



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Analysis of Runs of Homozygosity and their Relationship with Inbreeding in Five Cattle Breeds Farmed in Italy

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1686984 since 2019-02-08T11:31:11Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1111/age.12259
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)





This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Marras, G., Gaspa, G., Sorbolini, S., Dimauro, C., Ajmone - Marsan, P., Valentini, A., Williams, J. L. and Macciotta, N. P., **Analysis of runs of homozygosity and their relationship with inbreeding in five cattle breeds farmed in Italy,** ANIMAL GENETICS, 46: 110-121, 2015, doi: 10.1111/age.12259

The publisher's version is available at:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/age.12259

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1686984

This full text was downloaded from iris-Aperto: https://iris.unito.it/

iris-AperTO

University of Turin's Institutional Research Information System and Open Access Institutional Repository

1	Analysis of Runs of Homozygosity and their Relationship with Inbreeding in
2	Five Cattle Breeds Farmed in Italy.
3	
4	Gabriele Marras*, Giustino Gaspa* ¹ , Silvia Sorbolini*, Corrado Dimauro*, Paolo Ajmone
5	Marsan‡, Alessio Valentini†, John L. Williams¶, Nicolò Pietro Paolo Macciotta*

- * Dipartimento di Agraria-Sezione Scienze Zootecniche, Università di Sassari, Sassari07100, Italy 7
- ‡Istituto di Zootecnica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Piacenza, 29100, Italy. 8
- † Dipartimento per l'innovazione dei sistemi biologici agroalimentari e forestali DIBAF, Università 9
- della Tuscia, Viterbo 01000, Italy. 10
- ¶ Parco Tecnologico Padano, Lodi 26900, Italy. 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 ¹Correspondingauthor: Giustino Gaspa, Dipartimento di Agraria–Sezione Scienze Zootecniche,
- Università di Sassari,07100,Italy, Email: gigaspa@uniss.it, +39079229309 15

17 Summary

Increased inbreeding is an inevitable consequence of selection in livestock populations. The 18 analysis of high density Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNP) facilitates the identification of 19 long and uninterrupted "Runs of Homozygosity" (ROH) that can be used to identify chromosomal 20 regions that are identical by descent. In this work the distribution of ROH of different length in five 21 Italian cattle breeds is described. A total of 4095 bulls from 5 cattle breeds were genotyped at 54K 22 SNP loci: 2093 Italian Holstein, 749 Italian Brown, 364 Piedmontese, 410 Marchigiana and 479 23 Italian Simmental. ROH were identified and used to estimate molecular inbreeding coefficients 24 (F_{ROH}), which were compared with inbreeding coefficients estimated from pedigree information 25 (FPED) and using the genomic relationship matrix (FGRM). The average number of ROH per animal 26 ranged from 54 \pm 7.2 in Piedmontese to 94.6 \pm 11.6 in Italian Brown. The highest number of short 27 ROH (related to ancient consanguinity) were found in Piedmontese, followed by Simmental. The 28 Italian Brown and Holstein had a higher proportion of longer ROH distributed across the whole 29 genome, revealing recent inbreeding. The F_{PED} were moderately correlated with $F_{ROH}>1$ Mb (0.662, 30 31 0.700 and 0.669 in BRW, HOL and SIM) but poor correlated with F_{GRM} (0.134, 0.128 and 0.448 for BRW, HOL and SIM respectively). The inclusion of ROH>8 Mb in the inbreeding calculation 32 improved the correlation of F_{ROH} with F_{PED} and F_{GRM}. ROH are a direct measure of autozygosity at 33 the DNA level and can overcome approximations and errors resulting from incomplete pedigree 34 data. In populations with high LD and recent inbreeding (e.g. HOL and BRW) a medium density 35 marker panel, such as the one used here, may provide a good estimate of inbreeding. However, in 36 populations with low LD and ancient inbreeding, marker density would have to be increased to 37 identify short ROH that are IBD more precisely. 38

39 Keywords: Runs of Homozygosity, Autozygosity, Bos taurus, molecular inbreeding

41

42 Introduction

The inbreeding coefficient (kinship or F) is defined as the probability that in a locus sampled 43 randomly in a population a pair of alleles is identical by descent (IBD) with respect to a base 44 population where all alleles are independent (Wright 1922). Inbreeding is a consequence of mating 45 46 among closely related individuals, and the resulting detrimental effects on the performance and fitness of the progeny have been widely documented both in natural and domesticated animal 47 populations (Keller & Waller 2002, Charlesworth & Willis 2009; Bjelland et al. 2013). 48 Inbreeding is unavoidable in populations under selection, as only a sub-set of individuals is used for 49 breeding. The consequences of inbreeding are the loss of genetic variation, accumulation of 50 51 recessive lethal genetic mutations, worsening of performance in production traits and fertility, which will impact on the profitability and sustainability of farms (Miglior et al. 1995; González-52 Recio et al. 2007; Mc Parland et al. 2007; Bjelland et al. 2013). The inbreeding level in a 53 54 population could be limited using specific mating strategies, but this may also constrain the genetic gain in traits under selection (Meuwissenet al. 1997). A key requirement for the implementation of 55 mating strategies to restrict inbreeding is accurate pedigree recording, however, a reliable pedigree 56 is not always available, especially for local breeds. 57

Inbreeding coefficients have usually been calculated from the pedigree, and the probability that a pair of alleles are IBD is estimated from statistical expectations. However, the recent availability of the genome sequence and information on a large numbers of SNP loci has opened new opportunities to use genomic information in animal breeding, including the development of genomic selection programmes (Meuwissen *et al.* 2001). Using high density single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) information the level of inbreeding can be estimated for livestock populations even when no pedigree available. The probability of an allele at a locus being IBD can be estimated by direct inference from the alleles inherited by an individual, which can be done for hundreds of
thousands of loci spanning the genome. Theoretically, using this approach the true inbreeding value
of an individual can be calculated using the whole genome sequence.

Genomic inbreeding coefficients can be calculated using two approaches: the first by
examining IBS information marker-by-marker, using a genomic relationship matrix (GRM,
VanRaden *et al.* 2008; Yang *et al.* 2010;); the second, using runs of homozygosity (ROH), which
was proposed first for humans by McQuillan *et al.*(2008) and later by Ferencakovic *et al.* (2011) for
cattle.

ROH are DNA segments that harbour uninterrupted stretches of loci which are homozygous 73 74 in the individual but that are polymorphic in the population. Broman and Weber (1999) were the first to suggest that ROH are likely to be autozygous, and proposed a statistical method based on 75 LOD score to evaluate the proportion of autozygosity using data for ~8000 short tandem-repeat 76 77 polymorphisms (STRP) on 134 subjects in human outbreed populations. However, the presence of a 78 long stretch of homozygous loci in an individual does not necessarily imply that the region was inherited from a common ancestor without recombination. Subsequently, such extended regions of 79 homozygosity (ROH) have been used to provide an estimate of autozygosity at genome-wide level 80 (McQuillan et al. 2008; Kirin et al. 2010; Keller et al. 2011). Although ROH can arise for several 81 82 reasons, the primary cause of ROH is believed to be the inbreeding (Broman & Weber 1999; Gibson et al. 2006). 83

Both microsatellite loci and dense SNP loci have been proposed for estimating inbreeding
(Toro *et al.* 2002; Slate *et al.* 2004; Carothens *et al.* 2006; VanRaden *et al.* 2011). The ROH
approach uses a genome-wide average of the proportion of sequence in ROH segments. Thus, for an
individual the inbreeding coefficient is the proportion of genome that is IBD, which can be
estimated from ROH (Keller *et al.* 2011). Genome based inbreeding metrics are particularly useful

when pedigrees are either incomplete or not particularly deep, as they provide an improved estimate 89 90 of the inbreeding coefficients. In cattle, ROH have been used to analyze population history following the recent selection (Purfield et al.2012), to estimate inbreeding coefficients 91 (Ferencakovic et al. 2011; Ferencakovic et al. 2013a; Ferencakovic et al. 2013b); to study the 92 detrimental effect of inbreeding on traits affecting farm profitability (Bjelland et al. 2013) and to 93 control the increase of inbreeding in genome assisted breeding schemes (Pryce et al. 2012). 94 95 Measures inbreeding using ROH are particularly appealing, as the number of generations of inbreeding and history of recent selection events can be inferred from the extent and frequency of 96 ROH regions. As recombination will break long chromosome segments, it is expected that long 97 98 autozygous segments in an individual genome would be found when there is a recent common 99 ancestor and shorter segments would be found when the common ancestor is more distant (Broman & Weber 1999). Hence, the longer the homozygous segments the more recent the inbreeding. 100 101 Even when deep, reliable pedigrees are available, inbreeding coefficients do not allow ancient relatedness to be estimated (Ferencakovic et al. 2011). In exploring the inbreeding history 102 of a population, the founders are usually considered not to be inbreed. Inbreeding coefficients based 103 on pedigrees ignore stochastic differences in the proportion of the genome inherited IBD relative to 104 105 the statistical expectation. Hence, molecular and pedigree derived inbreeding estimates tend to 106 differ. In addition, not all the ROH segments are autozygous, and short ROH may occur by chance and are not IBD. Studies that compared ROH identified using SNP markers of different densities 107 found that segments shorter than 4 Mb are less likely to be IBD (Purfield et al. 2012; Ferencakovic 108 109 et al. 2013b). Moreover, inbreeding estimates differ depending on the length of the ROH segments used to calculate the coefficients, in contrast, GRM methods give a more uniform estimate of 110 inbreeding. 111

112

113 The objective of this work was to compare molecular estimates of inbreeding calculated 114 from ROH (F_{ROH}) belonging to different length classes in five cattle breeds farmed in Italy and 115 characterized by different breeding goals and selection histories. The F_{ROH} coefficients were then 116 compared with the pair-wise IBS estimates using the GRM approach (F_{GRM}) and classical pedigree 117 based coefficients (F_{PED}).

118 Materials and Methods

119 **Data**

The animals used in this study consisted of 4,095 bulls from five Italian cattle breeds, specifically 120 121 selected to include dairy, beef and dual-purpose cattle populations. A total of 2,093 and 749 bulls were selected from the Italian Holstein (HOL) and Italian Brown (BRW) dairy breeds, respectively. 122 Three hundred and sixty-four Piedmontese (PMT) and 410 Marchigiana (MAR) bulls represented 123 124 beef breeds. A sample of 479 dual-purpose Italian Simmental bulls (SIM) were also included in the dataset. The bulls were selected using pedigree information to avoid, as far as possible, closely 125 related animals in order to maximize genetic variability. All bulls were genotyped with the Illumina 126 Bovine SNP50 bead-chip v.1 containing 54,001 SNP loci, in the framework of two big national 127 projects (Ajmone-Marsan et al. 2010). Markers that did not map to any chromosome, that belonged 128 to the X chromosome or had within-breed call rate $\leq 97.5\%$, were removed from dataset. SNP that 129 were monomorphic in all breeds were also removed, as were those that had an overall minor allele 130 frequency ≤ 0.01 . SNP markets that deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were left in the 131 data set. Missing values were not considered in the analysis. After editing, 44,325 SNP were 132 retained for the study. No pruning was performed based on LD, but a minimum ROH length was set 133 (see criteria used for ROH detection) to exclude short, common ROH deriving from LD following 134

Ferencakovic *et al.* (2013b). Thus all markers that passed the quality control were used to calculateROH.

137 **ROH detectionand distribution**

ROH were detected using a SAS 9.2 script (SAS Institute, Cary NC) designed to find stretches with 138 a specified number of contiguous homozygous SNP. The following constrains were applied to limit 139 the number of spurious ROH detected: *i*) the minimum number of SNP included in a ROH was 140 fixed at 15; *ii*) the minimum length of a ROH was set at 1 Mb; *iii*) the maximum distance between 141 adjacent SNP was 1 Mb; *iv*) neither heterozygous nor missing genotypes were allowed in a ROH; *v*) 142 sliding windows were not used to detect ROH in order to avoid the introduction of artificial ROH 143 144 that were shorter than the window (Ferencakovic et al. 2013b). The expected length of DNA 145 segments IBD was derived by Fisher (1954) and follows an exponential distribution with mean equal to 1/2g (Morgan), where g is the number of generations since the common ancestor. There is 146 147 an approximate correlation between ROH length and the number of generations that separates an individual from the common ancestor that contributed the IBD fragment: fragments of 1 Mb and 16 148 Mb are ~50 and ~6 generations from a common ancestor, respectively (see Howrigan et al. 2011 for 149 more details). In the present study the minimum length of ROH was set at 1 Mb on the basis of the 150 theoretical relationship between distribution of IBD fragments and the number of generations since 151 a common ancestor. ROH were placed into five classes using the nomenclature of Kirin et al. 152 (2010) and Ferencakovic et al. (2013a): ROH < 2 Mb, 2-4Mb, 4-8Mb, 8-16Mb and 16<Mb 153 identified as ROH_{1-2Mb}; ROH_{2-4Mb}, ROH_{4-8Mb}, ROH_{8-16Mb}, ROH_{>16Mb} respectively. For each 154 individual in each of the five breeds, and for each ROH length category, the total number of ROH 155 detected (N_{ROH}), the average length of ROH (L_{ROH}, in Mb) and the sum of all ROH segments by 156

animals (S_{ROH} , in Mb) were calculated. The distribution of S_{ROH} within breed were assessed using box plots.

159 Pedigree and genomic inbreeding analyses

Three types of inbreeding coefficients were calculated: the FPED, FGRM and FROH. FPED 160 estimates for HOL, BRW and SIM were provided by Italian national breed associations and were 161 calculated using >10 generation of pedigree for HOL and 7 and 4 for BRW and SIM respectively. 162 Pedigrees of PMT and MAR were not available. FGRM estimates were calculated following Van 163 Raden *et al.* (2008). The GRM matrix were calculated as $\mathbb{ZZ}' / \sum_{j=1}^{nSNP} 2p_j(1 - p_j)$ where p_j was the 164 165 allelic frequency at *j*-th locus, **Z** containing the values of (1-2p) for heterozygous genotypes, (0-2p)166 and (2-2p) for opposite homozygous genotypes, respectively (where p was set at 0.5 in the base population). The diagonal of this matrix was used to assess the genomic inbreeding. F_{ROH} 167 inbreeding coefficients were calculated following McQuillan et al. (2008), who defined the 168 proportion of the autosomal genome in ROH as $F_{ROH}^{i} = S_{ROH}^{i} / L_{GEN}$, where S_{ROH}^{i} is the sum across 169 the genome of ROH >1 Mb (including centromeric regions) for the *i*-the bulls and where L_{GEN} is the 170 autosomal genome length covered by SNP, in the present case this corresponded to ~2,556 Mb. 171 Four different F_{ROH} coefficients were calculated for ROH of different minimum length for each 172 animal (FROH>1Mb, FROH>4Mb, FROH>8Mb and FROH>16 Mb). Within breed one-way ANOVA was used 173 174 evaluate differences between the coefficients (F_{PED}, F_{GRM} and F_{ROH} of different minimum length). Correlation analysis and a linear regression between F_{PED} (when available) and genomic inbreeding 175 coefficients (F_{GRM} or F_{ROH} with different minimum length) was performed in order to test the 176 177 similarity among the different estimates of inbreeding.

To evaluate the effects of the breed and the chromosome on the $F_{ROH>1Mb}$, the following linear mixed model was used: $y_{ijk} = BREED_i + CHR_j + (BREED \times CHR)_{ij} + BULL_{k(i)} + e_{ijk}$ where, y_{ijk} was F_{ROH} measured for the *k*-th individual (n=4,095) of the *i*-th BREED (n=5), located in the *j*th autosome (CHR, n=29). BREED and CHR were treated as fixed effect, random effect of BULL_{k(i)} nested within each breed were also fitted. The random effect of BULL_{k(i)} and residual random term was assumed to be IID distributed ~N (0, $I\sigma_{BULL}^2$) e~ N(0, $I\sigma_e^2$) respectively; the TukeyHSD test was used to correct for multiple comparisons in order to set the significance level.

187 Effective population size estimation.

The effective population size (N_e) for the five cattle breeds was also estimated. Exploiting the known relationship between LD (measured by expected r^2) and the inter-marker genetic distance cbetween two loci with N_e , the later was calculated for each breed according to Sved (1971)

191
$$N_e = \frac{\left[(E(r^2) - 1/n)\right]^{-1} - 1}{4c}$$
, where *n* is twice the number of animals.

All the pair-wise comparisons of r^2 within an interval of 10,000 kb were calculated using 192 Haploview software (Barret et al., 2005). r²was progressively averaged within bins of 100 kb (from 193 100 kb to 10,000 kb), separately for each chromosome, then, the means for chromosomal averages 194 for each bin were calculated and plotted against the inter-marker distance (or number of generations 195 g). 1,000 Kb was considered to be approximately equivalent at 0.1 Morgan (M) and N_e were 196 calculated per breed for 1/2c generations ago, for each c inter-marker distance. In the present study 197 N_e of 5 generations ago was used to track more recent inbreeding (1/2g = 0.1 M, or ~10 Mb, 198 corresponding the longer ROH), whilst N_e of 50 generations ago (ROH of ~1 Mb) was used to 199 200 capture ancient relatedness, which is deeper than pedigree records.

201

202 **Results**

203 **ROH detection and distributions**

The number of ROH per animals varied both within breeds and length classes. The total 204 N_{ROH} ranged from 54±7.2 (PMT) to 94.6±11.6 (BRW). In general, dairy and dual purpose breeds 205 206 showed the highest N_{ROH} per animal, whereas the lowest values were found for the beef breeds. The number of ROH in the class ROH_{1-2Mb} were similar across breed, with the lowest and highest NROH 207 in PMT (43.5) and SIM (66.6), respectively. The relative frequency of N_{ROH} by length class is 208 shown in Figure 1. ROH of 1-2Mb were the most frequent in all breeds, representing 71% and 81% 209 of ROH in SIM and PMT, respectively. BRW and HOL bulls had fewer ROH in this class 210 211 compared to SIM, PMT and MAR. Whereas ROH >4 Mb were at 2% in SIM and 4% in BRW, and all animals of these breeds had at least one ROH>16 Mb. The average number of SNP falling into a 212 213 ROH was consistent among breeds and ROH length category, ranging from 22.6 (ROH_{1-2Mb}) to 214 476.3 (ROH_{>16Mb}) SNP. The longest ROH measured 2,231 SNP and was found in MAR. The average ROH length was longer in BRW and HOL bulls (L_{ROH}~3.9 and 3.6 Mb, 215 respectively) compared with PMT and SIM (L_{ROH}~1.9 vs2.2 Mb respectively). MAR had 216 217 intermediate values, but with several outliers. S_{ROH} varied among dairy, beef or dual purpose breeds (Figure 2). In dairy breeds, the average S_{ROH} was 371 Mb for BRW and 297 Mb for HOL. The 218 largest proportion of the genome within ROH was found in SIM and MAR which had ~210 Mb in 219 220 ROH, followed by PMT with 106 Mb. MAR had on average 7% of the genome estimated as autozygous, however some individuals had up to 30% of their genome in ROH. 221

222 Pedigree and genomic inbreeding analysis

Pedigree based inbreeding coefficients were available for BRW, HOL and SIM bulls but not for 223 MAR and PMT. F_{PED} were calculated using all available pedigree information (the minimum 224 number of generations was 4 for SIM), whereas the F_{GRM} and F_{ROH} were calculated for all the five 225 breeds. The average inbreeding coefficients estimated using the different approaches and their 226 distributions are presented in Table 2 and Figure S1, respectively. The highest FPED was observed 227 for HOL, followed by BRW and SIM bulls. The F_{GRM} estimates were significantly higher (p-228 229 values<0.001) than F_{PED} estimates for BRW, HOL and SIM. The proportion of genome that was found to be autozygous from $F_{ROH>1Mb}$ significantly exceed both F_{PED} and F_{GRM} (p-values <0.001), 230 however, F_{ROH} decreased as the minimum length of the ROH increased, and did not differ from 231 232 F_{PED} for HOL for F_{ROH>8Mb}, or in SIM and BRW for F_{ROH>16Mb}. Both the genomic based inbreeding coefficients (F_{GRM} and F_{ROH}) and F_{PED} differed significantly among breeds, and the highest values 233 for each was found in BRW and the lowest for SIM. 234

235

The Pearson correlations between the F_{GRM} and F_{PED} were quite low for BRW (0.134, p-236 value <0.001) and HOL (0.128, p-value<0.001), and moderate in SIM (0.448, p-values<0.001). The 237 correlations between either FPED or FGRM and FROH (with ROH of different minimum length) are 238 shown in Table 3. The highest correlations between F_{GRM} and F_{PED} were found for the two dairy 239 240 breeds when all the ROH >1 Mb were considered, whereas for the SIM a stronger correlation was observed when ROH longer than 8 Mb were used to estimate F_{ROH}. The correlations between F_{GRM} 241 and F_{ROH} were generally lower than those between F_{ROH} and F_{PED} for all the ROH classes 242 considered. F_{GRM} were moderately associated with F_{ROH} for beef (up to 0.715 in MAR) or dual 243 purpose breeds, but poorly correlated for dairy breeds. The highest correlations between FGRM and 244 FROH were observed for FROH>8Mb across all breeds, with exception of the BRW. Regression of FROH 245

(for different minimum ROH length) on F_{PED} suggested that F coefficients estimated using ROH >8
Mb would best fit with pedigree estimates (Figure 3).

248

Least square means of F_{ROH} for the different chromosomes were estimated using a linear 249 mixed model ($R^2=0.50$). The effect of chromosome and breed on F_{ROH} were significant (p-values 250 <0.001). F_{ROH} estimates by chromosome and breed are shown in Figure 4, separately for dairy and 251 252 dual purpose (Figure 4a) and beef breeds (Figure 4b). A significant interaction between chromosome and breed was also observed (p-values <0.001). The highest chromosome effect for 253 FROH was found on BTA 5 (from 6% of chromosomal length in ROH for PMT to 25.5% in BRW) 254 255 and BTA 6 (from 5.8% in PMT up to 24.3% in BRW). These two chromosomes harbour a higher 256 percentage of homozygous segments than other chromosomes, particularly in the BRW, MAR and SIM breeds, although other chromosomes do contain regions with a high percentage of ROH. 257

258 **Discussion**

259 Inbreeding has traditionally been estimated from pedigree data. However, with the availability of high density single nucleotide polymorphism markers, several authors have explored the used of 260 autozygosity identified through ROH to estimate inbreeding. These studies, initially in human and 261 evolutionary genetics investigated the origins of inbreeding at molecular level, estimated the effects 262 of inbreeding on fitness traits, or attempted to map complex disease traits (Gibson et al. 2006; 263 264 McQuillan et al. 2008; Lencz et al. 2007; Keller et al., 2012). In animal breeding, ROH have been recently used to estimate F coefficients that are more accurate than those obtained using pedigree 265 information, to better quantify inbreeding depression in different animal populations. In the present 266 267 paper, an analysis of the distribution of autozygous segments in 5 Italian cattle populations is

presented, and F statistics obtained using genomic data compared with those obtained usingpedigree based (or GRM based) approaches.

270 ROH detection and distribution

In the present study, longer ROH were found far less frequently than shorter ones in all the breeds analysed (Figure 1). This was also observed in studies of ROH in human and cattle populations (Kirin *et al.* 2010; Ferencakovic*et al.* 2013a). In the present study, dairy and dual purpose breeds had a higher number of ROH compared with beef breed. The results for the BRW and SIM presented here, were in close agreement with a study of ROH in the Austrian Brown Swiss and Simmental using the 54K SNP panel (Ferencakovic*et al.* 2013a). This suggests similarity in the N_e

277 between the populations of the same breed raised in the two countries.

278

In the present work, the number of SNP falling into a ROH was consistent among different breeds, 279 280 although the number of consecutive homozygous SNP was affected by genotyping errors and missing data. Several approaches have been used to manage missing SNP calls: Ferencakovic et al. 281 (2013b) suggested allowing some heterozygous SNP within a ROH, especially when handling high 282 density SNP data, while Howrigan et al. (2011) argued that incorporating heterozygous SNP would 283 increase the power of detecting truly autozygous segments, which was shown, at least in an *in silico* 284 comparative study where high and low genotyping error rates were simulated. In the present work 285 only homozygous SNP were allowed in ROH. When 1 or 2 heterozygous genotypes were allowed, 286 the number of longest ROH increased dramatically. However, as SNP density was relatively low 287 and HD SNP data was not available to make comparisons heterozygous genotypes were not allowed 288 in the ROH. 289

292 In this study, L_{ROH} showed lower variation across breeds than the S_{ROH} , which suggests that L_{ROH} is not a good descriptor of ROH, most likely because of the skewed distribution. In the literature SROH 293 has often been used in place of L_{ROH} (Kirin et al. 2010; Purfield et al. 2012; Silio et al. 2013), 294 295 because S_{ROH} gives a better separation among breeds and the length of autozygous segments can be used to interpret population history (McQuillan et al. 2008). The average S_{ROH} in BRW was similar 296 297 to values reported in other studies of Brown Swiss (Ferencakovic et al. 2013a), Holstein and PMT (Purfield *et al.* 2012). In this study, beef breeds had a lower S_{ROH} than dairy breeds. This is most 298 likely because dairy breeds have been under more intensive selection, and may be related to recent 299 300 increase in consanguineous matings resulting from the small number of high genetic merit sires 301 used for artificial insemination. This would be expected to increase inbreeding levels over time, which is what is observed, e.g. in Italian and other European and US Holstein populations (Biffani 302 303 2002; Miglior et al. 2005; Mc Parland et al. 2007).

The average S_{ROH} found for the SIM was in agreement with values reported for Austrian Simmental 304 (Ferencakovic et al. 2013a). The high genetic flow known to occur between these two populations 305 would partially explain these results. Furthermore, the lower S_{ROH} for SIM compared with the BRW 306 307 and HOL is consistent with differences in breeding strategies: whereas HOL uses a relatively small 308 number of sires, the Simmental breeding programme uses large number of young unproven bulls (~50%) in the commercial population. In addition, a restricted number of semen doses (no more 309 than 7 000 per bull) are stored and used; this limits the number of offspring per bulls, and indirectly 310 311 controls the level of inbreeding (ANAPRI, Udine 2010; Gaspa et al. 2013). PMT was different from other breeds in showing the lowest average S_{ROH} for all the length classes. Unfortunately, no 312 pedigree information was available for this breed, nor for MAR. 313

In general, ROH are found when an individual inherits the same DNA segments from both parents, 314 315 i.e. the ROH that are truly IBD, and occurs when the parents themselves received the chromosomal fragments from a relatively recent common ancestor. Another possibility explanation for ROH is a 316 lack of recombination in a specific region (LD Hotspots), which means that ancestral chromosomal 317 regions persist in the population. This latter situation is generally associated with shorter ROH. In 318 case of MAR or PMT the origin of ROH could not be assessed in absence of detailed pedigree 319 320 information and other indicators should be used to explain S_{ROH} in MAR and PMT, for example LD patterns or N_e estimates. 321

322 Pedigree and genomic inbreeding analysis

Three different estimates of F were calculated for each bull: Pedigree (F_{PED}) and the other two 323 324 based on SNP-by-SNP measurement (F_{GRM}) or on the proportion of genome covered by ROH of different minimum size (F_{ROH}). Inbreeding coefficients estimated from the pedigree were lower than 325 326 those estimated from GRM (with the exception of BRW) or calculated using ROH >1Mb. The difference in average FPED depends on the number of generations in the pedigree. The difference 327 between F_{ROH} and F_{PED} may be explained by considering that ROH capture both ancient and recent 328 329 relatedness, represented by shorter and longer IBD fragments respectively. Whereas F_{PED} estimates provide only inbreeding estimates based on the recorded pedigree, which may only extend back a 330 few generations. When longer ROH (F_{ROH>16Mb}), which arise from recent inbreeding events, are 331 considered, the results for F_{PED} and F_{ROH} are closer. A similar increase in estimated inbreeding for 332 genome based calculations vs pedigree based coefficients has been reported previously for both 333 humans and cattle populations (McQuillan et al. 2008; VanRaden et al. 2011; Ferencakovic et al. 334 2013a; Ferencakovic et al. 2013a and b). The FROH of the shortest ROH (<4 Mb) should be treated 335 with caution as they may not be related to autozygosity, leading to an overestimation of inbreeding 336

coefficients (Ferencakovic et al. 2013b). ROH identified in 9 cattle breeds using 54K SNP data 337 338 were not confirmed when the 800 K SNP was used, indicating that many ROH shorter than 5Mb detected with the 54K SNP are not reliable (Purfield et al. 2012). When shorter ROH are included in 339 the calculation of F_{ROH} , the inbreeding coefficients have been shown to be systematically 340 overestimated using a lower density of SNP (Ferencakovic et al. 2013b). 341 In the data presented here, both pedigree and molecular based inbreeding coefficients were 342 343 characterized (Figure 5). The variation of F, transformed by the log₁₀ of the variance, according to Keller et al. (2011), shows that the pattern of ROH varies among the F calculated by the three 344 different methods. F_{GRM} shows the highest variance, followed by F_{ROH} and F_{PED}. F_{PED} shows the 345 346 lowest variation in breeds for which pedigree data is available. This is because FPED does not capture the variation due to recombination, that other two coefficients do by accounting for 347 mendelian sampling and recombination. The variance of F_{ROH>16MB} overlaps the variance of F_{PED}, 348 349 probably because F_{ROH>16MB} track very long and hence recent IBD segments. The second consideration is related to the relationship between F_{ROH} and effective population size (see Figure 5, 350 and Table 4). Keller *et al.* (2011) found that the variance of F decreases as the N_e increases, using 351 both simulated and real data. In present study 5 generations were simulated using a pair-wise r^2 352 calculation (Figure S2). In the genotype data this relationship is confirmed for all breeds, with the 353 354 exception of MAR. In general, the larger the population size, the lower the variance of F, thus the possibility to detect inbreeding from genomic data is reduced. In the breeds examined the lowest 355 and highest N_e (estimated 5 generations ago) were observed in BRW and PMT, respectively (Table 356 357 4). Effective population size and the level of inbreeding found in the present work could be explained by the recent history of selection for these two breeds. The BRW has been under strong 358 selection for dairy production over the last 80 years from the original Alpine Brown Swiss triple 359 purpose breed to become the Italian Brown dairy breed. This selection process made extensive use 360

of American Brown sires and artificial insemination with a restricted number of sires that brought
 about a reduction in the effective population size. The same was true for HOL, with an even more
 restricted number of sires.

364

The comparison of FPED and FROH revealed a poor correlation when FGRM was compared with FROH 365 This poor correlation between F_{GRM} and F_{ROH} was lower than correlation between F_{PED} and F_{ROH}, 366 which was also reported by Keller et al. (2011). All the F estimates are intrinsically incorrect as 367 determining the actual level of autozygosity IBD is inaccurate. In the present study a small number 368 of generations were available to estimate FPED which introduces errors, as historic inbreeding is not 369 370 identified. The differences observed in the correlation among different F estimates may also be due 371 to the different N_e of the 5 populations, effect of sampling, missing pedigree information, and the methods used to identify ROH. It was assumed that animals sampled were representative of the 5 372 breeds, however, this may not have been the case for PMT and MAR as both are local breeds which 373 may have a stratified population structure, which would only be identified from a larger sample 374 size. The relationship between ROH and inbreeding has been studied in human populations with 375 different demographic histories and depth of pedigree information (McQuillan et al. 2008; Kirin et 376 al. 2010), the conclusions drawn from these studies were in agreement with those made here. High 377 378 correlations between the ROH based estimates and pedigree based inbreeding coefficients were reported for 18 human populations for which reliable pedigree information was available, when 379 ROH greater than 5Mb were considered. A correlation of about 0.75 has been reported between 380 381 ROH and pedigree based inbreeding coefficients for cattle using medium and high density SNP panels (Purfield et al. 2012). This is higher than the correlation found in the present paper (Table 3). 382 The correlation between genomic and pedigrees inbreeding values for both HOL and BRW did not 383 change substantially whether ROH shorter than 4Mb are included or not. This is because for these 384

two breeds the percentage of homozygous segments in the shortest classes (74%,BRW and 78%
HOL was lower than for SIM (91%).

387

ROH may also be used to identify regions of the genome under selection with possibility to 388 map genes affecting traits of interest for animal breeders. Several study have used ROH to map 389 deleterious variants of complex disease in human (see Ku et al. 2011 for a review). The ROH 390 391 clustering in specific genomic regions provide a signal to search for genes under selection. Peaks in ROH were seen on chromosomes 5 and 6, which may be evidence of signatures of selection, 392 resulting from intense selection for dairy traits. These chromosomes harbour genes that affect milk 393 394 production traits including ABCG2, FAM31A1, OPN (Cohen et al. 2004; Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005; Schnabel et al. 2005) on chromosome 5 and the casein cluster on BTA6 (Blott et al. 2003) 395 (Figure S3). Several SNP fall into the same ROH on chromosome 6, for 100% of BRW, ~65% of 396 397 SIM and ~60% MAR individuals. A ROH in PMT at the beginning of the chromosome 2 was found in ~90% of animals. The myostatin (MSTN) locus which controls the double muscling phenotype 398 (6,532,697 and 6,539,265 bp) is found in this region and a specific MSTN variant (p.Cys313Tyr) is 399 reported to be fixed in the PMT breed (Casas et al., 1999). 400

401

402

403 **Conclusions**

This work analysed relationships between runs of homozygosity at SNP loci across the genome and the genetic diversity of the five breeds, and examined the relationship between the ROH and the level of inbreeding. ROH were identified in five Italian cattle breeds genotyped using 54K SNP. The distribution of ROH found was in agreement with previous studies carried out in populations of

the same breeds farmed in other countries. In particular, in this work, dairy breeds were found to 408 409 have longer and more frequent ROH compared with beef and dual purpose cattle, demonstrating that in inbreeding is more recent in the dairy breeds. Relationships between pedigree based 410 inbreeding coefficients derived from ROH showed that they could be used to reveal recent selection 411 history of these breeds. One of the advantages of using ROH base inbreeding over pedigree-based 412 estimates is the ability explore historic inbreeding events which is not possible as the depth of 413 pedigree information is usually limited. ROH therefore, facilitate the estimation of inbreeding 414 where pedigree data is limited. However, the regression between the traditional pedigree based 415 inbreeding coefficient and the ROH using different sets of ROH according to the length (from >1 416 417 Mb to >16 Mb) indicates that including ROH < 4 Mb in the inbreeding calculation produces an overestimation of inbreeding when 54 K SNP are used. ROH are a direct measure of autozygosity at 418 the DNA level and can overcome approximations and errors resulting from incomplete pedigree 419 420 data. However, distinguishing ROH that are identical by state from those that are truly identical by descent is approximate using low and medium marker density. In populations with high LD and 421 recent inbreeding (e.g. HOL and BRW) the 54K SNP may provide a good estimate of inbreeding, 422 but in populations with low LD and ancient inbreeding, higher marker density would be needed to 423 identify short ROH that are IBD. Ideally whole genome sequence data would be used. ROH can 424 425 also be used to investigate signatures of selection left on the genome by artificial selection: the homozygous stretches of the genome can help to dissect the genetic architecture of quantitative 426 traits and to map genes of interest for animal breeders. In summary, ROH are a powerful tool for 427 428 estimating inbreeding coefficients, evaluating its effect on traits of economic importance and controlling its level in breeding programs. 429

431

432 Acknowledgments

- 433 Research was funded by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture (grants SELMOL and INNOVAGEN)
- 434 and Fondazione CARIPLO (grant PROZOO). Authors wish to acknowledge Italian Holstein
- 435 (ANAFI), Italian Brown (ANARB), Italian Piedmontese (ANABoRAPI) and Italian Beef cattle of
- 436 Central Italy (ANABIC) associations for providing data.

437

438 **Conflict of interest.**

439 The authors declare no conflict of interest in the publication of this information.

440 **References**

- Ajmone-Marsan P., Nicolazzi E., Negrini R., Macciotta N., Fontanesi L., Russo V., Bagnato A., Santus E.,
 Vicario D., van Kaam J.B.C.H.M., Albera A., Filippini F., Marchitelli C., Mancini G., Nardone A.,
 Valentini A. (2010). Integrating population genomics and genomic selection. *Interbull Bulletin* 41,
 39-42.
- 445 ANAPRI (Udine I. (2010) L'indice di selezione. In:

446 <u>http://www.anapri.eu/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=68&Itemid=97</u>.

- Barrett J. C., Fry B., Maller J. & Daly M. J. (2005). Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 21, 263-265
- Biffani S. S.A.a.C.F. (2002) Inbreeding depression for production, reproduction and functional traits in Italian Holstein cattle. In: *Proc. World Congr. Genet. Applied to Livest. Prod.*, pp. 183–6, Montpellier, France.
- Bjelland D., Weigel K., Vukasinovic N. & Nkrumah J. (2013) Evaluation of inbreeding depression in
 Holstein cattle using whole-genome SNP markers and alternative measures of genomic inbreeding.
 Journalof Dairy Sci 96, 4697 706.
- Blott S., Kim J.-J., Moisio S., Schmidt-Küntzel A., Cornet A., Berzi P., Cambisano N., Ford C., Grisart B.,
 Johnson D., Karim L., Simon P., Snell R., Spelman R., Wong J., Vilkki J., Georges M., Farnir F. &
 Coppieters W. (2003) Molecular Dissection of a Quantitative Trait Locus: A Phenylalanine-toTyrosine Substitution in the Transmembrane Domain of the Bovine Growth Hormone Receptor Is
 Associated With a Major Effect on Milk Yield and Composition. *Genetics* 163, 253-66.
- Broman K.W. & Weber J.L. (1999) Long homozygous chromosomal segments in reference families from the
 Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 65, 1493-500.
- 462 Carothers A.D., Rudan I., Kolcic I., Polasek O., Hayward C., Wright A.F., Campbell H., Teague P., Hastie
- 463 N.D. & Weber J.L. (2006) Estimating human inbreeding coefficients: Comparison of genealogical
 464 and marker heterozygosity approaches. *Annals of Human Genetics* **70**, 666-76.

- 465 Casas E., Keele J.W., Fahrenkrug S.C., Smith T.P., Cundiff L.V. & Stone R.T. (1999) Quantitative analysis
 466 of birth, weaning, and yearling weights and calving difficulty in Piedmontese crossbreds segregating
 467 an inactive myostatin allele. *Journal of Animal Science* 77, 1686-92.
- Charlesworth D. & Willis J.H. (2009) FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS IN GENETICS The genetics of
 inbreeding depression. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 10, 783-96.
- 470 Cohen-Zinder M., Seroussi E., Larkin D.M., Loor J.J., Everts-van der Wind A., Lee J.H., Drackley J.K.,
 471 Band M.R., Hernandez A.G., Shani M., Lewin H.A., Weller J.I. & Ron M. (2005) Identification of a
 472 missense mutation in the bovine ABCG2 gene with a major effect on the QTL on chromosome 6
 473 affecting milk yield and composition in Holstein cattle. *Genome Research* 15, 936-44.
- 474 Cohen M., Reichenstein M., Everts-van der Wind A., Heon-Lee J., Shani M., Lewin H.A., Weller J.I., Ron
 475 M. & Seroussi E. (2004) Cloning and characterization of FAM13A1--a gene near a milk protein
 476 QTL on BTA6: evidence for population-wide linkage disequilibrium in Israeli Holsteins. *Genomics*477 84, 374-83.
- Ferencakovic M., Hamzic E., Gredler B., Curik I. & Solkner J. (2011) Runs of homozygosity reveal genomewideautozygosity in the Austrian fleckvieh cattle. *Agric Conspec Sci* 76, 325 8.
- Ferencakovic M., Hamzic E., Gredler B., Solberg T.R., Klemetsdal G., Curik I. & Solkner J. (2013a)
 Estimates of autozygosity derived from runs of homozygosity: empirical evidence from selected
 cattle populations. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 130, 286-93.
- Ferencakovic M., Solkner J. & Curik I. (2013b) Estimating autozygosity from high-throughput information:
 effects of SNP density and genotyping errors. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 45, 42.
- 485 Fisher R.A. (1954) A fuller theory of junctions in inbreeding. *Heredity* 8, 187-97.
- Gaspa G., Pintus M.A., Nicolazzi E.L., Vicario D., Valentini A., Dimauro C. & Macciotta N.P. (2013) Use
 of principal component approach to predict direct genomic breeding values for beef traits in Italian
 Simmental cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 91, 29-37.
- 489 Gibson J., Morton N. & Collins A. (2006) Extended tracts of homozygosity in outbred human populations.
 490 *Human Molecular Genetics* 15, 789 95.
- 491 González-Recio O., López de Maturana E. & Gutiérrez J.P. (2007) Inbreeding Depression on Female
 492 Fertility and Calving Ease in Spanish Dairy Cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 5744-52.
- Howrigan D., Simonson M. & Keller M. (2011) Detecting autozygosity through runs of homozygosity: a
 comparison of three autozygosity detection algorithms. *Bmc Genomics* 12, 460.
- Keller L.F. & Waller D.M. (2002) Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17, 230-41.
- Keller M.C., Visscher P.M. & Goddard M.E. (2011) Quantification of Inbreeding Due to Distant Ancestors
 and Its Detection Using Dense Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Data. *Genetics* 189, 237-U920.
- Keller M.C., Simonson M.A., Ripke S., Neale B.M., Gejman P.V., Howrigan D.P., Lee S.H., Lencz T.,
 Levinson D.F., Sullivan P.F. & Wide S.P.G. (2012) Runs of Homozygosity Implicate Autozygosity
 as a Schizophrenia Risk Factor. *Plos Genetics* 8, 425-35.
- Kirin M., McQuillan R., Franklin C., Campbell H., McKeigue P. & Wilson J. (2010) Genomic runs of
 homozygosity record population history and consanguinity. *Plos One* 5, e13996.
- Ku C.S., Naidoo N., Teo S.M. & Pawitan Y. (2011) Regions of homozygosity and their impact on complex
 diseases and traits. *Human Genetics* 129, 1-15.
- Lencz T., Lambert C., DeRosse P., Burdick K., Morgan T., Kane J., Kucherlapati R. & Malhotra A. (2007)
 Runs of homozygosity reveal highly penetrant recessive loci in schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 104, 1994,2 - 7.
- Mc Parland S., Kearney J.F., Rath M. & Berry D.P. (2007) Inbreeding effects on milk production, calving
 performance, fertility, and conformation in Irish Holstein-Friesians. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90,
 4411-9.
- 512 McQuillan R., Leutenegger A.L., Abdel-Rahman R., Franklin C.S., Pericic M., Barac-Lauc L., Smolej-
- Narancic N., Janicijevic B., Polasek O., Tenesa A., MacLeod A.K., Farrington S.M., Rudan P.,
 Hayward C., Vitart V., Rudan I., Wild S.H., Dunlop M.G., Wright A.F., Campbell H. & Wilson J.F.
- 515 (2008) Runs of Homozygosity in European Populations. American Journal of Human Genetics 83,
 516 658-.

- Meuwissen T. (1997) Maximizing the response of selection with a predetermined rate of inbreeding. *Journal of Animal Science* 75, 934 40.
- Meuwissen T., Hayes B. & Goddard M. (2001) Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense
 marker maps. *Genetics* 157, 1819 29.
- Miglior F., Burnside E.B. & Kennedy B.W. (1995) Production Traits of Holstein Cattle Estimation of
 Nonadditive Genetic Variance-Components and Inbreeding Depression. *Journal of Dairy Science* 78, 1174-80.
- Miglior F., Muir B.L. & Van Doormaal B.J. (2005) Selection Indices in Holstein Cattle of Various
 Countries. *Journal of Dairy Science* 88, 1255-63.
- Pryce J.E., Hayes B.J. & Goddard M.E. (2012) Novel strategies to minimize progeny inbreeding while
 maximizing genetic gain using genomic information. *Journal of Dairy Science* 95, 377-88.
- Purfield D., Berry D., McParland S. & Bradley D. (2012) Runs of homozygosity and population history in
 cattle. *BMC Genetics* 13, 70.
- 530 SAS (2012) Sas User Guide. Inc, Cary NC.
- Schnabel R.D., Kim J.J., Ashwell M.S., Sonstegard T.S., Van Tassell C.P., Connor E.E. & Taylor J.F. (2005)
 Fine-mapping milk production quantitative trait loci on BTA6: analysis of the bovine osteopontin
 gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102, 6896-901.
- Silio L., Rodriguez M., Fernandez A., Barragan C., Benitez R., Ovilo C. & Fernandez A. (2013) Measuring
 inbreeding and inbreeding depression on pig growth from pedigree or SNP-derived metrics. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 130, 349 60.
- Slate J., David P., Dodds K.G., Veenvliet B.A., Glass B.C., Broad T.E. & McEwan J.C. (2004)
 Understanding the relationship between the inbreeding coefficient and multilocus heterozygosity:
 theoretical expectations and empirical data. *Heredity* 93, 255-65.
- Sved J.A. (1971) Linkage disequilibrium and homozygosity of chromosome segments in finite populations.
 Theoretical Population Biology 2, 125-41.
- Toro M., Barragan C., Ovilo C., Rodriganez J., Rodriguez C. & Silio L. (2002) Estimation of coancestry in
 Iberian pigs using molecular markers. *Conservation Genetics* 3, 309-20.
- VanRaden P.M. (2008) Efficient Methods to Compute Genomic Predictions. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 4414-23.
- VanRaden P.M., Olson K.M., Wiggans G.R., Cole J.B. & Tooker M.E. (2011) Genomic inbreeding and
 relationships among Holsteins, Jerseys, and Brown Swiss. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 5673-82.
 Wright S. (1922) Coefficients of Inbreeding and Relationship. *The American Naturalist* 56, 330-8.
- 549 Yang J., Benyamin B., McEvoy B.P., Gordon S., Henders A.K., Nyholt D.R., Madden P.A., Heath A.C.,
- Martin N.G., Montgomery G.W., Goddard M.E. & Visscher P.M. (2010) Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. *Nature Genetics* 42, 565-9.
- 552 553

Table 1. Average N_{ROH} per animals detected for each ROH length class in five Italian cattle breeds,

ROH class ¹	BRW	HOL	MAR	PMT	SIM
ROH _{1-2Mb}	50.0(7.2)	46.5 (6.8)	45.5(7.1)	43.5(6.2)	66.6(9.2)
ROH _{2-4Mb}	20.3(5.1)	17.0(4.2)	14.2(4.6)	7.3(2.8)	19.2(5.2)
ROH _{4-8Mb}	12.7(4.5)	9.7(3.5)	7.2(3.2)	2.3(1.6)	5.9(2.8)
ROH _{8-16Mb}	8.1(3.3)	5.9(2.7)	3.0(2.2)	1.9(1.5)	2.3(1.5)
ROH _{16Mb}	3.8(2.2)	3.0(1.8)	1.6(3.4)	1.4 (0.9)	2.0(1.5)
Tot ROH	94.6(11.6)	81.7(9.7)	71.4(11.1)	54.0(7.2)	94.3(12.2)

standard deviation given in brackets.

557 ¹ Number of observations used to calculate N_{ROH} varied from 706 to 749 (mean=738.8) in Brown (BRW), 1,839-2,093

558 (mean=2,039) in Holstein (HOL), 237-401 (mean=366) in Marchigiana (MAR), 88-364 (mean=257.8) in

559 Piedmontese(PMT) and from 176-479 (mean=398.2) in Simmental (SIM).

Table 2. Estimated mean (*min-max*) of pedigree based inbreeding coefficients (F_{PED}), GRM based inbreeding coefficient (F_{GRM}) and ROH based inbreeding coefficients (F_{ROH}) for five Italian Cattle breeds. F_{ROH} greater than a specific length class $F_{ROH->class}$ (>1 Mb, >4 Mb, >8 Mb, and >16 Mb)

were reported.

Coefficient	BRW	HOL	MAR	PMT	SIM
F_{PED}^{1}	0.026 ^F	0.044 ^D	-	-	0.008^{E}
	(.000141)	(.000179)	-	-	(.000078)
F _{GRM}	0.196 ^A	0.101 ^B	0.043 ^B	0.024^{B}	0.074^{B}
	(.000690)	(.000611)	(.000373)	(.000146)	(.000201)
F _{ROH>1Mb}	0.145 ^B	0.116 ^A	0.084^{A}	0.041 ^A	0.083 ^A
	(.039256)	(.038277)	(.014364)	(.023-0.170)	(.038188)
FROH>4Mb	0.097 ^C	0.073 ^C	0.046 ^B	0.011 ^C	0.028°
	(.002203)	(.006233)	(.001341)	(.000124)	(.000142)
F _{ROH>8Mb}	0.068 ^D	0.051 ^D	0.031 ^D	$0.007^{\rm D}$	0.015 ^D
	(.000173)	(.000197)	(.000312)	(.000124)	(.000118)
F _{ROH>16Mb}	0.034 ^E	0.026^{E}	0.017^{E}	0.004^{D}	0.007^{E}
	(.000134)	(.000167)	(.000282)	(.000078)	(.000097)
s.e.	0.001	< 0.001	0.002	< 0.001	0.001

* Brown (BRW), Holstein (HOL), Marchigiana (MAR), Piedmontese (PMT) and Simmental (SIM)

**Estimated mean with those that differ significantly within each breed indicated by a different letter (p-values <0.001)

567 ¹ F_{PED} were not available for MAR and PMT breed

568

Table 3. Correlation between pedigree based inbreeding coefficients (F_{PED}) and ROH based inbreeding coefficients, $r(F_{PED},F_{ROH})$, corresponding to the minimum size of the ROH used ($F_{ROH>1Mb, >4Mb, >8Mb, >16Mb$). Correlation between inbreeding coefficients based on genomic relationship matrix (F_{GRM}) and ROH based inbreeding coefficients, $r(F_{GRM},F_{ROH})$

574

Correlation	BRW	HOL	MAR	PMT	SIM
r(F _{PED} ,F _{ROH})					
F _{ROH>1Mb}	0.662***	0.700^{***}	-	-	0.669***
F _{ROH>4Mb}	0.661***	0.696***	-	-	0.747^{***}
$F_{ROH>8Mb}$	0.654***	0.651***	-	-	0.765^{***}
$F_{ROH>16Mb}$	0.588^{***}	0.561***	-	-	0.712***
r(F _{GRM} ,F _{ROH})					
F _{ROH>1Mb}	0.271***	0.332***	0.661***	0.420***	0.433***
$F_{ROH>4Mb}$	0.249***	0.350***	0.691***	0.447^{***}	0.547^{***}
$F_{ROH>8Mb}$	0.199***	0.389***	0.714^{***}	0.452***	0.576^{***}
$F_{ROH>16Mb}$	0.079^*	0.390**	0.712^{**}	0.416**	0.553**

575 Brown (BRW), Holstein (HOL), Marchigiana (MAR), Piedmontese (PMT) and Simmental (SIM).

576 *** p-values <0.001;** p-values <0.01; * p-values <0.05.

577

	$r^2 \pm$	sd		Ne
Breed	10 Mb	1Mb	5 generation	50 generation
BRW	0.029 ± 0.040	0.098±0.119	90.7	237.6
HOL	0.026 ± 0.035	0.082 ± 0.102	98.7	284.3
MAR	0.013±0.018	0.041 ± 0.056	315.2	662.8
PMT	0.011±0.015	0.022 ± 0.031	435.4	1539.6
SIM	0.012±0.015	0.034 ± 0.048	363.5	821.1

Table 4. Average LD at 1 Mb and 10 Mb, with the effective population size estimated from LD

580 values.

582 **Figure Captions**

Figure 1. Frequency distribution of the number of ROH in different length classes and for eachbreed.

Figure 2. Box Plots of within breed average Sum of Length of ROH (S_{ROH}, in Mb) calculated
across all the animals. (HOL=Holstein; BRW=Brown; PMT=Piedmontese; MAR=Marchigiana;
SIM=Simmental)

Figure 3.Plot of the regression of F_{ROH} of different minimum length (>1Mb, >4Mb, >8Mb and >16Mb) on F_{PED} , for two Italian dairy breeds (HOL, BRW) and a dual purpose breed (SIM).

590 Figure 4. Least squared mean estimates of F_{ROH} along *Bos Taurus* Autosomes (BTA) in five breeds

591 derived using linear mixed model. a) Dairy and Dual Purpose Breeds (BRW=Brown,

592 HOL=Holstein and SIM=Simmental) b) Beef Breeds (MAR=Marchigiana, PMT= Piemontese).

593 Figure 5. Variance of F for different inbreeding estimates (FPED, FGRM and FROHOF different

594 minimum length). Effective population size 5 generation ago is also reported for BRW=Brown,

595 HOL=Holstein, MAR=Marchigiana, PMT=Piedmontese and SIM=Simmental.

597 Supporting information

598 Figure S1

Histogram of the distribution of different inbreeding coefficients in the five breeds. For breeds with pedigree (BRW, HOL, SIM) F_{PED} , F_{GRM} , $F_{ROH>1MB}$ $F_{ROH>16MB}$ are reported and for MAR and PMT F_{GRM} , $F_{ROH>1MB}$ $F_{ROH>8MB}F_{ROH>16MB}$ are reported.

602

603 Figure S2

a) LD estimation (r^2) between pair-wise markers within an interval of 10000 kb in 5 Italian cattle breeds (BRW= Brown, HOL=Holstein, MAR= Marchigiana, PMT=Piedmontese, SIM= Simmental). b) Effective population size estimates (*Ne*) from 5 to 250 generation ago in the 5 Italian cattle breeds.

608

609 Figure S3

610 Occurrence of each SNP in a ROH measured by the percentage of animals for which a particular

611 SNP falls into a ROH *vs* the position (Mb) along the chromosomes BTA2, BTA5, BTA6, BTA18.

612 Position of genes known to affect dairy and beef traits are indicated by a black triangle.