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Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Modulate the Crop Performance and Metabolic Profile of Saffron in Soilless Cultivation.
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Abstract: Saffron (Crocus sativus L.) is cultivated worldwide. Its stigmas represent the highest-priced spice and contain bioactive compounds beneficial for human health. Saffron cultivation commonly occurs in open field, and spice yield can vary greatly, from 0.15 to 1.5 g m⁻², based on several agronomic and climatic factors. In this study, we evaluated saffron cultivation in soilless systems, where plants can benefit from a wealth of nutrients without competition with pathogens or stresses related to nutrient-soil interaction. In addition, as plant nutrient and water uptake can be enhanced by the symbiosis with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), we also tested two inocula: a single species (Rhizophagus intraradices) or a mixture of R. intraradices and Funneliformis mosseae. After one cultivation cycle, we evaluated the spice yield, quality (ISO category), antioxidant activity, and bioactive compound contents of saffron produced in soilless systems and the effect of the applied AMF inocula. Spice yield in soilless systems (0.55 g m⁻²) was on average with that produced in open field, while presented a superior content of several health-promoting compounds, such as polyphenols, anthocyanins, vitamin C, and elevated antioxidant activity. The AMF symbiosis with saffron roots was verified by light and transmission electron microscopy. Inoculated corms showed larger replacement corms (+50% ca.). Corms inoculated with R. intraradices performed better than those inoculated with the mix in terms of spice quality (+90% ca.) and antioxidant activity (+88% ca.). Conversely, the mixture of R. intraradices and F. mosseae increased the polyphenol content (+343% ca.). Thus, soilless systems appeared as an effective alternative cultivation strategy for the production of high quality saffron. Further benefits can be obtained by the application of targeted AMF-based biostimulants.
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1. Introduction
Crocus sativus L. (saffron) is a flowering plant belonging to the Iridaceae family [1], grown for its red scarlet stigmas that represent the world’s highest-priced spice. The market price for high quality saffron can reach 15,000–20,000 € kg\(^{-1}\) [2]. This species is widely cultivated in several countries, such as Iran, Italy, Spain, Morocco, France, Greece, China, India and Mexico [3], with an annual spice production that exceeds 220,000 kg [4]. The importance and notoriety of saffron, used since ancient times as a dye, ingredient for the preparation of spirits, and condiment for food, is due to the substances contained in the spice, primarily crocins, picrocrocin and safranal [5,6]. These compounds confer the saffron’s unique colour, taste, and aroma, and can also have positive biological effects. Saffron active constituents, such as carotenoids (i.e., crocins), polyphenols, and vitamins showed significant antioxidant activity [7–12]. Furthermore, saffron extracts exhibit anti-carcinogenic, anti-depressive, anti-hyperglycemic, hypoglycemic, and memory-enhancing effects [3,13]. Crocus sativus is a highly hand labour-intensive crop, mainly during flower harvesting and stigma separation. It is traditionally cultivated in small and flat plots, wherein mechanisation is not economically sustainable due to the harvest type and short flowering period [5,8]. Five hundred hand labour hours are needed to obtain 1 kg of dried saffron [4,5]. Saffron cultivation can be carried out on an annual or multi-year cycle [14,15]. Annual cultivation guarantees the effective control of plant diseases with a more accurate corm selection. On the contrary, in a multi-year cycle (e.g., 3–4 years in Spain, 4–5 years in Italy, and 6–8 years in India and Greece) [14], corm multiplication and the size of replacement corms in the ground can decrease drastically over the third year [15]. Environment and cultivation management affect flower induction in C. sativus [5,16–18]. In Mediterranean environments, flower induction occurs from early spring to mid-summer, while flower emergence occurs from early- to late-autumn. Differences in the time required for flower initiation have mostly been attributed to the corm size [19]. To produce flowers, the C. sativus corm diameter needs to be greater than 1 cm [20]. As the corm increases, flowering increases [16,21] and occurs in advance [22]. Commercially, a 2.5–3.5 cm diameter corm appears to be the most common size used to have full flowering already during the first cultivation cycle [23]. To increase saffron yield and quality, and to reduce production costs, flowering modulation through cultivation in soilless systems has been proposed [6,19,24]. In this cultivation system, plants are grown without the use of soil as a rooting medium and are supplied of inorganic nutrients via the irrigation water [25], and thus can benefit of a wealth of nutrients without competition with pathogens or stresses related to nutrient-soil interaction [26]. However, at present, only limited and controversial reports of saffron soilless cultivation under protected conditions are present in the literature. Molina et al. [18] reported that, in a glasshouse, temperatures may be responsible for production differences in terms of flower induction and flowering duration. Maggio et al. [19] showed that, in southern Italy, cultivation in a cold glasshouse on vermiculite and perlite-based substrates positively affected the yield and number of replacement corms. Similarly, Helal Beigi et al. [27] found that cocopeat and perlite substrates enhanced corm dry weight. While Souret and Weathers [28] and Mollafilabi et al. [24] concluded that soilless cultivation in experiments carried out in France and Iran, respectively significantly decreased the spice yield, in comparison to open field cultivation.

Plant performance in soilless systems may be improved through use of biostimulants, i.e., any natural substance or microorganism applied to plants with the aim to enhance nutrition efficiency, abiotic stress tolerance and/or crop quality traits, regardless of its nutrients content [29], with a consequent decrease of chemicals and increase of sustainability of the production system [30]. Soil microorganisms such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are collecting growing interest as biostimulants. They can form mutualistic symbiosis with about 80% of land plant species, including several crops [31]. Across the interface between the plant and the fungus, carbohydrates and mineral nutrients (i.e., N, P, Zn and B) are exchanged [32]. Thus, AMF can alleviate the limitation in plant growth caused by an inadequate nutrient supply and can improve tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress [33]. Additionally, there is evidence to indicate that AMF symbiosis may have a positive impact on crop quality [34]. Increased yield of essential oils, terpenes and polyphenols, and enhanced antioxidant activity were induced by AMF symbiosis in several medicinal and aromatic plants.
This higher concentration of bioactive molecules makes AMF-hosted plants generally more attractive for the pharmaceutical and food industries [39].

The positive effects of AMF on corm growth, spice yield, and the nutraceutical compound content of C. sativus have already been reported in open field trials [12,40-42]. However, so far little is known of the proper saffron AMF inocula application and effects in soilless conditions, where plants are cultivated in pots filled with sterilised substrates that are free of AM fungal propagules or highly reduced in AMF diversity [43]. In the meta-analysis performed by Berruti et al. [31], it has been observed that the fungal colonization gain in inoculated plants was significantly more frequent in the greenhouses than in the open-field conditions, even if the effectiveness of AMF inoculation on shoot biomass and yield was equally successful.

Thus, in the literature, saffron cultivation on soilless systems has been proposed for spice production, but no comparison with open field has been reported. While, the effects of AMF-based biostimulants have been investigated only in open fields. To evaluate if saffron cultivation in soilless systems and AMF application may improve crop performance, spice yield and quality, and modulate bioactive compounds content, we cultivated saffron on soilless systems, applying two AMF inocula, and we compared results with those obtained in a previous open field-based trial [12].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Soilless Cultivation

Crocus sativus corms with horizontal diameters of 2.5-3.5 cm, provided by the Azienda agricola “Les épices Vda” di Alessandro Putzolu (Chatillon, AO, Italy), were planted during the last 10 days of August 2017 in the experimental heated glasshouse of the Department of Agricultural Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA) of the University of Torino (Italy, 45°06′23.21″N Lat, 7°57′82.83″E Long; 300 m a.s.l.). Corms were cultivated in pots (4 L, 14 cm diameter and 17 cm height; two corms per pot; density of 91 corms m⁻²) filled with sterile quartz sand (2 L per pot; bulk density of 1.2 kg m⁻³) on a layer of sterilised expanded clay (1 L per pot; bulk density of 300 kg m⁻³) for a total weight of about 1.5 kg. During the flowering period, the average temperatures were 22 °C during the day and 14 °C during the night.

Two inocula (MycAgro Lab, Bretenière, FR) were used in this experiment: one composed of a single fungus Rhizophagus intraradices (Ri) and one composed of R. intraradices and Funneliformis mosseae (Ri+Fm). Both inocula consisted of AMF spores and inorganic substrate (calcined clay, vermiculite and zeolite). Inocula treatments were compared to a control without any formulation (AMF-). Ten grams of each inoculum were inserted into each vase. The treatment was placed under each corm in order to guarantee contact between the inoculum and the roots, therefore, favouring mutualistic symbiosis. Corms were not treated for fungal pathogens and cultivation lasted one cycle (August 2017–April 2018).

A complete randomised block design was used, with a total of 48 pots in two experimental plot units (24 pots per unit) and three treatments (8 pots per treatment). Irrigation water (pH 7.4, EC 505 μS cm⁻¹) was added weekly (250 mL per pot) with a drip system. The corms were fertilised by fertigation (N:K 13:46; VIGORFLOR, AL.FE. srl, MN, Italy) every 2 weeks starting from the emergence of the spate, in quantities of 1.5 g L⁻¹ of water.

2.2. Determination of Flower Production, Stigma Yield and Corm Growth

At flowering (October and November 2017), the number of flowers produced daily per corm and the yield of spice (i.e., stigmas dried at 40 °C for 8 h in an oven) were measured. The spice yield was calculated by weighting the mg of saffron produced per pot (area equal to 196 cm²) and comparing the values to g of spice per square meter (m²). At the end of the vegetative period (April 2018), corms were lifted, rid of topsoil, cleaned and de-tunicated, then the number, size and weight of replacement corms were determined.

2.3. Preparation of the Saffron Extract
The saffron aqueous extracts were prepared according to Caser et al. [12]. Briefly, 50 mg of powdered saffron were suspended into 5 mL of deionised water. After stirring (1000 rpm) for 1 hour at room temperature (circa 21 °C) in the dark, the solution was filtered with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, VWR International, Milano, Italy) filters with a 25 mm diameter and 0.45 µm pore size. The saffron extract was then diluted 1:10 with deionised water to obtain the working solution. Each sample was prepared in triplicate.

2.4. Determination of Saffron Quality by ISO 3632

Saffron aqueous extracts were analysed with a spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2100 Pro, Ultrospec 2100 pro, Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) to determine the content of picrocrocin, safranal, and crocin to have the information on the bitterness, the flavouring strength, and the colouring strength [44]. Data were related to the dry matter percentage and expressed as the absorbance of a 1% aqueous solution of dried saffron at 257, 330 and 440 nm respectively, using a 1 cm pathway quartz cell [A1% 1 cm (λ max)] and calculated according to the following formula [45]:

\[
A_{1\%1\text{cm} (\lambda \text{ max})} = D \times 10000/m \times (100 - \text{wMV})
\]  

(1)

where D is the specific absorbance; m is the mass of the evaluated solution in grams; and wMV is the moisture expressed as a percentage mass fraction of the sample.

Moisture content (wMV) was determined using the following formula:

\[
\text{wMV} = (m_0 - m_1) \times (100/m_0)\%
\]  

(2)

where m0 is the mass, in grams, of the saffron portion before drying; and m1 is the mass, in grams, of the dry residue after incubation, performed in an oven for 16 h at 103 ± 2 °C.

All analytical steps were conducted in the dark to prevent analyte degradation.

2.5. Determination of Bioactive Compounds by HPLC

Bioactive compounds were determined by means of four high performance liquid chromatography-diode array detection (HPLC-DAD) methods (Table 1; [46]) using an Agilent 1200 High-Performance Liquid Chromatograph coupled to an Agilent UV-Vis diode array detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Phytochemical separation was achieved with a Kinetex C18 column (4.6 × 150 mm, 5 µm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) using several mobile phases for compound identification and recording UV spectra at different wavelengths, based on HPLC methods, as previously tested and validated [47], with some modifications. UV spectra were recorded at 330 nm (α), 280 nm (β), 310 and 441 nm (γ), and 261 and 348 nm (δ). All single compounds were identified by a comparison and combination of their retention times and UV spectra with those of authentic standards under the same chromatographic conditions.
Table 1. Characteristics of the HPLC methods applied to analyse the bioactive compounds present in the studied saffron samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPLC Method</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Stationary Phase</th>
<th>Mobile Phase</th>
<th>Flow (mL. min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α Cinnamic acids</td>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>Coumaric acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: 10mM KH$_2$PO$_4$/H$_3$PO$_4$, pH = 2.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20 + 2 (CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>Cerulic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>B: CH$_3$CN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperoside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Isoquercitrin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β Flavanols</td>
<td>Benzoic acids</td>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: H$_2$O/CH$_3$OH/HCOOH (5:95:0.1 v/v/v), pH = 2.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>23 + 2 (CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>B: CH$_3$OH/HCOOH (100:0.1 v/v)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crocin I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crocin II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safranal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ Carotenoids</td>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>Dehydroascorbic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: 5 mM</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10 + 5 (CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B: CH$_3$OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ Vitamin C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = conditioning time; Method $\alpha$—gradient analysis: 5% B to 21% B in 17 min + 21% B in 3 min + 2 min of conditioning time—wavelength: 330 nm; Method $\beta$—gradient analysis: 3% B to 85% B in 22 min + 85% B in 1 min + 2 min of conditioning time—wavelength: 280 nm; Method $\gamma$—gradient analysis: 5% B to 95% B in 30 min + 95% B to 5% B in 5 min + 10 min of conditioning time—wavelengths: 310 nm + 441 nm; Method $\delta$—isocratic analysis: 10 min + 5 min of conditioning time—wavelengths: 261 nm + 348 nm.

2.6. Phytochemical Characterisation

The phytochemical characterisation of each sample was performed as previously described by Caser et al. [48,49]. Briefly, the total anthocyanin content (TAC) was determined using the pH-differential method. Saffron solution was added to pH 1 and pH 4.5 buffer solutions. The absorbance of samples was determined at 515 and 700 nm after 15 min of equilibration. The results were expressed as milligrams of cyanidin 3-O-glucoside (C$_3$G) per 100 grams of dry weight (mg C$_3$G 100g$^{-1}$ DW). The total phenol content (TPC) was measured using the Folin–Ciocalteau phenolic method at 765 nm. The results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 g of dry weight (DW; mg GAE 100g$^{-1}$ DW). The antioxidant activity (AOA) was determined at 595 nm using the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) method and at 734 nm using the 2,2′-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid; ABTS) method. Results were expressed as millimoles of ferrous iron (Fe$^{2+}$) equivalents per kilogram of dry weight (mmol Fe$^{2+}$ kg$^{-1}$DW) and as μmol of Trolox equivalents per gram of dry weight (μmol TE g$^{-1}$ DW), respectively. All analyses were performed in three replicates and the absorbances were read using a spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2100 Pro, Ultrospec 2100 pro, Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden).

2.7. AMF Evaluation

On the base of saffron highly mycorrhization level (70 to 90% mycorrhizal intensity) previously reported [12], we randomly selected saffron roots in April 2018. Then, the root segments were processed for observation in light and under transmission electron microscopy. Root segments were excised under a stereomicroscope and quickly fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) for 2 hours at room temperature and overnight at 4 °C. The samples were then post-fixed in 1% OsO$_4$ in the same buffer and dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol to 100%, incubated in two changes of absolute acetone and infiltrated in Epon-Araldite resin [50]. The resin was polymerised...
for 24 h at 60 °C. Semi-thin (1 µm) sections were then stained with 1% toluidine blue and ultra-thin (70 nm) sections were counter-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate [51], and used for electron microscopy analyses under a Philips CM10 transmission electron microscope.

2.8. Chemicals and Reagents

Sodium carbonate, Folin–Ciocalteu phenol reagent, sodium acetate, citric acid, hydrochloric acid, iron (III) chloride hexahydrate, 2,4,6-tripyridyl-S-triazine (TPTZ) and 1,2-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPDA) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), whereas acetic acid was purchased from Fluka Biochemika (Buchs, Switzerland). Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) disodium salt was purchased from AMRESCO (Solon, OH, USA), whereas sodium fluoride was purchased from Riedel-de Haen (Seelze, Germany). Ethanol, acetone, sodium citrate and lead nitrate were purchased from Fluka Biochemika. Analytic HPLC grade solvents, methanol and formic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and Fluka Biochemika, respectively; potassium dihydrogen phosphate, ammonium dihydrogen phosphate and phosphoric acid were also purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Milli-Q ultrapure water was produced by Sartorius Stedium Biotech mod. Arium (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany). Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (cetrimide) was purchased from Extrasynthèse (Genay, France), whereas 1,2-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPDA) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All polyphenolic and terpenic standards were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The organic acids were purchased from Fluka Biochemika, whereas ascorbic acid and dehydroascorbic acid were purchased from Extrasynthèse. All chemicals specific for electron and optical microscopy were purchased from Electron Microscopy Sciences (Newark, PA, USA), i.e., glutaraldehyde, cacodylate buffer, osmium tetroxide, epon/araldite resin, toluidine “O” and uranyl acetate.

2.9. Statistical Analysis

An arcsine transformation was performed on all percentage incidence data before statistical analysis in order to improve the homogeneity of the variance (Levene test). All the analysed data were checked for the normality of variance. For all the analysed parameters, mean differences were computed using a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey post hoc test ($p \leq 0.05$). Mean comparisons between data obtained in soilless and those from the first growing season of a previous work conducted in open field [12] cultivations were performed using an independent samples t-test. All analyses were performed using SPSS 24.0 Inc. software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Crop Performance, Quality and Secondary Metabolite Content of Saffron in Soilless Cultivation

Soilless cultivation in a glasshouse has been recently proposed as an alternative method to open field cultivation for saffron. Maggio et al. [19] and Gresta et al. [6] reported that, by controlling growth conditions, flowering could be modulated, extended and considerably increased, compared with open field cultivation. In the present study, under protected conditions, flowering had the same duration (ca. 22 days) compared to cultivation of the same corms planted on the same days in a northwestern Italian open field [12], but the saffron flowering moved forward about 20 days (from 5 October 2017 to 23–30 October 2017), in agreement with Gresta et al. [6]. Since, for the flower emergence, corms required to be transferred from 23–27 °C to 17 °C [18], the most likely reason for this results is related to the fact that, in a glasshouse, the lowering of seasonal temperatures takes place more slowly than in an open field. In addition to the temperature lowering, Gresta et al. [52] indicated the soil water content as another environmental component that can trigger flowering. However, as in these two studies object of comparison, the cultivation occurred in different substrates (quartz sand vs soil), it appears not possible to make speculations.

Saffron yield can vary from 0.15 to 1.5 g m$^{-2}$, based on planting density, plantation age (from one to six year crop cycles), and climatic conditions during the crop season [1]. In this study, an average of 0.55 g m$^{-2}$ was obtained, indicating a profitable production already during the first year. This yield
was similar to what obtained cultivating the same corms at a density of 39 corms m$^{-2}$ in a northwestern Italian open field [12] and superior to that obtained in south Italy under similar glasshouse conditions by Gresta et al. [6] (corm density equal to 40 corms m$^{-2}$; 0.46 g m$^{-2}$) with corms coming from Sardinia (Italy). With similar corm density to our work, Cavusoglu and Erkel [53] and Maggio et al. [19] obtained much higher yields (0.88 g m$^{-2}$ and 2.34 g m$^{-2}$, respectively) in glasshouses located in Turkey and south Italy. In Iranian open fields, at a corm density similar to our study, Mollafilabi et al. [24] and Koocheki and Seyyedi [54] obtained an average spice yield of 0.48 g m$^{-2}$. As affirmed by Gresta et al. [52], to trigger saffron flowering, a not yet fully understood combination of temperature and soil water content is needed.

In addition to the spice yield, another economically important attribute of saffron is the number of replacement corms. The obtained values (2.63 replacement corms corm$^{-1}$) are lower of those obtained by Maggio et al. [19] in soilless cultivation in a cold glasshouse in south Italy, by using peat and perlite (1:1) substrates, where corms produced from 3.0 to 4.5 replacement corms per corm. In addition to a different substrate, these authors also incubated corms in the dark for 83 days before planting. Thus, the combination of these two factors could have guarantee a superior result. Comparing to open field experiments that used corms with similar size to our study, results were in agreement with those from our trial in northwest Italy [12], and the trials performed by Turhan et al. [55] in Turkey (2.32 replacement corms corm$^{-1}$), while superior to those obtained by Koocheki and Seyyedi [54] in Iranian fields (1.32 replacement corms corm$^{-1}$).

Guidelines for the analyses of the main compounds that contribute to the sensory profile of saffron have been established by ISO 3632 regulations [44]. These regulations define procedures to determine these compounds by spectrophotometric analyses and have established the limits by which saffron quality is classified into three different categories (first, second and third). Specifically, the saffron produced under soilless conditions belongs to the highest quality, i.e., first category, for all the studied parameters.

The evaluation of antioxidant activity is generally considered as an important method to evaluate the nutraceutical properties of food, as indicated in other previous studies [30]. Apart from crocins, Karimi et al. [56] and Asdaq and Inamdar [57] highlighted that phenols and flavonoids are responsible for the antioxidant potential of saffron. Overall, the saffron produced in soilless systems showed a very high TPC (4445.4 mg GAE 100 g$^{-1}$ DW), more than the saffron cultivated in other sites in the Alps (range between 1340 and 2355 mg GAE 100 g$^{-1}$ DW) [12], Lebanon (160 mg GAE 100 g$^{-1}$ DW) [58], and India (828 mg GAE 100 g$^{-1}$ DW) [8]. In terms of antioxidant activity, FRAP values were superior to those of Iranian and Italian samples (circa 570 and 1250 mmol Fe$^{2+}$ kg$^{-1}$) [12,56] and ABTS values were comparable to those found in Italian and Greek saffron by Caser et al. [12] and Ordoudi et al. [59].

### 3.2. AMF Colonisation

In our study, the presence of AMF and their colonisation of saffron roots were confirmed by observations using light microscopy (Figure 1) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Figure 2) on semi-thin and thin sections, respectively. Observations on semi-thin sections, stained in blue, show that the saffron roots are mycorrhised when inoculated with both inocula (Figure 1A, B, C), confirming the mycorrhizal intensity described in Caser et al. [12]. At the level of the cortical root parenchyma, the typical mycorrhizal arbuscular fungal structures have been highlighted (insets Figure 1A and C). Figure 1 shows the presence of intercellular and intracellular hyphae (Figure 1C) and arbuscules (Figure 1A and B). No fungal structures were found in the roots of the control treatments (Figure 1D).
Figure 1. Light microscope images of semi-thin sections of *Crocus sativus* roots inoculated with *Rhizophagus intraradices* and *Funneliformis mosseae* (Ri+Fm, A), *R. intraradices* alone (Ri, B and C) or the control (arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)-, D), stained with toluidine blue. At the level of the cortical cells, note the presence of intercellular and intracellular hyphae (i) and arbuscules (a). Magnification in insets A and C shows details of the intracellular hyphae. Cortical parenchyma (PC) cells with nucleus (N) are indicated. No fungal structure is present between and inside the root cells in AMF-roots (D). Note the central cylinder (cc) and the endodermide (en). Bars are 20 µm in A, C and D, and 10 µm in B.

Here, the host plasma membrane invaginates and proliferates around all the developing intracellular fungal structures, and cell wall material is laid down between this membrane and the fungal cell surface. The exchange of molecules between the fungal and plant cytoplasm takes place both through their plasma membranes and their cell walls; a functional compartment, known as the symbiotic interface, is thus defined. At the electron microscope level, as seen in Figure 2A and C (arrows), this new apoplastic space, based on membrane proliferation, is evident around the intracellular and arbusculated hyphae of the AMF penetrated inside the saffron root cortical cells. On the basis of TEM observations, we can conclude that the mycorrhizae, formed between saffron roots and the two species of AM fungi in the inocula used in pot experiments, are alive and functionally active.
Figure 2. Transmission electron microscopy images of thin sections of saffron roots colonised by *Rhizophagus intraradices* and *Funneliformis mosseae* (Ri+Fm, A), *R. intraradices* alone (Ri, B and C) or the control (AMF-, D). In details, a: fungal arbuscule; N: nucleus; M: mitochondria; P: plastids; i: fungal hyphae; PCW: plant cell wall; FCW: fungal cell wall; arrow: plant plasmamembrane; arrowhead and inset: Golgi apparatus. The bar is 1 µm in A, B, C and D.

3.3. Impact of AMF on Saffron in Soilless Cultivation

3.3.1. Crop Performance and Quality Classification

In the present study, slight differences in flowering time and production were detected between treated corms (Figure 3 and Table 2). Both applied inocula (Ri and Ri+Fm) anticipated saffron flowering time of one week, compared to untreated corms (AMF-; 23 October vs. 30 October), whereas the flowering peaks and end of flowering occurred in about the same number of days (6–9 November and 11–13 November, respectively).
Figure 3. Effects of AMF inoculum composed of *Rhizophagus intraradices* alone (Ri), *R. intraradices* and *Funneliformis mosseae* (Ri+Fm) or the control (AMF-) on the flowering calendar of *Crocus sativus* corms and the daily number of picked flowers m⁻² during soilless cultivation.

No significant differences were observed between the treatments in terms of the number of flowers corm⁻¹ and the obtained mg of spice flower⁻¹ (Table 2). Very few reports about the effective role of AMF in saffron flowering and yield are available in the literature, and only under open field conditions. Aimo et al. [40] and Caser et al. [12] indicated a positive role of AMF on the saffron productive performance, with an increase in flower production (+68% and +138%, respectively, compared to the untreated corms) using AMF species belonging to the genus *Glomus*.

Both of the AMF inocula increased the size of replacement corms in comparison to untreated corms (Table 2), suggesting a positive effect on flower production for the following cultivation cycle, in agreement with Aimo et al. [40] and Mohebi-Anabat et al. [39]. Corm size is indeed a major factor in bulbous plants to determine the flowering capacity and production of new replacement corms [5,42].

Saffron quality greatly depends on the growing conditions [12,60]. In the present study, among the AMF inocula, *R. intraradices* alone significantly increased the content of picrocrocin (bitterness), safranal (flavouring strength) and crocins (colouring strength), in comparison to the other treatments. On the contrary, Ri+Fm significantly reduced the content of these molecules and, thus the quality of the spice, in particular by lowering the crocin content to the third category of ISO 3632. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report indicating the effect of AMF on the quality (ISO) of saffron obtained by soilless cultivation. The positive role of Ri on the increase of the saffron quality, especially on the content of picrocrocin, was highlighted also in northwestern Italian open field [12]. Thus, the corm inoculation with Ri could further increase the already high quality saffron produced in the Italian Alps [45,61].
Table 2. Effects of AMF inoculum composed of *Rhizophagus intraradices* alone (Ri), *R. intraradices* and *Funneliformis mosseae* (Ri+Fm) or the control (AMF-) on yield performances (flower corm⁻¹ and saffron flower⁻¹), growth (number of replacement corms corm⁻¹; replacement corm size and weight variation between the end and beginning of the trial) and mean absorbance values for picrocrocin, safranal and crocin of saffron samples obtained during glasshouse cultivation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Flower corm⁻¹ (n)</th>
<th>Saffron flower⁻¹ (mg)</th>
<th>Size (%)</th>
<th>Corm⁻¹ (n)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>Picrocrocin (A⁺₁cm (λ257))</th>
<th>Safranal (A⁺₁cm (λ330))</th>
<th>Crocin (A⁺₁cm (λ440))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ri</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.62</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>45.8 ± 4.6a</td>
<td>2.71 ± 1.53</td>
<td>7.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>143.8 ± 4.6(I)</td>
<td>61.0 ± 5.3(I)</td>
<td>422.6 ± 4.1(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ri+Fm</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.60</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>54.6 ± 6.2a</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.95</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>124.3 ± 3.9(I)c</td>
<td>30.7 ± 3.4(I)c</td>
<td>164.2 ± 3.8(III)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMF-</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.53</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>33.1 ± 6.8b</td>
<td>2.63 ± 1.06</td>
<td>12.6 ± 5.1</td>
<td>135.9 ± 3.4(I)b</td>
<td>54.3 ± 6.7(I)b</td>
<td>324.7 ± 5.9(I)b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values with the same letter are not statistically different at *p* ≤ 0.05, according to a Tukey post hoc test. The statistical relevance of ‘Between-Subjects Effects’ tests (*** *p* < 0.001, ns = not significant). The quality category (ISO3632) is indicated in brackets. The limits for the first (I) quality category are: picrocrocin>70; safranal 20–50; crocins>200. ISO3632 limits for the second (II) quality category are: picrocrocin>55; safranal 20–50; crocins>170. ISO3632 limits for the third (III) category are: picrocrocin>40; safranal 20–50; crocins>120.*
3.3.2. Saffron Metabolic Profiling Comparing to Other Foods

In addition to the peculiar organoleptic characteristics, the stigmas of the *C. sativus* flower contain many secondary metabolites with demonstrated pharmacological effects [3,11,62–64]. The identification and quantification of bioactive compounds in saffron and the evaluation of their biological activities are important to gauge their potential efficacy in food and pharmaceutical industries [65]. The range of all chemicals can vary greatly as a result of growing conditions, such as in response to the application of biostimulants [63]. Inoculation with AMF is known to alter the production of secondary metabolites in MAPs, both in roots, shoots, and flowers, even if it is not consistent among plant organs [66]. The effects of AMF inocula on the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in saffron are presented in Table 3. This more in-depth analysis confirmed the results obtained by assessing the spice quality according to ISO3632 guidelines. The single species inoculum Ri significantly increased the content of crocins (crocin I and II), whereas the mix Ri+Fm decreased it; these findings are in agreement with those obtained by Caser et al. [12] under field conditions in a temperate mountain area (north-west Italy), where the saffron obtained by corms inoculated with Ri resulted in superior quality (i.e., quality compared to the ISO standards). Regarding antioxidant activity (AOA), inoculation with Ri resulted in superior values in both used methods (FRAP and ABTS). The AMF inoculum composed of Ri+Fm significantly increased the contents of isoquercitrin and the total phenolic (TPC) compared to Ri, while of ellagic acid in comparison to Ri and AMF-. Differences in results according to the AMF inoculum composition were also observed in other plant species cultivated on different substrates. Among the reviewed studies, it has been found that the single inoculation of *R. intraradices* tend to be more successful for bioactive compounds increase than inoculation experiments with more than one species applied at the same time. In *Echinacea purpurea* Moench. [67] cultivated in a sand and soil (1:1) substrate, *R. intraradices* alone increased more the content of polyphenols than the mixed inoculum, while in *Cynara cardunculus* L. cultivated in sandy soil [68] and *Lactuca sativa* L. cultivated in a mixture of peat, sandy loam soil and calcinated clay (1:1:1) [69] *R. intraradices* enhanced more the antioxidant activity. However, it has not been observed any effect on the accumulation of polyphenols in *Ocimum basilicum* L. cultivated in a sterilised sand and soil (3:1) substrate [70] and in *Salvia officinalis* L. in sand, soil, and expanded clay (1:1:1) [71,72].
Table 3. Bioactive compounds, anthocyanins, total polyphenol content and antioxidant activity (ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and 2,2′-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS); antioxidant activity (AOA)) of the saffron produced via glasshouse cultivation with AMF inocula composed of *Rhizophagus intraradices* alone (Ri), *R. intraradices* and *Funneliformis mosseae* (Ri+Fm) or a control (AMF-).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Compound (mg 100g⁻¹ DW)</th>
<th>Ri</th>
<th>Ri+Fm</th>
<th>AMF-</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamic acids</td>
<td>Coumaric acid</td>
<td>23.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>23.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>23.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>Isoquercitrin</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2a</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quercitrin</td>
<td>17.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>11.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>19.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic acids</td>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.4b</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoids</td>
<td>Crocin I</td>
<td>104.2 ± 8.6a</td>
<td>22.1 ± 6.5c</td>
<td>55.5 ± 8.4b</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crocin II</td>
<td>42.7 ± 9.6a</td>
<td>16.4 ± 3.8b</td>
<td>38.7 ± 12.9ab</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>Dehydroascorbic acid</td>
<td>28.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>30.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>31.8 ± 6.9</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>31.1 ± 9.5</td>
<td>36.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>41.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total vitamin C</td>
<td>59.9 ± 10.2</td>
<td>66.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>73.6 ± 8.4</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>Anthocyanin (mgC₃G 100g⁻¹ DW)</td>
<td>640.7 ± 84.6b</td>
<td>146.4 ± 29.8c</td>
<td>1654.5 ± 68.4a</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Methods

| TPC (mgGAE 100g⁻¹ DW) | 816.5±152.7b | 3619.0±400.2a | 4445.4±450.2a | ***       |
| FRAP (mmol Fe²⁺ kg⁻¹ DW) | 3133.9±1524.3a | 1383.0±589.7ab | 379.7±128.4b | **        |
| AOA (µmolTE g⁻¹ DW) | 5.4±0.8a | 3.6±0.4c | 4.5±0.7ab | **        |

Mean values with the same letter are not statistically different at p ≤ 0.05, according to a Tukey post hoc test. The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns = not significant).

Karimi et al. [56] and Rahaiee et al. [63] indicated that the antioxidant capacities of saffron might be due to the presence of total phenolics and flavonoids. Based on the obtained results, the content of the bioactive compounds detected in saffron could be compared to other commonly eaten fruits with highly advantageous nutritive properties. Saffron had a higher total phenol content (TPC) and antioxidant activity (AOA) than fresh *Ribes nigrum* L. berries (circa +1000% and +493%, respectively), and fresh (circa +2000% and +1800%, respectively) and dried (circa +900% and +1650%, respectively) *Lycium* spp. fruits [65,73], analysed with the same method. Since saffron showed an antioxidant activity superior than 500 mgGAE 100g⁻¹ it could be also listed within the health beneficial fruits such as *Rubus glaucus* Berth. and *Prunus serotina* var. Capuli as suggested by Vasco et al. [74]. Its content of vitamin C was similar to what found in *Actinidia deliciosa* (A.Chev.) C.F.Liang & A.R.Ferguson and *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osb., and even higher than in *Lycium* spp. (+150%) and *Vaccinium* spp. (+580%). Also, the coumaric acid content was superior (+85%) than in *Morus nigra* L. fruits [75] while lower than in *Lycium* spp. fruits, that showed also higher content of gallic acid, ellagic acid, catechin, and epicatechin was generally lower in saffron (on average circa −75%, −70%, −92%, and −95%, respectively) [73,75]. Lastly, the content of anthocyanins, that are suggested to have neuroprotective properties [76], was up to 11654.5 mgC₃G 100g⁻¹ DW, i.e., a value very high in comparison to fresh fruit extracts from *Morus nigra*, *Rubus idaeus* L., and *Fragaria ananassa* D. (80.0, 33.7, and 35.2 mgC₃G 100g⁻¹, respectively) [75].
3.3.3. Soilless Cultivation vs. Open Field

Saffron root colonisation by AMF could be affected by the cultivation conditions related to the substrate composition, root temperature or the presence of antagonistic fungi naturally occurring in the soil [31,40,41,76]. In our recent studies, AM fungal colonisation was noted in C. sativus roots inoculated with Ri and Ri+Fm, both in soilless (Figures 1 and 2) and in open field conditions [12]. Figures 4 and 5 report the comparisons of the results obtained by these studies. Compared to open field, in soilless conditions not-inoculated corms (AMF-) showed similar spice yields but with higher quality while, referring to AMF treatments, Ri-inoculated corms produced less spice but with a higher quality, whereas Ri+Fm inoculated corms produced less spice, with a lower quality (i.e., reduction in crocin content).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Effects of AMF inoculum consisting of *Rhizophagus intraradices* alone (Ri), *R. intraradices* and *Funnelloformis mosseae* (Ri+Fm) or a control (AMF-) on (A.) mg of saffron corm⁻¹, (B.) picrocrocin, (C.) safranal, and (D.) crocin of *Crocus sativus* corms cultivated in soilless (black bars) and open field (grey bars, [12]) conditions. Mean comparisons of each treatment in the two cultivation types were performed using an independent samples t-test.
With respect to the nutraceutical compounds, the comparisons are presented in Figure 5. No differences were reported between the untreated corms (AMF-), whereas the application of Ri in the soilless condition induced an increase in the contents of epicatechin, crocin I, and antioxidant activity (+80%, +435%, and +675%, respectively), while a decrease in the contents of isoquercitrin, quercitrin, ellagic acid, ascorbic acid, vitamin C, and TPC. Fewer differences were induced by Ri+Fm, which positively stimulated both the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity (+210% and +325%, respectively), but caused a decrease in quercitrin, crocin II, ascorbic acid, and vitamin C.

Figure 5. Effects of AMF inoculum consisting of \textit{Rhizophagus intraradices} alone (Ri), \textit{R. intraradices} and \textit{Funneliformis mosseae} (Ri+Fm) or a control (AMF-) on the content of (A.) isoquercitrin, (B.) quercitrin, (C.) ellagic acid, (D.) epicatechin, (E.) crocin I, (F.) crocin II, (G.) ascorbic acid, (H.) vitamin C, (I.) total polyphenol content (TPC), and (L.) antioxidant activity (FRAP assay) of saffron produced in soilless (black bars) and open field (grey bars, [12]) conditions. Mean comparisons of each treatment in the two cultivation types were performed using an independent samples t-test.

4. Conclusions

Soilless cultivation in a glasshouse appeared as an effective strategy for the cultivation of saffron with a first-year cultivation spice yield that is comparable with open field production sites. Moreover, the high quality saffron produced via soilless cultivation presented an elevated content of several health-promoting compounds with highly advantageous nutritive properties, such as polyphenols and elevated antioxidant activity. Further studies are needed to define better the methodologies to modulate time and duration of flowering, to improve yield, and to efficiently schedule harvest practices.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal-based products have received great interest in agriculture for their potential to improve crop productivity, nutritional quality, as well as resistance to plant pathogens and numerous environmental stresses. The literature highlights that AMF must be chosen by
evaluating different aspects, such as the inoculum type, host plants, and the environmental and growing conditions.

Here, AMF successfully colonised \textit{C. sativus} roots; their effects varied on the basis of inoculum type and cultivation conditions. Among the studied AMF inocula, \textit{R. intraradices} appeared to give more benefits to \textit{C. sativus} than the mix of \textit{R. intraradices} and \textit{F. mosseae}. Specifically, the \textit{R. intraradices} inoculation appeared successful in open field to increase spice yields while in soilless systems to increase the spice quality.

Thus, soilless systems appeared as an effective alternative cultivation strategy for the production of high quality saffron. Further benefits can be obtained by the application of targeted AMF-based biostimulants. A cost-benefit analysis should be performed to assess the economic sustainability.
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