross-contamination of carcass. Our survey highlighted that the GHP employed in these high processing speed slaughterhouses (up to 450 pigs/hour) should be implemented by the cleaning staff.

Conclusions

In order to protect human health against Salmonella infections transmissible between animals and humans, the Regulation (EC) n. 2160/2003 (European Commission, 2003) made national control plans for Salmonella serovars in poultry and pigs mandatory between Member States. The findings in this survey may suggest that carcass contamination is influenced by slaughterhouse and this could be a result of differences in line speed. However, they could also reflect other differences such as animal selection, the good slaughter practices employed, the competence of the slaughter staff, etc. As for as the slaughter environment is concerned, areas with different degrees of contamination were detected. The results of environmental sampling do not show an association with slaughterhouse. This survey indicates that the routine slaughter and hygiene practices employed in the large-scale Italian pig slaughterhouses with high processing speed should be implemented. Additionally, in order to prevent carcass contamination the slaughterhouse managers should evaluate the effectivenss of the sanitation standard operating procedures (SSOP) and revise these procedures to keep them effective and current with respect to the slaughter line speed (up to 450 carcasses/hour). An improved cleaning protocol, including the use of disinfectants, and a proper instruction of personnel are the main tools to decrease Salmonella contamination at slaughter stage. Employee training is equally important as the SSOP procedures. The competence of the slaughter and cleaning staff is pivotal to minimize carcass and environmental contamination in slaughterhouses. The slaughterhouse managers should bear in mind the weak points in the hygienic design, including places where organic residues may accumulate and microbes may persist in the environment as biofilm.
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