

eLSE 2019

Editors

Ion ROCEANU

Carmen HOLOTESCU

Lucian CIOLAN

Anca Cristina COLIBABA

Cătălin RADU

New technologies and redesigning learning spaces

Volume II

eLearning and Software for Education Conference

Bucharest, April 11 – 12, 2019

Publisher:
"CAROL I" National Defence University Publishing House
Director: Gheorghe MINCULETE
Panduri Street, 68-72
Bucharest
Phone: +40213194880

ISSN 2066 – 026X
ISSN-L 2066 – 026X
ISSN – CD 2343 - 7669

Further information on all eLearning and Software for Education – eLSE – events can be found at:
www.elseconference.eu

**All the papers published in this book were verified for plagiarism using the
Plagiat-Sistem Antiplagiat prin Internet software**

©This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage on databanks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Romanian Copyright Law of March 14, 1996 in its current version, and permission for use will always be obtained from Carol I National Defence University. Violations are liable for prosecution under the Romanian Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of the specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for the general use.

Administrative & Technical Committee

Daniel BELIGAN	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Gheorghe ANGHEL	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Ştefanel ROSCAN	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Catalin RADU	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Gabriel DOBRESCU	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Simona HERTANU	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Roxana NITU	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
Florin DRAGHICI	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania

Technical editor

Liliana ILIE	"Carol I" National Defense University, Romania
--------------	--

eLSE 2019

**The 15th International Scientific Conference
“eLearning and Software for Education”**

New technologies and redesigning learning spaces

Volume II

Conference Chairman:

Professor Dr. Ion **ROCEANU**, "Carol I" National Defence University, Bucharest, Romania

Scientific Committee:

Dr. Sae **SCHATZ**, Director of Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) Initiative, USA

Prof. Dr. Gheorghe **CALOPAREANU**, Rector "Carol I" National Defence University, Romania

Prof. Dr. Romita **IUCU**, Vice-Rector, University of Bucharest, Romania

Prof. Dr. Vasilica **GRIGORE**, Vice-Rector, National University of Physical Education and Sport, Romania

Prof. Dr. Neculai Eugen **SEGHEDIN**, Vice-Rector "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania

Prof. Dr. Lucian Ion **CIOLAN**, Dean, Faculty of Pedagogy University of Bucharest, Romania

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Virgil **POPESCU**, University of Craiova, Romania

Dr Jerry **GORDON**, Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) Initiative, USA

Dr. Doina **BANCIU**, National Institute for Research & Development in Informatics Bucharest, Romania

Prof. Dr. Alin **MOLDOVEANU**, Politehnica" University Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Adrian **ADASCALITEI**, "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania

Dr. Diana **ANDONE**, "Politehnica" University of Timisoara, Romania

Dr. Mihail **ANTON**, "Carol I" National Defence University, Romania

Dr. Jannicke **BAALSRUD HAUGE**, Bremer Institut fur Produktion und Logistik (BIBA), Germany

Dr. Dragos **BARBIERU**, "Carol I" National Defence University, Romania

Dr. Daniel **BELIGAN**, "Carol I" National Defence University, Romania

Dr. Mirela **BLAGA**, "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania

Dr. Vasileios **BARKOUKIS**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Dr. Teodora Daniela **CHICIOREANU**, "Politehnica" University Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Carmen Elena **CIRNU**, National Institute for Research & Development in Informatics Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Anca Cristina **COLIBABA**, "Grigore T.Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Iasi, Romania

Dr. Stefan **COLIBABA**, "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University of Iasi, Romania

Dr. Calin **CORCIOVA**, "Grigore T.Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Iasi, Romania

Dr. Maria-Iuliana **DASCALU**, University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Sara **DE FREITAS**, Serious Games Institute, Coventry University Technology Park, United Kingdom

Dr. Christian **GLAHN**, Open University of the Netherlands, Netherland

Dr. Alessandro **GLORIA**, University of Genoa, Italy

Dr. Gabriela **GROSSECK**, West University of Timisoara, Romania

Dr. Carmen **HOLOTESCU**, "Politehnica" University Timisoara, Romania

Dr. Phil **ICE**, American Public University System, West Virginia, USA

Dr. Knud **ILLERIS**, Aarhus University, Denmark

Dr. Anca Daniela **IONITA**, University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Olimpius **ISTRATE**, International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, Switzerland

Radu **JUGUREANU**, Siveco Romania, Romania

Dr. Theo **LIM**, Heriot-Watt University, UK

Dr. Bogdan **LOGOFATU**, University of Bucharest - Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Romania

Dr. Cristina **MIRON**, University of Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Laura **MURESAN**, Academy of Economic Studies Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Cristina **NICULESCU**, Research Institute for Artificial Intelligence "Mihai Draganescu", Romanian Academy, Romania

Dr. Michela **OTT**, Institute for Educational Technology, CNR, Italy

Dr. Valeriu **PATRICIU**, Military Technical Academy, Romania

Dr. Florin **POPENTIU -VLADICESCU**, Academy of Romanian Scientists, Romania

Dr. Dragos Marian **POPESCU**, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

Dr. Catalin **RADU**, ADL Romania Association, Romania

Dr. Emanuel **SOARE**, University of Pitesti, Romania

Ioana Andreea **STEFAN**, ADL Romania Association, Romania

Dr. Veronica **STEFAN**, "Valahia" University of Targoviste, Romania

Dr. Monica **STANESCU**, National University of Physical Education and Sport of Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Katheryna **SYNYTSYA**, IRTC ITS, Ukraine

Dr. Ștefan **TRAUSAN-MATU**, "Politehnica" University Bucharest, Romania

Dr. **Danut TURCU**, "Carol I" National Defence University, Romania

Dr. Marius **TURNEA**, "Grigore T Popa" University of Iasi, Romania

Dr. Marin **VLADA**, University of Bucharest, Romania

CONTENTS

The Role of an Advanced Computing Environment in Teaching and Learning Mathematics through Problem Posing and Solving.....	11
Marina MARCHISIO, Alice BARANA, Alberto CONTE, Cecilia FISSORE, Francesco FLORIS, Anna BRANCACCIO, Claudio PARDINI	
Student Perception and Learning in On-line Learning Platforms	19
Cristian BUCUR, Ionela SERBAN	
Applying the Principles of Didactics to the e-Learning Teaching of Astronomy and Astrophysics	26
Afrodita Liliana BOLDEA	
Enhancing Self-Regulation Skills in E-Learning Environments	32
Maria CANȚER	
Innovative Technology in the Language Class-Learning by Laughing, with Memes Under Focus	39
Yolanda-Mirela CATELLY	
E-learning Market in Romania	49
Monica CONDRUZ-BACESCU	
The Impact of Digital Technologies on Learning.....	57
Monica CONDRUZ-BACESCU	
Smart Education in Smart City and Student Model.....	64
Mario DUMANČIĆ	
The Use of e-Learning Techniques in PR Training	72
Mirela IONIȚĂ, Veronica PĂSTAE	
Developing Professional Vision: an On-line Course of Adlerian Classroom Management for Pre-service Teachers.....	79
Zlatica JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Lenka SOKOLOVÁ	
Digital Support of Developing Narrative Competence Among Children from Excluded Rural Communities in Slovakia.....	85
Zlatica JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ	
Numerals in Model of Human Knowledge Representation.....	93
Sergey KRESTOV, Iurii STROGANOV, Alyona LOGINOVA	
Psychological Implications of e-Learning Processes	99
Elena MUSEANU, Roxana BÎRSANU	
Students' Strategies for Studying Romanian in E-Learning Times	104
Cristina Mihaela NISTOR	

Feedback as a Powerful Teaching Tool: Online Versus Face-to-Face	110
Cristina Mihaela NISTOR, Doina COMANETCHI	
The Dark Side of Digitalization: Information and Communication Technology Influence on Human Learning Processes.....	116
Ana-Maria Gabriela PETRAȘUC, Daniela POPESCU	
Perspectives about New Learning Environments: eLearning Technologies	124
Ionuț-Claudiu POPA	
Digital Literacy in the Area of e-Safety among Teachers (Second Stage of the Primary School) in Poland.....	130
Łukasz TOMCZYK	
An Interdisciplinary Research: USTEAM Approach & BWG Assessment in Online Courses	136
Nazime TUNCAY	
Distance Learning Technologies with Mentally Disabled People	143
Olga VOLKOVA, Anastasia BEMBENA, Yuliya ARTYOMOVA	
Risks of Socio-Psychological Adaptation of Disabled Wheelchair User in New Distance Learning Environments.....	149
Olga VOLKOVA, Anastasia BEMBENA, Yuliya ARTYOMOVA	
Virtual Class (Videoconference)	156
Andrei GĂITĂNARU	
Development of the Distance Learning Programs for Staff Development.....	164
Polina ANANCHENKOVA, Victoriya TONKONOG, Andrey GORYAINOV	
Students PowerPoint Presentations as Assessment Tool for Learning Evaluation	170
Alina BALAGIU, Dana ZECHIA , Marioara PATESAN	
E-Learning - Facilitator Tool for the Development of Technological Entrepreneurship	177
Cătălin-George ALEXE, Cătălina-Monica ALEXE	
Teachers` Needs and Readiness for Employing OER – A Survey within the Romanian Primary and Secondary Education Context.....	184
Petre BOTNARIUC, Speranța ȚIBU	
Social Variables of Cyber Security Educational Programmes	190
Ella Magdalena CIUPERCĂ, Victor VEVERA, Carmen CÎRNU	
Heterogeneity of Students' Perceptions of e-Learning Platform Quality: a Latent Profile Analysis.....	195
Irina CRISTESCU, Alexandru BALOG	
Authentication Based on Ocular Retina Recognition in eLearning systems	203
Robert-Mădălin CRISTESCU	

Current Technologies and Trends in Cybersecurity and the Impact of Artificial Intelligence	208
Cristian CUCU, Gheorghe GAVRIOLOAIA, Razvan BOLOGA, Mihail CAZACU	
Modern Techniques on Learning Strategies Supported by Data Mining Analysis.....	215
Andrei Stefan DULUȚĂ, Ștefan MOCANU, Daniela SARU, Radu Nicolae PIETRARU, Mihai CRĂCIUNESCU	
Redesigning Contemporary Learning in Literature, History and Culture through Online Learning Environments	223
Aleksandr FADEEV	
Information Society, Knowledge Society	229
Andrei GĂITĂNARU	
Learning, the Best Way to Teach Ecodesign	237
Georgeta HARAGA, Florin-Felix RADUICA, Ionel SIMION	
Considering Student Voice in Course Co-Design Process	245
Ionela MANIU, Cristina RAULEA, George MANIU	
Prevention and Detection of Plagiarism in Elaboration of Bachelor's Work by Students.....	251
Ioan MITREA	
Extend Learning Space with the Smartphone for Students at Hung Yen University of Technology and Education, Vietnam	257
Huu Hop NGUYEN, Thi Cuc NGUYEN	
Learning Database Queries with Prolog	265
Ekaterina OREHOVA, Sergey GOVYAZIN, Iurii STROGANOV	
Promoting Cybersecurity Culture through Education.....	273
Petrișor PĂTRAȘCU	
Developing Teaching and Learning Resources for Food Safety Disciplines – A Case Study.....	280
Dana RADU	
Applications of Machine Learning in Malware Detection	286
Jan-Alexandru VADUVA, Vlad-Raul PASCA, Iulia-Maria FLOREA, Razvan RUGHINIS	
Use of Assistive Technologies in Accessibility of Information for Students with Visual Impairments	294
Valentina VARTIC, Emilia OPRISAN	
Knowledge and Use of Plagiarism Detection Programs in Academic Work by Students.....	300
Valentina VARTIC, Emilia OPRISAN	

High Impact Cybersecurity Capacity Building	306
Julia NEVMERZHITSKAYA, Elisa NORVANTO, Csaba VIRAG	
“Digital Storytelling” in Teaching: Lessons Learned at WUT	313
Gabriela GROSSECK, Dana CRĂCIUN, Mădălin BUNOIU	
The Role of Technology Leadership: Innovation for School Leadership in Digital Age	323
Umut AKCIL, Zehra ALTINAY, Gökmen DAGLI, Fahriye ALTINAY	
Developing at a Great Pace: Studies on Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education	330
Fahriye ALTINAY, Ceren KARAATMACA, Zehra ALTINAY, Gökmen DAGLI	
Distance Learning as the Professional Development Tool of Employees under the Company Staff Policy	338
Polina ANANCHENKOVA, Victoriya TONKONOG, Andrey GORYAINOV	
Redesigning Educational Tools Using Auto-Generative Learning Objects	345
Felicia-Mirabela COSTEA, Ciprian-Bogdan CHIRILA, Vladimir-Ioan CREȚU	
The Impact of Technological Progress on Young People	351
Simona Nicoleta NEAGU, Aniella Mihaela VIERIU	
Innovative Strands in the ZOE Project	359
Anca Cristina COLIBABA, Irina GHEORGHIU, Stefan COLIBABA, Carmen ANTONITA, Irina CROITORU, Ovidiu URSA	
E-Learning Incentives for Improving the Engineering Students’ Accuracy in English for Work Purposes and Online Professional Communication	366
Elisabeta Simona CATANA	
Redesigning Science Classes Through the e-Classes Project’s Initiatives	374
Anca Cristina COLIBABA, Irina GHEORGHIU, Lucia Cintia COLIBABA, Ramona CIRSMARI, Rodica GARDIKIOTIS, Anais COLIBABA	
Sustained Silent Reading and Specialised Vocabulary for ESP. A Case Study	381
Alexandra COTOC	
The Role of the Teacher of Foreign Languages in Online Environment and in Classical Classrooms	388
Irina-Ana DROBOT	
Using e-Portfolios in Teaching English for Academic Purposes – Developing Independent Learning Skills	395
Cristina FELEA, Liana STANCA	
Adapting STEM Automated Assessment System to Enhance Language Skills	403
Marina MARCHISIO, Alice BARANA, Francesco FLORIS, Marta PULVIRENTI, Matteo SACCHET, Sergio RABELLINO, Carla MARELLO	

Language and Safety: The Benefits of Using Audio Visual Aids in Teaching Aviation English	411
Corina MĂRCULESCU	
Teaching Professional Interviews with Online Resources – a Key Path to Success	422
Mihaela PRICOPE, Simona MAZILU, Fabiola POPA	
An E-Learning Model in a Systems Theory Approach	430
Brandusa RAILEANU	
Multilingualism and Minority Language Teaching. Between Tradition and Revitalization	438
Ioan-Laurian SOARE, Maria-Cristina MUNTEANU-BĂNĂȚEANU	
Problematics of the Emigrants’ Accommodation in a New Educational Context The Perspective of Pupils’	446
Aniella-Mihaela VIERIU, Simona-Nicoleta NEAGU	
The Importance of Multimedia in Teaching/Learning the Specialized Languages	453
Victoria VÎNTU, Argentina CHIRIAC, Tatiana TREBEȘ, Aliona BUSUIOC	
Corpus-based Research and Romanian Teachers of EFL. Examining Key Aspects of English Usage	459
Ruxandra VIȘAN	
Information and Documentation through New Technologies in E-Learning Process	465
Doina BANCIU, Ionuț PETRE, Radu BONCEA	
Machine Learning Based Methods Used for Improving Scholar Performance	471
Radu BONCEA, Ionuț PETRE, Victor VEVERA, Alexandru GHEORGHÎĂ	
Accessibility of the Digital Scientific Literature – A Study on Researchers’ Perspective	479
Elena POPESCU	
E-Learning Process through Cloud Facilities	487
Ionuț-Eugen SANDU, Mihail DUMITRACHE	
INDEX OF AUTHORS	495

The 15th International Scientific Conference
eLearning and Software for Education
Bucharest, April 11-12, 2019
10.12753/2066-026X-19-126

Adapting STEM Automated Assessment System to Enhance Language Skills

Marina MARCHISIO, Alice BARANA, Francesco FLORIS, Marta PULVIRENTI,
Matteo SACCHET

Department of Mathematics, University of Turin, Via Carlo Alberto 10, Turin, Italy
marina.marchisio@unito.it, alice.barana@unito.it, francesco.floris@unito.it, marta.pulvirenti@unito.it,
matteo.sacchet@unito.it

Sergio RABELLINO

Department of Computer Science, University of Turin, Via Pessinetto 12, Turin, Italy
sergio.rabellino@unito.it

Carla MARELLO

Department of Foreign Languages and Literatures and Modern Cultures, University of Turin, Via Verdi 9, Turin, Italy
carla.marello@unito.it

Abstract: *Enhancing language skills is a duty for many institutions, schools and universities; Information and Communications Technology is nowadays supporting language teaching, heading also to the integration of foreigners. According to previous studies, the use of a Technology Enhanced Learning Environment can foster language competences through online tests. The goal of this paper is to show and discuss different typologies of automatically evaluated questions useful to learn languages and designed with an Automatic Assessment System, already successfully used for teaching STEM disciplines: Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics. This work has been carried out thanks to the collaboration between the Department of Mathematics and the Department of Foreign Languages. Five different typologies of questions are analysed: "Combining sentence elements" asks to combine given elements to form at least three correct and meaningful sentences; "Find the mistake" asks whether a sentence is grammatically correct and to explain why; "The Target puzzle" asks to join words together using precise links; "Scrambled text" asks the student the correct sequence of sentences in a scrambled text; "The Encrypted Crossword puzzle" is a crossword puzzle without definition clues. Linguists consider these question types effective to develop language competences and have already used them profitably in traditional teaching and language learning. To engage the learner and increase motivation, we will develop an implementation where the questions are self-evaluated, always available, with immediate and interactive feedback. These tools could be used in online language courses and in all those teaching activities that are carried out remotely by the student alone. All questions are outlined with the explanation of the grading code and a triplet of descriptors; they describe the Performance, Requirements and Objectives of each question and allow further studies on automatic detection of the relatedness between different language learning objects, in order to construct adaptive language tests.*

Keywords: *Automated Assessment System; Language skills; Language learning; Ontology; Technology Enhanced Learning.*

INTRODUCTION

Knowing languages is not just a means of facilitating exchanges between countries: above all, it is the tool that allows to dig into different ways of thinking, where everyone can take the words, the models and the values that they find most suitable [2]. Italy is one of the OECD countries (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) characterized by an increasing immigration, and this has given rise to several issues, especially concerning the policy to be adopted in order to encourage a real

and not conflicting integration of foreigners. One of the possible solutions to make social integration of immigrants more effective is to seek for the help of Information and Communications Technology (ICT). In fact, immigrants are frequent users of new technologies, mainly to maintain relationships with family members and friends abroad [10].

In literature, there are few examples of online questions aimed at developing language competences: even in specialized books [18]. In the last years, there have been various Italian projects that involve the use of ICT in order to achieve the purpose of integration. “Italstudio” test [14] was developed by the University of Parma with the dual aim of certifying students’ levels of competence and of guiding the school system towards a new methodological approach for quality assurance of language acquisition processes beyond elementary levels, i.e. up to levels of academic language autonomy. CALCOTE (Conosci gli ALtri, COncosci TE stesso) [10] of the University for Foreigners of Siena is an example of improving intercultural competences and integration. It consists of an online course for young immigrants that aims at spreading intercultural knowledge and enlarging social nets.

The main stimulus for our research can be found in the 2014 report of MIUR (Ministero dell’Istruzione, dell’Università e della Ricerca) [9] where it is stated clearly that linguistic competences and integration are incisive in the academic performance of foreign students. In fact, in 2013/2014 the percentage of Italian students admitted to the secondary school exit exam was 89,1% while only 76,3% of non-Italian students were admitted. Similar results can be found in the 2018 report of INVALSI (Istituto Nazionale per la Valutazione del Sistema dell’Istruzione) [21]. INVALSI report underlines the immigrant situation in Italy. 10% of students in Italy are foreigners; these students, on average, have lower scores than their Italian classmates, notably in Mathematics and Italian, while English is the only subject where foreign students are as good as Italian students. The reasons for these differences in Mathematics and Italian could be found in the economic situation of immigrants’ families but also in their cultural and linguistic differences. The gap between the results of Italian students and foreigners, but mostly the gap between the results of first generation immigrants and second-generation immigrants, suggest that the level of integration in Italian schools could sensibly improve with a better teaching of the Italian language. Both the 2018 INVALSI report [21] and the 2014 MIUR report [9] underline the importance of teaching languages in Italy, namely Italian for foreigners and foreign languages for Italians. Besides ICT, one possible way to improve the teaching of languages in Italy is suggested by applied linguistics through the use of word games [16]. This is a more engaging way to bring students to memorize the formal aspects of words and sentences and the semantic links, which connect words in texts. Acrostics, anagrams, crosswords, rebus and riddles are usually designed for Italian native speakers but, if adapted properly, they can act as a pedagogical tool, with the aim of learning with fun (Ludolinguistica or Language Games) [12]. These games can be of many different levels of difficulty and adjustable to different audiences, from children to adults.

All these considerations brought to the development of five different typologies of question, inspired by word games, with the use of the model of formative automatic assessment and interactive feedback for STEM that uses the Automated Assessment System (AAS) Möbius Assessment [6]. The questions can be dispensed online with many advantages: automatic assessment, perpetual availability, immediate and interactive feedback. Each typology manifests some interesting properties, leading the online assessment of languages one step forward. The use of descriptors and computer codes demonstrates the requirement of many different areas of expertise to design similar activities. That is why this research was conducted in synergy between linguists, mathematicians and computer scientists. These questions can be applied in online language courses or in teaching activities to be carried out remotely and autonomously by students.

I. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In this section, we will analyze the theoretical framework of actual experiences in improving language competences, the assessment strategies adopted and the technologies behind them. All listed experiences underline the importance of ICT for language assessments, the advantages of Technology Enhanced Learning (TEL) environments [17, 22] and the language competences that it is possible to foster using an AAS [1].

1.1 Language assessment

There are many theories about language assessment, all of them providing important food for thought. Balboni [2] focuses his attention on a student-centered perspective; with a continuous control, students can be monitored, checking whether the initial objectives are fulfilled [19]. Teachers can adjust their approach in an adaptive way, improving the effectiveness of the formative assessment, which is one of the most important methods to increase students' self-efficacy regarding the competences they are asked to develop. In particular, formative assessment could help students become able to identify their own motivation that causes the attitude toward the study subject, to detect their own strengths and weaknesses, to be aware of the level achieved, to proceed step-by-step, following suggestions given by feedback, which should be numerous and communicated timely to students. Serragiotto [22] states that self-assessment is an emotional and cognitive competence and allows teachers to fully exploit results of automated language proficiency assessment in a formative modality. This has been experimented for STEM disciplines [6], making self-assessment an optimal mode to support the formative process.

1.2 Learning technologies for languages

There are many advantages to using TEL for assessment. Here we summarize the ones that inspired our research [6, 17, 22, 19]:

- students immediately receive the results of the test and feedback;
- an online environment can increase the flexibility and the range of feedback to deliver students high quality information about their learning;
- randomized questions with casual parameters with equivalent difficulty level can encourage the dialogue around learning and avoid cheating behaviors;
- online tests usually foster positive behaviors and raise self-esteem as students can privately and repeatedly assess their knowledge without comparing their performances to others';
- all students' data are automatically collected by the AAS in a gradebook and they are available to students and teachers (helping the former to improve their learning and the latter to shape their teaching);
- the way of dispensing and replaying online tests is almost inexpensive;
- it is possible to create and catalogue sets of items and exercises that are easily reusable and comparable.

1.3 Similar learning experiences at the University of Turin

When taking into account language learning, well designed online tests are objective, guided and structured and they can therefore assess lexical, oral, linguistic, morphosyntactic, cultural and intercultural competences [1]. In 2003, the Department of Mathematics at the University of Turin, in collaboration with the Computer Science Department, started a renewal of the assessment process [3] integrating the Virtual Learning Environment Moodle with the AAS Möbius Assessment [15]. A further collaboration with the Department of Foreign Languages and Literatures and Modern Cultures of the University of Turin gave birth in 2014 to the project AttraSS (ATTRAZIONE Studenti Stranieri; <https://orientamente.unito.it/course/view.php?id=163>) [7] with the aim of teaching Italian language to foreign students who intended to start their university career in Turin. This project proposed to develop innovative methodologies to teach Italian with Möbius Assessment, until then mainly and successfully adopted for teaching and learning STEM disciplines [4]. AttraSS project started in the frame of the wider project: Orient@mente (<https://orientamente.unito.it/>) [5] that takes advantage of the AAS and it is aimed at supporting secondary school students in their University choice. On the Orient@mente platform many automatically assessed online tests were developed to facilitate self-assessment in different subjects, including languages. Afterwards, "Esplorare (con) i Dizionari Digitali" has the specific goal to support students in using digital dictionaries to learn languages [11]. The TEL environment developed for this project (<http://esploradizionari.i-learn.unito.it/>) contains communicative tasks designed for secondary schools. The designed exercises are activities of text comprehension with consultation of digital dictionaries online; most of them are "adaptive" exercises, as the system automatically changes the question according to the student's performance. More than a hundred exercises have been developed in different languages, mostly multiple choice or multiple selection, matching or fill-in-the-blanks. The latest experience is start@unito (<https://start.unito.it/>), designed to

promote and facilitate the transition of students from high school to university. All these projects have been instrumental in launching the use of an AAS mainly adopted for STEM and for language assessment, giving an impulse to the present research.

II. RESEARCH GOALS

How can well-known question types used in language teaching be improved through automation to enhance language skills, in particular when self-paced learning is concerned? We developed the following typologies of questions: Combining sentence elements, Find the mistake, The Target, The Encrypted Crossword Puzzle, Scrambled text. These questions were chosen within the wider set of language teaching tools, because they represent a good blending of educational and ludic aspects and are considered by linguists effective to develop language competences. In order to get the best from TEL, the questions were designed following the model of formative assessment and interactive feedback developed by the Department of Mathematics of the University of Turin [7], which entails the following important key features:

- availability: the assignments should be always available to allow students to solve them at their own pace;
- algorithm-based questions and answers: the questions should be defined by random values and parameters that change at every attempt, and should be able to implement grading code;
- open answers: the use of textual response areas where the AAS search the keywords in students' answer;
- immediate feedback: i.e. results should be computed in a few moments and shown to the students while they are still focused on the task;
- interactive feedback: the assignments should use the methodology of guiding students to the solution through a step-by-step process;
- contextualization: the assignments should be developed within a real world context which engages students more and helps them to better understand the contents.

III. RESEARCH METHODS

The questions were developed through a collaborative work. After a first implementation, linguists provided feedback on what had to be changed and what had to be enforced: improvements of the questions were made in order to make them more accessible and relevant. While the complexity of algorithms was increasing, the support of computer programmers became of great importance. The synergy between three different kinds of experts allowed the research to be effective and productive: in the end, native Italian people carried out an assignment to verify the quality of questions and answered an evaluation questionnaire. Experts in programming languages and in automatic assessment systems were required in the process, but the usage of questions can be administered by teachers without further ICT skills: they can easily clone and change contents while preparing their lectures and interactive materials. According to the definition given by Porcelli [19], for each question we added a triplet of descriptors: Performance, Requirements, Objectives. We inserted this ontology in our questions for future perspectives about language teaching, an idea which arose from previous experiments in creating automatic learning paths for STEM through natural language processing. Descriptors are outlined in order to strengthen instructors' reasoning on the selection of contents, developing an instructional strategy, and constructing tests and other tools for assessing and then evaluating students' learning outcomes:

- **Descriptor of performance** is proposed to activate a reflection on the structure of the materials used online, therefore it should be useful to teachers both in the design phase and during the subsequent research balance. A well-written "Performance" descriptor should meet the following criteria: describe a learning outcome, describe the conditions under which the student will perform the task (be student-oriented), be observable;

- **Descriptor of requirements** states the learning goals that should be acquired before attempting to answer the response area. They represent essential prerequisites to achieve both linguistic and mathematical competences;
- **Descriptor of objectives** should explain the skills achieved at the end of the exercise; they are different from “Performance” descriptors because they do not depend on the type of response area embedded. Action-verbs are suggested to compose objectives descriptors.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 The five questions

Combining sentence elements

Performance: Given three lists, where the first one contains four potential subjects or direct objects, the second one 4 verbs in the 3rd person singular and plural, and the third one list four possible objects with preposition, the student has to form at least three morphosyntactically correct meaningful sentences.

Requirements: remembering the definition of “subject-verb agreement”; understanding the meaning of words in the context of the sentence; recognizing a noun group that can work as a subject; recognizing a noun group that can work as a direct object; recognizing the verbs that can be followed by a direct object; recognizing the verbs that can be followed by a complement with preposition; recognizing the prepositions that a verb requires when combined with a complement with preposition.

Objectives: Creating meaningful sentences with subject, verb and eventually complement.

This question is an inductive way of fixing transitivity or intransitivity of Italian verbs plus subject-verb agreement. The order of elements in an Italian sentence is not fixed; nevertheless, we insert constraints in order to help students better understand the logical structure of the phrase. Anyway, the grading code allows to face non-standard order of elements, if it is the case. To evaluate the question, a "solution vector" was created, containing all possible meaningful sentences (in the form of strings) that can be constructed with the elements given by the text. The evaluation algorithm divides the student's response (which for the automatic assessment system is initially a single string of characters) into a list of strings, so that each sentence entered matches a string. To avoid the input of identical phrases, the string list is converted into a mathematical set (thus containing only distinct elements). The presence of the string in the "solution vector" is then checked and for each match a counter variable (initialized to zero) is increased by one unit. Once all the comparisons have been completed, the value of the counter variable will be equal to the number of correct sentences entered by the student. The score of the application is calibrated on the number of correct sentences inserted by the student with respect to the total of the inserted ones. The student who identifies and writes up to two sentences obtains the score in thirds (as 3 is the minimum number of answers required), while the student who writes more than three sentences will have a score attributed by dividing the number of correct answers by the number of distinct sentences inserted.

Find the mistake

Performance: given a sentence, the student will be able to recognize whether it is correct and to identify the reason why by selecting one of the three given options.

Requirements: recognizing a noun group that can work as a subject; remembering the definition of “subject-verb agreement”; remembering the meaning of words in the context of the sentence.

Objectives: recognizing when a verb needs a direct object; recognizing when there is no subject-verb agreement; recognizing when a verb is followed by a complement with the wrong preposition.

This question has been chosen because of its e-learning properties, because it exploits the option of viewing details of the submitted answer with an adaptive section (according to the definition given by Posner [20]). From the point of view of the teacher and of the linguist who designs tests, writing multiple-choice for this type of exercises is challenging. They must face a robust pruning of metalinguistic terminology, and they must consider whether the question is for a mother tongue or L2 student. In order to solve this issue, a pop-up link has been inserted that gives the opportunity to consult

dictionaries when not understanding the meaning of words or when needing suggestions about the verb pattern.

The “Target” puzzle

Performance: given a scrambled list of words, the student will be able to write them in a sequence where each word is connected to the following by formal linguistic links like anagrams, adding, subtracting or changing one letter, or by semantic links like being hypernyms, synonyms or antonyms, or by encyclopedic and pragmatic reasons

Requirements: recognizing an anagram; recognizing the change, addition or subtraction of a letter; recognizing a synonym or to know how to search it in a dictionary; recognizing an antonym or to know how to search it in a dictionary; recognizing relationships of various kinds (e.g. mouth/tooth, flower/rose, hair/blond, marriage/groom) or to know how to look them up.

Objectives: recognizing, within a given list, two words where one is the anagram of the other; recognizing, within a given list, two words that differ by the change, addition or subtraction of a single letter; recognizing, within a given list, two words that are synonymous or one the hypernym of the other (ISA relation); recognizing, within a given list, two words where one is the opposite of the other; recognizing, within a given list, two words that are frequently used together for reasons of various kinds.

The Target is a common linguistic game that is possible to find in many riddles magazine. In this implemented version, the first connection is always given; students can ask for a hint if needed. From an educational point of view, it is really interesting as it has a great adaptability to levels and ages of students; it can be easier for L2 students when passages are totally formal (anagrams, change or addition or subtraction of letters) and in that case it is given with the purpose of fixing the correct graphic aspect of words. When it includes semantic, encyclopedic or pragmatic passages, it is more difficult to play and the answer might not be unique. The score engine can be settled to face different answers in order not to provoke mistrust in students. The challenge was to offer it for the first time with automatic assessment. Here the advantage of using Möbius Assessment with its specific computational engine is that it can grade (or better, partially grade) a response that is a list. Thus, the correct evaluation for this question concerns the correctness (whenever they appear) of the links between words of the target. Students should get more points when they place two words correctly next to each other, less points in other cases. In a list made up of N elements, there are N - 1 links to evaluate. We considered all links counting equally for the question score. The algorithm considers the elements of the correct list one by one, searches them in the student’s answer and checks whether the following term is the correct one. If correct, the question score is increased by $1 / (N - 1)$, so that the sum of all correct links is 1, the maximum score. No increase otherwise, that means the student does not gain any points if the passage is incorrect or if the item appears more than once in the student’s answer. When there is more than one possible answer, the system considers the maximum score obtained by matching the student’s answer with all other possible solutions.

Scrambled text

Performance: given a list of scrambled sentences, the student will be able to reorder them and write them in the correct meaningful order.

Requirements: recognizing temporal links between sentences expressed via tenses, adverbials, conjunctions; recognizing cause and effect relationship between sentences.

Objectives: reconstructing meaningful texts.

This typology of question, like the “Target” puzzle, is adaptable to different levels and ages of students but it implies a minimum A2 level, because it deals with whole sentences and not with isolated words. It is a textual exercise [13] and a very useful one in untutored e-courses in which free productivity of texts cannot be checked. By reordering scrambled sentences, students show that they understood the type and final goal of the unscrambled text, that they could spot explicit and implicit signs of ordering and use them when they create their own texts. It is to be highly appreciated that Möbius Assessment can read the text with the sentence order the student is creating step by step: in the past, this kind of task was either proposed with strips of papers each containing a sentence or - as in Hot Potatoes J-Mix - with a drag and drop mechanism. From a technical perspective, the sentences are presented in a random order and they are associated to a letter; in order to answer, students will write the sequence of letters in the response area in the order they think it is correct. The preview button allows students to read the whole text with the sentences arranged in the order they chose. The evaluating algorithm is similar to the Target puzzle one explained.

The “Encrypted Crossword” puzzle

Performance: given an empty crossword puzzle, without definition clues but with three or four letters revealed, the students will be able to fill it according to the rule that all the boxes showing the same number should be filled with the same letter.

Requirements: remembering the sequence of letters in a word and analyzing which might satisfy the rules given; remembering and applying frequent sequences of letters that occur in derivative morphemes, for instance It. -zione or -mento; remember frequent morphological features of natural languages, for instance Italian words generally end by vowel -a, -e, -o, -i.

Objectives: remembering the right spelling of the words to be written; appreciating the productivity of morphemes.

Solving encrypted crossword puzzles is relatively easier in Italian than in other languages, as it uses a lot its five vowels, and monosyllabic words are not frequent; moreover Italian language has many words formed by derivative morphemes and it has many online free dictionaries which allow search with ? or * characters. For any language using an alphabet, it is in any case a rewarding exercise to fix in students’ memory frequent sequences of letters. This question has been implemented using the HTML response area, in order to create a table and insert the input target in each box to be filled. All the details about the format settings of the table and the input boxes (e.g. font size, maximum number of characters) have been modified in the CSS. A JavaScript function was created to evaluate the question: it reads the content of each input box and it saves the entered values in a string-variable. The evaluation algorithm is based on the Hamming distance that is the number of character positions where two strings differ. The percentage evaluation is therefore given by the percentage of correctly entered characters with respect to the total number of characters to be inserted.

4.2 Testing results

An assignment containing the five questions was delivered to 27 people, who made multiple attempts, 68 in total with an average of 2.52 each. The score shows a greater difficulty of two questions (the target puzzle and scrambled text), probably due to a higher need of competences. It suggests that it should be adapted for foreign students. Afterwards, the participants to this experimentation were asked to submit a questionnaire about their experience. In Table 1 the results of the evaluation questionnaire are outlined. Users have to fill a 5-point Likert scale where 1 means very low and 5 means very good.

Feature	Average on 5-point Likert scale
availability	3.4
readability of the instructions on how to insert the answer	3.5
usefulness of immediate feedback	4.3
usefulness of the interactive feedback	4.5
usefulness of the interactive feedback in this assignment	2.8
usefulness of the answer preview	4.2
usefulness of the answer preview for changing the answer	3.9
variability of questions, when available	4.0
contextualization	4.4

Table no. 1 Results from the evaluation questionnaire

The results show a good score in the key features listed above. It is remarkable to note that the people consider the interactive feedback very useful, but probably in this assignment it was not correctly designed.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The questions satisfy all the features required by design features, regarding both competences and usability. The questions developed in this research could be used in MOOCs and online language learning tools for foreigners. These questions might be used to enhance competences, to recover gaps, to support traditional teaching, to expand learning opportunities. Lastly, a computer is more patient than a teacher, mainly when it comes to corrections and, if correctly instructed, it adapts teaching strategies

to every student. These playful questions can allow students to learn in a more entertaining and engaging way, thus increasing their interest in the subject. After the experiences of “AttrasS”, “Esplorare (con) i Dizionari Digitali”, “Start@unito” and “Orient@mente” at the University of Turin, we are planning a wide experimentation for the academic year 2018/2019 with different levels of students and involving different languages. For example, “start@unito” for the academic year 2018/2019 will expand its offer with new resources, especially with courses entirely taught in English. The University of Turin online services portfolio will enlist a new project called “Foundation Programme”: it addresses students coming from countries where either the duration of pre-University education is shorter than that provided for by Italian law (twelve years), or the school year ends six months prior to the Italian one. This project will host this new kind of language assessment. Another future application of this research concerns the use of descriptors for language teaching. This could lead to an automatic detection of the relatedness between language learning objects for the construction of adaptive tests and paths. More studies could follow aiming at defining models for structuring shared materials available in disciplinary repositories of virtual communities and delivering learning objects trajectories on an automatically generated digital map.

Reference Text and Citations

- [1] Arpetti, A., Di Dio, L. *P.O.L.I giovani: un esperimento di valutazione/certificazione per adolescenti*. In: *Tecnologia e didattica delle lingue*, UTET, Torino, pp. 269–280 (2012).
- [2] Balboni, P.E. *Le sfide di Babele. Insegnare le lingue nelle società complesse*. UTET Università, Torino (2011).
- [3] Barana, A., Marchisio, M., Rabellino, S.; Automated Assessment in Mathematics. In: *2015 IEEE 39th Annual International Computers, Software & Applications Conference, COMPSAC 2015*, CPS, Taichung, pp. 670–671 (2015).
- [4] Barana, A., Marchisio, M.; Ten good reasons to adopt an automated formative assessment model for learning and teaching Mathematics and scientific disciplines. In: *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences*, vol. 228, ELSEVIER, València, Spain, pp. 608–613 (2016).
- [5] Barana, A., Bogino, A., Fioravera, M., Marchisio, M., Rabellino, S.; Open Platform of self-paced MOOCs for the continual improvement of Academic Guidance and Knowledge Strengthening in Tertiary Education. *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society*, vol.13(3), pp.109–119 (2017).
- [6] Barana, A., Conte, A., Fioravera, M., Marchisio, M., Rabellino, S.; A model of formative automatic assessment and interactive feedback for STEM. In: *Proceedings of 2018 IEEE 42nd Annual Computer Software and Applications Conference*, pp. 1016–1025. IEEE Computer Society Conference Publishing Services (CPS), Tokyo, Japan (2018).
- [7] Corino, E., Marchisio, M.; MapleTA e italiano LS: quando matematica e lingua si incontrano su Moodle. In: *Teach Different! Proceedings della multiconferenza, EMEMITALIA 2015*, Genova University Press, Genova, pp. 87–90 (2015).
- [8] Di Caro, L., Fioravera, M., Marchisio, M., Rabellino, S.; Towards adaptive systems for automatic formative assessment in virtual learning communities. In: *2018 42nd IEEE International Conference on Computer Software & Applications, COMPSAC 2018*, CPS, Tokyo, pp. 1000–1005 (2018).
- [9] Elaborazione ISMU su dati MIUR, http://www.istruzione.it/allegati/2014/Notiziario_Stranieri_13_14.pdf, last accessed 2019/01/20.
- [10] La Grassa, M.; Troncarelli, D.; Orientarsi in rete, *Didattica delle lingue e tecnologie digitali*, Becarelli, Siena, pp. 184-211 (2017).
- [11] Marchisio, M., Marellò, C.; Dizionari digitali italiani in rete. Come farli conoscere. In: *Quaderns d'Italia, "Lessicografia italiana tra presente e futuro"*, vol.23, Calvo Rigual, C. (eds.) (2018).
- [12] Marellò, C.; Dizionari in rete per l'apprendimento delle lingue e delle strategie di ricerca (query strategies), *Atti Didattica 2015*, pp. 1-8 (2015).
- [13] Marellò, C.; Testualità. In: Cardinale, U., Corbucci, P., Fagotto, M. (eds.) *Le Olimpiadi di italiano. Un osservatorio sulle competenze logico-linguistiche degli studenti delle scuole superiori*, Il Mulino, Bologna, pp. 213–239 (2017).
- [14] Mezzadri, M. *Testing Academic Language Proficiency*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Newcastle upon Tyne (2017).
- [15] Moebius Assessment, <https://www.digitaled.com/products/assessment/index.aspx>, last accessed 2019/01/20.
- [16] Mollica, A.; Ludolinguistica. Imparare una lingua con giochi di parole, *Ludolinguistica. Apprendere con giochi di parole, Ludolinguistica. Parlare e scrivere con creatività*, 3 voll., ELI edizioni, Loreto (AN) (2018).
- [17] Nicol, D. and Macfarlane-Dick, D.; Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice, *Studies in Higher Education*, vol.31(2), pp. 199–218 (2006).
- [18] Pederzoli, L. *Insegnare le lingue on line e su app*. Pacini editore (2018).
- [19] Porcelli, G. *Educazione linguistica e valutazione*. Petrini, Torino (1998).
- [20] Posner, Z. *What is Adaptive Learning anyway?*, McGraw-Hill Education (2017).
- [21] Rapporto Prove INVALSI 2018, http://www.invalsi.it/invalsi/doc_evidenza/2018/Rapporto_prove_INVALSI_2018.pdf, last accessed 2019/01/20.
- [22] Serragiotto, G.; Nuove frontiere nella valutazione linguistica. *EL:LE*, vol.5(2), pp. 153–187 (2016).

INDEX OF AUTHORS

- AKCIL Umut, 323
ALEXE Cătălina-Monica, 177
ALEXE Cătălin-George, 177
ALTINAY Fahriye, 323, 330
ALTINAY Zehra, 323, 330
ANANCHENKOVA Polina, 164, 338
ANTONITA Carmen, 359
ARTYOMOVA Yuliya, 143,149
BALAGIU Alina, 170
BALOG Alexandru, 195
BANCIU Doina, 465
BARANA Alice, 11, 403
BEMBENA Anastasia, 143, 149
BÎRSANU Roxana, 99
BOLDEA Afrodita Liliana, 26
BOLOGA Razvan, 208
BONCEA Radu, 465, 471
BOTNARIUC Petre, 184
BRANCACCIO Anna, 11
BUCUR Cristian, 19
BUNOIU Mădălin, 313
BUSUIOC Aliona, 453
CANȚER Maria, 32
CATANĂ Elisabeta Simona, 366
CATELLY Yolanda-Mirela, 39
CAZACU Mihail, 208
CHIRIAC Argentina, 453
CHIRILA Ciprian-Bogdan, 345
CÎRNU Carmen, 190
CIRSMARI Ramona, 374
CIUPERCĂ Ella Magdalena, 190
COLIBABA Anais, 374
COLIBABA Anca Cristina, 359, 374
COLIBABA Lucia Cintia, 374
COLIBABA Stefan, 359
COMANETCHI Doina, 110
CONDRUZ-BACESCU Monica, 49, 57
CONTE Alberto, 11
COSTEA Felicia-Mirabela, 345
COTOC Alexandra, 381
CRĂCIUN Dana, 313
CRĂCIUNESCU Mihai, 215
CREȚU Vladimir-Ioan, 345
CRISTESCU Irina, 195
CRISTESCU Robert-Mădălin, 203
CROITORU Irina, 359
CUCU Cristian, 208
DAGLI Gökmen, 323, 330
DROBOT Irina-Ana, 388
DULUȚĂ Andrei Stefan, 215
DUMANČIĆ Mario, 64
DUMITRACHE Mihail, 487
FADEEV Aleksandr, 223
FELEA Cristina, 395
FISSORE Cecilia, 11
FLOREA Iulia-Maria, 286
FLORIS Francesco, 11, 403
GĂITĂNARU Andrei, 156
GĂITĂNARU Andrei, 229
GARDIKIOTIS Rodica, 374
GAVRIOLOAIA Gheorghe, 208
GHEORGHITĂ Alexandru, 471
GHEORGHIU Irina, 359, 374
GORYAINOV Andrey, 164, 338
GOVYAZIN Sergey, 265
GROSSECK Gabriela, 313
HARAGA Georgeta, 237
IONIȚĂ Mirela, 72
KARAATMACA Ceren, 330
KRESTOV Sergey, 93
LOGINOVA Alyona, 93
MANIU CONSTANTIN George, 245
MANIU Ionela, 245
MARCHISIO Marina, 11, 403
MĂRCULESCU Corina, 411
MARELLO Carla, 403
MAZILU Simona, 422
MITREA Ioan, 251
MOCANU Ștefan, 215
MUNTEANU-BĂNĂȚEANU Maria-Cristina, 438
MUSEANU Elena, 99
NEAGU Simona Nicoleta, 351, 446
NEVMERZHITSKAYA Julia, 306
NGUYEN Huu Hop, 257
NGUYEN Thi Cuc, 257
NISTOR Cristina Mihaela, 104, 110
NORVANTO Elisa, 306
OPRISAN Emilia, 294, 300
OREHOVA Ekaterina, 265
PARDINI Claudio, 11
PAȘCA Vlad-Raul, 286
PĂSTAE Veronica, 72
PATESAN Marioara, 170

PĂTRAȘCU Petrișor, 273
PETRAȘUC Ana-Maria Gabriela, 116
PETRE Ionuț, 465, 471
PIETRARU Radu Nicolae, 215
POPA Fabiola, 422
POPA Ionuț-Claudiu, 124
POPESCU Elena, 479
POPESCU Daniela, 116
PRICOPE Mihaela, 422
PULVIRENTI Marta, 403
RABELLINO Sergio, 403
RADU Dana, 280
RADUICA Florin-Felix, 237
RAILEANU Brandusa, 430
RAULEA Cristina, 245
RUGHINIȘ Răzvan, 286
SACCHET Matteo, 403
SANDU Ionuț-Eugen, 487
SARU Daniela, 215
SERBAN Ionela, 19
SIMION Ionel, 237
SOARE Ioan-Laurian, 438
SOKOLOVÁ Lenka, 79
STANCA Liana, 395
STROGANOV Yuri, 93, 265
ȚIBU Speranța, 184
TOMCZYK Łukasz, 130
TONKONOG Victoriya, 164, 338
TREBEȘ Tatiana, 453
TUNCAY Nazime, 136
URSA Ovidiu, 359
VĂDUVA Jan-Alexandru, 286
VARTIC Valentina, 294, 300
VEVERA Victor, 190, 471
VIERIU Aniella Mihaela, 351, 446
VÎNTU Victoria, 453
VIRAG Csaba, 306
VIȘAN Ruxandra, 459
VOLKOVA Olga, 143, 149
ZACHAROVÁ JURSOVÁ Zlatica, 79, 85
ZECHIA Dana, 170