



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A Nyström method for a class of Fredholm integral equations on the real semiaxis

This is the author's manuscript			
Original Citation:			
Availability:			
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1726702 since 2020-04-07T09:35:47Z			
Published version:			
DOI:10.1007/s10092-016-0199-7			
Terms of use:			
Open Access			
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.			

(Article begins on next page)





UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Giuseppe Mastroianni, Gradimir V. Milovanović and Incoronata Notarangelo A Nyström method for a class of Fredholm integral equations on the real semiaxis. *Calcolo*, 55 (2017), 567–585. DOI: 10.1007/s10092-018-0281-4

The publisher's version is available at:

[https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10092-016-0199-7]

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: [http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1726702]

This full text was downloaded from iris-AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

iris-AperTO

University of Turin's Institutional Research Information System and Open Access Institutional Repository

A Nyström method for a class of Fredholm integral equations on the real semiaxis *

Giuseppe Mastroianni

Department of Mathematics, Computer Sciences and Economics, University of Basilicata Via dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, 85100 Potenza, Italy.

Gradimir V. Milovanović

Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Beograd & State University of Novi Pazar, Serbia

Incoronata Notarangelo

Department of Mathematics, Computer Sciences and Economics, University of Basilicata Via dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, 85100 Potenza, Italy.

Abstract

A class of Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, with respect to the exponential weight function $w(x) = \exp(-(x^{-\alpha} + x^{\beta})), \alpha > 0, \beta > 1$, on $(0, +\infty)$, is considered. The kernel k(x, y) and the function g(x) in such kind of equations,

$$f(x) - \mu \int_0^{+\infty} k(x, y) f(y) w(y) \mathrm{d}y = g(x), \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

can grow exponentially with respect to their arguments, when they approach to 0^+ and/or $+\infty$.

We propose a simple and suitable Nyström-type method for solving these equations. The study of the stability and the convergence of this numerical method in based on our results on weighted polynomial approximation and "truncated" Gaussian rules, recently published in *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **142** (2014), 167–198, and *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **34** (2014), 1654–1685, respectively.

Moreover, we prove a priori error estimates and give some numerical examples. A comparison with other Nyström methods is also included.

Keywords: Fredholm integral equation; Nyström method; weighted polynomial approximation; Gaussian quadrature formula; orthogonal polynomials; truncation; error estimate. **MCS classification (2000):** 65R10, 65D30, 65D32, 41A55.

^{*}The first and the third author were partially supported by University of Basilicata (local funds) and by National Group of Computing Science GNCS–INDAM. The second author was supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development (No. #OI 174015 and No. #III 44006).

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to approximate the solution of integral equations of the form

$$f(x) - \mu \int_0^{+\infty} k(x, y) f(y) w(y) dy = g(x), \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$
(1.1)

with the exponential weight function

$$w(x) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}} + x^{\beta}\right)\right], \quad \alpha > 0, \ \beta > 1,$$
(1.2)

and the parameter $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The kernel $(x, y) \mapsto k(x, y)$ and the function $x \mapsto g(x)$ can grow exponentially with respect to their arguments, when they approach to 0^+ and/or $+\infty$.

The weight functions similar to (1.2) have been considered in statistics. Following Stoyanov [20, §7.1] we mention here a simple example with the inverse Gaussian distribution (IG) with "easy" parameters, say (1, 1), i.e., a random variable $\theta \sim IG$, with density function

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)\right], & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

In terms of the modified Bessel function of the second kind, its moments can be expressed in the form (cf. [17])

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^k w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \mathrm{e}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \, K_{k-1/2}(1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Also, this kind of weights on $(0, +\infty)$ were appeared in a consideration on expansions of confluent hypergeometric functions in terms of Bessel functions by Temme [21], as well as in the so-called Laurent-Hermite-Gauss quadrature rules investigated by Gustafson and Hagler [5] and Hagler [6].

Integral equations of the form (1.1), with proper assumptions on the kernel k and the function g, can occur in mathematical finance in computing distributions of geometrical brownian motion (see [3, 7, 8]). However, as far as we know, the numerical treatment of this kind of integral equations does not appear in the literature. In this paper we are going to study integral equations of the form (1.1) in some suitable function space with weighted uniform metric and to approximate the solution by means of a Nyström interpolant. We will prove that this method is stable and convergent in the metric of the considered space. In order to prove the convergence of the method we will use some recent results on polynomial approximation with the weight w and related Gaussian quadrature rule, obtained by the authors in [15, 13, 14, 16].

Therefore, the results in this paper are new.

For the sake of completeness, we observe that in the weight w, given by (1.2), the C^{∞} -function $\exp[-x^{-\alpha}]$ appears. Therefore one could think to introduce a new kernel function $k(x, y) \exp[-y^{-\alpha}]$ and, provided the function g fulfills some proper assumptions, to approximate the solution of equation (1.1) by using a Nyström interpolant based on Laguerre zeros, as in [10] (see also [4, 11, 9]). In Section 4 we will show that this procedure is in general more expensive and less precise. This fact is also one of the motivations of this paper.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts and give some preliminary results. In Section 3 we introduce our numerical method and prove the main results. In Section 4 we will compare our method with the one based on Laguerre zeros. Finally, in Section 5 we show some numerical examples.

2 Basic facts and preliminary results

In the sequel c, \mathcal{C} will stand for positive constants which can assume different values in each formula and we shall write $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(a, b, ...)$ when \mathcal{C} is independent of a, b, ... Furthermore $A \sim B$ will mean that if A and B are positive quantities depending on some parameters, then there exists a positive constant \mathcal{C} independent of these parameters such that $(A/B)^{\pm 1} \leq \mathcal{C}$.

Moreover, the symbols $\|\cdot\|_I$ and $\|\cdot\|$ will denote the uniform norm in some interval I and in $(0, +\infty)$, respectively.

Finally, we will denote by \mathbb{P}_m the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most m. As usual \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} , will stand for the sets of all natural, integer, real numbers, while \mathbb{Z}^+ and \mathbb{R}^+ denote the sets of positive integer and positive real numbers, respectively.

2.1 Function spaces with weighted uniform metric

Letting

$$u(x) = (1+x)^{\delta} \sqrt{w(x)}, \qquad \delta > \frac{1}{2},$$
 (2.1)

where

$$w(x) = e^{-(x^{-\alpha} + x^{\beta})}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 1$$

 $x \in (0, +\infty)$, we introduce the function space

$$C_u := \left\{ f \in C^0(0, +\infty) : \lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x)u(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \to +\infty} f(x)u(x) \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

with the norm

$$|f||_{C_u} := ||fu|| = \sup_{x \in (0, +\infty)} |f(x)u(x)|$$

We emphasize that the space C_u contains functions, defined on the real semiaxis $(0, +\infty)$, which can grow exponentially both for $x \to 0^+$ and for $x \to +\infty$. Moreover, C_u is a Banach space.

For $1 \leq r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the Sobolev-type spaces

$$W_r = W_r^{\infty}(u) = \left\{ f \in C_u : \ f^{(r-1)} \in AC(0, +\infty), \ \|f^{(r)}\varphi^r u\| < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$||f||_{W_r} = ||fu|| + ||f^{(r)}\varphi^r u||,$$

 $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}.$

In order to define further function spaces, we introduce the following moduli of smoothness. For each $f \in C_u$ $r \ge 1$ and $0 < t < t_0$, we set

$$\Omega_{\varphi}^{r}(f,t)_{u} = \sup_{0 < h \le t} \left\| \Delta_{h\varphi}^{r}(f) u \right\|_{\mathcal{I}_{h}(c)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_h(c) = \left[h^{1/(\alpha+1/2)}, \frac{c}{h^{1/(\beta-1/2)}}\right],$$

c > 1 is a fixed constant, and

$$\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} f\left(x + (r-i)h\varphi(x)\right), \quad \varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}.$$

We remark that the behavior of this modulus of smoothness is independent on the constant c (see [14]).

Then we define the complete rth modulus of smoothness by

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\varphi}^{r}(f,t)_{u} &= \Omega_{\varphi}^{r}(f,t)_{u} + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{P}_{r-1}} \left\| (f-q) \, u \right\|_{(0,t^{2/(2\alpha+1)}]} \\ &+ \inf_{q \in \mathbb{P}_{r-1}} \left\| (f-q) \, u \right\|_{[c \, t^{-2/(2\beta-1)}, +\infty)}, \end{split}$$

with c > 1 a fixed constant.

For any $f \in W_r$, $r \ge 1$ and $t < t_0$, we have (see [14])

$$\Omega_{\varphi}^{r}(f,t)_{u} \leq \mathcal{C} \inf_{0 < h \leq t} h^{r} \| f^{(r)} \varphi^{r} u \|_{\mathcal{I}_{h}(c)}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where C is independent of f and t.

By means of the main part of the modulus of smoothness, we can define the Zygmund-type spaces

$$Z_s := Z_{s,r}^{\infty}(u) = \{ f \in C_u : M_s(f) < \infty \},\$$

where

$$M_s(f) := \sup_{t>0} \frac{\Omega_{\varphi}^r(f,t)_u}{t^s}, \quad r > s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

with the norm

$$||f||_{Z_s} = ||f||_{L^p_u} + M_s(f) \,.$$

We remark that, in the definition of Z_s , the main part of the *r*th modulus of smoothness $\Omega_{\varphi}^r(f,t)_u$ can be replaced by the complete modulus $\omega_{\varphi}^r(f,t)_u$, as shown in [14].

2.2 Weighted polynomial approximation

Let us denote by

$$E_m(f)_u = \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_m} \left\| (f - P) \, u \right\|_p$$

the error of best weighted polynomial approximation of a function $f \in C_u$.

The following Jackson, weak Jackson and Stechkin inequalities have been proved in [14].

Theorem 1. For any $f \in C_u$ and $m > r \ge 1$, we have

$$E_m(f)_u \leq \mathcal{C} \, \omega_{\varphi}^r \left(f, \frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m} \right)_u ,$$

where $a_m \sim m^{1/\beta}$. Moreover, assuming $\Omega_{\varphi}^r(f,t)_u t^{-1} \in L^1[0,1]$,

$$E_m(f)_u \le \mathcal{C} \int_0^{\sqrt{a_m}/m} \frac{\Omega_{\varphi}^r(f,t)_u}{t} \,\mathrm{d}t, \qquad r < m.$$

Finally, for any $f \in C_u$ we get

$$\omega_{\varphi}^{r}\left(f,\frac{\sqrt{a_{m}}}{m}\right)_{u} \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_{m}}}{m}\right)^{r}\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{a_{i}}}\right)^{r}\frac{E_{i}(f)_{u}}{i+1}$$

In any case C is independent of m and f.

In particular, by Theorem 1 and (2.3), for any $f \in W_r$ we get

$$E_m(f)_u \le \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m}\right)^r \|f^{(r)}\varphi^r u\|$$
(2.4)

and, for any $f \in Z_s$, we have

$$E_m(f)_u \le \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m}\right)^s M_s(f)$$
. (2.5)

Moreover, the following equivalences (see [14])

$$f \in C_u \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{t \to 0} \omega_{\varphi}(f, t)_u = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} E_m(f)_u = 0$$
 (2.6)

will be useful in the sequel.

2.3 Gaussian rules

Let $\{p_m(w)\}_m$ be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials related to $w(x) = e^{-x^{-\alpha}-x^{\beta}}$. The zeros of $p_m(w)$ are located as follows

$$\varepsilon_m < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m < a_m \,,$$

where the Mhaskar–Rahmanov-Saff numbers a_m and ε_m fulfill $a_m \sim m^{1/\beta}$ and $\varepsilon_m \sim \left(\sqrt{a_m}/m\right)^{2/(2\alpha+1)}$.

For any continuous function f the Gaussian rule related to the weight w is given by

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} f(x)w(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}(w)f(x_{k}) + e_{m}\left(f\right) \,, \tag{2.7}$$

where x_k are the zeros of $p_m(w)$ and $\lambda_k(w)$ are the Christoffel numbers.

In order to introduce our numerical method for solving equation (1.1), we are going to consider a "truncated" gaussian rule. Fixed $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we define two indexes $j_1 = j_1(m)$ and $j_2 = j_2(m)$ as

$$\varepsilon_m < \varepsilon_{\theta m} \le x_{j_1} < \cdots < x_{j_2} \le a_{\theta m} < a_m$$
.

To be more precise, with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, j_1 and j_2 are such that

$$x_{j_1} = \max_{1 \le k \le m} \{ x_k : x_k \le \varepsilon_{\theta m} \}, \quad x_{j_2} = \min_{1 \le k \le m} \{ x_k : x_k \ge a_{\theta m} \}, \quad (2.8)$$

and, if $\{x_k : x_k \leq \varepsilon_{\theta m}\}$ or $\{x_k : x_k \geq a_{\theta m}\}$ is empty, we set $x_{j_1} = x_1$ or $x_{j_2} = x_m$, respectively.

Then we consider the following "truncated" Gaussian rule

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} f(x)w(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{2}} \lambda_{i}(w)f(x_{i}) + e_{m}^{*}(f) \tag{2.9}$$

and for any $f \in C_{u^2}$ we have (see [15])

$$|e_m^*(f)| \le \mathcal{C} \left\{ E_M(f)_{u^2} + e^{-cm^{\nu}} \left\| f u^2 \right\| \right\},$$
(2.10)

where

$$M = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}\right) m \right\rfloor, \ \nu = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right) \frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}, \ \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(m, f), \text{ and } c \neq c(m, f).$$

In particular, recalling the results in Section 2.2, for any $f \in W_r(u^2)$, we get

$$|e_m^*(f)| \le \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m}\right)^r ||f||_{W_r(u^2)}$$

and, for any $f \in Z_s(u^2)$, we have

$$|e_m^*(f)| \le \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m}\right)^s \|f\|_{Z_s(u^2)}.$$

2.4 Compactness of linear operators in C_u

Let $A: C_u \to C_u$ be a linear operator. Then, following the Hausdorff definition, A is compact in C_u if and only if the limit condition

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{C_u} = 1} E_m (Af)_u = 0$$
(2.11)

holds. Taking into account (2.6), condition (2.11) can be rewritten in terms of moduli of smoothness (see [22, pp. 44, 93–94]) as follows

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup_{\|f\|_{C_u} = 1} \omega_{\varphi}(Af, t)_u = 0.$$

Coming back to equation (1.1), let us consider the operator K defined by

$$(Kf)(x) = \mu \int_0^{+\infty} k(x, y) f(y) w(y) dy, \quad x \in (0, +\infty).$$
 (2.12)

Then, letting $k(x, y) = k_y(x) = k_x(y)$, since

$$\omega_{\varphi}(Kf,t)_{u} \leq |\mu| ||fu|| \sup_{y \in (0,+\infty)} \omega_{\varphi}(k_{y},t)_{u}u(y) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{(1+y)^{2\delta}},$$

if

$$u(y)k_y \in C_u$$
 uniformly w.r.t. y , (2.13)

then the operator K in C_u .

In an analogous way, the sequence of operators $\{A_m\}_m$ in C_u is collectively compact, i.e., the set

$$S = \{A_m f \in C_u : m \ge 1 \text{ and } \|fu\| \le 1\}$$

is relatively compact in C_u , if and only if the limit condition

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{C_u} = 1} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} E_N(A_m f)_u = 0$$

holds, namely if and only if

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup_{\|f\|_{C_u} = 1} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_{\varphi}(A_m f, t)_u = 0.$$

In particular, for the sequence of operators

$$(K_m f)(x) = \mu \sum_{i=j_1}^{j_2} \lambda_i(w) k(x, x_i) f(x_i), \qquad (2.14)$$

obtained by applying the "truncated" Gaussian rule (2.9) to (Kf)(x) given by (2.12), it is not difficult to show that the collective compactness follows from the assumption

$$u(x)k_x \in C_u$$
 uniformly w.r.t. x . (2.15)

3 The numerical method

Let us now introduce our numerical method for solving equation (1.1), i.e.,

$$f(x) - \mu \int_0^{+\infty} k(x, y) f(y) w(y) dy = g(x), \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$w(y) = e^{-y^{-\alpha} - y^{\beta}}, \quad \alpha > 0, \ \beta > 1,$$

the given functions k and g can grow exponentially (w.r.t. x, y) when $x \to 0^+$ and/or $x \to +\infty$. Denoting the identity operator by I and the integral operator by K, we can rewrite this equation as

$$(I-K)f=g.$$

With u given by (2.1), we are going to study the equation (1.1) in the space C_u defined in Section 2.1. Under the assumptions (2.13), the Fredholm alternative holds true. So, if ker $(I - K) = \{0\}$, equation (1.1) admits unique solution $f^* \in C_u$ for any fixed $g \in C_u$.

In order to approximate the solution of (1.1), we are going to use a Nyström method based on the "truncated" Gaussian rule defined in Section 2.3. To this end, we introduce the sequence of operators $\{K_m\}_m$,

$$(K_m f)(x) = \mu \sum_{i=j_1}^{j_2} \lambda_i(w) k(x, x_i) f(x_i)$$
(3.1)

which is obtained by applying the "truncated" Gaussian rule (2.9) to (Kf)(x) given by (2.12). Then we are going to solve in C_u the equations

$$f_m(x) - (K_m f_m)(x) = g(x), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3.2)

Multiplying both sides of (3.2) by u(x), collocating at the quadrature knots and letting $a_i = (f_m u)(x_i)$, $b_i = (gu)(x_i)$, $i = j_1, \ldots, j_2$, for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, we obtain the linear systems of equations

$$a_h - \mu \sum_{i=j_1}^{j_2} \lambda_i(w) k(x_h, x_i) \frac{u(x_h)}{u(x_i)} a_i = b_h, \quad h = j_1, \dots, j_2,$$

in the unknowns a_h , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=j_1}^{j_2} \left[\delta_{ih} - \mu \lambda_i(w) k(x_h, x_i) \frac{u(x_h)}{u(x_i)} \right] a_i = b_h , \quad h = j_1, \dots, j_2 .$$
(3.3)

If (3.3) is unisolvent and $(a_{j_1}^*, \ldots, a_{j_2}^*)^T$ is its solution, then, by (3.2) and (3.1), we can define the Nyström interpolant

$$f_m^*(x) = \mu \sum_{i=j_1}^{j_2} \frac{\lambda_i(w)}{u(x_i)} k(x, x_i) a_i^* + g(x)$$
(3.4)

which we will be an approximation of the solution f^* of equation (1.1) in C_u -metric.

Notice that, due to the choice of the "truncated" Gaussian rule in place of the ordinary Gaussian rule (2.7), the matrix of coefficients of the system of equations (3.3), in notation $V_m^{(j_1,j_2)}$, has dimension $j_2 - j_1 + 1$ instead of mand this produces a reduction of the computational cost.

Let us prove the stability and convergence of our method.

Theorem 2. Let u be the weight in (2.1). Assume

- (i) $u(y)k_y \in C_u$ uniformly w.r.t. y; (ii) $u(x)k_x \in C_u$ uniformly w.r.t. x;
- (iii) $q \in C_u$.

If $ker(I - K) = \{0\}$, the system of equations (3.3) is unisolvent and well-conditioned.

Moreover, f_m^* converges to f^* in C_u and

$$\|(f_m^* - f^*) u\| \le \mathcal{C} \sup_{x \in (0, +\infty)} u(x) \left\{ E_M \left(f^* k_x \right)_{u^2} + e^{-cm^{\nu}} \left\| f^* k_x u^2 \right\| \right\}$$
(3.5)

where

$$M = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}\right) m \right\rfloor, \quad \nu = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right) \frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(m, f^*), \quad c \neq c(m, f^*).$$

Proof. As already mentioned in Section 2.4, from the assumption (i), i.e., (2.13), the compactness of the operator $K : C_u \to C_u$ follows. So the Fredholm alternative holds for equation (1.1) and, if ker $(I - K) = \{0\}$, equation (1.1) admits unique solution $f^* \in C_u$.

Now, using (2.10), we have

$$\|(Kf - K_m f) u\| \le \mathcal{C} \sup_{x \in (0, +\infty)} \left\{ E_M (fk_x)_{u^2} + e^{-cm^{\nu}} \|fk_x u^2\| \right\}, \qquad (3.6)$$

i.e., the sequence $\{K_m\}_m$ strongly converges to the operator K.

Moreover, since $\{K_m\}_m$ is collectively compact by (ii), i.e., (2.15), it follows that

$$\lim_{m} \| (K - K_m) K_m \|_{C_u \to C_u} = 0$$

and, using [1, Theorem 4.1.1] or [19, Theorem 2.1], for $m \ge m_0$, the operators $(I - K_m)^{-1}$ exist and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I-K_m)^{-1}\|_{C_u \to C_u} &\leq \frac{1+\|(I-K)^{-1}\|_{C_u \to C_u}\|K_m\|_{C_u \to C_u}}{1-\|(I-K)^{-1}\|_{C_u \to C_u}\|(K-K_m)K_m\|_{C_u \to C_u}} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, proceeding as in [1, pp. 112–113], we deduce that the matrix $V_m^{(j_1,j_2)}$ of the coefficients of system (3.3) is well conditioned, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{cond}\left(V_m^{(j_1,j_2)}\right) \leq \operatorname{cond}(I-K_m) \leq \mathcal{C} < \infty, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(m)$$

Finally, the error estimate (3.5) immediately follows by (3.6).

From (3.5) we deduce that the order of convergence of our method depends on the smoothness of the kernel k and the solution f^* of equation (1.1). Now, we want to show a more explicit error estimate, depending on the smoothness of the known functions k and g. In particular, from Theorem 2 we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be replaced by

(a) $u(y)k_y \in W_r(u)$ uniformly w.r.t. y; (b) $u(x)k_x \in W_r(u)$ uniformly w.r.t. x;

(c)
$$g \in W_r(u)$$
.

Then, for m sufficiently large, we have

$$\|(f_m^* - f^*) u\| = O\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m}\right)^r\right),\,$$

where the constants in "O" are independent of m and f^* .

Proof. We note that the assumptions on the given functions imply $f^* \in W_r(u)$ and then $f^*k_x \in W_r(u^2)$. Hence, by (3.5) and (2.4), we get (see for

instance [12, Theorem 3.2])

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_{m}^{*}-f^{*})u\| &\leq \mathcal{C}\sup_{x\in(0,+\infty)}u(x)\Big\{E_{M}(f^{*}k_{x})_{u^{2}}+\mathrm{e}^{-cm^{\nu}}\left\|f^{*}k_{x}u^{2}\right\|\Big\}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}\sup_{x\in(0,+\infty)}u(x)\Big\{\|f^{*}u\|E_{n}(k_{x})_{u}+\|k_{x}u\|E_{n}(f^{*})_{u}\\ &+\mathrm{e}^{-cm^{\nu}}\left\|f^{*}u\right\|\left\|k_{x}u\right\|\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

with $n = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and the corollary follows from (2.4).

We note that, by (2.5), an analogous corollary holds if we replace the Sobolev spaces W_r by the Zygmund spaces Z_s .

4 Comparison with the Nyström method based on Laguerre zeros

The following observation is crucial. The integral

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} f(x)w(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)\mathrm{e}^{-x^{-\alpha} - x^{\beta}} \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.1}$$

 \square

can be evaluated by means of the Gaussian rule related to the weight $w(x) = e^{-x^{-\alpha} - x^{\beta}}$, i.e.,

$$G_m(w, f) = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(w) f(x_k) \,,$$

as described in Section 2.3. On the other hand, this integral can be rewritten as ∞

$$\int_0^\infty \left[f(x) e^{-x^{-\alpha}} \right] e^{-x^{\beta}} dx = \int_0^\infty \left[f(x) e^{-x^{-\alpha}} \right] \sigma(x) dx$$

and evaluated by using the Gaussian rule related to the Laguerre-type weight $\sigma(x) = e^{-x^{\beta}}$, i.e.,

$$\overline{G}_m(\sigma,g) = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(\sigma)g(t_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(\sigma)f(t_k)e^{-t_k^{-\alpha}},$$

where $g(x) = f(x)e^{-x^{-\alpha}}$, $t_k = t_{m,k}(\sigma)$ are the zeros of the *m*th Laguerre-type polynomial $p_m(\sigma)$, satisfying

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}}{m^{2-1/\beta}} \le t_1 < \cdots < t_m < \mathcal{C}m^{1/\beta},$$

and $\lambda_k(\sigma)$ are the corresponding Christoffel numbers (see, e.g., [11, 9]).

Now, considering the coefficients of the two Gaussian rules, we observe that for the first term of $G_m(w, f)$ we have

$$\lambda_1(w) \sim w(x_1) \Delta x_1 \sim \mathrm{e}^{-x_1^{-\alpha}} \Delta x_1 \sim \mathrm{e}^{-m^{\frac{\alpha(2\beta-1)}{\beta(2\alpha+1)}}},$$

whereas the first term of $\overline{G}_m(\sigma, g)$ fulfills

$$\lambda_1(\sigma) \mathrm{e}^{-t_1^{-\alpha}} \sim \sigma(t_1) \Delta t_1 \mathrm{e}^{-t_1^{-\alpha}} \sim \Delta t_1 \mathrm{e}^{-t_1^{-\alpha}} \sim \mathrm{e}^{-m^{\frac{\alpha(2\beta-1)}{\beta}}}$$

This last quantity is much smaller than $\lambda_1(w)$ for large values of m and also smaller than the ordinary tolerance usually adopted in computation. Therefore a certain number $\eta = \eta(m)$ of summands of $\overline{G}_m(\sigma, g)$ do not give any contribution. So, if $G_m(w, f)$ computes the integral with a certain error, one could obtain the same precision using the Laguerre-type rule for larger values of m and with more evaluations of the function f. The following example confirms this fact.

Example 1. We apply the Gaussian quadrature rules w.r.t. the exponential weight $w(x) = e^{-1/x^3 - x^3}$ and the Laguerre weight $\sigma(x) = e^{-x^3}$ for calculating

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \arctan\left(\frac{1+x}{4}\right) e^{-1/x^3 - x^3} \,\mathrm{d}x\,,$$

with $f(x) = \arctan\left(\frac{1+x}{4}\right)$ and $g(x) = \arctan\left(\frac{1+x}{4}\right)e^{-1/x^3}$. This integral can be evaluated with a high precision using the Mathematica function NIntegrate.

In Table 1 we compare the relative errors obtained applying the two rules for increasing values m, working in double arithmetic precision. We note that underflow phenomena occurred in the case of Laguerre weights, while in the case of w the symbol "—" means that the required precision has already been obtained and the relative error is of the order of the machine epsilon.

We also want to observe that a similar argument applies a fortiori if we compare the two truncated Gaussian rule related to w and σ . In fact, in $G_m(w, f)$ we can drop some terms related to the zeros close to $\varepsilon(w)$ and some other terms related to the zeros close to $a_m(w)$, but in $\overline{G}_m(\sigma, g)$ we can

 Table 1: Relative errors

relative error of $\overline{G}_m(\sigma,g)$	relative error of $G_m(w, f)$
3.077×10^{-1}	5.891×10^{-6}
1.222×10^{-2}	1.256×10^{-16}
9.005×10^{-7}	
1.584×10^{-11}	
6.984×10^{-16}	—
	relative error of $\overline{G}_m(\sigma, g)$ 3.077×10^{-1} 1.222×10^{-2} 9.005×10^{-7} 1.584×10^{-11} 6.984×10^{-16}

drop only some terms related to the largest zeros without loss of accuracy (see [11]).

Let us now compare the convergence of the two Gaussian rules. To this aim, letting

$$v(x) = (1+x)^{\delta} w(x) = (1+x)^{\delta} e^{-x^{-\alpha} - x^{\beta}}, \qquad \delta > 1,$$

 $x \in (0, +\infty)$, we introduce the function space

$$C_{v} := \left\{ f \in C^{0}(0, +\infty) : \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} f(x)v(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \to +\infty} f(x)v(x) \right\},\$$

with the norm

$$||f||_{C_v} := ||fv|| = \sup_{x \in (0, +\infty)} |f(x)v(x)|$$

For more regular functions, we define the Sobolev-type spaces

$$W_r^{\infty}(v) = \left\{ f \in C_v : \ f^{(r-1)} \in AC(0, +\infty), \ \|f^{(r)}\varphi^r v\| < \infty \right\},\$$

with the norm $||f||_{W_r^{\infty}(v)} = ||fv|| + ||f^{(r)}\varphi^r v||$, where $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$.

Then it is known that for any $f \in C_v$, the Gaussian rule $G_m(w, f)$ converges to the integral (4.1). Moreover, if $f \in W_r^{\infty}(v), r \ge 1$, we have (see [15, 14])

$$\left| G_m(w,f) - \int_0^\infty f(x)w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\left(m^{1-1/(2\beta)} \right)^r} \|f\|_{W^\infty_r(v)}.$$

The Laguerre–Gaussian rule deals with functions belonging to the space

$$C_{\bar{v}} := \left\{ g \in C^0[0, +\infty) : \lim_{x \to +\infty} g(x)\bar{v}(x) = 0 \right\},$$

with $\bar{v}(x) = (1+x)^{\delta} e^{-x^{\beta}}, \, \delta > 1,$

$$||g||_{C_{\bar{v}}} := ||f\bar{v}|| = \sup_{x \in (0,+\infty)} |f(x)\bar{v}(x)|,$$

and/or to the Sobolev-type space

$$W_{r}^{\infty}(\bar{v}) = \left\{ g \in C_{\bar{v}} : g^{(r-1)} \in AC(0, +\infty), \|g^{(r)}\varphi^{r}\bar{v}\| < \infty \right\},\$$

with

$$||g||_{W^{\infty}_{r}(\bar{v})} = ||gv|| + ||g^{(r)}\varphi^{r}\bar{v}||,$$

 $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and $r \ge 1$. In analogy with the first Gaussian rule one has (see [11, 9])

$$(\forall g \in C_{\bar{v}}) \quad \overline{G}_m(\sigma, g) \to \int_0^{+\infty} g(x) \mathrm{e}^{-x^\beta} \,\mathrm{d}x \,, \quad m \to \infty \,, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\overline{G}_m(\sigma,g) - \int_0^{+\infty} g(x) \mathrm{e}^{-x^\beta} \,\mathrm{d}x \bigg| \le \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\left(m^{1-1/(2\beta)}\right)^r} \|g\|_{W^\infty_r(v)} \,. \tag{4.3}$$

Nevertheless, if $g(x) = f(x)e^{-x^{-\alpha}}$ with $f \in C_v$ (i.e., in the case under consideration), the convergence relation (4.2) is true while the error estimate (4.3) is false in general. In fact, $f \in C_v$ implies $g \in C_{\bar{v}}$, but the norm $\|g\|_{W^{\infty}_r(\bar{v})}$ can be unbounded for $f \in W^{\infty}_r(v)$ (so, although inequality (4.3) holds). Therefore, the order of convergence of the Laguerre-Gaussian rule $\overline{G}_m(\sigma, g)$ is lower than the one of the rule $G_m(w, f)$.

From the previous observations we deduce that the Nyström interpolant obtained by approximating the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left[k(x,y) f(y) \mathrm{e}^{-y^{-\alpha}} \right] \mathrm{e}^{-y^{\beta}} \mathrm{d}y$$

by means of the Laguerre–Gaussian rule $\overline{G}_m(\sigma)$ will have a much larger number of summands with respect to the method proposed in this paper. This fact implies that the corresponding linear system will have a much larger order than the one in (3.3). We also want to emphasize that considering a "truncated" version of $\overline{G}_m(\sigma)$ would not solve this problem, since it is due to the exponential behaviour of the integrand close to 0 and the "truncated" rule wold drop only the terms related to the largest zeros.

In conclusion, solving integral equations of the form (1.1) by using a Nyström method based on the Laguerre–Gaussian rule would require a larger computational cost (with possible underflow/overflow phenomena) and a lower order of convergence, as shown in the next section.

5 Numerical examples

In the following examples the exact solutions are unknown and the corresponding tables show only the behaviour of the Nyström interpolants. As in Section 4, all computations were performed in MATHEMATICA, Ver. 8.0. In particular, for constructing the corresponding Gaussian rules (2.7) we use a procedure given in [15] and the MATHEMATICA package OrthogonalPolynomials (cf. [2] and [18]), which is freely downloadable from the Web Site:

http://www.mi.sanu.ac.rs/~gvm/.

For the sake of brevity we omit the description of the numerical procedures for the computation of the zeros of $p_m(w)$, the Christoffel numbers and the Mhaskar–Rahmanov-Saff numbers ε_m and a_m . The interested reader can find all the details about these procedures in [15, pp. 1676–1680].

Example 2. We consider the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind

$$f(x) - \frac{1}{10} \int_0^{+\infty} \cosh\left(\frac{y+1}{x+1}\right) f(y) e^{-y^{-3} - y^3} \, \mathrm{d}y = \sinh(x+3), \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

with $k(x, y) = \cosh((y+1)/(x+1))$, $w(x) = e^{-x^{-3}-x^3}$, and $g(x) = \sinh(x+3)$. By (2.1) we choose the weight $u(x) = (1+x) e^{-(x^{-3}+x^3)/2}$ and consider the equation in the space C_u given by (2.2). Since $||K||_{C_u \to C_u} < 1$ this equation admits a unique solution in C_u .

On the other hand, if we consider the weight the Laguerre-type weight $\tilde{u}(x) = (1+x) e^{-x^3/2}$ and the associated function space $C_{\tilde{u}}$, this equation

admits a unique solution also in $C_{\tilde{u}}$. In Table 2 we compare the two associated Nyström methods, showing the correct decimal digits obtained in the Nyström interpolants at given points for the same values of m.

Using one of the two the Gaussian rules we obtain the corresponding Nyström interpolants $f_m^*(x)$, given by (3.4), and \tilde{f}_m . In Table 2 we give values of these interpolants at the points x = 0.5, x = 1 and x = 5. The same digits in $f_m^*(x)$ and $f_{25}^*(x)$ for m = 5(5)20 are bolded.

Table 2: Values of Nyström interpolants at x = 0.5, x = 1 and x = 5, for m = 5(5)20

\overline{m}	$f_m^*(0.5)$ – exponential weight u	$ ilde{f}_m(0.5)$ – Laguerre weight $ ilde{u}$
5	17.06720669 1704378	17.06 0042557600486
10	17.067206693043214	17.067 92305565905
15	17.067206693043214	17.067 15565208371
20	17.067206693043214	17.06720 0301955914
\overline{m}	$f_m^*(1)$ – exponential weight u	$ ilde{f}_m(1)$ – Laguerre weight $ ilde{u}$
5	27.67674918 6738305735	27.67 13848546487190
10	27.67674918738813 4070	27.67 7 3 39 259 4205800
15	27.676749187388135357	27.6767 086020279940
20	27.676749187388135357	27.67674 35168185499
\overline{m}	$f_m^*(5)$ – exponential weight u	$\tilde{f}_m(5)$ – Laguerre weight \tilde{u}
5	1490.73103630 4753920402	1490.7 275135882654744
10	1490.73103630518894 8542	1490.731 492523212215
15	1490.731036305188949804	1490.7310 0663711270
20	1490.731036305188949804	1490.73103 14344204

Since the kernel and the solution in this case are very smooth, we see a very fast convegence of Nyström interpolants $f_m^*(x)$, so that $f_{25}^*(x)$ can be taken as a very well approximation of the exact solution $f^*(x)$. On the other hand, the Nyström interpolant based on Laguerre-type nodes converges more slowly.

In both cases the matrices of the related linear systems are wellconditioned. For instance the condition numbers of the matrices in (3.3) $V_m \equiv V_m^{(j_1,j_2)}$, with $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = m$, for m = 5, 10, 15, 20 (in the infinitynorm) are 1.0218, 1.0260, 1.0271, 1.0284, respectively. **Example 3.** We consider the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind

$$f(x) - \int_0^{+\infty} \cos(x+y) f(y) e^{-y^{-3} - y^3} dy = e^{1/x^2}, \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

with $k(x,y) = \cos(x+y)$, $w(x) = e^{-x^{-3}-x^3}$, and $g(x) = e^{1/x^2}$. By (2.1) we choose the weight $u(x) = (1+x) e^{-(x^{-3}+x^3)/2}$ and consider the equation in the space C_u given by (2.2). Since $||K||_{C_u \to C_u} < 1$ this equation admits a unique solution in C_u .

In this case the function g increases exponentially for $x \to 0^+$, so it does not belong to function spaces associated to generalized Laguerre weights. On the other hand, if we multiply both sides of the equation by e^{-1/x^2} , we obtain the equivalent equation

$$\tilde{f}(x) - \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\cos(x+y) \mathrm{e}^{y^{-2} - y^{-3} - x^{-2}} \right] \tilde{f}(y) \mathrm{e}^{-y^3} \,\mathrm{d}y = 1, \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

with $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) e^{-1/x^2}$. This last equation admits a unique solution in the space $C_{\tilde{u}}$, with $\tilde{u}(x) = (1+x) e^{-x^3/2}$. In Table 3 we compare the two associated Nyström methods, showing the correct decimal digits obtained in the approximate solution at given points for the same values of m.

The method proposed in Section 3 is stable and the condition numbers of the matrices in (3.3) $V_m \equiv V_m^{(j_1,j_2)}$, with $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = m$, for m = 10, 30, 50, 70 (in the infinity-norm) are 1.0955, 1.1066, 1.1110, 1.1134, respectively. On the other hand, the method based on Laguerre zeros is less precise and applicable only for small values of m.

Example 4. Now we consider the equation

$$f(x) - \int_0^{+\infty} |\cos(x+y)|^{5/4} f(y) e^{-y^{-2} - y^2} dy = \frac{e^{(x^3 + 8)/(4x)}}{x+4}, \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

with $k(x,y) = |\cos(x+y)|^{5/4}$, $w(x) = e^{-x^{-2}-x^{2}}$, and

$$g(x) = \frac{e^{(x^3+8)/(4x)}}{x+4}$$

Table 3: Values of the approximate solution at x = 0.5, x = 1 and x = 5, for m = 10(20)70

m	$f_m^*(0.5)$ – exponential weight u	$\tilde{f}_m^*(0.5) \cdot \mathrm{e}^4$ – Laguerre weight \tilde{u}		
10	54.6246 4753294463	54 . 62 349877451629		
30	54.624668427 72536	54.624668 06769319		
50	54.62466842781927	54.6246684 3181872		
70	54.62466842781927	Underflow occurred in computation		
m	$f_m^*(1)$ – exponential weight u	$\widetilde{f}_m^*(1) \cdot e$ – Laguerre weight \widetilde{u}		
10	2.6340 588382504633	2.63 37766902545059		
30	2.634065417 4 597524	2.634065 6449192323		
50	2.6340654174976796	2.6340654 201667359		
70	2.6340654174976796	Underflow occurred in computation		
m	$f_m^*(5)$ – exponential weight u	$\tilde{f}_m^*(5) \cdot e^{0.04}$ – Laguerre weight \tilde{u}		
10	1.2543661133492144	1.25 3 1280168491409		
30	1.2543856822837072	1.25438 46501698619		
50	1.2543856823546275	1.25438568 32259046		
70	1.2543856823546275	Underflow occurred in computation		

We consider this equation in C_u , where $u(x) = (1 + x) e^{-(x^{-2} + x^2)/2}$. Since $||K||_{C_u \to C_u} < 1$ this equation admits a unique solution in C_u . By Theorem 2 and Corollary 3, since $u(y)k_y \in Z_{5/4}(u)$ uniformly w.r.t. $y, u(x)k_x \in Z_{5/4}(u)$ uniformly w.r.t. x, while g is a smooth function, we have

$$\|(f_m^* - f^*) u\| = O\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_m}}{m}\right)^{5/4}\right) = O\left(m^{-15/16}\right),$$

taking into account that $a_m \sim m^{1/2}$.

Now, we apply the Gaussian quadratures for m = 10(10)50 and m = 100(50)300, with the corresponding truncation as in Example 8.2 in [15]. Following Table 2 from [15], we present here in Table 4 the indices j_2 and j_2 in "truncated sums" in (3.1) and (2.8) for $\theta = 1/20$, as well as the condition numbers of these reduced matrices $V_m^{(j_1,j_2)}$. Their dimensions are $j_2 - j_1 + 1$ instead of m as in the case of Gaussian formulae, dropping $c m^2$ terms, c < 1, in the matrix of coefficients in the system of linear equations.

The absolute errors of the corresponding weighted Nyström interpolants at

Table 4: Absolute errors of the weighted Nyström interpolants $f_m^*(x)$ at x = 1/2, 1, 4, 8, for m = 10, m = 100 and m = 200

m	(j_1, j_2)	$\operatorname{cond}(V_m^{(j_1,j_2)})$	x = 1/2	x = 1	x = 4	x = 8
10	(1, 6)	1.166	1.15(-4)	7.93(-4)	2.42(-6)	8.51(-17)
100	(7, 45)	1.212	3.59(-5)	6.60(-5)	1.11(-7)	1.61(-17)
200	(11, 90)	1.217	6.90(-7)	3.78(-6)	1.16(-8)	9.61(-19)

some selected x are also given in the same table (we have considered as exact the approximated solution obtained for m = 300). Numbers in parentheses indicate decimal exponents, e.g., 1.15(-4) means 1.15×10^{-4} . Moreover, the values of the corresponding Nyström interpolants at the same selected points x are given in Table 5.

Table 5: Values of Nyström interpolants $f_m^*(x)$ at x = 1/2, 1, 4, 8, for m = 10, m = 100 and m = 200

m	x = 1/2	x = 1	x = 4	x = 8
10	12.98 424	1.99 0655	11.32452	950832.87 5282
100	12.98468	1.991 643	11.32594	950832.87 5892
200	12.98488	1.9917 28	11.32602	950832.8760 26



Figure 1: The Nyström interpolant $f_m^*(x)$ for $0 \le x \le 10$ (left) and for $1 \le x \le 3$ (right), when $m = 300, j_1 = 15, j_2 = 134$

The Nyström interpolant $f_{300}^*(x)$ obtained with $j_1 = 15$ and $j_2 = 134$, for $0 \le x \le 10$ and $1 \le x \le 3$ is displayed in Figure 1.

References

- K.E. Atkinson, The Numerical Solution of Integral Equations of the second kind, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press (1997)
- [2] A.S. Cvetković, G.V. Milovanović, The Mathematica Package "OrthogonalPolynomials", Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 9, 17–36 (2004)
- [3] E. Di Nardo, oral communication.
- [4] C. Frammartino, C. Laurita, G. Mastroianni, On the numerical solution of Fredholm integral equations on unbounded intervals, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 158, no. 2, 355–378 (2003)
- [5] P.E. Gustafson, B.A. Hagler, Gaussian quadrature rules and numerical examples for strong extensions of mass distribution functions. Continued fractions and geometric function theory (CONFUN) (Trondheim, 1997), J. Comput. Appl. Math. 105, 317–326 (1999)
- [6] B.A. Hagler, Laurent-Hermite-Gauss quadrature, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 104, 163–171 (1999)
- [7] S. Karlin, H. M. Taylor, A first course in stochastic processes, Second edition. Academic Press, New York-London (1975)
- [8] M. Kijima, Stochastic processes with applications to finance, Second edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2013)
- G. Mastroianni, G.V. Milovanović, Interpolation Processes. Basic Theory and Applications, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2008)
- [10] G. Mastroianni, G.V. Milovanović, Some numerical methods for secondkind Fredholm integral equations on the real semiaxis, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 29, 1046–1066 (2009)

- [11] G. Mastroianni, G. Monegato, Truncated quadrature rules over $(0, \infty)$ and Nyström type methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **41**, 1870–1892 (2003)
- [12] G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo, A Nyström method for Fredholm integral equations on the real line, *Journal of Integral Equations and Appli*cations, 23, 253–288 (2011)
- [13] G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo, Embedding theorems with an exponential weight on the real semiaxis, *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 43, 155–160 (2013)
- [14] G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo, Polynomial approximation with an exponential weight on the real semiaxis, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 142, 167–198 (2014)
- [15] G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo, G.V. Milovanović, Gaussian quadrature rules with an exponential weight on the real semiaxis, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 34, 1654–1685 (2014)
- [16] G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo, J. Szabados, Polynomial inequalities with an exponential weight on (0, +∞), Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 10, 807–821 (2013)
- [17] G.V. Milovanović, Construction and applications of Gaussian quadratures with nonclassical and exotic weight functions, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **60**, no. 2, 211–233 (2015)
- [18] G.V. Milovanović, A.S. Cvetković, Special classes of orthogonal polynomials and corresponding quadratures of Gaussian type, *Math. Balkanica* 26, 169–184 (2012)
- [19] S. Prössdorf, B. Silbermann, Numerical Analysis for Integral and Related Operator Equations, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin and Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1991)

- [20] J. Stoyanov, Stieltjes classes for moment-indeterminate probability distributions, Stochastic methods and their applications, J. Appl. Probab.
 41A, 281–294 (2004)
- [21] N.M. Temme, On the expansion of confluent hypergeometric functions in terms of Bessel functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 7, no. 1, 27–32 (1981)
- [22] A.F. Timan, Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable, Dover Publ., New York (1994)