



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Potentially active spoilage bacteria community during the storage of vacuum packaged beefsteaks treated with aqueous ozone and electrolyzed water

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1734877 since 2021-11-23T12:47:22Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.10.012

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1	Potentially active spoilage bacteria community during the storage of vacuum packaged beefsteaks treated
2	with aqueous ozone and electrolyzed water
3	Cristian Botta ¹ , Ilario Ferrocino ¹ , Maria Chiara Cavallero ² , Simonetta Riva ³ , Manuela Giordano ¹ , Luca
4	Cocolin ¹ *
5	
6	¹ Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Italy
7	² M.I.A.C. S.c.p.A –Polo AGRIFOOD-, Dronero (CN), Italy
8	³ Veterinary Food Safety, Salmour (CN), Italy
9	
10	Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: Luca Cocolin, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco,
11	Torino. E-mail: lucasimone.cocolin@unito.it
12	
13	Running title:
14	Taxonomic RNA-based assessment of beefsteaks spoilage microbiota
15	
16	Key words: 16S rRNA, Meat, Volatilome, Aqueous ozone, Electrolyzed water, Pseudomonas fragi
17	
18	Abstract
19	The microbial contamination that occurs during the slaughtering process and during handling of the meat results
20	in a shortening of the shelf-life of meat. In this study, which has had the aim of extending the shelf life of
21	beefsteaks, pilot-scale treatments were carried out with aqueous ozone (AO) and electrolyzed water (EW)
22	before vacuum packaging (VP). The development of the potentially active microbiota and the associated
23	volatilome were followed over 15 days of storage under refrigerated conditions (4 °C), in order to define the
24	potential long-term effects of the treatments and storage condition on microbiota.
25	The targeted RNA-based amplicon sequencing identified Pseudomonas fragi as the most frequent species before

and after the treatments with AO and EW, as well as in the untreated control. The tested treatments did not reduce the overall presence of this species, but they affected the intra-species distribution of its oligotypes, albeit slightly. With the progression of the refrigerated storage and the reduction of the oxygen availability, *Lactobacillus sakei, Leuconostoc gasicomitatum* and *Lactococcus piscium* became the dominant, potentially active, beef microbiota, as confirmed by microbiological data. When the OTU abundances and volatilome were coupled, a significant association was observed between the organic acids, esters and aldehydes and these lactic acid bacteria species.

In spite of the limited effectiveness of the treatments over the short and long term, this study has provided a
detailed view of beef spoilage using RNA as the sequencing target, strengthening and confirming the current
knowledge based on DNA-amplicon sequencing.

36

37 Introduction

Apart from abiotic factors (e.g. oxygen and UV radiation) and endogenous autolytic enzymatic reactions, the 38 39 spoilage of meat is mainly caused by complex microbial dynamics that encompass heterogeneous bacterial taxa, of which the most common are Pseudomonas sp., Enterobacteriaceae, Brochothrix thermosphacta and 40 41 psychrotrophic lactic acid bacteria (LAB), all of which are capable of surviving and proliferating in a cold 42 environment (Agapi I Doulgeraki et al., 2012; Doulgeraki et al., 2010; Ercolini et al., 2009; Pothakos et al., 2015). It is well known that several different bacterial groups contaminate meat during the slaughtering 43 44 processes, although the complexity of the microbiota of meat is reduced when it is sold, due to the selective 45 pressure determined by the storage temperature, the packaging atmospheres and the initial antimicrobial 46 treatments. (de Filippis et al., 2013; La Storia et al., 2012; Stellato et al., 2016). The main problem faced by the 47 meat processing industry is the necessity of efficiently contrasting the development of species capable of producing the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that are associated with unpleasant odors (Argyri et al., 48 49 2015; Casaburi et al., 2015, 2011).

50 Accordingly, the treatment of meat with adequate preservation technologies before the being packaged may 51 represent a feasible solution to extend its shelf-life, and thus to avoid product losses. Several non-thermal 52 treatments have been considered and developed for the sanitization of ready-to-eat portions of meat, and promising results have been achieved through the utilization of supercritical CO₂, gamma radiation, and 53 54 ultraviolet light (Buckow et al., 2017; Jermann et al., 2015; Sommers et al., 2017). In this frame, low levels of 55 aqueous ozone (AO) and electrolyzed water (EW) may represent economically convenient, environmentally 56 friendly and safe approaches for the sanitization of meat at the end of the slaughtering process, as well as of the 57 slaughter environments, since they are broad-spectrum disinfectants and leave the treated food free of residues. 58 AO and EW have long been known to be detrimental to the bacterial cells that result from the destructive 59 oxidation of membrane-bound respiratory enzymes and lipids, the perturbation of cellular electrical charge 60 maintenance, proteins and peptidoglycan in spore coats and virus capsids (Huang et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2013; 61 Veasey and Muriana, 2016). To date, the decontaminant efficacy of these oxidative agents has only been tested 62 at low concentrations and at a pilot-scale level by spraying or dipping beef and poultry, without observing 63 deterioration of the organoleptic characteristics due to lipid oxidation or irreversible color modification, while 64 the viable counts of several microbial group have been found to be reduced (Duan et al., 2016; Kalchayanand et 65 al., 2008; Pohlman et al., 2002; Veasey and Muriana, 2016). However, the studies carried out so far on beef 66 sprayed with AO and/or EW have been limited to observing the microbial reduction after treatment or the 67 decontaminating effect toward deliberately introduced pathogens, without considering the complex dynamics of 68 spoilage microbiota and the associated volatilome that may develop after the treatments and during storage. So 69 far, the treatment of other food has also followed similar approaches, with attention being focused only on the 70 short term effect of AO and EW (Pinto et al., 2015; Segat et al., 2014). Only recently has the post-treatment 71 effect of aqueous ozone been investigated on wine grapes by means of culture-independent techniques applied 72 during winemaking, and a significant perturbation of the yeast population of the final wine volatilome has 73 been revealed (Cravero et al., 2016).

Nowadays, such ecological studies, aimed at unraveling the composition and dynamics of food microbiota, cannot be dealt without the use of high-throughput amplicon target sequencing (HTS) approaches, which may be oriented either toward DNA or RNA to explain the total microbial community (Ercolini, 2013; Ferrocino and Cocolin, 2017; Li et al., 2016). Despite RNA-based amplicon sequencing is susceptible to biases depending on PCR process and presence of rRNA beyond the life cycle of the cells (Rosselli et al., 2016). The decay of rRNA after bacterial death is not generally predictable (Ceuppens et al., 2014), however rRNA remains the most suitable target to detect microbial phylotypes with potentially metabolic activities in the food matrix (Yang et al., 2017). This approach may result in a more reliable correlation between taxa and volatile compounds related to meat spoilage. (De Angelis et al., 2015; De Pasquale et al., 2016).

Therefore, the aim of this work was to investigate the effect of AO and EW treatments on the complexity and dynamics of the potential active microbiota of beefsteaks, and their associated volatilome, during storage at 4 °C and in vacuum packaging conditions.

- 86
- 87

88 Materials and methods

89

90 Treatments with aqueous (AO) ozone and electrolyzed water (EW)

91 The studied steaks, weighing about 200 g each, were obtained from three different batches of tender boneless 92 beef, 24 h after slaughtering. Each batch of beefsteaks was divided equally into four parts (7 beefsteaks per part) 93 and treated with (EW) electrolyzed water, (AO) aqueous ozone and (W) water, while a fourth untreated part was 94 used as a control (C) (Fig. 1). The AO was produced using a C32-AG O₃ generator (De Nora S.P.A, Milano, Italia) equipped with an oxygen concentrator, with/which has a nominal production capacity of 32 g O_3/h , and 95 96 using/considering pure oxygen as an/the input gas. The AO treatment was performed with water containing 6.00 97 \pm 0.25 mg/L. EW was produced from salt (KCl) diluted in tap water using an Eva System 100 (De Nora S.P.A.). The system produced EW of approximately 4 g/L free chlorine, pH 9 and 1 % residual KCl. The treatments were 98 performed with diluted EW at 100 mg L⁻¹ of free chloride. The water treated samples (W) were treated in the 99 100 same way using the same time frame and the same type of water used to produce EW and AO, in order to 101 highlight any effect due to the water itself without oxidizing agents. All the treatments were carried out by 102 homogeneously spraying each side of the beefsteaks, placed on a still grid in a dedicated sanitized room of a local slaughterhouse, for 90 sec (Cuneo, Italy). The spraying treatments were performed with a distance of 20 103

104 cm between the meat and nozzles, and pumping tap water at 4 °C at a constant flux. The treated beefsteaks 105 (AO, EW, W) were left to dry for 20 min on the grids and, together with the untreated control beefsteaks (C), 106 were packed singly in linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE; oxygen transmission, 0.83 cm³ · m⁻² · h⁻¹ at 107 23°C, 30 cm X 30 cm) and vacuum packed.

108 The samplings were performed before the treatments, for each treatment and each batch, on the first day and 109 after 5, 9 and 15 days of storage at 4 °C.

110

111 Microbiological analysis

The packages were aseptically opened on each sampling day. Five surface portions of 1 cm² were cut from each 112 side of the beefsteaks, using a sterile scalpel and a cork borer (about 10 g of meat each sample), and were 113 homogenized in 90 ml of Ringer's solution (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) for 2 min using a Stomacher® 114 115 400 Circulator (LAB blender 400; PBI, Milan, Italy). Decimal dilutions were prepared, and aliquots of the appropriate dilutions were spread in triplicate on the following media: (i) plate count agar (PCA, Lab M, 116 Heywood, Lancashire, UK) to establish the total aerobic bacteria incubated for 48 to 72 h at 30 °C; (ii) De Man 117 Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS, LabM) to establish the total LAB population, incubated at 30 °C for 48 h; (iii) 118 violet red bile agar (VRBGA, LabM) to establish the Enterobacteriaceae, incubated at 30 °C for 24-48 h; (iv) 119 malt extract agar (MEA, LabM) plus tetracycline (0.05 g L⁻¹; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) to establish the 120 yeasts and moulds/molds incubated at 25 °C for five days. The results were calculated as the means of log 121 122 colony forming units per cm² (log CFU/cm²) of the beefsteak surface for the three batches (\pm standard error 123 mean).

- In parallel, two 25 cm² surface pieces (about 25 g, one for each beefsteak surface) were cut and minced, and the
 pH was measured with a pH-meter (Crison, Modena, Italy).
- ANOVA (One way-Analysis of Variance), coupled with Tukey's post-hoc test and the Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test, were used to assess the overall variation and differences between the multiple groups. Statistical analyses were performed with Statistica, ver. 7.0, (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).
- 129

130 GC-MS analysis of the volatile compounds (VOCs)

131 Chemical analyses were performed before the treatment and after 1 and 15 days of storage. A static headspace 132 solid-phase microextraction analysis was carried out as described by Argyri et al. (2015), with some minor 133 modifications. Briefly, in parallel with the microbiological samplings, 3 g of surface pieces (one for each side of 134 the beefsteak) were cut from the beefsteak and then cut into small pieces using a sterile knife. Then, 1 g of 135 minced meat sample was placed in a 20 mL glass vial and mixed with 2 mL of 25 % NaCl solution and 10 μ L of 136 internal standard (3-octanol, final concentration of 97 μ g/kg).

137 After an equilibration time of 5 min at 40 °C, the extraction was performed, with stirring (250 rpm), adopting 138 the same temperature for 30 min with a 50/30 µm DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber (Supelco, Milan, Italy) using an 139 SPME autosampler (PAL System, CombiPAL, Switzerland). The fiber was desorbed at 260 °C for 1 min in 140 splitless mode. A GC/MS analysis was performed with a Shimadzu GC-2010 gas chromatograph, equipped with 141 a Shimadzu QP-2010 Plus quadruple mass spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) and a DB-142 WAXETR capillary column ($30m \times 0.25 \text{ }$ mm, 0.25µm film thickness, J&W Scientific Inc., Folsom, CA). The carrier gas (He) flow rate was 1 mL/min. The temperature program was started at 40 °C and held for 5 min, and 143 the temperature was then increased at a rate of 10 °C/min to 80 °C and 5 °C/min to 240 °C for 5 min. The 144 145 injection port temperature was 260 °C, while the ion source temperature and the interface temperature were 240 °C. The detection was carried out by electron impact mass spectrometry, in total ion current mode, using an 146 ionization energy of 70 eV. The acquisition range was m/z 33–330 amu. The identification of volatile 147 148 compounds was confirmed by injecting pure standards, and a comparison was made of their retention indices (a 149 mixture of a homologous series of C5–C28) with MS data reported in the literature and in databases (NIST05 and http:// webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/). Any compounds for which no pure standards were available were 150 151 identified on the basis of the mass spectra and retention indices available in the literature. Semi-guantitative data $(\mu g/kg)$ were obtained by measuring the relative m/z peak area of each identified compound in relation to that of 152 153 the added internal standard.

154 Statistical analyses were performed as described above.

156 RNA extraction and synthesis of cDNA

An aliquot (1 ml) of the first 10-fold serial dilution was collected at each sampling point and centrifuged 157 directly at the maximum speed for 30 s. After removing the supernatants, 2 mL of RNA-later (Ambion, Thermo 158 159 Scientific, Milan, Italy) was immediately added to the pellet, which was then stored at -80 °C. Total RNA was using the Master Pure complete DNA and RNA purification kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA) 160 extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three microliters of Turbo DNase (Ambion) was added to digest 161 162 the DNA in the RNA samples, with an incubation of 3 h at 37°C. The quality of the extracted RNA was 163 evaluated and quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Milan, Italy). The cDNA 164 was synthesized from 2 µg of RNA with the Moloney murine leukemia virus (M-MLV) Reverse Transcriptase System (Promega, Milan, Italy). The reaction, in a final volume of 25 μ L, contained: 1 μ g of random hexamer 165 primers, 0.5 mM of each dNTP, 200 U of M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase enzyme, 25 U of RNase ribonuclease 166 167 inhibitor and 1 × M-MLV reaction buffer. The RT reactions were performed in an Engine Peltier Thermal Cycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the following steps: 72 °C for 5 min and 42 °C for 60 min. 168

169

170 16S rRNA amplicon target sequencing

171 The cDNA (2.5uL) was used to assess the potentially active microbiota that had amplified the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene using the primers and the condition described by Klindworth et al. (2013). Owing to the 172 poor quality of the cDNA, three samples (one replicate of the samplings at 5, 9 and 15 days) were excluded. 173 174 The PCR products were purified by means of an Agencourt AMPure kit (Beckman Coulter, Milan, Italy), and 175 the resulting products were tagged with sequencing adapters using the Nextera XT library preparation kit 176 (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed using a 177 MiSeq Illumina instrument (Illumina) with V3 chemistry, which generated 2X250 bp paired-end reads, according to the manufacturer's instructions. MiSeq Control Software, V2.3.0.3, RTA, v1.18.42.0, and 178 179 CASAVA, v1.8.2, were used for the base-calling and Illumina barcode demultiplexing processes.

180

181 **Bioinformatics and statistical analysis**

Paired-end reads were first merged using FLASH software (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011) with default parameters.
Joint reads were quality filtered (at Phred < Q20) using QIIME 1.9.0 software (Caporaso et al., 2010) and
analyzed through the pipeline recently described (Ferrocino et al., 2017).

185 Alpha diversity indices were calculated using the diversity function of the vegan package (Dixon, 2003). The 186 Shannon-Wiener diversity index H' was further analyzed using the *t-test* to assess any differences between the 187 three producers and treatment. In order to avoid biases, due to different sequencing depths, all the samples were 188 rarefied at 1165 reads after raw read quality filtering. Weighted UniFrac distance matrices were used to perform 189 Adonis and Anosim statistical tests in the R environment (www.r-project.org). A filtered OTU table was 190 generated at 0.2% abundance, in at least 5 samples, through QIIME. In order to explore the relationship between 191 the microbiota of the meat and the VOC datasets, a principal components analysis (PCA) was carried out on the 192 individual datasets and the results were then integrated using coinertia analysis (CIA), which allows the shared 193 biological trends within the two datasets to be identified. A CIA analysis was performed with the *made4* package 194 in the R environment.

195 Reads assigned to the most abundant OTUs were extracted, and then entropy analysis and oligotyping were carried out, as described by the developers (Eren et al., 2013). The OTUs that show the higher entropy were 196 197 chosen to compute the oligotypes (-C option): 8, 9, 12, 122, 131, 133, 136, 137, 217, 233, 253, 258, 268, 270, 279, 287, 297, 298, 299, 340, 457, 458. In order to minimize the noise, each oligotype was required to appear in 198 at least 5 samples (-s option), to occur in more than 1.0 % of the reads for at least one sample and to represent a 199 200 minimum of 200 reads (-M option) in all the combined samples (Stellato et al., 2017). Four samples were 201 removed from the analysis because their reads were eliminated during the QC. Pairwise Wilcoxon tests were 202 used to determine any significant differences in specific oligotype abundance according to the treatment. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated between the oligotype abundance data matrix and the VOCs 203 204 through the *psych* function of R, and were then plotted through the *made 4* function of R.

All the sequencing data were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive of the National Center for BiotechnologyInformation (SRP095454).

- 209 Results
- 210

211 Microbial dynamics and volatile compounds (VOCs)

The results of the viable counts of the spoilage-related microorganisms made during the storage of the treated (EW, AO and W) and untreated (C) vacuum-packed beefsteaks are shown in Table 1 together with the pH variations. All the considered microbial populations and the pH were affected more by the storage period than by the undergone treatment, with a progressive increase in counts that became significantly higher than the initial level from the 5th day of storage onwards (P < 0.05). Only at the 15th day of storage did all the samples show a significant decrease in the pH values. Overall, LAB were the dominant population after the first day of storage, and they reached the highest values at the end of the shelf-life (on average 6 log/cm²),.

As far as the VOCs in the headspace are concerned, 32 different compounds were detected, of which ethanol,
hexanal, acetoin, ethyl acetate and acetic acid showed higher concentrations than 100 µg/kg (Supplementary
Table 1).

Overall, alcohols were the most numerous compounds present in the headspace, and they were followed by aldehydes, ketones, volatile fatty acids and esters. Amounts of VOCs released from the beefsteaks varied during storage time and, regardless of the treatments and batch of origin. Higher amounts of volatile organic acids, esters and 3-methyl-1-butanol were observed at the end of the storage period, the concentrations of ketones decreased at the same time, while most of the alcohols and aldehydes remained stable over the storage period (Tab. 2). Notably, only ethyl acetate and acetic acid, increased significantly (P < 0.05) along the shelf life, whereas 1-pentanol, 1-octen-3-ol and acetoin significantly decreased (P < 0.05).

229

230 Assessment of bacterial population based on RNA

A total of 966.656 raw reads (2x250bp) were obtained after sequencing. After joining the pair end reads, a total of 640.315 reads passed the filters applied by QIIME, with an average value of 13.339 (min 1164 max 56.239) reads/sample and a sequence length of 465 bp. The rarefaction analysis and the estimated sample coverage 234 (Supplementary Table 2) indicated that there was a satisfactory coverage of all the samples (ESC between 85-95%). Moreover, the alpha-diversity showed that there were no differences, in terms of complexity (P > 0.05), 235 between the treatment and storage time. Adonis and Anosim statistical tests, based on the Weighted UniFrac 236 237 distance matrix, showed significant differences over time (P < 0.001). Overall, the most frequently detected relative abundant OTUs were Psychrobacter sp., P. fragi, Lactococcus (Lc.) piscium, Lactobacillus (Lb.) sakei 238 and Leuconostoc (L.) gasicomitatum, which represented more than 84 % of the total relative abundance at all the 239 240 sampling points (Fig. 2). The microbiota showed an initial condition dominated by Psychrobacter sp., P. fragi, 241 which represented 10 % and 72 % of the relative OTU abundance, respectively. From the first day after the 242 treatments and vacuum packaging, *Psychrobacter sp.* and *P. fragi* remained the most abundant OTUs in all the samples, while L. gasicomitatum, Lc. piscium and Lb. sakei were the main OTUs detected on the 5th, 9th and 15th 243 244 days.

245 When the relative abundance of the main OTUs was compared across samples, it was possible to observe that 246 Lc. piscium and Lactobacillus sp. were found to be characteristic in samples treated with AO (g-test P < 0.01), while *P. fragi* and *Psychrobacter* were found to be characteristic in the untreated control samples (P < 0.01). The 247 248 co-occurrence/exclusion patterns of the OTUs were also investigated (Supplementary Figure 1), and only the 249 significant correlations are here reported (False Discovery Rate - FDR < 0.05). The most abundant OTUs, Lb. sakei, Photobacterium and P. fragi, displayed the highest number of negative correlations. P. fragi in particular 250 displayed a strong co-exclusion with Carnobacterium divergens and Lc. piscium. C. divergens instead displayed 251 the highest number of positive correlations with *Lb. sakei*, *Lc. piscium* and *L. gasicomitatum*. 252

253

254 Correlations between the potentially active community and volatile compounds (VOCs)

Plotting the correlation between the OTUs and VOCs, it was observed that *P. fragi* and *Acinetobacter lwoffii* showed a positive correlation with octanal, nonanal and the alcohols, while *C. divergens* and *Lc. piscium* were correlated with the short chain ethyl lactate, ethyl acetate and the short and medium chain fatty acids (Fig. 3).

- A co-inertia analysis was carried out, combining the PCA of the microbiota (OTUs) and the VOCs, in order to
- establish the relative importance of the OTU vectors that affect the volatilome structures in each sample (Fig. 4).

The analysis revealed a significant relationship between microbiota composition and VOCs (RV coefficient=0.63; Monte Carlo P=0.001). The first horizontal component accounted for 84.5% of the variance, and a second vertical component accounted for another 9.8%. Clustering the samples according to (A) the time, (B) the batch and (C) the treatments, a clear separation of the samples was observed on the basis of the storage time and also, although to a lesser extent, of the origin of the batch (Fig. 4).

265

266 Intra-species oligotype analysis

267 The oligotype analysis was performed on the main OTUs observed but only with P. fragi we found a significant 268 Shannon entropy level able to detect sub-OTU (or oligotype). Overall a total of 32 oligotypes were identified. 269 The Pairwise Wilcoxon test was used to identify specific oligotypes associated with a specific treatment. 270 Oligotypes P1, P13, P18, P2, P30, P4, P7, P8 and P9 were associated with EW treated meat, P11 and P26 were 271 associated with the AO treatment, and P22, P24 and P3 were associated with the control samples (P < 0.05). The 272 relative abundance of the oligotypes, calculated in relation to the total abundance of P. fragi, resulted to be very fragmented, with the highest percentage of abundance being shown by the P1 oligotype (5.7 % on average for 273 274 all the samples), and the lowest being observed for the P20 oligotype, with 1.8 % of abundance (Supplementary 275 Table 3).

When the correlation between P. fragi oligotype abundance and VOC profiles was plotted (Fig. 4), it was 276 277 possible to observe a cluster of oligotypes (P23, P28, P16, P32, P2, P12, P20 and P22) that were closely 278 correlated with the aldehydes, the primary and secondary alcohols and the acetoin. The acetoin was positively 279 correlated with the P22 oligotype, which in turn was significantly associated with the meat samples treated with 280 water (W). Another group of seven oligotypes (P29, P5, P31, P14, P17, P15 and P30) was positively correlated 281 with the organic acids, esters and 3-methyl-1-butanol. The significance of the correlation observed is reported in the Supplementary Table 4. Oligotypes P29, P5 and P14 in particular showed a strong correlation with butanoic, 282 283 acetic and hexanoic acid, respectively, while P31 was closely related to the 3-methyl-1-butanol alcohol. 284 However, none of them was associated with a specific treatment.

286 Discussion

This study has investigated the microbiota of VP beefsteaks treated with electrolyzed water and aqueous ozone, and it offers a detailed view of the evolution of the potentially active community after treatments thanks to the use of the RNA-based HTS of the 16S rRNA and headspace analysis of the VOCs.

290 A progressive growth of all the microbial groups considered during the storage time was observed, with the LAB population taking over after the 5th day, probably due to the limited oxygen condition established after the 291 292 treatments, and resulting in an increased final acidity, as can be expected in VP meat (Doulgeraki et al., 2012). 293 The dominant group the present survey was properly detected by the selective media, unlike the results of 294 previous investigations on VP beef (Ercolini et al., 2010b; Ferrocino et al., 2013). Several olfactory indicators of 295 spoilage were observed through the headspace analysis, but none of them reached the respective odor thresholds, 296 not even the alcohols, which are generally the most abundant VOC family in VP meat (Casaburi et al., 2015). 297 Together, the microbiological and VOC profiles depicted an acceptable meat quality of the beefsteaks over the 298 entire storage time (Ercolini et al., 2011), regardless of the AO and EW treatments, which did not therefore 299 seem to have had any impact on the initial microbiological situation of the beefsteaks, or on the subsequent fate 300 of the microbial counts throughout VP storage.

Previous DNA-based HTS investigations highlighted a limited microbial complexity of the final meat portions in comparison to the initial condition of the carcasses, cuts and slaughter environments due to the different storage conditions, which may favor certain groups of bacteria at the expense of others (de Filippis et al., 2013; Ercolini et al., 2011; Stellato et al., 2016). This low complexity was here confirmed by analyzing the total live microbiota composition.

Overall, the relative abundance of OTUs during the storage period and the co-occurrence/co-exclusion analysis highlighted a clear shift from an initial population, dominated by psychrophilic gram-negative bacteria, to a final condition in which the LAB species were the dominant OTUs favored by the anaerobic conditions (Doulgeraki et al., 2012).

As expected, *Pseudomonas sp.*, *Brochothrix sp.*, *Psychrobacter sp.*, *Lactobacillus sp.*, and *Acinetobacter sp.*were identified as the core microbiota of the raw meat before the treatments, since they are commonly reported

as contaminants in beef from processing environments (de Filippis et al., 2013; Stellato et al., 2016). 312 313 Pseudomonas fragi was the dominant OTU before the treatments, and it remained likely active over the whole storage period in VP, with a progressive decrease in abundance. Unfortunately counts of *Pseudomonas* spp. 314 315 were not performed in this study, thereby this hypothesis remains to be demonstrated. Within the Pseudomonas 316 genus, P. fragi is recognized as the dominant spoiler species in beef and the main species responsible for spoilage in aerobic conditions, but it may grow in the absence of oxygen by limiting its catabolism to the 317 318 consumption of glucose and lactic acid (Casaburi et al., 2015; Doulgeraki et al., 2012; Ercolini et al., 2010a; 319 Pennacchia et al., 2011). However, the presence of other aerobe spoilage bacteria, such as *Psychrobacter sp.* and 320 A. lwoffii, on the first day of VP storage, suggests that low levels of oxygen were still available in the products 321 (Hernández-Macedo et al., 2011) and were sufficient to keep these aerobic bacteria metabolically active, at least 322 for the first 24 hours. Overall, these OTUs and B. thermosphacta were positively correlated with several 323 alcohols, aldehydes (nonanal and octanal) and ketones. Notably the concentration of the most important ketone, 324 acetoin, decreased at the end of the storage period as previously observed in MAP minced beef (Argyri et al., 325 2015). This leads us to speculate its possible reduction to 2,3-butendial by the butanedial fermentation activity of 326 Serratia sp., Enterobacter sp. and L. gasicomitatum (Jääskeläinen et al., 2015; Radoš et al., 2016), although it 327 remains unclear why this end product has not been detected among the VOCs the fiftieth day. Overall, acetoin 328 confers an unpleasant buttery/creamy flavor to meat, and its production had previously been associated with A. lwoffii, B. thermosphacta and P. fragi (Ercolini et al., 2011; Ferrocino et al., 2013). However, as also observed 329 330 by Ercolini et al. (2009) on inoculated meat, P. fragi in the present study was more correlated with nonanal, 331 octanal and 1-octen-3-ol, which can be derived from the hydrolysis of triglycerides or from amino acid 332 degradation. P. fragi is recognized as major food spoilers (Ercolini et al., 2011) and an oversimplified 333 classification of P. fragi in a homogeneous OTU cannot disclose the possible strain-specific response to treatments, or the strain-specific relationship to VOCs, an attempt has here been made to overcome the limits of 334 the OTU clustering method through the use of an oligotyping pipeline (De Filippis et al., 2016; Eren et al., 335 336 2013). Pseudomonas sp. from dairy and meat processing environments have recently been investigated at a sub-337 species level, and a relatively low number of dominant oligotypes has been revealed in both environments and

in the related food (Stellato et al., 2017). However, a fragmented distribution and a high number of oligotypes of *P. fragi* have been observed in the present analyses, and only a few of these oligotypes have been significantly associated with the EW and AO treatments. A minimum selective pressure of the treatments was therefore observed at the *P. fragi* sub-species level, without however highlighting any effective dominance of these treatment-associated oligotypes on the others. Nevertheless, different oligotypes showed distinctive correlation patterns with their volatilome, in accordance with the strain-related volatilome of the *P. fragi* species (Casaburi et al., 2015; Ercolini et al., 2010a).

345 As far as LAB are concerned, Lc. piscium and Lb. sakei have recently been found to be the most abundant 346 OTUs in beef burgers packaged with nisin-activated films (Ferrocino et al., 2016) and have been identified, by means of culture-dependent methods, in a variety of meat products under MAP conditions (Rahkila et al., 2012). 347 348 On the other hand, L. gasicomitatum is a psychrotrophic LAB that is associated with the spoilage of several cold-349 storage foods, and in particular with meat packaged in high-oxygen MAP, as a result of its respiratory capability 350 when heme is available (Jääskeläinen et al., 2013; Susiluoto et al., 2003). It is therefore possible to state that the presence of oxygen inside packaging favors the predominance of L. gasicomitatum compared to the 351 352 Lactobacillus and Lactococcus species at the end of meat storage (Rahkila et al., 2012), unlike what was 353 observed in the here examined VP beefsteaks. In accordance with the final volatilome of the beefsteaks, the L. 354 gasicomitatum, Lc. piscium, Lb. sakei and C. divergens metabolisms were closely associated with the production of short chain esters and acids, while a significant correlation was here observed between 3-methyl-1-butanol 355 356 and the gram negative Photobactrium angustum and Photobactrium phosphoreum (Casaburi et al., 2015). This 357 alcohol confers a pungent ethereal odor, and it has been associated with the metabolic activities of P. 358 phosphoreum in MAP packaged pork meat (Nieminen et al., 2016), although it has so far mainly been 359 associated with the metabolic activity of Enterobacteriaceae and LAB species in VP spoiled meat (Ercolini et al., 2009; Hernández-Macedo et al., 2012). Despite Enterobacteriaceae having been reported as being 360 361 particularly important during the spoilage process of VP meat (Hernández-Macedo et al., 2012), in the present experiment, their relative abundance has been found to be very low and limited to a few taxa, as previously 362 363 reported for the potentially active microbiota of VP beefburgers (Ferrocino et al., 2016).

364 The CIA, which correlated the VOC and OTU abundances, apart from confirming the microbiological dynamics, has also highlighted a segregation of the samples, regardless of the AO and EW treatments. We observed a clear 365 366 separation of the samples based to the storage time and origin of the batches. Meat spoilage microbiota is known 367 to show a high lot-to-lot variation that decrease progressively along the storage time (Säde et al., 2017). As 368 observed by Ferrocino et al. (2016) the antimicrobial treatments may by more or less effective as a function of 369 the initial microbiota composition. Therefore, the different initial microbiota of the three batches here might 370 have react differently to the AO and EW treatments, according to the susceptibility to the treatments. Whether 371 future and further experimentation will deal the use of these sanization treatments, this aspect have to be 372 considered carefully. However, the limiting factors for applying AO and EW to raw beef remain undoubtedly 373 their concentrations and exposure times, which were here chosen on the basis of the acceptability of the color 374 of the meat after treatments and considering the effectiveness of treatments performed by spraying AO (Chawla 375 et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014; Crowe et al., 2012; Kalchayanand et al., 2008) and EW (Duan et al., 2016; Purnell 376 et al., 2014; Veasey and Muriana, 2016) on different meats and seafood products.

In short, the here performed treatments with EW and AO were not able to reduce the initial microbial counts of the products. Moreover, they were incapable of modifying the microbiota composition, dynamics and the related volatilome to any great extent during chilled VP storage. In spite of this, the RNA-based analysis, integrated with the volatilome, has helped to unravel the complexity of the potentially active microbiota, in this way expanding the current knowledge on the spoilage dynamics of vacuum packaged beefsteaks.

382

383 Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Piedmont Region, Italy, under grant agreement no. ASF 285-281/282/275/279/280
("Clean Meat" project). The authors would like to thank the meat processing plants involved in the study.

- 386
- 387
- 388
- 389

390	Figure legends
391	
392	Figure 1.
393	Schematic layout of the experimental plan.
394	
395	Figure 2.
396	Relative abundance of the OTUs detected by means of 16S amplicon target sequencing. Only OTUs which
397	showed an incidence above 0.2% in at least 5 samples are shown. The abundances of the OTUs in the 3
398	biological replicates was averaged (n=3), except for the 5, 9 and 15 day sampling points, in which one replicate
399	was excluded because of the poor cDNA quality (n=2).
400	
401	Figure 3.
402	Correlation between the abundance of VOCs (μ g/kg) and OTUs that occurred at 0.2% in at least 2 samples. The
403	rows and columns are clustered according to Ward's linkage hierarchical clustering. The intensity of the colors
404	represents the degree of correlation between the samples and VOCs, as measured by Spearman's correlations.
405	
406	Figure 4.
407	Co-inertia analysis (CIA) of the microbial community (OTUs) and volatilome (VOCs) of the samples before the
408	treatments, on the first day and at the end of the storage period. Samples projected onto the first two axes and
409	grouped according to the (A) time, (B) batch and (C) treatments; (D) loading plot with the OTU vectors and
410	VOCs. Plot A: before the treatments (0); on the first day (1) and at the end of the shelf-life (15). Plot B: batch
411	O1; batch O2 and batch M. Plot C: beefsteaks before the treatments (T0); treated with AO (O); treated with EW
412	(E); treated with water (W) and untreated meat (d).
413	
414	Figure 5.

415	Correlation between the abundance of VOCs and <i>Pseudomonas fragi</i> oligotypes. The rows and columns are
416	clustered according to Ward's linkage hierarchical clustering. The intensity of the colors represents the degree of
417	correlation between the samples and VOCs, as measured by Spearman's correlations. The row bar is colored in
418	according to the significance of the association (Pairwise Wilcoxon; $P < 0.05$) between the oligotypes and
419	treatments: AO (green); EW (red); W (blue). No significant association was observed for the untreated control.

422 Table 1.

Viable counts of the different meat spoilage microbial groups and pH on the beefsteak surfaces treated with aqueous ozone (AO), electrolyzed water (EW), water (W) and the untreated control (C). Samplings were performed before the treatments (day 0) and during storage of vacuum-packed beefsteaks at 4 °C for 15 days. The data are the means (\pm SD) of the three independent batches (n=3). The lower case letters in each row (a, b, c, d) indicate significant differences (P < 0.05; ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test or Kruskal–Wallis test) between the sampling points (0, 1, 5, 9, 15).

	Treatments	Day 0	Day 1	Day 5	Day 9	Day 15
	Control	$5.60 \pm 0.01^{\text{a}}$	5.63 ± 0.00^{a}	5.51 ± 0.04 ^a	5.43 ± 0.04^{a}	5.38 ± 0.02 ^b
- 11	AO	5.60 ± 0.01 $^{\text{a}}$	$5.58\pm0.02^{\text{ a}}$	$5.51\pm0.00^{\text{ a}}$	5.46 ± 0.04^{a}	$5.41\pm0.04~^{b}$
рн	EW	5.60 ± 0.01 $^{\text{a}}$	$5.58\pm0.03~^{\text{a}}$	5.56 ± 0.02 $^{\text{a}}$	$5.45\pm0.02~^{\text{a}}$	$5.35\pm0.03~^{b}$
	W	$5.60\pm0.01~^{\rm a}$	$5.62\pm0.01~^{\rm a}$	5.55 ± 0.02 a	$5.39\pm0.07~^{a}$	$5.39\pm0.06~^{\text{b}}$
	Control	3.82 ± 0.13^{a}	$3.85 \pm 0.49^{\text{a}}$	$3.62\pm0.87~^{\rm b}$	4.60 ± 0.32 ^c	5.13 ± 0.25 ^c
Total bacterial	AO	3.82 ± 0.13 $^{\text{a}}$	$4.79\pm0.22^{\text{ a}}$	$3.95\pm0.91~^{b}$	4.60 ± 0.20 $^{\circ}$	5.15 ± 0.17 $^{\rm c}$
counts (PCA)	EW	3.82 ± 0.13 $^{\text{a}}$	$5.29\pm0.20~^{\text{a}}$	$3.83\pm0.77~^{b}$	4.76 ± 0.03 $^{\text{c}}$	5.21 ± 0.04 $^{\circ}$
	W	3.82 ± 0.13 $^{\rm a}$	$5.18\pm0.05^{\text{ a}}$	$4.33\pm0.18~^{\text{b}}$	5.13 ± 0.22 °	$5.23\pm0.14~^{\rm c}$
	Control	2.64 ± 0.18^{a}	2.81 ± 0.37 ^a	4.19 ± 0.21 ^b	5.45 ± 0.17 ^c	6.03 ± 0.21 ^d
	AO	2.64 ± 0.18 a	2.49 ± 0.52 $^{\rm a}$	$4.08\pm0.36~^{\text{b}}$	5.28 ± 0.20 c	6.06 ± 0.20 d
LAB (MRS)	EW	2.64 ± 0.18 $^{\text{a}}$	$3.19\pm0.84~^{\text{a}}$	$3.88\pm0.10^{\text{ b}}$	5.05 ± 0.23 $^{\rm c}$	$6.00\pm0.12~^{\text{d}}$
	W	2.64 ± 0.18 $^{\rm a}$	$2.75\pm0.50^{\text{ a}}$	$4.16\pm0.08^{\text{b}}$	5.44 ± 0.18 $^{\rm c}$	$6.10\pm0.10^{\text{ d}}$
	Control	1.94 ± 1.86 ^a	1.94 ± 1.86 ^a	1.16 ± 0.51 ^b	$2.41 \pm 0.85^{b,c}$	2.90 ± 0.62 ^c
Total coliforms (VRBA)	AO	1.94 ± 1.86 a	1.41 ± 1.13 $^{\rm a}$	$1.60\pm1.50~^{\text{b}}$	$2.52\pm0.28^{\text{ b,c}}$	$3.24\pm1.10~^{\text{c}}$
	EW	1.94 ± 1.86 °	1.00 ± 0.44 ^a	1.43 ± 0.92 ^b	$3.05 \pm 0.20^{\text{ b,c}}$	3.43 ± 0.65 °

	W	$1.94\pm1.86~^{a}$	2.08 ± 1.78 $^{\rm a}$	1.53 ± 0.64 $^{\text{b}}$	2.60 ± 0.21 ^{b,c}	$3.23\pm0.46\ ^{\text{c}}$
	Control	2.21 ± 0.43^{a}	2.11 ± 0.53 ^a	3.31 ± 0.61 ^b	$4.72\pm0.74~^{\rm c}$	$5.37\pm0.62~^{\rm d}$
	AO	$2.21\pm0.43~^{a}$	$2.13\pm0.86~^{a}$	$3.15\pm0.55^{\text{ b}}$	$4.40\pm0.64~^{c}$	$5.33\pm0.59~^{d}$
Yeasts (MEA)	EW	$2.21\pm0.43~^{a}$	$2.47\pm1.03~^{a}$	$3.10\pm0.42^{\text{ b}}$	4.56 ± 0.61^{c}	$5.45\pm0.61~^{d}$
	W	2.21 ± 0.43 a	2.25 ± 0.95 $^{\rm a}$	$3.16\pm0.46^{\text{ b}}$	4.67 ± 1.07 °	$5.29\pm0.91~^{d}$











Fig. 4





- 445 Supplementary data
- 446
- 447
- 448

449 Supplementary Table 1.

- 450 Complete dataset of the VOCs (µg/kg) detected in the meat beefsteaks before the treatments and in vacuum-packed beefsteaks after 1 and 15 days of
- 451 storage at 4 °C. The data are the means (\pm SD) of the three independent batches (n=3). The lowercase letters in each row highlight significant
- 452 differences (P < 0.05; ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test or the Kruskal–Wallis test) between the values in the three sampling points considered (0,

453 1, 15).

					After treat	tment (Day 1)		End of stoarge time (Day 15)						
Compound families	VOCs	Sign.	Before treatment	С	w	EW	AO	с	w	EW	AO			
Esters	Ethyl Acetate	<0.05	30.97 ± 11.30 a,b	0.22 ± 0.14 a	25.49±24.23 a.b	8.79 ± 7.50 a	0.80±0.65 a	137.30 ± 56.85 b	130.66 ± 74.67 b	126.21±38.05 b	73.66±7.76 a,b			
	Ethyl-lactate	N.S.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	64.23 ± 27.73	56.32 ± 21.02	59.88 ± 18.75	30.42 ± 5.12			
	Ethanol	N.S.	216.88 ± 51.37	206.93 ± 20.60	504.12 ± 297.55	152.92 ± 8.96	180.61 ± 33.94	248.72 ± 63.52	248.15 ± 55.28	229.32 ± 59.35	175.10 ± 4.38			
	1-Penten-3-ol	N.S.	7.44 ± 0.70	18.25 ± 4.25	15.30 ± 4.11	8.63 ± 1.84	10.27 ± 2.49	6.16 ± 2.82	9.81 ± 4.85	5.56 ± 1.97	8.72 ± 2.90			
	1-Butanol, 3-methyl-	N.S.	13.15 ± 1.71	14.45 ± 12.34	7.30 ± 2.85	3.21 ± 0.61	11.99 ± 6.96	56.20 ± 39.46	71.85 ± 40.61	50.12 ± 37.40	15.57 ± 8.06			
	1-Pentanol	<0.05	20.74 ± 0.92 a,b	42.06±13.17 c	36.18 ± 7.45 b,c	23.84 ± 3.94 a,b,c	21.89 ± 2.84 a,b	12.26±5.12 a	14.22 ± 5.42 a	10.20±3.11 a	15.14±4.33 a			
Alcohols	2-Penten-1-ol	N.S.	0.56 ± 0.08	1.15 ± 0.29	0.94 ± 0.48	0.52 ± 0.18	0.66 ± 0.21	0.65 ± 0.25	1.02 ± 0.50	0.45 ± 0.28	0.77 ± 0.24			
	1-Hexanol	N.S.	3.77 ± 0.41	15.55 ± 10.46	7.28 ± 1.27	4.65 ± 0.82	6.84 ± 1.43	6.41 ± 1.94	7.40 ± 0.89	8.80 ± 0.80	8.44 ± 0.76			
	2-Butoxy-ethanol	N.S.	1.56 ± 0.17	2.57 ± 0.81	1.66 ± 0.34	3.64 ± 1.95	1.78 ± 0.42	5.71 ± 4.01	4.82 ± 1.57	1.87 ± 0.28	2.07 ± 0.70			
	1-Octen-3-ol	<0.05	34.57 ± 3.95 a,b	69.83 ± 14.01 c	61.21 ± 13.04 b.c	42.48 ± 15.51 a,b,c	43.59±4.66 a,b,c	23.38 ± 12.75 a	26.04 ± 10.81 a	19.55 ± 9.62 a	22.58±4.36 a			
	1-Octanol	N.S.	2.29 ± 1.03	2.98 ± 0.87	2.23 ± 0.25	1.73 ± 0.28	3.53 ± 0.33	1.16 ± 0.17	1.32 ± 0.23	1.32 ± 0.17	1.93 ± 0.31			
	2-Octen-1-ol	N.S.	0.80 ± 0.18	1.56 ± 0.37	1.32 ± 0.27	1.03 ± 0.22	1.06 ± 0.05	0.64 ± 0.18	0.66 ± 0.21	0.61 ± 0.26	0.61 ± 0.08			
	Hexanal	N.S.	149.79 ± 33.51	229.90 ± 100.92	395.48 ± 48.79	204.83 ± 68.12	188.55 ± 68.86	196.79 ± 105.87	301.51 ± 115.45	235.37 ± 142.98	219.91 ± 42.71			
	Heptanal	N.S.	3.78 ± 1.83	10.83 ± 3.46	8.09 ± 0.27	3.95 ± 1.27	5.18 ± 1.75	3.93 ± 1.99	7.84 ± 0.72	6.95 ± 2.53	6.33 ± 2.33			
	Octanal	N.S.	2.14 ± 0.84	3.85 ± 1.78	3.63 ± 0.25	1.96 ± 0.93	4.29 ± 0.34	2.09 ± 0.65	2.76 ± 0.85	3.25 ± 1.19	2.96 ± 0.54			
Aldehydes	Nonanal	N.S.	8.38 ± 4.01	9.90 ± 3.97	10.43 ± 1.19	6.85 ± 3.23	17.46 ± 2.74	5.18 ± 1.42	5.83 ± 1.86	6.52 ± 1.53	10.11 ± 1.85			
	2-Octanal	N.S.	0.45 ± 0.04	1.00 ± 0.27	1.05 ± 0.27	0.61 ± 0.32	0.78 ± 0.19	0.72 ± 0.59	0.93 ± 0.41	0.54 ± 0.20	0.77 ± 0.16			
	Benzaldehyde	N.S.	0.47 ± 0.15	0.73 ± 0.22	0.82 ± 0.15	0.82 ± 0.35	0.49 ± 0.16	0.90 ± 0.04	1.07 ± 0.12	0.89 ± 0.11	0.96 ± 0.20			
	2-Nonenal	N.S.	0.19 ± 0.03	0.56 ± 0.19	0.47 ±0.11	0.37 ± 0.14	0.35 ± 0.08	0.19 ± 0.16	0.43 ± 0.16	0.36 ± 0.11	0.17 ± 0.09			
	Acetone	N.S.	37.15 ± 10.02	46.23 ± 17.04	49.09 ± 13.08	27.86 ± 14.13	29.41 ± 11.51	37.68 ± 19.80	25.05 ± 11.83	12.28 ± 5.24	6.21 ± 0.54			
Ketons	Acetoin	<0.05	185.96 ± 34.76 a	283.58 ± 71.06 a	258.54 ± 48.81 a	237.93 ± 47.84 a	233.33 ± 38.07 a	53.73 ± 12.40 b	66.94 ± 15.67 b	30.43±1.76 b	47.13 ± 12.36 b			
	2,3-Octanedione	N.S.	20.42 ± 1.88	44.35 ± 7.16	51.48 ± 13.55	22.11 ± 3.64	31.89 ± 5.33	25.85 ± 18.23	17.81 ± 9.04	18.66 ± 10.12	14.74 ± 1.97			
	6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	N.S.	1.14 ± 0.18	1.25 ± 0.38	1.83 ± 0.58	0.86 ± 0.46	1.46 ± 0.49	1.28 ± 0.23	0.93 ± 0.37	1.44 ± 0.15	1.38 ± 0.24			
	Acetic acid	<0.05	4.74 ± 1.25 a	6.90 ± 2.19 a,b	9.79±4.35 a,b	10.00 ± 4.03 a,b	3.46 ± 1.52 a	100.99 ± 42.04 c	63.13 ± 14.22 c	95.61 ± 11.23 c	56.40 ± 9.13 b,c			
	Butanoic acid	N.S.	12.68 ± 6.47	7.47 ± 3.85	13.29 ± 7.39	12.27 ± 6.09	10.72 ± 7.10	73.32 ± 36.21	41.55 ± 27.45	41.35 ± 16.90	42.32 ± 25.30			
Volatile fatty acids	Hexanoic acid	N.S.	3.77 ± 1.35	5.25 ± 1.83	7.78 ± 2.00	9.49 ± 3.89	2.88 ± 0.54	10.54 ± 4.01	6.54 ± 4.02	7.65 ± 3.01	4.95 ± 1.81			
	Octanoic Acid	N.S.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.26 ± 0.18	0.88 ± 0.35	2.97 ± 1.87	0.88 ± 0.11			
	Nonanoic acid	N.S.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.15 ± 0.17	1.05 ± 0.50	3.10 ± 1.93	1.21 ± 0.13			
Aromatic compounds	Furan, 2-pentyl-	N.S.	1.98 ± 0.09	4.29 ± 1.40	4.38 ± 2.56	1.77 ± 0.20	2.49 ± 1.00	2.02 ± 1.69	1.50 ± 0.34	0.89 ± 0.40	1.45 ± 0.40			
Lactones	Butyrolactone	N.S.	1.49 ± 0.60	1.73 ± 0.48	2.15 ± 1.15	3.04 ± 0.95	1.81 ± 0.58	3.32 ± 1.02	3.77 ± 1.41	2.57 ± 0.56	3.75 ± 0.76			

Hydrocarbons	1,3-Hexadiene, 3-ethyl-2-methyl-	N.S.	0.40 ± 0.05	1.18 ± 0.27	0.97 ± 0.31	0.83 ± 0.18	0.70 ± 0.20	0.27 ± 0.16	0.36 ± 0.16	0.22 ± 0.09	0.36 ± 0.12
	2,5,5-Trimethyl-2-hexene	N.S.	1.73 ± 1.07	1.31 ± 0.60	0.91 ± 0.16	0.78 ± 0.22	0.49 ± 0.07	3.52 ±0.30	3.05 ± 1.07	4.10 ± 0.31	3.03 ± 1.10

458 Supplementary Table 2.

459 Observed diversity and estimated sample coverage for the 16S rRNA amplicons. *ESC estimate sample coverage.

Samples ESC code PD whole tree chao1 observed species shannon 92.53 4.05 595.63 128 M O 15 3.97 145 M d 15 92.53 3.95 288.88 4.33 92.78 415.50 125 M_E_15 4.75 3.82 01 0 15 93.99 4.18 259.06 117 3.73 O1_E_15 91.41 4.59 459.38 150 4.23 142 O1 d 15 92.27 5.34 364.50 3.99 02_0_15 93.81 115 3.86 274.75 3.19 O2_E_15 93.13 3.92 123 333.67 3.65 O2 W 15 93.99 3.39 305.25 104 3.16 O2_d_15 92.53 4.82 396.21 129 3.83 M O 9 92.87 5.61 345.87 119 3.79 M_W_9 91.58 6.75 456.87 140 4.02 M_d_9 90.98 5.81 144 4.12 599.00 01 0 9 92.96 3.40 302.79 128 3.46 O1_E_9 91.75 444.00 140 4.77 3.80 O1 d 9 93.38 5.57 304.88 122 3.67 02_0_9 91.92 4.37 533.36 136 3.78 02_W_9 92.18 4.80 354.50 127 3.28 O2_d_9 92.53 133 4.71 420.77 3.87 01_W_9 91.58 4.21 460.87 144 3.86 M E 5 91.07 6.03 389.87 157 4.23 M_W_5 90.98 159 7.83 446.37 4.13 M d 5 93.21 5.73 308.24 127 3.86 01_0_5 90.55 9.87 174 404.58 4.36 156 O1_E_5 90.55 4.14 7.48 489.06 01_W_5 89.43 6.06 743.15 166 4.22

O1_d_5	93.04	4.85	449.00	125	3.75
02_0_5	94.24	3.60	260.93	103	2.75
O2_E_5	90.98	5.49	537.00	147	4.00
O2_W_5	92.44	4.30	403.43	130	3.77
O2_d_5	91.92	7.17	355.14	147	3.94
O2_W_1	95.02	3.52	320.14	84	3.04
O1_d_1	93.64	5.75	301.93	109	3.47
M_0_1	85.22	13.56	927.45	259	6.29
M_E_1	89.69	8.50	468.62	194	5.54
M_W_1	88.14	10.63	473.38	231	6.01
M_d_1	90.64	8.50	369.36	191	5.53
01_0_1	93.47	4.89	294.13	116	3.74
O1_E_1	94.67	4.21	238.07	103	3.54
01_W_1	93.90	4.56	284.50	107	3.47
02_0_1	94.85	4.68	234.15	98	3.07
O2_E_1	93.81	4.92	385.00	101	3.41
O2_d_1	94.67	4.14	405.17	90	2.94
M_T0	90.46	7.58	541.56	160	4.21
O1_T0	93.47	3.96	293.13	115	3.69
O2_T0	93.90	5.05	299.15	108	3.33
M_E_9	93.04	4.96	364.23	115	3.60
O1 W 15	93.13	4.78	309.88	124	3.55

464 Supplementary Table 3. Average abundances of the oligotypes detected in the *P. fragi* species

	P1	P2	P3	P4	Р5	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	P11	P12	P13	P14	P15	P16	P17	P18	P19	P20	P21	P22	P2
Control_0	6.1	3.8	4.2	4.7	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.2	3.7	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.6	3.0	2.9	2.0	2.3	2.8	2.5	1.9	2.9	3.1	
C_1	6.2	3.5	3.1	5.3	4.2	3.9	2.2	2.8	4.5	3.6	3.7	3.9	2.2	1.4	4.0	5.1	0.8	2.7	0.8	1.3	3.6	3.0	
EW_1	5.7	5.2	4.3	2.3	2.4	6.1	4.4	4.7	2.1	5.5	3.9	2.0	2.3	2.3	1.7	4.1	2.3	1.8	4.2	3.9	1.7	2.1	
AO_1	5.9	3.0	3.7	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.6	4.5	2.8	2.6	3.8	2.9	3.2	2.3	3.0	3.6	3.0	1.9	3.5	2.0	2.8	2.2	
W_1	3.5	7.6	3.0	6.9	7.8	2.4	12.1	2.0	7.5	2.2	1.9	1.8	6.7	2.3	2.0	1.8	2.3	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.8	2.4	
C_15	6.4	3.4	4.3	4.5	5.5	4.8	3.0	4.5	2.4	2.8	3.6	2.6	2.2	3.7	4.1	1.5	2.3	3.1	3.1	1.6	1.8	1.3	
EW_15	6.8	2.7	3.8	3.2	6.0	4.9	2.7	7.4	4.6	4.6	1.8	1.3	7.4	1.7	3.2	2.3	3.0	1.8	5.8	1.3	4.6	0.7	
AO_15	5.7	3.9	2.6	3.5	3.3	2.5	4.8	5.2	3.5	1.7	4.7	4.9	3.1	1.8	2.5	2.2	3.8	2.6	2.2	1.0	3.4	2.7	
W_15	5.0	3.0	3.9	6.5	4.3	5.2	3.3	6.3	1.5	1.1	2.8	3.3	2.6	2.6	2.4	3.5	1.3	1.1	2.8	1.1	3.0	2.8	
Average	5.7	4.0	3.7	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.6	3.6	3.0	3.3	2.9	3.7	2.3	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.2	3.0	1.8	2.9	2.3	

472 Supplementary Table 4.

473 Statistic significance of the association between the *P. fragi* oligotype abundance and VOCs by means of the Pairwise Wilcoxon test. The significant

474 associations are highlighted in yellow (P < 0.05).

																/OCs	
	Ethyl Acetate Eth	yl lactate Ethano	l 1-Pente	en-3-ol 1-Bu	tanol-3-n 1-l	Pentanol	2-Penten-1-ol 1-Hexanol		Ethanol-2-butoxy 1-Oct	en-3-ol 1	L-Octanol	2-Octen-1-ol	Hexanal	Heptanal	Octanal	Nonanal	2-Octenal
P1	0.44	0.55	0.08	0.13	0.24	0.19	0.29	0.38	0.88	0.03	0.50	0.04	0.26	0.08	0.31	0.78	3 0.34
P2	0.44	0.31	0.39	0.21	0.74	0.07	0.26	0.35	0.09	0.22	0.26	0.12	0.24	0.17	0.48	0.50	0.62
P3	0.50	0.63	0.86	0.46	0.84	0.92	0.50	0.21	0.94	0.51	0.43	0.39	0.04	0.16	6 0.04	0.14	۱ 0.12
P4	0.54	0.70	0.78	0.65	0.66	0.36	0.53	0.31	0.79	0.89	0.96	0.76	0.98	0.98	3 0.82	0.98	3 0.94
P5	0.17	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.15	0.22	0.77	0.21	0.06	0.26	0.20	0.62	0.18	0.1	0.11
P6	0.43	0.40	0.89	0.37	0.67	0.49	0.73	0.73	0.84	0.37	0.30	0.30	0.04	0.40	0.17	0.10	0.07 v
P7	0.87	0.80	0.86	0.41	0.14	0.58	0.35	0.81	0.78	0.55	0.64	0.50	0.82	0.67	0.88	0.9	0.91
P8	0.29	0.36	0.15	0.12	0.63	0.18	0.25	0.15	0.77	0.02	0.20	0.01	0.03	0.11	0.14	0.12	<u>2</u> 0.04
P9	0.33	0.19	0.63	0.27	0.03	0.22	0.59	0.77	0.49	0.26	0.45	0.27	0.30	0.71	0.93	0.42	<u>'</u> 0.05
P10	0.30	0.85	0.90	0.74	0.36	0.62	0.74	0.75	0.58	0.56	0.56	0.89	0.86	0.04	0.81	0.96	0.70
P11	0.84	0.83	0.01	0.63	0.79	0.54	0.69	0.04	0.81	0.21	0.65	0.16	0.24	0.24	0.94	0.70	0.44
P12	0.37	0.47	0.47	0.24	0.76	0.22	0.48	0.92	0.11	0.18	0.64	0.16	0.39	0.12	0.47	0.58	3 0.30
P13	0.65	0.56	0.46	0.85	0.96	0.89	0.96	0.15	0.96	0.37	0.86	0.30	0.77	0.89	0.89	0.83	3 0.47
P14	0.22	0.83	0.02	0.28	0.18	0.58	0.19	0.73	0.68	0.99	0.44	0.89	0.19	0.58	3 0.84	0.78	\$ 0.85
P15	0.57	0.38	0.16	0.53	0.25	0.45	0.72	0.51	0.59	0.91	0.98	0.91	0.49	0.86	0.30	0.3	0.89
P16	0.05	0.30	0.57	0.10	0.49	0.21	0.33	0.68	0.92	0.12	0.29	0.11	0.54	0.25	0.20	0.48	3 0.37
P17	0.28	0.36	0.93	0.58	0.22	0.43	0.51	0.16	0.97	0.72	0.73	0.74	0.10	0.14	0.03	0.10	0.38
P18	0.66	0.82	0.44	0.51	0.76	0.39	0.44	0.86	0.70	0.68	0.24	0.49	0.02	0.19	0.08	0.05	o.18
P19	0.12	0.13	0.28	0.02	0.90	0.02	0.07	0.69	0.34	0.01	0.16	0.02	0.13	0.14	0.48	0.46	រ <mark>៍ 0.05</mark>
P20	0.99	0.14	0.36	0.55	0.84	0.34	0.99	0.76	1.00	0.35	0.43	0.20	0.24	0.93	0.25	0.18	3 0.66
P21	0.84	0.67	0.86	0.50	0.59	0.88	0.51	0.35	0.48	0.51	0.71	0.74	0.50	0.13	0.28	0.74	I 0.29
P22	0.45	0.08	0.14	0.32	0.64	0.10	0.89	0.46	0.45	0.10	0.18	0.11	0.25	0.09	0.22	. 0.10	0.23
P23	0.11	0.16	0.59	0.26	0.53	0.30	0.77	0.96	0.15	0.09	0.22	0.12	0.26	0.72	0.23	0.12	2 0.07
P24	0.78	0.81	0.30	0.23	0.85	0.21	0.08	0.01	0.15	0.75	0.88	0.35	0.52	0.29	0.89	0.94	I 0.71
P25	0.27	0.29	0.81	0.14	0.06	0.29	0.30	0.00	0.58	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.42	0.06	0.02	2 <mark>0.00 2</mark>
P26	0.34	0.44	0.47	0.96	0.66	0.64	0.90	0.22	0.04	0.98	0.71	0.79	0.60	0.52	0.87	0.99	0.44
P27	0.80	0.92	0.26	0.94	0.93	0.88	0.95	0.49	0.22	0.57	0.63	0.60	0.83	0.60	0.35	0.43	3 0.61
P28	0.52	0.46	0.94	0.03	0.62	0.14	0.11	0.28	0.56	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00) 0.00
P29	0.26	0.23	0.42	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.15	0.80	0.20	0.41	0.47	0.34	0.53	0.39	0.50	0.85	i 0.41
P30	0.22	0.23	0.18	0.49	0.18	0.24	0.84	0.70	0.73	0.70	0.62	0.73	0.19	0.70	0.53	0.78	3 0.93
P31	0.49	0.16	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.21	0.53	0.86	0.10	0.73	0.63	0.71	0.93	0.71	0.63	0.9	0.50
P32	0.12	0.25	0.19	0.43	0.63	0.55	0.98	0.73	0.18	0.05	0.36	0.08	0.60	0.55	0.20	0.25	i 0.36

.01



487 Supplementary Figure 1

488 References

- 489
- 490 Argyri, A.A., Mallouchos, A., Panagou, E.Z., Nychas, G.J.E., 2015. The dynamics of the HS/SPME-GC/MS as a
- tool to assess the spoilage of minced beef stored under different packaging and temperature conditions. Int. J.
- 492 Food Microbiol. 193, 51–58.
- Buckow, R., Bingham, J., Daglas, S., Lowther, S., Amos-Ritchie, R., Middleton, D., 2017. High pressure
 inactivation of selected avian viral pathogens in chicken meat homogenate. Food Control 73, 215–222.
- 495 Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Peña, et
- 496 al., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 7, 335–336.
- Casaburi, A., Nasi, A., Ferrocino, I., Di Monaco, R., Mauriello, G., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2011. Spoilagerelated activity of Carnobacterium maltaromaticum strains in air-stored and vacuum-packed meat. Appl.
 Environ. Microbiol. 77, 7382–7393.
- Casaburi, A., Piombino, P., Nychas, G.J., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2015. Bacterial populations and the
 volatilome associated to meat spoilage. Food Microbiol. 45, 83–102.
- 502 Ceuppens, S., Li, D., Uyttendaele, M., Renault, P., Ross, P., Ranst, M. Van, Cocolin, L., Donaghy, J., 2014.
- Molecular methods in food safety microbiology: Interpretation and implications of nucleic acid detection.
 Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 13, 551–577.
- Chawla, A., Bell, J.W., Janes, M.E., 2007. Optimization of ozonated water treatment of wild-caught and
 mechanically peeled shrimp meat. J. Aquat. Food Prod. Technol. 16, 41–56.
- 507 Chen, H., Wang, M., Chen, S., Chen, T., 2014. Effects of Ozonated water treatment on the microbial population ,
- quality, and shelf life of shucked oysters (*Crassostrea plicatula*). J. Aquat. Food Prod. Technol. 23, 37–41.
- 509 Cravero, F., Englezos, V., Rantsiou, K., Torchio, F., Giacosa, S., Segade, S.R., Gerbi, V., Rolle, L., Cocolin, L.,
- 510 2016. Ozone treatments of post harvested wine grapes: Impact on fermentative yeasts and wine chemical
- 511 properties. Food Res. Int. 87, 134–141.
- 512 Crowe, K.M., Skonberg, D., Bushway, A., Baxter, S., 2012. Application of ozone sprays as a strategy to improve
- the microbial safety and quality of salmon fillets. Food Control 25, 464–468.

- De Angelis, M., Campanella, D., Cosmai, L., Summo, C., Rizzello, C.G., Caponio, F., 2015. Microbiota and
 metabolome of un-started and started Greek-type fermentation of *Bella di Cerignola* table olives. Food
 Microbiol. 52, 18–30.
- de Filippis, F., La Storia, A., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2013. Exploring the sources of bacterial spoilers in
 beefsteaks by culture-independent high-throughput Sequencing. PLoS One 8(7): e70222
- 519 De Filippis, F., Pellegrini, N., Laghi, L., Gobbetti, M., Ercolini, D., 2016. Unusual sub-genus associations of
 520 faecal *Prevotella* and *Bacteroides* with specific dietary patterns. Microbiome 4, 57.
- De Pasquale, I., Di Cagno, R., Buchin, S., De Angelis, M., Gobbetti, M., 2016. Spatial distribution of the
 metabolically active microbiota within Italian PDO ewes' milk cheeses. PLoS One 11(4): e0153213
- 523 Dixon, P., 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. J. Veg. Sci. 14, 927–930.
- Doulgeraki, A.I., Ercolini, D., Villani, F., Nychas, G.J.E., 2012. Spoilage microbiota associated to the storage of
 raw meat in different conditions. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 157, 130–141.
- Doulgeraki, A.I., Hondrodimou, O., Iliopoulos, V., Panagou, E.Z., 2012. Lactic acid bacteria and yeast
 heterogeneity during aerobic and modified atmosphere packaging storage of natural black *Conservolea* olives
 in polyethylene pouches. Food Control 26, 49–57.
- 529 Doulgeraki, A.I., Paramithiotis, S., Kagkli, D.M., Nychas, G.-J.E., 2010. Lactic acid bacteria population
- dynamics during minced beef storage under aerobic or modified atmosphere packaging conditions. Food
 Microbiol. 27, 1028–1034.
- Duan, D., Wang, H., Xue, S., Li, M., Xu, X., 2016. Application of disinfectant sprays after chilling to reduce the
 initial microbial load and extend the shelf-life of chilled chicken carcasses. Food Control 75, 70–77.
- Ercolini, D., 2013. High-throughput sequencing and metagenomics: Moving forward in the culture-independent
 analysis of food microbial ecology. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 3148–3155.
- 536 Ercolini, D., Casaburi, A., Nasi, A., Ferrocino, I., Di Monaco, R., Ferranti, P., Mauriello, G., Villani, F., 2010a.
- 537 Different molecular types of Pseudomonas fragi have the same overall behaviour as meat spoilers. Int. J.
- 538 Food Microbiol. 142, 120–31.

- Ercolini, D., Ferrocino, I., La Storia, A., Mauriello, G., Gigli, S., Masi, P., Villani, F., 2010b. Development of
 spoilage microbiota in beef stored in nisin activated packaging. Food Microbiol. 27, 137–143.
- 541 Ercolini, D., Ferrocino, I., Nasi, A., Ndagijimana, M., Vernocchi, P., La Storia, A., Laghi, L., Mauriello, G.,
- Guerzoni, M.E., Villani, F., 2011. Monitoring of microbial metabolites and bacterial diversity in beef stored
 under different packaging conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 7372–7381.
- Ercolini, D., Russo, F., Nasi, A., Ferranti, P., Villani, F., 2009. Mesophilic and psychrotrophic bacteria from
 meat and their spoilage potential in vitro and in beef. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 1990–2001.
- 546 Eren, A.M., Maignien, L., Sul, W.J., Murphy, L.G., Grim, S.L., Morrison, H.G., Sogin, M.L., 2013. Oligotyping:
- 547 Differentiating between closely related microbial taxa using 16S rRNA gene data. Methods Ecol. Evol. 4,
 548 1111–1119.
- Ferrocino, I., Bellio, A., Romano, A., Macori, G., Rantsiou, K., Decastelli, L., Cocolin, L., 2017. RNA-based
 amplicon sequencing highlights the impact of the processing technology on microbiota composition of
 artisanal and industrial PDO *Lard d'Arnad*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
- Ferrocino, I., Cocolin, L., 2017. Current perspectives in food-based studies exploiting multi-omics approaches.
 Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 13, 10–15.
- Ferrocino, I., Greppi, A., La Storia, A., Rantsiou, K., Ercolini, D., Cocolin, L., 2016. Impact of nisin-activated
 packaging on microbiota of beef burgers during storage. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 549–559.
- 556 Ferrocino, I., La Storia, A., Torrieri, E., Musso, S.S., Mauriello, G., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2013. Antimicrobial
- packaging to retard the growth of spoilage bacteria and to reduce the release of volatile metabolites in meat
 stored under vacuum at 1°C. J. Food Prot. 76, 52–8.
- Hernández-Macedo, M.L., Barancelli, G.V., Contreras-Castillo, C.J., 2011. Microbial deterioration of vacuumpackaged chilled beef cuts and techniques for microbiota detection and characterization: A review. Brazilian
 J. Microbiol. 2008, 1–11.
- 562 Hernández-Macedo, M.L., Contreras-Castillo, C.J., Tsai, S.M., Da Cruz, S.H., Sarantopoulos, C.I.G.L., Padula,
- 563 M., Dias, C.T.S., 2012. Gases and volatile compounds associated with microorganisms in blown pack
- spoilage of Brazilian vacuumpacked beef. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 55, 467–475.

- Huang, Y.R., Hung, Y.C., Hsu, S.Y., Huang, Y.W., Hwang, D.F., 2008. Application of electrolyzed water in the
 food industry. Food Control 19, 329–345.
- Jääskeläinen, E., Johansson, P., Kostiainen, O., Nieminen, T., Schmidt, G., Somervuo, P., Mohsina, M.,
 Vanninen, P., Auvinen, P., Björkroth, J., 2013. Significance of heme-based respiration in meat spoilage
 caused by Leuconostoc gasicomitatum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 1078–1085.
- 570 Jääskeläinen, E., Vesterinen, S., Parshintsev, J., Johansson, P., Riekkola, M.L., Björkroth, J., 2015. Production
- of buttery-odor compounds and transcriptome response in *Leuconostoc gelidum* subsp. gasicomitatum
- 572 LMG18811T during growth on various carbon sources. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 1902–1908.
- Jermann, C., Koutchma, T., Margas, E., Leadley, C., Ros-Polski, V., 2015. Mapping trends in novel and
 emerging food processing technologies around the world. Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 31, 14–27.
- 575 Kalchayanand, N., Arthur, T.M., Bosilevac, J.M., Brichta-Harhay, D.M., Guerini, M.N., Wheeler, T.L.,
- Koohmaraie, M., 2008. Evaluation of various antimicrobial interventions for the reduction of *Escherichia coli*O157:H7 on bovine heads during processing. J. Food Prot. 71, 621–4.
- 578 Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., Glöckner, F.O., 2013. Evaluation of
- general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity
 studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, 1–11.
- La Storia, A., Ferrocino, I., Torrieri, E., Di Monaco, R., Mauriello, G., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2012. A
 combination of modified atmosphere and antimicrobial packaging to extend the shelf-life of beefsteaks stored
 at chill temperature. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 158, 186–94.
- Li, F., Henderson, G., Sun, X., Cox, F., Janssen, P.H., Guan, L.L., 2016. Taxonomic assessment of rumen
 microbiota using total rna and targeted amplicon sequencing approaches. Front. Microbiol. 7.
- Magoč, T., Salzberg, S.L., 2011. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies.
 Bioinformatics 27, 2957–2963.
- 588 Miller, F.A., Silva, C.L.M., Brandao, T.R.S., 2013. A Review on ozone-based treatments for fruit and vegetables
- preservation. Food Eng. Rev. 5, 77–106.

- Nieminen, T.T., Dalgaard, P., Bj??rkroth, J., 2016. Volatile organic compounds and *Photobacterium phosphoreum* associated with spoilage of modified-atmosphere-packaged raw pork. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 218, 86–95.
- Pennacchia, C., Ercolini, D., Villani, F., 2011. Spoilage-related microbiota associated with chilled beef stored in
 air or vacuum pack. Food Microbiol. 28, 84–93.
- Pinto, L., Ippolito, A., Baruzzi, F., 2015. Control of spoiler Pseudomonas spp. on fresh cut vegetables by neutral
 electrolyzed water. Food Microbiol. 50, 102–108.
- 597 Pohlman, F.W., Stivarius, M.R., McElyea, K.S., Johnson, Z.B., Johnson, M.G., 2002. The effects of ozone,
- 598 chlorine dioxide, cetylpyridinium chloride and trisodium phosphate as multiple antimicrobial interventions on
- 599 microbiological, instrumental color, and sensory color and odor characteristics of ground beef. Meat Sci. 61,

600 307.

- Pothakos, V., Devlieghere, F., Villani, F., Björkroth, J., Ercolini, D., 2015. Lactic acid bacteria and their
 controversial role in fresh meat spoilage. Meat Sci. 109, 66–74.
- Purnell, G., James, C., James, S.J., Howell, M., Corry, J.E.L., 2014. Comparison of acidified sodium chlorite,
 chlorine dioxide, peroxyacetic acid and tri-sodium phosphate spray washes for decontamination of chicken
 carcasses. Food Bioprocess Technol. 7, 2093–2101.
- Radoš, D., Turner, D.L., Catarino, T., Hoffart, E., Neves, A.R., Eikmanns, B.J., Blombach, B., Santos, H., 2016.
- 507 Stereospecificity of Corynebacterium glutamicum 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase and implications for the 508 stereochemical purity of bioproduced 2,3-butanediol. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 100, 10573–10583.
- Rahkila, R., Nieminen, T., Johansson, P., Säde, E., Björkroth, J., 2012. Characterization and evaluation of the
 spoilage potential of *Lactococcus piscium* isolates from modified atmosphere packaged meat. Int. J. Food
 Microbiol. 156, 50–59.
- 612 Rosselli, R., Romoli, O., Vitulo, N., Vezzi, A., Campanaro, S., de Pascale, F., Schiavon, et al., 2016. Direct 16S
- 613 rRNA-seq from bacterial communities: a PCR-independent approach to simultaneously assess microbial
- diversity and functional activity potential of each taxon. Sci. Rep. 6, 32165.

- Säde, E., Penttinen, K., Björkroth, J., Hultman, J., 2017. Exploring lot-to-lot variation in spoilage bacterial
 communities on commercial modified atmosphere packaged beef. Food Microbiol. 62, 147–152.
- Segat, A., Biasutti, M., Iacumin, L., Comi, G., Baruzzi, F., Carboni, C., Innocente, N., 2014. Use of ozone in
 production chain of high moisture Mozzarella cheese. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 55, 513–520.
- 619 Sommers, C., Sheen, S., Scullen, O.J., Mackay, W., 2017. Inactivation of *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* in
 620 chicken meat and purge using thermal processing, high pressure processing, gamma radiation, and ultraviolet
 621 light (254 nm). Food Control 75, 78–82.
- 622 Stellato, G., Storia, A. La, Filippis, F. De, Borriello, G., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2016. Overlap of spoilage
- 623 microbiota between meat and meat processing environment in small-scale 2 vs large-scale retail distribution.
- 624 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 4045–4054.
- Stellato, G., Utter, D.R., Voorhis, A., De Angelis, M., Eren, A.M., Ercolini, D., 2017. A few *Pseudomonas*oligotypes dominate in the meat and dairy processing environment. Front. Microbiol. 8, 264.
- Susiluoto, T., Korkeala, H., Björkroth, K.J., 2003. *Leuconostoc gasicomitatum* is the dominating lactic acid
 bacterium in retail modified-atmosphere-packaged marinated broiler meat strips on sell-by-day. Int. J. Food
 Microbiol. 80, 89–97.
- 630 Veasey, S., Muriana, P., 2016. Evaluation of electrolytically-generated hypochlorous acid ("Electrolyzed
 631 Water") for sanitation of meat and meat-contact surfaces. Foods 5, 42 (1-15).
- 632 Yang, L., Danzberger, J., Schöler, A., Schröder, P., Schloter, M., Radl, V., 2017. Dominant groups of potentially
- 633 active bacteria shared by barley seeds become less abundant in root associated microbiome. Front. Plant Sci.
- **634** 8, 1–12.
- 635