

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Effect of dietary supplementation on lipid photoxidation in beef meat, during storage under commercial retail conditions

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1689139> since 2022-01-24T14:21:04Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.02.010

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **EFFECT OF DIETARY SUPPLEMENTATION ON LIPID PHOTOXIDATION IN BEEF**
2 **MEAT, DURING STORAGE UNDER COMMERCIAL RETAIL CONDITIONS**

3
4 Vladimiro Cardenia¹, Milena Massimini², Alba Poerio², Maria Chiara Venturini², Maria Teresa
5 Rodriguez-Estrada^{1,2*}, Paola Vecchia³, Giovanni Lercker^{1,2}

6
7 ¹Interdepartmental Centre for Agri-Food Industrial Research, Alma Mater Studiorum–Università
8 di Bologna (Cesena, Italy)

9 ²Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum–Università di Bologna
10 (Bologna, Italy)

11 ³Centro Ricerche Produzioni Animali (C.R.P.A.) S.p.A. (Reggio Emilia, Italy)

12

13

14 *** Corresponding author:**

15 Viale Fanin 40

16 40127 Bologna (Italy)

17 Tel. +39-051-2096011

18 Fax: +39-051-2096017

19 E-mail: maria.rodriquez@unibo.it

20

21 **Abstract**

22 The effects of feeding composition on the photosensitized oxidation of lipids from beef meat,
23 were evaluated during storage under commercial retail conditions. Feeding was enriched with
24 linseed oil (LO), D α -tocopheryl acetate (vE) and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) at different
25 doses and provided for diverse periods, resulting in 7 diet groups (A-G). After slaughtering and 2
26 weeks of holding period, meat slices were packed in vessels with transparent shrink film and
27 exposed to white fluorescent light for 8 h at 8 °C. Total cholesterol oxidation products (COPs)
28 level varied from 4.0 to 13.0 μ g/g of lipids, which corresponded to 0.1-0.6% oxidized
29 cholesterol. The lowest peroxide value (PV) in the diet added with vE and LO for 90 days was
30 found. Light exposure only had a significant impact on thiobarbituric acid reactive substances
31 (TBARs). In general, D α -tocopheryl acetate supplemented for 90 days improved the oxidative
32 stability of beef meat stored under commercial retail conditions.

33
34 *Keywords:* Beef meat; Diet; Storage; Lipid oxidation; Cholesterol oxidation; CLA; D α -
35 tocopheryl acetate

36

37

38 **1. Introduction**

39 Over the past few years, the consumer needs have driven the beef meat market towards the
40 production of leaner and healthier meat. Several strategies have been undertaken to modulate the
41 lipid fraction of beef meat, as it influences its nutritional and sensory quality. To enable the
42 differentiation of beef meat based on quality, the definition of the latter has become increasingly
43 complex as it encompasses the physical intrinsic (color, shape, appearance, tenderness, juiciness,
44 flavor) and extrinsic quality traits (brand, quality mark, origin, healthiness, production
45 environment, etc.) (Scollan, Hocquette, Nuerberg, Dannenberger, Richardson, & Moloney,
46 2006).

47 Diet formulation with vegetable oils that contain an elevated percentage of unsaturated
48 fatty acids (UFA), should result in healthier meat products (Mitchothai et al., 2007). In fact, it is
49 recommended that total fat, saturated fatty acids (SFA), n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-6
50 PUFA), n-3 PUFA and *trans* fatty acids (TFA) should contribute <15–30%, <10%, <5–8%, <1–
51 2% and <1% of total energy intake, respectively (EFSA, 2010). Reduction of the SFA intake
52 (which are known to raise total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol) and increase of
53 the n-3 PUFA consumption is particularly encouraged (Simopoulos, 2006). On the other hand,
54 beef meat is also a dietary source of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) (Ritzenthaler, McGuire,
55 Falen, Schultz, Dasgupta, & McGuire, 2001). The dominant CLA in beef is the *cis-9,trans-11*
56 isomer, which has been found to display several health promoting biological properties,
57 including antitumoral and anticarcinogenic activities (De la Torre et al., 2006). CLA *cis-9,trans-*
58 *11* isomer is mainly associated with the triacylglycerol lipid fraction and, therefore, is positively
59 correlated with the level of fatness. The content of CLA *cis-9,trans-11* isomer in beef is related
60 to the amount of this isomer produced in the rumen and the one synthesized in the tissue, by
61 delta-9 desaturase, from ruminally produced vaccenic acid (18:1 *trans-11*). The latter is the
62 major *trans* 18:1 isomer in beef and, as the precursor of tissue CLA in both animals and man, it

63 should be considered as a neutral or beneficial *trans*-isomer (Wood et al., 2004).

64 However, a higher degree of FA unsaturation is known to favor meat oxidation (Boselli,
65 Caboni, Rodriguez-Estrada, Gallina Toschi, Daniel, & Lercker, 2005; Boselli, Cardenia,
66 Rodriguez-Estrada, 2012). Lipid oxidation has a great impact on the overall quality of muscle
67 foods, since meat color, texture, nutritional value and safety are negatively affected (Williams,
68 Frye, Frigg, Schaefer, Scheller, & Liu, 1992). In addition, aldehydes, ketones and carboxylic
69 acids are generated by this degradation process, thus leading to undesirable odors and flavors
70 (Mottram, 1987).

71 Oxidation in muscle originates at the cell membrane, where a large amount of PUFA is
72 present (Wood et al., 2004). However, the cell membrane contains other unsaturated lipophilic
73 molecules, such as cholesterol, that can also oxidize. A wide range of cholesterol oxidation
74 products (COPs) can be generated by chemical, photosensitized and enzymatic oxidation
75 (Lercker and Rodriguez-Estrada, 2002; Smith, 1996). Photosensitized oxidation could be critical
76 in beef meat due to its high content of heme pigments (mainly myoglobin and hemoglobin)
77 (Boselli et al., 2012), which act as photosensitizers thus promoting lipid oxidation. When
78 assessing lipid oxidation in beef meat, however, it is very important to consider that it is usually
79 subjected to a holding period for few days at 3–6 °C to improve its tenderness and promote the
80 formation of aroma compounds; this may lead to a higher initial cholesterol oxidation degree in
81 the untreated meat slices, even before being subjected to photooxidation (Boselli, Rodriguez-
82 Estrada, Fedrizzi, & Caboni, 2009). Large attention has been focused on COPs as they are likely
83 to be involved in lipid metabolism, various chronic and degenerative diseases, and disturbance of
84 cell functionality (Garcia-Cruset, Carpenter, Codony, & Guardiola, 2002; Osada, 2002;
85 Schroepfer, 2000; Otaegui-Arazola, Menendez-Carreño, Ansorena, & Astiasaran, 2010).
86 Although COPs are usually present in low amounts in raw muscle food, their concentrations tend
87 to dramatically increase after exposure to prooxidant agents or after being highly processed (Hur,

88 Park, & Joo, 2007; Kerry, Gilroy, & O'Brien, 2002; Otaegui-Arrazola et al., 2010). Under this
89 situation, dietary supplementation or addition of antioxidants (such as vitamin E) could be an
90 important strategy to extend their shelf-life, by reducing or preventing lipid peroxidation
91 (Williams et al., 1992). To the best of our knowledge, no study has been performed on the
92 photosensitized oxidation of beef meat, as related to the dietary supplementation.

93 The aim of this work was to evaluate the effect of dietary supplementation on lipid
94 oxidation in beef meat, during storage under commercial retail conditions. Particular attention
95 was addressed to oxidation of fatty acids and cholesterol.

96

97 **2 Materials and methods**

98 *2.1 Reagents and solvents*

99 Ammonium thiocyanate (NH_4SCN , $\geq 97.5\%$), barium chloride dihydrate ($\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, \geq
100 99%), ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA) disodium salt ($100\% \pm 1\%$), iron (II) sulfate
101 heptahydrate ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\geq 99.0\%$), trichloroacetic acid ($\geq 99\%$) and diethyl ether, were
102 supplied by Carlo Erba Reagenti (Rodano, Italy). Chloroform, *n*-hexane, methanol and ethanol
103 were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Silylating agents (pyridine,
104 hexamethyldisilazane and trimethylchlorosilane) and double distilled water were supplied by
105 Carlo Erba (Milan, Italy). Anhydrous sodium sulfate and potassium hydroxide were purchased
106 from BDH (Poole, England) and Prolabo (Fontenay, France), respectively. The standard mixture
107 of fatty acid methyl esters (GLC 463) was supplied by Nu-Chek (Elysian, MN, USA).
108 Tridecanoic acid methyl ester (purity: 99%), cholest-5-en-3 β ,19-diol (19-hydroxycholesterol, 19-
109 HC) (purity: 99%) and cholest-5-en-3 β ,7 α -diol (7 α -hydroxycholesterol, 7 α -HC) (purity: 99%)
110 were purchased from Steraloids (Newport, Rhode Island, USA). (24*S*)-ethylcholest-5,22-dien-
111 3 β -ol (stigmasterol) (purity: 95%), β -sitosterol (purity: 60%), campesterol (purity: 37.5%),
112 cholest-5-en-3 β ,7 β -diol (7 β -hydroxycholesterol, 7 β -HC) (purity: 90%), 5 α ,6 α -epoxy-cholestan-

113 3 β -ol (α -epoxycholesterol, α -EC) (purity: 87%), 5 β ,6 β -epoxy-cholestan-3 β -ol (β -
114 epoxycholesterol, β -EC) (purity: 80%), cholestan-3 β ,5 α ,6 β -triol (cholestanetriol, triol) (purity:
115 99%), cholest-5-en-3 β -ol-7-one (7-ketocholesterol, 7-KC) (purity: 99%) and cholest-5-en-3 β -ol
116 (cholesterol) (purity: 99%), were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). N $^{\circ}$ 1 filters (70
117 mm diameter) were used (Whatmann, Maidstone, England). Aminopropyl solid-phase extraction
118 (SPE) cartridges (Strata NH $_2$ -55mm, 70A, 500 mg/3 mL) from Phenomenex (Torrence, CA,
119 USA) were utilized for sterol oxides purification.

120 The phosphate buffer used for the TBARs determination was prepared by adding 65.8 mL
121 of 0.5 M NaH $_2$ PO $_4$ and 111 mL of 0.5 M Na $_2$ HPO $_4$ ·H $_2$ O (water solutions) in a 500 mL
122 volumetric flask. pH was controlled, taken to neutrality (either with the acid or the basic
123 solution), and then taken to volume with water. To delay oxidation and prevent the prooxidative
124 effect of metals, proper amounts of EDTA and ascorbic acid were added to the buffer to reach a
125 final concentration of 0.1% (w/v) for both of them.

126 The silylation mixture was prepared with dried pyridine, hexamethyldisilazane and
127 trimethylchlorosilane (all from Sigma) at a ratio of 5:2:1 by volume.

128

129 *2.2 Sampling, packaging and set-up of the photosensitized oxidation experiment*

130 Fifty-six male heifers of race Charolaise, approximately 10 month old and 400 Kg weight,
131 were randomly separated in seven groups. Each group received corn silage (8 Kg/head/day), beet
132 pulp silage (5 Kg/head/day), corn meal (2.5 Kg/head/day) and straw (0.8 Kg/head/day). Feeding
133 was enriched with various ingredients (linseed oil, D1- α tocopheryl acetate, conjugated linoleic
134 acid ((CLA) *cis*-9, *trans* 11 and *trans*-10, *cis*-12 isomers) at various doses, leading to 7
135 independent diet groups: control (A), linseed oil/ D1- α tocopheryl acetate for 180 days (B),
136 linseed oil/ D1- α tocopheryl acetate for 90 days (C), CLA/ D1- α tocopheryl acetate for 180 days

137 (D), CLA/ D1- α tocopheryl acetate for 90 days (E), linseed oil/CLA/ D1- α tocopheryl acetate for
138 180 days (F) and linseed oil/CLA for 180 days (G), as shown in Table 1.

139 Heifers were slaughtered six month later, after reaching approximately 650 Kg weight. The
140 carcasses were refrigerated for 24 h before muscle samples were taken. The *longissimus*
141 *lumborum* (LL) muscle was excised from the carcass and freed from the superficial lipids; the
142 meat sample was divided longitudinally and the terminal parts were excluded, since the diameter
143 was not homogeneous. The muscle samples were vacuum-packed and wet-aged for 2 weeks at 4
144 °C. At the end of the aging period, the LL muscle was then cut into three pieces, trimmed of
145 surface adipose tissue and 168 subsamples were obtained; the meat slice was 1 cm thick and had
146 a weight ranging 100-150 g (thin slices).

147 Each thin slice was packed in a polyethylene vessel, which was wrapped with a transparent
148 shrink film (14 μ m thickness) with 10445 mL/m²/24 h of oxygen permeability. The packed slices
149 were subjected to the following storage conditions:

- 150 (a) Fifty-six vessels were immediately frozen (-20 °C), which represented T0;
151 (b) Fifty-six vessels were stored in the dark at 8 °C for 8 h (T8D) in a bench refrigerator;
152 (c) Fifty-six vessels were stored at 8 °C under a daylight lamp for 8 h (T8L), in a bench
153 refrigerator. The daylight lamp had a temperature and power of 3800 °K, 1200 Lux and 36 W
154 (Osram, Milan, Italy), respectively. The lamps were located 1.5 m above the samples.

155

156 2.3 Lipid extraction

157 Lipids were extracted according to a modified version (Boselli et al., 2005) of the method
158 described by Folch, Lees, and Sloane-Stanley (1957). The frozen samples were minced and 15 g
159 were homogenized with 200 mL of a chloroform:methanol solution (1:1, v/v) in a glass bottle
160 with screw-cap. The bottle was kept in an oven thermostated at 60 °C for 20 min before adding
161 100 mL chloroform. After 3 min of homogenization, the content of the bottle was filtered

162 through filter paper to eliminate the solid residue, which consisted mostly of proteins. The
163 filtrate was mixed thoroughly with 100 mL of a 1 M KCl solution and left overnight at 4 °C in
164 order to obtain phase separation. The lower phase containing the lipids was collected and dried
165 with a rotary evaporator. The fat content was determined gravimetrically. Two lipid extractions
166 were performed for each sample.

167

168 *2.4 Gas-chromatographic determination of fatty acid composition*

169 About 20 mg of lipid extract were methylated with 200 µL of diazomethane (Fieser, &
170 Fieser, 1967); 1.01 mg of tridecanoic acid methyl ester was added (as internal standard), and the
171 mixture was transmethylated with 40 µL of 2 N KOH in methanol (European Commission,
172 2002), vortexed for 1 min, left standing for 5 min, and centrifuged at 1620 x g for 5 min.
173 Supernatant was transferred to a vial before being injected into a gas chromatograph coupled to a
174 flame ionization detector (GC-FID). The GC-FID instrument was a GC8000 series (Fisons
175 Instruments, Milan, Italy) interfaced with a computerized system for data acquisition
176 (Chromcard Data System, ver. 2.3.1, Fisons Instruments). A J&W HP88 fused-silica column
177 (100 m x 0.25 mm x 0.2 µm film thickness) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA)
178 coated with 88% cyanopropyl aryl siloxane was used. Oven temperature was programmed from
179 100 °C to 180 °C at a rate of 3 °C/min, kept at 180 °C for 10 min, and then taken to 240 °C at a
180 rate of 3 °C/min; the final temperature was kept for 30 min. The injector and detector
181 temperatures were both set at 250 °C. Helium was used as carrier gas at a constant pressure of
182 260 KPa. The split ratio was 1:50. Tridecanoic acid methyl ester was used as internal standard
183 for FA quantification, and peak identification was carried out by comparing the peak retention
184 times with those of the GLC 463 FAME standard mixture. The GC response factor of each fatty
185 acid was calculated by using the GLC 463 FAME standard mixture and the internal standard
186 (13:0). The limit of detection (LOD) of FAMEs was 0.0038 mg, whereas the limit of

187 quantification (LOQ) was 0.01 mg. LOD and LOQ were calculated as a signal-to-noise ratios
188 equal to 3:1 and 10:1, respectively.

189 The quantification of FAME was carried out according to the following formula:

$$190 C_i = (A_i \times C_{is}) / (A_{is} \times P \times K_{ris})$$

191 where, A_i is the fatty acid peak area; A_{is} is the internal standard area, C_i is the fatty acid
192 concentration, C_{is} is the concentration of internal standard, P is the dry weight of the lipid sample
193 and K_{ris} is the response factor.

194

195 *2.5 Peroxide value (PV)*

196 PV was determined in 50 mg of lipid extract, as suggested by Shantha and Decker (1994).
197 This method is based on the ability of peroxides to oxidize ferrous ions to ferric ions.
198 Ammonium thiocyanate reacts with ferric ions, resulting in a colored complex that can be
199 measured spectrophotometrically. PV was evaluated at 500 nm with a double-beam UV-visible
200 spectrophotometer (Jasco model V-550, Jasco International, Tokyo, Japan), and it was calculated
201 from the absorbance. For the quantitative determination of PV, a Fe(III) standard calibration
202 curve was used with a concentration range of 0.1-5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ($y = 0.0282x - 0.0003$; $r^2 = 0.999$).
203 PV was expressed as meq of O_2 per kg of fat. Three replicates were run per sample.

204

205 *2.6 TBA-reactive substances (TBARs)*

206 TBARs value was determined in 2 g of sample (ground meat) according to a modified
207 method of Witte, Krause, & Bailet (1970). This method is based on the reaction between the
208 thiobarbituric acid with aldehydes that derive from secondary oxidation of lipids present in the
209 sample, resulting in a colored complex that can be measured spectrophotometrically. TBARs
210 were evaluated at 530 nm with a double-beam UV-visible spectrophotometer (Jasco model V-
211 550, Jasco International, Tokyo, Japan), and they were calculated from the absorbance. For the

212 quantitative determination of TBARs, a 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane standard calibration curve
213 was used with a concentration range of 0.045-0.113 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ($y = 0.0087x - 0.0051$; $r^2 = 0.999$).
214 TBARs value was expressed as mg of malonylaldehyde (MDA) per kg of sample. Three
215 replicates were run per sample.

216

217 *2.7 Determination of sterols*

218 About 200 mg of extracted lipids were added with known amounts of the internal standard
219 solution (0.140 mg of betulinol and 0.0125 mg of 19-hydroxycholesterol for the determination of
220 total sterols and COPs, respectively). Subsequently, the sample was dried under nitrogen and
221 treated with 10 mL of 1 N KOH solution in methanol to perform a saponification at room
222 temperature for 18 h (Sander, Addis, Park, & Smith, 1989). For the extraction of the
223 unsaponifiable matter, 10 mL of water and 10 mL of diethyl ether were added to the samples,
224 which were shaken, and the diethyl ether fraction was separated; the extraction with 10 mL of
225 diethyl ether was repeated twice. The three portions of diethyl ether were pooled, treated with 5
226 mL of a 0.5 N KOH solution, and extracted. The resulting ethereal extract was washed twice
227 with 5 mL of water. The ether solution was finally evaporated in a rotary evaporator, after
228 elimination of excess water by addition of anhydrous sodium sulfate. The unsaponifiable matter
229 was then diluted in 1 mL of *n*-hexane:isopropanol (4:1, v/v). One-tenth of the unsaponifiable
230 matter was used for the determination of total sterols, whereas the remaining part was utilized for
231 COP analysis.

232 The determination of total sterols (sum of free and esterified) was performed by gas
233 chromatography coupled to a flame ionization detector (GC-FID), after sample silylation
234 (Sweeley, Beutley, Mokita, & Wells, 1963). The GC-FID instrument was an HRGC 5300 model
235 (Carlo Erba), which was equipped with a split-splitless injector. A CP-SIL 5CB Low Bleeds/MS
236 (30 m x 0.32 mm i.d. x 0.25 μm film thickness) (Varian Chrompack, Middelburg, The

237 Netherlands) was used. The oven temperature was programmed from 265 °C to 280 °C at 0.5
238 °C/min and then from 280 °C to 325 °C at 4 °C/min; the injector and detector temperatures were
239 both set at 325 °C. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow of 2.9 mL/min; the split ratio was
240 1:15. Two replicates were run per sample.

241 Sterols were identified by comparing their retention times and mass spectra with those of
242 the corresponding standards, using GC-FID and GC-MS (same analytical conditions as COPs;
243 see paragraph 2.8). Sterols were then quantified according to the following expression:

$$244 \quad C_i = (A_i \times C_{is}) / (A_{is} \times P \times K_{ris})$$

245 where, A_i is the sterol peak area; A_{is} is the internal standard (betulinol) area, C_i is the sterol
246 concentration, C_{is} is the concentration of the internal standard, P is the dry weight of the lipid
247 fraction and K_{ris} is the response factor.

248

249 *2.8 Determination of cholesterol oxidation products (COPs)*

250 Regarding the determination of COPs, the remaining 9/10 of the unsaponifiable matter
251 were purified by NH_2 SPE according to Rose-Sallin, Hugget, Bosset, Tabacchi, and Fay (1995).
252 COPs were eluted with acetone. The purified fraction was then silylated (Sweeley et al., 1963),
253 dried under nitrogen stream and dissolved in 50 μL of *n*-hexane. One μL of the silylated sterol
254 oxides was injected into a GC coupled to a mass spectrometer (GC-MS) Shimadzu QP-2010 Plus
255 (Kyoto, Japan). The system was fitted with a capillary Zebron ZB-5 column (30 m x 0.25 mm
256 i.d. x 0.25 μm film thickness) (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), coated with 5% phenyl-95%
257 dimethylpolysiloxane, and interfaced with a computerized system for data acquisition (GC
258 solution ver.2, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The oven temperature was kept at 250 °C for 3 min,
259 then taken from 250 °C to 280 °C at 2 °C/min, kept at 280 °C for 12 min, and then taken from
260 280 °C to 320 °C at 1.7 °C/min; the final temperature was kept for 20 min. The injector and
261 transfer line temperatures were set at 325 °C and 230 °C, respectively. Helium was used as

262 carrier gas at a flow rate of 0.41 mL/min; the split ratio was 1:15. The filament emission current
263 was 70 eV. A mass range from 50 to 550 m/z was scanned at a rate of 1500 amu/s. Acquisition
264 was performed with total ion current (TIC) and single ion monitoring (SIM) modes.

265 The identification of COPs was confirmed by comparing their retention times and mass
266 spectra with those of the corresponding standards. COPs were quantified using 19-
267 hydroxycholesterol as internal standard, by using the SIM acquisition mode. The m/z ratios used
268 for identification and quantification of each COP are reported in Table 2. Their response factors
269 were evaluated with respect to the corresponding internal standards. GC-MS LOD and LOQ of
270 COPs were 0.08 μg and 0.28 μg , respectively. LOD and LOQ were calculated as a signal-to-
271 noise ratio equal to 3:1 and 10:1, respectively. Two replicates were run per sample.

272

273 *2.9 Statistical analysis*

274 The data are reported as mean values of independent replicates of each analytical
275 determination (Tables 3-4). Factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for data
276 from the crossed treatments, in order to study the influence of the different diets and storage
277 conditions, as well as their interactions, on sterol composition and the oxidative parameters.
278 Tukey's honest significance test was performed at a 95% confidence level ($p \leq 0.05$), in order to
279 separate means of statistically-different parameters and interactions. Pearson correlation
280 coefficients ($\alpha=0.05$) were used to examine possible relationships between oxidation parameters
281 of beef meat slices over the whole data set. A principal component analysis (PCA) was also
282 carried out. Statistical analysis of the data was performed by SPSS 16.0.1 (2007, IBM-SPSS Inc.,
283 Chicago, Illinois, USA).

284

285 **3. Results and discussion**

286 *3.1. Total fatty acid composition*

287 Lipid content of muscle (4.6-6.1%) was similar ($P>0.05$) among treatments (data not
288 shown). Table 3 shows the FA composition of total lipids (mean values expressed as mg/100 mg
289 of lipids). In general, the most abundant FA was oleic acid (~ 37-41% of total FA; 13.4-23.8
290 mg/100 mg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.4-1.8 g/100 g of meat), followed by palmitic (~
291 25-27%; 9.9-19.9 mg/100 mg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.4-1.6 g/100 g of meat), stearic
292 (~ 18-21%; 8.0-14.8 mg/100 mg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.3-1.2 g/100 g of meat),
293 myristic (~ 2-3%; 1.4-3.5 mg/100 mg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.04-0.18 g/100 g of
294 meat) and palmitoleic acids (~ 2%; 1.5-2.7 mg/100 mg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.005-
295 0.002 g/100 g of meat). Among long-chain PUFA (48.5-57.4 mg/100 mg of lipids, which
296 corresponded to 0.04-0.30 g/100 g of meat), linoleic (1.30-4.35 mg/100 mg of lipids, which
297 corresponded to 0.06-0.21 g/100 g of meat) and arachidonic acid (0.12-0.81 mg/100 mg of
298 lipids, which corresponded to 0.008-0.030 g/100 g of meat) were the most abundant, whereas
299 docosahexaenoic (DHA) were detected at trace levels (< 0.01 mg/100 mg of lipids).

300 The diets did not significantly impact the amount of \sum CLA in meat (Table 3); however,
301 diet C led to a significant ($P<0.05$) increase α -linolenic acid, whereas diet E reported the highest
302 level of linoleic acid. Moreover, no significant effect of diets on the amount of *trans*-vaccenic
303 acid was observed, except for diet B. These FA changes might be related to the
304 biohydrogenation effect of the rumen enzymes on the dietary LO. During storage, lipid oxidation
305 mainly affected PUFAs, since linoleic, arachidonic, eicosapentaenoic and docosapentaenoic
306 acids significantly decreased, leading to an increase of CLA; however, no photooxidation effect
307 was noted.

308 The factorial analysis of the single FA content evidences some significant interaction
309 between diet G and storage; in fact, after storage, \sum CLA content was significantly higher than
310 those found in other treatments. When diet F was used, the level of arachidonic and
311 eicosapentaenoic acids dropped to 0.28 and 0.04 mg/100 mg of lipids, respectively, after

312 photoxidation. Saturated (SFA; 0.89-3.13 g/100 g meat), monounsaturated (MUFA; 0.76-2.6
313 g/100 g meat), and polyunsaturated (PUFA; 0.04-0.30 g/100 g of meat) fatty acids accounted for
314 49-55, 40-46, and 3-9% of total FA, respectively (Table 4). The n-6/n-3 FA ratio varied from 4
315 to 16, which reflects the current Western diet trend, being much higher than the corresponding
316 nutritional recommendations ($1 < n-6/n-3 < 4$) (Simopoulos, 2006).

317 The Δ -desaturase index $[(20:2 \text{ n-6} + 20:4 \text{ n-6} + 20:5 \text{ n-3} + 22:5 \text{ n-3} + 22:6 \text{ n-3}/18:2 \text{ n-6} +$
318 $18:3 \text{ n-3} + 20:2 \text{ n-6} + 20:4 \text{ n-6} + 20:5 \text{ n-3} + 22:5 \text{ n-3} + 22:6 \text{ n-3}) \times 100]$ is useful to evaluate the
319 activities of both $\Delta 5$ - and $\Delta 6$ -desaturases, which are enzymes that catalyze the formation of n-6
320 and n-3 PUFA. No significant effect of diet and storage was found on the activity of both $\Delta 5$ -
321 and $\Delta 6$ -desaturases, since its index (9.8-24.4) did not significantly change. However, some trend
322 was detected when diets A, E and G were used, since the Δ -desaturase index was higher as
323 compared with other dietary treatments.

324 In general, beef meat FA composition was partially ($P < 0.05$) affected by feedings, since
325 LO and vE supplementation for shorter period led to a higher α -linolenic acid content without
326 influencing the content of palmitic, stearic and oleic acids. However, no significant differences
327 in CLA levels were found when LO and/or CLA with and without vE were supplied.
328 Nevertheless, a decrease of PUFAs during the storage was observed.

329

330 *3.2 Sterols content*

331 Total sterol content (Table 5) ranged from 399 to 1343 mg/100 g lipids, which
332 corresponded to 283 and 514 mg/kg of meat. Total cholesterol was about 98% of total sterols,
333 followed by campesterol (38% of phytosterols), β -sitosterol (27%), stigmasterol (19%) and 5-
334 avenasterol (16%). The highest amount of sterols was found in untreated meat samples obtained
335 with diet A, being cholesterol (942.8 mg/100 g lipids, which corresponded to 0.05 g/100 g of
336 meat), campesterol (1.04-7.86 mg/100 g lipids, which corresponded to < 0.0002 g/100 g of meat)

337 and β -sitosterol (1.07-20.09 mg/100 g lipids, which corresponded to < 0.0003 g/100 g of meat)
338 the most representative sterols. Total cholesterol content of the samples ranged from 0.3 to 1.8
339 mg/100 mg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.03-0.05 g/100 g of meat; such a large variation
340 might be due to the heterogeneous structure of the muscle.

341 However, both diet and storage conditions did not significantly impact ($P>0.05$) sterol
342 level, except for cholesterol, probably due to enzymatic activity (such as cholesterol oxidase)
343 that converts cholesterol into other compounds different from COPs, thus leading to a decrease
344 of cholesterol amount (MacLachlan, Wotherspoon, Ansel, & Brooks, 2000); no main effect of
345 diet was evident, though. Moreover, photosensitized oxidation did not significantly ($P>0.05$)
346 impact the cholesterol content, even though a decreasing trend during the storage was observed.

347 Finally, it must be noted that the relative presence of the single phytosterols in the raw beef
348 meat did not correspond to the sterol composition of the dietary oil sources (linseed and soybean
349 oil), where β -sitosterol is usually about 2-4 times higher than campesterol. It might be possible
350 that phytosterols have been selectively absorbed in different amount and/or have been partially
351 metabolized/degraded by beef cattle, converting them into other compounds (Rozner & Garti,
352 2006). In fact, in humans, campesterol is more absorbed than β -sitosterol (Lutjohann, Bjorkhem,
353 Beil, & von Bergmann, 1995), which seems to be related to the structure and length of the sterol
354 side-chain.

355

356 *3.3 Lipid oxidation*

357 The effect of photosensitized oxidation on lipids from beef meat obtained with different
358 diets was evaluated by PV and TBARs (Table 6). PV ranged from 0.51 to 5.91 meq O₂/kg lipid
359 and was markedly lower in A, C and E diets than in the other treatments. After 8 h of storage
360 under dark conditions, PV significantly increased from 1.75 (T0) to 4.08 (T8D) meq O₂/kg lipid;
361 while after light exposure it significantly ($P<0.05$) dropped to 3.29 (T8L) meq O₂/kg due to

362 hydroperoxide breakdown induced by light. On the other hand, no interaction effect between diet
363 and storage was found (Table 6). The lack of significant differences could be partly ascribed to
364 the high data dispersion observed. However, when 2 g of vE were added to feed for 90 days, PV
365 were lower than in the other treatments, while LO and CLA led to a PV increase.

366 In general, it might be pointed out that PV values found do not represent a problem from
367 the lipid oxidation standpoint. In addition, these data are similar to reported in literature. Boselli
368 et al. (2009) found comparable PV levels in beef meat when exposed to fluorescent light for 8 h
369 at 4 °C.

370 TBARs varied from 0.19 to 2.53 mg malonaldehyde (MDA)/kg meat, which corresponded
371 to diets C-T0 and A-T8L, respectively (Table 6). Diets B (LO/vE for 180 days) and E (CLA/vE
372 for 90 days) lead to the lowest formation of TBARs (Table 6). The main effect of storage time
373 was investigated, but some interactions between diet and storage time were detected; in fact,
374 control diet significantly ($p<0.05$) increased the TBARs level under the different storage
375 conditions. TBARs formation was affected by light exposure as it led to a 10% increase of
376 TBARs level. Samples kept at dark showed a four times higher TBARs content with respect to
377 those found in fresh meat (T0), which could be to the simultaneous action of both autoxidation
378 and enzymatic oxidation mechanisms that lead to the formation and demolition of peroxides
379 during storage (Boselli et al., 2012). In the present study, the level of lipid oxidation in beef
380 remained below the acceptable threshold of 2 mg MDA/kg beef (Campo et al., 2006), except for
381 A-T8D, C X T8D, G X T8D, A-T8L and G-T8L diets. However, data found were higher than
382 those reported by Insani et al. (2008). This could be attributed to Italian slaughtering and
383 processing practices, which imply a holding period of a few days at 3–6 °C, aimed at improving
384 meat tenderness and promoting the formation of aroma compounds or their precursors that
385 develop during cooking (Rodriguez-Estrada, Penazzi, Caboni, Bertacco, and Lercker, 1997;
386 Boselli et al., 2009).

387

388 3.4 Cholesterol oxidation products (COPs)

389 Table 6 shows the average value of total COPs as related to feeding, storage conditions and
390 their interaction. In general, the total COPs levels found in the beef slices ranged from 11.72 to
391 39.12 mg/kg of lipids, which corresponded to 0.5-2.1 mg/kg of meat and were lower than those
392 reported by other authors (Boselli et al., 2009).

393 COPs did not significantly ($P>0.05$) changed during storage, but an increasing trend on
394 COPs formation was noted after light exposure. A similar trend was reported by Boselli et al.
395 (2009), which observed that prolonged light exposure (8 h) led to an increase of PV even if
396 COPs remained constant; this could be ascribed to the higher rates of degradation of these
397 products with respect to their formation. As already mentioned, the extent of initial cholesterol
398 oxidation found here could be due to the long holding period to which the meat was subjected
399 (15 days). A similar effect of the holding period on the oxidative quality of beef meat has
400 previously been reported (Rodriguez-Estrada et al., 1997; Boselli et al., 2009).

401 The main COPs detected were 7α -HC (1.5-7.5 mg/kg lipids; which corresponded to 0.03-
402 0.52 mg/kg of meat), 7β -HC (2.1-9.2 mg/kg lipids, which corresponded to 0.05-1.02 mg/kg of
403 meat), β -CE (1.7-9.1 mg/kg lipids, which corresponded to 0.01-0.67 mg/kg of meat), α -CE (1.2-
404 5.2 mg/kg lipids, which corresponded to 0.03-0.38 mg/kg of meat), and 7-KC (3.2-10.5 mg/kg
405 lipids, which corresponded to 0.06-0.87 mg/kg of meat). Dominant COPs in both irradiated and
406 unirradiated raw beef meat were the same as those reported by Boselli et al. (2009), confirming
407 the role of 7-KC as marker of cholesterol oxidation in raw muscle food (Boselli et al., 2012). In
408 general, CLA and vE supplied for 90 days (diet D) led to lower amounts of single COPs as
409 compared with other diets. In addition, α -CE and β -CE significantly decreased during storage
410 under both dark and light exposure conditions, which could be ascribed to epoxy-ring opening in
411 presence of water and acidic conditions, with the consequent generation of triol; however, the

412 latter was not detected under the analytical conditions used. No significant effect of diet x
413 storage interaction was detected, even though some trend was observed; the latter tendency was
414 confirmed by the other oxidation parameters (PV and TBARs), though.

415 The cholesterol oxidation ratio (%OR, calculated as % COPs/cholesterol) varied from 0.1
416 to 0.4% in fresh meat (T0) samples, whereas it ranged from 0.2 to 0.5% and from 0.2 to 0.6% in
417 T8D and T8L samples, respectively. CLA and vE supplied for 90 d led to the lowest %OR
418 among all dietary treatments. Although no significant effect of light exposure on %OR was
419 observed, it must be noticed that meat obtained with diet F (LO, CLA and vE for 180 d) showed
420 the highest cholesterol oxidation (0.6% OR). The lack of the pro-oxidant effect of light on %OR
421 is in contrast with the PV and TBARs data, as they evidenced a significant impact ($P>0.05$) of
422 light exposure on general lipid oxidation. Therefore, it seems that, under the experimental dietary
423 conditions tested, light exposure affected more the fatty acid fraction than cholesterol.

424 According to the threshold of toxicological concern (TTC) for unclassified compounds,
425 which corresponds to 0.15 μg per person per day (Kroes et al., 2004), COPs levels found in fresh
426 and photoxidized meats (45-213 $\mu\text{g}/100$ g of meat) might represent a risk for human health and
427 thus further research is needed required to better ascertain their toxicity levels.

428 To limit light absorption and its overall impact on lipid oxidation, it would be necessary to
429 use appropriate packaging material (with a wavelength transmission range between 490 and 589
430 nm and/or with aluminum layers as light and gas barrier) (Bekbölet, 1990; Boselli et al., 2012)
431 and conditions (modified atmosphere and vacuum) (Boselli et al., 2012).

432

433 *3.5 Correlations and Principal Component Analysis (PCA)*

434 A correlation study (Pearson test, $\alpha=0.05$) was performed on the results obtained for lipid
435 composition, oxidative parameters and the crossed treatments deriving from the different types

436 of feedings and three storage conditions. For better data comprehension, only significant
437 correlations are here discussed.

438 Oleic acid was indirectly correlated to stearic acid ($r=-0.604$, $p=0.004$). Cholesterol was
439 positive correlated ($r=0.783$, $p=0.000$) to all sterols, due to their similar pathway of origin but it
440 was negatively correlated to TBARs ($r=-0.601$, $p=0.004$). The latter resulted positively
441 correlated to CLA ($r=0.662$, $p=0.001$), which could be ascribed to its higher susceptibility to
442 oxidation. A positive, linear correlation was found between PV and TBARs ($r=0.480$, $p=0.001$),
443 which supports the well-known strict interdependence between hydroperoxides and their
444 demolition/evolution compounds. All COPs were correlated to PV ($r=0.554$, $p=0.009$),
445 especially 7α -HC ($r=0.652$, $p=0.001$), 7β -HC ($r=0.568$, $p=0.001$) and 7-KC ($r=0.517$, $p=0.016$).
446 As expected, positive correlations were observed between 7α -/ 7β -HC and 7-KC ($r=0.884$,
447 $p=0.001$), as they derive from the same oxidation pathways. In fact, the 7-oxysterols (7α -HC,
448 7β -HC and 7-KC) originate from 7-hydroperoxide demolition (Lercker et al., 2002).

449 Principal component analysis performed with total fatty acid and sterols composition,
450 oxidative parameters was able to distinguish different clusters (Figure 1), explaining in two
451 principal components 59.21% of total variance. The first principal component clearly separated
452 PUFAs from myristic acid, while the second principal component grouped COPs with MUFA
453 separating them from the cluster TBARs-SFA. The principal component analysis (Figure 2)
454 performed with crossed treatment was able to recognize cluster trends by the distribution on
455 principal component scores. The diets C, E and A X T0 with PUFAs (linoleic, arachidonic,
456 eicosapentaenoic, docosapentaenoic and n-6 fatty acids) were completely separated from other
457 treatments by the first principal component, while diet B X T0 was well separated by the second
458 principal component, confirming that COPs behavior was mainly correlated to PV instead of
459 TBARs.

460

461 **4. Conclusions**

462 Photoxidation of raw beef meat obtained with seven different diets, was studied under
463 different storage conditions. In general, both PV and TBARs increased after storage at 8 °C
464 under darkness conditions, while exposure to light led to hydroperoxide breakdown with a
465 consequent rise of TBARs. In general, vE increased the oxidative stability of the photoxidized
466 beef meat, regardless of the other feed ingredients. Beef meat obtained with diets C and E was
467 more stable from the oxidative standpoint, probably due to the meat enrichment with vE and the
468 shortest supplementation period (90 days). Although cholesterol oxidation rate was 0.1-0.6% of
469 total cholesterol, COPs contents of fresh and photoxidized meats (45-213 µg/100 g of meat)
470 were above the TTC for unclassified compounds (0.15 µg per person per day; Kroes *et al.*,
471 2004), so it is of utmost importance to adopt suitable storage and packaging strategies to limit
472 light absorption and its overall impact on meat lipid oxidation.

473

474 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

475 This work was carried out within the project “Healthy Beef- Strategie per produrre carne
476 bovina con caratteristiche salutistiche”, supported by the Emilia Romagna Region, UNIPEG
477 S.c.a (Reggio Emilia, Italy) and Centro Ricerche Produzioni Animali C.R.P.A. S.p.A. (Reggio
478 Emilia, Italy).

479

480 **References**

- 481 Bekbölet, M. (1990). Light effects on food. *Journal of Food Protection*, *53*, 430-440.
- 482 Boselli, E., Caboni, M. F., Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T., Toschi, T. G., Daniel, M., & Lercker, G.
483 (2005). Photooxidation of cholesterol and lipids of turkey meat during storage under
484 commercial retail conditions. *Food Chemistry*, *91*, 705-713.
- 485 Boselli, E., Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T., Fedrizzi, G., & Caboni, M. F. (2009). Cholesterol
486 photosensitized oxidation of beef meat under standard and modified atmosphere at retail
487 conditions. *Meat Science*, *81*, 224-229.
- 488 Boselli, E., Cardenia, V., & Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T. (2012). Cholesterol photosensitized
489 oxidation in muscle food. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, *114*, 664-
490 655.
- 491 Campo, M. N., Nute, G. R., Hughes, S. I., Enser, M., Wood, J. D., & Richardson, R. I. (2006).
492 Flavour perception of oxidation in beef. *Meat Science*, *72*, 303–311.
- 493 De la Torre, A., Debiton, E., Juaneda, P., Durand, D., Chardigny, J. M., Barthomeuf, C.,
494 Bauchartl, D., & Gruffat, D. (2006). Beef conjugated linoleic acid isomers reduce human
495 cancer cell growth even associated with other beef fatty acids. *British Journal of Nutrition*,
496 *95*, 346–352.
- 497 Descalzo, A. M., Insani, E. M., Biolatto, A., Sancho, A. M., Garcia, P. T., Pense, N. A., &
498 Josifovich, J. A. (2005). Influence of pasture or grain-based diets supplemented with
499 vitamin E on antioxidant/oxidative balance of Argentine beef. *Meat Science*, *70*, 35-44.
- 500 European Commission. Allegate X. B. regulation L128/14. (2002). *Official Journal of European*
501 *Communities*, May 15th 2002.
- 502 EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition, and Allergies (NDA) (2010). Scientific Opinion on
503 Dietary Reference Values for fats, including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty
504 acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, *trans* fatty acids, and cholesterol. *EFSA Journal*, *8*,
505 1461-1568.

506 Fieser, L. F., & Fieser, M. (1967). Reagents for Organic Chemistry, Wiley: New York, 191-192.

507 Folch, J., Lees, M., & Sloane-Stanley, G. H. (1957). A simple method for the isolation and
508 purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 226,
509 497-509.

510 Giddings, G. C. (1977). The basis of color in muscle foods. *CRC Critical Reviews in Food
511 Science and Nutrition*, 9, 81-114.

512 Hur, S. J., Park, G. B., & Joo, S. T. (2007). Formation of cholesterol oxidation products (COPs)
513 in animal products. *Food Control*, 18, 939-947.

514 Insani, E. M., Eyherabide, A., Grigioni, G., Sancho, A. M., Pensel, N. A., & Descalzo, A. M.
515 (2008). Oxidative stability and its relationship with natural antioxidants during refrigerated
516 retail display of beef produced in Argentina. *Meat Science*, 79, 444-452.

517 Kerry, J., Gilroy, D. A., & O'Brien, N. M. (2002). Formation and content of cholesterol
518 oxidation in meat and meat products. In F. Guardiola, P. C. Dutta, R. Codony, & G. Savage
519 (Eds.), *Cholesterol and phytosterol oxidation products: Analysis, occurrence, and
520 biological effects* (pp. 162-185). Champaign: AOCS Press.

521 Kroes, R., Renwick, A. G., Cheeseman, M., Kleiner, J., Mangelsdorf, I., Piersma, A., Schilter,
522 B., Schlatter, J., Van Schothorst, F., VOS, J. G., & Wurtzen, G. (2004). Structure-based
523 thresholds of toxicological concern (TTC): guidance for application to substances present
524 at low levels in the diet. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 42, 65-83.

525 Garcia-Cruset, S., Carpenter, K., Codony, R., & Guardiola, F. (2002). Cholesterol oxidation
526 products and atherosclerosis. In F. Guardiola, P. C. Dutta, R. Codony, & G. Savage (Eds.),
527 *Cholesterol and phytosterol oxidation products: Analysis, occurrence, and biological
528 effects* (pp. 241-277). Champaign: AOCS Press.

529 Lercker, G., & Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T. (2000). Cholesterol oxidation: Presence of 7-
530 ketocholesterol in different food products. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 13,

- 531 625–631.
- 532 Lercker, G., & Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T. (2002). Cholesterol oxidation mechanisms. In F.
533 Guardiola, P. C. Dutta, T. Codony, & G. P. Savage (Eds.), *Cholesterol and phytosterol*
534 *oxidation products: Analysis, occurrence, and biological effects* (pp. 1–25). Champaign,
535 IL: AOCS Press.
- 536 Livingston, D. G., & Brown, W. D. (1981). The chemistry of myoglobin and its reactions. *Food*
537 *Technology*, 35, 244–252.
- 538 Lutjohann, D., Bjorkhem, I., Beil, U.F., & von Bergmann, K. (1995). Sterol absorption and
539 sterol balance in phytosterolemia evaluated by deuterium-labeled sterols: effect of
540 sitostanol treatment. *Journal of Lipid Research*, 36, 1763–1773.
- 541 MacLachlan, J., Wotherspoon, A.T., Ansel, R. O., & Brooks, C. J. (2000). Cholesterol oxidase:
542 sources, physical properties and analytical applications. *Journal of Steroids Biochemistry*
543 *and Molecular Biology*, 72, 169-195.
- 544 Mitchothai, J., Yuangklang, C., Wittayakun, S., Vasupen, K., Wongsutthavas, S., Srenanul, P.,
545 Hovenier, R., Everts, H., & Beynen, A. C. (2007). Effect of dietary fat type on meat quality
546 and fatty acid composition of various tissues in growing-finishing swine. *Meat Science*, 76,
547 95-101.
- 548 Mottram D. (1987). Lipid oxidation and flavor in meat and meat products. *Food Science and*
549 *Technology Today*, 1, 159.
- 550 Osada, K. (2002). Cholesterol oxidation products: Other biological effects. In F. Guardiola, P. C.
551 Dutta, R. Codony, & G. Savage (Eds.), *Cholesterol and phytosterol oxidation products:*
552 *Analysis, occurrence, and biological effects* (pp. 278-318). Champaign: AOCS Press.
- 553 Otaegui-Arrazola, A., Menendez-Carreno, M., Ansorena, D., & Astiasaran, I. (2010).
554 Oxysterols: A world to explore. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 48, 3289–3303.
- 555 Ritzenthaler, K. L., McGuire, M. K., Falen, R., Schultz, T. D., Dasgupta, N., & McGuire, M. A.

556 (2001). Estimation of conjugated linoleic acid intake by written dietary assessment
557 methodologies underestimates actual intake evaluated by food duplicate methodology.
558 *Journal of Nutrition*, 131, 1548–1554.

559 Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T., Penazzi, G., Caboni, M. F., Bertacco, G., & Lercker, G. (1997). Effect
560 of different cooking methods on some lipid and protein components of hamburgers. *Meat*
561 *Science*, 45, 365–375.

562 Rose-Sallin, C., Hugget, A. C., Bosset, J. O., Tabacchi, R., & Fay, L. B. (1995). Quantification
563 of cholesterol oxidation products in milk powders using [²H₇] cholesterol to monitor
564 cholesterol autoxidation artefacts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 43, 935–
565 941.

566 Rozner, S., & Garti, N. (2006). The activity and absorption relationship of cholesterol oxidation
567 and phytosterols. *Colloids and Surface A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 282–
568 283, 435–456.

569 Sander, B. D., Addis, P. B., Park, S. W., & Smith D. E. (1989). Quantification of cholesterol
570 oxidation products in a variety of foods. *Journal of Food Protection* 1989, 52, 109-114.

571 Scollan, N., Hocquette, J. F., Nuerberg, K., Dannenberger, D., Richardson, I., & Moloney, A.
572 (2006). Innovations in beef production system that enhance the nutritional and health value
573 of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality. *Meat Science*, 74, 17-33.

574 Schroepfer, G. J. Jr. (2000). Oxysterol: Modulation of cholesterol metabolism and other
575 processes. *Physiology Reviews*, 80, 361-554.

576 Shantha, N. C., & Decker, E. A. (1994). Rapid, sensitive, iron-based spectrophotometric
577 method for determination of peroxide values of food lipids. *Journal of AOAC*
578 *International*, 77, 421-424.

579 Simopoulos, A. P. (2006). Evolutionary aspects of diet, the omega-6/omega-3 ratio and genetic
580 variation: nutritional implications for chronic diseases. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*,

581 60, 502-507.

582 Smith, L. L. (1996). Review of progress in sterol oxidation. *Lipids*, 31, 453–487.

583 Sweeley, C. C., Bentley, R., Makita, M., & Wells, W. W. (1963). Gas-liquid chromatography of
584 trimethylsilyl derivatives of sugars and related substances. *Journal of the American*
585 *Chemical Society*, 85, 2497-2507.

586 Williams, S. N., Frye, T. M., Frigg, M., Schaefer, D. M., Scheller, K. K., & Liu, Q. (1992).
587 Vitamin E. *Meat International Industry*, 3, 22.

588 Witte, V. C., Krause, G. F., & Bailet, M. E. (1970). A new extraction method for determining 2-
589 thiobarbituric acid values of pork and beef during storage. *Journal of Food Science*, 35,
590 582–585.

591 Wood, J. D., Richardson, R. I., Nute, G. R., Fisher, A. V., Campo, M. M., Kasapidou, E.,
592 Sheard, P. R., & Enser, M. (2004). Effects of fatty acids on meat quality: a review. *Meat*
593 *Science*, 66, 21–32.

594

595

596 **Table 1.** Description of the seven dietary groups.

Diet	Supplementation	Days	Description
Groups			
A	-	180	Base diet with corn silage (protein 14% and energy 1762 Kcal/kg)
(control)			
B	LO/vE	180	Based diet plus 1 g vE head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ and 250 g LO head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹
C	Control + LO/vE	90+90	Base diet (90 days) followed by based diet integrated with 2 g vE head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ and 500 g LO head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹
D	CLA/vE	180	Based diet integrated with 1 g vE head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ and 5 g CLA head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹
E	Control + CLA/vE	90+90	Base diet (90 days) followed by based diet integrated with 2 g vE head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ and 10 g CLA head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹
F	LO/CLA/vE	180	Based diet integrated with 1 g vE head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ , 5 g CLA head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ and 250 g LO head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹
G	LO/CLA	180	Based diet integrated with 5 g CLA head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ and 250 g LO head ⁻¹ day ⁻¹

597

598 Abbreviations: CLA, conjugated linoleic acid (*cis*-9, *trans* 11 and *trans*-10, *cis*-12 isomers); LO,

599 linseed oil; vE, D1- α tocopheryl acetate.

600

601

602 **Table 2.** Retention time and characteristic mass fragmentation (*m/z*) of TMS-ether derivatives of
603 cholesterol oxides, obtained by GC/MS coupled to a ZB-5 column.

Oxysterols	Characteristic ions (<i>m/z</i>)	Retention time (min)
7 α -hydroxycholesterol-TMS	456 457 458 546	20.07
7 β -hydroxycholesterol-TMS	456 457 458 546	23.85
5,6 β -epoxycholesterol-TMS	356 384 445 474	24.39
5,6 α -epoxycholesterol-TMS	366 384 459 474	24.99
7-ketocholesterol-TMS	131 367 472 514	30.80
19-hydroxycholesterol-TMS (IS)	145 353 366 456	22.62

604 Note: ions in bold were used for quantification purposes

605

606

607

608 **Table 3.** Fatty acid composition of raw meat (expressed as mg/100 mg of lipids) as related to diets and storage conditions.

Factor	14:0		16:0		16:1		18:0		18:1 t11		18:1		18:2 n-6		18:3 n-3		ΣCLA		20:4 n-6		20:5 n-3		22:5 n-3	
Diet	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
A	2.60	0.06	26.64	0.35ab	2.22	0.05ab	19.17	0.34	0.97	0.07b	38.46	0.23ab	4.82	0.37a	0.30	0.02d	0.18	0.04	0.66	0.12ab	0.07	0.01	0.18	0.03
B	2.90	0.06	26.44	0.23ab	2.25	0.10ab	19.20	0.50	1.87	0.20a	40.00	0.57ab	3.85	0.23abc	0.48	0.04abc	0.22	0.05	0.37	0.03abc	0.04	0.00	0.13	0.01
C	2.77	0.02	26.27	0.21ab	1.86	0.02b	20.76	0.18	1.40	0.13ab	37.36	0.32b	4.55	0.27ab	0.61	0.04a	0.23	0.06	0.52	0.05abc	0.06	0.01	0.14	0.03
D	2.90	0.08	27.37	0.17a	2.03	0.13ab	20.52	0.50	1.20	0.04b	37.54	0.45ab	3.29	0.15bc	0.41	0.03bcd	0.20	0.05	0.30	0.03bc	0.04	0.01	0.11	0.01
E	2.68	0.08	26.61	0.10ab	2.03	0.04ab	20.35	0.61	1.22	0.17b	37.22	1.19b	5.01	0.49a	0.36	0.03cd	0.19	0.05	0.72	0.13a	0.07	0.02	0.21	0.04
F	2.55	0.13	25.74	0.31b	1.98	0.11ab	18.82	0.98	1.06	0.07b	40.42	1.06a	4.42	0.50ab	0.48	0.04abc	0.20	0.05	0.55	0.11abc	0.07	0.01	0.19	0.03
G	2.94	0.15	26.85	0.52ab	2.27	0.11a	19.59	0.69	1.47	0.13ab	39.83	0.21ab	2.59	0.35c	0.54	0.03ab	0.25	0.06	0.28	0.02c	0.03	0.00	0.11	0.01
Stat. signif.	ns		<0.05		<0.05		ns		<0.05		<0.05		<0.05		<0.01		ns		<0.05		ns		ns	
Storage																								
T0	2.71	0.07	26.81	0.2	2.14	0.1	19.12	0.3	1.15	0.1b	38.55	0.46	4.89	0.34a	0.50	0.03	0.01	0.00c	0.67	0.09a	0.08	0.01a	0.21	0.02a
T8D	2.76	0.06	26.27	0.2	2.07	0.1	19.97	0.4	1.13	0.1b	38.93	0.63	3.80	0.16b	0.43	0.03	0.28	0.01b	0.39	0.03b	0.04	0.00b	0.12	0.01b
T8L	2.82	0.06	26.60	0.2	2.07	0.1	20.22	0.4	1.66	0.1a	38.59	0.44	3.54	0.25b	0.44	0.03	0.34	0.01a	0.40	0.03b	0.04	0.00b	0.12	0.01b
Stat. signif.	ns		ns		ns		ns		<0.05		ns		<0.01		ns		<0.05		ns		<0.05		<0.01	
D x S																								
A X T0	2.47	0.07	26.87	0.43	2.25	0.16	17.98	0.49	0.81	0.04f	38.12	0.04	6.25	0.21a	0.35	0.03b	0.02	0.00d	1.12	0.05a	0.12	0.02a	0.31	0.02a
B X T0	2.94	0.13	26.78	0.45	2.38	0.19	18.78	0.81	1.55	0.07bcde	40.22	0.95	4.03	0.54bcd	0.52	0.06ab	0.01	0.00d	0.41	0.07bcd	0.05	0.01ab	0.15	0.02abcd
C X T0	2.71	0.06	26.67	0.32	1.84	0.02	20.42	0.45	1.32	0.15bcdef	36.93	0.51	5.14	0.05abc	0.68	0.05a	0.01	0.00d	0.68	0.11abcd	0.08	0.03ab	0.21	0.04abcd
D X T0	2.83	0.20	27.26	0.38	2.05	0.23	20.14	0.55	1.18	0.03cdef	38.30	0.23	3.68	0.17bcd	0.45	0.09ab	0.01	0.00d	0.37	0.10bcd	0.05	0.02ab	0.13	0.04abcd
E X T0	2.60	0.06	26.34	0.04	1.99	0.10	19.98	0.79	1.06	0.13def	37.13	2.67	5.92	1.39ab	0.37	0.04ab	0.01	0.00d	0.94	0.36ab	0.10	0.04ab	0.28	0.11abc
F X T0	2.53	0.33	26.54	0.61	2.16	0.25	17.30	1.03	0.88	0.03ef	39.40	1.27	5.88	1.12ab	0.58	0.09ab	0.02	0.00d	0.90	0.23abc	0.12	0.02a	0.29	0.05ab
G X T0	2.88	0.33	27.19	1.11	2.29	0.24	19.27	1.13	1.21	0.02cdef	39.74	0.26	3.35	0.23bcd	0.54	0.07ab	0.01	0.00d	0.29	0.04d	0.03	0.01b	0.11	0.01bcd
A X T8D	2.60	0.10	26.11	0.86	2.18	0.01	20.08	0.01	1.06	0.09def	38.63	0.57	4.02	0.10abcd	0.28	0.01b	0.24	0.03c	0.41	0.02bcd	0.04	0.00ab	0.11	0.00cd
B X T8D	2.89	0.10	26.25	0.46	2.18	0.24	19.56	1.33	1.44	0.11bcdef	39.90	1.22	3.71	0.36abcd	0.51	0.06ab	0.31	0.00abc	0.32	0.02cd	0.03	0.00b	0.11	0.00cd
C X T8D	2.79	0.00	26.00	0.43	1.92	0.03	20.77	0.17	1.27	0.12bcdef	37.86	0.75	4.20	0.64abcd	0.57	0.08ab	0.32	0.01abc	0.42	0.07bcd	0.05	0.00ab	0.12	0.01bcd
D X T8D	2.91	0.16	27.42	0.37	2.05	0.29	20.36	0.88	1.10	0.04def	36.91	0.98	3.20	0.25bcd	0.38	0.05ab	0.27	0.01bc	0.29	0.01d	0.04	0.00b	0.10	0.01cd
E X T8D	2.75	0.18	26.57	0.05	2.07	0.06	20.58	1.48	0.78	0.21f	37.35	2.24	4.60	0.37abc	0.30	0.01b	0.26	0.03bc	0.60	0.12abcd	0.06	0.02ab	0.18	0.03abcd
F X T8D	2.42	0.10	24.98	0.38	1.91	0.20	18.39	2.41	1.04	0.17cdef	42.57	2.85	3.98	0.23abcd	0.44	0.05ab	0.24	0.00c	0.46	0.05bcd	0.06	0.01ab	0.17	0.03abcd
G X T8D	2.94	0.29	26.58	1.11	2.20	0.21	20.07	1.28	1.25	0.03cdef	39.27	0.14	2.86	0.05cd	0.50	0.05ab	0.34	0.00abc	0.23	0.01d	0.03	0.00b	0.10	0.00cd
A X T8L	2.72	0.10	26.94	0.54	2.23	0.04	19.45	0.03	1.04	0.15def	38.63	0.47	4.18	0.28abcd	0.29	0.02b	0.28	0.03bc	0.45	0.03bcd	0.05	0.01ab	0.13	0.02abcd

B X T8L	2.88	0.12	26.28	0.34	2.19	0.12	19.26	0.65	2.61	0.19a	39.87	1.22	3.80	0.46abcd	0.42	0.08ab	0.34	0.03abc	0.38	0.06bcd	0.05	0.00ab	0.12	0.01bcd
C X T8L	2.81	0.00	26.13	0.35	1.82	0.05	21.10	0.18	1.60	0.36bcd	37.28	0.47	4.32	0.46abcd	0.59	0.07ab	0.36	0.00ab	0.47	0.01bcd	0.05	0.00ab	0.08	0.04d
D X T8L	2.97	0.08	27.44	0.20	1.98	0.28	21.07	1.31	1.32	0.03bcdef	37.41	0.95	2.98	0.19cd	0.39	0.05ab	0.33	0.01abc	0.24	0.01d	0.03	0.01b	0.10	0.01cd
E X T8L	2.69	0.21	26.94	0.15	2.04	0.08	20.50	1.27	1.81	0.11bc	37.19	2.22	4.52	0.41abc	0.42	0.06ab	0.30	0.05abc	0.63	0.13abcd	0.06	0.02ab	0.19	0.03abcd
F X T8L	2.69	0.27	25.70	0.21	1.88	0.18	20.76	1.21	1.26	0.03bcdef	39.29	0.63	3.41	0.24bcd	0.43	0.04ab	0.34	0.03abc	0.28	0.01d	0.04	0.00b	0.10	0.01cd
G X T8L	3.01	0.28	26.79	0.80	2.32	0.22	19.42	1.62	1.96	0.07ab	40.49	0.23	1.55	0.77d	0.57	0.07ab	0.40	0.00a	0.32	0.03cd	0.04	0.00ab	0.13	0.00bcd
Stat. signif.	ns		ns		ns		ns		<0.05		ns		<0.01		<0.01		<0.05		<0.01		<0.001		<0.01	

609

610 Results are expressed as mean and SE, standard error of the fifty-six independent samples (n=56). Abbreviations: A, control diet; B, diet
611 supplemented with LO/vE 180 days; C, diet supplemented with LO/vE for 90 days; D, diet supplemented with CLA/vE for 180 days; E, diet
612 supplemented with CLA/vE for 90 days; F, diet supplemented with LO/CLA/vE for 180 days; G, diet supplemented with LO/CLA for 180 days;
613 \sum CLA, $c_9, t_{11} + t_{10}, c_{12}$; T0, fresh raw meat; T8D, samples stored at dark; T8L, samples exposed at light; Stat. signif., statistical significance; a,
614 b, c, d, e, f, statistically different means (Tukey's test; $p \leq 0.05$).

615

616

617 **Table 4.** Total saturated (SFA), monounsaturated (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids
618 (PUFA) (expressed as % of total FA), and n-6/n-3 in raw beef meat, as related to diets and
619 storage conditions

Factor	Σ SFA		Σ MUFA		Σ PUFA		n-6/n-3	
Diet	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
A	51.30	0.52bc	42.06	0.41	6.52	0.51ab	14.61	0.61a
B	51.14	0.42bc	43.39	0.68	5.33	0.30abc	8.29	0.71b
C	53.17	0.21ab	40.35	0.37	6.40	0.32ab	7.56	0.10bc
D	54.13	0.48a	41.19	0.43	4.58	0.17bc	8.79	0.76b
E	52.60	0.81abc	40.37	1.27	6.90	0.68a	13.41	0.84a
F	50.02	1.08c	43.60	1.17	6.23	0.67ab	8.78	0.23b
G	52.52	0.24abc	43.33	0.25	4.01	0.29c	5.44	0.66c
Stat. signif.	<0.05		ns		<0.05		<0.05	
Storage								
T0	51.52	0.37	41.49	0.51	6.64	0.48a	10.1	0.8
T8D	52.03	0.58	42.60	0.64	5.35	0.20b	9.5	0.7
T8L	52.82	0.41	42.04	0.50	5.14	0.27b	9.0	0.8
Stat. signif.	<0.05		ns		<0.01		ns	
Diet x storage								
A X T0	49.96	0.03ab	41.19	0.20	8.51	0.20a	16.06	1.61a
B X T0	50.88	0.46ab	43.35	1.14	5.36	0.74abcd	7.87	0.13ef
C X T0	53.03	0.69ab	39.64	0.55	7.09	0.13abc	7.70	0.29ef
D X T0	53.65	0.03ab	41.17	0.45	4.90	0.44abcd	8.95	1.30bcdef
E X T0	51.85	0.82ab	39.87	2.76	7.97	2.01abc	14.09	1.17abc
F X T0	49.02	0.08b	42.38	1.51	8.15	1.55ab	9.48	0.42bcdef
G X T0	52.25	0.30ab	42.81	0.01	4.51	0.34bcd	6.54	0.36ef
A X T8D	51.77	1.11ab	42.82	1.05	5.39	0.06abcd	13.88	0.38abcd
B X T8D	51.33	1.07ab	43.40	1.53	5.26	0.47abcd	7.40	0.12ef
C X T8D	53.01	0.01ab	41.02	0.80	5.96	0.79abcd	7.38	0.05ef
D X T8D	53.79	0.61ab	41.67	0.44	4.52	0.18bcd	8.93	1.71bcdef
E X T8D	52.91	1.80ab	40.67	2.39	6.36	0.56abcd	14.46	0.34ab
F X T8D	48.54	2.95b	45.77	3.12	5.67	0.18abcd	8.73	0.10bcdef
G X T8D	52.44	0.03ab	42.85	0.11	4.28	0.08cd	5.96	0.48ef
A X T8L	52.16	0.81ab	42.18	0.46	5.66	0.34abcd	13.90	0.50abcd
B X T8L	51.20	0.85ab	43.42	1.37	5.38	0.55abcd	9.60	2.15bcdef
C X T8L	53.48	0.02ab	40.38	0.48	6.14	0.50abcd	7.61	0.14ef
D X T8L	54.95	1.38a	40.73	1.26	4.32	0.12cd	8.50	1.50cdef
E X T8L	53.04	1.89ab	40.58	2.42	6.38	0.53abcd	11.67	2.17abcde
F X T8L	52.49	0.71ab	42.64	0.96	4.87	0.25abcd	8.13	0.10def
G X T8L	52.44	0.70ab	44.33	0.05	3.23	0.65d	3.84	1.70f
Stat. signif.	<0.01		ns		<0.05		<0.05	

620
621 Results are expressed as mean and SE, standard error of the fifty-six independent samples
622 (n=56). Abbreviations: A, control diet; B, diet supplemented with LO/vE 180 days; C, diet
623 supplemented with LO/vE for 90 days; D, diet supplemented with CLA/vE for 180 days; E, diet
624 supplemented with CLA/vE for 90 days; F, diet supplemented with LO/CLA/vE for 180 days; G,
625 diet supplemented with LO/CLA for 180 days; T0, fresh raw meat; T8D, samples stored at dark;

626 T8L, samples exposed at light; Stat. signif., statistical significance; a, b, c, d, e, f, statistically

627 different means (Tukey's test; $p \leq 0.05$).

628

629 **Table 5.** Sterols contents of raw beef meat (mg/100 g of lipids), as related to diets and storage
 630 conditions.

Factor	Cholesterol		Campesterol		Stigmasterol		β -sitosterol		Δ^5 avenasterol		TOT Sterols					
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE				
Diet																
A	942.77	92.97	4.06	0.26	1.11	0.28	4.07	0.45	0.86	0.35	952.87	93.60				
B	664.73	63.83	3.57	0.42	1.81	0.54	3.06	0.51	0.94	0.26	674.11	64.88				
C	855.32	109.16	3.28	0.35	1.67	0.28	2.65	0.34	0.77	0.25	863.69	109.86				
D	807.13	134.40	3.50	0.64	1.38	0.53	2.55	0.34	0.92	0.30	815.48	135.11				
E	910.86	159.92	3.88	0.52	2.36	1.05	4.31	1.54	1.04	0.30	922.46	160.17				
F	705.34	114.46	3.24	0.58	1.84	0.44	3.80	1.49	1.31	0.56	715.53	116.62				
G	752.22	93.60	3.42	0.41	2.08	0.24	2.68	0.40	0.93	0.22	761.33	94.22				
Stat. Signific.	ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns					
Storage																
T0	1097.25	82.11	a	4.58	0.31	a	2.04	0.21	3.92	0.66	ab	1.41	0.29	1109.20	82.64	a
T8D	673.34	42.69	b	3.04	0.22	b	2.23	0.56	3.03	0.71	a	0.78	0.11	682.43	42.68	b
T8L	636.54	55.17	b	3.05	1.47	b	1.00	0.18	2.98	0.33	b	0.70	0.18	644.28	55.70	b
Stat. Signific.	<0.001		ns		ns		<0.001		ns		<0.001		<0.001			
Diet x storage																
A X T0	1321.47	112.35	a	4.92	0.17		1.56	0.54	5.37	0.34		1.58	0.94	1334.90	111.53	a
B X T0	880.93	114.33	ab	4.96	0.71		2.73	0.73	4.19	1.13		1.60	0.57	894.38	116.42	ab
C X T0	1188.40	163.89	ab	4.03	0.32		2.06	0.44	3.16	0.54		1.35	0.55	1198.99	164.74	ab
D X T0	1001.69	233.83	ab	4.07	1.03		1.19	0.36	1.49	0.33		0.00	0.00	1008.45	235.14	ab
E X T0	1331.96	413.00	a	4.74	1.35		1.86	0.59	3.04	0.74		1.33	0.60	1342.94	415.26	a
F X T0	1109.44	191.50	ab	5.06	1.31		2.44	0.67	7.45	4.08		2.80	1.46	1127.19	196.30	ab
G X T0	846.86	181.08	ab	4.27	0.73		2.45	0.38	2.76	0.71		1.23	0.10	857.56	181.74	ab
A X T8D	785.85	58.95	ab	3.50	0.45		0.79	0.36	2.83	0.69		0.58	0.22	793.55	59.49	ab
B X T8D	573.92	79.99	ab	2.74	0.61		1.96	1.32	2.30	0.63		1.06	0.24	581.96	80.43	ab
C X T8D	823.24	132.79	ab	3.61	0.75		1.88	0.34	2.69	0.13		0.41	0.41	831.83	133.54	ab
D X T8D	558.32	96.80	ab	2.49	0.56		2.31	1.43	2.30	0.23		0.94	0.08	566.36	96.07	ab
E X T8D	699.62	79.07	ab	3.37	0.81		4.18	3.14	6.44	4.55		0.94	0.40	714.54	74.67	ab
F X T8D	530.28	125.31	ab	2.62	0.55		2.38	1.31	1.56	0.44		1.00	0.26	537.84	126.16	ab
G X T8D	743.89	178.88	ab	3.03	0.46		2.06	0.41	2.65	0.75		0.52	0.34	752.14	179.60	ab
A X T8L	721.00	81.13	ab	3.76	0.37		0.97	0.57	4.00	0.72		0.43	0.43	730.16	82.24	ab
B X T8L	539.35	42.12	ab	3.02	0.28		0.75	0.51	2.71	0.75		0.16	0.16	545.98	42.43	ab
C X T8L	546.32	67.02	ab	2.30	0.41		1.11	0.56	2.11	0.78		0.46	0.18	552.30	67.34	ab
D X T8L	861.39	316.87	ab	3.95	1.63		0.63	0.63	3.86	0.40		1.81	0.67	871.65	319.58	ab
E X T8L	701.01	125.89	ab	3.54	0.46		1.05	0.41	3.45	1.62		0.86	0.63	709.91	125.69	ab
F X T8L	394.08	56.83	b	1.94	0.23		0.74	0.49	1.61	0.59		0.39	0.24	398.75	57.72	b
G X T8L	692.67	110.83	ab	2.86	0.63		1.76	0.40	3.11	0.79		0.82	0.53	701.22	112.89	ab
Stat. Signific.	<0.05		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		<0.05			

631

632 Results are expressed as mean and SE, standard error of the fifty-six independent samples

633 (n=56). Abbreviations: A, control diet; B, diet supplemented with LO/vE 180 days; C, diet

634 supplemented with LO/vE for 90 days; D, diet supplemented with CLA/vE for 180 days; E, diet

635 supplemented with CLA/vE for 90 days; F, diet supplemented with LO/CLA/vE for 180 days; G,

636 diet supplemented with LO/CLA for 180 days; T0, fresh raw meat; T8D, samples stored at dark;

637 T8L, samples exposed at light; Stat. signif., statistical significance; a, b, statistically different
638 means (Tukey's test; $p \leq 0.05$).

639

640 **Table 6.** Effects of diets and storage conditions on the average of peroxide value (PV, meq O₂/kg fat), TBARs (mg MDA/kg meat), single and
 641 total COP contents (mg/kg of lipids), and cholesterol oxidation ratio (OR, %) of raw beef meat.

Factor	PV			TBARs			7 α -HC		7 β -HC		β -CE		α -CE		7-KC		Total COPs		Ratio COPs %				
	Mean	SE		Mean	SE		Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE			
Diet																							
A	2.39	0.36	cd	1.96	0.13	a	4.72	0.86	6.53	1.14	4.07	0.57	2.88	0.53	6.95	1.15	25.16	3.33	0.32	0.05	abc		
B	4.70	0.37	a	0.70	0.13	d	5.04	0.65	5.57	0.71	5.00	1.12	2.95	0.58	7.16	1.27	25.72	4.21	0.36	0.03	ab		
C	1.83	0.21	d	1.40	0.12	bc	2.75	0.46	2.93	0.57	3.56	0.60	1.72	0.51	4.20	0.73	15.15	2.30	0.21	0.05	bc		
D	2.75	0.35	bcd	1.24	0.13	bc	5.80	1.62	6.89	2.15	4.84	0.90	3.45	1.08	9.41	3.40	30.42	8.68	0.36	0.06	ab		
E	2.18	0.26	cd	0.66	0.12	d	2.53	0.43	2.39	0.48	3.23	0.80	1.49	0.67	3.88	0.40	13.53	2.06	0.15	0.02	c		
F	4.12	0.40	ab	0.92	0.16	cd	4.01	0.72	5.10	0.71	5.40	0.99	2.73	0.61	6.39	1.07	23.64	3.71	0.43	0.07	a		
G	3.22	0.30	bc	1.87	0.12	ab	4.47	0.38	5.74	0.88	4.49	0.87	2.15	0.61	7.16	0.91	24.00	2.83	0.35	0.06	ab		
Stat. Signific.	<0.001			<0.05			ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		<0.001				
Storage																							
T0	1.75	0.18	c	0.43	0.09	c	3.75	0.55	4.54	0.59	6.55	0.62	a	3.51	0.43	a	6.65	0.81	24.99	2.76	0.25	0.03	
T8D	4.08	0.26	a	1.57	0.09	b	4.34	0.38	5.04	0.64	3.78	0.39	b	1.85	0.37	b	5.48	0.52	20.49	1.93	0.33	0.04	
T8L	3.25	0.19	b	1.76	0.08	a	4.48	0.73	5.48	0.98	2.78	0.37	b	2.09	0.51	b	7.22	1.53	22.06	3.92	0.35	0.04	
Stat. Signific.	<0.001			<0.001			ns		ns		<0.01		<0.01		ns		ns		ns				
Diet x Storage																							
A X T0	0.51	0.06	f	0.70	0.32	bcd	1.69	0.25	2.66	1.16	4.51	0.59	abc	3.16	1.16		3.36	0.45	15.38	3.39	0.13	0.03	b
B X T0	3.56	0.22	abcd	0.26	0.07	cd	7.02	1.31	7.26	3.50	9.12	1.81	a	5.22	0.91		10.49	3.16	39.12	8.50	0.43	0.05	ab
C X T0	0.52	0.04	f	0.19	0.05	d	1.48	0.22	2.22	0.80	4.47	0.72	abc	2.35	1.02		3.80	1.27	14.33	3.11	0.13	0.03	b
D X T0	1.57	0.36	def	0.44	0.14	cd	5.05	1.22	5.72	1.32	6.44	1.91	abc	3.50	0.54		7.69	1.79	28.40	6.42	0.30	0.00	ab
E X T0	1.08	0.14	ef	0.21	0.05	d	1.50	0.52	2.05	0.84	5.20	1.87	abc	2.79	1.89		4.06	1.04	15.60	5.62	0.10	0.00	b
F X T0	2.28	0.52	bcdef	0.44	0.20	cd	4.51	2.22	6.29	1.93	8.91	1.71	ab	4.04	1.40		9.01	2.44	32.76	9.04	0.30	0.07	ab
G X T0	2.76	0.59	bcdef	0.74	0.20	abcd	4.96	0.83	5.56	1.24	7.20	1.60	abc	3.54	0.78		8.10	1.82	29.37	5.97	0.38	0.08	ab
A X T8D	4.14	0.67	abc	2.33	0.44	ab	6.20	1.37	8.32	1.78	5.05	1.12	abc	2.84	1.29		7.56	1.79	29.97	6.54	0.40	0.07	ab
B X T8D	5.91	0.67	a	0.83	0.20	abcd	4.44	0.86	4.85	0.85	3.69	1.22	abc	2.00	1.16		5.93	1.37	20.91	5.34	0.33	0.06	ab
C X T8D	2.15	0.25	cdef	2.04	0.29	abc	3.06	0.81	2.65	1.42	3.34	1.49	abc	1.18	0.78		3.17	0.78	13.39	4.31	0.17	0.07	ab
D X T8D	4.12	0.82	abc	1.48	0.22	abcd	4.87	1.46	5.79	1.87	4.99	0.51	abc	2.69	1.02		5.79	1.03	24.13	5.50	0.45	0.10	ab
E X T8D	2.20	0.42	cdef	0.77	0.15	abcd	3.65	0.98	2.29	1.25	1.74	1.02	c	1.47	0.50		4.04	0.68	11.72	3.06	0.15	0.03	ab
F X T8D	5.75	0.38	a	1.05	0.30	abcd	3.45	0.28	4.14	0.27	3.08	0.24	abc	1.38	0.80		3.99	0.53	16.04	1.46	0.37	0.09	ab
G X T8D	4.26	0.55	abc	2.47	0.64	ab	4.70	0.44	7.25	2.23	4.59	0.67	abc	2.90	1.02		7.86	1.75	27.29	2.30	0.48	0.13	ab
A X T8L	2.53	0.25	bcdef	2.53	0.52	a	6.28	1.23	8.60	1.51	2.65	0.99	bc	2.66	0.24		9.93	1.98	30.12	4.44	0.43	0.06	ab
B X T8L	4.63	0.73	ab	0.89	0.20	abcd	3.66	0.43	4.58	0.53	2.20	0.61	c	1.62	0.59		5.05	0.51	17.12	1.43	0.33	0.03	ab
C X T8L	2.83	0.23	bcdef	1.74	0.35	abcd	3.70	0.90	3.91	0.94	2.88	0.92	abc	1.63	0.97		5.62	1.62	17.74	5.13	0.33	0.10	ab

D X T8L	2.57	0.19	bcdef	1.57	0.37	abcd	7.47	4.87	9.16	6.57	3.11	1.75	abc	4.24	3.31	4.45	1.55	38.73	26.81	0.33	0.14	ab
E X T8L	3.25	0.42	bcde	0.89	0.14	abcd	2.44	0.22	2.82	0.35	2.76	0.56	abc	1.70	0.30	3.55	0.42	13.28	1.72	0.20	0.04	ab
F X T8L	4.33	0.66	abc	1.12	0.26	abcd	4.08	0.71	4.88	0.99	4.22	1.20	abc	2.78	0.56	6.18	1.41	22.13	4.48	0.60	0.12	a
G X T8L	2.64	0.22	bcdef	2.40	0.63	ab	3.74	0.65	4.41	0.84	1.70	0.46	c	1.18	0.79	5.51	1.19	15.36	3.04	0.23	0.05	ab
Stat. Signific.	<0.001			<0.001			ns		ns		<0.01			ns		ns		ns		<0.01		

642

643 Results are expressed as mean and SE, standard error of the fifty-six independent samples (n=56). Abbreviations: A, control diet; B, diet
644 supplemented with LO/vE 180 days; C, diet supplemented with LO/vE for 90 days; D, diet supplemented with CLA/vE for 180 days; E, diet
645 supplemented with CLA/vE for 90 days; F, diet supplemented with LO/CLA/vE for 180 days; G, diet supplemented with LO/CLA for 180 days;
646 T0, fresh raw meat; T8D, samples stored at dark; T8L, samples exposed at light; Stat. signif., statistical significance; a, b, c, d, e, f, statistically
647 different means (Tukey's test; $p \leq 0.05$).

648

649

Figure captions

Figure 1. PCA loadings' plot from oxidative parameters, sterol and fatty acid composition

Figure 2. PCA score plot of oxidative parameters, sterol and fatty acid composition and crossed treatments