1 Article

# 2 Influence of vitamin D in advanced non-small cell

### **3** lung cancer patients treated with nivolumab

```
4 Authors: Jessica Cusato<sup>1</sup>, Carlo Genova<sup>2</sup>, Cristina Tomasello<sup>3</sup>, Paolo Carrega<sup>4,5</sup>, Selene
```

5 Ottonello<sup>6,7</sup>, Gabriella Pietra<sup>6,10</sup>, Maria Cristina Mingari<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Irene Cossu<sup>9</sup>, Erika Rijavec<sup>10</sup>, Anna

6 Leggieri<sup>3</sup>, Giovanni Di Perri<sup>1</sup>, Maria Giovanna Dal Bello<sup>10</sup>, Simona Coco<sup>10</sup>, Guido Ferlazzo<sup>4,5</sup>,

- 7 Francesco Grossi<sup>2\*</sup> and Antonio D'Avolio<sup>1,11\*</sup>.
- 8 1. Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Amedeo di Savoia Hospital, Turin, Italy.
- 9 2. Medical Oncology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico. Milan, Italy.
- 10 3. S.C. Farmacie Ospedaliere- Ospedale M. Vittoria-ASL Città di Torino
- 4. Laboratory of Immunology and Biotherapy, Department of Human Pathology, University of Messina,
   98125 Messina, Italy.
- 13 5. Cell Factory Center and Division of Clinical Pathology, University
- 14 6. Department of Experimental Medicine (DiMES), University of Genoa, Italy
- 15 7. Center of Excellence for Biomedical Research (CEBR), University of Genoa, Italy
- 16 Hospital Policlinico G.Martino, 98125 Messina, Italy.
- 17 8. Immunology Unit, San Martino Hospital, Genoa/Italy
- 18 9. Giannina Gaslini Institute
- 19 10. Lung Cancer Unit, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino. Genoa, Italy.
- 11. Interdepartmental Center for Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology (CIFACS). University of Turin,
- 21 Italy.
- 22 \* Both authors equally contributed to this manuscript.
- 23 Running title: Nivolumab PK/PG
- 24 Corresponding author: Francesco Grossi; Medical Oncology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale
- 25 Maggiore Policlinico Via della Commenda 19 20122 Milano, Italy, e-mail: francesco.grossi@policlinico.mi.it.

26 Abstract: Nivolumab is one of most used monoclonal antibody for advanced non-small cell lung 27 cancer treatment; presence of its anti-antibody is considered a negative prognostic factor. Vitamin 28 D (VD) modulates expression of genes involved in drug metabolism/elimination and immune 29 system regulation and its deficiency is frequent in these patients. To date no data have been 30 reported about nivolumab and VD relationship. Aim of this study was to quantify plasma 25-31 hydroxyVD (25-VD) and 1,25-VD, nivolumab and its anti-antibody before starting treatment (baseline) and 32 at 15, 45 and 60 days of therapy.VD-pathway associated gene single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were 33 also evaluated. Molecules were quantified through enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, SNPs through real-34 time PCR. Forty-five patients are enrolled: median nivolumab concentrations were 12.5ug/mL, 22.3ug/mL 35 and 27.1ug/mL at 15, 45 and 60 days respectively. No anti-nivolumab antibodies were found. Correlations 36 were observed between nivolumab concentrations and 25-VD levels. Nivolumab concentrations were 37 affected by VD-pathway related gene SNPs. VDBP AC/CC genotype and baseline 25-VD <10 ng/mL 38 predicted nivolumab concentrations <18.7ug/mL cut-off value at 15 days, associated with tumor 39 progression. This is the first study showing VD markers predictors of nivolumab concentrations in real-life 40 context of non-small cell lung cancer treatment.

41 Keywords: monoclonal antibody; NSCLC; immune-therapy; ELISA; pharmacokinetics;
 42 pharmacogenetics

43

#### 45 1. Introduction

46 Immunotherapy represents most revolutionary treatment for solid cancers nowadays. To date, 47 several types of immunotherapy are available, including monoclonal antibodies, non-specific 48 immunotherapies, oncolytic virus therapy, T-cell therapy and cancer vaccines. The evolution of 49 immune checkpoint inhibitors, as anticancer treatment options, represents one of the most 50 successful approach in cancer drug research in the past few years[1]. Check point inhibitors 51 antibodies, such as anti- programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1), are new 52 drugs acting as tumor suppressing factor, since they are able to modulate the interaction between 53 immune cell and tumor cell[2]. These therapies proved to be safe and effective option in advanced 54 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and can be recommended selectively[3].

Nivolumab, a monoclonal antibody, binds to the immune-modulating PD-1, blocking ligand interaction and downstream signaling pathways. The result is a positive regulation of T-cell function resulting in an antitumor effect[4]. In 2015 this drug was approved by FDA for treatment of patients with advanced squamous and non-squamous NSCLC with progression or after platinum-based chemotherapy (second-line therapy)[5]. In a randomized trial 272 patients treated with nivolumab had an overall survival of 3.2 months longer than those on docetaxel[2].

In a conference abstract, authors measured nivolumab plasma concentrations in patients and
suggested that partial responders had higher nivolumab mean trough concentrations (27.4 ug/mL)
compared to subjects with tumor progression (18.7 ug/mL)[6].

64 PD-1 inhibitors typically cause fewer and less severe treatment-related adverse events (AEs) 65 compared with conventional chemotherapy compounds, although immune-related AEs can occur 66 requiring monitoring and specialized management to prevent serious complications[7]. Moreover, 67 immunogenicity, in terms of presence of nivolumab's anti-antibodies, is considered a negative 68 prognostic factor[8]. Immunogenicity and immune checkpoints in general are regulated by different 69 factors such as vitamin D (VD)[9]: reported studies show that VD controls different pathways 70 related to innate and adaptive immunity regulating the expression of many genes involved in drug 71 metabolism/elimination through its receptor (VDR). Moreover, in another study, single nucleotide 72 polymorphisms (SNPs) in genes involved in VD pathway could affect VD kinetics and, 73 consequently, its action. Polymorphisms present near genes involved in cholesterol production, 74 hydroxylation, and VD transport are able to predict who could have risk of VD insufficiency, as 75 suggested by Wang et al. [10]. Genetic variations near DHCR7 (4p12 (overall p=1.9x10(-109) for 76 rs2282679, in GC); 11q12 (p=2.1x10(-27) for rs12785878), near CYP2R1 (11p15 (p=3.3x10(-20) for 77 rs10741657) and near CYP24A1 (20q13) are genome-wide significant in that population. 78 Furthermore, participants with a score obtained combining the three variants in the highest quartile 79 are at increased risk of 25-VD levels lower than 75 nmol/L or than 50 nmol/L, compared with those 80 in the lowest quartile.

Since VD deficiency is frequent in lung cancer patients[11] and no data on nivolumab and its relationship with VD are currently available, aim of this study was to quantify 25-hydroxyVD (25-VD), 1,25-hydroxyVD (1,25-VD), nivolumab and its anti-antibody levels in patients' plasma at different timings, also considering their influence in predicting the cut-off value (18.7 ug/mL), associated with tumor progression.

#### 86 2. Results

#### 87 2.1 Patients characteristics

Baseline (BL) characteristics for 45 included patients are reported in Table 1. Thirty-one (69)
were male, age was 73 years and body mass index (BMI) was 23.4 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

- 90
- 91 92
- 93
- 94

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study population

Characteristics	n (%), median (IQR)		
n	45		
Age (years)	73 (65-79.5)		
Male sex	31 (69)		
BMI (Kg/m²)	23.4 (20.1-26.4)		
Caucasian	45 (100)		
NSCLC type	Adenocarcinoma Squamous cell carcinoma Poorly differentiated carcinoma Large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma	34 (52.3) 9 (13.8) 1 (1.5) 1 (1.5)	
Concomitant drugs	Cardiovascular Diabetes Opioids Protease inhibitors Corticosteroid Vitamin D	24 (36.9) 4 (6.2) 9 (13.8) 20 (30.8) 12 (18.5) 2 (3.1)	
Pre-treatment drugs	Cisplatine Docetaxel Carboplatine Gemcitabine Gefitinib Pemetrexed Afatinib Osimertinib Erlotinib Vinorelbine Paclitaxel Bevacizumab Etoposide Zoledronic acid Bavicizumab Farletuzumab Radiotherapy	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \ (53.3) \\ 10 \ (22.2) \\ 24 \ (53.3) \\ 12 \ (26.7) \\ 2 \ (4.4) \\ 30 \ (66.7) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 20 \ (44.4) \\ 10 \ (22.2) \\ 3 \ (6.7) \\ 3 \ (6.7) \\ 3 \ (6.7) \\ 4 \ (8.9) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \\ 1 \ (2.2) \end{array}$	

#### 96

97 2.2. Nivolumab and vitamin D concentrations

98 Median nivolumab concentrations were 12.5 ug/mL (9.5-17.1 ug/mL), 22.3 ug/mL (IQR:18.30-

99 34.88 ug/mL) and 27.1 ug/mL (IQR:17.4-39.4 ug/mL) respectively at 15, 45 and 60 days (figure 1). No

100 anti-nivolumab antibodies were detected.







Figure 1. Nivolumab plasma concentrations at 15, 45 and 60 days.

103 25-VD concentration was 12.8 ng/mL (10.1-16.6 ng/mL), 13.6 ng/mL (10.9-16.1 ng/mL), 11.8
104 ng/mL (10.1-18.9 ng/mL) and 12.9 ng/mL (10.8-17.0 ng/mL) at BL, 15, 45 and 60 days respectively.
105 1,25-VD value was 33.7 pg/mL (23.4-40.6 ng/mL), 34.7 ng/mL (22.3-45.4 ng/mL), 28.5 ng/mL
106 (20.7-41.5 ng/mL) and 35.7 ng/mL (IQR:19.2-49.0 ng/mL) respectively at BL, 15, 45 and 60 days.

(20.7-41.5 ng/mL) and 35.7 ng/mL (IQR:19.2-49.0 ng/mL) respectively at BL, 15, 45 and 60 days.
 Correlations (figure 2) were observed between nivolumab concentrations at 15 days and BL 25-

108 VD levels (p=0.024, Pearson's coefficient (PC) 0.451) and at 15 days (p=0.017, PC=0.542); nivolumab 109 exposure at 60 days was correlated with 25-VD at BL (p=0.001, PC=0.730), at 15 (p<0.001, PC=0.858), 110 45 (p=0.001, PC=0.779) and 60 days (p<0.001, PC=0.900). Furthermore, in a sub-group, patients were 111 stratified according to 25-VD deficiency: BL 25-VD levels < 10 ng/mL were associated with lower 112 nivolumab concentrations at 15 (p=0.103, a trend without statistical significance), 45 (p=0.018) and 60 113 days (p=0.021); 15 days 25-VD < 10 ng/mL with 15 (p=0.019), 45 (p=0.019) and 60 days (p=0.028) 114 nivolumab lower concentrations; finally, 60 days 25-VD < 10 ng/mL with 60 days lower nivolumab 115 levels (p=0.030). No correlation was observed for 1,25-VD and nivolumab exposure.



116





Figure 2. Nivolumab and 25-hydroxyvitamin D correlations at different timings.

#### **119** *2.3. Pharmacogenetics*

120 Variant genotype frequencies (%) were calculated and reported in Table 2.

121

Table 2. Variant allele frequencies.

	% HOMOZIGOUS	%	% HOMOZYGOUS
SNP	WILD TYPE	HETEROZYGOUS	MUTANT
CYP27B1 +2838 C>T	20 CC	2.2 CT	77.8 TT
CYP27B1 -1260 G>T	73.3 CC	15.6 CT	11.1 TT
CYP24A1 rs2248359 T>C	42.2 TT	40 TC	17.8 CC
CYP24A1 rs927650 C>T	33.3 CC	22.2 CT	44.5 TT
CYP24A1 rs2585428 A>G	31.1 AA	28.9 AC	40 CC
VDR Cdx2 A>G	17.8 AA	13.3 AG	68.9 GG
VDR TaqI T>C	33.3 TT	26.7 TC	40 CC
VDR FokI T>C	11.1 TT	42.2 TC	46.7 CC
VDR BsmI G>A	42.2 GG	57.8 GA	-
VDR ApaI C>A	26.7 CC	28.9 CA	44.4 AA
VDBP rs7041 T>G	6.7 TT	62.2 TG	31.1 GG

122 No genetic variants showed to affect VD concentrations.

Nivolumab plasma concentrations at 15 days (figure 3) were associated with *VDR* TaqI CC (p=0.042), ApaI CA/AA (p=0.030) and *CYP27B1*-1260 TT (p=0.014). Nivolumab exposure at 45 days (figure 4) were influenced by *VDR* Cdx2 AG/GG (p=0.019), *VDBP* rs7041 AC/CC (p=0.035) and *CYP27B1*-1260 TT (p=0.035) and *C* 

126 *CYP27B1*-1260 TT (p=0.028); nivolumab exposure at 60 days (figure 5) was affected by *VDR* Cdx2 127 AG/GG (p=0.022) and TaqI TC/CC (p=0.021).





Figure 3. Gene variants' influence on nivolumab plasma concentrations at 15 days.





Figure 4. Gene variants' influence on nivolumab plasma concentrations at 45 days.





Figure 5. Gene variants' influence on nivolumab plasma concentrations at 60 days.

#### **134** *2.4. Regression analysis*

Logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate factors (demographic, clinical, pharmacological or genetic ones) were able to predict nivolumab concentrations < 18.7 ug/mL at 15 days (table 3). According to Bonferroni test, *p*<0.003 was considered the adjusted p-value, but no factors reached this value in univariate analysis. In a multivariate model, *VDBP* (GC) AC/CC genotype and BL 25-VD resulted predictors of this cut-off value, associated with tumor progression (figure 6).

## Table 3. Logistic regression analyses: factors able to predict nivolumab concentrations < 18.7 ug/mL</li> at 15 days of therapy. Bold represents statistically significant values. NC: all the factors belong to a

- single group, thus statistics could show p-values and OR.
- 144

	NIVOLUMAB CONCENTRATIONS ≤ 18.7 ug/mL			
	UNIVARIATE		MULTIVARIATE	
	<i>p</i> VALUE	OR (95% IC)	<i>p</i> VALUE	OR (95% IC)
BMI < 25 Kg/m2	0.766	1.270 (0.392-6.112)		
Age > 60 years	0.939	0.970 (0.091-9.145)		
Gender (male)	0.213	2.260 (0.692-12.419)		
DRUG DOSAGE < 200 mg	0.945	1.056 (0.099-4.867)		
VDBP (GC) AC/CC	0.059	11.667 (0.909-149.700)	0.049	10.667 (0.830-137.145)
СҮР24А1 3999 СС	NC			
VDR TaqI TC/CC	0.164	3.077 (0.632-14.976)		
CYP27B1 -1260 GG	0.148	3.250 (0.658-16.040)		
PRE 25-HYDROXYVITAMIN D	NC		NC	
PRE 1,25-HYDROXYVITAMIN D	0.124	3.840 (0.692-21.312)		
Adenocarcinoma NSCLC type	NC			
Squamous cell carcinoma	NC			
Cisplatine pre-treatment	0.093	4.442 (0.852-24.853)		
Carboplatine pre-treatment	0.190	0.300 (0.051-1.854)		
Pemetrexed pre-treatment	NC			



146

147 148

**Figure 6.** *VDBP* rs7041 SNP and pre-25 hydroxyvitamin D levels predictors of the nivolumab cut-off value of 18.7 ug/mL at 15 days, associated with tumor progression.

#### 149 3. Discussion

Nivolumab represents an active treatment strategy with the potential of long-term disease
 control[12]. Unfortunately, reliable efficacy biomarkers are lacking, thus nivolumab has not been
 considered to be cost-effective in several national health systems[13].<sup>[14]</sup>

However, a meta-analysis[3] about immune checkpoint inhibitors and chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced NSCLC, showed significant advantages in terms of overall survival, progression free survival and overall response rate, compared with conventional chemotherapy in patients with advanced disease.

157 VD is able to regulate the immune system. Its synthesis begins by action of the ultraviolet light 158 in the contest of skin tissue; cholecalciferol is hydroxylated to calcifediol (25-VD) in liver through 159 cytochrome P-450 (CYP, 27A1, 2R1); in kidney calcitriol (1,25-VD, the active form) is synthesized 160 through CYP27B1 and transported in bloodstream through vitamin D binding protein (VDBP). 161 Inactivation of 25-VD to calcitroic acid (24,25-VD) is carried on by CYP24A1. VD deficiency is 162 frequently observed in cancer patients: Bochen *et al.* suggested that VD serum levels were 163 significantly lower in head and neck cancer patients compared to controls, particularly in patients 164 with lymphatic metastasis[15]. Different studies show that lower 25-VD serum level is associated 165 with several negative outcomes in lung cancer. Feng et al. analyzed seventeen studies in a meta-166 analysis: statistically significant relationship between 25-VD and lung cancer risk and mortality, 167 but not with overall lung cancer survival were observed. In addition, they suggested differences 168 between male and female and in Caucasian and Asian, in terms of cancer risk.

In the current study, 25-VD influences nivolumab concentrations, but not 1,25-VD. Here, we only evaluate nivolumab and VD concentrations and not the effect on the immune cells: VD deficiency could have a relapse in terms of immune system, which is directly related to this treatment. In fact, in another study, and not in the current, a relationship between immune cells and 25-VD and not with 1,25-VD exists, as shown for regulatory T cell function in multiple sclerosis affected patients[16]. The information about the VD influence on immune system lacks in this study and this limitation will be the aim of further studies, as considered by our group.

Furthermore, 1,25-VD is present with a concentration 1000 times lower than 25-VD in blood:
such low 1,25-VD concentrations could be more difficult to measure compared to 25-VD levels.
Finally, absence of statistical significance could be due to the small sample size.

Furthermore, in the current study, nivolumab plasma levels in real-life context of NSCLC were described at different timings and, in addition, the role of 25-VD concentrations and *VDBP* rs7041 A>C SNP in predicting concentrations lower than 18.7 ug/mL (cut-off value associated with tumor progression as shown by Stijn *et al.*[6]) is suggested.

183 Various *VDBP* genetic variants are known; the two most common polymorphisms, 1296 A>C 184 (rs7041, Glu432Asp) and 1307 C>A (rs4588, Thr436Lys) are localized in exon 11 and they are in 185 complete linkage disequilibrium[17]. Circulating VDBP seems to be not influenced by rs7041 SNP, 186 however, considering 1296/1307 diplotype, there is a slight transport increase in AC/CA, compared 187 to AA/CA. Probably, lysine to threenine substitution at position 436 eliminates an O-glycosylation 188 site from the molecule and the loss of glycosylation influences VDBP half-life. Moreover, glutamine 189 to asparagine change in 432 position, affects the extent of O-glycosylation at the 436. It is not known 190 how changes in VDBP molecule modify its serum concentration, but the described substitutions 191 could result in altered rates of transcription, changes in mRNA stability or in a self-clearance of the 192 protein[18]. In a recent study on Caucasian women, AA genotype was related to higher breast 193 cancer risk, compared to healthy controls[19].

194 Controversial studies are present in literature concerning VDBP rs7041 influence on VD levels: 195 Lafi et al. show that genotypes containing the variant allele of rs7041 (TT, TG) are associated with 196 lower 25-VD concentrations than the GG genotype, whereas Daffara et al. did not find an 197 association in coronary heart disease affected patients and suggest that 25-VD levels, but not VDBP 198 genetic status, independently predicted the presence of coronary lesions at angiography[20, 21]. 199 Also in the current study, an association between VDBP genetic variant and VD levels has been 200 evidenced, although a *border-line* influence (p=0.049) is present with nivolumab cut-off value, but 201 the best predictor factor remains 25-VD < 10 ng/mL, as showed in the regression. It is important to 202 understand which is the relationship: is the VD associated with poorer outcome or it could be an 203 underlying condition? In our opinion, VD deficiency could be able to affect the outcome, since it is 204 involved in the regulation of the immune system; furthermore, in deficient individuals before 205 starting therapy, the situation could be more difficult to manage and complications could be more 206 severe (for example concerning cachexia).

Schmid *et al.* showed immunotherapy efficacy was dependent on the metastatic location[22].
For these reasons, it is very important to understand which biomarkers could predict patients with higher probability to have tumor progression.

Our study would recommend to clinicians to evaluate 25-VD levels and *VDBP* rs7041 genotype, before starting therapy, and to quantify nivolumab concentrations at 15 days, to eventually consider a drug dosage modification or VD supplementing, reducing the risk of tumor progression. It is important to highlight that these analyses are preliminary and have several limitations: they are conducted on few individuals (only 45 patients), only one cohort is analyzed and *VDBP* SNP has a *border-line* influence (*p*=0.049).

#### 216 4. Materials and methods

217 Patients treated with nivolumab affected by advanced NSCLC treated within the Italian 218 Nivolumab Expanded Access Program (NCT02475382) and enrolled in a mono-institutional 219 translational research study at the Lung Cancer Unit of the Ospedale San Martino (Genova, Italy) 220 approved by the Local Ethics Committee (registry number: P.R. 191REG2015). Patients were 221 eligible if they met the following criteria: i) cytologically or histologically confirmed 222 advanced/metastatic NSCLC, ii) progression after at least one line of platinum-based 223 chemotherapy, *iii*) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG-PS)= 0-2, *iv*) no 224 previous treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors, iv) any brain metastasis had to be treated 225 and clinically stable for at least 14 days before starting nivolumab, v) no treatment with 226 corticosteroids at a dose higher than 10 mg/day of prednisone or equivalent. Eligible patients 227 receive nivolumab at 3 mg/kg every 14 days, with assessment by computed tomography scan (CT-228 scan) every 8 weeks. Nivolumab was administered until onset of unacceptable toxicities, patient's 229 refusal, death or up to 96 weeks from the start of treatment; treatment beyond tumor progression 230 was allowed based on Investigators' judgment as long as clinical benefit is perceived.

Values of 25-VD and 1,25-VD were evaluated at BL and at 15, 45 and 60 days after starting
therapy, with enzyme linked immunosorbent assay technique (DRG DIAGNOSTIC, Marburg,
Germany) and with LIAISON® XL (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy), respectively. Nivolumab and its antiantibody are quantified with validated ELISA kits (Matrix Biotek, Ankara, Turkey).

235 Whole blood was withdrawn in EDTA tubes, genomic DNA was isolated from blood samples 236 (MagnaPure Compact, Roche, Monza, Italy) and genotypes were assessed through a real-time 237 polymerase chain reaction allelic discrimination system (LightCycler 480, Roche, Monza, Italy). Investigated gene SNPs were: CYP27B1 (encoding cytochrome 27B1 enzyme responsible for VD 238 239 active metabolite 1,25-VD production) rs4646536 (+2838) C>T and rs10877012 (-1260) G>T, VDR 240 (encoding VD receptor) rs7975232 (ApaI) C>A, rs731236 (TaqI) T>C, rs10735810 (FokI) T>C, 241 rs11568820 (Cdx2) A>G and rs1544410 (BsmI) G>A, CYP24A1 (encoding cytochrome 27B1 enzyme 242 responsible for VD inactive metabolite 24,25-dyhydroxyvitamin D (24,25-VD) production) 243 rs2248359 (3999) T>C, rs927650 (22776) C>T and rs2585428 (8620) A>G and finally GC (encoding VD 244 transporter, VDBP) rs7041 A>C.

245 All variables were tested for normality through the Shapiro-Wilk test. Normal variables were 246 described as average and standard deviation, non-normal ones as median values and interquartile 247 range (IQR) and categorical ones as numbers and percentages. Allele frequencies were tested for 248 Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were adopted for 249 differences in continuous variables between genetic groups, considering statistical significance with 250 a two-sided p-value < 0.05. Stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed 251 including variables with a *p*-value below 0.2 at univariate analysis to evaluate factors are able to 252 predict nivolumab levels < 18.7 ug/mL at 15 days. Bonferroni correction has been performed, since 253 an adjustment made to p values is needed when several dependent or independent statistical tests

- are being performed simultaneously on a single data set[23].
- 255 Tests are performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 for Windows (Chicago, Illinois, USA).

#### 256 5. Conclusions

- 257 In conclusion, this is the first study showing an association between VD-related biomarkers and
- 258 nivolumab plasma concentrations.
- 259 In the current study, for the first time, VD deficiency seems to result in altered nivolumab clearance,
- as shown by different associations. Furthermore, another interesting information to highlight fromthese analyses is that the reduction in VD concentrations is not through antibodies.
- In future, aims will be to analyze VD deficiency effect on the immune system, for example evaluating the immunologic profile according to VD-related biomarkers or PD-1 or PD-L1 levels and their genetic variants
- 264 and their genetic variants.
- 265 These are preliminary and limited analyses, but further studies in larger and different cohorts are
- 266 needed to clarify these aspects and to improve the knowledge in the field of monoclonal antibodies
- 267 treatment used in NSCLC.
- **268** Acknowledgements: We thank CoQua Lab (<u>www.coqualab.it</u>) for its methodological support and assistance
- in the preparation and execution of the study and analysis.
- 270 Transparency declarations and fundings: Dr. Carlo Genova received honoraria from Astra Zeneca,
- 271 Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche; Dr. Erika Rijavec received
- 272 honoraria from Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche; Dr. Francesco Grossi
- 273 received honoraria from AMGEN, Astra Zeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Merck
- 274 Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Roche.
- 275 Other authors have no conflict.
- 276

#### 277 References

278	[1] Couzin-Frankel J. Breakthrough of the year 2013. Cancer immunotherapy. Science.342:1432-3.
279	[2] Alsaab HO, Sau S, Alzhrani R, Tatiparti K, Bhise K, Kashaw SK, Iyer AK. PD-1 and PD-L1
280	Checkpoint Signaling Inhibition for Cancer Immunotherapy: Mechanism, Combinations, and
281	Clinical Outcome. Front Pharmacol.8:561.
282	[3] Khan M, Lin J, Liao G, Tian Y, Liang Y, Li R, Liu M, Yuan Y. Comparative analysis of immune
283	checkpoint inhibitors and chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer:
284	A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine (Baltimore).97:e11936.
285	[4] Tykodi SS, Schadendorf D, Cella D, Reck M, Harrington K, Wagner S, Shaw JW. Patient-reported
286	outcomes with nivolumab in advanced solid cancers. Cancer Treat Rev. 70:75-87.
287	[5] (FDA) FaDA. FDA grants nivolumab accelerated approval for third-line treatment of metastatic
288	small cell lung cancer. 2015.
289	[6] Stijn L.W. Koolen, Edwin A. Basak, Daan Hurkmans, Marco W.J. Schreurs, Sander Bins, Esther
290	Oomen De Hoop, Annemarie JM Wijkhuis, Ilse Den Besten, Stefan Sleijfer, Reno Debets, et al.
291	Correlation between nivolumab exposure and treatment outcome in NSCLC. 2018 ASCO Annual
292	Meeting. Chicago, Illinois2018.
293	[7] Michot JM, Bigenwald C, Champiat S, Collins M, Carbonnel F, Postel-Vinay S, Berdelou A, Varga
294	A, Bahleda R, Hollebecque A, et al. Immune-related adverse events with immune checkpoint
295	blockade: a comprehensive review. Eur J Cancer.54:139-48.
296	[8] Agrawal S, Statkevich P, Bajaj G, Feng Y, Saeger S, Desai DD, Park JS, Waxman IM, Roy A, Gupta
297	M. Evaluation of Immunogenicity of Nivolumab Monotherapy and Its Clinical Relevance in Patients
298	With Metastatic Solid Tumors. J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;57:394-400.
299	[9] Bersanelli M, Leonetti A, Buti S. The link between calcitriol and anticancer immunotherapy:
300	vitamin D as the possible balance between inflammation and autoimmunity in the immune-
301	checkpoint blockade. Immunotherapy. 2017;9:1127-31.
302	[10] Wang TJ, Zhang F, Richards JB, Kestenbaum B, van Meurs JB, Berry D, Kiel DP, Streeten EA,
303	Ohlsson C, Koller DL, et al. Common genetic determinants of vitamin D insufficiency: a genome-
304	wide association study. Lancet. 2010;376:180-8.
305	[11] Ma K, Xu W, Wang C, Li B, Su K, Li W. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with a poor prognosis
306	in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with platinum-based first-line
307	chemotherapy. Cancer Biomark. 2017;18:297-303.
308	[12] Horn L, Spigel DR, Vokes EE, Holgado E, Ready N, Steins M, Poddubskaya E, Borghaei H, Felip
309	E, Paz-Ares L, et al. Nivolumab Versus Docetaxel in Previously Treated Patients with Advanced
310	Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Two-Year Outcomes From Two Randomized, Open-Label, Phase III
311	Irials (CheckMate 017 and CheckMate 057). J Clin Oncol.35:3924-33.
312	[13] Aguiar PN, Jr., Perry LA, Penny-Dimri J, Babiker H, Tadokoro H, de Mello RA, Lopes GL, Jr. The
313	effect of PD-L1 testing on the cost-effectiveness and economic impact of immune checkpoint
314	Inhibitors for the second-line treatment of NSCLC. Ann Oncol.28:2256-63.
315	[14] Matter-Walstra K, Schwenkglenks M, Aebi S, Dedes K, Diebold J, Pietrini M, Klingbiel D, von
316	Moos R, Gautschi O. A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Nivolumab versus Docetaxel for Advanced
317	Nonsquamous NSCLC Including PD-L1 Testing. J Thorac Oncol.11:1846-55.
318	[15] Bochen F, Balensleter B, Korner S, Bittenbring JT, Neumann F, Koch A, Bumm K, Marx A,
319	wemmert S, Papaspyrou G, et al. Vitamin D deficiency in head and heck cancer patients -
32U 221	prevalence, prognostic value and impact on immune function. Oncommunology.
321	2016;7.81470617. [16] Smelders J. Manhaers D. Thewissen M. Beelen F. Tenneert M. Huments D. Demeissen I.
322	[10] Smolders J, Menneere P, Thewissen M, Peelen E, Tervaert JW, Hupperts R, Damoiseaux J.
323 274	dibudrowwitamin D, parathyroid hormone and coloium lougle in nationate with relaxing
324 2 <b>2</b> 5	multiple scleresic. I Storeid Biochem Mol Pick 2010:121-242 C
323	Multiple Scierosis, J Steroid Biochem Iviol Biol. 2010;121:243-6.
320	[17] Walk S, Fu E, Julas DJ, Kalillan W, Wong BT, Gozuzik A, Cole DE. Common variants of the

327 vitamin D binding protein gene and adverse health outcomes. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2013;50:1-22.

- 328 [18] Carpenter TO, Zhang JH, Parra E, Ellis BK, Simpson C, Lee WM, Balko J, Fu L, Wong BY, Cole DE.
- 329 Vitamin D binding protein is a key determinant of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in infants and
- 330 toddlers. J Bone Miner Res. 2013;28:213-21.
- 331 [19] Anderson LN, Cotterchio M, Cole DE, Knight JA. Vitamin D-related genetic variants,
- interactions with vitamin D exposure, and breast cancer risk among Caucasian women in Ontario.
- Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011;20:1708-17.
- 334 [20] Lafi ZM, Irshaid YM, El-Khateeb M, Ajlouni KM, Hyassat D. Association of rs7041 and rs4588
- 335 Polymorphisms of the Vitamin D Binding Protein and the rs10741657 Polymorphism of CYP2R1
- with Vitamin D Status Among Jordanian Patients. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2015;19:629-36.
- 337 [21] Daffara V, Verdoia M, Rolla R, Nardin M, Marino P, Bellomo G, Carriero A, De Luca G. Impact
- of polymorphism rs7041 and rs4588 of Vitamin D Binding Protein on the extent of coronary artery
- disease. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2017;27:775-83.
- 340 [22] Schmid S, Diem S, Li Q, Krapf M, Flatz L, Leschka S, Desbiolles L, Klingbiel D, Jochum W, Fruh
- 341 M. Organ-specific response to nivolumab in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).
- 342 Cancer Immunol Immunother.
- 343 [23] du Prel JB, Rohrig B, Hommel G, Blettner M. Choosing statistical tests: part 12 of a series on
- evaluation of scientific publications. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2010;107:343-8.
- 345
- 346